



Article

# **Saturated Varieties of Semigroups**

Muneer Nabi <sup>1</sup>, Amal S. Alali <sup>2,\*</sup> and Sakeena Bano <sup>3,\*</sup>

- Department of Mathematics, Chandigarh University, Mohali 140413, India; muneernabi@cukashmir.ac.in
- Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Science, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, P.O. Box 84428, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia
- Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kashmir, Ganderbal 191131, India
- \* Correspondence: asalali@pnu.edu.sa (A.S.A.); sakeenabano@cukashmir.ac.in (S.B.)

**Abstract:** The complete characterization of saturated varieties of semigroups remains an unsolved problem. The primary objective of this paper is to make significant progress in this direction. We initially demonstrate that the variety of semigroups defined by the identity axy = ayxa is saturated. The next main result establishes that the variety of semigroups determined by the identity axy = ayax is saturated. Finally, we show that medial semigroups satisfying the identity  $xy = xy^n$ , where  $n \ge 2$ , are also saturated. These results collectively lead to the conclusion that epis from these saturated varieties are onto. This paper thus offers substantial progress towards the comprehensive characterization of saturated varieties of semigroups.

Keywords: dominions; epimorphisms; zigzag equations; saturated; identity; variety

MSC: 18B40; 18A20; 06B20

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The study of semigroups and their varieties has been the subject of extensive research in algebraic structures. In particular, the concept of a saturated variety of semigroups is interesting, and its complete characterization remains an open problem. This paper aims to make a significant contribution towards the understanding of saturated varieties by focusing on some specific varieties of semigroups determined by certain identities, such as axy = ayxa and axy = ayax, and proving that they are saturated. Furthermore, it is known that in the category of semigroups, epis are not onto in general. Therefore, by finding saturated varieties of semigroups, we can determine subvarieties within the variety of all semigroups for which epis are onto.

Consider semigroups A and B with A as a subsemigroup of a semigroup B. Following Howie and Isbell [1], the *dominion* of A in B is denoted as  $Dom_B(A)$  and is defined as

$$Dom_B(A) = \{b \in B : \forall T, \forall \delta, \eta : B \to T, \text{ if } \delta|_A = \eta|_A \text{ then } b\delta = b\eta\}$$

i.e., A dominates an element b of B if, for any semigroup T and for any two semigroup morphisms  $\delta$ ,  $\eta$  of B that conincide on elements of A, they also coincide on b. It can be easily verified that  $Dom_B(A)$  is a closure operator, i.e.,  $A \subseteq Dom_B(A) \subseteq B$ . A subsemigroup A of a semigroup B is considered *closed* in B if  $Dom_B(A) = A$ , and it is considered *absolutely closed* if it is closed in every containing semigroup B. On the other hand, A is said to be saturated if  $Dom_B(A) \neq B$  for every properly containing semigroup B.

A variety  $\mathcal V$  of semigroups is considered saturated if each member of  $\mathcal V$  is saturated. Additionally,  $\mathcal V$  is epimorphically closed if, for any semigroup  $B \in \mathcal V$  and an epimorphism  $\alpha: B \to T$ , it implies that T also belongs to  $\mathcal V$ . Equivalently, for any semigroup A of a semigroup B where  $A \in \mathcal V$  and  $Dom_B(A) = B$ , it implies  $B \in \mathcal V$ .

It is evident that every absolutely closed variety is saturated, and likewise, every saturated variety is epimorphically closed. But the converse is not true, as Higgins ([2]



Citation: Nabi, M.; Alali, A.S.; Bano, S. Saturated Varieties of Semigroups. *Symmetry* **2023**, *15*, 1612. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15081612

Academic Editors: Mohammad Abobala and Arsham Borumand Saeid

Received: 1 July 2023 Revised: 13 August 2023 Accepted: 17 August 2023 Published: 21 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Symmetry **2023**, 15, 1612 2 of 11

Theorem 4) has shown that generalized inverse semigroups are saturated. This result in particular shows that the variety of rectangular bands is saturated but not absolutely closed as follows from Howie ([1] Theorem 2.9).

Khan [3] has proved that the variety of permutative semigroups is epimorphically closed. However, it is important to highlight that not all epimorphically closed varieties are saturated. For example, the variety of commutative semigroups is not saturated, as demonstrated by the fact that infinite monogenic semigroup is epimorphically embeddable in an infinite cyclic group ([4], Chap VIII, Ex. 6(a)).

