

Article

New Results on Integral Operator for a Subclass of Analytic Functions Using Differential Subordinations and Superordinations

Fatima Obaid Salman ^{1,*} and Waggas Galib Atshan ² 

¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Girls, University of Kufa, Najaf 540011, Iraq

² Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniyah 58002, Iraq

* Correspondence: fatimaalfatlawy17@gmail.com

Abstract: In this paper, we discuss and introduce a new study using an integral operator $w_{k,\mu}^m$ in geometric function theory, especially sandwich theorems. We obtained some conclusions for differential subordination and superordination for a new formula generalized integral operator. In addition, certain sandwich theorems were found. The differential subordination theory's features and outcomes are symmetric to those derived using the differential subordination theory.

Keywords: analytic function; subordination; superordination; dominant; subordinant; sandwich theorem

MSC: 30C45



Citation: Salman, F.O.; Atshan, W.G. New Results on Integral Operator for a Subclass of Analytic Functions Using Differential Subordinations and Superordinations. *Symmetry* **2023**, *15*, 295. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15020295>

Academic Editors: Sergei D. Odintsov, Abraham A. Ungar and Palle E.T. Jorgensen

Received: 23 October 2022
Revised: 20 December 2022
Accepted: 11 January 2023
Published: 20 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{G}(U)$ be the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For a positive integer j and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\mathbb{G}[a, j]$ be the subclass of $\mathbb{G}(U)$ of the form:

$$f(z) = z + a_j z^j + a_{j+1} z^{j+1} + \dots \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}, j \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}).$$

Assume that A is a subclass of $\mathbb{G}(U)$ of functions f of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j. \quad (1)$$

If $f \in A$ is given by (1) and $g \in A$ is given by $g(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j$, the Hadamard product (or convolution) for the functions f and g is defined by:

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j b_j z^j = (g * f)(z).$$

The above was defined in [1].

Assuming that both f and g are analytically defined in U , f is called subordinate to g in U and denoted as $f \prec g$. If there is a function, w , which is Schwarz analytic in U , and $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1, (z \in U)$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z)), (z \in U)$. Moreover, if the function g is univalent in U , we have the following equivalence: $f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$ (see [2–5]).

Definition 1 [6,7]. Let $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $h(z)$ be analytic function in U . If $p(z)$ and $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z)$ are univalent in U and if $p(z)$ satisfies the second-order differential superordination

$$h(z) \prec \psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z), (z \in U), \tag{2}$$

then, $p(z)$ is called a solution of the differential superordination (2). An analytic function $q(z)$ which is called a subordinator of the solutions of the differential superordination (2), or more simply a subordinator, if $q \prec p$ for all the functions $p(z)$ satisfying (2). A univalent subordinator $\tilde{q}(z)$ that satisfies $q(z) \prec \tilde{q}(z)$ for all subordinants $q(z)$ of (2) is called the best subordinator.

Definition 2 [4]. Let $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let $h(z)$ be univalent function in U . If $p(z)$ is analytic in U and satisfies the second-order differential subordination:

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \prec h(z), (z \in U), \tag{3}$$

then, p is called a solution of the differential subordination (3). The univalent function $q(z)$ is called a dominant of the solution of the differential subordination (3), or more simply dominant, if $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (3). A dominant $\tilde{q}(z)$ that satisfies $\tilde{q} \prec q$ for all dominant $q(z)$ of (3) is called the best dominant of (3).

Sufficient requirements for the functions $h, q,$ and ψ that satisfy the following condition, were obtained by many authors (see [8–20]).

$$h(z) \prec \psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \Rightarrow p(z) \prec q(z), (z \in U). \tag{4}$$

By using the results (see [9–14,18,21] and also [19,22–29]), we obtain sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions satisfying:

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_2(z),$$

where q_1 and q_2 are given univalent functions in U with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$. In addition, many authors (see [9–15] and also [3,16–18,23,30]) derived some differential subordination and superordination results with some sandwich theorems. Our subject has some applications (see [8,31–38]).

