

Article

# $(\omega, c)$ -Periodic Solutions to Fractional Differential Equations with Impulses

Lulu Ren and JinRong Wang \* 

Department of Mathematics, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, China; llrenmath@126.com

\* Correspondence: jrwang@gzu.edu.cn

**Abstract:** This paper deals with the  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions to impulsive fractional differential equations with Caputo fractional derivative with a fixed lower limit. Firstly, a necessary and sufficient condition of the existence of  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions to linear problem is given. Secondly, the existence and uniqueness of  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions to semilinear problem are proven. Lastly, two examples are given to demonstrate our results.

**Keywords:**  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions; fractional differential equation; impulse

**MSC:** 26A33



**Citation:** Ren, L.; Wang, J.

$(\omega, c)$ -Periodic Solutions to Fractional Differential Equations with Impulses. *Axioms* **2022**, *11*, 83. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms11030083>

Academic Editors: Viktor N. Orlov, Michal Fečkan and Chris Goodrich

Received: 19 January 2022

Accepted: 21 February 2022

Published: 22 February 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Alvarez et al. [1] introduced a new concept of  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic functions: a continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is a complex Banach space, is  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic if  $f(t + \omega) = cf(t)$  holds for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\omega > 0, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, Alvarez et al. [2] proved the existence and uniqueness of  $(N, \lambda)$ -periodic solutions to a class of Volterra difference equations. For more research on  $(\omega, c)$ -period systems, we refer the readers to [3–6].

In recent years, impulsive fractional differential equations have attracted more and more scholars' attentions. For the existence of solutions and control problems, we refer to [7–11]. Recently, Fečkan et al. [12] proved the existence of the periodic solutions of impulsive fractional differential equations. However, to our knowledge, the existence of  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions of impulsive fractional differential equations has not been studied. Motivated by [1,7,12–14], we study the following impulsive fractional differential equations with fixed lower limits

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_{t_0}^q u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & q \in (0, 1), t \neq t_k, t \in [t_0, \infty), \\ u(t_k^+) = u(t_k^-) + \Delta_k, & k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where  ${}^c D_{t_0}^q u(t)$  is the Caputo fractional derivative with the lower time at  $t_0$ , and for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}, t_k < t_{k+1}, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$ .

In this paper, we deal with the existence of  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions impulsive fractional differential equations with fixed lower limit. We first study the existence of  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions to the linear problem, i.e.,  $f(t, u) = \rho u$ . Then, we prove the existence of  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions to the semilinear problem. Finally, we give two examples to illustrate our results.

## 2. Preliminaries

We introduce a Banach space  $PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) = \{x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : x \in C((t_k, t_{k+1}], \mathbb{R}^n), \text{ and } x(t_k^-) = x(t_k), x(t_k^+) \text{ exists } \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  endowed with the norm  $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|x(t)\|$ .

**Definition 1.** (see [15]) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $u$  be a  $n$  time differentiable function. The Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha > 0$  with the lower limit zero for  $u$  is given by

$${}^c D_0^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{n-\alpha-1} u^{(n)}(s) ds, \quad n - 1 < \alpha \leq n.$$

**Lemma 1.** Assume that  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous. A solution  $u \in PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  of the following impulsive fractional differential equations with fixed lower limit

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_{t_0}^q u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad q \in (0, 1), \quad t \neq t_k, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty), \\ u(t_k^+) = u(t_k^-) + \Delta_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ u(t_0) = u_{t_0}, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

is given by

$$u(t) = u(t_0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_0}^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \Delta_i, \quad t \geq t_0. \tag{2}$$

**Proof.** From Lemma 3.2 in [7], a solution  $u$  of Equation (1) is given by

$$u(t) = u(t_0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_0}^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_i, \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]. \tag{3}$$

Using

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_i = \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \Delta_i, \quad \forall t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}],$$

we get that (3) is equivalent to

$$u(t) = u(t_0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_0}^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \Delta_i \tag{4}$$

on  $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$ . Using the arbitrariness of  $k$ , we obtain that (4) holds on  $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (t_k, t_{k+1}]$ . Since (4) is independent of  $k$ , we obtain that (2) holds on  $[t_0, \infty)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.** (see [16], Theorem 2.4) A solution  $u \in PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  of following linear impulsive fractional differential equations with fixed lower limit

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_{t_0}^q u(t) = \rho u(t), \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}, \quad q \in (0, 1), \quad t \neq t_k, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty), \\ u(t_k^+) = (1 + \alpha_k) u(t_k^-), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ u(t_0) = u_{t_0}, \end{cases}$$

is given by

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} u_{t_0} E_q(\rho(t - t_0)^q), \quad t \in [t_0, t_1] \\ u_{t_0} \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \alpha_i E_q(\rho(t_i - t_0)^q)) E_q(\rho(t - t_0)^q), \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where  $E_q(\cdot)$  is the Mittag-Leffler function.

