



# Article A New Equilibrium Version of Ekeland's Variational Principle and Its Applications

Yuqiang Feng <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Juntao Xie<sup>1</sup> and Bo Wu<sup>3</sup>

- School of Science, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430065, China; x18039442960@163.com
- <sup>2</sup> Hubei Province Key Laboratory of Systems Science in Metallurgical Process, Wuhan 430065, China
- <sup>3</sup> State Key Laboratory of Environment-Friendly Energy Materials, Mianyang 621010, China; lengfeng.03@163.com
- \* Correspondence: yqfeng6@126.com

**Abstract:** In this note, a new equilibrium version of Ekeland's variational principle is presented. It is a modification and promotion of previous results. Subsequently, the principle is applied to discuss the equilibrium points for binary functions and the fixed points for nonlinear mappings.

Keywords: Ekeland-type variational principle; bi-function; equilibrium point; fixed point

MSC: 47H10; 49J40; 54H25

## 1. Introduction

Ekeland's variational principle (abbrev. **EVP**), which is considered to be the basis of modern calculus of variations, was presented in 1974 (see, for instance [1,2]). It is widely used in many fields, such as differential equations, optimization, fixed point theory, etc. It is precisely the wide application of this theorem that it has attracted the attention of a large number of scholars, and has been promoted from all directions. For example, Zhong [3] extended the form of EVP in metric space; we rewrite the result as follows.

**Theorem 1** (EVP of Zhong-type [3]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and  $x_0 \in X$  fixed. The function  $f : X \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$  is bounded from below, lower semi-continuous, and not identically  $+\infty$ .

If  $g: [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$  is a continuous non-decreasing function such satisfying

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1+g(r)} dr = +\infty,$$

*then, for any*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *,*  $y \in M$  *such that* 

$$f(y) < \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \varepsilon$$

and, for any 
$$\lambda > 0$$
, there exists  $z \in X$  satisfying

$$f(z) \le f(y)$$
$$d(z, x_0) \le \bar{z} + r_0$$

$$d(z, x_0) \le \bar{r} + r_0$$

$$f(x) \ge f(z) - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda(1 + g(d(x_0, z)))} d(x, z) \quad \forall x \in M$$



**Citation:** Feng, Y.; Xie, J.; Wu, B. A New Equilibrium Version of Ekeland's Variational Principle and Its Applications. *Axioms* **2022**, *11*, 68. https://doi.org/10.3390/ axioms11020068

Academic Editor: Savin Treanță

Received: 8 December 2021 Accepted: 1 February 2022 Published: 9 February 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

and

where  $r_0 = d(x_0, y)$  and  $\bar{r}$  is such that

$$\int_{r_0}^{\bar{r}+r_0} \frac{1}{1+g(r)} \, dr \ge \lambda$$

Oettli and Théra [4] and Blum and Oettli [5] investigated the equilibrium versions of EVP. In [6], Bianchi et al. presented equilibrium versions of EVP as follows

Let *X* be an Euclidean space,  $C \subseteq X$  be a closed set and  $f : C \times C \rightarrow R$ .

**Theorem 2** ([6]). Assume the following assumptions are satisfied:

- (*i*)  $f(x, \cdot)$  is lower bounded and lower semicontinuous, for every  $x \in C$ ;
- (ii) f(t,t) = 0, for every  $t \in C$ ;
- (iii)  $f(z,x) \leq f(z,t) + f(t,x)$  for every  $x, t, z \in C$ .

*Then, for every*  $\varepsilon > 0$  *and for every*  $x_0 \in C$ *, there exists*  $\overline{x} \in C$  *such that* 

- (a)  $f(x_0, \overline{x}) + \varepsilon ||x_0 \overline{x}|| \le 0;$
- (b)  $f(\overline{x}, x) + \varepsilon \|\overline{x} x\| > 0, \forall x \in C, x \neq \overline{x}.$

Farkas and Molnar [7] improved the conclusion in [6], and obtained a Zhong-type variational principle for bi-functions as follows:

**Theorem 3** ([7]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $C \subset X$  be a closed set, and  $f : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  be a mapping. Let  $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  be a continuous nondecreasing function such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{g(s)} ds = +\infty$$

