



# *Article* **Unravelling the Morphological, Physiological, and Phytochemical Responses in** *Centella asiatica* **L. Urban to Incremental Salinity Stress**

**Hai Ly Hoang 1,\* and Hafeezur Rehman <sup>2</sup>**

- <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Agronomy, Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry, Hue City 49000, Vietnam
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad 38040, Pakistan

**\*** Correspondence: hoanghaily@hueuni.edu.vn

**Abstract:** *Centella asiatica* L. as a traditional medicinal plant is popular in several Asian countries and characterized by the presence of phytochemicals, such as phenolics and flavonoids. Soil salinity can affect the growth and phytochemical composition in this plant species. In this study, the effects of incremental soil salinity (0, 25, 50, 75, and 100 mM NaCl) on growth, physiological characteristics, total phenolic and total flavonoid contents, including the antioxidant activity of *Centella asiatica* L., were evaluated under greenhouse conditions. Salinity stress reduced growth, biomass production, and total chlorophyll contents, while increasing electrolyte leakage, Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>−</sup> contents in the shoots and roots. With the increase of salt concentration, total phenolic, total flavonoid content and antioxidant activities were increased. The results showed that centella can tolerate saline conditions up to 100 mM NaCl. Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion from the roots, and that increases of phytochemical content in the shoots were related to the salt tolerance of this species.

Keywords: total flavonoid; phenolics; antioxidant activity; centella; Na<sup>+</sup> content



**Citation:** Hoang, H.L.; Rehman, H. Unravelling the Morphological, Physiological, and Phytochemical Responses in *Centella asiatica* L. Urban to Incremental Salinity Stress. *Life* **2023**, *13*, 61. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/life13010061) [10.3390/life13010061](https://doi.org/10.3390/life13010061)

Academic Editors: Hakim Manghwar and Wajid Zaman

Received: 6 December 2022 Revised: 20 December 2022 Accepted: 21 December 2022 Published: 25 December 2022



*[life](https://www.mdpi.com/journal/life)*<br> *Article*<br> **Christan Control in the Universe Citation: Hoang, H.L.; Re<br>
<b>Christan Control Control Control Incremental Sali**<br> **Phytocchemental Sali**<br>
Urban to Incremental Sali<br>
Urban to Incremental Sali<br>
Urban to In **Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

## **1. Introduction**

*Centella asiatica* L. Urban (centella) as a medicinal plant of the Apiaceae family that has been used to treat a number of diseases, including varicose veins, certain eczemas, hypertonic scars, and keloids [\[1\]](#page-9-0). Centella is also considered a valuable plant in the cosmetics and pharmaceutical industries. As a leafy vegetable, this species is consumed as a juice blend in many Asian countries including Vietnam and Malaysia. The medicinal properties of centella are determined by a variety of phytochemicals such as phenolics, flavonoids, and terpenes [\[2\]](#page-9-1). The concentration of these compounds is influenced by several environmental stress factors, including salinity [\[3\]](#page-9-2).

Salinity as an environmental stress factor affects arable lands worldwide, causing an annual monetary loss of approximately \$27.3 billion to the agricultural industry [\[4\]](#page-9-3). Excessive salt concentration reduces the water potential, resulting in osmotic stress and an increase in the toxic accumulation of sodium and chloride in plant cells. Ionic toxicity and osmotic stress disrupt photosynthetic functions and reduce growth of plants [\[5\]](#page-9-4). This results in the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), including hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), superoxide anions (O2•−), singlet oxygen (<sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub>), and hydroxyl radicals (OH•), resulting in protein, DNA, and lipid damages [\[6\]](#page-9-5). The extent of the damage depends on the type, variety, and growth stage of the plant [\[7\]](#page-9-6).

Plants have evolved antioxidant defense systems to reduce oxidative damage from salinity stress. Phenolic compounds play a major role in scavenging free radicals [\[8\]](#page-9-7) by acting as hydrogen or electron donors that stabilize and delocalize unpaired electrons or chelate metal ions, preventing the generation of ROS [\[9\]](#page-9-8). Plants with higher antioxidant levels have an increased tolerance to damages by ROS [\[10\]](#page-9-9). Recent studies have shown various changes in antioxidant compounds when plants are subjected to salinity. Salinity increased the total phenolic content in *Thymus vulgaris* L. [\[11\]](#page-10-0) and *Brassica oleracea var. acephala* [\[12\]](#page-10-1). However, higher salt levels reduced the phenolic content of *Ocimum basilicum* L. [\[13\]](#page-10-2) and *Nigella sativa* [\[14\]](#page-10-3). The significant correlation among phenolic content, antioxidant activity, and salt tolerance is well documented in literature [\[15\]](#page-10-4). For example, Sarker et al. [\[16\]](#page-10-5) reported that salinity stress enhanced total phenolic, total flavonoid, and antioxidant activity of amaranth. Razieh et al. [\[17\]](#page-10-6) also observed that phenolic content and antioxidant activity were significantly increased by salt stress in wheat. Santander et al. [\[18\]](#page-10-7) determined that the greatest total phenolic content and antioxidant activity in lettuce prevailed at 50 mM NaCl treatment.