**Definition 3.** (see [1]) Let  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\omega > 0$ ,  $X$  denote a complex Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . A continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic if  $f(t + \omega) = cf(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 2.** (see [3], Lemma 2.2) Set  $\Phi_{\omega,c} := \{u : u \in PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)\}$  and  $u(\cdot + \omega) = cu(\cdot)$ . Then,  $u \in \Phi_{\omega,c}$  if, and only if, it holds

$$u(\omega) = cu(0). \tag{5}$$

**3.  $(\omega, c)$ -Periodic Solutions to Linear Problem**

Set  $t_0 = 0$ , we consider the following linear impulsive fractional differential equation with fixed lower limit

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_0^q u(t) = \rho u(t), \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}, q \in (0, 1), t \neq t_k, t \in [0, \infty), \\ u(t_k^+) = (1 + \alpha_k)u(t_k^-), k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

**Theorem 1.** Assume that there exists a constant  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\omega = t_N, t_{k+N} = t_k + \omega, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \alpha_{i+N} = \alpha_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, the linear impulsive fractional differential Equation (6) has a  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solution  $u \in \Phi_{\omega,c}$  if, and only if

$$u_0 \left( c - \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \alpha_i E_q(\rho t_i^q)) E_q(\rho \omega^q) \right) = 0. \tag{7}$$

**Proof.** “ $\Rightarrow$ ” If (6) has a  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solution  $u \in \Phi_{\omega,c}$ , i.e.,  $u(\cdot + \omega) = cu(\cdot)$ , then  $u(\omega) = cu(0)$ , i.e.,

$$u_0 \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \alpha_i E_q(\rho t_i^q)) E_q(\rho \omega^q) = cu_0$$

which implies that (7) holds.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” It follows from Definition 2 that Equation (7) has a solution  $u$  given by

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} u_0 E_q(\rho t^q), & t \in [0, t_1] \\ u_0 \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \alpha_i E_q(\rho t_i^q)) E_q(\rho t^q), & t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

If (7) holds, we obtain  $u(t_N) = u(\omega) = cu_0$ . Now, we prove that the solution  $u \in \Phi_{\omega,c}$ .

Case 1: For  $t \in (0, t_1]$ , we have  $t + \omega \in (t_N, t_{N+1}]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t + \omega) &= u_{t_N} E_q(\rho(t + \omega - t_N)^q) \\ &= u_{t_N} E_q(\rho t^q) = cu_0 E_q(\rho t^q) = cu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: For  $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $t + \omega \in (t_{k+N}, t_{k+N+1}]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t + \omega) &= u_{t_N} \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \alpha_{i+N} E_q(\rho(t_{i+N} - t_N)^q)) E_q(\rho(t + \omega - t_N)^q) \\ &= u_{t_N} \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \alpha_i E_q(\rho t_i^q)) E_q(\rho t^q) \\ &= cu_0 \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \alpha_i E_q(\rho t_i^q)) E_q(\rho t^q) \\ &= cu(t). \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain that (6) has a  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solution  $u \in \Phi_{\omega,c}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. $(\omega, c)$ -Periodic Solutions to Semilinear Problem

Set  $t_0 = 0$ , we consider the  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions of following impulsive fractional differential equations with fixed lower limit

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_0^q u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad q \in (0, 1), \quad t \neq t_k, \quad t \in [0, \infty), \\ u(t_k^+) = u(t_k^-) + \Delta_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

We assume the following conditions:

