

## Article

# Exploring the Development of Astrobiology Scientific Research through Bibliometric Network Analysis: A Focus on Biomineral and Bioleaching

Luca Toniatti <sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Bernardo Barosa <sup>3</sup>, Emiliano Pioltelli <sup>4</sup> , Donato Giovannelli <sup>3,5,6,7,8</sup>, Giovanni Covone <sup>9,10</sup>, Paola Di Donato <sup>1,11</sup> , Angelina Cordone <sup>3</sup> , Laura Inno <sup>1,10</sup>, Christian Magliano <sup>9,10</sup>, Stefano Fiscale <sup>1,2</sup> , Maria Teresa Muscari Tomajoli <sup>1,2</sup> , Gaetana Napolitano <sup>1</sup> , Alice Maria Piccirillo <sup>1,2</sup>, Vincenzo Della Corte <sup>10</sup> , Rosa Santomartino <sup>12</sup>  and Alessandra Rotundi <sup>1,13</sup> 

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Science and Technology, Parthenope University of Naples, 80143 Naples, Italy; paola.didonato@uniparthenope.it (P.D.D.); laura.inno@uniparthenope.it (L.I.); stefano.fiscale001@studenti.uniparthenope.it (S.F.); mariateresa.muscari001@studenti.uniparthenope.it (M.T.M.T.); gaetana.napolitano@uniparthenope.it (G.N.); alicemaria.piccirillo001@studenti.uniparthenope.it (A.M.P.); alessandra.rotundi@uniparthenope.it (A.R.)
  - <sup>2</sup> International PhD Programme, UNESCO Chair “Environment, Resources and Sustainable Development”, 80143 Naples, Italy
  - <sup>3</sup> Department of Biology, University of Naples Federico II, 80126 Naples, Italy; bernard.barosa@gmail.com (B.B.); donato.giovannelli@unina.it (D.G.); angelina.cordone@unina.it (A.C.)
  - <sup>4</sup> Department of Biotechnology and Bioscience, University of Milano-Bicocca, 20126 Milan, Italy; emiliano.pioltelli@unimib.it
  - <sup>5</sup> National Research Council—Institute of Marine Biological Resources and Biotechnologies—CNR-IRBIM, 60125 Ancona, Italy
  - <sup>6</sup> Department of Marine and Coastal Science, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA
  - <sup>7</sup> Marine Chemistry & Geochemistry Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Falmouth, MA 02543, USA
  - <sup>8</sup> Earth-Life Science Institute, ELSI, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan
  - <sup>9</sup> Department of Physics, University of Naples Federico II, 80126 Naples, Italy; giovanni.covone@unina.it (G.C.); christian.magliano@unina.it (C.M.)
  - <sup>10</sup> INAF-OAC, Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, 80131 Naples, Italy; vincenzo.dellacorte@inaf.it
  - <sup>11</sup> Institute of Biomolecular Chemistry, CNR, 80078 Pozzuoli, Italy
  - <sup>12</sup> UK Centre for Astrobiology, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, UK; rosa.santomartino@ed.ac.uk
  - <sup>13</sup> INAF-IAPS, Istituto di Astrofisica e Planetologia Spaziali, 00133 Rome, Italy
- \* Correspondence: luca.toniatti001@studenti.uniparthenope.it



**Citation:** Toniatti, L.; Barosa, B.; Pioltelli, E.; Giovannelli, D.; Covone, G.; Di Donato, P.; Cordone, A.; Inno, L.; Magliano, C.; Fiscale, S.; et al. Exploring the Development of Astrobiology Scientific Research through Bibliometric Network Analysis: A Focus on Biomineral and Bioleaching. *Minerals* **2023**, *13*, 797. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min13060797>

Academic Editors: Maria Sofia Urbieto and Laura Castro

Received: 9 May 2023  
Revised: 31 May 2023  
Accepted: 7 June 2023  
Published: 11 June 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Abstract:** Our understanding of the diversity of life on our planet and the possibility of finding or sustaining life elsewhere in the universe plays a central role in supporting human space settlement and exploration. Astrobiology and its outcomes require a multidisciplinary and comprehensive approach, in which the microbial, geological, chemical, astronomical, and physical domains of research are interlinked. An example of the applications of astrobiology and space microbiology is the use of extremophiles for in situ resource utilization through biomineral and bioleaching. To better understand the multidisciplinary research landscape in this area, we quantitatively reviewed the global scientific literature on astrobiology, with a focus on biomineral and bioleaching through bibliometric network analysis, investigating patterns and trends in its development over time. The network analysis of keyword co-occurrence highlights different connecting and overlapping clusters, illustrating the multidisciplinary character of astrobiology. Temporal analyses show a recent focus on topics related to microbiology and geomicrobiology, emphasizing the role that these fields will play in future astrobiology research. In conclusion, astrobiology, biomineral, and bioleaching research are currently addressing the recognition of these techniques as valuable tools for biotechnological applications, expected to play a crucial role in long-term human space exploration.

**Keywords:** biomining; bioleaching; astrobiology; *A. ferrooxidans*; acidophiles; space biology; bibliometric network analysis

## 1. Introduction

Astrobiology is becoming increasingly important as human exploration of space progresses [1]. Since its inception, it has evolved from a strong focus on the study of the origin, evolution, and distribution of life in space, to the inclusion of biotechnological applications which aim to support space exploration and in situ resource utilization (ISRU). These include, for instance, biomining, bioleaching, space (micro)biology, and astrobotany, all applications with a strong industrial potential [2,3]. However, a thorough consideration of the different areas which influenced the development and evolution of astrobiology over time has not been analyzed in depth. To better understand how astrobiology has changed, it is necessary to retrace its evolution.

