

MDPI

Article

# Convolution Properties of q-Janowski-Type Functions Associated with (x, y)-Symmetrical Functions

Fuad Alsarari 1,\* o and Samirah Alzahrani 2

- Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Sciences College, Taibah University, P.O. Box 46421, Yanbu 41911, Saudi Arabia
- Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Science, Taif University, P.O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia; samar.alz@tu.edu.sa
- \* Correspondence: alsrary@gmail.com or fsarari@taibahu.edu.sa

**Abstract:** The purpose of this paper is to define new classes of analytic functions by amalgamating the concepts of q-calculus, Janowski type functions and (x,y)-symmetrical functions. We use the technique of convolution and quantum calculus to investigate the convolution conditions which will be used as a supporting result for further investigation in our work, we deduce the sufficient conditions, Pólya-Schoenberg theorem and the application. Finally motivated by definition of the neighborhood, we give analogous definition of neighborhood for the classes  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ , and then investigate the related neighborhood results, which are also pointed out.

**Keywords:** analytic functions; Hadamard product; (x, y)-symmetrical functions; q-calculus;  $(\rho, q)$ -neighborhood



**Citation:** Alsarari, F.; Alzahrani, S. Convolution Properties of *q*-Janowski-Type Functions
Associated with (*x*, *y*)-Symmetrical Functions. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 1406. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14071406

Academic Editor: Erhan Deniz

Received: 16 June 2022 Accepted: 4 July 2022 Published: 8 July 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{F}(k)$  denote the family of all functions that are analytic in the open unit disc  $k = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1\}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  represents a subfamily of class  $h \in \mathcal{F}(k)$  which has the form

$$h(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} a_v w^v, \tag{1}$$

and suppose  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$  containing all the functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  that are univalent k. The convolution or Hadamard product of two analytic functions  $h,g\in\mathcal{F}$  where h is defined by (1) and  $g(w)=w+\sum_{v=2}^{\infty}b_vw^v$ , is

$$(h*g)(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} a_v b_v w^v.$$

In order to define new classes of q-Janowski symmetrical functions defined in k, we first recall the necessary notions and notations concerning, Janowski type functions, the theory of (x, y)-symmetrical functions and quantum calculus (or q-calculus).

Janowski in [1] introduced the class  $\mathcal{P}[\alpha,\beta]$ , a given  $h \in \mathcal{F}$  and h(0)=1 is said to be in  $\mathcal{P}[\alpha,\beta]$  if and only if  $p(w)=\frac{1+\alpha s(w)}{1+\beta s(w)}$ , for  $-1 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $s(w) \in \Delta$  where  $\Delta$  denote for the family of *Schwarz functions*, that is

$$\Delta := \{ s \in \mathcal{F}, \, s(0) = 0, |s(w)| < 1, \ w \in k \}.$$
 (2)

Let y be an arbitrarily fixed integer and for  $\varepsilon = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{y}}$ , a domain  $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is said to be y-fold symmetric domain if  $\varepsilon \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}$ . A function h is called y-symmetrical function for each  $w \in \mathbf{G}$  if  $h(\varepsilon w) = \varepsilon h(w)$ .

In 1995, Liczberski and Polubinski [2] constructed the concept of (x, y)-symmetrical functions for (x = 0, 1, 2, ..., y - 1), and (y = 2, 3, ...). If **G** is *y*-fold symmetric domain

2 of 11 Symmetry 2022, 14, 1406

> and x any integer, then a function  $h: \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$  is called (x,y)-symmetrical if for each  $w \in \mathbf{G}$ ,  $h(\varepsilon w) = \varepsilon^x h(w)$ . The family of all (x,y)-symmetrical functions will be denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_y^x$ , we note that  $\mathcal{F}_2^0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2^1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_y^1$  are families of even, odd and of y-symmetrical functions, respectively.

