

Article

# Joint Approximation by the Riemann and Hurwitz Zeta-Functions in Short Intervals

Antanas Laurinčikas 

Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko Str. 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania; antanas.laurincikas@mif.vu.lt

**Abstract:** In this study, the approximation of a pair of analytic functions defined on the strip  $\{s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : 1/2 < \sigma < 1\}$  by shifts  $(\zeta(s + i\tau), \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha))$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , of the Riemann and Hurwitz zeta-functions with transcendental  $\alpha$  in the interval  $[T, T + H]$  with  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T^{1/2}$  was considered. It was proven that the set of such shifts has a positive density. The main result was an extension of the Mishou theorem proved for the interval  $[0, T]$ , and the first theorem on the joint mixed universality in short intervals. For proof, the probability approach was applied.

**Keywords:** Hurwitz zeta-function; joint universality; Riemann zeta-function; weak convergence of probability measures

**MSC:** 11M06; 11M35

## 1. Introduction

Denote by  $s = \sigma + it$  is a complex variable and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  is a fixed parameter. The Riemann and Hurwitz zeta-functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ , for  $\sigma > 1$ , are defined by the Dirichlet series as follows:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(s, \alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m + \alpha)^s},$$

The functions have analytic continuations to the whole complex plane, except for point  $s = 1$ , which is a simple pole with residues 1. Moreover, the function  $\zeta(s)$ , for  $\sigma > 1$ , can be defined by the Euler product as follows:

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  is the set of all prime numbers.

The functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  are important tools for research in the analytic number theory. The function  $\zeta(s)$  is the main tool for investigating the distribution of prime numbers in the set  $\mathbb{N}$ , while the function  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  with rational parameter  $\alpha$  is applied for studying prime numbers in arithmetical progressions. However, the range of applications of the functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  is significantly wider than the distribution of primes. They are used also in function theory, algebraic number theory, functional analysis, probability theory, and even in quantum mechanics, cosmology, and music [1–5].

One of the most interesting applications of the functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  is connected to a very important problem of the function theory—the approximation of analytic functions. At present, it is known that analytic functions defined in the strip  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 1/2 < \sigma < 1\}$  can be approximated by shifts  $\zeta(s + i\tau)$  (the case of non-vanishing analytic functions) or by shifts  $\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , for some classes of the parameter  $\alpha$ . The latter property of zeta-functions is called universality and, for the function  $\zeta(s)$ , was proved by S. M. Voronin



**Citation:** Laurinčikas, A. Joint Approximation by the Riemann and Hurwitz Zeta-Functions in Short Intervals. *Symmetry* **2024**, *16*, 1707. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym16121707>

Academic Editor: Junesang Choi

Received: 9 November 2024

Revised: 12 December 2024

Accepted: 16 December 2024

Published: 23 December 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

in [6,7]. The initial form of the Voronin universality theorem was improved by various authors (see [8–14]), but it remains the same in essence: the set  $\zeta(s + i\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , is dense in the space of analytic functions. For the statement of a modern version of Voronin's theorem, the following notation is convenient. The class of compact sets of the strip  $D$  with connected complements is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}$ , and the class of continuous functions that are analytic in the interior of  $K$  by  $H_0(K)$  with  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . Moreover, let  $\text{meas}A$  stand for the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$L_T(\dots) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{\tau \in [0, T] : \dots\},$$

where in place of dots, a condition satisfied by  $\tau$  is to be written. Then, we have the following statement [8–14]:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} L_T \left( \sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

Moreover, the limit

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} L_T \left( \sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau)| < \varepsilon \right)$$

exists and is positive for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

The problem of the approximation of analytic functions by shifts  $\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)$  is more complicated and depends on the arithmetic of the parameter  $\alpha$ . The simplest case is of transcendental  $\alpha$ , i.e., when  $\alpha$  is not a root of any polynomial  $p(s) \neq 0$  with rational coefficients. In this case, the set  $\{\log(m + \alpha) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and we have a certain analogy with the function  $\zeta(s)$ , where the linear independence of the set  $\{\log p : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$  is applied. The case of rational parameter  $\alpha = a/q$ ,  $(a, q) = 1$ , in virtue of the following representation:

$$\zeta\left(s, \frac{a}{q}\right) = \frac{q^s}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} \bar{\chi}(a) L(s, \chi),$$

where a summing runs over all Dirichlet characters modulus  $q$ ,  $L(s, \chi)$  denotes the Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, and  $\varphi(q)$  is the Euler totient function, is reduced to the simultaneous approximation of a tuple of  $\varphi(q)$  analytic functions by shifts  $(L(s + i\tau, \chi_1), \dots, L(s + i\tau, \chi_{\varphi(q)}))$ . More precisely, the following result by different methods was obtained in [8,9,14] (see also [12,15]). The class of continuous on  $K$  functions that are analytic in the interior of  $K$  is denoted by  $H(K)$  with  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that the parameter  $\alpha$  is transcendental, or rational  $\neq 1, 1/2$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f(s) \in H(K)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} L_T \left( \sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

Moreover, the limit

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} L_T \left( \sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)| < \varepsilon \right)$$

exists and is positive for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

The cases  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha = 1/2$  are excluded in Theorem 2 because  $\zeta(s, 1) = \zeta(s)$  and

$$\zeta\left(s, \frac{1}{2}\right) = (2^s - 1)\zeta(s),$$

and, for them, the statement of Theorem 1 with class  $H_0(K)$  is valid.

The most complicated case is of algebraic irrational parameter  $\alpha$ . This case was studied in [16]. The degree of  $\alpha$  is denoted by  $d$ . Let  $\theta = 4(27(4.45)^2)^{-1}$  and  $\beta = \theta d^{-2}$ . Then, in [16], the following statement was proven to be true.