Let  $x_0 \in C$  be fixed. Assume that the following assumptions be satisfied:

- (*i*)  $f(x, \cdot)$  is bounded from below and lower semicontinuous, for every  $x \in C$ ;
- (ii) f(z,z) = 0, for every  $z \in C$ ;
- (iii)  $f(z,x) \leq f(z,t) + f(t,x)$  for every  $x, t, z \in C$ ;

*Then, for every*  $\varepsilon > 0$  *and*  $y \in C$  *for which we have* 

$$\inf_{z \in C} f(y, z) > -\varepsilon \tag{1}$$

and for every  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists  $x_{\varepsilon}$  such that

(a)  $d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}) < r + \overline{r};$ (b)  $f(x_{\varepsilon}, x_0) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda(1+g(d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon})))} d(x_{\varepsilon}, x_0) \le 0;$ (c)  $f(x_{\varepsilon}, x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda(1+g(d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon})))} d(x, x_{\varepsilon}) > 0, \forall x \in C, x \neq x_{\varepsilon};$ where  $x_{\varepsilon} = d(x_{\varepsilon}, x)$  and  $\overline{x}$  are chosen such that

where  $r_0 = d(x_0, y)$  and  $\bar{r}$  are chosen such that

$$\int_{r_0}^{r_0+\bar{r}} \frac{1}{1+g(r)} dr \ge \lambda.$$

However, when proving (a), there are some errors in [7].

In the process of proving  $\{d(x_0, x_n) < r_0 + \bar{r}\}(14)$ , they presented the following inequality,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{1 + g(d(x_0, x_{n+1}))} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{d(x_0, x_{n+1}) - d(x_0, x_n)}{1 + g(d(x_0, x_{n+1}))}$$
$$\geq \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \int_{d(x_0, x_n)}^{d(x_0, x_{n+1})} \frac{1}{1 + g(r)} dr$$
$$= \int_{d(x_0, x_1)}^{d(x_0, x_k)} \frac{1}{1 + g(r)} dr$$

But in fact, by the continuity and monotonicity of g and the definition of  $W(x_n)$ , we have  $d(x_0, x_n) < d(x_0, x_{n+1})$ , then for  $d(x_0, x_n) \le r \le d(x_0, x_{n+1})$ ,

$$\frac{1}{1+g(r)} \ge \frac{1}{1+g(d(x_0, x_{n+1}))}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \int_{d(x_0, x_{n+1})}^{d(x_0, x_{n+1})} \frac{1}{1+g(r)} dr \geq \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \int_{d(x_0, x_{n+1})}^{d(x_0, x_{n+1})} \frac{1}{1+g(d(x_0, x_{n+1}))} dr$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{d(x_0, x_{n+1}) - d(x_0, x_n)}{1+g(d(x_0, x_{n+1}))},$$

which contradicts their conclusion.

In this note, we aim at modifying the result of [7], and establish a new equilibrium form of the Ekeland's variational principle for bi-function. Then, the conclusions are used to discuss the equilibrium point problem and fixed point problem. Some recent advances in Ekeland's variational principles and applications can be seen in [8–19] and references therein.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we state a new version of Ekeland's variational principle for bi-functions. In Section 3, as applications of the main result, we discuss a equilibrium problem and a fixed point problem.

### 2. A New Equilibrium Version of EVP

In this section, we establish a new equilibrium version of EVP.

**Theorem 4.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $C \subset X$  be a closed set,  $x_0 \in C$  fixed, and  $g : [0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  be a continuous nondecreasing function such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{g(s)} ds = m, \ (0 < m \le +\infty).$$

If  $f : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfies:

(*i*)  $f(x, \cdot)$  is bounded from below and lower semi-continuous,  $\forall x \in C$ ;

(*ii*)  $f(y, y) = 0, \forall y \in C$ ;

(iii)  $f(x,z) \le f(x,y) + f(y,z), \forall x, y, z \in C.$ Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0, 0 < \alpha < m$  fulfilling

$$\inf_{z \in C} f(x_0, z) > -\alpha \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

*there is*  $x_{\varepsilon} \in C$  *such that* 

(a) 
$$f(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{g(d(x_0, x_0))} d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}) \le 0$$

