

Article

Generalized Refinements of Reversed AM-GM Operator Inequalities for Positive Linear Maps

Yonghui Ren

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China; yonghuiaren1992@163.com

Abstract: We shall present some more generalized and further refinements of reversed AM-GM operator inequalities for positive linear maps due to Xue’s and Ali’s publications.

Keywords: AM-GM; operator inequalities; positive linear maps

MSC: 47A64; 47A30; 47B02

1. Introduction

Let m, M be scalars and I be the identity operator. $B(\mathcal{H})$ denote all bounded linear operators acting on a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. In addition, $A \geq 0$ means the operator A is positive. We say $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$. A linear map $\Phi : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is called positive (strictly positive) if $\Phi(A) \geq 0$ ($\Phi(A) > 0$) whenever $A \geq 0$ ($A > 0$), and Φ is said to be unital if $\Phi(I) = I$.

If $A, B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ are two positive operators, then the operator weighted arithmetic mean and geometric mean are defined as

$$A \nabla_v B = (1 - v)A + vB \quad \text{and} \quad A \sharp_v B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^v A^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for $v \in [0, 1]$, respectively. Denoted $A \nabla_v B$ by $A \nabla B$ and $A \sharp_v B$ by $A \sharp B$ when $v = \frac{1}{2}$ for brevity. The Kantorovich constant and Specht’s ratio are defined by $K(h, 2) = \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}$ and $S(h) = \frac{1}{e \ln h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}}$ when $h > 0$. If there is no special explanations, we always default to $a, b > 0$ and $v \in [0, 1]$ in this paper.

It is well known that the famous Young’s inequality reads

$$a^{1-v}b^v \leq (1 - v)a + vb. \tag{1}$$

Furuichi [1] improved (1) with Specht’s ratio

$$S\left(\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^r\right)a^{1-v}b^v \leq (1 - v)a + vb, \tag{2}$$

where $r = \min\{v, 1 - v\}$. Zuo et al. [2] further improved (2) as

$$S\left(\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^r\right)a^{1-v}b^v \leq K^r\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)a^{1-v}b^v \leq (1 - v)a + vb. \tag{3}$$

Sababheh and Moslehian [3] gave a refinement of (3) in the following form

$$K\left(\sqrt[2N]{h}, 2\right)^{\beta_N(v)}a^{1-v}b^v + S_N(v; b, a) \leq (1 - v)a + vb, \tag{4}$$



Citation: Ren, Y. Generalized Refinements of Reversed AM-GM Operator Inequalities for Positive Linear Maps. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 977. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12100977>

Academic Editor: Christophe Chesneau

Received: 9 September 2023

Revised: 5 October 2023

Accepted: 9 October 2023

Published: 17 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

where $N \in \mathbb{N}^+, h = \frac{a}{b}, \beta_N(v) = \min\{\alpha_N(v), 1 - \alpha_N(v)\}$ with $\alpha_N(v) = 1 + [2^N v] - 2^N v$ and

$$S_N(v; b, a) = \sum_{j=1}^N s_j(v) \left(\sqrt[2^j]{a^{2^{j-1}-k_j(v)} b^{k_j(v)}} - \sqrt[2^j]{b^{k_j(v)+1} a^{2^{j-1}-k_j(v)-1}} \right)^2 \tag{5}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N, k_j(v) = [2^{j-1}v], r_j(v) = [2^j v]$ and $s_j(v) = (-1)^{r_j(v)} 2^{j-1} v + (-1)^{r_j(v)+1} [\frac{r_j(v)+1}{2}]$.

Taking $v = \frac{1}{2}$ in (1), we can get the following AM-GM operator inequality for any two positive operators A and B ,

$$A \sharp B \leq A \nabla B. \tag{6}$$

Lin [4] gave a reverse of inequality (6) involving unital positive linear maps Φ :

$$\Phi(A \nabla B) \leq K(h, 2) \Phi(A \sharp B) \tag{7}$$

for $0 < mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ and $h = \frac{M}{m}$. In generally, for any two positive operators A, B and $P > 1$,

$$A \geq B \not\Rightarrow A^P \geq B^P. \tag{8}$$

For example, putting $P = 2, A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. However, Lin [4] showed that the inequality (7) can be squared under the same conditions as in it,

$$\Phi^2(A \nabla B) \leq K^2(h, 2) \Phi^2(A \sharp B) \tag{9}$$

and

$$\Phi^2(A \nabla B) \leq K^2(h, 2) (\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B))^2. \tag{10}$$

