

Article

Subclasses of Yamakawa-Type Bi-Starlike Functions Associated with Gegenbauer Polynomials

Gangadharan Murugusundaramoorthy ^{1,†}  and Teodor Bulboacă ^{2,*,†} 

¹ Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore 632014, TN, India; gms@vit.ac.in

² Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Babeş-Bolyai University, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

* Correspondence: bulboaca@math.ubbcluj.ro; Tel.: +40-729087153

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce and investigate new subclasses (Yamakawa-type bi-starlike functions and another class of Lashin, both mentioned in the reference list) of bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk, which are associated with the Gegenbauer polynomials and satisfy subordination conditions. Furthermore, we find estimates for the Taylor–Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in these new subclasses. Several known or new consequences of the results are also pointed out.

Keywords: starlike and convex functions; hadamard product; subordination; bi-univalent functions; Fekete–Szegő problem; Gegenbauer polynomials; Yamakawa-type bi-starlike functions

MSC: 30C45; 30C50



Citation: Murugusundaramoorthy, G.; Bulboacă, T. Subclasses of Yamakawa-Type Bi-Starlike Functions Associated with Gegenbauer Polynomials. *Axioms* **2022**, *11*, 92. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms11030092>

Academic Editors: Georgia Irina Oros and Kurt Bernardo Wolf

Received: 30 January 2022

Accepted: 22 February 2022

Published: 24 February 2022

Publisher’s Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In geometric function theory, there have been numerous interesting and fruitful usages of a wide variety of special functions, q -calculus and special polynomials; for example, the Fibonacci polynomials, the Faber polynomials, the Lucas polynomials, the Pell polynomials, the Pell–Lucas polynomials, and the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. The Horadam polynomials are potentially important in a variety of disciplines in the mathematical, physical, statistical, and engineering sciences. Gegenbauer polynomials or ultra spherical polynomials \mathfrak{G}_n^λ can be obtained using the Gram–Schmidt orthogonalization process for polynomials in the domain $(-1, 1)$ with the weight factor $(1 - \ell^2)^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}}$, $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$. Also, $\mathfrak{G}_n^0(\ell)$ is defined as $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(\ell)}{\lambda}$, and for $\lambda \neq 0$ the resulting polynomial $R_n(\ell)$ is multiplied by a number which makes the value at $\ell = 1$ equal to $(2\lambda)_n/n! = 2\lambda(2\lambda + 1)(2\lambda + 2) \dots (2\lambda + n - 1)/n!$. For $\lambda = 0$ and $n \neq 0$, the value at $\ell = 1$ is $\frac{2}{n}$, while $\mathfrak{G}_0^0(\ell) = 1$.

The Gegenbauer polynomials (for details, see Kim et al. [1] and references cited therein) are given in terms of the Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\nu, \nu)}$, with $\nu = \nu = \lambda - \frac{1}{2}$, $(\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}, \lambda \neq 0)$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(\ell) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(n + 2\lambda)}{\Gamma(2\lambda)\Gamma\left(n + \lambda + \frac{1}{2}\right)} P_n^{(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}, \lambda - \frac{1}{2})}(\ell) \\ &= \binom{n + 2\lambda - 1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{n}{k} (2\lambda + n)_k}{\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\right)_k} \left(\frac{\ell - 1}{2}\right)^k, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $(a)_n := a(a + 1)(a + 2) \dots (a + n - 1)$, and $(a)_0 := 1$.

From (1), it follows that $\mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(\ell)$ is a polynomial of degree n with real coefficients, and $\mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(1) = \binom{n + 2\lambda - 1}{n}$, while the leading coefficient of $\mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(\ell)$ is $2^n \binom{n + \lambda - 1}{n}$. By the theory of Jacobi polynomials, for $\mu = \nu = \lambda - \frac{1}{2}$, with $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$, and $\lambda \neq 0$, we get

$$\mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(-\ell) = (-1)^n \mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(\ell).$$

It is easy to show that $\mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(\ell)$ is a solution of the Gegenbauer differential equation

$$(1 - \ell^2)y'' - (2\lambda)\ell y' + n(n + 2\lambda)y = 0,$$

with $\ell = 0$ an ordinary point; this means that we can express the solution in the form of a power series $y = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n \ell^n$, and the Rodrigues formula for the Gegenbauer polynomials is (see [2,3]) as follows:

$$(1 - \ell^2)^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} \mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(\ell) = \frac{(-2)^n (\lambda)_n}{n!(n + 2\lambda)_n} \left(\frac{d}{d\ell}\right)^n (1 - \ell^2)^{n + \lambda - \frac{1}{2}},$$

and the above relation can be easily derived from the properties of Jacobi polynomials. The generating function of Gegenbauer polynomials is given by (see [1,4])

$$\frac{2^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - 2\ell t + t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \ell t + \sqrt{1 - 2\ell t + t^2})^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)_n}{(2\lambda)_n} \mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(\ell) t^n, \tag{2}$$

and this equality can be derived from the generating function of Jacobi polynomials.