Despite numerous studies reporting the responses of plants to saline stress, there is limited research available on responses of centella to incremental salinity. The present study, therefore, determined the growth and biomass production of centella under saline conditions and their effects on ionic uptake, phytochemical content, and antioxidant activity.

#### **2. Materials and Methods**

#### *2.1. Plant Materials and Experimental Design*

Seeds of *Centella asiatica* L. were collected from the Lucky Seed Company, Vietnam. The seeds were sown in trays containing a mixture of coconut fiber and sand. At the third leaf stage, seedlings were transplanted into garden soil-filled, plastic pots (20  $\times$  18  $\times$  10 cm) containing 2.5 kg soil. The soil had a pH of 5.5, 1.3 ECe, 35% organic matter, 0.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Na<sup>+</sup>, 0.88 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Cl<sup>−</sup>, 0.65% N, 0.71% K<sub>2</sub>O, and 0.62% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. The study was conducted in a greenhouse in Huong Tra Town, Thua Thien Hue Province, Vietnam, from February to November 2021.

The NaCl was applied as: 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100 mM. The experiment design was a completely randomized block (CRB) design with three replicates, and each replicate included 15 plants. One seedling was transplanted per pot. The soil was drenched with 25, 50, 75, and 100 mM NaCl after transplanting. NaCl was stepped up in daily increments of 25 mM until reaching the final concentration of each treatment. No additional nutrients or fertilizers were added. The experiment was terminated 45 days after transplantation. The plants were evaluated for plant growth, as well as phytochemical and ionic analyses.

#### *2.2. Growth Measurement*

Plant phenotypes, including the number of leaves, rosette diameter, petiole length, total leaf area, and specific leaf area were recorded. The millimeter graph paper method was used to measure total leaf area per plant [\[19\]](#page-10-8). At the end of experiment, shoots and roots were sampled, oven-dried at 60 ◦C for 60 h, and weighted.

#### *2.3. Total Chlorophyll Content Measurement*

Two hundred mg of fresh centella leaves were chopped and ground into fine powder in 5 mL 60% acetone (*v/v*). The extractant was filtered, and then the diluted acetone was added to make up the 20 mL final volume. The supernatant was recorded spectrophotometrically at 663 and 645 nm, and the formula given by Lichtenthaler (1987) was used to calculate the total chlorophyll content:

#### Total chlorophyll = 7.15A663 + 18.71A645

#### *2.4. Electrolyte Leakage (EC) Measurement*

The method described by Lutt et al. [\[20\]](#page-10-9) was used to determine electrolyte leakage. The top 4th leaf was collected and thoroughly rinsed with distilled water to remove contamination. The samples were put into stoppered vials containing 10 mL of distilled water and then incubated at 25  $\degree$ C on a shaker at 100 rpm for 24 h. After incubation, the electrical conductivity of the bathing solution (EC1) was immediately measured. After this, the same leaf samples were placed in an autoclaved at 120  $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$  for 20 min, and again, a reading EC2 was measured at room temperature using the portable meter HI993310 (Hanna

Instrument Company, Woonsocket, RI, USA). The electrolyte leakage was measured as a ratio of EC1/EC2 and expressed as a percentage.

#### *2.5. Determination of Hydrogen Peroxide (H2O2)*

Hydrogen peroxide was determined by using the potassium iodide (KI) method. Three mL leaf extract supernatant was mixed with 0.5 mL trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (0.1%), 0.5 mL potassium phosphate buffer (100 mM), and 2 mL reagent 1 mL KI (1 M KI w/v in fresh double-distilled water). A blank probe was made using trichloroacetic acid (0.1%) in the absence of leaf extract. The reaction was developed for 1 h in darkness and absorbance measured at 390 nm. A standard curve was used to estimate the amount of hydrogen peroxide.

#### *2.6. Estimation of Ionic Content*

After harvesting, nine plants per treatment were separated into aboveground parts and roots. They were washed with de-ionized water, dried at 80  $°C$  in 48 h, and stored at room temperature for further processing. The Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>−</sup> in roots and shoots were determined by using the method described by AcostaMotos et al. [\[21\]](#page-10-10).

#### *2.7. Determination of Total Phenolic Content*

Total phenolic content was determined following Velioglu et al. [\[22\]](#page-10-11). Plant extracted solution (0.5 mL) was added to diluted Folin–Ciocalteu reagent (2 N, 5 mL), and then  $4 \text{ mL of } 1 \text{ M Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  and  $1 \text{ mL water were added to the mixture. The leaf extracts were}$ left to stand for 90 min at 37  $\degree$ C, and then the phenolic content was determined by using colorimetry at 765 nm. The results were expressed as gallic acid equivalents per milligram (mg GAE g−<sup>1</sup> DW). The gallic acid solutions were prepared in methanol: water (50:50, *v/v*) as 0, 50, 100, 150, 200, and 250 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> for standard curve ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ).

