

Article

# Families of Ramanujan-Type Congruences Modulo 4 for the Number of Divisors

Mircea Merca 

Department of Mathematical Methods and Models, University Politehnica of Bucharest, 060042 Bucharest, Romania; mircea.merca@profinfo.edu.ro

**Abstract:** In this paper, we explore Ramanujan-type congruences modulo 4 for the function  $\sigma_0(n)$ , counting the positive divisors of  $n$ . We consider relations of the form  $\sigma_0(8(\alpha n + \beta) + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , with  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  and  $r \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ . In this context, some conjectures are made and some Ramanujan-type congruences involving overpartitions are obtained.

**Keywords:** congruences; divisors; overpartitions

**MSC:** 11A25; 11P83

## 1. Introduction

Recall [1] that an overpartition of the positive integer  $n$  is an ordinary partition of  $n$  where the first occurrence of parts of each size may be overlined. Let  $\bar{p}(n)$  denote the number of overpartitions of  $n$ . For example, the overpartitions of the integer 3 are:

$$3, \bar{3}, 2 + 1, \bar{2} + 1, 2 + \bar{1}, \bar{2} + \bar{1}, 1 + 1 + 1 \text{ and } \bar{1} + 1 + 1.$$

We see that  $\bar{p}(3) = 8$ . It is well-known that the generating function of  $\bar{p}(n)$  is given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}(n)q^n = \frac{(-q; q)_{\infty}}{(q, q)_{\infty}}.$$

Here and throughout this paper, we use the following customary  $q$ -series notation:

$$(a; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } n = 0, \\ (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1}), & \text{for } n > 0; \end{cases}$$

$$(a; q)_{\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a; q)_n.$$

Many congruences for the number of overpartitions have been discovered in the recent years by authors such as Chen [2], Chen, Hou, Sun and Zhang [3], Chern and Dastidar [4], Dou and Lin [5], Fortin, Jacob and Mathieu [6], Hirschhorn and Sellers [7], Kim [8,9], Lovejoy and Osburn [10], Mahlburg [11], Xia [12], Xiong [13] and Yao and Xia [14].

Fortin, Jacob and Mathieu [6] founded in 2003 the first Ramanujan-type congruences modulo power of 2 for  $\bar{p}(n)$  and for all  $n$  that cannot be written as a sum of  $s$  or less squares, they obtained that

$$\bar{p}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{s+1}}. \quad (1)$$

This result is meaningful only for  $s < 4$  since, by Lagrange's four-square theorem, all numbers can be written as a sum of four squares. A complete characterization of Ramanujan-type congruences modulo 16 for the overpartition function  $\bar{p}(n)$  was provided in 2019 using the function  $\sigma_0(n)$  that counts the positive divisors of  $n$  [15]. By the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 in [15], we easily deduce the following result.



**Citation:** Merca, M. Families of Ramanujan-Type Congruences Modulo 4 for the Number of Divisors. *Axioms* **2022**, *11*, 342. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms11070342>

Academic Editor: Federico G. Infusino

Received: 12 June 2022

Accepted: 15 July 2022

Published: 18 July 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Theorem 1.** Let  $r \in \{3, 5\}$  be a fixed integer. For all  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$\bar{p}(8n + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{16} \iff \sigma_0(8n + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

In this paper, apart from  $\bar{p}(n)$ , we consider the overpartition function  $\bar{p}_o(n)$  that counts the overpartitions of  $n$  into odd parts. The generating function for the number of overpartitions into odd parts is given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_o(n)q^n = \frac{(-q; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q; q^2)_{\infty}}. \tag{2}$$

The expression of the generating function for  $\bar{p}_o(n)$  was first used by Lebesgue [16] in 1840 in the following series-product identity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(-q; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q; q^2)_{\infty}}.$$

Although authors such as Bessenrodt [17], Santos and Sills [18] utilized more recently the generating function (2) for  $\bar{p}_o(n)$ , none of them connected their works to overpartitions into odd parts.