**Theorem 1** ([2]). For every mapping  $h: k \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ , and a y-fold symmetric set k, then

$$h(w) = \sum_{x=0}^{y-1} h_{x,y}(w), \qquad h_{x,y}(w) = y^{-1} \sum_{r=0}^{y-1} \varepsilon^{-rx} h(\varepsilon^r w), \ w \in k.$$
 (3)

**Remark 1.** Equivalently, (3) may be written as

$$h_{x,y}(w) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \delta_{v,x} a_v w^v, \ a_1 = 1,$$
 (4)

where

$$\delta_{v,x} = \frac{1}{y} \sum_{r=0}^{y-1} \varepsilon^{(v-x)r} = \begin{cases} 1, & v = ly + x; \\ 0, & v \neq ly + x; \end{cases}$$
 (5)

 $(l \in \mathbb{N}, y = 1, 2, \dots, x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, y - 1).$ 

Recently the authors of [3,4] obtained many interesting results for various classes using the concept of (x, y)-symmetrical functions and q-derivative.

In [5], Jackson introduced and studied the concept of the *q*-derivative operator  $\partial_q h(w)$ as follows:

$$\partial_{q}h(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{h(w) - h(qw)}{w(1-q)}, & w \neq 0, \\ h'(0), & w = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (6)

Equivalently (6), may be written as

$$\partial_q h(w) = 1 + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} [v]_q a_v w^{v-1} \quad w \neq 0,$$

where

$$[v]_q = \frac{1 - q^v}{1 - q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{v-1}.$$
 (7)

Note that as  $q \to 1^-$ ,  $[v]_q \to v$ . For a function  $h(w) = w^v$ , we can note that

$$\partial_q h(w) = \partial_q (w^v) = \frac{1 - q^v}{1 - q} w^{v-1} = [v]_q w^{v-1}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{q \to 1^{-}} \partial_{q} h(w) = \lim_{q \to 1^{-}} [v]_{q} w^{v-1} = v w^{v-1} = h'(w),$$

where h'(w) is the ordinary derivative.

The *q*-integral of a function *h* presented by Jackson [6] As a right inverse as

$$\int_{0}^{w} h(z)d_{q}z = w(1-q) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} q^{v}h(wq^{v}),$$

provided that  $\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} q^v h(wq^v)$  is converges.

**Proposition 1.** *If n and m any real (or complex) constants and*  $w \in k$ *, then we have* 

- $$\begin{split} & \partial_q(nh(w) \pm mg(w)) = n\partial_q h(w) \pm m\partial_q g(w), \\ & \partial_q(h(w)g(w)) = h(qw)\partial_q g(w) + \partial_q gh(w)g(w) = h(w)\partial_q g(w) + \partial_q h(w)g(qw), \end{split}$$

Symmetry 2022, 14, 1406 3 of 11

3. 
$$\partial_q \left( \frac{h(w)}{g(w)} \right) = \frac{g(w)\partial_q h(w) - h(w)\partial_q g(w)}{g(qw)g(w)}$$
.

In recent years, using quantum (or q-calculus) for studying diverse families of analytic functions. Srivastava et al. [7] found distortion and radius of univalent and starlikenss for several subclasses of q-starlike functions. Naeem et al. [8] investigated subfamilies of q-convex functions with respect to the Janowski functions connected with q-conic domain. Govindaraj and Sivasubramanian in [9] found subclasses connected with q-conic domain. In [10], we use the symmetric q-derivative operator to define a new subclass of analytic and bi-univalent function. Srivastava [11] published survey-cum-expository review paper which is useful for researchers and scholars.

Utilizing the ideas of q-derivative operator and the concept of (x,y)-symmetrical functions we introduce a new subclass  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ . This class is introduced by using the q-derivative operator with the concept to (x,y)-symmetric points.

**Definition 1.** For arbitrary fixed numbers  $q, \alpha, \beta$  and  $\lambda, 0 < q < 1, -1 \le \beta < \alpha \le 1$ , let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  denote the family of functions  $h \in \mathcal{F}$  which satisfies

$$\Re\left\{\frac{w\partial_q h(w)}{h_{x,y}(w)}\right\} \in \mathcal{P}[\alpha,\beta], \quad w \in k, \tag{8}$$

where  $h_{x,y}$  is defined in (3).