Raina and Poonam Sharma [39] defined an integral operator for $\mu > -1, k > 0$

$$I_{k,\mu}f(z) = \frac{\mu + 1}{k} z^{2-\frac{\mu+1}{k}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\mu+1}{k}-2} f(t) dt,$$

By using the function f of the form (1). We get:

$$I_{k,\mu}f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu + 1}{\mu + 1 + k(j - 1)} a_j z^j. \tag{5}$$

Now, we will generalize this operator as follows:

$$w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu + 1}{\mu + 1 + k(j - 1)} \right)^m a_j z^j. \tag{6}$$

We observe that: $w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} : \mathbb{G}(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(U)$ integral operator follows that:

From (6), we note that:

$$w_{0,0}^m f(z) = f(z)$$

$$z(w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z))' = \frac{(\mu + 1)}{k} (w_{k,\mu}^m f(z)) - \left(\frac{\mu + 1}{k} - 1 \right) (w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)). \tag{7}$$

In this paper, we will establish our differential subordination and superordination results by the operator $w_{k,\mu}^m f(z)$.

The target of this paper is to find sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions to get:

$$q_1(z) \prec \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec q_2(z),$$

and

$$q_1(z) \prec \left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1-\alpha)w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec q_2(z),$$

where $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are given univalent functions in U with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$.

2. Preliminaries

In order to establish our subordination and superordination results, we need the following lemmas and definitions:

Definition 3 [3]. Denote by Q the set of all functions q that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} \setminus E(q)$, where $\bar{U} = U \cup \{z \in \partial U\}$, and $E(q) = \{\zeta \in \partial U : q(\zeta) = \infty\}$ and are such that $q'(\zeta) \neq 0$ such that for $\zeta \in \partial U \setminus E(q)$. Further, let the subclass of Q for which $q(0) = a$ be denoted by $Q(a)$, $Q(0) = Q_0$, and $Q(1) = Q_1 = \{q \in Q, q(0) = 1\}$.

Lemma 1 [3]. Let $q(z)$ be a convex univalent function in U and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and suppose that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > \max \left\{ 0, -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\zeta} \right) \right\}.$$

If $p(z)$ is analytic in U , and

$$\alpha p(z) + \zeta zp'(z) \prec \alpha q(z) + \zeta zq'(z), \tag{8}$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and q is the best dominant.

Lemma 2 [4]. Let q be a univalent function in U and let Φ and θ be analytic in the domain D containing $q(U)$ with $\Phi(w) \neq 0$, when $w \in q(U)$. Put $Q(z) = zq'(z)\Phi(q(z))$ and $h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z)$. Suppose that,

- (i) Q is starlike univalent in U .
- (ii) $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $z \in U$.

If p is analytic in U with $p(0) = q(0)$, $p(U) \subseteq D$ and

$$\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\Phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\Phi(q(z)), \tag{9}$$

then $p \prec q$ and q is the best dominant.

Lemma 3 [4]. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in U and $q(0) = 1$. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, that $\operatorname{Re}(\zeta) > 0$. If $p(z) \in \mathbb{G}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ and $p(z) + \zeta zp'(z)$ is univalent in U , then $q(z) + \zeta zq'(z) \prec p(z) + \zeta zp'(z)$, which implies that $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant.

Lemma 4 [6]. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in the unit disk U and let θ and Φ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(U)$. Suppose that

- (i) $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\theta'(q(z))}{\Phi(q(z))} \right\} > 0$ for $z \in U$,

(ii) $zq'(z)\Phi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in $z \in U$

If $p \in \mathbb{G}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ with $p(U) \subseteq D$, and $\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\Phi(p(z))$ is univalent in U , and

$$\theta q(z) + zq'(z)\Phi(q(z)) \prec \theta p(z) + zp'(z)\Phi(p(z)), \tag{10}$$

then $q \prec p$ and q is the best subordinant.

3. Differential Subordination Results

Here, some differential subordination results are introduced using the operator $w_{k,\mu}^m f(z)$.

Theorem 1. Let $q(z)$ be univalent convex in the unit disk U and let $\mu, \delta \in \mathbb{C}, k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > \max \left\{ 0, -\operatorname{Re} \left(\delta \frac{\mu + 1}{k} \right) \right\}.$$

If

$$\tau(m, k, \mu, \delta) = \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^m f(z)}{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)} \right), \tag{11}$$

hold the following subordination:

$$\tau(m, k, \mu, \delta) \prec q(z) + \frac{k}{\delta(\mu + 1)} zq'(z), \tag{12}$$

then $\left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec q(z)$ and q is the best dominant.