Many congruences for the number of overpartitions into odd parts have been discovered lately [19,20]. It appears that the first Ramanujan-type congruences modulo power of 2 for  $\bar{p}_o(n)$  was found in 2006 by Hirschhorn and Sellers [20]. Very recently, Theorem 1 in [21], we introduced a complete characterization of Ramanujan-type congruences modulo 8 for the overpartition function  $\bar{p}_o(n)$  considering again the divisor function  $\sigma_0(n)$ . By the proof of Theorem 1 in [21], we easily deduce the following result.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $r \in \{1, 3\}$  be a fixed integer. For all  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$\bar{p}_o(8n + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \iff \sigma_0(8n + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Theorems 1 and 2 may be viewed as steps towards classifying all Ramanujan-type congruences for overpartitions, particularly because the divisibility properties of multiplicative functions are more directly accessible with elementary methods than those of functions defined in terms of partitions. Recall that a multiplicative function is an arithmetic function  $f(n)$  of a positive integer  $n$  with the property that  $f(1) = 1$  and  $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$  whenever  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime.

In this paper, motivated by Theorems 1 and 2, we consider  $r \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$  to be a fixed integer and investigate pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of positive integers for which the following statement is true:

$$\text{For all } n \geq 0, \quad \sigma_0(8(\alpha n + \beta) + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \tag{3}$$

There is a substantial amount of numerical evidence to conjecture the following.

**Conjecture 1.** If the statement (3) is true, then there is an odd prime  $p$  such that  $\alpha$  is divisible by  $p^2$  and  $8\beta + r$  is divisible by  $p$ .

Since a multiplicative function is defined by its values at prime powers, this conjecture boils down to understanding how the divisibility properties of the divisor function  $\sigma_0(n)$  at prime powers intersect with arithmetic progressions.

If the statement (3) is true for  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , then the statement (3) is true for any pair  $(k\alpha, b\alpha + \beta)$ , with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$ . To prove this fact, it is enough to replace  $n$  by  $kn + b$  in (3). This makes us not very attracted to cases where  $\alpha$  is not a square of an odd prime.

**Definition 1.** For each odd prime  $p$ , we define  $\mathcal{B}_{r,p}$  to be the set of nonnegative integers  $\beta < p^2$  such that

$$\sigma_0(8(p^2n + \beta) + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

for all nonnegative integers  $n$ .

Assuming Conjecture 1, we state the following.

**Conjecture 2.** For each odd prime  $p$ , we have

$$|\mathcal{B}_{1,p}| = \begin{cases} p - 1, & \text{if } p - 1 \text{ is cubefree,} \\ (p - 1)/2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Conjecture 3.** Let  $r \in \{3, 5, 7\}$  be a fixed integer. For each odd prime  $p$ , we have

$$|\mathcal{B}_{r,p}| = \begin{cases} (p - 1)/2, & \text{if } p \equiv r \pmod{8}, \\ p - 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Conjecture 4.** Let  $r \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$  be a fixed integer. Then,

$$\bigcup_{p \text{ odd prime}} \mathcal{B}_{r,p} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sigma_0(8n + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\} \setminus \begin{cases} \{3\}, & \text{if } r = 3, \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assuming the last conjecture, we remark that there is not an odd prime  $p$  such that

$$\sigma_0(8p^2n + 27) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

for all nonnegative integers  $n$ .

In this paper, we consider some special cases of our conjectures and present a strategy for proving them. These special cases together with our Theorems 1 and 2 allow us to easily obtain some Ramanujan-type congruences for the overpartition functions  $\bar{p}(n)$  and  $\bar{p}_0(n)$ . Somewhat unrelated to our topics, we will show that these congruences are precursors of stronger congruences. In fact, these stronger congruences were discovered considering few Ramanujan-type congruences modulo 4 for the divisor function  $\sigma_0(n)$ .

## 2. Some Special Cases

This section is devoted to the presentation of the proof strategy of some special cases of Conjectures 2 and 3 listed bellow. We will rely on the fact that the divisor function  $\sigma_0(n)$  is a multiplicative function.

**Theorem 3.**

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_{1,3} = \{4, 7\}$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}_{1,5} = \{8, 13, 18, 23\}$ .

**Theorem 4.**

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_{3,3} = \{6\}$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}_{3,5} = \{4, 14, 19, 24\}$ .

**Theorem 5.**

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_{5,3} = \{2, 8\}$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}_{5,5} = \{10, 20\}$ .

To proof these identities, the following steps have to be performed.