Throughout this paper, we denote mappings to the right of their arguments. Let  $\delta: B \to T$  be a semigroup morphism. We say  $\delta$  is an *epimorphism* (epis for short) if, for every pair of morphisms  $\eta, \theta: T \to S$ ,  $\delta \eta = \delta \theta$  implies  $\eta = \theta$ . It is straightforward to verify that a morphism  $\delta: B \to T$  is an epimorphism if and only if  $Dom_T(B\delta) = T$ . While every surjective morphism is an epimorphism, the converse is not true in general. It depends on the category under consideration. For example, in the category of groups, epimorphisms are indeed surjective. However, this does not hold true for all categories. In the category of semigroups, there exist non-surjective epimorphisms. For instance, consider the mapping  $i:(0,1]\to(0,\infty)$  regarding both the intervals as multiplicative semigroups.

Isbell [5] presented one of the most insightful characterizations of semigroup dominions, known as Isbell's Zigzag Theorem, and is stated as follows:

**Theorem 1** ([5] (Theorem 2.3)). Let A be subsemigroup of a semigroup B and  $d \in B$ . Then  $d \in Dom_B(A)$  if and only if  $d \in A$  or there exists a system of equalities for d as follows:

$$d = a_{0}y_{1} a_{0} = t_{1}a_{1}$$

$$a_{1}y_{1} = a_{2}y_{2} t_{1}a_{2} = t_{2}a_{3}$$

$$\vdots \vdots \vdots$$

$$a_{2i-1}y_{i} = a_{2i}y_{i+1} t_{i}a_{2i} = t_{i+1}a_{2i+1} (i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1)$$

$$a_{2m-1}y_{m} = a_{2m} t_{m}a_{2m} = d$$

$$(1)$$

where  $a_i \in A$ ,  $(0 \le i \le 2m)$  and  $t_i, y_i \in B$ ,  $(1 \le i \le m)$ .

The system of equalities given in (1) above is referred to as the *zigzag of length m* in B over A with value d. In whatever follows, by zigzag equations, we shall mean equations of type (1). Furthermore, the bracketed statements shall mean the statements dual to each other.

The following theorems are from Khan [6].

**Theorem 2** ([6] (Result 3)). Let A be a subsemigroup of a semigroup B and let  $d \in Dom_B(A) \setminus A$ . If (1) is a zigzag of minimal length m in B over A with value d, then  $x_i, y_i \in B \setminus A$  for all i = 1, 2, ... m.

**Theorem 3** ([6] (Result 4)). Let A be a subsemigroup of a semigroup B such that  $Dom_B(A) = B$ . Then, for any  $d \in B \setminus A$  and any positive integer k, if (1) is a zigzag of minimal length m in B over A with value d, then there exist  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k \in A$  and  $d_k \in B \setminus A$  such that  $d = b_1b_2 \ldots b_kd_k$   $[d = d_kb_kb_{k-1} \cdots b_1]$ .

## 2. Saturated Varieties of Semigroups

**Definition 1.** Let u be any word. The content of u is the (necessarily finite) set of all variables appearing in u, and will be denoted by C(u).

**Definition 2.** A semigroup identity u = v is the formal equality of two words u and v formed by letters over an alphabet set X.

Symmetry **2023**, 15, 1612 3 of 11

**Definition 3.** An identity  $u(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = v(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  in the variables  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  is called homotypical if C(u) = C(v) and heterotypical if  $C(u) \neq C(v)$ .

**Definition 4.** A semigroup B is said to satisfy an identity if for every substitution of elements from B for the letters forming the words of the identity, the resulting words are equal in B.

**Definition 5.** We call semigroup B a medial semigroup if it satisfies the identity pqrs = prsq for every  $p,q,r,s \in B$ .

**Definition 6.** An identity u = v is said to be preserved under epis if, for any semigroups A and B,  $Dom_B(A) = B$  and A satisfies u = v, which implies B also satisfies u = v.

**Remark 1.** Let A be a class of semigroups, and let  $\delta : A \to T$  be any epimorphism, where  $A \in \mathcal{V}$  and T is any semigroup. Then,  $\delta$  is onto if  $A\delta \in \mathcal{V}$  (i.e., morphically closed), and  $Dom_B(A) \neq B$  for any semigroup B properly containing A.

In [7], the sufficient condition for a homotypical variety of semigroups to be saturated is as follows.