(b)  $f(x_{\varepsilon}, x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{g(d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}))} d(x_{\varepsilon}, x) > 0, \forall x \in C, x \neq x_{\varepsilon};$ 

(c)  $d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}) \leq l$ , where l satisfies

Proof. Let

$$T(x) = \{y \in C \setminus B(x_0, d(x_0, x)) | f(x_0, x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{g(d(x_0, x))} d(x_0, x) \le 0\}$$

 $\int_0^l \frac{1}{g(s)} ds = \alpha.$ 

In the same manner as the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [7], we can construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq C$  such that

(1)  $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n), T(x_{n+1}) \subset T(x_n), n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots;$ (2) diam $T(x_n) \to 0.$ 

Due to the completeness of *X* and the closeness of *C*, there is a unique  $x_{\varepsilon} \in C$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=x_{\varepsilon}, \quad \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty}T(x_n)=\{x_{\varepsilon}\}.$$

As  $x_{\varepsilon} \in T(x_0)$ , we have

$$f(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{g(d(x_0, x_0))} d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}) \le 0.$$

This verifies assertion (a).

Due to  $x_{\varepsilon} \in T(x_n)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ , we obtain  $T(x_{\varepsilon}) \subset T(x_n)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ . Hence

$$T(x_{\varepsilon}) \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} T(x_n).$$

and  $T(x_{\varepsilon}) = \{x_{\varepsilon}\}.$ 

Therefore, the assertion

(b) 
$$f(x_{\varepsilon}, x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{g(d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}))} d(x_{\varepsilon}, x) > 0, \quad \forall x \in C, x \neq x_{\varepsilon}$$

holds.

In what follows, let us verify conclusion (c). As  $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$ ,

$$f(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{g(d(x_0, x_n))} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le 0, \ (n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} f(x_j, x_{j+1}) + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{g(d(x_0, x_j))} d(x_j, x_{j+1}) \le 0$$

Noting that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} f(x_j, x_{j+1}) \ge f(x_0, x_{n+1}), \tag{3}$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{g(d(x_0, x_j))} d(x_j, x_{j+1}) \le -\sum_{j=0}^{n} f(x_j, x_{j+1}) \le -f(x_0, x_{n+1}) < \alpha \varepsilon,$$

which means

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{g(d(x_0, x_j))} d(x_j, x_{j+1}) < \alpha$$

We assert  $d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}) \leq l$ . Contrarily, assume  $d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}) > l$ .

Take  $\{n_i\}$  as a subsequence of  $\{n\}$  such that  $\{d(x_0, x_{n_i})\}$  is monotone increasing, converges to  $d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon})$  and

$$d(x_0, x_k) \leq d(x_0, x_{n_{i-1}}) \ (k = n_{i-1} + 1, n_{i-1} + 2, \cdots, n_i - 1),$$

then

$$\sum_{k=n_{i-1}}^{n_i-1} \frac{d(x_k, x_{k+1})}{g(d(x_0, x_k))} \geq \sum_{k=n_{i-1}}^{n_i-1} \frac{d(x_k, x_{k+1})}{g(d(x_0, x_{n_{i-1}}))} \geq \frac{d(x_{n_{i-1}}, x_{n_i})}{g(d(x_0, x_{n_{i-1}}))} \geq \frac{d(x_{n_i}, x_{n_i})}{g(d(x_0, x_{n_{i-1}}))} \frac{1}{g(s)} ds$$

which implies

$$\alpha > \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{g(d(x_0, x_n))} \ge \int_0^{d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon})} \frac{1}{g(s)} ds > \int_0^l \frac{1}{g(s)} ds = \alpha_0$$

a contradiction.

This completes the proof of conclusion (c).  $\Box$ 

If there exists  $\varphi : X \to \mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $f(x, y) = \varphi(y) - \varphi(x)$ , we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $C \subset X$  be a closed set,  $x_0 \in C$  fixed and  $\varphi : C \to \mathbf{R}^+$  be a bounded from below and lower semi-continuous mapping,  $g : [0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  be a continuous nondecreasing function such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{g(s)} ds = m, (0 < m \le +\infty)$$