Astrobiology is a multidisciplinary field combining physics, chemistry, biology, geology, and planetary sciences (i.e., geobiology, astrochemistry, exoplanets research, space probe development, comet studies, etc.) [2,4–7]. The very first contributions to this field came from the Greek philosopher Democritus in the field of atomism theory. Democritus reasoned that if the universe consists of atoms, then life must necessarily exist throughout the cosmos, accounting for a coherent relationship between atoms and life [8]. Following the era of Democritus, a significant shift in cosmological thought emerged with the prevailing notion of the immutable and perfect celestial realms composed of Aristotelian quintessence [9]. The philosophical foundation of Aristotelian thought is based on the immutable and perfect nature of the celestial spheres, which contradicted the plurality of worlds proposed by Democritus [9]. This theory continues with Ptolemy (Aristotelian–Ptolemaic cosmology, Earth at the center of the Universe—geocentrism) [10]. The first subsequent contributions to astrobiology, after Democritus, came with Copernicus (and the heliocentric theory) [10] and with Giordano Bruno, who proclaimed the existence of infinite worlds [11]. The concept of a plurality of worlds was then further pursued by other scientists and philosophers (Voltaire, Huygens, etc.). In the 17th century, Isaac Newton and Edmond Halley suggested the participation of comets in planetary evolution with the establishment of the “Goldilocks Zone”, i.e., the range of distance from a star that allows the right temperature for water to remain in a liquid state [12]. Astrobiology is a vast field of study, and its foundations extend beyond the aforementioned names. Numerous eminent scientists of past centuries also contributed to its development, e.g., Schiapparelli, Lowell, Darwin, Lord Kelvin, Crick and Orgel, Oparin and Haldane, Urey and Miller, Struve, etc. [13]. Although it is an emerging science, it is preceded by numerous studies related to chemical evolution [14,15] and theories on the origin of life [16]. Currently, depending on the country under consideration, the main focus of astrobiology varies between more biogeochemical studies [17] and those more inclined toward astrochemistry [18]. However, in recent years, it appears that astrobiological studies are moving to a more biologically-oriented view, particularly focusing on extremophilic organisms and extreme environments on Earth, as analogues of what could be found in other planetary bodies [19,20]. Investigating the origin and evolution of life, considering the evolution of metabolism and early-Earth geochemistry, has been deemed increasingly important [21].

Despite the success of space exploration and the plans to establish human settlements on other planetary bodies, practical limitations need to be considered and solved. These include the unsustainability of transferring resources from Earth over long interplanetary distances, and the potential limited resources available on extraterrestrial environments. This has stimulated an interest in space biomining and bioleaching, i.e., the process of using microorganisms to extract metals of interest from rock ores or mining waste [22–24]. Indeed, some microorganisms are able to colonize extreme environments, e.g., acidic environments enriched with heavy metals, such as acid mine drainage (AMD) [25]. Scientists mined re-

sources such as transition metals, which are useful in metal powdering and metal sintering processes [26]. Through biomining and bioleaching, it will be possible to build tools for human survival using 3D printing techniques through metal sintering and powdering [27]. This approach can also be used to remediate metal-contaminated sites, a process termed bioremediation [28,29].

Some microbes, archeobacteria, and fungi, such as *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*, *Acidithiobacillus caldus*, *Leptospirillum ferriphilum*, *Sphingomonas desiccabilis*, *Sulfolobus metallicus*, *Acidianus copahuensis*, *Metallosphaera sedula*, *Aspergillum niger*, *Penicillium simplicissimus*, and many others (usually iron and sulfur oxidizers) [30–36] can mobilize transition metals (i.e., iron, copper and rare earth elements (REEs)), depending on the oxygen concentration in the environment and the production of organic acids, altering their mobility in aqueous solutions [30,37]. The ability to recover dissolved metals, versus their solid forms, makes bioleaching one of the most widely used techniques in biomining. In the case of poorly soluble metals, selected microorganisms are used to break down surrounding minerals and facilitate their extraction from the rock [38]. The most valuable metals currently targeted by biomining operations are copper, uranium, nickel, iron, PGEs (platinum group elements), and REEs [39]. REEs are particularly valuable due to their unique properties and applications in various high-tech technological and industrial sectors [40]. Biomining and selective accumulation of these 17 elements (scandium, yttrium, lanthanum, cerium, etc.) is crucial because they are found in low concentrations in mineral deposits, making their extraction challenging with traditional mining operations [41]. Certain microorganisms are capable of selectively absorbing and concentrating REEs from the environment [42]. Moreover, the application of biomining techniques to the extraction of valuable metals is crucial in the context of environmental benefits because it reduces the need for extensive excavation, and reduces the release of harmful chemicals and pollutants into the ecosystem [43]. Some microorganisms can aid the breakdown of complex mineral structures and can actively precipitate REEs in biomineralization processes [44]. The same is true for PGEs. Harnessing the capabilities of microorganisms in biomining and bioleaching processes offers an economically viable approach for the extraction of metals with limited global supply and increasing demand [45].

In this regard, microorganisms are especially proficient at oxidizing sulfur-bearing minerals. If the metal of interest is dissolved directly, the process is termed “bioleaching”; when it is enriched in relation to the material left behind, the process is termed “bio-oxidation” [46]. Both processes involve microbial reactions occurring wherever microorganisms, rock, and essential nutrients, such as oxygen and sulfur species, coexist. These processes can be performed in space environments, for example on lunar regolith and Martian basalts, that provide the right facilities for microbial growth [47]. Experiments conducted both on Earth and on the International Space Station (ISS) demonstrated the feasibility of rare earth element extraction by biomining using microbes that can mobilize metals [32]. Space biomining and bioleaching are becoming a cutting-edge branch of astrobiology, with a particular interest in human space exploration. Their research requires a combination of interdisciplinary expertise and knowledge, for which astrobiologists are particularly well suited [3,22].

