

Article

Two Convergence Results for Inexact Infinite Products of Non-Expansive Mappings

Alexander J. Zaslavski

Department of Mathematics, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel; ajzasl@technion.ac.il

Abstract: We analyze the asymptotic behavior of infinite products of non-linear operators which take a non-empty, closed subset of a complete metric space into the space, taking into account summable computational errors. Our results can be applied in methods for solving convex feasibility and optimization problems.

Keywords: complete metric space; convergence analysis; inexact iteration; infinite product; non-expansive mapping

MSC: 47H09; 47H10; 54E35

1. Introduction

The fixed-point theory of non-linear operators has been a rapidly growing area of research [1–19]. The starting point of this theory is Banach's classical result [20] on the existence of a unique fixed point for a strict contraction. Since that seminal paper, many developments have taken place in this field [18,19,21–30].

In our joint paper with D. Butnariu and S. Reich [3], it was established that if every sequence of iterates of a non-expansive operator converges, then this convergence property also takes place for every sequence of inexact iterates under the presence of summable errors. In our subsequent joint paper with D. Butnariu and S. Reich [31], this result was extended for inexact infinite products of non-expansive self-mappings of a complete metric space. Here, we analyze the convergence of inexact infinite products of non-expansive operators which take a non-empty, closed subset K of a complete metric space into the space, taking into account summable computational errors and obtaining a generalization of the result of [31] mentioned above. Namely, we show that for each pair of sequence of points $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ generated by our inexact infinite products which belong to the subset K , the distance between x_i and y_i tends to zero as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Preliminaries

Suppose that (X, ρ) is a complete metric space equipped with a metric ρ . For an arbitrary element $\eta \in X$ and an arbitrary set $C \subset X$, put

$$\rho(\eta, C) = \inf\{\rho(\eta, \xi) : \xi \in C\}.$$

For any $\eta \in X$ and any $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ put

$$B(\eta, \gamma) = \{\xi \in X : \rho(\eta, \xi) \leq \gamma\}.$$

For any operator $S : X \rightarrow X$, let $S^0 y = y$ for every point $y \in X$.

In our joint paper with D. Butnariu and S. Reich [3], we investigated the influence of computational errors on the asymptotic behavior of iterates of non-expansive operators in complete metric spaces and established the following theorem (see also Theorem 2.72 of [16]).



Citation: Zaslavski, A.J. Two Convergence Results for Inexact Infinite Products of Non-Expansive Mappings. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 88. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12010088>

Academic Editor: Behzad Djafari-Rouhani

Received: 2 December 2022

Revised: 6 January 2023

Accepted: 12 January 2023

Published: 14 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Theorem 1. Assume that $A : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies

$$\rho(A\xi, A\eta) \leq \rho(\xi, \eta) \text{ every pair of points } \xi, \eta \in X,$$

$F(A)$ is the collection of all fixed points of the operator A and for every point $\xi \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{A^n \xi\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges (X, ρ) .

Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset (0, \infty)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty r_n < \infty$$

and that a sequence of inexact iterates $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset X$ for every non-negative integer n satisfies

$$\rho(x_{n+1}, Ax_n) \leq r_n.$$

Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to a point of $F(A)$.

Theorem 1 has important applications and is an essential ingredient in the superiorization and perturbation resilience of algorithms [21–23,25,26]. The superiorization methodology works by analyzing the perturbation resilience of an iterative algorithm, and then applying proactively such perturbations in order to make the perturbed algorithm perform something useful in an addition to its original task. This methodology is illustrated by the next discussion.