(I)  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous and

$$f(t + \omega, cu) = cf(t, u), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(II) There exists a constant  $A > 0$  such that

$$\|f(t, u) - f(t, v)\| \leq A\|u - v\|, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(III) There exist constant  $B > 0, P > 0$  such that

$$\|f(t, u)\| \leq B\|u\| + P, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(IV)  $\Delta_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and there exists a constant  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\omega = t_M, t_{k+M} = t_k + \omega$  and  $\Delta_{k+M} = \Delta_k$  hold for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that conditions (I), (IV) hold and  $c \neq 1$ . Then, the solution  $u \in \Psi := PC([0, \omega], \mathbb{R}^n)$  of Equation (8) satisfying (5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= (c - 1)^{-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad + (c - 1)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^M \Delta_k + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \Delta_k \quad t \in [0, \omega]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** It follows from (2) that the solution  $u \in PC([0, \omega], \mathbb{R}^n)$  is given by

$$u(t) = u(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} \Delta_k, \quad t \in [0, \omega]. \tag{9}$$

So we get

$$u(\omega) = u(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < \omega} \Delta_k = cu_0$$

which is equivalent to

$$u_0 = (c - 1)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < \omega} \Delta_k \right). \tag{10}$$

By (9) and (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= (c - 1)^{-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad + (c - 1)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^M \Delta_k + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \Delta_k. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that conditions (I), (II), (IV) hold and  $c \neq 1$ . If  $0 < \frac{A\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1}+1)}{\Gamma(q+1)} < 1$ , then the impulsive fractional differential Equation (8) has a unique  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solution  $u \in \Phi_{\omega,c}$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{\mu\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1}+1) + \Gamma(q+1)(|c-1|^{-1}+1) \sum_{k=1}^M \|\Delta_k\|}{\Gamma(q+1) - A\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1}+1)},$$

where  $\mu = \sup_{t \in [0, \omega]} \|f(t, 0)\|$ .

**Proof.** It follows from (I) that for any  $u \in \Phi_{\omega,c}$ , we have

$$f(t + \omega, u(t + \omega)) = f(t + \omega, cu(t)) = cf(t, u(t)), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

which implies that  $f(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \in \Phi_{\omega,c}$ .

Define the operator  $F : \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (Fu)(t) &= (c-1)^{-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \\ &+ (c-1)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^M \Delta_k + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \Delta_k. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

From Lemmas 2 and 3, we obtain that the fixed points of  $F$  determine the  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions of Equation (8). It is easy to see that  $F(\Psi) \subseteq \Psi$ . For any  $u, v \in \Psi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\| (Fu)(t) - (Fv)(t) \| \\ &= \left\| (c-1)^{-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (c-1)^{-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right\| \\ &\leq |c-1|^{-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (t - \tau)^{q-1} \|f(\tau, u(\tau)) - f(\tau, v(\tau))\| d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} \|f(\tau, u(\tau)) - f(\tau, v(\tau))\| d\tau \\ &\leq |c-1|^{-1} \frac{A}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\| d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{A}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\| d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{A}{\Gamma(q)} \|u - v\|_\infty \left( |c-1|^{-1} \int_0^\omega (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} d\tau + \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \frac{A\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1}+1)}{\Gamma(q+1)} \|u - v\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|Fu - Fv\|_\infty \leq \frac{A\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1}+1)}{\Gamma(q+1)} \|u - v\|_\infty.$$

From the condition  $0 < \frac{A\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1}+1)}{\Gamma(q+1)} < 1$ , we obtain that  $F$  is a contraction mapping. So, there exists a unique fixed point  $u$  of (11) satisfying  $u(\omega) = cu(0)$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that  $u \in \Phi_{\omega,c}$ . Then, we obtain that Equation (8) has a unique  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solution  $u \in \Phi_{\omega,c}$ .