Moreover, the author [4] found that Specht’s ratio and Kantorovich constant have the following relations

$$S(h) \leq K(h, 2) \leq S^2(h) \tag{11}$$

for $h > 1$. Also, Lin [4] conjectured $K(h)$ can be replaced by $S(h)$ in (9) and (10). Xue [5] solved Lin’s conjecture under some conditions: $0 < mI \leq A, B \leq MI, \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \leq 2.314$ and $h = \frac{M}{m}$, she got

$$\Phi^2(A \nabla B) \leq K(h, 2) \Phi^2(A \sharp B) \tag{12}$$

and

$$\Phi^2(A \nabla B) \leq K(h, 2) (\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B))^2. \tag{13}$$

Recently, Ali et al. [6] gave some refinements of inequalities (12) and (13) as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $0 < m \leq M, \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \leq 2.314$. For every positive unital linear map Φ ,

(1) if $0 < mI \leq A, B \leq \frac{M+m}{2} I$, then

$$\Phi^2 \left(A \nabla B + \frac{M+m}{2} m \left(\frac{A^{-1} + B^{-1}}{2} - (A^{-1} \sharp B^{-1}) \right) \right) \leq \left(\frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}} \right)^2 \Phi^2(A \sharp_v B);$$

$$\Phi^2\left(A\nabla B + \frac{M+m}{2}m\left(\frac{A^{-1}+B^{-1}}{2} - (A^{-1}\#B^{-1})\right)\right) \leq \left(\frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}}\right)^2 (\Phi(A)\#_v\Phi(B))^2;$$

(2) if $0 < \frac{M+m}{2}I \leq A, B \leq MI$, then

$$\Phi^2\left(A\nabla B + \frac{M+m}{2}M\left(\frac{A^{-1}+B^{-1}}{2} - (A^{-1}\#B^{-1})\right)\right) \leq \left(\frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}}\right)^2 \Phi^2(A\#_vB);$$

$$\Phi^2\left(A\nabla B + \frac{M+m}{2}M\left(\frac{A^{-1}+B^{-1}}{2} - (A^{-1}\#B^{-1})\right)\right) \leq \left(\frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}}\right)^2 (\Phi(A)\#_v\Phi(B))^2;$$

(3) if $0 < mI \leq A < \frac{M+m}{2}I \leq B \leq MI$, then

$$\Phi^2\left(A\nabla B + \frac{M+m}{2}\left(\frac{mA^{-1}+MB^{-1}}{2} - (mA^{-1}\#mB^{-1})\right)\right) \leq \left(\frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}}\right)^2 \Phi^2(A\#_vB);$$

$$\Phi^2\left(A\nabla B + \frac{M+m}{2}\left(\frac{mA^{-1}+MB^{-1}}{2} - (mA^{-1}\#mB^{-1})\right)\right) \leq \left(\frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}}\right)^2 (\Phi(A)\#_v\Phi(B))^2;$$

(4) if $0 < mI \leq B \leq \frac{M+m}{2}I \leq A \leq MI$, then

$$\Phi^2\left(A\nabla B + \frac{M+m}{2}\left(\frac{MA^{-1}+mB^{-1}}{2} - (MA^{-1}\#mB^{-1})\right)\right) \leq \left(\frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}}\right)^2 \Phi^2(A\#_vB);$$

$$\Phi^2\left(A\nabla B + \frac{M+m}{2}\left(\frac{MA^{-1}+mB^{-1}}{2} - (MA^{-1}\#mB^{-1})\right)\right) \leq \left(\frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}}\right)^2 (\Phi(A)\#_v\Phi(B))^2;$$

For more information about operator inequalities involving positive linear maps, we refer the readers to [7–11] and references therein.

In this paper, we shall give some more generalized and further refinements of reversed AM-GM operator inequalities for positive linear maps due to Ali’s results.

2. Main Results

We give some lemmas to prove our main results.