Assume that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, $\rho(\xi, \eta) = \|\xi - \eta\|$ for all $\xi, \eta \in X$, an operator $A : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies

$$\|A(\xi) - A(\eta)\| \leq \|\xi - \eta\|, \xi, \eta \in X$$

and that for any point $\eta \in X$, the sequence $\{A^n \eta\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges in the norm topology, $\xi_0 \in X$, $\{\alpha_t\}_{t=0}^\infty \subset (0, \infty)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{t=0}^\infty \alpha_t < \infty,$$

$\{u_t\}_{t=0}^\infty \subset X$ satisfies

$$\sup\{\|u_t\| : t = 0, 1, \dots\} < \infty$$

and that for every non-negative integer $t \geq 0$,

$$\xi_{t+1} = A(\xi_t + \alpha_t u_t).$$

Theorem 1 implies that $\{\xi_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ converges and its limit ξ satisfies $A(\xi) = \xi$. In this case, the mapping A is called bounded perturbations resilient [22].

Now, assume that $\xi_0 \in X$ and the summable sequence of positive numbers $\{\alpha_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ are given. We construct a sequence of iterates $\{\xi_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ determined by the equation above. Under an appropriate choice of $\{u_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$, the sequence of inexact iterates $\{\xi_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ has some useful properties. Namely, the sequence $\{f(\xi_t)\}_{t=1}^\infty$ can be decreasing, where f is a given objective function.

In our joint paper with D. Butnariu and S. Reich [31], we extended Theorem 1 for inexact infinite products of non-expansive self-mappings of a complete metric space. In the present paper, we investigate the convergence of inexact infinite products of non-expansive mappings which take a non-empty, closed subset K of a complete metric space into the space and obtain a generalization of the result of the work [31]. Namely, we show that for each pair of sequence of points $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ generated by our inexact infinite products which belong to the subset K , the distance between x_i and y_i tends to zero as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

The most important and well-known application of the results obtained in [3,31] and here is the convex feasibility problem: to find a common point of a family of convex,

closed subsets $C_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ of a Hilbert space. The convex feasibility problems arises in radiation planning and computer tomography. In order to solve this problem, one usually uses infinite products of projections on the sets $C_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ or more advanced dynamic string-averaging projection methods [18,19,25]. Our results, as well as the results of [3,31], explain stability effects arising in numerical experiments under the presence of small computational errors [21].

3. A Convergence Result in a Metric Space

Assume that K is a non-empty, closed set in a complete metric space (X, ρ) equipped with the metric ρ . Denote by \mathcal{A} the collection of all operators $S : K \rightarrow X$ for which

$$\rho(S(\eta), S(\xi)) \leq \rho(\eta, \xi), \eta, \xi \in K. \tag{1}$$

Assume that \mathcal{R} is a collection of maps $T : \{1, 2, \dots\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which have the following two properties:

(a) For every map $T \in \mathcal{R}$ and every natural number s the map $\tilde{T}(t) = T(t + s), t \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ belongs to \mathcal{R} ;

(b) For any map $T \in \mathcal{R}$ and every pair $\{\xi_t\}_{t=0}^\infty, \{\eta_t\}_{t=0}^\infty \subset K$ for which

$$\xi_{t+1} = T(t + 1)(\xi_t), \eta_{t+1} = T(t + 1)(\eta_t), t = 0, 1, \dots$$

the equation

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\xi_t, \eta_t) = 0$$

is true.

We will prove the following result.

Theorem 2. Assume that $T \in \mathcal{R}, \Delta > 0, \{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset (0, \infty)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \Delta_i < \infty \tag{2}$$

and that $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^\infty, \{y_t\}_{t=0}^\infty \subset K$ satisfy for every non-negative integer t ,

$$\rho(x_{t+1}, T(t + 1)(x_t)) \leq \Delta_{t+1}, \rho(y_{t+1}, T(t + 1)(y_t)) \leq \Delta_{t+1}, \tag{3}$$

and

$$B(x_t, \Delta), B(y_t, \Delta) \subset K. \tag{4}$$

Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_t, y_t) = 0.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 2