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u(t)\| &\leq |c-1|^{-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega-\tau)^{q-1} \|f(\tau, u(\tau)) - f(\tau, 0)\| d\tau \\
 &\quad + |c-1|^{-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega-\tau)^{q-1} \|f(\tau, 0)\| d\tau \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{q-1} \|f(\tau, u(\tau)) - f(\tau, 0)\| d\tau \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{q-1} \|f(\tau, 0)\| d\tau \\
 &\quad + |c-1|^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^M \|\Delta_k\| + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} \|\Delta_k\| \\
 &\leq |c-1|^{-1} \frac{A}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega-\tau)^{q-1} \|u(\tau)\| d\tau + |c-1|^{-1} \frac{\mu}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega-\tau)^{q-1} d\tau \\
 &\quad + \frac{A}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{q-1} \|u(\tau)\| d\tau + \frac{\mu}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{q-1} d\tau \\
 &\quad + (|c-1|^{-1} + 1) \sum_{k=1}^M \|\Delta_k\| \\
 &\leq \frac{A\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1} + 1)}{\Gamma(q+1)} \|u\|_\infty + \frac{\mu\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1} + 1)}{\Gamma(q+1)} + (|c-1|^{-1} + 1) \sum_{k=1}^M \|\Delta_k\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{\mu\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1} + 1) + \Gamma(q+1)(|c-1|^{-1} + 1) \sum_{k=1}^M \|\Delta_k\|}{\Gamma(q+1) - A\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1} + 1)}.$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that conditions (I), (III), (IV) hold and  $c \neq 1$ . If  $B\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1} + 1) < \Gamma(q+1)$ , then the impulsive fractional differential Equation (8) has at least one  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solution  $u \in \Phi_{\omega, c}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbb{B}_r = \{u \in \Psi : \|u\|_\infty \leq r\}$ , where

$$r \geq \frac{P\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1} + 1) + \Gamma(q+1)(|c-1|^{-1} + 1) \sum_{k=1}^M \|\Delta_k\|}{\Gamma(q+1) - B\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1} + 1)}.$$

We consider  $F$  defined in (11) on  $\mathbb{B}_r$ . For any  $t \in [0, \omega]$  and any  $u \in \mathbb{B}_r$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|F(u)(t)\| &\leq |c-1|^{-1} \frac{B}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega-\tau)^{q-1} \|u(\tau)\| d\tau + |c-1|^{-1} \frac{P}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega (\omega-\tau)^{q-1} d\tau \\
 &\quad + \frac{B}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{q-1} \|u(\tau)\| d\tau + \frac{P}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{q-1} d\tau \\
 &\quad + |c-1|^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^M \|\Delta_k\| + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \|\Delta_k\| \tag{12} \\
 &\leq \frac{B\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1} + 1)}{\Gamma(q+1)} \|u\|_\infty + \frac{P\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1} + 1)}{\Gamma(q+1)} + (|c-1|^{-1} + 1) \sum_{k=1}^M \|\Delta_k\| \\
 &\leq r,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\|Fu\|_\infty \leq r$ . So,  $F(\mathbb{B}_r) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_r$ .

We prove that  $F$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{B}_r$ .

Let  $\{u_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_r$  and  $u_i \rightarrow u$  on  $\mathbb{B}_r$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . By the continuity of  $f$ , we get  $f(\tau, u_i(\tau)) \rightarrow f(\tau, u(\tau))$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u_i(\tau)) &\rightarrow (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty, \\ (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u_i(\tau)) &\rightarrow (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Using condition (III), we obtain that for any  $0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq \omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\omega \|(\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u_i(\tau)) - (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau \\ &\leq 2(Br + P) \int_0^\omega (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} d\tau \leq 2(Br + P) q^{-1} \omega^q < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \|(t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u_i(\tau)) - (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau \\ &\leq 2(Br + P) \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{q-1} d\tau \leq 2(Br + P) q^{-1} \omega^q < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\int_0^\omega \|(\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u_i(\tau)) - (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|(t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u_i(\tau)) - (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, for any  $t \in [0, \omega]$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(Fu_i)(t) - (Fu)(t)\| \\ &\leq (c - 1)^{-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\omega \|(\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u_i(\tau)) - (\omega - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \|(t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u_i(\tau)) - (t - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $F$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{B}_r$ .

We prove that  $F$  is pre-compact.

For any  $t_i < t \leq s \leq t_{i+1}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \Delta_k - \sum_{0 < t_k < s} \Delta_k \right\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^i \Delta_k - \sum_{k=1}^i \Delta_k \right\| = 0$$

which implies that

$$\left\| \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \Delta_k - \sum_{0 < t_k < s} \Delta_k \right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow s.$$