Lemma 1. Let $0 < mI \leq A \leq m'I < M'I \leq B \leq MI$, $h = \frac{M}{m}$, $h' = \frac{M'}{m'}$. Then we have

$$K\left(\sqrt[2^N]{h'}, 2\right)^{\beta_N(v)} A\#_v B + \sum_{j=1}^N s_j(v) (A\#_{\alpha_j(v)} B + A\#_{\alpha_j(v)+2^{1-j}} B - 2A\#_{\alpha_j(v)+2^{-j}} B) \leq A\nabla_v B, \tag{14}$$

where $\alpha_j(v) = \frac{k_j(v)}{2^{j-1}}$, $k_j(v) = [2^{j-1}v]$ and $\beta_N(v) = \min\{1 + [2^N v] - 2^N v, 2^N v - [2^N v]\}$, $r_j(v) = [2^j v]$ and $s_j(v) = (-1)^{r_j(v)} 2^{j-1} v + (-1)^{r_j(v)+1} \left[\frac{r_j(v)+1}{2}\right]$.

Proof. Putting $a = 1$ in (4), we obtain

$$K\left(\sqrt[2^N]{\frac{1}{b}}, 2\right)^{\beta_N(v)} b^v + \sum_{j=1}^N s_j(v) (b^{\alpha_j(v)} + b^{\alpha_j(v)+2^{1-j}} - 2b^{\alpha_j(v)+2^{-j}}) \leq (1-v) + vb.$$

Taking $X = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, and then $Sp(X) \subseteq [h', h] \subseteq (1, +\infty)$. By standard functional calculus and the Kantorovich constant $K(\frac{1}{t}, 2)$ is a decreasing function on $\frac{1}{t} \in (0, 1)$, we get

$$K\left(\sqrt[2^N]{\frac{1}{h'}}, 2\right)^{\beta_N(v)} X^v + \sum_{j=1}^N s_j(v) (X^{\alpha_j(v)} + X^{\alpha_j(v)+2^{1-j}} - 2X^{\alpha_j(v)+2^{-j}}) \leq (1 - v) + vX. \tag{15}$$

Multiplying $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on both sides of inequality (15), with the fact $K(h, 2) = K(\frac{1}{h}, 2)$, we can get (14) directly. \square

Lemma 2. Under the same conditions as in Lemma 1, we have

$$A\nabla_v B + MmK(\sqrt[2^N]{h'}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} (A\sharp_v B)^{-1} + MmS_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}) \leq M + m, \tag{16}$$

where $S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^N s_j(v) (A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha_j(v)} B^{-1} + A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha_j(v)+2^{1-j}} B^{-1} - 2A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha_j(v)+2^{-j}} B^{-1})$.

Proof. If $0 < mI \leq A, B \leq MI$, then

$$(M - A)(A - m)A^{-1} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (M - B)(B - m)B^{-1} \geq 0,$$

that is

$$A + MmA^{-1} \leq M + m \quad \text{and} \quad B + MmB^{-1} \leq M + m. \tag{17}$$

So we have

$$A\nabla_v B + Mm(A^{-1}\nabla_v B^{-1}) \leq M + m. \tag{18}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & A\nabla_v B + MmS_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}) + MmK(\sqrt[2^N]{h'}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} (A\sharp_v B)^{-1} \\ &= A\nabla_v B + Mm\left(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}) + K(\sqrt[2^N]{h'}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} (A^{-1}\sharp_v B^{-1})\right) \\ &\leq A\nabla_v B + Mm(A^{-1}\nabla_v B^{-1}) \quad (\text{by (14)}) \\ &\leq M + m. \quad (\text{by (18)}) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 3 ([12]). Let $A, B \geq 0$. Then the following norm inequality holds

$$\|AB\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \|A + B\|^2. \tag{19}$$

Lemma 4 ([13]). Let Φ be a unital positive linear map and $A > 0$. Then

$$\Phi(A)^{-1} \leq \Phi(A^{-1}). \tag{20}$$

Lemma 5 ([14]). (i) If $0 \leq P \leq 1$ and $A \geq B \geq 0$, then

$$A^P \geq B^P. \tag{21}$$

(ii) Let Φ be a unital positive linear map and $A, B > 0$. For $v \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\Phi(A\sharp_v B) \leq \Phi(A)\sharp_v \Phi(B). \tag{22}$$