For special cases of the parameters  $q, \alpha, \beta, x$  and y the class  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  yield several known subclasses of  $\mathcal{F}$ , namely:  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_1^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta) := \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  introduced by the authors of [12];  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_1^{1,y}(\alpha,\beta) := \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_y(\alpha,\beta)$ , introduced by the authors Latha and Darus [13];  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_1^{1,y}(1,-1) := \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_y$  as defined by Sakaguchi [14];  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_1^{1,1}(\alpha,\beta) := \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}[\alpha,\beta]$  which reduce to a well-known class defined by Janowski [1];  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{1,1}(1-2\kappa,-1) = \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q(\kappa)$  which was introduced and studied by Agrawal and Sahoo in [15];  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{1,1}(1,-1) = \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$  which was first introduced by Ismail et al. [16];  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_1^{1,1}(1-2\kappa,-1) = \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}(\kappa)$  the well-known class of starlike function of order  $\kappa$  by Robertson [17]; and  $\mathcal{S}_1^{1,1}(1,-1,0) = \mathcal{S}^*$  the class introduced by Nevanlinna [18], etc.

We denote by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  the subclass of  $\mathcal{F}$  consisting of all functions h such that

$$w\partial_q h(w) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta).$$
 (9)

We need to recall the following neighborhood concept introduced by Goodman [19] and generalized by Ruscheweyh [20].

**Definition 2.** For any  $h \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\rho$ -neighborhood of function h can be defined as:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\rho}(h) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{F} : g(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} b_v w^v, \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} v |a_v - b_v| \le \rho \right\}, \ (\rho \ge 0).$$
 (10)

For e(w) = w, we can see that

$$\mathcal{N}_{\rho}(e) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{F} : g(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} b_v w^v, \quad \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} v |b_v| \le \rho \right\}, \ (\rho \ge 0). \tag{11}$$

Ruscheweyh [20] proved, among other results, that for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ , with  $|\eta| < \rho$ ,

$$\frac{h(w) + \eta w}{1 + \eta} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^* \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\rho}(h) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^*.$$

Symmetry **2022**, *14*, 1406 4 of 11

**Lemma 1** ([21]). Let  $\phi$  be a convex and g a starlike, for F analytic in U with F(0) = 1, then

$$\frac{\phi * Fg}{\phi * g}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \overline{CO}(F(\mathcal{U})),$$

where  $\overline{CO}(F(\mathcal{U}))$  denotes the closed convex hull of  $F(\mathcal{U})$ .

The goal of this research to give a convolution conditions for a function h to be in the classes  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  which will be used to drive a sufficient conditions, Pólya–Schoenberg theorem and application. In the next section be the motivation of the Definition 2, we give analogous definition of neighborhood for the class  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ , then investigate related neighborhood results.

### 2. Results

**Theorem 2.** A function  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  if and only if

$$\frac{1}{w} \left[ h * \left( \frac{(w - qw^3)(1 + \beta e^{i\phi})}{(1 - w)(1 - qw)(1 - q^2w)} - \frac{(1 + \alpha e^{i\phi})w}{(1 - u_xw)(1 - u_xqw)} \right) \right] \neq 0, \ |w| < R \leq 1,$$

where  $0 < q < 1, -1 \le \beta < \alpha \le 1, 0 \le \phi < 2\pi$  and  $u_x$  is defined by (14).

**Proof.** We have,  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  if and only if

$$rac{\partial_q(w\partial_q h(w))}{\partial_q h_{x,y}(w)} 
eq rac{1 + lpha e^{i\phi}}{1 + eta e^{i\phi}}, \ |w| < R,$$

which implies

$$\partial_{q}(w\partial_{q}h(w))(1+\beta e^{i\phi}) - \partial_{q}h_{x,y}(w)\{1+\alpha e^{i\phi}\} \neq 0. \tag{12}$$

Setting  $h(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} a_v w^v$ , we have

$$\partial_q h = 1 + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} [v]_q a_v w^{v-1}, \qquad \partial_q (w \partial_q h) = 1 + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} [v]_q^2 a_v w^{v-1} = \partial_q h * \frac{1}{(1-w)(1-qw)}.$$

$$\partial_q h_{x,y}(w) = \partial_q h * \frac{1}{(1 - u_x w)} = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} [v]_q u_x^v a_v w^{v-1}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$u_x^v = \delta_{v,x}$$
, and  $\delta_{v,x}$  is given by (5). (14)