#### *2.8. Determination of Total Flavonoid Content*

The flavonoid content was quantified following the method of Zhishen et al. [\[23\]](#page-10-12). The 0.5 mL of plant extract solution was added to 1.0 mL methanol, 0.5 mL of aluminum chloride, and 0.5 mL of 1 M potassium acetate and allowed to stand for 30 min. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was detected at 415 nm with a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Shimazdu UV-2600, Kyoto, Japan). The total flavonoid content was calculated as quercetin from a calibration curve prepared by using quercetin solutions of different concentrations from 12.5 to 100 mg mL<sup> $-1$ </sup> in methanol.

#### *2.9. Determination of Total Antioxidant Activity*

The 1,1-Diphenyl -2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) radical degradation method was used to estimate antioxidant activity [\[24\]](#page-10-13). The plant extracts (1 mL) were added at different concentrations with volumes equal to the methanolic solution of 10 mL DPPH (100  $\mu$ M) in a test tube. The mixture was shaken vigorously and was then allowed to stand in the dark. After 15 min, the absorbance was detected at 517 nm as a lower IC $_{50}$  value corresponding to its higher antioxidant activity. This measurement was repeated three times. The  $IC_{50}$ values indicate the concentration of the sample.

#### *2.10. Statistical Analysis*

The data was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) software version 12. If the F-test was found significant, mean comparison was performed using the least significant difference (LSD) test at 5% level.

#### **3. Results**

#### *3.1. Plant Growth and Biomass Production*

Salinity significantly reduced the centella growth at all NaCl concentrations except 25 mM (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Plant growth was detrimentally reduced at 100 mM NaCl. High salinity level (100 mM) reduced the number of leaves, leaf area, and specific leaf area by 45%, 38.4% and 35%, respectively. Low and moderate salinity levels (25 and 50 mM NaCl) had no significant effect on the rosette diameter and petiole length of centella, while high NaCl significant effect on the rosette diameter and petiole length of centella, while high NaCl concentration (100 mM) reduced these up to 18.9% and 33.6%, respectively. level (100 mM) reduced the number of leaves, leaf area, and specific leaf area by 45%,  $\frac{38.4 \times 100 \text{ mW}}{3.8 \times 10^{10}}$  required the number of leaves, lear area, and specific lear area by  $\pm 0.01$   $\mu$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1. Morphological parameters of *Centella asiatica* L. as affected by different salinity levels. The Experiment was carried out in triplicate. The data are presented as treatment mean  $\pm$  SD. Different experiment was carried out in triplicate. The data are presented as treatment mean  $\pm$  SD. Different letters in the same column represent significant differences at the  $p < 0.05$ .

<b>Treatments</b> (mM NaCl)	Number of Leaves	Leaf Area $\text{(cm}^2)$	<b>Specific Leaf Area</b> $(cm2 g-1)$	<b>Rosette Diameter</b> (cm)	Petiole Length (g)
0	$20.50 + 5.20$ <sup>a</sup>	$24.20 + 2.61$ <sup>a</sup>	$347.50 + 54.61$ <sup>a</sup>	$17.30 + 2.53$ <sup>a</sup>	$6.06 + 1.12$ <sup>a</sup>
25	21.62 a + 6.12 <sup>a</sup>	$26.00 + 3.22$ <sup>a</sup>	$358.20 + 93.41$ <sup>a</sup>	$18.12 + 3.34$ <sup>a</sup>	$6.37 + 2.11$ <sup>a</sup>
50	$18.40 b \pm 5.50 b$	$22.21 \pm 5.81$ <sup>b</sup>	$312.80 \pm 32.63$ b	$17.95 + 2.81$ <sup>a</sup>	$5.80 + 0.92$ <sup>a</sup>
75	$15.60 \text{ c} + 7.01 \text{ c}$	$17.83 \pm 3.53$ c	$265.20 + 49.74$ c	$15.53 \pm 4.21$ b	$5.11 + 1.41$ b
100	11.21 d $\pm$ 3.52 <sup>d</sup>	$14.92 + 5.11$ d	$225.40 + 77.52$ <sup>d</sup>	$14.03 \pm 3.14^{\mathrm{b}}$	$4.02 \pm 0.84$ b

Plant dry weight also decreased with incremental salinity, except at 25 mM NaCl (Table [2\)](#page-3-1). The decrease in dry weight ranged from 5.9 to 13.9% with highest reduction of 19.7% at 100 mM NaCl compared with the control.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Table 2. Biomass production of *Centella asiatica* L. as affected by different salinity levels. The experiment was carried out in triplicate. The data are presented as treatment mean  $\pm$  SD. Different letters in the same column represent significant differences at the *p* < 0.05.