Lemma 6 ([15]). Let $A, B \geq 0$. Then for $1 \leq P < +\infty$,

$$\|A^P + B^P\| \leq \|(A + B)^P\|. \tag{23}$$

Theorem 2. Let $0 < mI \leq MI$, $\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \leq 2.314$ and $S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})$ defined as in Lemma 2. Then for every positive unital linear map Φ and $P \in [0, 2]$,

(1) if $0 < mI \leq A \leq m'_1 I < M'_1 I \leq B \leq \frac{M+m}{2} I$, then

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m (S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)} ({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)} \Phi^P (A \#_v B); \tag{24}$$

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m (S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)} ({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)} (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^P; \tag{25}$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}$, $h'_1 = \frac{M'_1}{m'_1}$ and $v \in [0, 1]$.

(2) if $0 < \frac{M+m}{2} I \leq A \leq m'_2 I < M'_2 I \leq B \leq MI$, then

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} M (S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)} ({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_2}, 2)} \Phi^P (A \#_v B); \tag{26}$$

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} M (S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)} ({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_2}, 2)} (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^P; \tag{27}$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}$, $h'_2 = \frac{M'_2}{m'_2}$ and $v \in [0, 1]$.

(3) if $0 < mI \leq A \leq m'_3 I < \frac{M+m}{2} I \leq B \leq MI$, then

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{P}{2}(1-2v)} K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)} ({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)} \Phi^P (A \#_v B); \tag{28}$$

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{P}{2}(1-2v)} K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)} ({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)} (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^P; \tag{29}$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}$, $h'_3 = \frac{M+m}{2m'_3}$ and $v \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

(4) if $0 < mI \leq B \leq \frac{M+m}{2} I < M'_4 I \leq A \leq MI$, then

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; mB^{-1}, MA^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{P}{2}(2v-1)} K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)} ({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_4}, 2)} \Phi^P (A \#_v B); \tag{30}$$

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; mB^{-1}, MA^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{P}{2}(2v-1)} K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)} ({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_4}, 2)} (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^P; \tag{31}$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}$, $h'_4 = \frac{2M'_4}{M+m}$ and $v \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Proof. If $0 < mI \leq A \leq m'_1 I < M'_1 I \leq B \leq \frac{M+m}{2} I$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \times \frac{M+m}{2} mK({}^2\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} \Phi^{-1}(A \#_v B) \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} mK({}^2\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} \Phi^{-1}(A \#_v B) \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} mK({}^2\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} \Phi((A \#_v B)^{-1}) \right\|^2 \\
 & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} mK({}^2\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} (A \#_v B)^{-1} + \frac{M+m}{2} mS_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}) \right) \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{M+m}{2} + m \right)^2, \tag{32}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by (19), the second one is by (20), and the last inequality comes from (16). That is

$$\left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \Phi^{-1}(A \#_v B) \right\| \leq \frac{\left(\frac{M+m}{2} + m \right)^2}{4 \frac{M+m}{2} mK({}^2\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)}}.$$

Since $1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \leq 2.314$, it follows that $\left(\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} - 1 \right)^2 \left[\left(\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \right)^3 - \frac{2M}{m} + \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} - 4 \right] \leq 0$, which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\left(\frac{M+m}{2} + m \right)^2}{4 \frac{M+m}{2} m} \leq \frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}}. \tag{33}$$

So we have

$$\left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \Phi^{-1}(A \#_v B) \right\| \leq \frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}K({}^2\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)}}.$$

That is

$$\Phi^2 \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \leq \left(\frac{K(h, 2)}{K({}^2\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{2\beta_N(v)}} \right) \Phi^2(A \#_v B). \tag{34}$$

In addition, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \times \frac{M+m}{2} mK({}^2\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} \left(\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B) \right)^{-1} \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} mK({}^2\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} \left(\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B) \right)^{-1} \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} mK({}^2\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} \Phi^{-1}(A \#_v B) \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{M+m}{2} + m \right)^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by (19), the second is by (22), and the third is by (32). That is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m (S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^{-1} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{\left(\frac{M+m}{2} + m\right)^2}{4^{\frac{M+m}{2}} m K \left({}^{2N}\sqrt{h'_1}, 2 \right)^{\beta_N(v)}} \leq \frac{K^{\frac{1}{2}}(h, 2)}{K \left({}^{2N}\sqrt{h'_1}, 2 \right)^{\beta_N(v)}}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\Phi^2 \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m (S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \leq \left(\frac{K(h, 2)}{K \left({}^{2N}\sqrt{h'_1}, 2 \right)^{2\beta_N(v)}} \right) (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^2. \tag{35}$$

We can get (24) and (25) by (34) and (35) with Lemma 5 (i), respectively.