From the above relation (2), we note that

$$\frac{1}{(1 - 2\ell t + t^2)^\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(\ell) t^n, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}, |t| < 1, \ell \in [-1, 1], \lambda \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, +\infty\right) \setminus \{0\}, \tag{3}$$

and the proof is given in [4] and Kim et al. [1] (also, see [5]) where the authors extensively studied many results from different perspectives. For $\lambda = 1$, the relation (3) gives the ordinary generating function for the Chebyshev polynomials, and for $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain the ordinary generating function for the Legendre polynomials (see also [6]).

In 1935, Robertson [7] proved an integral representation for the typically real-valued function class T_R having the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n, \quad z \in \Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}, \tag{4}$$

which is holomorphic in the open unit disc Δ , real for $z \in (-1, 1)$, and satisfies the condition

$$\text{Im } f(z) \text{Im } z > 0, \quad z \in \Delta \setminus (-1, 1).$$

Namely, $f \in T_R$ if and only if it has the representation

$$f(z) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{z}{1 - 2\ell z + z^2} d\mu, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where μ is a probability measure on $[-1, 1]$. The class T_R has been extended in [8] to the class $T_R(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, which was defined by

$$f(z) = \int_{-1}^1 \Phi_\ell^\lambda(z) d\mu(\ell), \quad z \in \Delta, \quad -1 \leq \ell \leq 1, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\Phi_\ell^\lambda(z) := \frac{z}{(1 - 2\ell z + z^2)^\lambda}, \quad z \in \Delta, \quad -1 \leq \ell \leq 1, \tag{6}$$

and μ is a probability measure on $[-1, 1]$. The function $\Phi_\ell^\lambda(z)$ has the following Taylor–Maclaurin series expansion:

$$\Phi_\ell^\lambda(z) = z + \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)z^2 + \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)z^3 + \mathfrak{G}_3^\lambda(\ell)z^4 + \dots + \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}^\lambda(\ell)z^n + \dots, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(\ell)$ denotes the Gegenbauer (or ultra spherical) polynomials of order λ and degree n in ℓ , which are generated by

$$\Phi_\ell^\lambda(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \mathfrak{G}_n^\lambda(\ell)z^n = z(1 - 2\ell z + z^2)^{-\lambda}.$$

In particular,

$$\mathfrak{G}_0^\lambda(\ell) = 1, \quad \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell) = 2\lambda\ell, \quad \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell) = 2\lambda(\lambda + 1)\ell^2 - \lambda = 2(\lambda)_2\ell^2 - \lambda. \tag{8}$$

Of course, we have $T_R(1) \equiv T_R$, and if f given by (5) is written in the power expansion series (4), then we have

$$a_n = \int_{-1}^1 \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}^\lambda(\ell) d\mu(\ell).$$

One can easily see that the class $T_R(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, is a compact and convex set in the linear space of holomorphic functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n$ which are holomorphic in Δ , endowed with the topology of local uniform convergence on compact subsets of Δ . The importance of the class $T_R(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, follows as well from the paper of Hallenbeck [9], who studied the extreme points of some families of univalent functions and proved that

$$\text{co } \mathcal{S}_R^*(1 - \lambda) = T_R(\lambda), \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ext co } \mathcal{S}_R^*(1 - \lambda) = \left\{ \frac{z}{(1 - 2\ell z + z^2)^\lambda} : \ell \in [-1; 1] \right\},$$

where “co A ” denotes the closed convex hull of A , “ext A ” represents the set of the extremal points of A , while $\mathcal{S}_R^*(\vartheta)$ denotes the class of holomorphic functions given by (5), which are univalent and starlike of order ϑ , $\vartheta \in [0, 1)$, in Δ , and have real coefficients.

Let \mathcal{A} represents the class of functions whose members are of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{9}$$

which are analytic in Δ , and let \mathcal{S} be the subclass of \mathcal{A} whose members are univalent in Δ . The Koebe one quarter theorem [10] ensures that the image of Δ under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ contains a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Thus every univalent function f has an inverse f^{-1} satisfying

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad (z \in \Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad \left(|w| < r_0(f), \quad r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right).$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in Δ if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in Δ , and let Σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions defined in the unit disk Δ . Since $f \in \Sigma$

has the Maclaurin series given by (9), a computation shows that its inverse $g = f^{-1}$ has the expansion

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 + \dots \tag{10}$$

We notice that the class Σ is not empty. For instance, the functions

$$f_1(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}, \quad f_2(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad f_3(z) = -\log(1-z)$$

with their corresponding inverses

$$f_1^{-1}(w) = \frac{w}{1+w}, \quad f_2^{-1}(w) = \frac{e^{2w} - 1}{e^{2w} + 1}, \quad f_3^{-1}(w) = \frac{e^w - 1}{e^w}$$

are elements of Σ . However, the Koebe function is not a member of Σ . Lately, Srivastava et al. [11] have essentially revived the study of analytic and bi-univalent functions; this was followed by such works as those of [12–17]. Several authors have introduced and examined subclasses of bi-univalent functions and obtained bounds for the initial coefficients (see [11–13,15]), bi-close-to-convex functions [18,19], and bi-prestarlike functions by Jahangiri and Hamidi [20].