**Theorem 4** ([7] (Theorem 16)). A sufficient condition for a homotypical variety V of semigroups to be saturated is that V admits an identity

$$\phi: x_1x_2\cdots x_n = f(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)$$

for which  $|x_i|_f > 1$ , for some  $1 \le i \le n$ , and such that f neither begins with  $x_1$  nor ends at  $x_n$ .

Furthermore, Khan in [6] has provided necessary and sufficient information for a permutative variety of semigroups to be saturated. Thus, we have the following.

**Theorem 5** ([6] (Theorem 5.4)). A permutative variety V is saturated if and only if it admits an identity I such that

- (i) I is not a permutation identity, and
- (ii) At least one side of I has no repeated variable.

Inverse semigroups, generalized inverse semigroups, and locally inverse semigroups are some well known examples of saturated classes of semigroups. Alam [8] has demonstrated that certain classes of permutative semigroups, which satisfy specific homotypical identities, are also saturated. Moreover, Shah et al. [9] have shown that classes of structurally (n, m)-generalized inverse semigroups are saturated as well. Furthermore, Alam et al. [10] have extended Howie's and Isbell's result to show that any H-commutative semigroup satisfying the minimum condition on principal ideals is saturated. In a related direction, Ahanger et al. [11] have established the saturation of generalized left [right] regular semigroups. Additionally, Alam et al. [12] have identified some saturated classes of H-commutative, left [right] regular semigroups, medial semigroups, and paramedial semigroups Recently, in [13] the authors have identified several saturated classes of structurally regular semigroups. However, the complete identification of all saturated varieties of semigroups is an open problem. Solving this question holds significant importance in the realm of identifying saturated semigroup varieties. Therefore, it is interesting to characterize saturated homotypical varieties of semigroups that do not belong to the class of varieties described in Theorem 4.

In Lemmas 1–3, let A and B be any semigroups with A as a subsemigroup of B satisfying  $Dom_B(A) = B$ . Consider  $d \in B \setminus A$  with a zigzag of type (1) in B over A, with value d of minimal length m. From Theorem 3 together with Theorem 2, it follows that for every  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ , there exists  $x_i', y_i' \in B \setminus A$  and  $u_1, u_2, v_{2i-1} \in A$  such that

$$y_1 = u_1 u_2 y_1', \ x_i = x_i' v_{2i-1}$$
 (2)

Symmetry 2023, 15, 1612 4 of 11

In order to prove Theorem 6, we begin by proving the following lemmas in which *A* satisfies the given identity

$$axy = ayxa (3)$$

**Lemma 1.** *For all* k = 1, ..., m - 1,

$$d = x_{k+1}a_{2k+1}u_2\left(\prod_{i=1}^k v_{2i-1}a_{2i-1}\right)a_{2k+1}y_{k+1}.$$

**Proof.** We prove the lemma by using induction on k. For k = 1, we have

$$d = x_1 a_1 y_1$$
 (by zigzag equations);  
 $= x_1 a_1 u_1 u_2 y_1'$  (by Equation (2));  
 $= x_1 a_1 u_2 a_1 u_1 u_2 y_1'$  (since  $A$  satisfies identity (3));  
 $= x_1 a_1 u_2 a_1 y_1$  (by Equation (2));  
 $= x_1 a_1 u_2 a_2 y_2$  (by zigzag equations);  
 $= x_1' v_1 a_1 u_2 a_2 y_2$  (by Equation (2));  
 $= x_1' v_1 a_2 a_1 u_2 v_1 y_2$  (since  $A$  satisfies identity (3));  
 $= x_1 a_2 a_1 u_2 v_1 y_2$  (by Equation (2));  
 $= x_2 a_3 a_1 u_2 v_1 y_2$  (by zigzag equations);  
 $= x_2 a_3 u_2 v_1 a_1 a_3 y_2$  (since  $A$  satisfies identity (3)).