In the present study, we performed a quantitative astrobiological literature search by applying bibliometric techniques, as shown by Picone et al., 2021 [48], explaining the general characteristics of each cluster and underlining the relationship between them. We employed a focus on recent achievements in space biomining and bioleaching to identify possible patterns and trends in astrobiology, and to examine their evolution over time.

## 2. Materials and Methods

We performed a bibliometric analysis of the global scientific literature on astrobiology, biomining, and bioleaching. Research articles were searched on 4 May 2022, in the Scopus databases. The research was performed by searching for two different strings using Boolean operators: (1) “astrobiology”, (2) “astrobiology” AND “biomining” OR “bioleaching” in

the document title, abstracts, and keywords of scientific papers. We chose a range of dates for each string which let us export the maximum number of documents possible (from 2013 to 2022 for the first string and from 2004 to 2022 for the second string).

The research metadata was exported as CSV files after selecting the “Citation information”, “Bibliographical information”, and “Abstract and keywords” options. The results were then analyzed by means of VOSviewer (version 1.6.13), designed to create network maps based on bibliographic data [49]. Network maps are generated in such a way that the position of the displayed items (e.g., keywords, authors, countries) are assigned according to the degree of their relatedness; the closely related elements are grouped in clusters, while the weakly related ones are more distant. Items are also uniquely assigned to clusters, each consisting of a set of closely related items.

In order to examine the trends of the main topics linked to astrobiology, co-occurrence maps of the authors’ keywords were generated. Co-occurrence networks of keywords are based on the number of publications in which two keywords occur together in the title, abstract, or keyword list, and express their relationship quantitatively [49]. For the first network map, a minimum threshold of 18 occurrences was set to visualize the 200 most important authors’ keywords. For the second network map, a minimum threshold of 2 occurrences was set to visualize the 30 most important authors’ keywords. The clustering resolution was reduced from 1 (i.e., the default value) to 0.85 to optimize the number of clusters displayed [48], i.e., each cluster was a series of nodes inside a network of information. In addition, the overlay visualization of VOSviewer was applied to allow the representation of the network elements on a time gradient, which expresses how the co-occurrence of the network items has evolved over time. This visualization feature is based on the average publication year of the documents in which the keywords occurred.

A list of terms used throughout the paper can be found in Table 1.

**Table 1.** The terminology used in the bibliometric analyses performed in this study, adapted from Picone et al., 2021 [48].


Term	Description
Items	Objects of interest (i.e., publications, researchers, keywords, authors).
Link	Connection or relation between two items (e.g., co-occurrence of keyword).
Number of links	The number of links, expressed by a positive numerical value.
Link strength	Attribute of each link, expressed by a positive numerical value. In the case of co-occurrence of keywords, is based on the number of publications in which two keywords occur together in the title, abstract, or keyword list. The higher the value, the higher the number of occurrence of keywords in the global literature expressing their relationship quantitatively.
Total link strength	The cumulative strength of the links of an item with other items.
Network	Set of items connected by their links.
Cluster	Set of items included in a network map. Closely related elements are grouped in clusters. Each cluster represents a different macro-area of the scientific research.
Co-occurrence analysis	The number of co-occurrences of two keywords is the number of publications in which both keywords occur together in the title, abstract, or keyword list.
Overlay visualization	Network analysis feature depicting network items based on their average publication year.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. First String Analysis

The bibliographical search of the string “astrobiology” returned a set of 2519 documents (the 2000 most recent were downloaded; data sources have limits on the amount of data that is exportable) from 2013 to 2022. Of the 8819 keywords, 200 were displayed by VosViewer according to the occurrences threshold set (Figure 1, Table S1). For the second

string (“astrobiology” AND “biomining” OR “bioleaching”) in the Scopus database, only eight documents were found in the years from 2004 to 2021.



**Figure 1.** Co-occurrence network map of keywords in the global scientific literature on astrobiology. The size of each keyword in the figure is directly proportional to the number of times it appears in the analyzed documents. Colors indicate clusters to which keywords are uniquely assigned based on their interrelatedness.

In the co-occurrence map (Figure 1), the 200 keywords were grouped into 6 different clusters and sized proportionally to their number of occurrences (Supplementary Materials, Table S1). As they were derived from the string “astrobiology” only (first string), this analysis allows the monitoring of astrobiology’s “hot-topics” and their multidisciplinary connection.

Cluster 1 includes 61 keywords (red bullets) and broadly focuses on topics related to microbiology and extreme environments. Frequent keywords are ecosystems and microorganisms, which are directly connected to the study targets of astrobiology, e.g., *Archaea*, *Bacteria*, *extremophiles*, *habitat*, *lakes*, *Antarctica*, and to physical–chemical parameters, e.g., *pH*, *radiation*, *microgravity*, *ultraviolet rays*.

Cluster 2 consists of 52 items (green bullets), including the central keyword *astrobiology*. The cluster is dominated by subjects dealing with physics and astrophysics, i.e., *astrophysics*, *extrasolar planets*, *interplanetary flight*, *planetary atmosphere*, *stars*, and *thermodynamics*. In addition, the cluster is strongly linked to Cluster 1, i.e., connected to keywords *biology*, *amino acids*, and *life detection*.

Cluster 3, composed of 32 items (blue bullets), focuses on chemistry, location, and methods of astrobiological studies. The cluster is dominated by a few main keywords, *chemistry*, *mars*, and *procedures*. Additional frequent keywords are *biosignature*, *biomarkers*, *minerals*, *organic compounds*, and *oxidation-reduction reactions*.