*If and*  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < m$  *satisfy* 

$$\varphi(x_0) \leq \inf_{x \in C} \varphi + \alpha \varepsilon,$$

then there exists  $x_{\varepsilon}$  such that

(a)  $\varphi(x_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varphi(x_{0});$ (b)  $\varphi(x) > \varphi(x_{\varepsilon}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{g(d(x_{0}, x_{\varepsilon}))}d(x, x_{\varepsilon}) \quad \forall x \in C \text{ with } x \neq x_{\varepsilon};$ (c)  $d(x_{0}, x_{\varepsilon}) \leq l;$ where l satisfies  $\ell^{l} = 1$ 

$$\int_0^l \frac{1}{g(s)} ds = \alpha$$

**Remark 1.** Corollary 1 can be seen as an extension of Theorem 2.1 in [8].

## 3. Applications

As applications of Theorem 4, we first discuss the existence of equilibrium point for a bi-function.

By an equilibrium problem (abbrev. EP), we understand the problem of finding

$$\bar{x} \in X$$
 such that  $f(\bar{x}, x) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall x \in C$ .

where *C* is a given subset of a metric space *X* and  $f : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a given bi-function.

**Theorem 5.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $C \subset X$  be a compact set. Assume  $f : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfies

- (*i*)  $f(x, \cdot)$  is bounded from below and lower semi-continuous, for every  $x \in C$ ;
- (ii) f(z,z) = 0, for every  $z \in C$ ;
- (iii)  $f(z,x) \leq f(z,t) + f(t,x)$  for every  $x, t, z \in C$ ;
- (iv)  $f(\cdot, y)$  is upper semi-continuous, for every  $y \in C$ .

Then, the equilibrium problem (EP) has a solution.

**Proof.** Let  $g(s) \equiv 1$ . It is a continuous nondecreasing function such and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{g(s)} ds = +\infty$$

Let  $x_0 \in C$  be fixed, for every  $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\alpha = n(b-1)$ , where  $b = \inf_{z \in C} f(x_0, z)$ . Then, by Theorem 4 (b), there exists  $x_n \in C$  such that

$$f(x_n, x) + \frac{1}{n}d(x_n, x) \ge 0, \forall x \in C$$

Due to compactness of *C*, there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  which is convergent, i.e., there exists  $\bar{x} \in C$ , such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} x_{n_k} = \bar{x}$$

Hence, we have

$$f(\bar{x}, x) \ge \lim_{k \to \infty} \sup[f(x_{n_k}, x) + \frac{1}{n_k} d(x_{n_k}, x)] \ge 0, \forall x \in C$$

This implies that  $\bar{x}$  is a solution to the equilibrium problem (EP).  $\Box$ 

Then, we establish the following Caristi type fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 6.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $x_0 \in X$  fixed, and  $\varphi : X \to \mathbf{R}^+$  be a bounded from below and lower semicontinuous mapping,  $g : [0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  be a continuous nondecreasing function such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{g(s)} \, ds = m$$

where  $m \in \mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ .

If a mapping  $K : X \to X$  satisfies: for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\varepsilon d(x, K(x))}{g(d(x_0, x))} \le \varphi(x) - \varphi(K(x)) \quad \forall x \in X,$$
(4)

then *K* has a fixed point in *X*.

**Proof.** Let  $f(x, y) = \varphi(y) - \varphi(x)$ , C = X. By the proof of Theorem 4, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  and  $x_{\varepsilon} \in X$ , such that  $x_n \to x_{\varepsilon}$  as  $n \to \infty$  and

$$\varphi(x) > \varphi(x_{\varepsilon}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{g(d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}))} d(x, x_{\varepsilon}) \quad \forall x \in C, x \neq x_{\varepsilon}$$
(5)

In what follows, we will prove that  $x_{\varepsilon}$  is a fixed point of K. Conversely, suppose that  $x_{\varepsilon} \neq K(x_{\varepsilon})$ . Let  $x = K(x_{\varepsilon})$  and substitute it into (5), we find

$$\varphi(K(x_{\varepsilon})) \ge \varphi(x_{\varepsilon}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{g(d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}))} d(K(x_{\varepsilon}), x_{\varepsilon}).$$
(6)

Taking  $x_{\varepsilon}$  instead of x in (4), we have that

$$\frac{\varepsilon d(x_{\varepsilon}, K(x_{\varepsilon}))}{g(d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}))} \le \varphi(x_{\varepsilon}) - \varphi(K(x_{\varepsilon}))$$
(7)