Thus, the result is true for k = 1. Assume for the sake of induction that the result is true for k = j, where j < m - 1. We show that the result is also true for k = j + 1. Now

$$\begin{split} d &= x_{j+1} a_{2j+1} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) a_{2j+1} y_{j+1} \text{ (by inductive hypothesis);} \\ &= x_{j+1} a_{2j+1} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) a_{2j+2} y_{j+2} \text{ (by zigzag equations);} \\ &= x'_{j+1} v_{2j+1} a_{2j+1} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) a_{2j+2} y_{j+2} \text{ (by Equation (2));} \\ &= x'_{j+1} v_{2j+1} a_{2j+2} a_{2j+1} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) v_{2j+1} y_{j+2} \text{ (since $A$ satisfies identity (3));} \\ &= x_{j+1} a_{2j+2} a_{2j+1} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) v_{2j+1} y_{j+2} \text{ (by Equation (2));} \\ &= x_{j+2} a_{2j+3} a_{2j+1} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) v_{2j+1} y_{j+2} \text{ (by zigzag equations);} \\ &= x_{j+2} a_{2j+3} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) v_{2j+1} a_{2j+3} y_{j+2} \text{ (since $A$ satisfies identity (3));} \\ &= x_{j+2} a_{2j+3} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) a_{2j+3} y_{j+2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, the result is true for k = j + 1, and hence the lemma follows.  $\square$ 

Symmetry 2023, 15, 1612 5 of 11

**Lemma 2.** *For all* k = 1, 2, ..., m - 1,

$$d = x_{m-k} a_{2m-2k-1} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-k-1} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) (a_{2m-2k} v_{2m-2k-1})$$

$$(a_{2m-2k+2} v_{2m-2k+1}) \cdots (a_{2m-2} v_{2m-3}) a_{2m}. \tag{4}$$

**Proof.** We will prove this by induction on k. For k = 1, we have

$$d = x_m a_{2m-1} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) a_{2m} \text{ (by Lemma 1 for } k = m-1);$$

$$= x_{m-1} a_{2m-2} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) a_{2m} \text{ (by zigzag equations)};$$

$$= x'_{m-1} v_{2m-3} a_{2m-2} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) v_{2m-3} a_{2m-3} a_{2m} \text{ (by Equation (2))};$$

$$= x'_{m-1} v_{2m-3} a_{2m-3} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) a_{2m-2} v_{2m-3} a_{2m} \text{ (since } A \text{ satisfies identity (3))};$$

$$= x_{m-1} a_{2m-3} u_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} v_{2i-1} a_{2i-1} \right) a_{2m-2} v_{2m-3} a_{2m} \text{ (by Equation (2))}.$$

Assume that (4) holds for k = j < m - 1. We show that it also holds for k = j + 1. Now

$$d = x_{m-j}a_{2m-2j-1}u_2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-j-1}v_{2i-1}a_{2i-1}\right) (a_{2m-2j}v_{2m-2j-1})$$
 
$$(a_{2m-2j+2}v_{2m-2j+1}) \cdots (a_{2m-2}v_{2m-3})a_{2m} \text{ (by inductive hypothesis);}$$
 
$$= x_{m-j-1}a_{2m-2j-2}u_2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-j-1}v_{2i-1}a_{2i-1}\right) (a_{2m-2j}v_{2m-2j-1})$$
 
$$(a_{2m-2j+2}v_{2m-2j+1}) \cdots (a_{2m-2}v_{2m-3})a_{2m} \text{ (by zigzag equations );}$$
 
$$= x'_{m-j-1}v_{2m-2j-3}a_{2m-2j-2}u_2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-j-2}v_{2i-1}a_{2i-1}\right)v_{2m-2j-3}a_{2m-2j-3}za_{2m}$$
 
$$\text{ (by Equation (2) and } z = (a_{2m-2j}v_{2m-2j-1})(a_{2m-2j+2}v_{2m-2j+1}) \cdots (a_{2m-2}v_{2m-3}));$$
 
$$= x'_{m-j-1}v_{2m-2j-3}a_{2m-2j-3}u_2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-j-2}v_{2i-1}a_{2i-1}\right)a_{2m-2j-2}v_{2m-2j-3}za_{2m}$$
 
$$\text{ (since $A$ satisfies identity (3));}$$
 
$$= x_{m-j-1}a_{2m-2j-3}u_2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-j-2}v_{2i-1}a_{2i-1}\right)a_{2m-2j-2}v_{2m-2j-3}za_{2m} \text{ (by Equation (2));}$$
 
$$= x_{m-j-1}a_{2m-2j-3}u_2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-j-2}v_{2i-1}a_{2i-1}\right)a_{2m-2j-2}v_{2m-2j-3}za_{2m} \text{ (by Equation (2));}$$
 
$$= x_{m-j-1}a_{2m-2j-3}u_2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-j-2}v_{2i-1}a_{2i-1}\right)a_{2m-2j-2}v_{2m-2j-3}za_{2m} \text{ (by Equation (2));}$$
 
$$= x_{m-j-1}a_{2m-2j-3}u_2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-j-2}v_{2i-1}a_{2i-1}\right)a_{2m-2j-2}v_{2m-2j-3}za_{2m} \text{ (by Equation (2));}$$

Hence, the lemma follows.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 6.** Any semigroup A satisfying the identity axy = ayxa is saturated.