The left hand side of (12) is equivalent to

$$\partial_q h * \left( \frac{1 + \beta e^{i\phi}}{(1 - w)(1 - qw)} - \frac{1 + \alpha e^{i\phi}}{1 - u_x w} \right), \tag{15}$$

simplifying (15) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{w} \left[ w \partial_q h * \left( \frac{(1 + \beta e^{i\phi})w}{(1 - w)(1 - qw)} - \frac{(1 + \gamma e^{i\phi})w}{1 - u_x w} \right) \right] \neq 0, \tag{16}$$

since  $w \partial_q h * g = h * w \partial_q g$ , we can write the Equation (16) as

$$\frac{1}{w} \left[ h * \left( \frac{(w - qw^3)(1 + \beta e^{i\phi})}{(1 - w)(1 - qw)(1 - q^2w)} - \frac{(1 + \alpha e^{i\phi})w}{(1 - u_x w)(1 - u_x qw)} \right) \right] \neq 0.$$

Symmetry 2022, 14, 1406 5 of 11

**Remark 2.** For  $q \to 1^-$  and spacial values of  $x, y, \alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we have following result proved by Ganesan and et al in [22] Silverman and et al in [23].

**Theorem 3.** A function  $f \in \widetilde{S}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  if and only if

$$\frac{1}{w}\bigg[h*\bigg(\frac{(1+\beta e^{i\phi})w}{(1-w)(1-qw)}-\frac{(1+\alpha e^{i\phi})w}{1-u_{\scriptscriptstyle X}w}\bigg)\bigg]\neq 0, \ |w|<1,$$

where  $0 < q < 1, -1 \le \beta < \alpha \le 1, 0 \le \phi < 2\pi$  and  $u_x$  is defined by (14).

**Proof.** Since  $h \in \widetilde{S}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  if and only if  $g(w) = \int_0^w \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta} d_q \zeta \in \widetilde{K}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{w} \left[ g * \left( \frac{(w - qw^3)(1 + \beta e^{i\phi})}{(1 - w)(1 - qw)(1 - q^2w)} - \frac{(1 + \alpha e^{i\phi})w}{(1 - u_x w)(1 - u_x qw)} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{w} \left[ h * \left( \frac{(1 + \beta e^{i\phi})w}{(1 - w)(1 - qw)} - \frac{(1 + \alpha e^{i\phi})w}{1 - u_x w} \right) \right]. \end{split}$$

Thus the result follows from Theorem 3.  $\Box$ 

Note that we can easily from Theorem 3 obtain that the equivalent condition for a function  $h \in \widetilde{S}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  in the following Corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *For*  $q \in (0,1), -1 \le \beta < \alpha \le 1$  *and*  $\phi \in [0,2\pi)$ *, then* 

$$h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta) \Leftrightarrow \frac{(h*g)(w)}{w} \neq 0, \quad , w \in k,$$
 (17)

where g(w) has the form

$$g(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} t_v w^v,$$

$$t_v = \frac{[v]_q - \delta_{v,x} + ([v]_q \beta - \delta_{v,x} \alpha) e^{i\phi}}{(\beta - \alpha) e^{i\phi}}.$$
(18)

By using Corollary 1 we drive the sufficient condition theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $h(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} a_v w^v$ , be analytic in k, for  $-1 \le \beta < \alpha \le 1$  and 0 < q < 1, if

$$\sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{([v]_q - \delta_{v,x}) + \left| \alpha \delta_{v,x} - \beta [v]_q \right|}{\left| \alpha - \beta \right|} \right\} |a_v| \le 1, \tag{19}$$

then  $h(w) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ 

**Proof.** For the proof of Theorem 4, it suffices to show that  $\frac{(h*g)(w)}{w} \neq 0$  where g is given by (18). Let  $h(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} a_v w^v$  and  $g(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} t_v w^v$ . The convolution

$$\frac{(h*g)(w)}{w} = 1 + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} t_v a_v w^{v-1}, w \in k.$$

From Corollary 1 that  $h(w) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  if and only if  $\frac{(h*g)(w)}{w} \neq 0$ , for g given by (18). Using (18) and (19), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{(f * g)(w)}{w} \right| \ge 1 - \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \frac{[v]_q - \delta_{v,x} + |[v]_q \beta - \delta_{v,x} \alpha|}{|\beta - \alpha|} |a_v| |w|^{v-1} > 0, \ w \in k.$$