Cluster 4, which consists of 29 items (yellow bullets) includes (as Cluster 1) the theme of biodiversity and life in relation to astrobiology, as shown by the presence of *exobiology*, *space flight*, *animals*, *evolution*, *life*, and *origin of life*.

Cluster 5 consists of 25 items (violet bullets) and has a strong connection to astronomy, as shown by the main keywords *astronomy*, *space*, and *extraterrestrial environment*. This cluster is linked by a strong connection with all the other clusters.

Cluster 6, with only one item (light blue bullet), is referred to as “*earth, planet*” in relation to the keywords *mars*, *astrobiology*, and *astronomy*.


It is worth noting that Clusters 1, 2, and 3 have keywords associated with *life forms*, the *origin of life*, *microorganisms*, and *astronomy*, highlighting the multidisciplinary and transdisciplinary nature of astrobiology. In the first keywords, ordered by the number of links (after the main keyword), we find *metabolism*, *bacteria*, the *origin of life*, and *microbiology*. *Microbiology* shows connections to five of the map’s six clusters, with the exception of cluster 6. Among its most common co-occurrences, the most significant are those with *mars*, *earth, planet*, and *metabolism*, indicating the path that scientific research in astrobiology is taking. The study of the Earth and microorganisms serves as a natural laboratory for planetary studies.

Clusters 3, 4, 5, and partially Cluster 2, show a high degree of overlap. Some keywords are placed in between. Cluster 4 partially overlaps with all Clusters. Clusters 4 and 5 are strongly interconnected, with *astronomy* nested among *exobiology*, *extraterrestrial environment*, and *biosignatures*. This reflects the role of astronomical approaches in astrobiological studies, with biology and life sciences playing a significant role. Clusters 3 and 4 partially overlap through a broad network area focused on research topics related to the microbiological part of the map (Cluster 1). In this section, *bacteria* is a major stakeholder category, explicitly represented on the map and linked to the main keywords *mars*, *astrobiology*, *exobiology*, and *extraterrestrial environment*. The incorporation of microbial life into astrobiological studies is truly a key strategy. In the search for life in the cosmos and in support of space exploration, there is a need to focus on extremophiles capable of performing their metabolic functions in extreme environments, e.g., the moon, Mars, or the ISS. Astrobiologists are now focusing on extremophile organisms on Earth and their environments to better understand the possible spread of life in the universe.

Surprisingly, Cluster 6 is inextricably linked to all of the other clusters. This cluster shows a high degree of overlap, likely due to the fact that life is known to exist only on Earth. The high degree of overlap with Clusters 2, 3, 4, and 5, and the thick web of connections on the network map, confirms the interconnectedness of astrobiological research topics. It underlines the interdisciplinary nature and the high relatedness of their studies. The entire left part and the right part of the network map of Figure 1 show a panorama of the actual trend of astrobiology, which contains common elements of the integration of the biological part with the astronomical.

Figure 2 shows the overlay visualization map based on the year of document publication, which provides a temporal perspective for interpreting the co-occurrence network map of keywords (the color scale and time range in the map were chosen automatically by the program to better underline the variations existing in the literature).

The distribution of the keywords along a temporal gradient provided an immediate representation of astrobiology evolution and identified the most recent trends. The overlay visualization map shows a current focus on concepts that encompass the microbial dimension, e.g., *extremophile* and *microbial communities*, and a focus on other planetary bodies, e.g., *mars* and *enceladus*. In addition, it clearly shows an interaction with the geological components, e.g., *minerals*, *sediment*, and *geological sediments*. Other keywords, such as *evolution*, *planets*, *origin of life*, *extraterrestrial environment*, and *space flight* are distributed evenly over the publication period, which indicates a homogeneous appearance of space in astrobiology.




**Figure 2.** Overlay visualization of the co-occurrence network map of keywords for the string “astrobiology”. Keywords are represented on a color gradient based on the average year of publication of the documents they appear in, from blue (older publication), to green (publication equally distributed across the timespan), to yellow (more recent publications). The color gradient was chosen automatically by the program to underline the differences through time.

As shown in Figure 1, the central keywords *astrobiology* and *exobiology* are closely related, both in terms of the proximity of their locations on the network map and the strength of their connection (high link strength, i.e., a high number of co-occurrences). This happened because they are improperly used as synonyms of the same word. Astrobiology deals with the study of life on Earth and in space, and exobiology deals with the study of the likelihood of the existence of life exclusively outside of Earth. To support this hypothesis, the overlay shows no temporal divergence in their co-occurrence (Figure 2). Both *astrobiology* and *exobiology* are in the middle of the chronological publication spectrum.

According to the scientific literature, the keyword *water* and its relatives are the oldest on the map. The left part of the network map in Figure 2 (Clusters 1, 3, and 4) included research topics recently explored in astrobiology, e.g., *extremophiles*, *animals*, *microgravity*, and *extreme environments*.

### 3.2. Second String Analysis

The bibliographical search for the second string in the Scopus database yielded eight documents published from 2004 to 2021. Of the 224 keywords, 30 were displayed according to the occurrence threshold set (Figure 3; Supplementary Materials, Table S2). In the co-occurrence network map, the 30 main keywords were grouped into 4 different clusters, in contrast to the first string, which showed 6 clusters. These clusters are arranged in size and location in proportion to their number of occurrences.



**Figure 3.** Co-occurrence network map of keywords in the global scientific literature on astrobiology, biomineralization, and bioleaching. The size of each keyword in the figure is directly proportional to the number of times it appears in the analyzed documents. Colors indicate clusters to which keywords were uniquely assigned based on their interrelatedness.

Cluster 1 consists of 11 items (red bullets) and is focused on and linked to the main topic of research, e.g., astrobiology and bioleaching, with keywords related to biotechnological organisms for space exploration and settling, e.g., *bacteria*, *acidophile*, *microbiology*, *metabolism*, and *minerals*.