STEP 1. The first step in all our proofs is to verify that for each  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{r,p}$ ,  $(8\beta + r)/p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

STEP 2. For each  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{r,p}$ , we prove that  $\gcd(p, 8pn + (8\beta + r)/p) = 1$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ .

STEP 3. For each  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{r,p}$ , we prove that  $8pn + (8\beta + r)/p$  is not a square, for all  $n \geq 0$ . Thus, for each  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{r,p}$ , we deduce that

$$\sigma_0(8p^2n + 8\beta + r) = \sigma_0(p) \sigma_0\left(8pn + \frac{8\beta + r}{p}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

STEP 4. For each  $\beta \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p^2 - 1\} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{r,p}$ , we show that there is an integer  $n$  such that

$$\sigma_0(8p^2n + 8\beta + r) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Now, we provide full details for the proofs of Theorems 3–5.

**Proof of Theorem 3.**

(i).

STEP 1. We have  $(8 \times 4 + 1)/3 = 11$  and  $(8 \times 7 + 1)/3 = 19$ .

STEP 2. For all  $n \geq 0$ , it is clear that  $\gcd(3, 24n + 11) = 1$  and  $\gcd(3, 24n + 19) = 1$ .

STEP 3. We suppose that there is an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $24n + 11$  is a square. Thus, we deduce that  $24n + 11 = (2k + 1)^2$  or  $12n + 5 = 2k^2 + 2k$ . This identity is not possible, because  $12n + 5$  is odd and  $2k^2 + 2k$  is even. It is clear that  $24n + 11$  cannot be a square. Similarly, it can be proved that  $24n + 19$  is not a square. For all  $n \geq 0$ , we deduce that

$$\sigma_0(8(9n + 4) + 1) = \sigma_0(72n + 33) = \sigma_0(3) \sigma_0(24n + 11) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$

and

$$\sigma_0(8(9n + 7) + 1) = \sigma_0(72n + 57) = \sigma_0(3) \sigma_0(24n + 19) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

STEP 4. Considering that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(8(9 \times 1 + 0) + 1) &\equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 2 + 1) + 1) \equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 0 + 2) + 1) \\ &\equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 1 + 3) + 1) \equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 0 + 5) + 1) \equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 2 + 6) + 1) \\ &\equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 1 + 8) + 1) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

the proof is finished.

(ii).

STEP 1. We have  $(8 \times 8 + 1)/5 = 13$ ,  $(8 \times 13 + 1)/5 = 21$ ,  $(8 \times 18 + 1)/5 = 29$  and  $(8 \times 23 + 1)/5 = 37$ .

STEP 2. For all  $n \geq 0$ , it is clear that  $\gcd(5, 40n + 13) = 1$ ,  $\gcd(5, 40n + 21) = 1$ ,  $\gcd(5, 40n + 29) = 1$  and  $\gcd(5, 40n + 37) = 1$ .

STEP 3. We suppose that there is an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $40n + 13$  is a square. Thus, we deduce that  $40n + 13 = (2k + 1)^2$  or  $10n + 3 = k^2 + k$ . This identity is not possible, because  $10n + 3$  is odd and  $k^2 + k$  is even. It is clear that  $40n + 13$  cannot be a square. Similarly, it can be proved that  $40n + 21$ ,  $40n + 29$  and  $40n + 37$  are not squares. For  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{1,5}$  and  $n \geq 0$ , we deduce that

$$\sigma_0(200n + 8\beta + 1) = \sigma_0(5) \sigma_0\left(40n + \frac{8\beta + 1}{5}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

STEP 4. For  $\beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, 24\} \setminus \{\mathcal{B}_{1,5} \cup \{4, 7, 16, 20, 22\}\}$ , it is not difficult to check that  $\sigma_0(8(25 \times 0 + \beta) + 1)$  is not congruent to 0 mod 4. In addition, for  $\beta \in \{4, 7, 20\}$ , we have  $\sigma_0(8(25 \times 1 + \beta) + 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . For  $\beta \in \{16, 22\}$ , we see that  $\sigma_0(8(25 \times 2 + \beta) + 1)$  is not congruent to 0 mod 4. The proof is finished.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.**

(i).

STEP 1. We have  $(8 \times 6 + 3)/3 = 17$ .