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Fresh Weight Leaf</b>	Dry Weight Leaf	<b>Fresh Weight</b>	Dry Weight Root	<b>Root/Shoot Ratio</b>			
(mM NaCl)	(g/plant)	(g/plant)	Root (g/plant)	(g/plant)	Dry Weight (g)			
$\theta$	$50.33 + 5.21$ c	$10.06 + 2.15$ c	$37.55 + 6.11$ <sup>a</sup>	$7.51 \pm 0.85$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.40\pm0.03$ a			
25	$52.65 + 3.57$ c	$10.53 + 3.21$ c	$33.25 + 5.40^{\mathrm{b}}$	$6.65 + 0.72$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.42 + 0.07$ <sup>a</sup>			
50	$61.00 + 7.54$ <sup>a</sup>	$12.20 \pm 2.20$ <sup>a</sup>	$29.65 + 6.41$ c	$5.93 + 0.63$ c	$0.49 + 0.04$ <sup>a</sup>			
75	57.15 $\pm$ 3.90 <sup>b</sup>	$11.42 \pm 1.50$ b	$22.25 + 8.01$ <sup>d</sup>	$4.45 + 0.51$ <sup>d</sup>	$0.58 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$			
100	$46.71 \pm 6.52$ d	$9.34 \pm 1.15$ <sup>d</sup>	$20.25 \pm 7.24$ d	$4.05 \pm 0.82$ <sup>d</sup>	$0.80 + 0.09$ <sup>a</sup>			

## *3.2. Total Chlorophyll Content 3.2. Total Chlorophyll Content*

A decrease in the photosynthetic pigment content was observed in centella under salt A decrease in the photosynthetic pigment content was observed in centella under salt stress in this study (Figure 1). The total chlorophyll content decreased by 50% at 100 mM stress in this study (Figur[e 1](#page-3-2)). The total chlorophyll content decreased by 50% at 100 mM NaCl. The highest total chlorophyll content was observed under no salinity followed by of NaCl. The highest total chlorophyll content was observed under no salinity followed by the plants grown under 25 and 50 mM NaCl.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

**Figure 1.** Total chlorophyll content of *Centella asiatica* L. as affected by different salinity levels. The experiment was carried out in triplicate. Different letters represent significant differences at the *p* < 0.05 (FW: fresh weight).

## 3.3. Electrolyte Leakage **increased with increased with increasing salt concentration** in the sales of the salt concentration in the salt concentration in the salt concentration in the salt concentration in the salt concen

The results showed that electrolyte leakage increased with increasing salt concentrations. Minimum electrolyte leakage was found in control plants followed by 25 mM NaCl salinity. Increasing salinity by 50 and 75 mM NaCl and increased electrolyte leakage by 2.7 and 3.4 times compared to control, respectively, while the highest increase of 4.5 times in  $\ddot{a}$ electrolyte leakage was found at 100 mM NaCl (Figure [2\)](#page-4-0). There was also a significant and positive relationship of electrolyte leakage with shoot  $Na^+$  ( $r = 0.85$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and root  $Na^+$  $\frac{1}{2}$  content (r = 0.62, *p* < 0.001) (Table [3\)](#page-4-1).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 2. Electrolyte leakage of Centella asiatica L. as affected by different salinity levels. The experiment was carried out in triplicate. Different letters represent significant differences at the 0.05. *p* < 0.05.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>



<del>leakage −0.67 \*</del>  $\bullet$  \*\*  $\bullet$  and \*\*: significant difference at 5 and 1%, respectively; ns: not significant.

## peroxide <sup>−</sup>0.56 \*\* <sup>−</sup>0.59 \*\* <sup>−</sup>0.58 \*\* 0.81 \*\* <sup>1</sup> *3.4. Hydrogen Peroxide (H2O2)*

Salt stress increased hydrogen peroxide content significantly in centella. The highest hydrogen peroxide was obtained at 100 mM NaCl (5.1 µmol  $g^{-1}$  FW) followed by 75 mM NaCl. The lowest hydrogen peroxide content was found in the control and were comparable to 25 mM NaCl content. At 50 mM NaCl, the hydrogen peroxide in the centella leaf was 4.1 µmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW (Figure [3\)](#page-5-0). There was also a significant relationship between  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  content and Na<sup>+</sup> content (Table [3\)](#page-4-1).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

experiment was carried out in triplicate. Different letters represent significant differences at the  $p < 0.05$ . Figure 3. Hydrogen peroxide of *Centella asiatica* L. as affected by different salinity levels. The *p* < 0.05. *3.5. Shoot and Root Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl−Content*

# *3.5. Shoot and Root Na<sup>+</sup>* and Cl<sup>−</sup> Content

content and Na<sup>+</sup> content (Table 3).

<span id="page-5-1"></span>The presence of NaCl in the soil medium resulted in the accumulation of  $Na^+$  and  $Cl^$ the shoots (Figures 4 and 5). Incremental salinity increased the accumulation [of](#page-5-1) highest Na<sup>+</sup> content with the highest observed in the roots at 100 mM NaCl, which was 5.7 times greater, followed by 3 and 4.4 times at 50 mM and 75 mM NaCl salinity, respectively. A similar trend in Na<sup>+</sup> content was observed in the shoots. The highest Na<sup>+</sup> in the shoot 3.8 times higher was found at 100 mM NaCl than the control. In the presence of rules in the son meanant restance in the accumulation of rule and entry in the roots and shoots of centella, with higher accumulation found in the roots than in



 $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ Figure 4. (a) Shoot and (b) root Na<sup>+</sup> content of *Centella asiatica* L. as affected by different salinity levels. The experiment was carried out in triplicate. Different letters represent significant differences at the *p* < 0.05.