Symmetry **2023**, 15, 1612 6 of 11

**Proof.** Suppose, to the contrary, that A is not saturated, then there exists a semigroup B that properly contains A and satisfies  $Dom_B(A) = B$ . Now

$$d = x_1 a_1 u_2(a_2 v_1)(a_4 v_3) \cdots (a_{2m-2} v_{2m-3}) a_{2m}$$
 (by Lemma 2 for  $k = m-1$ );   
=  $a_0 u_2(a_2 v_1)(a_4 v_3) \cdots (a_{2m-2} v_{2m-3}) a_{2m}$  (by zigzag equations).

Thus,  $d \in U$ , which is a contradiction as required.  $\square$ 

**Corollary 1.** The variety  $V_1 = [axy = ayxa]$  of semigroups is saturated.

**Corollary 2.** *In the category of all semigroups, any epi from a semigroup*  $A \in \mathcal{V}_1$  *is onto.* 

**Example 1.** Let  $B = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5\}$  be a five-element semigroup and  $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$  be a subsemigroup of B. The Cayley's table for B is given below:

For any  $a, x, y \in B$ , one can easily check that axy = ayxa. Also,  $Dom_B(A) \subsetneq B$ , as  $a_5 \notin Dom_B(A)$ .

To prove Theorem 7, we first prove the following lemma in which A satisfies the given identity

$$axy = ayax (5)$$

**Lemma 3.** *For all* k = 1, ..., m - 1*,* 

$$d = x_{k+1}a_{2k+1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} a_{2k-(2i-1)}\right)v_1a_{2k-1}a_{2k+1}wy_{k+1}.$$

**Proof.** We will prove this lemma by using induction on k. For k = 1, we have

 $d = x_1 a_1 y_1$  (by zigzag equations);  $= x_1 a_1 u_1 u_2 y_1'$  (by Equation (2));  $= x_1 a_1 u_2 u_1 a_1 u_1 u_2 y_1'$  (since A satisfies identity (5));  $= x_1 a_1 u_2 u_1 a_2 y_2$  (by Equation (2) and zigzag equations);  $= x_1' v_1 a_1 w a_2 y_2$  (by Equation (2), where  $w = u_2 u_1$ );  $= x_1' v_1 a_2 v_1 a_1 w y_2$  (since A satisfies identity (5));  $= x_1 a_2 v_1 a_1 w y_2$  (by Equation (2));  $= x_2 (a_3 v_1 a_1) w y_2$  (by zigzag equations);  $= x_2 a_3 a_1 v_1 a_1 a_3 w y_2$  (since A satisfies identity (5)).

Thus the lemma holds for k = 1. Assume that it holds for k = l < m - 1. We will prove that it also holds for k = l + 1. Now

$$d = x_{l+1}a_{2l+1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-(2i-1)} \right) v_1 a_{2l-1} a_{2l+1} w y_{l+1};$$

$$= x'_{l+1} v_{l+1} a_{2l+1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-(2i-1)} \right) v_1 a_{2l-1} a_{2l+1} w y_{l+1}$$
 (by Equation (2));

Symmetry **2023**, 15, 1612 7 of 11

$$= x'_{l+1}v_{l+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-(2i-1)}\right) v_{1}a_{2l-1}a_{2l+1}wv_{l+1}a_{2l+1}y_{l+1} \text{ (since $A$ satisfies identity (5))};$$

$$= x'_{l+1}v_{l+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-(2i-1)}\right) v_{1}a_{2l-1}a_{2l+1}wv_{l+1}a_{2l+2}y_{l+2} \text{ (by zigzag equations)};$$

$$= x'_{l+1}v_{l+1}a_{2l+2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-(2i-1)}\right) v_{1}a_{2l-1}a_{2l+1}wy_{l+2} \text{ (since $A$ satisfies identity (5))};$$

$$= x_{l+2}a_{2l+3}a_{2l-1} \left(\prod_{i=2}^{l} a_{2l-(2i-1)}\right) v_{1}a_{2l-1}a_{2l+1}wy_{l+2} \text{ (by zigzag equations)};$$