STEP 2. For all  $n \geq 0$ , it is clear that  $\gcd(3, 24n + 17) = 1$ .

STEP 3. We suppose that there is an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $24n + 17$  is a square. Thus, we deduce that  $24n + 17 = (2k + 1)^2$  or  $3n + 2 = k(k + 1)/2$ . On the other hand,

$$\frac{k(k + 1)}{2} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{3}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ 0 \pmod{3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $24n + 17$  cannot be a square. For all  $n \geq 0$ , we deduce that

$$\sigma_0(8(9n + 6) + 3) = \sigma_0(72n + 51) = \sigma_0(3) \sigma_0(24n + 17) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

STEP 4. Taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(8(9 \times 0 + 0) + 3) &\equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 0 + 1) + 3) \equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 0 + 2) + 3) \\ &\equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 1 + 3) + 3) \equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 1 + 4) + 3) \equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 0 + 5) + 3) \\ &\equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 0 + 7) + 3) \equiv \sigma_0(8(9 \times 0 + 8) + 3) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

the proof is finished.

(ii).

STEP 1. We have  $(8 \times 4 + 3)/5 = 7$ ,  $(8 \times 14 + 3)/5 = 23$ ,  $(8 \times 19 + 3)/5 = 31$  and  $(8 \times 24 + 4)/5 = 39$ .

STEP 2. For all  $n \geq 0$ , it is clear that  $\gcd(5, 40n + 7) = 1$ ,  $\gcd(5, 40n + 23) = 1$ ,  $\gcd(5, 40n + 31) = 1$  and  $\gcd(5, 40n + 39) = 1$ .

STEP 3. We suppose that there is an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $40n + 7$  is a square. Thus, we deduce that  $40n + 7 = (2k + 1)^2$  or  $20n + 3 = 2k^2 + 2k$ . This identity is not possible, because  $20n + 3$  is odd and  $2k^2 + 2k$  is even. It is clear that  $20n + 3$  cannot be a square. Similarly, it can be proved that  $40n + 23$ ,  $40n + 31$  and  $40n + 39$  are not squares. For  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{3,5}$  and  $n \geq 0$ , we deduce that

$$\sigma_0(200n + 8\beta + 3) = \sigma_0(5) \sigma_0\left(40n + \frac{8\beta + 3}{5}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

STEP 4. For  $\beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, 24\} \setminus \{\mathcal{B}_{3,5} \cup \{3, 6, 11, 15, 23\}\}$ , it is not difficult to check that  $\sigma_0(8(25 \times 0 + \beta) + 3)$  is not congruent to 0 mod 4. In addition, for  $\beta \in \{3, 6, 23\}$ , we have  $\sigma_0(8(25 \times 1 + \beta) + 3) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . For  $\beta \in \{11, 15\}$ , we see that  $\sigma_0(8(25 \times 2 + \beta) + 3)$  is not congruent to 0 mod 4. The proof is finished.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 5.**

(i).

STEP 1. We have  $(8 \times 2 + 5)/3 = 7$  and  $(8 \times 8 + 5)/3 = 23$ .

STEP 2. For all  $n \geq 0$ , it is clear that  $\gcd(3, 24n + 7) = 1$  and  $\gcd(3, 24n + 23) = 1$ .

STEP 3. We suppose that there is an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $24n + 7$  is a square. Thus, we deduce that  $24n + 7 = (2k + 1)^2$  or  $12n + 3 = 2k^2 + 2k$ . This identity is not possible, because  $12n + 3$  is odd and  $2k^2 + 2k$  is even. It is clear that  $24n + 7$  cannot be a square. Similarly, it can be proved that  $24n + 23$  is not a square. For all  $n \geq 0$ , we deduce that

$$\sigma_0(8(9n + 2) + 5) = \sigma_0(72n + 21) = \sigma_0(3) \sigma_0(24n + 7) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$

and

$$\sigma_0(8(9n + 8) + 5) = \sigma_0(72n + 69) = \sigma_0(3) \sigma_0(24n + 23) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

STEP 4. For  $\beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, 8\} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{5,3}$ , it is not difficult to check that  $\sigma_0(8(9 \times 0 + \beta) + 5)$  is congruent to 2 mod 4. The proof is finished.

(ii).