Orthogonal polynomials have been broadly considered in recent years from various perceptions due to their importance in mathematical physics, mathematical statistics, engineering, and probability theory. Orthogonal polynomials that appear most often in applications are the classical orthogonal polynomials (Hermite polynomials, Laguerre polynomials, and Jacobi polynomials). The previously mentioned Fibonacci polynomials, Faber polynomials, the Lucas polynomials, the Pell polynomials, the Pell–Lucas polynomials, the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, and Horadam polynomials have been studied in several papers from a theoretical point of view and recently in the case of bi-univalent functions (see [21–28] also the references cited therein).

Here, in this article, we associate certain bi-univalent functions with Gegenbauer polynomials and then explore some properties of the class of bi-starlike functions based on earlier work of Srivastava et al. (also, see [11]). In addition, motivated by recent works by Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [29], Wannas [30] and Amourah et al. [31], we introduce a new subclass of the Yamakawa-type bi-starlike function class (see [32]) associated with Gegenbauer polynomials, obtain upper bounds of the initial Taylor coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for the functions $f \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{Y}_\Sigma(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ defined by subordination, and consider the remarkable Fekete–Szegő problem. We also provide relevant connections of our results with those of some earlier investigations.

First, we define a new subclass Yamakawa-type bi-starlike in the open unit disk, associated with Gegenbauer polynomials as below.

Unless otherwise stated, we let $0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1, \lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\ell \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$.

Definition 1. For $0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1$ and $\ell \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form (9) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{Y}_\Sigma(\vartheta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ if the following subordinations hold:

$$\frac{f(z)}{(1-\vartheta)z + \vartheta zf'(z)} \prec \Phi_\ell^\lambda(z), \tag{11}$$

and

$$\frac{g(w)}{(1-\vartheta)w + \vartheta wg'(w)} \prec \Phi_\ell^\lambda(w) \tag{12}$$

where $z, w \in \Delta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda$ is given by (6), and $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (10).

By specializing the parameter ϑ , we state a new subclass of Yamakawa-type bi-starlike in the open unit disk, associated with Gegenbauer polynomials as below:

Remark 1. For $\vartheta = 1$, we get $\mathcal{YS}_\Sigma^*(\Phi_\ell^\lambda) := \mathcal{GY}_\Sigma(1, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$, thus $f \in \mathcal{YS}_\Sigma^*(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ if $f \in \Sigma$ and the following subordinations hold:

$$\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \prec \Phi_\ell^\lambda(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{g(w)}{wg'(w)} \prec \Phi_\ell^\lambda(w)$$

where $z, w \in \Delta$, and $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (10).

Remark 2. For $\vartheta = 0$, we get $\mathcal{N}_\Sigma(\Phi_\ell^\lambda) := \mathcal{GY}_\Sigma(0, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$, thus $f \in \mathcal{N}_\Sigma(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ if $f \in \Sigma$ and the following subordinations hold:

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \Phi_\ell^\lambda(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{g'(w)}{w} \prec \Phi_\ell^\lambda(w)$$

where $z, w \in \Delta$ and $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (10).

Note that if in the above Remarks 1 and 2, we choose $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, then we can state the new subclasses of $\mathcal{YS}_\Sigma^*(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{N}_\Sigma(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ related with Chebyshev polynomials and Legendre polynomials, respectively.

2. Initial Taylor Coefficients Estimates for the Functions of $\mathcal{GY}_\Sigma(\vartheta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$

To obtain our first results, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 1 ([33], p. 172). Assume that $\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \omega_n z^n, z \in \mathbb{U}$, is an analytic function in \mathbb{U} such that $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Then,

$$|\omega_1| \leq 1, \quad |\omega_n| \leq 1 - |\omega_1|^2, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

In the next result, we obtain the upper bounds for the modules of the first two coefficients for the functions that belong to the class $\mathcal{GY}_\Sigma(\vartheta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$.

Theorem 1. Let f given by (9) be in the class $\mathcal{GY}_\Sigma(\vartheta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$. Then,