We may assume without loss of generality that

$$\Delta < 1.$$

Let

$$\epsilon \in (0, \Delta). \tag{5}$$

In view of Equation (2), there is an integer $n_0 \geq 1$ for which

$$\sum_{j=n_0}^\infty \Delta_j < \epsilon/9. \tag{6}$$

Set

$$\tilde{x}_{n_0} = x_{n_0} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\tilde{x}_{n_0+1} = T(n_0 + 1)(\tilde{x}_{n_0}). \tag{8}$$

By (3), (7) and (8),

$$\rho(\tilde{x}_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+1}) = \rho(x_{n_0+1}, T(n_0 + 1)(x_{n_0})) \leq \Delta_{n_0+1}. \tag{9}$$

Equations (4), (6) and (9) imply that

$$\tilde{x}_{n_0+1} \in K.$$

Therefore, we can define

$$\tilde{x}_{n_0+2} = T(n_0 + 2)(\tilde{x}_{n_0+1}).$$

By induction, we define iterates \tilde{x}_j for all natural numbers $j > n_0$. If $j > n_0$ is an integer and $\tilde{x}_j \in K$ was defined, then we set

$$\tilde{x}_{j+1} = T(j + 1)(\tilde{x}_j). \tag{10}$$

Assume that $m > n_0$ is an integer and that $\tilde{x}_i \in K, i = n_0, \dots, m$ are defined and that for each $i \in \{n_0 + 1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\rho(\tilde{x}_i, x_i) \leq \sum_{j=n_0+1}^i \Delta_j. \tag{11}$$

(Clearly, by Equation (9), our assumption is true for $m = n_0 + 1$.) Equations (5), (6) and (11) imply that

$$\rho(x_m, \tilde{x}_m) \leq \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{\infty} \Delta_j < \epsilon/8 < \Delta/4. \tag{12}$$

By Equations (4) and (12), we have

$$\tilde{x}_m \in K$$

and then

$$\tilde{x}_{m+1} = T(m + 1)\tilde{x}_m$$

is defined.

Equations (1), (3) and (11) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\tilde{x}_{m+1}, x_{m+1}) &\leq \rho(T(m + 1)(\tilde{x}_m), T(m + 1)(x_m)) + \rho(T(m + 1)(x_m), x_{m+1}) \\ &\leq \rho(\tilde{x}_m, x_m) + \Delta_{m+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=n_0+1}^m \Delta_j + \Delta_{m+1} = \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{m+1} \Delta_j. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

In view of (13), Equation (11) is true for $i = m + 1$. By (4)–(6) and (13),

$$\rho(\tilde{x}_{m+1}, x_{m+1}) < \epsilon/8 < \Delta/8.$$

and

$$\tilde{x}_{m+1} \in K.$$

Thus, the assumption which was made for m is true for $m + 1$ as well. By induction, we showed that $\tilde{x}_i \in K$ is defined for all integers $i \geq n_0$ and (11) is true for all integers $i \geq n_0 + 1$. Set

$$\tilde{y}_{n_0} = y_{n_0}$$

and if an integer $i \geq n_0$ and $\tilde{y}_i \in K$ is defined, then set

$$\tilde{y}_{i+1} = T(i + 1)(\tilde{y}_i).$$

Arguing as before, we can show that for any natural number $i \geq n_0$, $\tilde{y}_i \in K$ is defined and that

$$\rho(\tilde{y}_i, y_i) \leq \sum_{j=n_0+1}^i \Delta_j. \tag{14}$$

Properties (a) and (b) imply that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i) = 0 \tag{15}$$

By Equation (15), there is a natural number $n_1 \geq n_0$ such that for any natural number $i \geq n_1$, we have

$$\rho(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i) \leq \epsilon/4. \tag{16}$$

Equations (8), (11), (14) and (16) imply that for any natural number $i \geq n_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_i, y_i) &\leq \rho(x_i, \tilde{x}_i) + \rho(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i) + \rho(\tilde{y}_i, y_i) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=n_0+1}^i \Delta_j + \epsilon/4 \leq \epsilon/8 + \epsilon/8 + \epsilon/4. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