STEP 1. We have  $(8 \cdot 10 + 5)/5 = 17$  and  $(8 \cdot 20 + 5)/5 = 33$ .

STEP 2. For all  $n \geq 0$ , it is clear that  $\gcd(5, 40n + 17) = 1$  and  $\gcd(5, 40n + 33) = 1$ .

STEP 3. We suppose that there is an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $40n + 17$  is a square. Thus, we deduce that  $40n + 17 = (2k + 1)^2$  or  $5n + 2 = k(k + 1)/2$ . On the other hand,

$$\frac{k(k + 1)}{2} \equiv \begin{cases} 3 \pmod{5}, & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \\ 1 \pmod{5}, & \text{if } k \equiv \{1, 3\} \pmod{5} \\ 0 \pmod{5}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $40n + 17$  cannot be a square. Similarly, we suppose that there is an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $40n + 33$  is a square. Thus, we deduce that  $40n + 33 = (2k + 1)^2$  or  $5n + 4 = k(k + 1)/2$ . Because  $k(k + 1)/2 \not\equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ , this identity is not possible. For  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{5,5}$  and  $n \geq 0$ , we deduce that

$$\sigma_0(200n + 8\beta + 5) = \sigma_0(5) \sigma_0\left(40n + \frac{8\beta + 5}{5}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

STEP 4. For  $\beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, 24\} \setminus \{\mathcal{B}_{5,5} \cup \{2, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16, 17, 23\}\}$ , it is not difficult to check that  $\sigma_0(8(25 \times 0 + \beta) + 5)$  is congruent to  $2 \pmod{4}$ . In addition, for  $\beta \in \{8, 9, 11, 15, 16, 23\}$ , we have  $\sigma_0(8(25 \times 1 + \beta) + 5) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . For  $\beta \in \{2, 17\}$ , we see that  $\sigma_0(8(25 \times 2 + \beta) + 5)$  is congruent to  $2 \pmod{4}$ . The proof is finished.  $\square$

It seems that the approach outlined in Steps 1, 2 and 4 can be easily automated. Unfortunately, we cannot say the same about Step 3 because we do not have a criterion which establishes the parity of  $(8\beta + r)/p$ . Is the number  $(8\beta + r)/p$  always odd? When  $(8\beta + r)/p$  is an odd number, we need to investigate identities of the form

$$8pn + \frac{8\beta + r}{p} - 1 = 4k(k + 1).$$

When  $(8\beta + r)/p$  is an even number, we need to investigate identities of the form

$$8pn + \frac{8\beta + r}{p} = 4k^2.$$

Can the investigation of these identities be automated? We do not have an answer to this question yet.

### 3. Some Ramanujan-Type Congruences

Let  $a(n)$  be a sequence of integers defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) q^n = \prod_{\delta|M} (q^\delta; q^\delta)_{\infty}^{r_\delta}, \tag{4}$$

where  $M$  is a positive integer and  $r_\delta$  are integers. Based on the ideas of Rademacher [22], Newman [23,24] and Kolberg [25], Radu [26] developed in 2009 an algorithm to verify the congruences

$$a(mn + t) \equiv 0 \pmod{u},$$

for any given  $m, t$  and  $u$ , and for all  $n \geq 0$ .

In 2015, Radu [27] constructed an algorithm, called the Ramanujan–Kolberg algorithm, to derive identities on the generating functions of  $a(mn + t)$  using modular functions for  $\Gamma_0(N)$ . A description of the Ramanujan–Kolberg algorithm can be found in Paule and Radu [28]. Recently, Smoot [29] provided a successful Mathematica implementation of Radu’s algorithm. This package is called RaduRK.

In this section, we use the RaduRK package to obtain some Ramanujan-type congruences for the overpartition functions  $\bar{p}(n)$  and  $\bar{p}_o(n)$ . According to Theorems 2 and 3, we can write the following result.

**Corollary 1.** For  $n \equiv \{4, 7\} \pmod{9}$  or  $n \equiv \{8, 13, 18, 23\} \pmod{25}$ , we have

$$\overline{p}_o(8n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

Upon reflection, one expects that there might be a stronger result.