Proof. Set

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta.$$

Then the function $p(z)$ is analytic in U and $p(0) = 1$. Therefore, if we differentiate $p(z)$ with respect to z and by (7), in the last equation, it follows that:

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \left(\delta \frac{\mu + 1}{k} \right) \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^m f(z)}{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)} - 1 \right), \tag{13}$$

then

$$zp'(z) = \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \left(\delta \frac{\mu + 1}{k} \right) \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^m f(z)}{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)} - 1 \right). \tag{14}$$

From the hypothesis the subordination (12) follows and becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{k}{\delta(\mu + 1)} zp'(z) \prec q(z) + \frac{k}{\delta(\mu + 1)} zq'(z). \tag{15}$$

Then by apply Lemma 1, we obtain:

$$\left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec q(z).$$

The proof is complete. \square

Now, in the above theorem, if we taking the convex function $q(z) = \frac{1+Dz}{1+Ez}$, we get the following corollary:

Corollary 1. Let $D, E \in \mathbb{C}$, $D \neq E$, $|E| < 1$ and $\delta > 0$, with $f \in A$. Suppose that:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > \max \left\{ 0, -\operatorname{Re} \left(\delta \frac{\mu + 1}{k} \right) \right\}.$$

If

$$\tau(m, k, \mu, \delta) = \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^m f(z)}{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)} \right),$$

hold the following subordination:

$$\tau(m, k, \mu, \delta) \prec \frac{1 + Dz}{1 + Ez} + \frac{k}{\delta(\mu + 1)} \frac{(D - E)z}{(1 + Ez)^2}.$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec \frac{1 + Dz}{1 + Ez}$$

and $\frac{1+Dz}{1+Ez}$ is the best dominant.

Theorem 2. Let $q(z)$ be univalent convex in the unit disk U with $q(0) = 1$, $q'(z) \neq 0$, $z \in U$ and let $\xi, \mu, \delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\rho, k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{3\xi}{\rho} q^2(z) + 1 \right\} > 0.$$

If $f \in A$ satisfies:

$$N(\xi, \rho, k, \mu, \alpha, \delta) \prec \xi q^3(z) - \rho z q'(z), \tag{16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N(\xi, \rho, k, \mu, \alpha, \delta) &= \left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1 - \alpha) w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \left(\xi \left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1 - \alpha) w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^{2\delta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \rho \delta \left(\frac{\mu + 1}{k} \right) \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m-1} f(z)}{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1 - \alpha) w_{k,\mu}^m f(z)} - 1 \right) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

then

$$\left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1 - \alpha) w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec q(z), \tag{18}$$

and q is the best dominant.

Proof. Consider a function p by:

$$p = \left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1 - \alpha) w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta$$

is analytic in U and $p(0) = 1$, differentiating (18) with respect to z , and using the identity (7), we get:

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \delta \left[\frac{\alpha \left(w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) \right)' + (1 - \alpha) \left(w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z) \right)'}{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1 - \alpha) w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)} + 1 \right] \tag{19}$$

by setting $\theta(w) = \zeta w^3$ and $\Phi(w) = -\rho$, where θ is analytic in \mathbb{C} and Φ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

By using Lemma 2, we obtain $Q(z) = zq'(z)\Phi(q(z)) = -\rho zq'(z)$ and $h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z) = \zeta q^3(z) - \rho zq'(z)$, where $Q(z)$ is a starlike function in U .

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right\} = Re \left\{ \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{3\zeta}{\rho} q^2(z) + 1 \right\} > 0.$$

By a straightforward computation, we obtain:

$$N(\zeta, \rho, k, \mu, \alpha, \delta) = \zeta p^3(z) - \rho zp'(z). \tag{20}$$

By making use of (17), we obtain:

$$\zeta p^3(z) - \rho zp'(z) \prec \zeta q^3(z) - \rho Zq'(z).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2, we get:

$$\left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1 - \alpha) w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec q.$$