5. A Weak Convergence Result

Assume that K is a non-empty, closed set in a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ with a dual space $(E^*, \|\cdot\|_*)$. For each $\zeta, \eta \in E$, put $\rho(\zeta, \eta) = \|\zeta - \eta\|$. Denote by \mathcal{A} the collection of all maps $S : K \rightarrow E$, for which

$$\|S(\eta) - A(\zeta)\| \leq \|\eta - \zeta\|, \eta, \zeta \in K. \tag{17}$$

Assume that \mathcal{R} is a collection of maps $T : \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which have the following two properties:

- (a) For every map $T \in \mathcal{R}$ and every natural number s , the map $\tilde{T}(t) = T(t + s)$, $t \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ belongs to \mathcal{R} ;
- (b) For any map $T \in \mathcal{R}$ and each $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^\infty, \{y_t\}_{t=0}^\infty \subset K$ which satisfies

$$x_{t+1} = T(t + 1)(x_t), y_{t+1} = T(t + 1)(y_t), t = 0, 1, \dots,$$

the sequence $\{x_t - y_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ converges weakly in X to the zero.

We will prove the following result.

Theorem 3. Assume that $T \in \mathcal{R}$, $\Delta > 0$, $\{\Delta_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset (0, \infty)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \Delta_j < \infty \tag{18}$$

and that $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^\infty, \{y_t\}_{t=0}^\infty \subset K$ satisfy for every non-negative integer t ,

$$\|x_{t+1} - T(t + 1)(x_t)\| \leq \Delta_{t+1}, \|y_{t+1} - T(t + 1)(y_t)\| \leq \Delta_{t+1}, \tag{19}$$

and

$$B(x_t, \Delta), B(y_t, \Delta) \subset K. \tag{20}$$

Then the sequence $\{x_t - y_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ converges weakly in X to the zero.

6. Proof of Theorem 3

We may assume without loss of generality that

$$\Delta < 1.$$

Let $f \in E^*$ satisfy

$$\|f\|_* \leq 1, \epsilon \in (0, \Delta). \tag{21}$$

In order to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(y_i - x_i) = 0.$$

By (18), there is $n_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, for which

$$\sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} \Delta_i < \epsilon/8. \tag{22}$$

Set

$$\tilde{x}_{n_0} = x_{n_0} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\tilde{x}_{n_0+1} = T(n_0 + 1)(\tilde{x}_{n_0}). \tag{24}$$

By (19)–(24),

$$\|\tilde{x}_{n_0+1} - x_{n_0+1}\| \leq \Delta_{n_0+1}, \tilde{x}_{n_0+1} \in K. \tag{25}$$

By induction, we define $\tilde{x}_t \in K$ for every natural number $t > n_0$. If $i > n_0$ is an integer and $\tilde{x}_i \in K$ was defined, then we set

$$\tilde{x}_{i+1} = T(i + 1)(\tilde{x}_i). \tag{26}$$

Assume that $m > n_0$ is an integer and that $\tilde{x}_i \in K; i = n_0, \dots, m$ are defined using (26) and for each $i \in \{n_0 + 1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\|\tilde{x}_i - x_i\| \leq \sum_{j=n_0+1}^i \Delta_j. \tag{27}$$

(It should be mentioned that by (25) our assumption is valid for $m = n_0 + 1$.) By (27), we have

$$\|x_m - \tilde{x}_m\| \leq \sum_{j=n_0+1}^m \Delta_j. \tag{28}$$

Set

$$\tilde{x}_{m+1} = T(m + 1)(\tilde{x}_m).$$

Equations (17), (19), (28) and (29) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{x}_{m+1} - x_{m+1}\| &\leq \|T(m + 1)(\tilde{x}_m) - T(m + 1)(x_m)\| + \|T(m + 1)(x_m) - x_{m+1}\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{x}_m - x_m\| + \Delta_{m+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=n_0+1}^m \Delta_j + \Delta_{m+1} = \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{m+1} \Delta_j. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