**Theorem 6.**

(i) For all  $n \equiv \{4, 7\} \pmod{9}$ , we have

$$\overline{p}_o(8n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}.$$

(ii) For all  $n \equiv \{8, 13, 18, 23\} \pmod{25}$ , we have

$$\overline{p}_o(8n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{32}.$$

**Proof.** The generating function for  $\overline{p}_o(n)$  can be written as

$$\frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^3}{(q; q)_\infty^2 (q^4; q^4)_\infty}.$$

This can be described by setting  $M = 4$  and  $r_1 = -2, r_2 = 3, r_4 = -1$ .

(i) Considering the RaduRK program with

$$\text{RK}[12, 4, \{-2, 3, -1\}, 72, 33]$$

and

$$\text{RK}[12, 4, \{-2, 3, -1\}, 72, 57],$$

we deduce that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(72n + 33) q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(72n + 57) q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{24}.$$

(ii) To obtain the second congruence identity, we consider the RaduRK program with

$$\text{RK}[2, 4, \{-2, 3, -1\}, 200, 65]$$

and

$$\text{RK}[2, 4, \{-2, 3, -1\}, 200, 105].$$

We deduce that

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + 65) q^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + 185) q^n \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{10}}$$

and

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + 105) q^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + 145) q^n \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{10}}.$$

Having

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{p}_o(65) &= 2^5 \times 16\,851, \\ \overline{p}_o(200 + 105) &= 2^5 \times 6\,293\,025\,198\,351, \\ \overline{p}_o(145) &= 2^5 \times 64\,201\,703, \\ \overline{p}_o(185) &= 2^5 \times 1\,713\,260\,289, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha \in \{65, 105, 145, 185\}$ , we notice that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + \alpha) q^n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^6}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + \alpha) q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^5}.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

According to Theorems 1, 2 and 4, we can write the following result.

**Corollary 2.** For  $n \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$  or  $n \equiv \{4, 14, 19, 24\} \pmod{25}$ , we have

$$\overline{p}(8n + 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{16} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{p}_o(8n + 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

There are stronger results.

**Theorem 7.**

(i) For all  $n \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$ , we have

$$\overline{p}_o(8n + 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}.$$

(ii) For all  $n \equiv \{4, 14, 19, 24\} \pmod{25}$ , we have

$$\overline{p}_o(8n + 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{64}.$$

**Proof.** (i) To obtain the first congruence identity, we consider the RaduRK program with

$$\text{RK}[4, 4, \{-2, 3, -1\}, 72, 51]$$

and obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(72n + 51) q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{24}.$$

(ii) To obtain the second congruence identity, we consider again the RaduRK program with

$$\text{RK}[2, 4, \{-2, 3, -1\}, 200, 35]$$

and

$$\text{RK}[2, 4, \{-2, 3, -1\}, 200, 155].$$

These give us

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + 35) q^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + 115) q^n \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{12}}$$



and

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + 155) q^n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + 195) q^n\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{12}}.$$

Having

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{p}_o(35) &= 2^6 \times 113, \\ \overline{p}_o(115) &= 2^6 \times 2\,041\,219, \\ \overline{p}_o(200 + 155) &= 2^6 \times 59\,890\,735\,496\,633, \\ \overline{p}_o(195) &= 2^6 \times 1\,844\,065\,971, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha \in \{35, 115, 155, 195\}$ , we notice that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + \alpha) q^n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^7}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}_o(200n + \alpha) q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^6}.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** For all  $n \equiv \{19, 24\} \pmod{25}$ , we have

$$\overline{p}(8n + 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{160}.$$

**Proof.** To obtain this congruence identity, we consider the RaduRK program with

$$\text{RK}[2, 2, \{-2, 1\}, 200, 155].$$

This gives us

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}(200n + 155) q^n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}(200n + 195) q^n\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{25600}.$$

Having

$$\begin{aligned} 25600 &= 2^{10} \times 5^2, \\ \overline{p}(155) &= 2^5 \times 5 \times 3^2 \times 13 \times 1693 \times 2\,402\,791, \\ \overline{p}(195) &= 2^5 \times 5 \times 3 \times 6091 \times 2\,417\,744\,023, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha \in \{155, 195\}$ , we notice that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}(200n + \alpha) q^n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^6}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}(200n + \alpha) q^n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5^2}.$$

Thus, for  $\alpha \in \{155, 195\}$ , we deduce that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}(200n + \alpha) q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^5 \cdot 5}.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

According to Theorems 1 and 5, we can write the following result.