Cluster 2 contains seven items (green bullets), including keywords related to non-human research, e.g., *microbial metabolism*, *proteobacteria*, *extreme environment*, and *extremophiles*. This cluster has a strong focus on the incorporation of the microbial component, particularly extreme biology, into space exploration, as suggested by the keywords (Supplementary Materials, Table S2).

Cluster 3 consists of seven elements (blue bullets), including the keyword *acidophiles*, which is common to all clusters and closely related to the other keyword in the network. The main topics of the cluster are *geomicrobiology*, the *iron cycle*, and the *sulfur cycle*, underscoring the role of geobiochemistry in astrobiology.

Cluster 4, with five items (yellow bullets), similar to Cluster 2, is related to specific microbial research in bioleaching and biomineralization, including key organisms and mechanisms which could be of relevance in astrobiology. All five items show the same number of linkages and are referred to as model organisms in biomineralization and bioleaching processes, i.e., *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Other keywords useful in understanding how this organism works are *mineral*, *sulfide*, and *sulfur*.


The first three clusters have keywords linked to astrobiology and focus on microbial research and geomicrobiology. They showed a strong relationship between microbiology and geological environments. By sorting the number of links in descending order, it is possible to understand that bioleaching processes are strongly linked to microbiology, with particular attention to sulfur and iron metabolism. Iron is one of the most fundamental transition metals (along with copper, nickel, vanadium, tungsten, and molybdenum) that human civilization must use in space exploration and in situ resource exploitation.



Cluster 4 looks more specifically at life forms that could be useful in refining transition metals. The focus is closely related to *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Furthermore, Cluster 4 goes deep into microbial metabolism and shows that microbes in the iron and sulfur cycles are useful in biotechnological approaches and in endogenous Earth processes (biogeochemical cycles).

Cluster 4 visually shows a high degree of overlap with all other clusters, as some keywords are placed between the network ranges of all clusters. Cluster 2 and Cluster 3 partially overlap. The intersection between these clusters is highly interconnected, showing the microbial metabolism and the geomicrobiological keywords at the center of the intersection. Both clusters are linked, via the keyword *sulfur*, to Cluster 4, as a central element in the reduction and oxidation of many transition metals in biological processes. Cluster 1, with the keyword *astrobiology*, is the one from which the other clusters originate and overlap. It contains some of the most important keywords that can summarize all topics, e.g., *astrobiology*, *bioleaching*, and *microbiology*. Microbial involvement is fundamental to colonizing other planetary bodies, as we can see from the *mars* keyword, which is strongly related to the main network keywords. It is interesting to note that a few terms which would be intuitively associated to Clusters 2 (*metabolism*), 3 (*bioleaching* and *acidophiles*) and 4 (*iron* and *minerals*) are actually associated with Cluster 1, which is astrobiology-dominated. On the other hand, *mars*, which should intuitively be linked to Cluster 1, belongs to Cluster 3, which is geology-dominated.

Figure 4 shows the overlay visualization map based on the year of document publication (the color scale and time range in the figure were chosen automatically by the program to better underline the variations present in the literature).



**Figure 4.** Overlay visualization of the co-occurrence network map of keywords for the string “astrobiology” AND “biomining” OR “bioleaching”. Keywords are represented on a color gradient based on the average year of publication of the documents they appear in, from blue (older publication), to green (publication equally distributed across the timespan), to yellow (more recent publications). The color was chosen automatically by the program.

The distribution of keywords along a temporal gradient allowed the understanding of the importance and development of biomining and bioleaching research in astrobiology. The overlay visualization map shows a current focus on microbial research, particularly on extremophiles, on the ISS and in extreme environments around the globe. Other keywords, e.g., *mineral*, *sulfide*, and *metabolism*, are equally distributed over the publication time frame, suggesting the homogeneous importance of geological studies in the mining resources using microbial metabolism.

#### 4. Discussion

The results of the two bibliometric analyses performed showed the evolution of astrobiology from the conventional concept of exoplanets and astronomy studies to a broader spectrum that integrates ecology, microbiology, and geochemistry. These kinds of patterns in astrobiology are to be placed in the broader context of the development of space sciences, which are used to identify space engineering, planetology, cosmology, astrophysics, astronomy, and space exploration. The exclusion of the microbiological and biogeochemical presence in astrobiology is due to the fact that it is a relatively new science (and new term), officially born and coined around the 1970s.

In recent years, astrobiology has evolved from astronomical research into more biological research, encompassing numerous other scientific subjects, such as geology and microbiology. The focus of astrobiology, in addition to discovering how life arose and how it can be distributed in the cosmos, is towards aiding space exploration, which is triggering new research areas, such as astrobotany and space biomining [24,25].

As we can see from the string analysis, there is a growing trend in the temporal gradient where research is increasingly integrating biological and microbiological approaches, as well as biogeochemistry, for resource extraction in space environments. The results of this study confirm that biological approaches are increasingly gaining ground in astrobiology and are creating new research niches that are less explored. Biomining and bioleaching play central roles in life sciences applied to space environments, and there is a trend toward organisms capable of colonizing extreme environments and even extracting resources using their own metabolism [26].