Thus, the proof is complete. \square

4. Differential Superordination Results

Theorem 3. Let $q(z)$ be a convex univalent function in U and $q(0) = 1$. Let $\mu, \delta \in \mathbb{C}, k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Re \left\{ \delta \frac{\mu+1}{k} \right\} > 0$. If $f \in A$ satisfies:

$$0 \neq \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \in \mathbb{G}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$$

and τ that is defined as Equation (11) is univalent in U , then $q(z) + \frac{k}{\delta(\mu+1)} zq'(z) \prec \tau(m, k, \mu, \delta)$, which implies that

$$q(z) \prec \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \tag{21}$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

Proof. If, we put

$$p = \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta. \tag{22}$$

Differentiating (22) with respect to z , we get

$$\frac{zp(z)'}{p(z)} = \delta \left[\frac{z \left(w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z) \right)'}{\left(w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z) \right)} - 1 \right]. \tag{23}$$

After some computations and using (10), from (23), we obtain:

$$p + \left(\delta \frac{\mu + 1}{k}\right) zp(z)' = \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta \left(1 + \left(\delta \frac{\mu + 1}{k}\right) \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^m f(z)}{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)} - 1\right)\right) \tag{24}$$

and by using Lemma 3 we get:

$$q(z) \prec \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta,$$

where $q(z)$ is the best subordinant. \square

Theorem 4. Let $q(z)$ be a convex univalent function in the unit disk U . Let $\xi, \alpha, \mu, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$, $k, \rho \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\delta \frac{\mu+1}{k}\right\} > 0$ and $f \in A$. Suppose that:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{-3 \frac{\xi(q(z))^2}{\rho} q'(z)\right\} > 0, \text{ for } z \in U. \tag{25}$$

If

$$0 \neq \left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1-\alpha)w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta \in \mathbb{G}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q},$$

and $\left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1-\alpha)w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta$ is univalent in U , and

$$\xi q^3(z) - \rho z q'(z) \prec N(\xi, \rho, k, \mu, \alpha, \delta), \tag{26}$$

where N is defined in Equation (17), then

$$q \prec \left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1-\alpha)w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta$$

and q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Define the function p by:

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1-\alpha)w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta. \tag{27}$$

Differentiating (27) with respect to z , we get

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \delta \left[\frac{\alpha \left(w_{k,\mu}^m f(z)\right)' + (1-\alpha) \left(w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)\right)'}{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1-\alpha)w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)} + 1 \right]. \tag{28}$$

By setting

$$\theta(w) = \xi w^3 \text{ and } \Phi(w) = -\rho,$$

we see that $\theta(w)$ and $\Phi(w)$ are analytic in \mathbb{C} and $\Phi(w) \neq 0, w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. In addition, we obtain:

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\Phi(q(z)) = -\rho Zq'(z).$$

It is clear that $Q(z)$ is a starlike univalent function in U ,

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\theta'(q)}{\Phi(q)} \right\} = Re \left\{ -3 \frac{\xi(q(z))^2}{\rho} q'(z) \right\} > 0.$$

By straightforward computation, we get:

$$N(\xi, \rho, k, \mu, \alpha, \delta) = \xi q^3(z) - \rho z q'(z), \tag{29}$$

where $N(\xi, \rho, k, \mu, \alpha, \delta)$ is given by (17). From (26) and (29), we have

$$\xi q^3(z) - \rho z q'(z) \prec \xi p^3(z) - \rho z p'(z)$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4, we get:

$$q \prec \left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1-\alpha) w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta,$$

and q is the best subordinant. \square

5. Sandwich Results

If we set Theorem 1 against Theorem 3, we will get the following sandwich result:

Theorem 5. Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$, where q_1 satisfies Theorem 1 and q_2 satisfies Theorem 3 with

$$0 \neq \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \in G[q(0), 1] \cap Q,$$

and $\tau(z)$ is defined by (11) such that:

$$q_1(z) + \frac{k}{\delta(\mu+1)} z q_1'(z) \prec \tau(m, k, \mu, \delta) \prec q_2(z) + \frac{k}{\delta(\mu+1)} z q_2'(z).$$

Then

$$q_1(z) \prec \left(\frac{w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec q_2(z),$$

where q_1 is the best subordinant and q_2 is the best dominant.