$$= x_{l+2}a_{2l+3}a_{2l+1}a_{2l-1} \left(\prod_{i=2}^{l} a_{2l-(2i-1)}\right) v_{1}a_{2l+1}a_{2l+3}wy_{l+2} \text{ (since $A$ satisfies identity (5))};$$

$$= x_{l+2}a_{2l+3} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{l+1} a_{2(l+1)-(2i-1)}\right) v_{1}a_{2l+1}a_{2l+3}wy_{l+2},$$

as required.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 7.** Any semigroup A satisfying the identity axy = ayax is saturated.

**Proof.** Suppose on the contrary that A satisfying the identity axy = ayax is not saturated. Therefore, there exists a semigroup B containing A properly such that  $Dom_B(A) = B$ . Now, we have

$$d = x_m a_{2m-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) v_1 a_{2m-3} a_{2m-1} w y_m \text{ (by Lemma 3 for } k = m-1);$$

$$= x_m a_{2m-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) v_1 a_{2m-3} w a_{2m-3} a_{2m-1} y_m \text{ (since } A \text{ satisfies identity (5);}$$

$$= x_m a_{2m-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) u \text{ (where } u = v_1 a_{2m-3} w a_{2m-3} a_{2m-1} y_m);$$

$$= x_{m-1} a_{2m-2} a_{2m-3} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) u \text{ (by zigzag equations);}$$

$$= x'_{m-1} v_{2m-3} a_{2m-2} a_{2m-3} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) u \text{ (by Equation (2));}$$

$$= x'_{m-1} v_{2m-3} a_{2m-3} v_{2m-3} a_{2m-2} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) u \text{ (by Equation (2));}$$

$$= x_{m-1} a_{2m-3} v_{2m-3} a_{2m-2} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) u \text{ (by Equation (2));}$$

$$= x_{m-2} a_{2m-4} v_{2m-3} a_{2m-2} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) u \text{ (by zigzag equations);}$$

$$= x'_{m-2} (v_{2m-5}) \left( a_{2m-4} v_{2m-3} a_{2m-2} \right) \left( a_{2m-5} \right) \left( \prod_{i=3}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) u \text{ (by Equation (2));}$$

$$= x'_{m-2} v_{2m-5} a_{2m-5} v_{2m-5} a_{2m-4} v_{2m-3} a_{2m-2} \left( \prod_{i=3}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) u \text{ (by Equation (2));}$$

$$= x'_{m-2} a_{2m-5} v_{2m-5} a_{2m-5} v_{2m-5} a_{2m-4} v_{2m-3} a_{2m-2} \left( \prod_{i=3}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) u \text{ (by Equation (2));}$$

$$= x_{m-2} a_{2m-5} v_{2m-5} a_{2m-4} v_{2m-3} a_{2m-2} \left( \prod_{i=3}^{m-1} a_{2(m-1)-(2i-1)} \right) u \text{ (by Equation (2));}$$

Symmetry 2023, 15, 1612 8 of 11

which is in *A*. Thus  $d \in A$ , a contradiction as required.  $\square$ 

**Corollary 3.** The variety  $V_2 = [axy = ayax]$  of semigroups is saturated.

**Corollary 4.** *In the category of all semigroups, any epi from a semigroup*  $A \in \mathcal{V}_2$  *is onto.* 

In Lemma 4, consider A as a medial semigroup and B as an arbitrary semigroup with A being a subsemigroup of B, such that  $Dom_B(A) = B$ . Take any  $d \in B \setminus A$  and let (1) be a zigzag in B over A with value d of minimal length m. Then by Theorem 2,  $x_i, y_i \in B \setminus A$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., m. Now, by Theorem 3, there exists  $x_i', y_i' \in B \setminus A$  and  $u_i, v_i \in A$  such that

$$x_i = x_i' u_i, \ y_1 = v_i y_i'$$
 (6)

To prove Theorem 8, we begin by proving the following lemma, wherein A satisfies the given identity

$$ab = ab^n \text{ with } n \ge 2, \ (n \in \mathbb{N})$$
 (7)

**Lemma 4.** *For all* k = 1, ..., m,

$$d = x_k \left( \prod_{i=1}^k a_{2i-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2k-1} y_k.$$

**Proof.** We prove the lemma by using induction on k. For k = 1, we have

$$d = x_1 a_1 y_1$$
 (by zigzag equations);  
 $= x'_1 u_1 a_1 y_1$  (by Equation (6));  
 $= x'_1 u_1 a_1^n y_1$  (since *A* satisfies (7));  
 $= x_1 a_1^{n-1} a_1 y_1$  (by Equation (6)).