**Corollary 3.** For  $n \equiv \{2, 8\} \pmod{9}$  or  $n \equiv \{10, 20\} \pmod{25}$ , we have

$$\bar{p}(8n + 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$$

There are stronger results.

**Theorem 9.** For all  $n \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$ , we have

$$\bar{p}(8n + 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{32}.$$

**Proof.** To obtain this congruence identity, we consider the RaduRK program with

$$\text{RK}[2, 2, \{-2, 1\}, 72, 69].$$

This gives us

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}(72n + 69) q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{32}.$$

$\square$

#### 4. Open Problems and Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we show that each odd prime generates four families of Ramanujan-type congruences modulo 4 for the number of divisors. Assuming Conjecture 1, the algorithm for generating  $\mathcal{B}_{r,p}$  is not difficult because  $8\beta + r$  must be a multiple of the odd prime  $p$ . Related to the case  $r = 1$  of Conjecture 4, we remark that there is a substantial amount of numerical evidence to conjecture the following.

**Conjecture 5.** If  $n$  is an integer that is not the difference between a triangular number and a square number, then

$$\sigma_0(8n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

We focused on the cases  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $\alpha$  is the square of an odd prime. When  $\alpha$  is a multiple of the square of an odd prime, we can derive other pairs  $(\alpha', \beta')$  for which the statement (3) is true. For example, considering  $\mathcal{B}_{1,3} = \{4, 7\}$ , we easily deduce that the statement (3) is true if

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, \beta) \in \{ & (81, 4), (81, 7), (81, 13), (81, 16), (81, 22), (81, 25), \\ & (81, 31), (81, 34), (81, 40), (81, 43), (81, 49), (81, 52), \\ & (81, 58), (81, 61), (81, 67), (81, 70), (81, 76), (81, 79) \}. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that there are two pairs,  $(81, 37)$  and  $(81, 64)$ , which cannot be derived from the pairs  $(9, 4)$  or  $(9, 7)$ . In addition, we remark that

$$\sigma_0(8(81n + 37) + 1) = \sigma_0(27(24n + 11)) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$$

and

$$\sigma_0(8(81n + 64) + 1) = \sigma_0(27(24n + 19)) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . The proof of these congruences follows easily if we consider that

$$\text{gcd}(27, 24n + 11) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{gcd}(27, 24n + 19) = 1,$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . Moreover,  $24n + 11$  and  $24n + 19$  cannot be squares.

The study of congruences of the form

$$\sigma_0(8n + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k},$$

with  $r \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ , can be a very appealing topic. In analogy with (3), we can consider the following statement:

$$\text{For all } n \geq 0, \quad \sigma_0(8(\alpha n + \beta) + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}. \quad (5)$$

There is a substantial amount of numerical evidence to state the following generalization of Conjecture 1.

**Conjecture 6.** *If the statement (5) is true, then there is a sequence of odd prime numbers,  $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_{k-1}$ , such that  $\alpha$  is divisible by  $(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k-1})^2$  and  $8\beta + r$  is divisible by  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k-1}$ .*

On the other hand, our investigations indicate that Conjecture 6 can be generalized if we consider congruences of the form

$$\sigma_0(\alpha n + \beta) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}.$$

In analogy with (5), we can consider the following statement:

$$\text{For all } n \geq 0, \quad \sigma_0(\alpha n + \beta) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}. \quad (6)$$

We state the following generalization of Conjecture 6.

**Conjecture 7.** *If the statement (6) is true, then there is a sequence of prime numbers,  $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_{k-1}$ , such that  $\alpha$  is divisible by  $(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k-1})^2$  and  $\beta$  is divisible by  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k-1}$ .*