One of the main focuses is the extraction of iron and transition metals in metal sintering processes following biomining. The biological genus *Acidithiobacillus* is considered to be one of the most common, but not the only, organism used to carry out this process. From the string analysis, *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* is the best candidate for a model organism to perform resource extraction in extreme terrestrial environments and on other planets, e.g., the moon and Mars [27]. The keywords *mars*, *microbiology*, *minerals*, and *Acidithiobacillus* are very predominant in networks, with some present in both string analyses. However, it should be noted that these processes can be performed by numerous other microorganisms. The fact that *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* appears as the first choice in the analysis is perhaps due to its status as a well-studied model organism in terrestrial biomining processes [30]. This potentially makes it an excellent candidate for space applications as well. With the astronomical and biological fields being given equal importance, space biomining and geomicrobiology have made strong contributions to opening up astrobiology to biotechnology research lines, and promoting astrobiology and ISRU as tools to support efforts toward a sustainable obtainment of resources on Earth [28]. The compelling issue of obtaining resources for sustainable human space exploration is the main reason why space biomining is becoming increasingly relevant. It is therefore surprising to not find the keywords *ISRU*, *in situ resource utilization*, or even just *resource* in our analyses, particularly the one resulting from the second string. This could partially be explained by the observation that space biomining is more intuitively linked to the niche area of space biology, rather than astrobiology, despite being linked to and partially derived from it. For instance, papers from recent space biomining experiments performed aboard the ISS never use the term astrobiology [23], and probably escaped our analysis. This could explain the

low number of documents found using the second string. On the other hand, this indicates the multidisciplinary variety of research areas that branch from astrobiology.

By recognizing the crucial influence of microorganisms in natural systems and extreme environments, as well as their ability to extract resources, biomining and bioleaching are considered analytical units and sustainable approaches for the study of astrobiology and human space exploration. On the moon and on Mars, natural resource extraction is a major concern, both from a sustainability perspective and from an operational perspective. It is interesting that processes involving microorganisms are becoming increasingly important in this area [29]. The use of extremophile organisms appears to be a viable alternative for mining on the moons and planets of our solar system [43,45]. The integration of prokaryotes, and more microorganisms in general, into astrobiological processes for human space exploration has entailed the development of more complex devices capable of flying over the ISS and landing on other planetary and satellite surfaces [32]. Astrobiology's relatively new focus on biomining and bioleaching confirms the increasing interest of the scientific community in extreme environments and extremophiles as tools for the biotechnological enhancement of our society [11].

Analysis of the scientific literature on astrobiology revealed a wide variety of issues affecting different disciplines and a strong connection between them. The temporal analyses showed how astrobiology is timely, responding to the recognition of biomining and bioleaching as tools, expanding one of astrobiology's main areas of study, and opening it to multi-disciplinary research lines, connecting astronomy, astrophysics, geology, chemistry, and biology [30]. It is interesting to notice that, regarding the analysis of the string "astrobiology", the general perception in this subject is not uniform, but probably depends on the differences in astrobiological studies performed in different countries distributed worldwide. Further analysis must be performed on this, studying the spatial distribution in the global scientific literature of astrobiology, biomining and bioleaching.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/min13060797/s1>, Table S1. Cluster and keywords resulting from analysis of keywords for the string "astrobiology"; Table S2. Cluster and keywords resulting from the analysis of keywords for the string "astrobiology" AND "biomining" OR "bioleaching".

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, L.T., D.G., G.C. and A.R.; methodology, L.T.; software, L.T.; validation L.T. and B.B.; formal analysis, L.T., B.B. and E.P.; investigation, L.T. and B.B.; data curation, L.T.; writing—original draft preparation, L.T., B.B. and E.P.; writing—review and editing, L.T., P.D.D., A.C., L.I., C.M., S.F., M.T.M.T., G.N., A.M.P., V.D.C., R.S., D.G., G.C. and A.R.; visualization, L.T.; supervision, D.G., G.C. and A.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was conducted thanks to the following funding: the PhD program PON "Ricerca e Innovazione" 2014–2020, DM n. 1061 (10 August 2021) and n. 1233 (30 July 2020) by the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca (MUR), and the ASI-INAF agreements I/024/12/0 and 2020-4-HH.0, by the Italian Space Agency (ASI). D.G. was partially supported by funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program Grant Agreement No. 948972—COEVOLVE—ERC-2020-STG.