Figure 5. (a) Shoot and (b) root Cl<sup>-</sup> content of Centella asiatica L. as affected by different salinity levels. The experiment was carried out in triplicate. Different letters represent significant differences at the  $p < 0.05$ .

## *3.6. Phytochemical Content 3.6. Phytochemical Content* Salinity stress significantly increased the total phenolic and total flavonoid contents

<span id="page-6-0"></span>ences at the *p* < 0.05.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>Salinity stress significantly increased the total phenolic and total flavonoid contents of the centella (Figure 6). The highest total phenolic and flavonoid contents were found in the plants at 75 mM NaCl. The increase in total phenolic and total flavonoid contents<br>suggested the ontiaxidant estimity we to 24% when converged to the control (Figure 7). At algherica the antioxidant deterity ap to 51% when computed to the control (1 gare), The not significantly different from 75 mM NaCl salinity. augmented the antioxidant activity up to 34% when compared to the control (Figure 7). At



Figure 6. (a) Total phenolic, (b) total flavonoid (b) of Centella asiatica L. as affected by different salinity levels. The experiment was carried out in triplicate. Different letters represent significant differences at the  $p < 0.05$ . (GAE: gallic acid; QE: quercetin; DW: dry weight).

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Figure 7.** Antioxidant activity of *Centella asiatica* L. as affected by different salinity levels. The exexperiment was carried out in triplicate. Different letters represent significant differences at the *p*  $\frac{p}{q}$  ( $\frac{p}{r}$ ) ( $\frac{p}{r}$ )  $p < 0.05$ . **Figure 7.** Antioxidant activity of *Centella asiatica* L. as affected by different salinity levels. The

#### **4. Discussion**

The results of the present study showed that centella growth was decreased by incremental salinity. A similar response in plant growth was reported in *Portulaca oleracea* L. [\[25\]](#page-10-14), *Prosopis strombulifera* [\[26\]](#page-10-15), and *Tetragonia decumbens* [\[27\]](#page-10-16) due to salt stress. High saline concentrations reduced growth by decreasing the uptake of water and nutrients by the plants [28], accumulating toxic ions in the plant cells, and disrupting the metabolic pathways [29]. In this study, specific leaf area decreased with an increase in salinity. Burslem et al. [30] showed that a higher leaf thickness is associated with an increase in the ratio of mesophyll area available for the absorption of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  per unit leaf area, thereby enhancing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  assimilation and biomass production. However, Omami et al. [31] found that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ assimilation decreased with increasing salinity in amaranth. They suggested that the lower specific leaf area in salt stressed plants overloaded the leaves with inorganic and organic solutes, thereby permitting osmotic flow but limiting the efficient use of carbon. Increase in the leaf thickness could be an adaptation of the plant to increase intercellular space and to counteract the decrease of transpiration  $[32]$ .

The current study indicated that the root biomass decreased under high salt concentra-tion treatments. According to Banaka et al. [\[33\]](#page-10-22), the main reasons for reduced plant growth and biomass under high salinity were ion toxicity and nutrient imbalance. Moreover, the increase of soluble salts in the soil leads to an increase of osmotic pressure and a reduction of water potential, thus reducing the water uptake by the root [\[34\]](#page-10-23). In this study, although salt stress inhibited plant growth and decreased biomass production, the root/shoot dry weight increased. This indicated that salinity affected the aboveground part more severely than the underground part and the plant had the ability to change biomass allocation. It means that the plants had the ability to maintain the root system while salt stress inhibited shoot growth. This response is one of the most popular strategies of plants to adapt to while stress inhibited stress inhibited shoot growth. This response is one of the most popular strate-most popular strate-most popular strategies in the most popular strategies of the most popular strategies in the most po abiotic stress.

Chlorophyll content is an important factor in assessing photosynthetic activity in plants [\[35\]](#page-10-24). The results showed a decrease in the total chlorophyll content of the centella under saline conditions. Previous studies showed that the depletion of photosynthetic pigments reducing plant growth and crop yield under saline stress was also evident from a<br>interviewed that the departments of photosynthesis was also evident from a significant relationship between total chlorophyll content and biomass production in the present study (r = 0.9,  $p < 0.001$ ) (Table [3\)](#page-4-1). This was observed in *Amaranthus tricolor* [\[36\]](#page-10-25), the present study (r = 0.9, *p* < 0.001) (Table 3). This was observed in *Amaranthus tricolor Typha domingensis* [\[37\]](#page-11-0), and *Lactuca sativa* L. [\[38\]](#page-11-1).