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\lambda\ell\sqrt{2\lambda\ell}}{\sqrt{|(1 - 6\vartheta + 6\vartheta^2)4\lambda^2\ell^2 - 2(2(\lambda)_2\ell^2 - \lambda)(1 - 2\vartheta)^2|}}, \tag{13}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2(\lambda\ell)^2(1 - 2\vartheta - 2\vartheta^2)}{|(1 - 3\vartheta)(1 - 2\vartheta)^2|} + \frac{2\lambda\ell}{|1 - 3\vartheta|}, \tag{14}$$

where $\vartheta \neq \frac{1}{3}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{GY}_\Sigma(\vartheta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ and $g = f^{-1}$. From the definition in Formulas (11) and (12), we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{(1 - \vartheta)z + \vartheta zf'(z)} = \Phi_\ell^\lambda(u(z)) \tag{15}$$

and

$$\frac{g(w)}{(1 - \vartheta)w + \vartheta wg'(w)} = \Phi_\ell^\lambda(v(w)), \tag{16}$$

where the functions u and v are of the form

$$u(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots, \tag{17}$$

and

$$v(w) = d_1w + d_2w^2 + \dots, \tag{18}$$

are analytic in Δ with $u(0) = 0 = v(0)$, and $|u(z)| < 1, |v(w)| < 1$, for all $z, w \in \Delta$. From Lemma 1 it follows that

$$|c_j| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |d_j| \leq 1, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{19}$$

Replacing (17) and (18) in (15) and (16), respectively, we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{(1 - \vartheta)z + \vartheta zf'(z)} = 1 + \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)u(z) + \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)u^2(z) + \dots, \tag{20}$$

and

$$\frac{g(w)}{(1 - \vartheta)w + \vartheta wg'(w)} = 1 + \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)v(w) + \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)v^2(w) + \dots \tag{21}$$

In view of (9) and (10), from (20) and (21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + (1 - 2\vartheta)a_2z + [(1 - 3\vartheta)a_3 - 2\vartheta(1 - 2\vartheta)a_2^2]z^2 + \dots \\ = 1 + \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)c_1z + [\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)c_2 + \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)c_1^2]z^2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - (1 - 2\vartheta)(\alpha)a_2w + \left\{ (1 - 4\vartheta + 2\vartheta^2)a_2^2 - (1 - 3\lambda)a_3 \right\}w^2 + \dots \\ = 1 + \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)d_1w + [\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)d_2 + \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)d_1^2]w^2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the following relations:

$$(1 - 2\vartheta)a_2 = \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)c_1, \tag{22}$$

$$(1 - 3\vartheta)a_3 - 2\vartheta(1 - 2\vartheta)a_2^2 = \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)c_2 + \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)c_1^2, \tag{23}$$

and

$$-(1 - 2\vartheta)a_2 = \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)d_1, \tag{24}$$

$$-(1 - 3\vartheta)a_3 + (1 - 4\vartheta + 2\vartheta^2)a_2^2 = \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)d_2 + \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)d_1^2. \tag{25}$$

From (22) and (24), it follows that

$$c_1 = -d_1, \tag{26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2(1 - 2\vartheta)^2a_2^2 &= [\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^2(c_1^2 + d_1^2), \\ a_2^2 &= \frac{[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^2}{2(1 - 2\vartheta)^2}(c_1^2 + d_1^2) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Adding (23) and (25), using (27), we obtain

$$a_2^2 = \frac{[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^3(c_2 + d_2)}{(1 - 6\vartheta + 6\vartheta^2)[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^2 - 2(1 - 2\vartheta)^2\mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)}. \tag{28}$$

Applying (19) for the coefficients c_2 and d_2 and using (8), we obtain the Inequality (13).

By subtracting (25) from (23), using (26) and (27), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_3 &= \frac{\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)(c_2 - d_2)}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)} + \frac{(1 - 2\vartheta - 2\vartheta^2)[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^2}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)} a_2^2 \\
 &= \frac{(1 - 2\vartheta - 2\vartheta^2)[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^2(c_1^2 + d_1^2)}{4(1 - 3\vartheta)(1 - 2\vartheta)^2} + \frac{\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)(c_2 - d_2)}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{29}$$

Using (8) and once again applying (19) for the coefficients $c_1, c_2, d_1,$ and d_2 , we deduce the required Inequality (14). \square

By taking $\vartheta = 0$ or $\vartheta = 1$ and $\ell \in (0, 1)$, one can easily state the upper bounds for $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for the function classes $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{Y}_\Sigma(0, \Phi) =: \mathcal{N}_\Sigma(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{Y}_\Sigma(1, \Phi) =: \mathcal{Y}\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$, respectively, as follows:

Remark 3. Let f given by (9) be in the class $\mathcal{N}_\Sigma(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$. Then,

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\lambda\ell\sqrt{2\lambda\ell}}{\sqrt{|4\lambda^2\ell^2 - 2(2(\lambda)_2\ell^2 - \lambda)|}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq 2(\lambda\ell)^2 + 2\lambda\ell.$$

Remark 4. Let f given by (9) be in the class $\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$. Then,

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\lambda\ell\sqrt{2\lambda\ell}}{\sqrt{|4\lambda^2\ell^2 - 2(2(\lambda)_2\ell^2 - \lambda)|}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq 3(\lambda\ell)^2 + \lambda\ell.$$

Remark 5. Let f given by (9) be in the class $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{Y}_\Sigma^*(\vartheta, \Phi_\ell^1)$. Then,

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\ell\sqrt{2\ell}}{\sqrt{|(1 - 6\vartheta + 6\vartheta^2)4\ell^2 - 2(4\ell^2 - 1)(1 - 2\vartheta)^2|}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2\ell^2(1 - 2\vartheta - 2\vartheta^2)}{|(1 - 3\vartheta)(1 - 2\vartheta)^2|} + \frac{2\ell}{|1 - 3\vartheta|},$$

where $\vartheta \neq \frac{1}{3}$.