Since $\frac{\left(\frac{M+m}{2} + M\right)^2}{4^{\frac{M+m}{2}} M} \leq \frac{\left(\frac{M+m}{2} + m\right)^2}{4^{\frac{M+m}{2}} m}$, by 2nd case $0 < \frac{M+m}{2} I \leq A \leq m'_2 I < M'_2 I \leq B \leq MI$, we can similarly obtain the inequalities (26) and (27) by (16), (17), (19) and (20). So we omit the details.

If $0 < mI \leq A \leq m'_3 I < \frac{M+m}{2} I \leq B \leq MI$ and $v \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then we have

$$A + \frac{M+m}{2} mA^{-1} \leq \frac{M+m}{2} + m \quad \text{and} \quad B + \frac{M+m}{2} MB^{-1} \leq \frac{M+m}{2} + M.$$

So

$$A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} ((mA^{-1}) \nabla_v (MB^{-1})) \leq (v + \frac{1}{2})M + (\frac{3}{2} - v)m \leq M + m. \tag{36}$$

Compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) \times \frac{M+m}{2} K \left({}^{2N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2 \right)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v \Phi^{-1}(A \#_v B) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} K \left({}^{2N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2 \right)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v \Phi^{-1}(A \#_v B) \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} K \left({}^{2N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2 \right)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v \Phi \left((A \#_v B)^{-1} \right) \right\|^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} \left(S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1}) + K \left({}^{2N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2 \right)^{\beta_N(v)} ((mA^{-1}) \#_v (MB^{-1})) \right) \right) \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} ((mA^{-1}) \nabla_v (MB^{-1})) \right) \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{(M+m)^2}{4}. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where the first inequality is by (19), the second is by (20), the third is by (14), and the last one is by (36). That is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) \Phi^{-1}(A \#_v B) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{(M+m)^2}{4^{\frac{M+m}{2}} m^{1-v} M^v K \left({}^{2N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2 \right)^{\beta_N(v)}} = \frac{\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-v} K^{\frac{1}{2}}(h, 2)}{K \left({}^{2N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2 \right)^{\beta_N(v)}}. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\Phi^2\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}(S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1}))\right) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1-2v} K(h, 2)}{K\left({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2\right)^{2\beta_N(v)}} \Phi^2(A\#_v B). \tag{38}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Phi\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}(S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1}))\right) \times \frac{M+m}{2} m^{1-v} M^v K\left({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2\right)^{\beta_N(v)} (\Phi(A)\#_v \Phi(B))^{-1} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}(S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1}))\right) + \frac{M+m}{2} m^{1-v} M^v K\left({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2\right)^{\beta_N(v)} (\Phi(A)\#_v \Phi(B))^{-1} \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}(S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1}))\right) + \frac{M+m}{2} m^{1-v} M^v K\left({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2\right)^{\beta_N(v)} \Phi^{-1}(A\#_v B) \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{(M+m)^2}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by (19), the second is by (22), and the third is by (37). That is

$$\left\| \Phi\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}(S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1}))\right) (\Phi(A)\#_v \Phi(B))^{-1} \right\| \leq \frac{\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-v} K^{\frac{1}{2}}(h, 2)}{K\left({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2\right)^{\beta_N(v)'}}$$

so we have

$$\Phi^2\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}(S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1}))\right) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1-2v} K(h, 2)}{K\left({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2\right)^{2\beta_N(v)}} (\Phi(A)\#_v \Phi(B))^2. \tag{39}$$

We can get (28) and (29) by (38) and (39) with Lemma 5 (i), respectively.

We can similarly obtain the inequalities (30) and (31) under the conditions $0 < mI \leq B \leq \frac{M+m}{2}I < M'_3I < M'_1I \leq A \leq MI$ and $v \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. So we omit the details.

Here we complete the proof. \square

Remark 1. Putting $v = \frac{1}{2}$, $N = 1$ and $P = 2$ in Theorem 2, we can get Theorem 1.