Because  $\sigma_0(n)$  is a multiplicative function, these conjectures motivate the question of identifying all Ramanujan-type congruences for multiplicative functions.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Corteel, S.; Lovejoy, J. Overpartitions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2004**, *356*, 1623–1635. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Chen, W.Y.C.; Sun, L.H.; Wang, R.-H.; Zhang, L. Ramanujan-type congruences for overpartitions modulo 5. *J. Number Theory* **2015**, *148*, 62–72. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Chen, W.Y.C.; Hou, Q.-H.; Sun, L.H.; Zhang, L. Ramanujan-type congruences for overpartitions modulo 16. *J. Number Theory* **2016**, *40*, 311–322. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Chern, S.; Dastidar, M.G. Some congruences modulo 5 and 25 for overpartitions. *Ramanujan J.* **2018**, *47*, 435–445. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Dou, D.Q.J.; Lin, B.L.S. New Ramanujan type congruences modulo 5 for overpartitions. *Ramanujan J.* **2017**, *44*, 401–410. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Fortin, J.-F.; Jacob, P.; Mathieu, P. Jagged partitions. *Ramanujan J.* **2005**, *10*, 215–235. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Hirschhorn, M.D.; Sellers, J.A. Arithmetic relations for overpartitions. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comp.* **2005**, *53*, 65–73.
8. Kim, B. The overpartition function modulo 128. *Integers* **2008**, *8*, #A38.
9. Kim, B. A short note on the overpartition function. *Discret. Math.* **2009**, *309*, 2528–2532. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Lovejoy, J.; Osburn, R. Quadratic forms and four partition functions modulo 3. *Integers* **2011**, *11*, #A4. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Mahlburg, K. The overpartition function modulo small powers of 2. *Discrete Math.* **2004**, *286*, 263–267. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Xia, E.X.W. Congruences modulo 9 and 27 for overpartitions. *Ramanujan J.* **2017**, *42*, 301–323. [[CrossRef](#)]

13. Xiong, X. Overpartition function modulo 16 and some binary quadratic forms. *Int. J. Number Theory* **2016**, *12*, 1195–1208. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Yao, O.X.M.; Xia, E.X.W. New Ramanujan-like congruences modulo powers of 2 and 3 for overpartitions. *Ramanujan J.* **2013**, *133*, 1932–1949. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Merca, M. A further look at a complete characterization of Ramanujan-type congruences modulo 16 for overpartitions. *Proc. Rom. Acad. Ser. A Math. Phys. Tech. Sci. Inf. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 329–335.
16. Lebesgue, V.A. Sommmation de quelques séries. *J. Math. Pure. Appl.* **1840**, *5*, 42–71.
17. Bessenrodt, C. On pairs of partitions with steadily decreasing parts. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **2002**, *99*, 162–174. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Santos, J.P.O.; Sills, D.  $q$ -Pell sequences and two identities of VA Lebesgue. *Discret. Math.* **2002**, *257*, 125–142. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Chen, S.-C. On the number of overpartitions into odd parts. *Discret. Math.* **2014**, *325*, 32–37. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Hirschhorn, M.D.; Sellers, J.A. Arithmetic Properties of Overpartitions into Odd Parts. *Ann. Comb.* **2006**, *10*, 353–367. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Merca, M. On the Ramanujan-type congruences modulo 8 for the overpartitions into odd parts. *Quaest. Math.* **2021**. Available online: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.2989/16073606.2021.1966543> (accessed on 1 July 2022).
22. Rademacher, H. The Ramanujan identities under modular substitutions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1942**, *51*, 609–636. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Newman, M. Construction and application of a class of modular functions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1957**, *7*, 334–350. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Newman, M. Construction and application of a class of modular functions (II). *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1957**, *9*, 373–387. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Kolberg, O. Some identities involving the partition function. *Math. Scand.* **1957**, *5*, 77–92. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Radu, S. An algorithmic approach to Ramanujan’s congruences. *Ramanujan J.* **2009**, *20*, 215–251. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Radu, C.-S. An algorithmic approach to Ramanujan-Kolberg identities. *J. Symb. Comput.* **2015**, *68*, 225–253. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Paule, P.; Radu, C.-S. Partition analysis, modular functions, and computer algebra. In *Recent Trends in Combinatorics*; Beveridge, A., Griggs, J.R., Hogben, L., Musiker, G., Tetali, P., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Germany, 2016; pp. 511–543.
29. Smoot, N.A. On the computation of identities relating partition numbers in arithmetic progressions with eta quotients: An implementation of Radu’s algorithm. *J. Symb. Comput.* **2021**, *104*, 276–311. [[CrossRef](#)]