Remark 6. Let f given by (9) be in the class $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{Y}_\Sigma^*(\vartheta, \Phi_\ell^{1/2})$. Then, for $\ell \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$,

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\ell\sqrt{\ell}}{\sqrt{|(1 - 6\vartheta + 6\vartheta^2)\ell^2 - (3\ell^2 - 1)(1 - 2\vartheta)^2|}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\ell^2(1 - 2\vartheta - 2\vartheta^2)}{2|(1 - 3\vartheta)(1 - 2\vartheta)^2|} + \frac{\ell}{|1 - 3\vartheta|},$$

where $\vartheta \neq \frac{1}{3}$.

In the above Remarks 3 and 4, by fixing $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain the new estimates of $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for the function classes $\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{N}_\Sigma(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ related with Chebyshev polynomials and Legendre polynomials, respectively.

3. Fekete–Szegő Inequality for the Function Class $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{Y}_\Sigma(\vartheta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$

Due to the result of Zaprawa [34], in this section, we obtain the Fekete–Szegő inequality for the function classes $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{Y}_\Sigma(\vartheta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$.

Theorem 2. Let f given by (9) be in the class $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{Y}_\Sigma(\vartheta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\lambda\ell}{|1 - 3\vartheta|}, & \text{if } |h(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{2|1 - 3\vartheta|}, \\ 4\lambda\ell|h(\mu)|, & \text{if } |h(\mu)| \geq \frac{1}{2|1 - 3\vartheta|}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$h(\mu) := \frac{2\lambda\ell^2 [2\lambda^2\ell^2(1 - 2\vartheta - 2\vartheta^2) - \mu(1 - 3\vartheta)]}{(1 - 3\vartheta)\{2\lambda\ell^2(1 - 6\vartheta + 6\vartheta^2) - (1 - 2\vartheta)^2[2(\lambda + 1)\ell^2 - 1]\}},$$

and $\vartheta \neq \frac{1}{3}$.

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{Y}_\Sigma(\vartheta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ is given by (9), from (28) and (29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \mu a_2^2 &= \frac{\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)(c_2 - d_2)}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)} + \left(\frac{(1 - 2\vartheta - 2\vartheta^2)[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^2}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)} - \mu \right) a_2^2 \\ &= \frac{\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)(c_2 - d_2)}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)} + \left(\frac{(1 - 2\vartheta - 2\vartheta^2)[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^2}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)} - \mu \right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^3(c_2 + d_2)}{(1 - 6\vartheta + 6\vartheta^2)[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^2 - 2(1 - 2\vartheta)^2\mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)} \\ &= \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell) \left[\left(h(\mu) + \frac{1}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)} \right) c_2 + \left(h(\mu) - \frac{1}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)} \right) d_2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(\mu) = \frac{((1 - 2\vartheta - 2\vartheta^2)[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^2 - 2\mu(1 - 3\vartheta))[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^3}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)\{(1 - 6\vartheta + 6\vartheta^2)[\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)]^2 - 2(1 - 2\vartheta)^2\mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)\}}.$$

Now, by using (8)

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = 2\lambda\ell \left[\left(h(\mu) + \frac{1}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)} \right) c_2 + \left(h(\mu) - \frac{1}{2(1 - 3\vartheta)} \right) d_2 \right],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mu) &= \frac{2\lambda^2\ell^2 [2\lambda^2\ell^2(1 - 2\vartheta - 2\vartheta^2) - \mu(1 - 3\vartheta)]}{(1 - 3\vartheta)\{2\lambda^2\ell^2(1 - 2\vartheta + 2\vartheta^2) - \lambda(1 - 2\vartheta)^2[2(\lambda + 1)\ell^2 - 1]\}} \\ &= \frac{2\lambda\ell^2 [2\lambda^2\ell^2(1 - 2\vartheta - 2\vartheta^2) - \mu(1 - 3\vartheta)]}{(1 - 3\vartheta)\{2\lambda\ell^2(1 - 6\vartheta + 6\vartheta^2) - (1 - 2\vartheta)^2[2(\lambda + 1)\ell^2 - 1]\}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of (8) and (19), we conclude that the required inequality holds. \square

4. The Subclass $\mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(\tau, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ of Bi-Univalent Functions

In [35] Obradović et al. gave some criteria for univalence expressed by $\text{Re } f'(z) > 0$ for the linear combination

$$\tau \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + (1 - \tau) \frac{1}{f'(z)}, \quad \tau \geq 1, z \in \Delta.$$

Based on the above definitions, recently, Lashin [36] introduced and studied new subclasses of the bi-univalent function. In our further discussions, unless otherwise stated, we let $\tau \geq 1, \lambda > \frac{1}{2}$, and $\ell \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right]$.