Next, we present the generalizations of Theorem 2 for $P \geq 2$.

Theorem 3. Let $0 < mI \leq MI$, $\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \leq 2.314$ and $S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})$ defined as in Lemma 2. Then for every positive unital linear map Φ and $P \geq 2$,

(i) if $0 < mI \leq A \leq m'_1I < M'_1I \leq B \leq \frac{M+m}{2}I$, then

$$\Phi^P\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}))\right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2}K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}\left({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)} \Phi^P(A\#_v B); \tag{40}$$

$$\Phi^P\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}))\right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2}K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}\left({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)} (\Phi(A)\#_v \Phi(B))^P; \tag{41}$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}$, $h'_1 = \frac{M'_1}{m'_1}$ and $v \in [0, 1]$.

(ii) if $0 < \frac{M+m}{2}I \leq A \leq m'_2I < M'_2I \leq B \leq MI$, then

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} M(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2} K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_2}, 2)} \Phi^P(A \#_v B); \quad (42)$$

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} M(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2} K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_2}, 2)} (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^P; \quad (43)$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}, h'_2 = \frac{M'_2}{m'_2}$ and $v \in [0, 1]$.

(iii) if $0 < mI \leq A \leq m'_3 I < \frac{M+m}{2} I \leq B \leq MI$, then

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{P}{2}(1-2v)} K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)} \Phi^P(A \#_v B); \quad (44)$$

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{P}{2}(1-2v)} K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)} (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^P; \quad (45)$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}, h'_3 = \frac{M+m}{2m'_3}$ and $v \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

(iv) if $0 < mI \leq B \leq \frac{M+m}{2} I < M'_4 I \leq A \leq MI$, then

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; mB^{-1}, MA^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{P}{2}(2v-1)} K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_4}, 2)} \Phi^P(A \#_v B); \quad (46)$$

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; mB^{-1}, MA^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{P}{2}(2v-1)} K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_4}, 2)} (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^P; \quad (47)$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}, h'_4 = \frac{2M'_4}{M+m}$ and $v \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Proof. The proof of the line (ii) and (iv) are similar to the one presented in (i) and (iii), respectively, thus we omit them. Under the conditions i) $0 < mI \leq A \leq m'_1 I < M'_1 I \leq B \leq \frac{M+m}{2} I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Phi^{\frac{P}{2}} \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \times \left(\frac{M+m}{2} \right)^{\frac{P}{2}} m^{\frac{P}{2}} K^{\frac{P}{2}\beta_N(v)}({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_1}, 2) \Phi^{-\frac{P}{2}}(A \#_v B) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi^{\frac{P}{2}} \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) + \left(\frac{M+m}{2} \right)^{\frac{P}{2}} m^{\frac{P}{2}} K^{\frac{P}{2}\beta_N(v)}({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_1}, 2) \Phi^{-\frac{P}{2}}(A \#_v B) \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \left(\Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} m K({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} \Phi^{-1}(A \#_v B) \right)^{\frac{P}{2}} \right\|^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} m K({}^{2^N}\sqrt{h'_1}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} \Phi^{-1}(A \#_v B) \right\|^P \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{M+m}{2} + m \right)^P. \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by (19), the second is by (23) and the third is by (32). That is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}(A\#_v B) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{\left(\frac{M+m}{2} + m\right)^P}{4\left(\frac{M+m}{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}} K^{\frac{p}{2}\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)} \leq \frac{4^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \left(\frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}}{K^{\frac{p}{2}\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)} = \frac{4^{\frac{p}{2}-1} K^{\frac{p}{4}}(h, 2)}{K^{\frac{p}{2}\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is by (33). So we have

$$\Phi^P \left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2} K^{\frac{p}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)} \Phi^P(A\#_v B).$$