Symmetry **2022**, *14*, 1406 6 of 11

Thus,  $h(w) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 5.** Let f be a convex function and let  $h(w) \in \widetilde{S}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  and satisfies inequality

$$\sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{([v]_q - \delta_{v,x}) + \left| \alpha \delta_{v,x} - \beta[n]_q \right|}{\left| \alpha - \beta \right|} \right\} |a_v| < 1, \tag{20}$$

then  $(h * f) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} b_v w^v$  is a convex and  $h(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} a_v w^v \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  and satisfies inequality (20), therefore

$$1 - \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \frac{[v]_q - \delta_{v,x} + |[v]_q \beta - \delta_{v,x} \alpha|}{|\beta - \alpha|} |a_v| > 0.$$
 (21)

To prove that  $(h*f) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  it is enough to show that  $\frac{(h*f*g)(w)}{w} \neq 0$  where g is given by (18). Consider

$$\left| \frac{(h * f * g)(w)}{w} \right| \ge 1 - \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} |a_v| |b_v| |t_v| |w|^{v-1}.$$

Since  $w \in k$  and g is convex, we obtain  $|b_v| \le 1$ . Using (21), we obtain

$$\left|\frac{(h*f*g)(w)}{w}\right| \geq 1 - \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \frac{[v]_q - \delta_{v,x} + |[v]_q \beta - \delta_{v,x} \alpha|}{|\beta - \alpha|} |a_v| > 0, \ w \in k.$$

Thus, 
$$h * f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$$
.  $\square$ 

### 3. Applications

**Corollary 2.** Let  $h \in \widetilde{S}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ , and satisfies the inequality (20). Then

$$F_i(w) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta), (i=1,2,3,4),$$

where

$$F_1(w) = \int_0^w \frac{h(t)}{t} dt, \qquad F_2(w) = \int_0^w \frac{h(t) - h(zt)}{t - zt} dt, |z| \le 1, z \ne 1,$$

$$F_3(w) = \frac{2}{w} \int_0^w h(t) dt, \qquad F_4(w) = \frac{m+1}{m} \int_0^w t^{m-1} h(t) dt, \Re m > 0.$$

Proof. Since

$$F_1(w) = \phi_1(w) * h(w), \qquad \phi_1(w) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v} w^v = \log(1 - w)^{-1},$$

$$F_2(w) = \phi_2(w) * h(w), \qquad \phi_2(w) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - z^v}{v(1 - z)} w^v = \frac{1}{1 - z} \log(\frac{1 - zw}{1 - w}), |z| \le 1, z \ne 1,$$

$$F_3(w) = \phi_3(w) * h(w), \qquad \phi_3(w) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{v + 1} w^v = \frac{-2[w + \log(1 - w)]}{w},$$

$$F_4(w) = \phi_4(w) * h(w), \qquad \phi_4(w) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1+m}{v+m} w^v, \Re\{m\} > 0.$$

Symmetry 2022, 14, 1406 7 of 11

We note that  $\phi_i$ , i=1,2,3,4. can easily be verified to be convex. Now, using Theorem 5 to obtain  $F_i(w) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ , (i=1,2,3,4).  $\square$ 

# 4. $(\rho, q)$ -Neighborhoods for Functions in the Classes $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha, \beta)$

By taking motivation from Definition 2 and to find some neighborhood results for our classes, we introduce the following concepts of neighborhood that analogous to those obtained by Ruscheweyh [20].

**Definition 3.** *For any*  $h \in \mathcal{F}$ *,*  $\rho$ *-neighborhood of function* h *can be defined as:* 

$$\mathcal{N}_{\gamma,\rho}(h) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{F} : f(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} b_v w^v, \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \gamma_v |a_v - b_v| \le \rho \right\}, \ (\rho \ge 0). \tag{22}$$

For e(w) = w, we can see that

$$\mathcal{N}_{\gamma,\rho}(e) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{F} : f(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} b_v w^v, \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \gamma_v |b_v| \le \rho \right\}, \ (\rho \ge 0).$$
 (23)