There was also a negative correlation between the total chlorophyll content and<br>the sheet N<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup> content (a) 0.07, and 0.021), thereins describing of abstragged the total pigments under the incremental salinity (Table [3\)](#page-4-1). This leads to a reduction in biomass production as indicated by the negative correlation between fresh weight/dry weight with production as indicated by the negative correlation between fresh weight/dry weight with  $\mu$ under the incremental saling  $\pi$  incrementation in biomass production in the intervention in biomass production in  $\sigma$ Na<sup>+</sup> concentration (Table [3\)](#page-4-1). Depletion of chlorophyll under saline conditions may be the shoot Na<sup>+</sup> content ( $r = -0.67$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), showing degradation of photosynthetic caused by the accumulation of toxic ions, such as Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>−</sup> inhibiting the enzymes function responsible for chlorophyll synthesis [\[39\]](#page-11-2). Zahra et al. [\[40\]](#page-11-3) also reported that salt stress could reduce the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  supplement through hydrostatic stomata closure or by changing the mesophyll conductance. According to Farhat et al.  $[41]$ , a high salt concentration may damage the thylakoid membranes and protein modulation by inhibiting photosynthesis. Recent studies showed that the formation of ROS disrupted the chloroplasts and ultimately reduced the total population of *Brassica napus* [\[42\]](#page-11-5), *Chenopodium quinoa* [\[43\]](#page-11-6) and *Solanum lycopersicum* [\[44\]](#page-11-7).

In this study, the centella was able to maintain membrane stability under slight salt stress (Figure [2\)](#page-4-0) as evident from the electrolyte leakage which increased when the plants were subjected to a high salt concentration. A similar response was observed by ElYacoubi et al. [\[45\]](#page-11-8) in ryegrass and by Behdad et al. [\[46\]](#page-11-9) in licorice. This was mainly due to the efflux of K<sup>+</sup> and the flow of counter ions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, citrate<sup>3-</sup>, and malate<sup>2-)</sup> counter-balancing the efflux of K<sup>+</sup> [\[47\]](#page-11-10). According to Tavakkoli et al. [\[48\]](#page-11-11), the distribution of Na<sup>+</sup> within cells and organs may subsequently cause toxic effects on membrane permeability and increased electrolyte leakage.

In this study, the increase of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>−</sup> concentrations in the tissues was accompanied by salinity stress. High accumulations of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>−</sup> reduced plant growth. High Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations interfered with the absorption of  $K^+$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  ions and disturbed stomatal regulation, thereby inhibiting photosynthesis and growth. High Cl<sup>−</sup> concentrations caused the degradation of chlorophyll, leading to a reduction in the photosynthesis rate [\[48\]](#page-11-11). However, plants have different coping mechanisms for dealing with Na<sup>+</sup> toxicity. Some plants transport Na<sup>+</sup> from the roots to the leaves where it is retained in the vacuoles, whereas others store  $Na^+$  in the roots [\[49\]](#page-11-12). Salt tolerance is associated with the ability to limit the uptake and/or to transport  $Na^+$  from the root zone to aerial parts [\[50\]](#page-11-13). Based on the distribution of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>−</sup> between shoots and roots, a similar mechanism could occur in centella. The accumulation of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>−</sup> in the roots provided a mechanism for centella to cope with salinity in the rooting medium. This mechanism reduced the transport of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>−</sup> to the leaves, thereby reducing the impact of the toxic ions to the aboveground parts of the plant. The leaves of centella are usually harvested, which is advantageous for growing this plant in saline environments. This mechanism has also been reported in amaranth [\[36\]](#page-10-25) and rapeseed [\[51\]](#page-11-14).

One of the effects of salt stress on plants is the overproduction of ROS, which leads to oxidative stress. However, plants have evolved mechanisms to counteract the effects of this process by producing compatible metabolites and different antioxidants [\[10\]](#page-9-9). Phenolic compounds are the most abundant secondary metabolites in the plant kingdom which have a pivotal effect in scavenging the excessive ROS. Flavonoids as a group belong to phenolic compounds and are known to have antioxidant properties [\[10\]](#page-9-9). The presence of phenolics and flavonoids in plants contributed to the prevention of cell damage by abiotic stress, as demonstrated by several studies on peas [\[52\]](#page-11-15) and kale [\[53\]](#page-11-16). These compounds neutralize the radicals accumulated in lipids or prevent their breakdown into free radicals. Furthermore, they can inhibit lipoxygenase activity, thus preventing lipid peroxidation [\[54](#page-11-17)[,55\]](#page-11-18). The result showed that there was a significant increase in the phenolic and flavonoid content in response to salt stress. The increase in phenolic and flavonoid content indicates that they play a significant role in the adaptation of centella to salinity as evident from a positive correlation between total phenolic content and antioxidant activity in the present study (Table [3\)](#page-4-1). The increase in these compounds is related to their function as a non-enzyme antioxidant to counteract the increase of ROS and hence contribute to the plant's health under salt stress. In the present study, antioxidant activity of the centella leaf increased with the salt treatments, and the highest antioxidant activity was observed at 100 mM NaCl. This finding is consistent with the important relationship that exists between antioxidant activity and the total phenolic content in the leaves of *Leucojum aestivum* and *Lactuca sativa* under salt stress conditions [\[19,](#page-10-8)[56\]](#page-11-19). Although the centella was also negatively affected by salt stress, as demonstrated by yield decline and increased accumulation of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and

Cl<sup>−</sup> ions, the study results showed an increase in phytochemicals content and antioxidant activity in centellas. This opens the way to cultivating this plant in saline soils to boost the production of bioactive compounds used in the pharmaceutical and cosmetics industries. However, studies on extraction techniques for specific bioactive compounds should be carried out to ensure the exclusion of ions and impurities.