In addition, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \times \left(\frac{M+m}{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}} K^{\frac{p}{2}\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right) (\Phi(A)\#_v \Phi(B))^{-\frac{p}{2}} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) + \left(\frac{M+m}{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}} K^{\frac{p}{2}\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right) (\Phi(A)\#_v \Phi(B))^{-\frac{p}{2}} \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \left(\Phi(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}))) + \frac{M+m}{2} mK\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)^{\beta_N(v)} ((\Phi(A)\#_v \Phi(B))^{-1})^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \right\|^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} mK\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)^{\beta_N(v)} (\Phi(A)\#_v \Phi(B))^{-1} \right\|^P \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}))) + \frac{M+m}{2} mK\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)^{\beta_N(v)} \Phi^{-1}(A\#_v B) \right\|^P \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{M+m}{2} + m\right)^P. \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by (19), the second is by (23), the third is by (22) and the last is by (32). That is

$$\left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) (\Phi(A)\#_v \Phi(B))^{-\frac{p}{2}} \right\| \leq \frac{4^{\frac{p}{2}-1} K^{\frac{p}{4}}(h, 2)}{K^{\frac{p}{2}\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)},$$

so we have

$$\Phi^P \left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2} K^{\frac{p}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)} (\Phi(A)\#_v \Phi(B))^P,$$

as desired.

If $0 < mI \leq A \leq m'_3 I < \frac{M+m}{2} I \leq B \leq MI$ and $v \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) \times \left[\frac{M+m}{2} K({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (A \#_v B) \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) + \left[\frac{M+m}{2} K({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (A \#_v B) \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \left(\Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} K({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v \Phi^{-1} (A \#_v B) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|^2 \\
 & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} K({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v \Phi^{-1} (A \#_v B) \right\|^P \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} (M+m)^P.
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by (19), the second is by (23) and the third is by (37). That is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (A \#_v B) \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{(M+m)^P}{4 \left[\frac{M+m}{2} K({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}} = \frac{2^{P-2} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{p}{4}(1-2v)} K^{\frac{p}{4}}(h, 2)}{K^{\frac{p}{2}\beta_N(v)} ({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\Phi^P \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{p}{4}(1-2v)} K^{\frac{p}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)} ({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)} \Phi^P (A \#_v B).$$

At the same time, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) \times \left[\frac{M+m}{2} K({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^{-\frac{p}{2}} \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) + \left[\frac{M+m}{2} K({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^{-\frac{p}{2}} \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \left(\Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} K({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^{-1} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|^2 \\
 & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} K({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^{-1} \right\|^P \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) + \frac{M+m}{2} K({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)^{\beta_N(v)} m^{1-v} M^v \Phi^{-1} (A \#_v B) \right\|^P \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} (M+m)^P.
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by (19), the second is by (23), the third is by (22) and the last is by (37). That is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(A \nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2} (S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1})) \right) (\Phi(A) \#_v \Phi(B))^{-\frac{p}{2}} \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{(M+m)^P}{4 \left(\frac{M+m}{2} m^{1-v} M^v \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} K^{\frac{p}{2}\beta_N(v)} ({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)} = \frac{2^{P-2} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{p}{4}(1-2v)} K^{\frac{p}{4}}(h, 2)}{K^{\frac{p}{2}\beta_N(v)} ({}^2\sqrt{h'_3}, 2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\Phi^P\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}(S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1}))\right) \leq \frac{4^{P-2}\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{P}{2}(1-2v)}K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_3}, 2\right)}(\Phi(A)\#_v\Phi(B))^P.$$

Here we complete the proof. \square

Theorems 2 and 3 implies the following results.

Corollary 1. Let $0 < m \leq M$, $\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \leq 2.314$ and $S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1})$ defined as in Lemma 2. Then for every positive unital linear map Φ and $P \geq 0$,

(i) if $0 < mI \leq A \leq m'_1I < M'_1I \leq B \leq \frac{M+m}{2}I$, $v \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\Phi^P\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}))\right) \leq W_1\Phi^P(A\#_vB);$$

$$\Phi^P\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}m(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}))\right) \leq W_1(\Phi(A)\#_v\Phi(B))^P;$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}$, $h'_1 = \frac{M'_1}{m'_1}$, $W_1 = \max\left\{\frac{K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)}, \frac{4^{P-2}K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_1}, 2\right)}\right\}$.

(ii) if $0 < \frac{M+m}{2}I \leq A \leq m'_2I < M'_2I \leq B \leq MI$, $v \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\Phi^P\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}M(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}))\right) \leq W_2\Phi^P(A\#_vB);$$

$$\Phi^P\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}M(S_N(v; B^{-1}, A^{-1}))\right) \leq W_2(\Phi(A)\#_v\Phi(B))^P;$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}$, $h'_2 = \frac{M'_2}{m'_2}$, $W_2 = \max\left\{\frac{K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_2}, 2\right)}, \frac{4^{P-2}K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_2}, 2\right)}\right\}$.