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that the parameter  $\alpha$  is algebraic irrational. Let  $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$ ,  $1 - \beta + \gamma \leq \sigma_0 \leq 1$ ,  $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$ , and  $f(s)$  be continuous functions on  $|s - s_0| \leq r$ ,  $r > 0$  and analytic in the interior of that disc. Moreover, let  $0 < a < 1$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, |f(s_0)|)$ . Then, for all but finitely many  $\alpha \in [a, 1]$ , of degree at most  $d_0 - 2\theta_1/d_0^2 + \gamma$  with*

$$d_0 \leq \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \sigma_0 + \gamma}\right)^{1/2},$$

there exist  $\tau \in [T, 2T]$  and  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, f, T) > 0$  such that

$$\max_{|s-s_0| \leq \delta r} |f(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)| < 3\varepsilon,$$

where  $T = T(\varepsilon, f, \alpha)$  is explicitly given, the set of exceptional  $\alpha$  is effectively described, and  $\delta$  is also effectively computable.

Theorems 1–3 are devoted to the approximation of one function from a wide class of analytic functions. Also, there are the so-called joint universality theorems in which a tuple of analytic functions is approximated simultaneously by shifts of zeta-functions. The first joint universality result can also be found in Voronin [17] and deals with Dirichlet  $L$ -functions with pairwise non-equivalent characters (see also [9,18,19]). A joint universality theorem for a pair of Hurwitz zeta-functions was given in [20]. The joint approximation of analytic functions by shifts of Hurwitz zeta-functions involving imaginary parts of non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function was discussed in [21]. However, later, many joint universality theorems were obtained for functions of the same name (for more results, see [12]). For illustration purposes, we present one example. For  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , let  $0 < \alpha_j \leq 1$ , and

$$L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = \{\log(m + \alpha_j) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0, j = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

**Theorem 4 ([15]).** *Suppose that the set  $L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , let  $K_j \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f_j(s) \in H(K_j)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} L_T \left( \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_j(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha_j)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

Also, some problems of joint universality for Hurwitz zeta-functions can be found in [22].

In [23], H. Mishou initiated a new type of joint mixed universality theorems; he proved a joint universality theorem for two functions of different types, for the Riemann zeta-function and Hurwitz zeta-function. Here, it is important to stress that  $\zeta(s)$  has the Euler product, while  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  has no such a product for  $\alpha \neq 1$  and  $\alpha \neq 1/2$ . Moreover, the function  $\zeta(s)$  satisfies the symmetric functional equation

$$\zeta(s) = \zeta(1 - s), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \zeta(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s),$$

where  $\Gamma(s)$  is the Euler gamma-function, while, for  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ , the following non-symmetric equations connecting  $s$  and  $1 - s$  are true:

$$\zeta(1 - s, \alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2\pi)^s} \left( e^{-\pi i s/2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i m \alpha}}{m^s} + e^{\pi i s/2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i m \alpha}}{m^s} \right), \quad \sigma > 1,$$

or

$$\zeta(s, \alpha) = \frac{2\Gamma(1 - s)}{(2\pi)^{1-s}} \left( \sin \frac{\pi s}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\pi m \alpha)}{m^{1-s}} + \cos \frac{\pi s}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi m \alpha)}{m^{1-s}} \right), \quad \sigma < 0.$$

This is one of the causes of differences in the value distribution of  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  and also reflects the approximate functional equation for  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ , which is the main ingredient for the proof of the mean square estimate in short intervals [24].

**Theorem 5** ([23]). *Suppose that the parameter  $\alpha$  is transcendental. Let  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f_1(s) \in H_0(K_1)$ ,  $f_2(s) \in H(K_2)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} L_T \left( \sup_{s \in K_1} |f_1(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau)| < \varepsilon, \sup_{s \in K_2} |f_2(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

The thesis [25] is devoted to joint discrete universality for the Riemann and Hurwitz zeta-functions. Mixed joint universality is studied also for more general zeta-functions. We mention the works [26–31]. The weighted versions of the Mishou theorem are proven in [32]. Theorems 1, 2, 4, and 5 have one common shortcoming: they imply that the set of approximating shifts is infinite; however, they do not provide any algorithm to find at least one approximating shift. In this sense, these theorems are ineffective. Of course, it is difficult to discuss concrete approximation shifts; however, some additional information on the efficacy of universality theorems is always useful. In Theorem 3, the efficacy of approximation is described by indication of explicitly given interval  $[T, 2T]$  containing  $\tau$  such that  $\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)$  is an approximating shift. This is a very good step in the effectivization direction.

In contrast to Theorem 3, the proofs of Theorems 1, 2, 4, and 5 are based on measure theory; thus, it is impossible to find an explicitly given interval containing  $\tau$  with the approximation property. Therefore, there is another method to consider approximating shifts with  $\tau$  in the interval of lengths shorter than  $T$  or, more precisely,  $o(T)$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . This method leads to universality theorems in short intervals. For the function  $\zeta(s)$ , the first universality theorem of such a type was obtained in [33]. Let

$$L_{T,H}(\dots) = \frac{1}{H} \text{meas}\{\tau \in [T, T + H] : \dots\},$$

where in place of dots, a condition satisfied by  $\tau$  is to be written.

**Theorem 6** ([33]). *Suppose that  $T^{1/3}(\log T)^{26/15} \leq H \leq T$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} L_{T,H} \left( \sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

Moreover, “lim inf” can be replaced by “lim” for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Recently, improvements in Theorem 6 were given in [34].

An analog of Theorem 6 for the Hurwitz zeta-function is given in [35].

**Theorem 7 ([35]).** Suppose that  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T^{1/2}$ , and the parameter  $\alpha$  is transcendental. Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f(s) \in H(K)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} L_{T,H} \left( \sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

Moreover, the lower limit can be replaced by a limit for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

The aim of this study is to obtain a version of Theorem 5 in short intervals.

**Theorem 8.** Suppose that  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T^{1/2}$ , and the parameter  $\alpha$  is transcendental. Let  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f_1(s) \in H_0(K_1)$ ,  $f_2(s) \in H(K_2)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} L_{T,H} \left( \sup_{s \in K_1} |f_1(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau)| < \varepsilon, \sup_{s \in K_2} |f_2(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

Moreover, the lower limit can be replaced by a limit for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Using short intervals extends and improves the Mishou theorem on joint mixed universality for the functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  and is the novel approach presented in this article.