**Data Availability Statement:** No new data were created.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Pier Paolo Franzese (University of Naples-Parthenope) for organizing a seminar on bibliometric network analysis, and we thank Flavio Picone (University of Palermo) for the short course on VOSviewer. L.T. is grateful to the ExoBioNapoli group, the ExoPlaNats group, the GiovannelliLab for their support, and all the members of the PhD commission. We thank MUR (Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca) for the PhD program PON "Ricerca e Innovazione" 2014–2020, DM n.1061 (10 August 2021) and n. 1233 (30 July 2020).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Cockell, C. “Astrobiology” and the Ethics of New Science. *Interdiscip. Sci. Rev.* **2001**, *26*, 90–96. [CrossRef]
2. Chyba, C.F.; Hand, K.P. Astrobiology: The Study of the Living Universe. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **2005**, *43*, 31–74. [CrossRef]
3. Gumulya, Y.; Zea, L.; Kaksonen, A.H. In situ resource utilisation: The potential for space biomineralization. *Miner. Eng.* **2022**, *176*, 107288. [CrossRef]
4. Magliano, C.; Covone, G.; Ienco, R.M.; Cacciapuoti, L.; Inno, L.; Rotundi, A.; Fiscale, S.; Gallo, F.; Tonietti, L.; Muscari Tomajoli, M.T. The Efficiency of the Oxygenic Photosynthesis on Earth-like Planets in the Habitable Zone. In Proceedings of the 44th COSPAR Scientific Assembly, Online, 16–24 July 2022; Volume 44, p. 582.
5. Rotundi, A.; Sierks, H.; Della Corte, V.; Fulle, M.; Gutierrez, P.J.; Lara, L.; Barbieri, C.; Lamy, P.L.; Rodrigo, R.; Koschny, D.; et al. Dust Measurements in the Coma of Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko Inbound to the Sun. *Science* **2015**, *347*, aaa3905. [CrossRef]
6. Piccirillo, A.M.; Della Corte, V.; Ferretti, S.; Musolino, A.; Zakharov, V.; di Paolo, F.; Rotundi, A.; Ammannito, E.; Amoroso, M.; Bertini, I.; et al. A Dedicated Simulation Chain for Hypervelocity Impacts Effects on DISC Sensor. In Proceedings of the 44th COSPAR Scientific Assembly, Online, 16–24 July 2022; Volume 44, p. 211.
7. Magliano, C.; Covone, G.; Döbal, R.; Cacciapuoti, L.; Tonietti, L.; Giacalone, S.; Vines, J.I.; Inno, L.; Jenkins, J.S.; Lissauer, J.J.; et al. A systematic validation of hot Neptunes in TESS data. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2022**, *519*, 1562–1577. [CrossRef]
8. Lo Sapio, L. The Ethics of Astrobiology: Humanity’s Place in the Cosmos and the Extinction Problem. *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 1–5. [CrossRef]
9. Montalenti, G. From Aristotle to Democritus via Darwin: A Short Survey of a Long Historical and Logical Journey. In *Studies in the Philosophy of Biology: Reduction and Related Problems*; Ayala, F.J., Dobzhansky, T., Eds.; Macmillan Education: London, UK, 1974; pp. 3–19. ISBN 978-1-349-01892-5.
10. Milovanović, M. Geocentrism and Heliocentrism as Opposed Paradigmatic Conceptions. *Eur. J. Sci. Theol.* **2013**, *9*, 31–45.
11. Martinez, A.A. Giordano Bruno and the Heresy of Many Worlds. *Ann. Sci.* **2016**, *73*, 345–374. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Goldilocks Zone—Exoplanet Exploration: Planets Beyond Our Solar System. Available online: <https://exoplanets.nasa.gov/resources/323/goldilocks-zone/> (accessed on 25 May 2023).
13. Nascimento-Dias, B.L.D.; Martinez-Frias, J. Brief review about history of astrobiology. *Int. J. Astrobiol.* **2022**, *22*, 67–78. [CrossRef]
14. Lemmon, R.M. Chemical Evolution. *Chem. Rev.* **1970**, *70*, 95–109. [CrossRef]
15. Hazen, R.M.; Papineau, D.; Bleeker, W.; Downs, R.T.; Ferry, J.M.; McCoy, T.J.; Sverjensky, D.A.; Yang, H. Mineral Evolution. *Am. Mineral.* **2008**, *93*, 1693–1720. [CrossRef]
16. Russell, M.J.; Hall, A.J.; Martin, W. Serpentinization as a Source of Energy at the Origin of Life. *Geobiology* **2010**, *8*, 355–371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
17. Schröder, C.; Köhler, I.; Müller, F.L.L.; Chumakov, A.I.; Kuppenko, I.; Ruffer, R.; Kappler, A. The Biogeochemical Iron Cycle and Astrobiology. *Hyperfine Interact.* **2016**, *237*, 85. [CrossRef]
18. Sandford, S.A.; Nuevo, M.; Bera, P.P.; Lee, T.J. Prebiotic Astrochemistry and the Formation of Molecules of Astrobiological Interest in Interstellar Clouds and Protostellar Disks. *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *120*, 4616–4659. [CrossRef]
19. Shu, W.-S.; Huang, L.-N. Microbial Diversity in Extreme Environments. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2022**, *20*, 219–235. [CrossRef]
20. Merino, N.; Aronson, H.S.; Bojanova, D.P.; Feyhl-Buska, J.; Wong, M.L.; Zhang, S.; Giovannelli, D. Living at the Extremes: Extremophiles and the Limits of Life in a Planetary Context. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 780. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
21. Bang, C.; Dagan, T.; Deines, P.; Dubilier, N.; Duschl, W.J.; Fraune, S.; Hentschel, U.; Hirt, H.; Hülter, N.; Lachnit, T.; et al. Metaorganisms in Extreme Environments: Do Microbes Play a Role in Organismal Adaptation? *Zoology* **2018**, *127*, 1–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
22. Santomartino, R.; Zea, L.; Cockell, C.S. The Smallest Space Miners: Principles of Space Biomineralization. *Extremophiles* **2022**, *26*, 7. [CrossRef]
23. Mahajan, S.; Gupta, A.; Sharma, R. Bioleaching and Biomineralization. In *Principles and Applications of Environmental Biotechnology for a Sustainable Future*; Singh, R.L., Ed.; Applied Environmental Science and Engineering for a Sustainable Future; Springer: Singapore, 2017; pp. 393–423. ISBN 978-981-10-1866-4.
24. Schippers, A.; Hedrich, S.; Vasters, J.; Drobe, M.; Sand, W.; Willscher, S. Biomineralization: Metal Recovery from Ores with Microorganisms. In *Geobiotechnology I: Metal-related Issues*; Schippers, A., Glombitza, F., Sand, W., Eds.; Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2014; pp. 1–47. ISBN 978-3-642-54710-2.
25. Luís, A.T.; Córdoba, F.; Antunes, C.; Loayza-Muro, R.; Grande, J.A.; Silva, B.; Diaz-Curiel, J.; Ferreira da Silva, E. Extremely Acidic Eukaryotic (Micro) Organisms: Life in Acid Mine Drainage Polluted Environments—Mini-Review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 376. [CrossRef]
26. Abe, Y.; Kokubo, T.; Yamamuro, T. Apatite Coating on Ceramics, Metals and Polymers Utilizing a Biological Process. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* **1990**, *1*, 233–238. [CrossRef]
27. Duda, T.; Raghavan, L.V. 3D Metal Printing Technology. *IFAC-Pap.* **2016**, *49*, 103–110. [CrossRef]
28. Vidali, M. Bioremediation. An overview. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2001**, *73*, 1163–1172. [CrossRef]
29. Iwamoto, T.; Nasu, M. Current Bioremediation Practice and Perspective. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* **2001**, *92*, 1–8. [CrossRef]
30. Quatrini, R.; Johnson, D.B. Acidithiobacillus Ferrooxidans. *Trends Microbiol.* **2019**, *27*, 282–283. [CrossRef]