(iii) if $0 < mI \leq A \leq m'_3I < \frac{M+m}{2}I \leq B \leq MI$, $v \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then

$$\Phi^P\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}(S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1}))\right) \leq W_3\Phi^P(A\#_vB);$$

$$\Phi^P\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}(S_N(v; MB^{-1}, mA^{-1}))\right) \leq W_3(\Phi(A)\#_v\Phi(B))^P;$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}$, $h'_3 = \frac{M+m}{2m'_3}$, $W_3 = \max\left\{\frac{\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{P}{2}(1-2v)}K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_3}, 2\right)}, \frac{4^{P-2}\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{P}{2}(1-2v)}K^{\frac{P}{2}}(h, 2)}{K^{P\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_3}, 2\right)}\right\}$.

(iv) if $0 < mI \leq B \leq \frac{M+m}{2}I < M'_4I \leq A \leq MI$, $v \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, then

$$\Phi^P\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}(S_N(v; mB^{-1}, MA^{-1}))\right) \leq W_4\Phi^P(A\#_vB);$$

$$\Phi^P\left(A\nabla_v B + \frac{M+m}{2}(S_N(v; mB^{-1}, MA^{-1}))\right) \leq W_4(\Phi(A)\#_v\Phi(B))^P;$$

$$\text{where } h = \frac{M}{m}, h'_4 = \frac{2M'_4}{M+m}, W_4 = \max \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}(2v-1)} K^{\frac{p}{2}}(h,2)}{K^{p\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_4},2\right)}, \frac{4^{p-2}\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}(2v-1)} K^{\frac{p}{2}}(h,2)}{K^{p\beta_N(v)}\left(2^N\sqrt{h'_4},2\right)} \right\}.$$

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Furuichi, S. Refined Young inequalities with Specht's ratio. *J. Egypt. Math. Soc.* **2012**, *20*, 46–49. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Zuo, H.L.; Shi, G.H.; Fujii, M. Refined Young inequality with Kantorovich constant. *J. Math. Inequal.* **2011**, *5*, 551–556. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Sababheh, M.; Moslehian, M.S. Advanced refinements of Young and Heinz inequalities. *J. Number Theory* **2017**, *172*, 178–199. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Lin, M.H. Squaring a reverse AM-GM inequality. *Studia Math.* **2013**, *215*, 187–194. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Xue, J.M. Some refinements of operator reverse AM-GM inequality. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2017**, *2017*, 283. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Ali, I.; Shakoor, A.; Rehman, A. More refinements of the operator reverse AM-GM inequality for positive linear maps. *J. Math. Inequal.* **2019**, *13*, 287–300. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Ighachane, M.A.; Akkouchi, M. Further refinements of Young's type inequality for positive linear maps. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* **2021**, *115*, 19. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Karim, S.; Ali, I.; Mushtaq, M. Improvements of operator reverse AM-GM inequality involving positive linear maps. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2020**, *73*, 12. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Karim, S.; Ali, I.; Mushtaq, M. New developments on operator reverse AM-GM inequality for positive linear maps. *Acta Math. Sin.* **2022**, *38*, 419–430. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Srivastava, H.M.; Chandell, R.C.S.; Kumar, H. Some general Hurwitz-Lerch type Zeta functions associated with the Srivastava-Daoust multiple hypergeometric functions. *J. Nonlinear Var. Anal.* **2022**, *6*, 299–315.
11. Yang, C.S.; Li, Y. On the further refinement of some operator inequalities for positive linear map. *J. Math. Inequal.* **2021**, *15*, 781–797. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Bhatia, R.; Kittaneh, F. Notes on matrix arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2000**, *308*, 203–211. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Bhatia, R. *Positive Definite Matrices*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 2007.
14. Pečarić, J.; Furuta, T.; Hot, J.; Seo, Y. *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities*; Element: Zagreb, Croatia, 2005.
15. Ando, T.; Zhan, X. Norm inequalities related to operator monotone functions. *Math. Ann.* **1999**, *315*, 771–780. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.