### Remark 3.

- 1. For  $\gamma_v = v$  of Definition 3 we obtain Definition 2 of the neighborhood concept introduced by Goodman [19] and generalized by Ruscheweyh [20].
- 2. For  $\gamma_v = [v]_q$  of Definition 3 we obtain the definition of neighborhood with q-derivative  $\mathcal{N}_{q,\rho}^{\lambda}(h), \mathcal{N}_{q,\rho}^{\lambda}(e)$ , where  $[v]_q$  is given by Equation (7).
- 3. For  $\gamma_v = \frac{([v]_q \delta_{v,x}) + |\alpha \delta_{v,x} \beta[v]_q|}{|\alpha \beta|}$  of Definition 3 we obtain the definition of the neighborhood for the classes  $\widetilde{S}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  and  $\widetilde{K}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  which is  $\mathcal{N}_{q,\rho}^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta;h)$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $h \in \mathcal{F}$ , and for all complex number  $\eta$ , with  $|\mu| < \rho$ , if

$$\frac{h(w) + \eta w}{1 + \eta} \in \widetilde{S}_q^{x, y}(\alpha, \beta). \tag{24}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{N}_{q,\rho}^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta;h)\subset\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{q}^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta).$$

**Proof.** We assume that a function f defined by  $f(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} b_v w^v$  is in the class  $\mathcal{N}^{x,y}_{q,\rho}(\alpha,\beta;h)$ . In order to prove the theorem, we only need to prove that  $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^{x,y}_q(\alpha,\beta)$ . We would prove this claim in next three steps.

From Theorem 3 we have

$$h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta) \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{w}[(h * g(w))] \neq 0, \quad w \in k,$$
 (25)

where

$$g(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \frac{[v]_q - \delta_{v,x} + ([v]_q \beta - \delta_{v,x} \alpha) e^{i\phi}}{(\beta - \alpha) e^{i\phi}} w^n,$$

where  $0 \le \phi < 2\pi$ ,  $-1 \le \beta < \alpha \le 1$ . We can write  $g(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} t_v w^v$ , where  $t_v$  is given by (18).

Secondly, we obtain that (24) is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{h(w) * g(w)}{w} \right| \ge \rho, \tag{26}$$

Symmetry **2022**, *14*, 1406 8 of 11

because, if  $h(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} a_v w^v \in \mathcal{F}$  and satisfy (24), then (25) is equivalent to

$$h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta) \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{w} \left[ \frac{h(w) * g(w)}{1+\eta} \right] \neq 0, \qquad |\eta| < \rho.$$

Thirdly, letting  $f(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} b_v w^v$  we notice that

$$\left| \frac{f(w) * g(w)}{w} \right| = \left| \frac{h(w) * g(w)}{w} + \frac{(f(w) - h(w)) * g(w)}{w} \right|$$
$$\ge \rho - \left| \frac{(f(w) - h(w)) * g(w)}{w} \right|,$$

by using (26),

$$= \rho - \left| \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} (b_v - a_v) t_v w^v \right|$$

$$\geq \rho - |w| \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \frac{[v]_q (1 + |\beta|)}{|\beta - \alpha|} |b_v - a_v|$$

$$\geq \rho - \rho |w| > 0.$$

This proves that

$$\frac{(f*g)(w)}{w} \neq 0, \qquad w \in k.$$

In view of our observations (25), it follows that  $f \in \widetilde{S}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$ 

When  $q \to 1^-$ ,  $x = y = \alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = -1$  in the above theorem we obtain the well-known result proved by Ruscheweyh in [20].

**Theorem 7.** Let  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  , for  $\rho_1 < c$ . Then

$$\mathcal{N}_{q,\rho_1}^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta;h)\subset\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta).$$

where c is a non-zero real number with  $c \leq \left| \frac{(h*g)(w)}{w} \right|$ ,  $w \in k$  and g is defined in Remark 1.

**Proof.** Let  $f(w) = w + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} b_v w^v \in \mathcal{N}_{q,\rho_1}^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta;h)$ . For the proof of Theorem 7, it suffices to show that  $\frac{(f*g)(w)}{w} \neq 0$  where g is given by (18). Consider

$$\left| \frac{f(w) * g(w)}{w} \right| \ge \left| \frac{h(w) * g(w)}{w} \right| - \left| \frac{(f(w) - h(w)) * g(w)}{w} \right|. \tag{27}$$

Since  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ , therefore applying Theorem 4, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{(h * g)(w)}{w} \right| \ge c, \tag{28}$$