For effectivization aims of approximation, the quantity of  $H$  must be as small as possible. On the other hand,  $H$  is closely connected to a very important but complicated problem of analytic number theory on the mean square estimates of the functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  in short intervals. Unfortunately, at present, we only have a result of  $H \geq T^{27/82}$  in the latter problem (see Lemmas 2 and 3 below).

Mean square estimates together with a joint probabilistic limit theorem for the pair  $(\zeta(s), \zeta(s, \alpha))$  in the space of analytic functions occupy a central place in the proof of Theorem 8 in short intervals for the functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ .

## 2. Mean Square Estimates

The first results for the Riemann zeta-function in short intervals were obtained by D. R. Heath-Brown, J.-M. Deshouillers, A. Ivič, H. Iwaniec, M. Jutila, A. A. Karatsuba, G. Kolesnik (for references, see [36]). We recall one mean square estimate from [36].

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(\kappa, \lambda)$  be an exponential pair and  $1/2 < \sigma < 1$  fixed. Then, for  $T^{(\kappa+\lambda+1-2\sigma)/2(\kappa+1)} \times (\log T)^{(2+\kappa)/(\kappa+1)} \leq H \leq T$ ,  $1 + \lambda - \kappa \geq 2\sigma$ , we have uniformly in  $H$

$$\int_{T-H}^{T+H} |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll H.$$

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that  $\alpha \neq 1/2, 1$ , and  $1/2 < \sigma \leq 7/12$  is fixed. Then, for  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T$ , uniformly in  $H$

$$\int_{T-H}^{T+H} |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll_{\sigma} H.$$

**Proof.** The lemma follows from Lemma 1 by taking the exponential pair  $(11/30, 16/30)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that  $\alpha \neq 1/2, 1$ , and  $1/2 < \sigma \leq 7/12$  is fixed. Then, for  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T^{\sigma}$ , uniformly in  $H$

$$\int_{T-H}^{T+H} |\zeta(\sigma + it, \alpha)|^2 dt \ll_{\sigma, \alpha} H.$$

**Proof.** The lemma is Theorem 2 from [24], where its proof is presented.  $\square$

Let  $\theta > 1/2$  be fixed, and, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$v_n(m) = \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^\theta\right\}.$$

Define the series

$$\zeta_n(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_n(m)}{m^s}$$

which absolutely converges in any half-plane  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  with finite  $\sigma_0$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose that  $K \subset D$  is a compact set and  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - \zeta_n(s + i\tau)| \, d\tau = 0.$$

**Proof.** Let

$$l_n(s) = \frac{1}{\theta} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right) n^s.$$

We use the following integral representation [10]:

$$\zeta_n(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\theta-i\infty}^{\theta+i\infty} \zeta(s+z) l_n(z) \, dz \tag{1}$$

which is a result of the classical Mellin formula that yields

$$v_n(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\theta-i\infty}^{\theta+i\infty} \frac{1}{\theta} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-s} \, ds.$$

Let  $K \subset D$  be a fixed compact set. Then,  $K$  is closed and bounded; hence, there exists a positive number  $\delta < 1/12$  such that  $1/2 + 2\delta \leq \sigma \leq 1 - \delta$  for all  $s = \sigma + it \in K$ . We take  $\theta = 1/2 + \delta$  and  $\hat{\theta} = 1/2 + \delta - \sigma$ . Then,  $\hat{\theta} < 0$  and  $\hat{\theta} \geq 1/2 + \delta - 1 + \delta = 2\delta - 1/2 > -1/2 - \delta = -\theta$ . The integrand in (1) has only two simple poles in the strip  $\hat{\theta} < \text{Re} z < \theta$ , i.e., a pole at the point  $z = 0$  of the function  $\Gamma(s/\theta)$  and a pole at the point  $z = 1 - s$  of the function  $\zeta(s + z)$ . Therefore, using the well-known estimate

$$\Gamma(\sigma + it) \ll \exp\{-c|t|\}, \quad c > 0, \tag{2}$$

which is uniform in  $\sigma \in (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  with every  $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ , and replacing  $\theta$  by  $\hat{\theta}$  in the line of integration in (1), via the residue theorem, we obtain, for  $s \in K$ ,

$$\zeta_n(s) - \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\hat{\theta}-i\infty}^{\hat{\theta}+i\infty} \zeta(s+z) l_n(z) \, dz + l_n(1-s).$$

This gives, for  $s \in K$ ,

$$\zeta_n(s) - \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \zeta\left(\sigma + it + \frac{1}{2} + \delta - \sigma + iu\right) l_n\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta - \sigma + iu\right) \, du + l_n(1-s).$$

Hence, for  $s \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(s + i\tau) - \zeta(s + i\tau) &\ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta + it + i\tau + iu\right) \right| \left| l_n\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta - \sigma + iu\right) \right| \, du \\ &\quad + \sup_{s \in K} |l_n(1-s-i\tau)|, \end{aligned}$$

and, after change  $t + u$  by  $u$ , we obtain

$$\sup_{s \in K} |\zeta_n(s + i\tau) - \zeta(s + i\tau)| \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta + i\tau + iu\right) \right| \sup_{s \in K} \left| l_n\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta - s + iu\right) \right| du + \sup_{s \in K} |l_n(1 - s - i\tau)|. \quad (3)$$