Definition 2. A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (9) is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(\tau, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ if it satisfies the conditions

$$\tau \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + (1 - \tau) \frac{1}{f'(z)} \prec \Phi_\ell^\lambda(z) \tag{30}$$

and

$$\tau \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) + (1 - \tau) \frac{1}{g'(w)} \prec \Phi_\ell^\lambda(w) \tag{31}$$

where $\tau \geq 1, z, w \in \Delta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda$ is given by (6), and the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (10).

Remark 7. For the particular case $\tau = 1$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (9) is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(\Phi_\ell^\lambda) =: \mathfrak{K}_\Sigma(\Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ if it satisfies the subordination relations

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \Phi_\ell^\lambda(z) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \prec \Phi_\ell^\lambda(w),$$

$z, w \in \Delta, \Phi_\ell^\lambda$ is given by (6), and $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (10).

Theorem 3. Let f be given by (9) and $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(\tau, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$, with $\tau \geq 1$. Then,

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\lambda\ell}{2(2\tau - 1)}; \frac{\lambda\ell\sqrt{2\lambda\ell}}{2\sqrt{|(1 + \tau)\lambda^2\ell^2 - 4(2\tau - 1)^2[2\ell^2(\lambda)_2 - \lambda]|}} \right\}, \tag{32}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2\lambda\ell}{3(3\tau - 1)} + \frac{\lambda^2\ell^2}{4(2\tau - 1)^2}; \frac{2\lambda\ell}{3(3\tau - 1)} + \frac{2\lambda^3\ell^3}{|(1 + \tau)\lambda^2\ell_1^2 - (2\tau - 1)^2[2\ell^2(\lambda)_2 - \lambda]|} \right\}.$$

Proof. $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(\tau, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$, from (30) and (31) it follows that

$$\tau \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + (1 - \tau) \frac{1}{f'(z)} = \Phi_\ell^\lambda(u(z)), \tag{33}$$

and

$$\tau \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) + (1 - \tau) \frac{1}{g'(w)} = \Phi_\ell^\lambda(v(w)), \tag{34}$$

where the functions u and v are analytic in Δ with $u(0) = 0 = v(0)$, such that $|u(z)| < 1, |v(w)| < 1$, for all $z, w \in \Delta$, and are of the form (17) and (18), respectively.

From (33) and (34), we have

$$1 + 2(2\tau - 1)a_2z + [3(3\tau - 1)a_3 + 4(1 - 2\tau)a_2^2]z^2 + \dots = 1 + \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)c_1z + [\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)c_2 + \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)c_1^2]z^2 + \dots,$$

and

$$1 - 2(2\tau - 1)a_2w + [2(5\tau - 1)a_2^2 - 3(3\tau - 1)a_3]w^2 - \dots = 1 + \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)d_1w + [\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)d_2 + \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)d_1^2]w^2 + \dots,$$

and equating the coefficients of the above two relations, we get

$$2(2\tau - 1)a_2 = \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)c_1, \tag{35}$$

$$3(3\tau - 1)a_3 + 4(1 - 2\tau)a_2^2 = \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)c_2 + \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)c_1^2, \tag{36}$$

and

$$-2(2\tau - 1)a_2 = \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)d_1, \tag{37}$$

$$2(5\tau - 1)a_2^2 - 3(3\tau - 1)a_3 = \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)d_2 + \mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)d_1^2. \tag{38}$$

From (35) and (37), we get

$$p_1 = -q_1 \tag{39}$$

From (35), by using the Inequality (19) for the coefficients c_j and d_j , from (8), we have

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)}{2(2\tau - 1)} = \frac{\lambda\ell}{(2\tau - 1)}.$$

Furthermore,

$$8(2\tau - 1)^2a_2^2 = (\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell))^2(c_1^2 + d_1^2),$$

that is,

$$a_2^2 = \frac{(\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell))^2(c_1^2 + d_1^2)}{8(2\tau - 1)^2}. \tag{40}$$

Thus, from the Inequality (19) and using (8), we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)}{4(2\tau - 1)} = \frac{\lambda\ell}{2(2\tau - 1)}. \tag{41}$$

Now, from (36), (38) and using (40), we get

$$[2(1 + \tau)(\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell))^2 - 8(2\tau - 1)^2\mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)]a_2^2 = (\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell))^3(c_2 + d_2). \tag{42}$$

Thus, according to (42), we obtain

$$a_2^2 = \frac{(\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell))^3(c_2 + d_2)}{2(1 + \tau)(\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell))^2 - 8(2\tau - 1)^2\mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)},$$

hence,

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\lambda\ell\sqrt{2\lambda\ell}}{2\sqrt{|(1 + \tau)\lambda^2\ell^2 - 4(2\tau - 1)^2[2\ell^2(\lambda)_2 - \lambda]|}}, \tag{43}$$

and the Inequality (32) is proved.