In view of (29), Equation (27) is true for $i = m + 1$. By (20)–(22) and (29),

$$\|\tilde{x}_{m+1} - x_{m+1}\| < \epsilon/8 < \Delta/8.$$

and

$$\tilde{x}_{m+1} \in K.$$

Thus, the assumption which was made for m is true for $m + 1$ as well. By induction, we showed that $\tilde{x}_i \in K$ is defined for all integers $i \geq n_0$ by (26) and (27) holds for all integers $i \geq n_0 + 1$. Set

$$\tilde{y}_{n_0} = y_{n_0} \tag{30}$$

and if an integer $i \geq n_0$ and $\tilde{y}_i \in K$ is defined, then set

$$\tilde{y}_{i+1} = T(i + 1)(\tilde{y}_i). \tag{31}$$

Arguing as before, we can show that for every integer $i \geq n_0 + 1$, $\tilde{y}_i \in K$ is defined and that

$$\|\tilde{y}_i - y_i\| \leq \sum_{j=n_0+1}^i \Delta_j. \tag{32}$$

Properties (a) and (b) and Equations (23), (26), (30) and (31) imply that

$$\tilde{x}_i - \tilde{y}_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ weakly in } E \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \tag{33}$$

In order to complete the proof of our result, it is sufficient to show that the inequality

$$|f(x_i - y_i)| < \epsilon$$

is true for all sufficiently large natural numbers $i \geq 0$. By (33),

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(\tilde{y}_i - \tilde{x}_i) = 0.$$

Thus, there is a natural number $n_1 > n_0$ such that for every natural number $i \geq n_1$,

$$|f(\tilde{x}_i - \tilde{y}_i)| \leq \epsilon/8. \tag{34}$$

Following Equations (22), (27), (32) and (34), for every natural number $i \geq n_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_i - y_i)| &\leq |f(x_i - \tilde{x}_i)| + |f(\tilde{x}_i - \tilde{y}_i)| + |f(\tilde{y}_i - y_i)| \\ &\leq \|f\|_* \|x_i - \tilde{x}_i\| + \epsilon/8 + \|f\|_* \|y_i - \tilde{y}_i\| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{\infty} \Delta_j + \epsilon/8 < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 is proved.

7. Conclusions

We analyze the asymptotic behavior of infinite products of non-linear operators which take a non-empty, closed subset K of a complete metric space into the space, taking into account summable computational errors and obtaining a generalization of the result of [31]. More precisely, we show that for each pair of sequence of points $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ generated by our inexact infinite products which belong to the subset K , the distance between x_i and y_i tends to zero as $i \rightarrow \infty$. The most important and well-known application of the results obtained in [3,31] and here is the convex feasibility problem: to find a common point of a family of convex, closed subsets $C_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ of a Hilbert space. The convex feasibility problems arises in radiation planning and computer tomography. In order to solve this problem, one usually uses infinite products of projections on the sets $C_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ or more advanced dynamic string-averaging projection methods [18,19,25]. Our results as well as the results of [3,31] explain stability effects arising in numerical experiments under the presence of small computational errors [21].