So, for any  $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq \omega$ , and any  $u \in \mathbb{B}_r$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| (Fu)(s_1) - (Fu)(s_2) \| \\
 \leq & \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{s_1} (s_1 - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{s_2} (s_2 - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \sum_{0 < t_k < s_1} \Delta_k - \sum_{0 < t_k < s_2} \Delta_k \right\| \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{s_1} ((s_1 - \tau)^{q-1} - (s_2 - \tau)^{q-1}) \|f(\tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} (s_2 - \tau)^{q-1} \|f(\tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau + \left\| \sum_{0 < t_k < s_1} \Delta_k - \sum_{0 < t_k < s_2} \Delta_k \right\| \\
 \leq & \frac{Br + P}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{s_1} ((s_1 - \tau)^{q-1} - (s_2 - \tau)^{q-1}) d\tau + \frac{Br + P}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} (s_2 - \tau)^{q-1} d\tau \\
 & + \left\| \sum_{0 < t_k < s_1} \Delta_k - \sum_{0 < t_k < s_2} \Delta_k \right\| \\
 \leq & \frac{Br + P}{\Gamma(q + 1)} \left( (s_2^q - s_1^q) + 2(s_2 - s_1)^q \right) + \left\| \sum_{0 < t_k < s_1} \Delta_k - \sum_{0 < t_k < s_2} \Delta_k \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s_1 \rightarrow s_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

So,  $F(\mathbb{B}_r)$  is equicontinuous. By (12), we obtain that  $F(\mathbb{B}_r)$  is uniformly bounded. Using Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, we obtain that  $F(\mathbb{B}_r)$  is pre-compact.

It follows from Schauder’s fixed point theorem that the impulsive fractional differential Equation (8) has at least one  $(\omega, c)$  periodic solution  $u \in \Phi_{\omega, c}$ . The proof is finished.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** If  $c = 1$ ,  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solution is standard  $\omega$ -periodic solution. If  $c = -1$ ,  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solution is  $\omega$ -antiperiodic solution. Moreover, all results obtained in this paper are based on the fixed lower limit of Caputo fractional derivative.

### 5. Examples

**Example 1.** We consider the following impulsive fractional differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t) = \lambda \cos 2t \sin u(t), & t \neq t_k, t \in [0, \infty), \\ u(t_k^+) = u(t_k^-) + \cos k\pi, & k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t_k = \frac{k\pi}{2}$ ,  $\Delta_k = \cos k\pi$ ,  $f(t, u) = \lambda \cos 2t \sin u(t)$ . Set  $\omega = \pi$ ,  $c = -1$ . It is easy to see that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t_{k+2} = t_k + \pi$ ,  $\Delta_{k+2} = \Delta_k$ . So, we obtain  $M = 2$ , and (IV) holds. For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$f(t + \omega, cu) = f(t + \pi, -u) = -\lambda \cos 2t \sin u(t) = -f(t, u) = cf(t, u)$$

which implies that (I) holds. For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $|f(t, u) - f(t, v)| \leq |\lambda||u - v|$  which implies that  $A = |\lambda|$  and (II) holds. Note that  $\frac{A\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1}+1)}{\Gamma(q+1)} = \frac{3|\lambda|\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}$ . Letting  $0 < |\lambda| < \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{3\sqrt{\pi}}$ , we obtain  $0 < \frac{A\omega^q(|c-1|^{-1}+1)}{\Gamma(q+1)} < 1$ . Then, all assumptions in Theorem 2 hold for Equation (13).

Hence, if  $0 < |\lambda| < \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{3\sqrt{\pi}}$ , (13) has a unique  $(\pi, -1)$ -periodic solution  $u \in \Phi_{\pi, -1}$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{\mu\omega^q(|c - 1|^{-1} + 1) + \Gamma(q + 1)(|c - 1|^{-1} + 1) \sum_{k=1}^M \|\Delta_k\|}{\Gamma(q + 1) - A\omega^q(|c - 1|^{-1} + 1)} = \frac{3\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) - 3|\lambda|\sqrt{\pi}}.$$



**Example 2.** We consider the following impulsive fractional differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t) = \lambda u(t) \sin(3^{-t} u(t)), & t \neq t_k, t \in [0, \infty), \\ u(t_k^+) = u(t_k^-) + 2, & k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t_k = \frac{k}{2}$ ,  $\Delta_k = 2$ ,  $f(t, u) = \lambda u \sin(3^{-t} u)$ . Set  $\omega = 1$ ,  $c = 3$ . Obviously,  $t_{k+2} = t_k + 1$ ,  $\Delta_{k+2} = \Delta_k$  hold for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . So we obtain  $M = 2$ , and (IV) holds. For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$f(t + \omega, cu) = f(t + 1, 3u) = 3\lambda u \sin(3^{-t} u) = 3f(t, u) = cf(t, u)$$

which implies that (I) holds. For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $|f(t, u)| \leq |\lambda||u|$  which implies that  $B = |\lambda|$ ,  $P = 0$  and (III) holds. Note that  $B\omega^q(|c - 1|^{-1} + 1) = \frac{3}{2}|\lambda|$ . Letting  $|\lambda| < \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}$ , we get  $B\omega^q(|c - 1|^{-1} + 1) < \Gamma(q + 1)$ . Then, all assumptions in Theorem 3 hold for Equation (13).