Combing the inequalities (6) with (7), we know

$$\frac{\varepsilon d(x_{\varepsilon}, K(x_{\varepsilon}))}{g(d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}))} \leq \varphi(x_{\varepsilon}) - \varphi(K(x_{\varepsilon})) < \frac{\varepsilon d(x_{\varepsilon}, K(x_{\varepsilon}))}{g(d(x_0, x_{\varepsilon}))}$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus  $x_{\varepsilon} = K(x_{\varepsilon})$ , i.e.,  $x_{\varepsilon}$  is a fixed point of *K*.  $\Box$ 

**Author Contributions:** Methodology, Y.F. and J.X.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.F. and J.X.; writing—review and editing, Y.F.; funding acquisition, B.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research is partially supported by the Open Project of State Key Laboratory of Environment-friendly Energy Materials (19kfhg08).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank the anonymous referees for their valuable constructive comments and suggestions, which improved the quality of this paper in the present form.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- 1. Ekeland, I. On the variational principle. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1974, 4, 324–353. [CrossRef]
- 2. Ekeland, I. Nonconvex minimization problems. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 1979, 1, 443–474. [CrossRef]
- 3. Zhong, C.-K. A generalization of Ekeland's variational principle and application to the study of the relation between the P.S. condition and coercivity. *Nonlinear Anal.* **1997**, *29*, 1421–1431.
- 4. Oettli, W.; Théra, M. Equivalents of Ekeland's principle. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 1993, 48, 385–392. [CrossRef]
- 5. Blum, E.; Oettli, W. From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems. *Math. Stud.* **1994**, *63*, 123–145.
- 6. Bianchi, M.; Kassay, G.; Pini, R. Existence of equilibria via Ekeland's principle. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2005, 305, 502–512. [CrossRef]
- Farkas, C.; Molnár, A.É. A generalization variational principle and its application to equilibrium problem. J Optim. Theory Appl. 2013, 156, 213–231. [CrossRef]
- 8. Guo, Y. A generalization form of Ekeland variational principle and applications. *J. Syst. Sci. Math. Sci.* 2003, 23, 94–99. (In Chinese)
- Zeng, J.; Li, S.-J. An Ekeland's variational principle for set-valued mappings with applications. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 2009, 230, 477–484. [CrossRef]
- Castellani, M.; Giuli, M. Ekeland's principle for cyclically antimonotone equilibrium problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* 2016, 32, 213–228. [CrossRef]
- Alleche, B.; Rădulescu, V.D. The Ekeland variational principle for Equilibrium Problems revisited and applications. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 2015, 23, 17–25. [CrossRef]
- 12. Qiu, J.-H.; He, F.; Soubeyran, A. Equilibrium versions of variational principles in quasi-metric spaces and the robust trap problem. *Optimization* **2018**, *67*, 25–53. [CrossRef]
- 13. Al-Homidan, S.; Ansari, Q.H.; Yao, J.-C. Some generalizations of Ekeland-type variational principle with applications to equilibrium problems and fixed point theory. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2008**, *69*, 126–139. [CrossRef]
- 14. Bianchi, M.; Kassay, G.; Pini, R. Ekeland's principle for vector equilibrium problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2007**, *66*, 1454–1464. [CrossRef]
- 15. Gong, X. Ekeland's principle for set-valued vector equilibrium problems. Acta Math. Sci. 2014, 34, 1179–1192. [CrossRef]

- 16. Hamel, A.H. Equivalents to Ekeland's variational principle in uniform spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 2005, 62, 913–924. [CrossRef]
- 17. Lin, L.-J.; Du, W.-S. Ekeland's variational principle, minimax theorems and existence of nonconvex equilibria in complete metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2006**, *323*, 360–370. [CrossRef]
- 18. Qiu, J.-H. An equilibrium version of vectorial Ekeland variational principle and its applications to equilibrium problems. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **2016**, *27*, 26–42. [CrossRef]
- 19. Qiu, J.-H. An equilibrium version of set-valued Ekeland variational principle and its applications to set-valued vector equilibrium problems. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **2017**, *33*, 210–234. [CrossRef]