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Bejenaru, A.; Postolache, M. An unifying approach for some nonexpansiveness conditions on modular vector spaces. *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control.* **2020**, *25*, 827–845. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Betiuk-Pilarska, A.; Benavides, T.D. Fixed points for nonexpansive mappings and generalized nonexpansive mappings on Banach lattices. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2016**, *1*, 343–359.
3. Butnariu, D.; Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J.; Convergence to fixed points of inexact orbits of Bregman-monotone and of nonexpansive operators in Banach spaces. In *Proceedings of Fixed Point Theory and its Applications*; Yokohama Publishers: Yokohama, Mexico, 2006; pp. 11–32.
4. de Blasi, F.S.; Myjak, J. Sur la convergence des approximations successives pour les contractions non linéaires dans un espace de Banach. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **1976**, *283*, 185–187.
5. de Blasi, F.S.; Myjak, J. Sur la porosité de l'ensemble des contractions sans point fixe. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **1989**, *308*, 51–54.
6. Goebel, K.; Kirk, W.A. *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1990.
7. Goebel, K.; Reich, S. *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings*; Marcel Dekker: New York, NY, USA; Basel, Switzerland, 1984.
8. Iyiola, O.S.; Shehu, Y. New convergence results for inertial Krasnoselskii–Mann iterations in Hilbert spaces with applications. *Results Math.* **2021**, *76*, 75. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Jachymski, J. Extensions of the Dugundji–Granás and Nadler's theorems on the continuity of fixed points. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 657–666.
10. Kanzow, C.; Shehu, Y. Generalized Krasnoselskii–Mann-type iterations for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces. *Comput Optim. Appl.* **2017**, *67*, 595–620. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Kirk, W.A. Contraction Mappings and extensions. In *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory*; Kluwer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001; pp. 1–34.
12. Kozłowski, W.M. *An Introduction to Fixed Point Theory in Modular Function Spaces*; Topics in fixed point theory; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2014; pp. 15–222.
13. Kubota, R.; Takahashi, W.; Takeuchi, Y. Extensions of Browder's demiclosedness principle and Reich's lemma and their applications. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2016**, *1*, 63–84.
14. Rakotch, E. A note on contractive mappings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1962**, *13*, 459–465. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Reich, S. Fixed points of contractive functions. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.* **1972**, *5*, 26–42.
16. Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. *Genericity in Nonlinear Analysis (Developments in Mathematics, 34)*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2014.
17. Shehu, Y. Iterative approximations for zeros of sum of accretive operators in Banach spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2016**, *2016*, 5973468. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Zaslavski, A.J. *Approximate Solutions of Common Fixed Point Problems*; Springer Optimization and Its Applications; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2016.
19. Zaslavski, A.J. *Algorithms for Solving Common Fixed Point Problems*; Springer Optimization and Its Applications; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2018.
20. Banach, S.; Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fund. Math.* **1922**, *3*, 133–181. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Butnariu, D.; Davidi, R.; Herman, G.T.; Kazantsev, I.G. Stable convergence behavior under summable perturbations of a class of projection methods for convex feasibility and optimization problems. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Signal Process.* **2007**, *1*, 540–547. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Censor, Y.; Davidi, R.; Herman, G.T. Perturbation resilience and superiorization of iterative algorithms. *Inverse Probl.* **2010**, *26*, 65008. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Censor, Y.; Davidi, R.; Herman, G.T.; Schulte, R.W.; Tetruashvili, L. Projected subgradient minimization versus superiorization. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2014**, *160*, 730–747. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Censor, Y.; Reem, D. Zero-convex functions, perturbation resilience, and subgradient projections for feasibility-seeking methods. *Math. Program.* **2015**, *152*, 339–380. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Censor, Y.; Zaknoon, M. Algorithms and convergence results of projection methods for inconsistent feasibility problems: A review. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2018**, *3*, 565–586.
26. Censor, Y.; Zur, Y. *Linear Superiorization for Infeasible Linear Programming*; Lecture Notes in Computer Science; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2016; Volume 9869, pp. 15–24.
27. Gibali, A. A new split inverse problem and an application to least intensity feasible solutions. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 243–258.
28. Gibali, A.; Reich, S.; Zalas, R. Outer approximation methods for solving variational inequalities in Hilbert space. *Optimization* **2017**, *66*, 417–437. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Takahashi, W. The split common fixed point problem and the shrinking projection method for new nonlinear mappings in two Banach spaces. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 685–699.

30. Takahashi, W. A general iterative method for split common fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces and applications. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2018**, *3*, 349–369.
31. Butnariu, D.; Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Stable convergence theorems for infinite products and powers of nonexpansive mappings. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **2008**, *29*, 304–323. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.