Symmetry **2022**, *14*, 1406 9 of 11

where *c* is a non-zero real number and  $w \in k$ . Now

$$\left| \frac{(f(w) - h(w)) * g(w)}{w} \right| = \left| \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} (b_v - a_v) t_v w^v \right| 
\leq \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \frac{([v]_q - \delta_{v,x}) + \left| \alpha \delta_{v,x} - \beta [v]_q \right|}{\left| \alpha - \beta \right|} \left| b_v - a_v \right| 
\leq \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \frac{[v]_q (1 + |\beta|)}{\left| \beta - \alpha \right|} \left| b_v - a_v \right| 
\leq \frac{\rho |\beta - \alpha|}{[v]_q (1 + |\beta|)} = \rho_1,$$
(29)

using (28) and (29) in (27), we obtain

$$\left|\frac{f(w)*g(w)}{w}\right| \ge c - \rho_1 > 0,$$

where  $\rho_1 < c$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 8.** Let  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ , and for all complex number  $\eta$ , with  $|\mu| < \frac{1}{4}$ , we have

$$H_{\eta}(w) = \frac{h(w) + \eta w}{1 + \eta} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{q}^{x,y}(\alpha, \beta). \tag{30}$$

**Proof.** Let  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  , for  $\rho_1 < c$ . Then

$$H_{\eta}(w) = \frac{h(w) + \eta w}{1 + \eta}$$
$$= (h(w) * \psi(w)), w \in k.$$

where

$$\psi(w) = \frac{w - \frac{\eta}{1+\eta}w^2}{1-w}.$$

Using the principle of convolution we obtain

$$h(w) * \psi(w) = w \partial_q h * \left( \psi(w) * \log \left( \frac{1}{1 - w} \right) \right).$$

Since  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ ,  $w \partial_q h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  and for  $|\eta| < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\psi$  is in the class of starlike functions  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$ , applying the convolution we obtain

$$\psi(w) * \log\left(\frac{1}{1-w}\right) = \int_0^w \frac{\psi(\zeta)}{\zeta} d_q \zeta. \tag{31}$$

Applying the Alexander relation in (31), we obtain  $\psi(w)*\log\left(\frac{1}{1-w}\right)$  is in the class of convex functions  $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ . Using Lemma 1 one can prove that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}*\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)\subset\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ . Hence

$$H_{\eta}(w) = w \partial_{q} h * \left( \psi(w) * \log \left( \frac{1}{1 - w} \right) \right) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{q}^{x, y}(\alpha, \beta), |\eta| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 9.** Let  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ . Then

$$\mathcal{N}_{q,\rho}^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta;h)\subset\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{q}^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta).$$

Symmetry **2022**, *14*, 1406

where 
$$\rho = \frac{|\beta - \alpha|}{4(1+|\beta|)}$$
.

**Proof.** Let  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ , then by Theorem  $8 H_{\varsigma}(w) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ ,  $|\eta| < \frac{1}{4}$ . Choosing  $\rho = \frac{1}{4}$  and applying Theorem 6, we obtain our required result.  $\square$ 

#### 5. Conclusions

Applications of the q-calculus have been the focal point in the recent times in various mentioned branches of mathematics and physics [11]. In this paper, we have applied the q-calculus for classes of analytic functions with respect to (x,y)-symmetric points. The new classes have been defined and studied. In particular, we have investigated some of its geometric properties such as a convolution conditions for the functions h to be in the classes  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  and a sufficient conditions, application of  $P\delta$ lya–Schoenberg by spatial examples and the neighborhood results related to the functions in the classes  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_q^{x,y}(\alpha,\beta)$ . The idea used in this article can easily be implemented to define several subclasses of analytic (odd-even-k-symmetrical) functions connected with different image domains. This will open up a lot of new opportunities for research in this and related fields. The generalized Janowski class and symmetric functions or using symmetric q-derivative operator, basic (or q-) series and basic (or q-) polynomials, especially the basic (or q-) hypergeometric functions and basic (or q-) hypergeometric polynomials are applicable particularly in several diverse areas.