Thus, the result holds for k = 1. Assume for the sake of induction that the result holds for k = l, where l < m. Now we show that the result holds for k = l + 1. We have

$$d = x_{l} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2l-1} y_{l} \text{ (by inductive hypothesis);}$$

$$= x_{l} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2l} y_{l+1} \text{ (by zigzag equations);}$$

$$= x_{l} u'_{l} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2l} v_{l+1} y'_{l+1} \text{ (by Equation (6));}$$

$$= x_{l} u'_{l} a_{2l} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-1}^{n-1} \right) v_{l} + 1 y'_{l+1} \text{ (since $A$ is medial);}$$

Symmetry **2023**, 15, 1612 9 of 11

$$= x_{l}a_{2l} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-1}^{n-1} \right) y_{l+1} \text{ (by Equation (6));}$$

$$= x_{l+1}a_{2l+1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-1}^{n-1} \right) y_{l+1} \text{ (by zigzag equations);}$$

$$= x'_{l+1}u_{l+1}a_{2l+1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-1}^{n-1} \right) v_{l+1}y'_{l+1} \text{ (by Equation (6));}$$

$$= x'_{l+1}u_{l+1}a_{2l+1}^{n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-1}^{n-1} \right) v_{l+1}y'_{l+1} \text{ (since } A \text{ satisfies (7));}$$

$$= x'_{l+1}u_{l+1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2l+1}^{n} v_{l+1}y'_{l+1} \text{ (since } A \text{ is medial);}$$

$$= x_{l+1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} a_{2l-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2l+1}^{n} y_{l+1} \text{ (by Equation (6));}$$

$$= x_{l+1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l+1} a_{2l-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2l+1}y_{l+1} \text{ (since } A \text{ satisfies (7)).}$$

Therefore, the lemma holds for k = l + 1, and hence the lemma follows.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 8.** *Medial semigroups satisfying the identity*  $ab = ab^n$  *for*  $n \ge 2$  *(* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *) are saturated.* 

**Proof.** Consider a medial subsemigroup A of a semigroup B that satisfies the identity  $xy = xy^n$  with  $n \ge 2$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). On the contrary, let us assume that A is not saturated. Therefore, there exists a semigroup B that properly contains A such that  $Dom_B(A) = B$ . Now, we have

$$d = x_{m} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{2i-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2m} \text{ (by Lemma 4 for } k = m);$$

$$= x_{m} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2i-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2m-1}^{n-1} a_{2m};$$

$$= x'_{m} u_{m} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2i-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2m-1}^{n-1} a_{2m} \text{ (by Equation (6))};$$

$$= x'_{m} u_{m} a_{2m-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2i-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2m-1}^{n-2} a_{2m} \text{ (since } A \text{ is medial)};$$

$$= x_{m} a_{2m-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2i-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2m-1}^{n-2} a_{2m} \text{ (by Equation (6))};$$

$$= x_{m-1} a_{2m-2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2i-1}^{n-1} \right) a_{2m-1}^{n-2} a_{2m} \text{ (by zigzag equations)};$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= x_{1} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{m} a_{2i-2} \right) a_{1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2i-1}^{n-2} \right) a_{2m};$$

$$= x'_{1} u_{1} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{m} a_{2i-2} \right) a_{1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2i-1}^{n-2} \right) a_{2m} \text{ (by Equation (6))};$$

$$= x'_{1} u_{1} a_{1} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{m} a_{2i-2} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2i-1}^{n-2} \right) a_{2m} \text{ (since } A \text{ is medial)};$$

Symmetry **2023**, 15, 1612 10 of 11

$$= x_1 a_1 \left( \prod_{i=2}^m a_{2i-2} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2i-1}^{n-2} \right) a_{2m} \text{ (by Equation (6));}$$

$$= a_0 \left( \prod_{i=2}^m a_{2i-2} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2i-1}^{n-2} \right) a_{2m} \text{ (by zigzag equations);}$$

$$= \left( \prod_{i=1}^m a_{2i-2} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{2i-1}^{n-2} \right) a_{2m};$$

this is, in *A*, a contradiction. Thus,  $DomB(A) \neq B$  and, so, *A* is saturated.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 5.** The variety  $V_3 = [ab = ab^n, n \ge 2(n \in \mathbb{N}), pqrs = prsq]$  is saturated.