In view of (2), we have, for  $s \in K$ ,

$$l_n\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta - s + iu\right) \ll_{\delta} n^{1/2 + \delta - \sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{c}{\theta}|u - t|\right\} \ll_{\delta, K} n^{-\delta} \exp\{-c_1|u|\} \quad (4)$$

with  $c_1 > 0$ . Moreover, it is well known that, for  $\sigma \geq 1/2$ ,

$$\zeta(\sigma + it) \ll t^{1-\sigma}, \quad t \geq 2.$$

This and (4) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{-\infty}^{-\log^2 T} + \int_{\log^2 T}^{\infty} \right) \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta + i\tau + iu\right) \right| \sup_{s \in K} \left| l_n\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta - s + iu\right) \right| du \\ & \ll_{\delta, K} n^{-\delta} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{-\log^2 T} + \int_{\log^2 T}^{\infty} \right) (|\tau| + |u|)^{1/2} \exp\{-c_1|u|\} du \\ & \ll_{\delta, K} n^{-\delta} (|\tau| + 1)^{1/2} \exp\{-c_2 \log^2 T\}, \quad c_2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, via (3), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - \zeta_n(s + i\tau)| d\tau \\ & \ll_{\delta, K} \int_{-\log^2 T}^{\log^2 T} \left( \frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta + i\tau + iu\right) \right| d\tau \right) \sup_{s \in K} \left| l_n\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta - s + iu\right) \right| du \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \sup_{s \in K} |l_n(1 - s - i\tau)| d\tau + \frac{n^{-\delta} \exp\{-c_2 \log^2 T\}}{H} \int_T^{T+H} (|\tau| + 1)^{1/2} d\tau \\ & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^{T+H} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta + i\tau + iu\right) \right| d\tau & \ll \left( \int_T^{T+H} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta + i\tau + iu\right) \right|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ & \ll \left( \frac{1}{H} \int_{T-H-|u|}^{T+H+|u|} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta + i\tau\right) \right|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

For  $|u| \leq \log^2 T$  and large  $T$ ,

$$H + |u| \leq T^{1/2} + \log^2 T \leq T.$$

Therefore, (6) and Lemma 2 show that, for  $|u| \leq \log^2 T$ ,

$$\frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta + i\tau + iu\right) \right| d\tau \ll_{\delta} \left( \frac{1}{H} (H + |u|) \right)^{1/2} \ll_{\delta} (1 + |u|)^{1/2}.$$

This and (4) give the estimate

$$J_1 \ll_{\delta, K} n^{-\delta} \int_{-\log^2 T}^{\log^2 T} \exp\{-c_1|u|\} (1 + |u|)^{1/2} du \ll_{\delta, K} u^{-\delta}. \quad (7)$$

Similarly to (4), we obtain that, for  $s \in K$ ,

$$l_n(1 - s - i\tau) \ll n^{1-\sigma} \exp\{-c_1|t + \tau|\} \ll_K n^{1/2-2\delta} \exp\{-c_3|\tau|\}, \quad c_3 > 0.$$

Thus,

$$J_2 \ll_K n^{1/2-2\delta} \frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \exp\{-c_3|\tau|\} d\tau \ll_K \frac{n^{1/2-2\delta}}{H}. \tag{8}$$

It is easily seen that

$$J_3 \ll n^{-\delta} \exp\{-c_2 \log^2 T\} T^{1/2}.$$

This, (5), (7), and (8) lead to the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - \zeta_n(s + i\tau)| d\tau &\ll_{\delta, K} n^{-\delta} + n^{1/2-2\delta} H^{-1} \\ &+ n^{-\delta} \exp\{-c_2 \log^2 T\} T^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , and then  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , gives the equality of the lemma.  $\square$

Recall a metric in  $H(D)$ , inducing its topology [37]. There exists a sequence  $\{K_l\}$  of embedded compact sets lying in  $D$  such that

$$\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} K_l = D,$$

and every compact set  $K \subset D$  lies in some set  $K_l$ . Then, for  $g_1, g_2 \in H(D)$ , denoting

$$\rho(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \frac{\sup_{s \in K_l} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|}{1 + \sup_{s \in K} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|},$$

we have the metric  $\rho$  that induces the topology of  $H(D)$ .

The latter formula with Lemma 4 yields the following statement.

**Lemma 5.** *Suppose that  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T$ . Then the equality*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \rho(\zeta(s + i\tau), \zeta_n(s + i\tau)) d\tau = 0$$

holds.

A similar lemma for the Hurwitz zeta-function was obtained in [35]. For the same  $\theta$  as above, define

$$v_n(m, \alpha) = \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{m + \alpha}{n}\right)^\theta\right\}.$$

and

$$\zeta_n(s, \alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_n(m, \alpha)}{(m + \alpha)^s}$$

Then, the latter series, as  $\zeta_n(s)$ , is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ , with arbitrary finite  $\sigma_0$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose that  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T^{1/2}$ , and  $\alpha \neq 1/2$  or 1. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \rho(\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha), \zeta_n(s + i\tau, \alpha)) d\tau = 0.$$

**Proof.** The lemma is Lemma 10 from [35], where its proof is given.  $\square$



For

$$g_k = (g_{k1}, g_{k2}) \in H^2(D), \quad k = 1, 2,$$

set

$$\rho_2(g_1, g_2) = \max(\rho(g_{11}, g_{12}), \rho(g_{21}, g_{22})).$$

Then  $\rho_2$  is a metric that induces the topology of  $H^2(D)$ . This definition of  $\rho_2$  and Lemmas 5 and 6 imply the following lemma. For brevity, let

$$\underline{\zeta}(s, \alpha) = (\zeta(s), \zeta(s, \alpha))$$

and

$$\underline{\zeta}_n(s, \alpha) = (\zeta_n(s), \zeta_n(s, \alpha)).$$

**Lemma 7.** *Suppose that  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T^{1/2}$ , and  $\alpha \neq 1/2$  or 1. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \rho_2(\underline{\zeta}(s+i\tau, \alpha), \underline{\zeta}_n(s+i\tau, \alpha)) \, d\tau = 0.$$

### 3. Limit Theorem

In this section, we will consider the weak convergence for

$$P_{T,H,\alpha}(A) = L_{T,H}(\underline{\zeta}(s+i\tau, \alpha) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)),$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , with  $H$  restricted in Lemma 7, and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$  denotes the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of the space  $\mathbb{X}$ .