31. Rawlings, D.E.; Johnson, D.B. The Microbiology of Biomining: Development and Optimization of Mineral-Oxidizing Microbial Consortia. *Microbiology* **2007**, *153*, 315–324. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Cockell, C.S.; Santomartino, R.; Finster, K.; Waajen, A.C.; Eades, L.J.; Moeller, R.; Rettberg, P.; Fuchs, F.M.; Van Houdt, R.; Leys, N.; et al. Space Station Biomining Experiment Demonstrates Rare Earth Element Extraction in Microgravity and Mars Gravity. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 5523. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Orell, A.; Remonsellez, F.; Arancibia, R.; Jerez, C.A. Molecular Characterization of Copper and Cadmium Resistance Determinants in the Biomining Thermoacidophilic Archaeon *Sulfolobus Metallicus*. *Archaea* **2013**, *2013*, e289236. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Urbietta, M.S.; Rascovan, N.; Vázquez, M.P.; Donati, E. Genome Analysis of the Thermoacidophilic Archaeon *Acidianus Copahuensis* Focusing on the Metabolisms Associated to Biomining Activities. *BMC Genom.* **2017**, *18*, 445. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Zebec, Z.; Rittmann, S.; Schleper, C.; Milojevic, T. Meteorite-Associated Growth Physiology of the Iron Oxidising Extremophile *Metallosphaera Sedula*. In Proceedings of the EGU General Assembly, Vienna, Austria, 12–17 April 2015; p. 11431.
36. Donati, C.C.; Edgardo, R. Innovative Biomining: Metal Recovery from Valuable Residues. In *Heavy Metals in the Environment*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2017; ISBN 978-1-315-23307-9.
37. Valdés, J.; Pedroso, I.; Quatrini, R.; Dodson, R.J.; Tettelin, H.; Blake, R.; Eisen, J.A.; Holmes, D.S. Acidithiobacillus Ferrooxidans Metabolism: From Genome Sequence to Industrial Applications. *BMC Genom.* **2008**, *9*, 597. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Johnson, D.B. The Biogeochemistry of Biomining. In *Geomicrobiology: Molecular and Environmental Perspective*; Barton, L.L., Mandl, M., Loy, A., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2010; pp. 401–426. ISBN 978-90-481-9204-5.
39. Rana, S.; Mishra, P.; Wahid, Z.A.; Thakur, S.; Pant, D.; Singh, L. Microbe-Mediated Sustainable Bio-Recovery of Gold from Low-Grade Precious Solid Waste: A Microbiological Overview. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2020**, *89*, 47–64. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Dushyantha, N.; Batapola, N.; Ilankoon, I.M.S.K.; Rohitha, S.; Premasiri, R.; Abeysinghe, B.; Ratnayake, N.; Dissanayake, K. The Story of Rare Earth Elements (REEs): Occurrences, Global Distribution, Genesis, Geology, Mineralogy and Global Production. *Ore Geol. Rev.* **2020**, *122*, 103521. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Omodara, L.; Pitkäaho, S.; Turpeinen, E.-M.; Saavalainen, P.; Oravisjärvi, K.; Keiski, R.L. Recycling and Substitution of Light Rare Earth Elements, Cerium, Lanthanum, Neodymium, and Praseodymium from End-of-Life Applications—A Review. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2019**, *236*, 117573. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Li, X.; Liang, X.; He, H.; Li, J.; Ma, L.; Tan, W.; Zhong, Y.; Zhu, J.; Zhou, M.-F.; Dong, H. Microorganisms Accelerate REE Mineralization in Supergene Environments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2022**, *88*, e00632-22. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Mowafy, A.M. Biological Leaching of Rare Earth Elements. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *36*, 61. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Cheng, Y.; Zhang, T.; Zhang, L.; Ke, Z.; Kovarik, L.; Dong, H. Resource Recovery: Adsorption and Biomineralization of Cerium by *Bacillus Licheniformis*. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2022**, *426*, 127844. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Tichy, R.; Rulkens, W.H.; Grotenhuis, J.T.C.; Nydl, V.; Cuypers, C.; Fajtl, J. Bioleaching of Metals from Soils or Sediments. *Water Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *37*, 119–127. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Biomining—Journals of India. 2020. Available online: <https://journalsofindia.com> (accessed on 5 May 2023).
47. Anand, M.; Crawford, I.A.; Balat-Pichelin, M.; Abanades, S.; van Westrenen, W.; Péraudeau, G.; Jaumann, R.; Seboldt, W. A Brief Review of Chemical and Mineralogical Resources on the Moon and Likely Initial in Situ Resource Utilization (ISRU) Applications. *Planet. Space Sci.* **2012**, *74*, 42–48. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Picone, F.; Buonocore, E.; Chemello, R.; Russo, G.F.; Franzese, P.P. Exploring the Development of Scientific Research on Marine Protected Areas: From Conservation to Global Ocean Sustainability. *Ecol. Inform.* **2021**, *61*, 101200. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Saggiomo, L.; Esattore, B.; Picone, F. What Are We Talking about? Sika Deer (*Cervus Nippon*): A Bibliometric Network Analysis. *Ecol. Inform.* **2020**, *60*, 101146. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.