Therefore, if  $|\lambda| < \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}$ , Equation (14) has at least one  $(1, 3)$ -periodic solution  $u \in \Phi_{1,3}$ .

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper, we mainly study the existence of  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions for impulsive fractional differential equations with fixed lower limits. In future work, we shall study the  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions for impulsive fractional differential equations with varying lower limits.

**Author Contributions:** The contributions of all authors (L.R. and J.W.) are equal. All the main results were developed together. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work is partially supported by Foundation of Postgraduate of Guizhou Province (YJSCXJH[2019]031), Training Object of High Level and Innovative Talents of Guizhou Province ((2016)4006), Major Research Project of Innovative Group in Guizhou Education Department ([2018]012), and Guizhou Data Driven Modeling Learning and Optimization Innovation Team ([2020]5016).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are grateful to the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and valuable comments. The authors thank the editor too.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Alvarez, E.; Gómez, A.; Pinto, M.  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic functions and mild solutions to abstract fractional integro-differential equations. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2018**, *16*, 1–8. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Alvarez, E.; Díaz, S.; Lizama, C. On the existence and uniqueness of  $(N, \lambda)$ -periodic solutions to a class of Volterra difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, *2019*, 1–12. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Agaoglou, M.; Fečkan, M.; Panagiotidou, A.P. Existence and uniqueness of  $(\omega, c)$ -periodic solutions of semilinear evolution equations. *Int. J. Dyn. Sys. Diff. Equ.* **2020**, *10*, 149–166. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Khalladi, M.T.; Rahmani, A.  $(\omega, c)$ -Pseudo almost periodic distributions. *Nonauton. Dyn. Syst.* **2020**, *7*, 237–248. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Alvarez, E.; Castillo, S.; Pinto, M.  $(\omega, c)$ -Pseudo periodic functions, first order Cauchy problem and Lasota-Ważewska model with ergodic and unbounded oscillating production of red cells. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2019**, *2019*, 1–20. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Khalladi, M.T.; Kostić, M.; Pinto, M.; Rahmani, A.; Velinov, D. On semi- $c$ -periodic functions. *J. Math.* **2021**, *2021*, 1–5. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Wang, J.R.; Fečkan, M.; Zhou, Y. A survey on impulsive fractional differential equations. *Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2016**, *19*, 806–831. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Guechi, S.; Dhayal, R.; Debbouche, A.; Malik, M. Analysis and optimal control of  $\varphi$ -Hilfer fractional semilinear equations involving nonlocal impulsive conditions. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 2084. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

9. Dhayal, R.; Malik, M.; Abbas, S.; Debbouche, A. Optimal controls for second-order stochastic differential equations driven by mixed-fractional Brownian motion with impulses. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *43*, 4107–4124. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Dhayal, R.; Malik, M.; Abbas, S. Solvability and optimal controls of non-instantaneous impulsive stochastic fractional differential equation of order  $q \in (0, 1)$ . *Stochastics* **2020**, *93*, 780–802. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Harrat, A.; Nieto, J.J.; Debbouche, A. Solvability and optimal controls of impulsive Hilfer fractional delay evolution inclusions with Clarke subdifferential. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2018**, *344*, 725–737. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Fečkan, M.; Wang J.R. Periodic impulsive fractional differential equations. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **2019**, *8*, 482–496. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Bainov, D.D.; Simeonov, P.S. *Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic solutions and Applications*; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1993.
14. Kao, Y.; Li, H. Asymptotic multistability and local  $S$ -asymptotic  $\omega$ -periodicity for the nonautonomous fractional-order neural networks with impulses. *Sci. China Inform. Sci.* **2021**, *64*, 1–13. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Kilbas, A.A.; Srivastava, H.M.; Trujillo, J.J. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
16. Choi, S.K.; Koo, N. A note on linear impulsive fractional differential equations. *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* **2015**, *28*, 583–590. [[CrossRef](#)]