We start with the weak convergence of probability measures on a certain topological group. Let

$$\Omega_1 = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}, \quad \Omega_2 = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2.$$

Since  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  with the product topology and pointwise multiplication are compact topological groups, the Tikhonov theorem implies that  $\Omega$  is again a compact topological group. Thus, on  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_1))$ ,  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_2))$ , and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ , the probability Haar measures  $m_{1H}$ ,  $m_{2H}$ , and  $m_H$ , respectively, can be defined. We notice that  $m_H = m_{1H} \times m_{2H}$ , i. e., if  $A = A_1 \times A_2$ ,  $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_1)$ ,  $A_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_2)$ , then

$$m_H(A) = m_{1H}(A_1)m_{2H}(A_2).$$

For  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we have  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$  with  $\omega_1 = (\omega_1(p) : p \in \mathbb{P})$  and  $\omega_2 = (\omega_2(m) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ .

For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ , set

$$P_{T,H,\alpha}^\Omega(A) = L_{T,H} \left( \left( (p^{-i\tau} : p \in \mathbb{P}), ((m + \alpha)^{-i\tau} : m \in \mathbb{N}_0) \right) \in A \right).$$

**Lemma 8.** *Suppose that  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T^{1/2}$ , and  $\alpha$  is transcendental. Then,  $P_{T,H,\alpha}^\Omega$  converges weakly to the Haar measure  $m_H$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof.** We use similar arguments as in the case  $\tau \in [0, T]$ . Let  $F_{T,H,\alpha}(k_1, k_2)$ ,  $k_1 = (k_{1p} : k_{1p} \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \mathbb{P})$ ,  $k_2 = (k_{2m} : k_{2m} \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ , be the Fourier transform of  $P_{T,H,\alpha}^\Omega$ , i. e.,

$$F_{T,H,\alpha}(k_1, k_2) = \int_{\Omega} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^* \omega^{k_{1p}}(p) \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}^* \omega^{k_{2m}}(m) \, dP_{T,H,\alpha}^\Omega,$$

where the star \* shows that only a finite number of integers  $k_{1p}$  and  $k_{2m}$  are not zeroes. Thus, taking into account the definition of the measure  $P_{T,H,\alpha}^\Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{T,H,\alpha}(k_1, k_2) &= \frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^* p^{-i\tau k_{1p}} \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}^* (m + \alpha)^{-i\tau k_{2m}} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{H} \int_T^{T+H} \exp \left\{ -i\tau \left( \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^* k_{1p} \log p + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}^* k_{2m} \log(m + \alpha) \right) \right\} d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We have to show that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} F_{T,H,\alpha}(k_1, k_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (k_1, k_2) = (\underline{0}, \underline{0}), \\ 0 & \text{if } (k_1, k_2) \neq (\underline{0}, \underline{0}), \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

where  $\underline{0} = (0, 0, \dots)$ . Obviously, by (9),

$$F_{T,H,\alpha}(\underline{0}, \underline{0}) = 1. \tag{11}$$

Therefore, only the case  $(k_1, k_2) \neq (\underline{0}, \underline{0})$  remains for consideration. Since  $\alpha$  is transcendental, the set  $L(\alpha) = \{\log(m + \alpha) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The set  $\{\log p : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$  is also linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The linear independence over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for the set  $L(\mathbb{P}, \alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\log p : p \in \mathbb{P}), (\log(m + \alpha) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0)\}$  is easily seen. Actually, if, for some non-zeroes  $k_{1p_1}, \dots, k_{1p_r}, k_{2m_1}, \dots, k_{2m_v}$ ,

$$k_{1p_1} \log p_1 + \dots + k_{1p_r} \log p_r + k_{2m_1} \log(m_1 + \alpha) + \dots + k_{2m_v} \log(m_v + \alpha) = 0,$$

then

$$(m_1 + \alpha)^{k_{21}} \dots (m_v + \alpha)^{k_{2v}} = p_1^{-k_{11}} \dots p_r^{-k_{1r}}.$$

From this, it follows that there exists a polynomial  $p(s)$  with rational coefficients such that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ , and this contradicts the transcendence of  $\alpha$ .

The linear independence of the set  $L(\mathbb{P}, \alpha)$  shows that, in the case  $(k_1, k_2) \neq (\underline{0}, \underline{0})$ ,

$$A(k_1, k_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^* k_{1p} \log p + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}^* k_{2m} \log(m + \alpha) \neq 0.$$

Hence, in view of (9),

$$F_{T,H,\alpha}(k_1, k_2) = \frac{\exp\{-iTA(k_1, k_2)\} - \exp\{-i(T + H)A(k_1, k_2)\}}{iHA(k_1, k_2)}.$$

Thus, for  $(k_1, k_2) \neq (\underline{0}, \underline{0})$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} F_{T,H,\alpha}(k_1, k_2) = 0,$$

and with (11), we obtain (10). The lemma is proven to be true.  $\square$

Now, we are in position to consider the weak convergence for

$$P_{T,H,n,\alpha}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L_{T,H}(\zeta_n(s + i\tau, \alpha) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)).$$

For this, define  $u_{n,\alpha} : \Omega \in H^2(D)$  by

$$u_{n,\alpha}(\omega) = \zeta_n(s, \omega, \alpha), \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

where

$$\zeta_n(s, \omega, \alpha) = (\zeta_n(s, \omega_1), \zeta_n(s, \omega_2, \alpha)),$$

$$\zeta_n(s, \omega_1) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_1(m) v_n(m)}{m^s}, \quad \omega_1(m) = \prod_{\substack{p^l | m \\ p^{l+1} \nmid m}} \omega_1^1(p),$$

and

$$\zeta_n(s, \omega_2, \alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_2(m) v_n(m, \alpha)}{(m + \alpha)^s}.$$

Since the series for  $\zeta_n(s, \omega_1)$  and  $\zeta_n(s, \omega_2, \alpha)$  are absolutely convergent in every half-plane  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ , the mapping  $u_{n,\alpha}$  is continuous; hence,  $(\mathcal{B}(\Omega), \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)))$ -measurable. Therefore, the measure  $m_H$  defines, on  $(H^2(D), \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)))$ , the probability measure  $m_H u_{n,\alpha}^{-1}$  by

$$m_H u_{n,\alpha}^{-1}(A) = m_H(u_{n,\alpha}^{-1}A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)).$$