Theorem 6. Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$, where q_1 satisfies Theorem 2 and q_2 satisfies Theorem 4 with

$$0 \neq \left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1-\alpha) w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \in G[q(0), 1] \cap Q,$$

and $N(z)$ is defined by relation (17), and suppose $Re \left\{ -3 \frac{\xi(q(z))^2}{\rho} q'(z) \right\} > 0$ such that:

$$\xi q_1^3(z) - \rho z q_1'(z) \prec N(\xi, \rho, k, \mu, \alpha, \delta) \prec \xi q_2^3(z) - \rho z q_2'(z).$$

Then

$$q_1 \prec \left(\frac{\alpha w_{k,\mu}^m f(z) + (1-\alpha) w_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec q_2,$$

where q_1 and q_2 are the best subordinant and the best dominant, respectively.

6. Conclusions and Future Work

We aimed to give some new results for an integral operator $w_{k,\mu}^m f(z)$ for a subclass of analytic functions in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ using differential subordinations and superordinations. The theorems and corollaries were derived by investigating relevant lemmas of second-order differential subordinations. Some new outcomes on differential subordination and superordination with some sandwich theorems were expressed. Moreover, several particular cases were also noted. The properties and outcomes of the differential subordination are symmetry to the properties of the differential superordination to form the sandwich theorems. The outcomes included in this current paper revealed new ideas for continuing the study, and we opened some windows for researchers to generalize the classes to establish new results in univalent and multivalent function theory.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, methodology, software by F.O.S., validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources by W.G.A., data curation, writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing, visualization by F.O.S., supervision, project administration, funding acquisition, by W.G.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Shareef, Z.; Hussain, S.; Darus, M. Convolution operators in the geometric function theory. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2012**, *2012*, 213. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Bulboacă, T. Classes of first-order differential superordinations. *Demonstr. Math.* **2002**, *35*, 287–292. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Bulboacă, T. *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results*; House of Scientific Book Publishing: Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 2005.
4. Miller, S.S.; Mocanu, P.T. *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*; Marcel Dekker: New York, NY, USA; Basel, Switzerland, 2000; Volume 225.
5. Mostafa, A.O.; Aouf, M.K.; Bulboacă, T. Subordination results of multivalent functions defined by convolution. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **2011**, *40*, 725–736.
6. Antonino, J.A.; Miller, S.S. Third-order differential inequalities and subordinations in the complex plane. *Complex Var. Elliptic* **2011**, *56*, 439–445. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Miller, S.S.; Mocanu, P.T. Subordinant of differential superordinations. *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **2003**, *48*, 815–826. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Ali, R.M.; Ravichandran, V.; Khan, M.H.; Subramaniam, K.G. Differential sandwich theorems for certain analytic functions. *Far East J. Math. Sci.* **2004**, *15*, 87–94.
9. Al-Ameedee, S.A.; Atshan, W.G.; Al-Maamori, F.A. On sandwich results of univalent functions defined by a linear operator. *J. Interdiscip. Math.* **2020**, *23*, 803–809. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Al-Ameedee, S.A.; Atshan, W.G.; Al-Maamori, F.A. Some new results of differential subordinations for Higher-order derivatives of multivalent functions. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2021**, *1804*, 012111. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Atshan, W.G.; Ali, A.A.R. On some sandwich theorems of analytic functions involving Noor-Sălăgean operator. *Adv. Math. Sci. J.* **2020**, *9*, 8455–8467. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Atshan, W.G.; Ali, A.A.R. On sandwich theorems results for certain univalent functions defined by generalized operators. *Iraqi J. Sci.* **2021**, *62*, 2376–2383. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Atshan, W.G.; Battor, A.H.; Abaas, A.F. On third-order differential subordination results for univalent analytic functions involving an operator. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2020**, *1664*, 012044. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Atshan, W.G.; Battor, H.; Abaas, A.F. Some sandwich theorems for meromorphic univalent functions defined by new integral operator. *J. Interdiscip. Math.* **2021**, *24*, 579–591. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Atshan, W.G.; Hadi, R.A. Some differential subordination and superordination results of p-valent functions defined by differential operator. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2020**, *1664*, 012043. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Atshan, W.G.; Hires, R.A.; Altinkaya, S. On third-order differential subordination and superordination properties of analytic functions defined by a generalized operator. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 418. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Atshan, W.G.; Kulkarni, S.R. On application of differential subordination for certain subclass of meromorphically p-valent functions with positive coefficients defined by linear operator. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **2009**, *10*, 53.