**Corollary 6.** *In the category of all semigroups, any epi from a semigroup*  $A \in \mathcal{V}_3$  *is onto.* 

**Example 2.** Let  $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$  be subsemigroup of semigroup  $B = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ . The Cayley's table for S is given below:

For any  $x,y \in B$ , one can easily check that  $xy = xy^n$ . Clearly,  $Dom_B(A) \subsetneq B$  as  $e \notin Dom_B(A)$ .

### 3. Conclusions

Higgins [7] gave a sufficient condition for a homotypical variety of semigroups to be saturated. The present paper contributes significantly to the field of saturated varieties of semigroups by successfully determining several such saturated varieties. Specifically, this paper focuses on uncovering homotypical varieties of semigroups that fall outside of the categories covered by previous results. The revelation that epis within these saturated varieties are onto offers valuable insights into the behavior of mappings in these semigroups. This highlights the significance of saturated varieties in comprehending the surjective properties of semigroup morphisms. Notably, the study of homomorphisms between semigroups holds great importance in diverse areas like signal processing and image recognition, making the exploration of homotypical varieties and their saturated counterparts particularly relevant.

This paper marks a significant advancement in characterizing saturated varieties of semigroups. However, ample work still remains in the exploration of other subvarieties within the variety of all semigroups and their saturation properties. The study also anticipates delving into broader classes of semigroups for which epis are onto. To this end, the following open problems stand out:

- (i) Are structurally (n, m)-locally inverse semigroups saturated or not?
- (ii) Given the current lack of a complete classification of all saturated classes of semigroups, further investigations can be directed in this area.

These open questions present exciting opportunities for extending the understanding of saturated varieties and their implications in semigroup theory.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, investigation, writing—original draft preparation, M.N.; methodology, writing—review and editing S.B.; writing—review and editing, Funding, A.S.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University under Researchers Supporting Project (No. PNURSP2023R231).

Symmetry **2023**, 15, 1612 11 of 11

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Howie, J.M.; Isbell, J.R. Epimorphisms and Dominions II. J. Algebra 1967, 6, 7–21. [CrossRef]
- 2. Higgins, P.M. Epis are onto for generalized inverse semigroups. Semigroup Forum 1981, 23, 255–259. [CrossRef]
- 3. Khan, N.M. Epimorphically closed permutative varieties. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 1985, 287, 507–528. [CrossRef]
- 4. Howie, J.M. Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory; Clarendon Press: Oxford, UK, 1995.
- 5. Isbell, J.R. Epimorphisms and Dominions. In Proceedings of the Conference on Categorical Algebra, La Jolla, CA, USA, 12 June 1965; Lange: New York, NY, USA; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1966; pp. 232–246.
- 6. Khan, N.M. On saturated Permutative Varieties and Consequences of Permutation Identities. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **1985**, *38*, 186–197. [CrossRef]
- 7. Higgins, P.M. Saturated and epimorphically closed varieties of semigroups. J. Aust. Math. Soc. 1984, 36, 153–175. [CrossRef]
- 8. Alam, N. On saturated semigroups. J. Abstr. Comput. Math. 2019, 4, 110–115.
- 9. Shah, A.H.; Bano, S.; Ahanger, S.A.; Ashraf, W. On Epimorphisms and Structurally Regular Semigroups. *Categ. Gen. Algebr. Struct. Appl.* **2021**, *15*, 231–253. [CrossRef]
- 10. Alam, N.; Higgins, P.M.; Khan, N.M. Epimorphisms, Dominions and *H*-commutative semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* **2020**, 100, 349–363. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ahanger, S.A.; Nabi, M.; Shah, A.H. Closed and Saturated Varieties of Semigroups. Commun. Algebra 2023, 15, 199–213. [CrossRef]
- 12. Alam, N.; Khan, N.M.; Obeidat, S.; Kirmani, S.A.K.; Ahmed, J. Epimorphisms, dominions, and various classes of saturated semigroups. *J. Math.* 2022, 2684474. [CrossRef]
- 13. Alali, A.S.; Bano, S.; Nabi, M. Saturated (*n*, *m*)-regular semigroups. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 2203. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.