For brevity, let  $U_{n,\alpha} = m_H u_{n,\alpha}^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 9.** *Suppose that  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T^{1/2}$ , and  $\alpha$  is transcendental. Then,  $P_{T,H,n,\alpha}$  converges weakly to  $U_{n,\alpha}$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof.** The definition of  $u_{n,\alpha}$  yields

$$u_{n,\alpha} \left( \left( p^{-i\tau} : p \in \mathbb{P} \right), \left( (m + \alpha)^{-i\tau} : m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right) \right) = \zeta_n(s + i\tau, \alpha).$$

Therefore, by the definitions of  $P_{T,H,n,\alpha}^\Omega$  and  $P_{T,H,n,\alpha}$ , we have

$$P_{T,H,n,\alpha}(A) = L_{T,H} \left( \left( \left( p^{-i\tau} : p \in \mathbb{P} \right), \left( (m + \alpha)^{-i\tau} : m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right) \right) \in u_{n,\alpha}^{-1}A \right)$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D))$ . Hence,

$$P_{T,H,n,\alpha} = P_{T,H,n,\alpha}^\Omega u_{n,\alpha}^{-1}.$$

This, the continuity of  $u_{n,\alpha}$ , Lemma 8, and Theorem 5.1 of [38] prove that  $P_{T,H,n,\alpha}$  converges weakly to  $U_{n,\alpha}$ .  $\square$

On  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ , define the  $H^2(D)$ -valued random element  $\underline{\zeta}(s, \omega, \alpha)$  by

$$\underline{\zeta}(s, \omega, \alpha) = (\zeta(s, \omega_1), \zeta(s, \omega_2, \alpha)),$$

where

$$\zeta(s, \omega_1) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_1(m)}{m^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(s, \omega_2, \alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_2(m)}{(m + \alpha)^s}.$$

We observe that the latter series are uniformly convergent on compact subsets of strip  $D$  for almost all  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ , respectively (see, for example, [10,15]). Let  $P_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}$  be the distribution of the random element  $\underline{\zeta}(s, \omega, \alpha)$ , i. e.,

$$P_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}(A) = m_H \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \underline{\zeta}(s, \omega, \alpha) \in A \right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)).$$

In [23], for the proof of Theorem 5, a limit theorem for the functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  with transcendental  $\alpha$  was obtained. For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D))$ , let

$$P_{T,\alpha}(A) = L_T \left( \underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha) \in A \right).$$

Then, in [23], it was proved that  $P_{T,\alpha}$ , as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $U_{n,\alpha}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  converges weakly to the same probability measure on  $(H^2(D), \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)))$ , and this measure is  $P_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}$ . Thus, we have the following statement.

**Lemma 10.** *Suppose that  $\alpha$  is transcendental. Then,  $U_{n,\alpha}$  converges weakly to  $P_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Now, we are ready to prove a limit theorem for  $P_{T,H,\alpha}$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Suppose that  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T^{1/2}$ , and  $\alpha$  is transcendental. Then  $P_{T,H,\alpha}$  converges weakly to  $P_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof.** Introduce a random variable  $\zeta_{T,H}$  defined on a certain probability space  $(\widehat{\Omega}, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and uniformly distributed on  $[T, T + H]$ . Define the  $H^2(D)$ -valued random elements as follows:

$$\underline{\zeta}_{T,H,n,\alpha} = \underline{\zeta}_{T,H,n,\alpha}(s) = (\zeta_n(s + i\zeta_{T,H}), \zeta_n(s + i\zeta_{T,H}, \alpha))$$

and

$$\underline{\zeta}_{T,H,\alpha} = \underline{\zeta}_{T,H,\alpha}(s) = (\zeta(s + i\zeta_{T,H}), \zeta(s + i\zeta_{T,H}, \alpha)).$$

Moreover, let  $\underline{\zeta}_{n,\alpha}$  denote the  $H^2(D)$ -valued random element with distribution  $U_{n,\alpha}$ . Further on, we will use the language of convergence in distribution ( $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ ), i. e., we say that a random element  $\eta_n$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , converges in distribution to  $\eta$  if the distribution of  $\eta_n$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , converges weakly to that of  $\eta$ .

In virtue of Lemma 10, we have

$$\underline{\zeta}_{T,H,n,\alpha} \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \underline{\zeta}_{n,\alpha}. \tag{12}$$

By Lemma 10,

$$\underline{\zeta}_{n,\alpha} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \underline{\zeta}(s, \alpha). \tag{13}$$

The definitions of  $\underline{\zeta}_{T,H,n,\alpha}$ ,  $\underline{\zeta}_{T,H,\alpha}$  and  $\zeta_{T,H}$  show that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left\{ \rho_2 \left( \underline{\zeta}_{T,H,\alpha}, \underline{\zeta}_{T,H,n,\alpha} \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} L_{T,H} \left( \rho_2 \left( \underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha), \underline{\zeta}_n(s + i\tau, \alpha) \right) \geq \varepsilon \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon H} \int_T^{T+H} \rho_2 \left( \underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha), \underline{\zeta}_n(s + i\tau, \alpha) \right) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Lemma 7 implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left\{ \rho_2 \left( \underline{\zeta}_{T,H,\alpha}, \underline{\zeta}_{T,H,n,\alpha} \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} = 0.$$

This equality and relations (12) and (13) show that all hypotheses of Theorem 4.2 of [38] are fulfilled because the space  $H^2(D)$  is separable. In consequence,

$$\underline{\zeta}_{T,H,\alpha} \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \underline{\zeta}(s, \alpha),$$

and this relation is equivalent to the weak convergence of  $P_{T,H,\alpha}$  to  $P_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of the Main Theorem

Theorem 9 is the main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 8. However, the support of the limit measure  $P_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}$  is also needed. We recall that the support of  $P_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}$  is a minimal closed set  $S_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha} \subset H^2(D)$  such that  $P_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}(S_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}) = 1$ . The elements of  $S_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}$  have a property that, for every open neighborhood  $G$  of  $\underline{g}$ , the inequality  $P_{\underline{\zeta},\alpha}(G) > 0$  is satisfied.