From (36), (38) and using (39), we get

$$a_3 = \frac{\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)(c_2 - d_2)}{6(3\tau - 1)} + a_2^2, \tag{44}$$

which implies

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2\lambda\ell}{3(3\tau - 1)} + |a_2^2|. \tag{45}$$

From this inequality, using (41), we obtain

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2\lambda\ell}{3(3\tau - 1)} + \frac{\lambda^2\ell^2}{4(2\tau - 1)^2}.$$

Combining (45) and (43), it follows that

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2\lambda\ell}{3(3\tau - 1)} + \frac{2\lambda^3\ell^3}{|(1 + \tau)\lambda^2\ell_1^2 - (2\tau - 1)^2[2\ell^2(\lambda)_2 - \lambda]|}.$$

□

Motivated by the result of Zaprawa [34], we discuss the Fekete–Szegő inequality [37] for the functions $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(\tau, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$.

Theorem 4. For $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(\tau, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ be given by (9). Then,

$$|a_3 - \nu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\lambda\ell}{3(3\tau - 1)}, & \text{if } |h(\nu)| \leq \frac{1}{6(3\tau - 1)}, \\ 4|h(\nu)|, & \text{if } |h(\nu)| \geq \frac{1}{6(3\tau - 1)}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$h(\nu) = \frac{(1 - \nu)\lambda\ell^2}{4\{(1 + \tau)\lambda\ell^2 - (2\tau - 1)^2[2\ell^2(\lambda + 1) - 1]\}}. \tag{46}$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(\tau, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ be given by (9), from (44) we have

$$a_3 - \nu a_2^2 = \frac{\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)(c_2 - d_2)}{6(3\tau - 1)} + (1 - \nu)a_2^2. \tag{47}$$

By substituting (42) in (47), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \nu a_2^2 &= \frac{\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell)(c_2 - d_2)}{6(3\tau - 1)} + \frac{(1 - \nu)(\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell))^3(c_2 + d_2)}{2(1 + \tau)(\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell))^2 - 8(2\tau - 1)^2\mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)} \\ &= \mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell) \left[\left(h(\nu) + \frac{1}{6(3\tau - 1)} \right) c_2 + \left(h(\nu) - \frac{1}{6(3\tau - 1)} \right) d_2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(\nu) = \frac{(1 - \nu)(\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell))^2}{2(1 + \tau)(\mathfrak{G}_1^\lambda(\ell))^2 - 8(2\tau - 1)^2\mathfrak{G}_2^\lambda(\ell)}.$$

From (8), it follows

$$a_3 - \nu a_2^2 = 2\lambda\ell \left[\left(h(\nu) + \frac{1}{6(3\tau - 1)} \right) c_2 + \left(h(\nu) - \frac{1}{6(3\tau - 1)} \right) d_2 \right], \tag{48}$$

where the function h is given by (46). Hence, by using the triangle inequality for the modulus of (48) together with (19), we get our result. □

For $\nu = 1$ the above theorem reduces to the following special case:

Remark 8. If $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(\tau, \Phi_\ell^\lambda)$ is given by (9), then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{2\lambda\ell}{3(3\tau - 1)}.$$

5. Conclusions

Yamakawa-type bi-starlike functions related with the Gegenbauer polynomials are defined for the first time, and initial Taylor coefficients and Fekete–Szegő inequality are obtained. Further, by fixing $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, the Gegenbauer polynomials lead to the Chebyshev polynomials and the Legendre polynomials, respectively. Hence, our results represent a new study of the Yamakawa family of bi-starlike functions associated with Chebyshev and Legendre polynomials, which are also not considered in the literature. We have left this as an exercise to interested readers.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.B. and G.M.; methodology, T.B. and G.M.; validation, T.B. and G.M.; formal analysis, T.B. and G.M.; investigation, T.B. and G.M.; resources, T.B. and G.M.; writing—original draft preparation, T.B. and G.M.; writing—review and editing, T.B. and G.M.; supervision, T.B. and G.M.; project administration, T.B. and G.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the reviewers of this article who gave valuable comments and advice that allowed us to revise and improve the content of the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Kim, D.S.; Kim, T.; Rim, S.H. Some identities involving Gegenbauer polynomials. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, *2012*, 219. [CrossRef]
- Al-Salam, W.A.; Carlitz, L. The Gegenbauer addition theorem. *J. Math. Phys.* **1963**, *42*, 147–156. [CrossRef]
- McFadden, J.A. A diagonal expansion in Gegenbauer polynomials for a class of second-order probability densities. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **1966**, *14*, 1433–1436. [CrossRef]
- Stein, E.M.; Weiss, G. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis in Euclidean Space*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 1971.
- Kiepiela, K.; Naraniecka, I.; Szyal, J. The Gegenbauer polynomials and typically real functions. *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **2003**, *153*, 273–282. [CrossRef]
- Arfken, G.B.; Weber, H.J. *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*, 6th ed.; Elsevier Academic Press: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2005.
- Robertson, M.S. On the coefficients of typically-real functions. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **1935**, *41*, 565–572. [CrossRef]
- Szyal, J. An extension of typically-real functions. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A* **1994**, *48*, 193–201.
- Hallenbeck, D.J. Convex hulls and extreme points of families of starlike and close-to-convex mappings. *Pac. J. Math.* **1975**, *57*, 167–176. [CrossRef]
- Duren, P.L. *Univalent Functions*; Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften Series, 259; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1983.
- Srivastava, H.M.; Mishra, A.K.; Gochhayat, P. Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2010**, *23*, 1188–1192. [CrossRef]
- Brannan, D.A.; Clunie, J.; Kirwan, W.E. Coefficient estimates for a class of star-like functions. *Canad. J. Math.* **1970**, *22*, 476–485. [CrossRef]
- Brannan, D.A.; Taha, T.S. On some classes of bi-univalent functions. *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **1986**, *31*, 70–77.
- Frasin, B.A.; Aouf, M.K. New subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2011**, *24*, 1569–1573. [CrossRef]
- Lewin, M. On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1967**, *18*, 63–68. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.-F.; Wang, A.-P. Two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Int. Math. Forum* **2012**, *7*, 1495–1504.
- Netanyahu, E. The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **1969**, *32*, 100–112.