18. Goyal, S.P.; Goswami, P.; Silverman, H. Subordination and superordination results for a class of analytic multivalent functions. *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **2008**, *2008*, 561638. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Shanmugam, T.N.; Sivasubramanian, S.; Silverman, H. On sandwich theorems for some classes of analytic functions. *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **2006**, *2006*, 29684. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Selvaraj, C.; Karthikeyan, K.R. Differential Subordinations and superordinations for certain subclasses of analytic functions. *Far East J. Math. Sci.* **2008**, *29*, 419–430.
21. Shanmugam, T.N.; Ravichandran, V.; Sivasubramanian, S. Differential sandwich theorems for subclasses of analytic functions. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2006**, *3*, 8.
22. El-Ashwah, R.M.; Aouf, M.K. Differential subordination and superordination for certain subclasses of p-valent functions. *Math. Comput. Model.* **2010**, *51*, 349–360. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Gochhayat, P. Sandwich-type results for a class of functions defined by a generalized differential operator. *Math. Vesnik* **2013**, *65*, 178–186.
24. Murugusundaramoorthy, G.; Magesh, N. An application of second order differential inequalities based on linear and integral operators. *Int. J. Math. Sci. Eng. Appl.* **2008**, *2*, 105–114.
25. Raducanu, D. Third order differential subordinations for analytic functions associated with generalized Mittag-Leffler functions. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **2017**, *14*, 167. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Tang, H.; Deniz, E. Third-order differential subordination results for analytic functions involving the generalized Bessel functions. *Acta Math. Sci.* **2014**, *34*, 1707–1719. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Tang, H.; Srivastava, H.M.; Deniz, E.; Li, S. Third-order differential superordination involving the generalized Bessel functions. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **2015**, *38*, 1669–1688. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Tang, H.; Srivastava, H.M.; Li, S.; Ma, L. Third-order differential subordination and superordination results for meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the Liu-Srivastava operator. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, *2014*, 11. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Gochhayat, P.; Prajapati, A. Applications of third order differential subordination and superordination involving generalized Struve function. *Filomat* **2019**, *33*, 3047–3059. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Darweesh, A.M.; Atshan, W.G.; Battor, A.H.; Lupas, A.A. Third-order differential subordination results for analytic functions associated with a certain differential operator. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 99. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Devi, A.; Kumar, A. Hyers-Ulam Stability and Existence of Solution for Hybrid Fractional Differential Equation with p-Laplacian Operator. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2022**, *156*, 111859. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Bedi, P.; Kumar, A.; Abdeljawad, T.; Khan, A.; Gomes-Aguilar, J.F. Mild solutions of coupled hybrid fractional order system with caputo-Hadamard derivatives. *Fractals* **2021**, *29*, 2150158. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Devi, A.; Kumar, A. Existence and Uniqueness Results for Integro Fractional Differential Equations with Atangana-Baleanu Fractional Derivative. *J. Math. Ext.* **2021**, *15*, 1–24.
34. Abd Al-Sajjad, R.; Atshan, W.G. Certain analytic function sandwich theorems involving operator defined by Mittag-Leffler function. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2022**, *2398*, 060065.
35. Theyab, S.D.; Atshan, W.G.; Abdullah, H.K. On some sandwich results of univalent functions related by differential operator. *Iraqi J. Sci.* **2022**, *63*, 4928–4936. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Mihsin, B.K.; Atshan, W.G.; Alhily, S.S. On new sandwich results of univalent functions defined by a linear operator. *Iraqi J. Sci.* **2022**, *63*, 5467–5475. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Srivastava, H.M.; Attiya, A.A. An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and differential subordination. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **2007**, *18*, 207–216. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Seenivasagan, N. Differential Subordination and Superordination for Analytic and Meromorphic Functions Defined by Linear Operator. Ph.D. Dissertation, University Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia, 2007.
39. Raina, R.K.; Sharma, P. Subordination properties of univalent functions involving a new class of operators. *Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2014**, *2*, 37–52.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.