Since the space  $H^2(D)$  is separable, we have [38]

$$\mathcal{B}(H^2(D)) = \mathcal{B}(H(D)) \times \mathcal{B}(H(D)).$$

Therefore, it suffices to deal with sets of the form

$$A = A_1 \times A_2, \quad A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)).$$

It is well known [10] that

$$L_T(\zeta(s + i\tau) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

converges weakly to the measure  $P_\zeta$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $P_\zeta$  is the distribution of the random element  $\zeta(s, \omega_1)$ .

$$L_T(\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

with transcendental  $\alpha$  converges weakly to the measure  $P_{\zeta, \alpha}$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $P_{\zeta, \alpha}$  is the distribution of the random element  $\zeta(s, \omega_2, \alpha)$  [15]. Moreover, the support of  $P_\zeta$  is the set

$$S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{g \in H(D) : g(s) \neq 0 \text{ or } g(s) \equiv 0\},$$

while the support of  $P_{\zeta, \alpha}$  is the whole  $H(D)$  [10,15].

**Lemma 11.** *The support of the measure  $P_{\zeta, \alpha}$  is the set  $S \times H(D)$ .*

**Proof.** By a property of the Haar measures  $m_{1H}$ ,  $m_{2H}$ , and  $m_H$ , and the above remark, we have

$$m_H(S \times H(D)) = m_{1H}(S) \cdot m_{2H}(H(D)).$$

This and the minimality of the sets  $S$  and  $H(D)$  such that  $m_{1H}(S) = 1$  and  $m_{2H}(H(D)) = 1$  show that  $S \times H(D)$  is a minimal set satisfying  $m_H(S \times H(D)) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 8.** By the Mergelyan theorem on the approximation of analytic functions by polynomials [39] (see also [40]), we have the existence of polynomials  $p(s)$  and  $q(s)$  such that

$$\sup_{s \in K_1} |f_1(s) - e^{p(s)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\sup_{s \in K_2} |f_2(s) - q(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{15}$$

We stress that the Mergelyan theorem can be applied because  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Define the set

$$G_\varepsilon = \left\{ (g_1, g_2) \in H^2(D) : \sup_{s \in K_1} |g_1(s) - e^{p(s)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \sup_{s \in K_2} |g_2(s) - q(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

Then,  $G_\varepsilon$  is an open neighborhood of an element  $(e^{p(s)}, q(s)) \in S \times H(D)$ . By Lemma 11 and properties of the support, we have

$$P_{\zeta, \alpha}(G_\varepsilon) > 0. \tag{16}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon = \left\{ (g_1, g_2) \in H^2(D) : \sup_{s \in K_1} |g_1(s) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon, \sup_{s \in K_2} |g_2(s) - f_2(s)| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

The inequalities (14)–(15) imply the inclusion of  $G_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon$ . Therefore, in view of (16),

$$P_{\zeta,\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon) > 0.$$

The set  $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon$  is open in  $H^2(D)$ . Therefore, Theorem 9 with the equivalent of weak convergence in terms of open sets (see Theorem 2.1 of [38]) gives

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} P_{T,H,\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon) \geq P_{\zeta,\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon) > 0.$$

This and the definitions of  $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon$  and  $P_{T,H,\alpha}$  imply the first assertion of the theorem.

The boundary of the set  $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon$  is denoted by  $\partial\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon$ . Then, we have that  $\partial\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_1} \cap \partial\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_2} = \emptyset$  for different positives  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$ . The set  $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon$  is a continuity set of  $P_{\zeta,\alpha}$  if  $P_{\zeta,\alpha}(\partial\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon) = 0$ . From the above remark, it follows  $P_{\zeta,\alpha}(\partial\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon) \neq 0$  for at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Applying Theorem 9 again in terms of continuity sets (see Theorem 2.1 of [38]), we obtain that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} P_{T,H,\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon) = P_{\zeta,\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon) > 0$$

for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This proves the second statement of the theorem.  $\square$

## 5. Conclusions

Let  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  denote the Riemann and Hurwitz zeta-functions, respectively, and the parameter  $\alpha$  is transcendental. We obtained the set of shifts  $(\zeta(s + i\tau), \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha))$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , that approximate a given pair of analytic functions defined on the strip  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 1/2 < \sigma < 1\}$ , has a positive lower density in the interval  $[T, T + H]$ ,  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Here,  $T^{27/82} \leq H \leq T^{1/2}$ . More precisely, the following result is proven. Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be compact subsets of the strip  $D$  with connected complements, and  $f_1(s) \neq 0$  and  $f_2(s)$  continuous functions on  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  that are analytic inside of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , respectively. Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [T, T + H] : \sup_{s \in K_1} |f_1(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau)| < \varepsilon \right. \\ \left. \sup_{s \in K_2} |f_2(s) - \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

Moreover, except for at most countably many values of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , “lim inf” can be replaced by “lim”. This result extends that of H. Mishou [23].

We are planning to consider similar problems for discrete shifts and generalized shifts  $(\zeta(s + i\varphi(\tau)), \zeta(s + i\varphi(\tau), \alpha))$  with a certain function  $\varphi(\tau)$ .

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data are contained within the article.