**Author Contributions:** Methodology, F.A. and S.A.; software, F.A.; formal analysis, F.A. and S.A.; investigation, F.A.; writing original draft preparation, writing—review and editing, F.A.; project administration, F.A. and S.A.; funding acquisition, S.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received funding from Taif University, Researchers Supporting and Project number (TURSP-2020/207), Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- 1. Janowski, W. Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions. Ann. Pol. Math. 1973, 28, 297–326. [CrossRef]
- 2. Liczberski, P.; Połubiński, J. On (j, k)-symmetrical functions. *Math. Bohemca* **1995**, 120, 13–28. [CrossRef]
- 3. Al-Sarari, F.; Latha, S.; Bulboacă, T. On Janowski functions associated with (*n*, *m*)-symmetrical functions. *J. Taibah Univ. Sci.* **2019**, 13, 972–978. [CrossRef]
- 4. Al-Sarari, F.; Latha, S.; Frasin, B. A note on starlike functions associated with symmetric points. *Afr. Mat.* **2018**, 24, 10–18. [CrossRef]
- 5. Jackson, F.H. On q-functions and a certain difference operator. Trans. R. Soc. Edinb. 1909, 46, 253–281. [CrossRef]
- 6. Jackson, F.H. On *q*-definite integrals. *Q. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **1910**, 41, 193–203.
- 7. Srivastava, M.; Tahir, M.; Khan, B.; Ahmad, Z.; Khan, N. Some general classes of q-starlike functions associated with the Janowski functions. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 292. [CrossRef]
- 8. Naeem, M.; Hussain, S.; Khan, S.; Mahmood, T.; Darus, M.; Shareef, Z. Janowski type *q*-convex and qclose-to-convex functions associated with *q*-conic domain. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 440. [CrossRef]
- 9. Govindaraj, M.; Sivasubramanian, S. On a class of analytic functions related to conic domains involving *q*-calculus. *Anal. Math.* **2017**, *43*, *475*–487. [CrossRef]
- 10. Khan, B.; Liu, Z.G.; Shaba, T.G.; Araci, S.; Khan, N.; Khan, M.G. Applications of *q*-Derivative Operator to the Subclass of Bi-Univalent Functions Involving-Chebyshev Polynomials. *J. Math.* **2022**, 2022, 8162182. [CrossRef]
- 11. Srivastava, M. Operators of Basic (or *q*-) Calculus and Fractional *q*-Calculus and Their Applications in Geometric Function Theory of Complex Analysis. *Iran. Sci. Technol. Trans. Sci.* **2020**, *44*, 327–344. [CrossRef]
- 12. Al-Sarari, F.; Frasin, B.; AL-Hawary, T.; Latha, S. A few results on generalized Janowski type functions associated with (*j*, *k*)-symmetrical functions. *Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math.* **2016**, *8*, 195–205. [CrossRef]
- 13. Al-Sarari, F.; Latha, S.; Darus, M. A few results on Janowski functions associated with *k*-symmetric points. *Korean J. Math.* **2017**, 25, 389–403. [CrossRef]
- 14. Sakaguchi, K. On a certain univalent mapping. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 1959, 11, 72–75. [CrossRef]
- 15. Agrawal, S.; Sahoo, S.K. A generalization of starlike functions of order alpha. Hokkaido Math. J. 2017, 46, 15–27. [CrossRef]
- 16. Mourad, E.; Ismail, H.; Merkes, E.; Styer, D. A generalization of starlike functions. *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **1990**, *14*, 77–84. [CrossRef]

Symmetry **2022**, 14, 1406 11 of 11

- 17. Robertson, M.S. On the theory of univalent functions. Ann. Math. 1936, 37, 374–408. [CrossRef]
- 18. Nevanlinna, R. Uber Uber die konforme abbildung sterngebieten. Over-Sikt Av Fin.-Vetensk. Soc. Forh. 1920, 63, 1–21.
- 19. Goodman, A.W. Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 1975, 8, 598–601. [CrossRef]
- 20. Ruscheweyh, S. Neighborhoods of univalent functions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 1981, 81, 521-527. [CrossRef]
- 21. Ruscheweyh, S.; Sheil-Small, T. Hadamard products of Schlicht functions and the Polya-Schoenberg conjecture. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **1979**, *48*, 119–135. [CrossRef]
- 22. Ganesan, M.; Padmanabhan, K.S. Convolution conditions for certain classes of analytic functions. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **1984**, 15, 777–780.
- 23. Silverman, H.; Silvia, E.M.; Telage, D. Convolution conditions for convexity, starlikeness and spiral-likeness. *Math. Z.* **1978**, *162*, 125–130. [CrossRef]