18. Güney, H.Ö.; Murugusundaramoorthy, G.; Srivastava, H.M. The second Hankel determinant for a certain class of bi-close-to-convex functions. *Results Math.* **2019**, *74*, 93. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Kowalczyk, B.; Lecko, A.; Srivastava, H.M. A note on the Fekete-Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions with respect to convex functions. *Publ. Inst. Math.* **2017**, *101*, 143–149. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Jahangiri, J.M.; Hamidi, S.G. Advances on the coefficients of bi-prestarlike functions. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris* **2016**, *354*, 980–985. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Murugusundaramoorthy, G.; Yalçın, S.; On λ pseudo bi-starlike functions related $(p; q)$ -Lucas polynomial. *Lib. Math.* **2019**, *39*, 59–77.
22. Srivastava, H.M. Operators of basic (or q -) calculus and fractional q -calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci.* **2020**, *44*, 327–344. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Srivastava, H.M.; Altınkaya, S.; Yalçın, S. Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Horadam polynomials. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci.* **2018**, *43*, 1873–1879. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Srivastava, H.M.; Eker, S.S.; Hamidi, S.G.; Jahangiri, J.M. Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by the Tremblay fractional derivative operator. *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* **2018**, *44*, 149–157. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Srivastava, H.M.; Kamali, M.; Urdaletova, A. A study of the Fekete-Szegő functional and coefficient estimates for subclasses of analytic functions satisfying a certain subordination condition and associated with the Gegenbauer polynomials. *AIMS Math.* **2022**, *7*, 2568–2584. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Srivastava, H.M.; Motamednezhad, A.; Adegani, E.A. Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by using differential subordination and a certain fractional derivative operator. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 172. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Srivastava, H.M.; Wanas, A.K.; Murugusundaramoorthy, G. A certain family of bi-univalent functions associated with the Pascal distribution series based upon the Horadam polynomials. *Surv. Math. Appl.* **2021**, *16*, 193–205.
28. Srivastava, H.M.; Wanas, A.K.; Srivastava, R. Applications of the q -Srivastava-Attiya operator involving a certain family of bi-univalent functions associated with the Horadam polynomials. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 1230. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Murugusundaramoorthy, G.; Güney, H.Ö.; Vijaya, K. Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of bi-prestarlike functions associated with the Gegenbauer polynomial. *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math.* **2022**, *32*, 5–15.
30. Wanas, A.K. New families of bi-univalent functions governed by Gegenbauer Polynomials. *Earthline J. Math. Sci.* **2021**, *7*, 403–427. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Amourah, A.; Frasin, B.A.; Abdeljawad, T. Fekete-Szegő inequality for analytic and bi-univalent functions subordinate to Gegenbauer Polynomials. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2021**, *2021*, 5574673.
32. Yamakawa, R. Certain Subclasses of p -Valently Starlike Functions with negative coefficients. In *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*; Srivastava, H.M., Owa, S., Eds.; World Scientific Publishing Company: Singapore; Hackensack, NJ, USA; London, UK; Hong Kong, China, 1992; pp. 393–402.
33. Nehari, Z. *Conformal Mapping*; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1952.
34. Zaprawa, P. On the Fekete-Szegő problem for classes of bi-univalent functions. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **2014**, *21*, 169–178. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Obradović, M.; Yaguchi, T.; Saitoh, H. On some conditions for univalence and starlikeness in the unit disc. *Rend. Math. Ser. VII* **1992**, *12*, 869–877.
36. Lashin, A.Y. Coefficient estimates for two subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Ukr. Math. J.* **2019**, *70*, 1484–1492. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Fekete, M.; Szegő, G. Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Functionen. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1933**, *8*, 85–89. [[CrossRef](#)]