**Acknowledgments:** The author thanks the referees for their useful remarks and comments.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Aref'eva, I.Y.; Volovich, I.V. Quantization of the Riemann zeta-function and cosmology. *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **2007**, *4*, 881–895. [CrossRef]
2. Elizalde, E. Zeta-functions: Formulas and applications. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2000**, *118*, 125–142. [CrossRef]
3. Elizalde, E. Zeta-functions and the cosmos—A basic brief review. *Universe* **2021**, *7*, 5. [CrossRef]
4. Gutzwiller, M.C. Stochastic behavior in quantum scattering. *Phys. D Nonlinear Phenom.* **1983**, *7*, 341–355. [CrossRef]

5. Maino, G. Prime numbers, atomic nuclei, symmetries and superconductivity. In *Symmetries and Order: Algebraic Methods in Many Body Systems: A Symposium in Celebration of the Career of Professor Francesco Iachello, Connecticut, USA, 5–6 October 2018*; AIP Conference Proceedings; AIP Publishing LLC: Melville, NY, USA, 2019; Volume 2150, p. 030009.
6. Voronin, S.M. Theorem on the “universality” of the Riemann zeta-function. *Math. USSR Izv.* **1975**, *9*, 443–453. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Voronin, S.M. Analytic Properties of Arithmetic Objects. Doctoral Thesis, V.A. Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, Russia, 1977.
8. Gonek, S.M. Analytic Properties of Zeta and L-Functions. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, 1979.
9. Bagchi, B. The Statistical Behaviour and Universality Properties of the Riemann Zeta-Function and Other Allied Dirichlet Series. Ph.D. Thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, India, 1981.
10. Laurinćikas, A. *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function*; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands; Boston, MA, USA; London, UK, 1996.
11. Steuding, J. *Value-Distribution of L-Functions*; Lecture Notes in Mathematics; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; New York, NY, USA, 2007; Volume 1877.
12. Matsumoto, K. A survey on the theory of universality for zeta and L-functions. In *Number Theory: Plowing and Starring Through High Wave Forms, Proceedings of the 7th China-Japan Seminar, Fukuoka, Japan, 28 October–1 November 2013*; Kaneko, M., Kanemitsu, S., Liu, J., Eds.; Series on Number Theory and Its Applications; World Scientific Publishing Co.: Hackensack, NJ, USA; London, UK; Singapore; Beijing/Shanghai/Hong Kong, China; Taipei, Taiwan; Chennai, India, 2015; pp. 95–144.
13. Mauclaire, J.-L. Universality of the Riemann zeta function: Two remarks. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budap. Rolando Eötvös Sect. Comput.* **2013**, *39*, 311–319.
14. Meška, L. Modified Universality Theorems for the Riemann and Hurwitz Zeta-Functions. Ph.D. Thesis, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania, 2017.
15. Laurinćikas, A. The joint universality of Hurwitz zeta-functions. *Šiauliai Math. Semin.* **2008**, *3*, 169–187. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Sourmelidis, A.; Steuding, J. On the value distribution of Hurwitz zeta-function with algebraic irrational parameter. *Constr. Approx.* **2022**, *55*, 829–860. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Voronin, S.M. On the functional independence of Dirichlet L-functions. *Acta Arith.* **1975**, *27*, 443–453. (In Russian)
18. Karatsuba, A.A.; Voronin, S.M. *The Riemann Zeta-Function*; Walter de Gruyter: Berlin, Germany; New York, NY, USA, 1992.
19. Bagchi, B. Joint universality theorem for Dirichlet L-functions. *Math. Z.* **1982**, *181*, 319–334. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Mishou, H. The joint universality theorem for a pair of Hurwitz zeta-functions. *J. Number Theory* **2011**, *131*, 2352–2367. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Macaitienė, R.; Šiaučiūnas, D. Joint universality of Hurwitz zeta-functions and nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. II. *Lith. Math. J.* **2021**, *61*, 187–198. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Nakamura, T. The existence and the non-existence of joint  $t$ -universality for Lerch zeta-functions. *J. Number Theory* **2007**, *125*, 424–441. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Mishou, H. The joint value-distribution of the Riemann zeta function and Hurwitz zeta functions. *Lith. Math. J.* **2007**, *47*, 32–47. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Laurinćikas, A.; Šiaučiūnas, D. The mean square of the Hurwitz zeta-function in short intervals. *Axioms* **2024**, *13*, 510. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Atstopenė, J. Discrete Universality Theorems for the Riemann and Hurwitz Zeta-Functions. Ph.D. Thesis, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania, 2015.
26. Genys, J.; Račkauskienė, S.; Macaitienė, R.; Šiaučiūnas, D. A mixed joint universality theorem for zeta-functions. *Math. Model. Anal.* **2010**, *15*, 431–446. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Pocevičienė, V.; Šiaučiūnas, D. A mixed joint universality theorem for zeta functions. II. *Math. Model. Anal.* **2014**, *19*, 52–65. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Kačinskaitė, R.; Matsumoto, K. The mixed joint universality for a class of zeta-functions. *Math. Nachrichten* **2015**, *288*, 1900–1909. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Janulis, K. Mixed Joint Universality for Dirichlet L-Functions and Hurwitz Zeta-Functions. Ph.D. Thesis, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania, 2015.
30. Kačinskaitė, R.; Matsumoto, K. Remarks on the mixed joint universality for a class of zeta-functions. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **2017**, *95*, 187–198. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Balčiūnas, A.; Jasas, M.; Macaitienė, R.; Šiaučiūnas, D. On the Mishou theorem for zeta-functions with periodic coefficients. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 2042. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Vadeikis, G. Weighted Universality Theorems for the Riemann and Hurwitz Zeta-Functions. Ph.D. Thesis, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania, 2021.
33. Laurinćikas, A. Universality of the Riemann zeta-function in short intervals. *J. Number Theory* **2019**, *204*, 279–295. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Andersson, J.; Garunkštis, R.; Kačinskaitė, R.; Nakai, K.; Pańkowski, Ł.; Sourmelidis, A.; Steuding, R.; Steuding, J.; Wananiyakul, S. Notes on universality in short intervals and exponential shifts. *Lith. Math. J.* **2024**, *64*, 125–137. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Laurinćikas, A. Universality of the Hurwitz zeta-function in short intervals. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.* **2025**, *31*, 17. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Ivič, A. *The Riemann Zeta-Function: The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function with Applications*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1985.
37. Conway, J.B. *Functions of One Complex Variable*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1973.
38. Billingsley, P. *Convergence of Probability Measures*, 2nd ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1999.

- 
39. Mergelyan, S.N. *Uniform Approximations to Functions of a Complex Variable*; American Mathematical Society Translations, No. 101; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 1954.
  40. Walsh, J.L. *Interpolation and Approximation by Rational Functions in the Complex Domain*; American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 1960; Volume 20.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.