#### **5. Conclusions**

Salinity stress caused a reduction in biomass yield and induced some physiological and phytochemical modification in centella. The results indicated that *Centella asiatica* showed moderate tolerance to severe salt stress, which was attributed to the exclusion of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>−</sup> in the root to protect the aboveground plant tissues from salt toxicity and to increase the total phenolic and flavonoid content of the centella. The centella is an herb with a rich source of phytochemical content. Thus, the response of the centella under salt conditions may be used to improve the production of bioactive compounds to be used in the manufacture of pharmaceuticals, supplements, food, and cosmetics.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, H.L.H.; Methodology, H.L.H.; Software, H.R.; Validation, H.R.; Formal Analysis, H.L.H.; Investigation, H.L.H.; Resources, H.L.H.; Data Curation, H.L.H.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, H.L.H.; Writing—Review & Editing, H.L.H. and H.R.; Visualization, H.L.H.; Supervision, H.R.; Project Administration, H.L.H. and H.R.; Funding Acquisition, H.L.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Ministry of Education and Training (Grant numbers B2020-DHH-03).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data recorded in the current study are available in all tables and figures of the manuscript.

**Acknowledgments:** We are grateful to University of Agriculture and Forestry for the support to conduct this research.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Prakash, V.; Jaiswal, N.; Srivastava, M. A review on medicinal properties of Centella asiatica. *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* **2017**, *10*, 69–74. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10i10.20760)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>2. Gohil, K.J.; Patil, J.A.; Gajjar, A.K. Pharmacological review on Centella asiatica: A potential herbal cure-all. *IJPST* **2010**, *72*, 546–556. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.4103/0250-474X.78519) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21694984)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>3. Mahajan, M.; Kuiry, R.; Pal, P.K. Understanding the consequence of environmental stress for accumulation of secondary metabolites in medicinal and aromatic plants. *J. Appl. Res. Med. Aromat. Plants* **2020**, *18*, 100255. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmap.2020.100255)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>4. Shokat, S.; Großkinsky, D.K. Tackling Salinity in Sustainable Agriculture—What Developing Countries May Learn from Approaches of the Developed World the saline Global Area under salinity (Million Hectares). *Sustainability* **2019**, *11*, 4558. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/su11174558)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>5. Deinlein, U.; Stephan, A.B.; Horie, T.; Luo, W.; Xu, G.; Schroeder, J.I. Plant salt-tolerancemechanisms. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2014**, *19*, 371–379. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2014.02.001)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>6. Apel, K.; Hirt, H. Reactive oxygen species: Metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **2004**, *55*, 373–399. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.55.031903.141701)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>7. Khan, S.; Javed, M.A.; Jahan, N.; Manan, F. A Short Review on the Development of Salt Tolerant Cultivars in Rice. *Int. J. Publ. Health Sci.* **2016**, *5*, 201–212.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>8. Ksouri, R.; Megdiche, W.; Koyro, H.W.; Abdelly, C. Responses of halophytes to environmental stresses with special emphasis to salinity. *Adv. Bot. Res.* **2010**, *53*, 117–145.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>9. Huang, H.; Ulla, H.F.; Zhou, D.X.; Yi, M.; Zhao, Y. Mechanisms of ROS Regulation of Plant Development and Stress Responses. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 800. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00800)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>10. Hasanuzzaman, M.; Raihan, M.R.H.; Masud, A.A.C.; Rahman, K.; Nowroz, F.; Rahman, M.; Nahar, K.; Fujita, M. Regulation of Reactive Oxygen Species and Antioxidant Defense in Plants under Salinity. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 9326. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22179326)
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>11. Bistgania, Z.E.; Hashemi, M.; Michelle, D.C.; Lyle, C.; Filippo, M.; Reza, M. Effect of salinity stress on the physiological characteristics, phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of *Thymus vulgaris* L. and Thymus daenensis Celak. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2019**, *135*, 311–320. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.04.055)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>12. Linić, I.; Šamec, D.; Grúz, J.; Vujčić Bok, V.; Strnad, M.; Salopek-Sondi, B. Involvement of Phenolic Acids in Short-Term Adaptation to Salinity Stress is Species-Specific among Brassicaceae. *Plants* **2019**, *8*, 155. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/plants8060155) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31174414)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>13. Bahcesula, R.B.; Yildirim, E.D.; KaraÃ§ocuk, M.; Kulak, M.; Karaman, S. Seed priming with melatonin effects on growth, essential oil compounds and antioxidant activity of basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) under salinity stress. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2020**, *146*, 112165. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112165)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>14. Bourgou, S.; Ksouri, R.; Bellila, A.; Skandrani, I.; Falleh, H.; Marzouk, B. Phenolic composition and biological activities of Tunisian Nigella sativa L. shoots and roots. *C. R. -Biol* **2008**, *331*, 48–55. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2007.11.001)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>15. Naikoo, M.I.; Dar, M.I.; Raghib, F.; Jaleel, H.; Ahmad, B.; Rain, A.; Khan, F.A.; Naushin, F. Chapter 9-Role and Regulation of Plants Phenolics in Abiotic Stress Tolerance: An Overview. In *Plant Signaling Molecules*; Woodhead Publishing: Sawston, UK, 2019; pp. 157–168.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>16. Sarker, U.; Oba, S. The Response of Salinity Stress-Induced, A. tricolor to Growth, Anatomy, Physiology, Non-Enzymatic and Enzymatic Antioxidants. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 559876. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.559876)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>17. Razieh, K.; Ahmad, A.; Maibody, M.S.A.M. Polyphenols, Flavonoids, and Antioxidant Activity Involved in Salt Tolerance in Wheat, Aegilops cylindrica and Their Amphidiploids. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 646221.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>18. Santander, C.; Vidal, G.; Ruiz, A.; Vidal, C.; Cornejo, P. Salinity Eustress Increases the Biosynthesis and Accumulation of Phenolic Compounds That Improve the Functional and Antioxidant Quality of Red Lettuce. *Agronomy* **2022**, *12*, 598. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12030598)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>19. Pandley, S.K.; Singh, H. A simple, cost- effective method for leaf area estimation. *J. Bot.* **2011**, *20*, 658240.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>20. Acosta-Motos, J.R.; álvarez, S.; Hernández, J.A.; Sánchezblanco, M.J. Irrigation of Myrtus communis plants with reclaimed water: Morphological and physiological responses to different levels of salinity. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *89*, 487–494. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/14620316.2014.11513110)
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>21. Lutts, S.; Kinet, J.M.; Bouharmont, J. Changes in plant response to NaCl during development of rice (Oryza sativa L.) varieties differing in salinity resistance. *J. Exp. Bot.* **1995**, *46*, 1843–1852. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/46.12.1843)
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>22. Velioglu, Y.S.; Mazza, G.; Gao, L.; Oomah, B.D. Antioxidant activity and total phenolics in selected fruits, vegetables and grain products. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1998**, *46*, 4113–4117. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/jf9801973)
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>23. Zhishen, J.; Mengcheng, T.; Jianming, W. The determination of flavonoid contents in mulberry and their scavenging effects on superoxide radicals. *Food Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 555–559. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(98)00102-2)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>24. Shimada, K.; Fujikawa, Y.K.; Nakamura, T. Antioxidative properties of xanthan on the autoxidation of soybean oil in cyclodextrin emulsion. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1992**, *40*, 945–948. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/jf00018a005)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>25. He, J.; You, X.; Qin, L. High Salinity Reduces Plant Growth and Photosynthetic Performance but Enhances Certain Nutritional Quality of C4 Halophyte Portulaca oleracea L. Grown Hydroponically Under LED Lighting. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 651341. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.651341) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33828578)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>26. Reginato, M.; Cenzano, A.M.; Arslan, I.; Furlán, A.; Varela, C.; Cavallin, V.; Papenbrock, J.; Luna, V. Na2SO4 and NaCl salts differentially modulate the antioxidant systems in the highly stress tolerant halophyte Prosopis strombulifera. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2021**, *167*, 748–762. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.09.003)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>27. Sogoni, A.; Jimoh, M.O.; Kambizi, L.; Laubscher, C.P. The Impact of Salt Stress on Plant Growth, Mineral Composition, and Antioxidant Activity in Tetragonia decumbens Mill.: An Underutilized Edible Halophyte in South Africa. *Horticulturae* **2021**, *7*, 140. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7060140)
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>28. Hasegawa, P.M.; Bressan, R.A.; Zhu, J.K.; Bohnert, H.J. Plant cellular and molecular responses to high salinity. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **2000**, *51*, 463–499. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.51.1.463)
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>29. Yang, Y.; Guo, Y. Elucidating the molecular mechanisms mediating plant salt-stress responses. *New Phytol.* **2018**, *217*, 523–539. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14920)
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>30. Burslem, D.F.R.; Grubb, P.J.; Turner, I.M. Responses to stimulated drought and elevated nutrient supply among shade- tolerant tree seedling of lowland tropical forest in Singapore. *Biotropia* **1996**, *28*, 636–648. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2307/2389050)
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>31. Omami, E.N.; Hammes, P.S.; Robbertse, P.J. Differences in salinity tolerance for growth and water-use efficiency in some amaranth (Amaranthus spp.) genotypes, N.Z.J. *Crop Hortic. Sci.* **2006**, *34*, 11–22. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/01140671.2006.9514382)
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>32. Tattini, M.; Remorini, D.; Pinelli, P.; Agati, G.; Saracini, E.; Traversi, M.L.; Rossano, M. Morpho-anatomical, physiological and biochemical adjustments in response to root zone salinity stress and high solar radiation in two Mediterranean evergreen shrubs, Myrtus communis and Pistacia lentiscus. *New Phytol.* **2006**, *170*, 779–794. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01723.x) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16684238)
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>33. Banakar, M.H.; Amiri, H.; Sarafraz Ardakani, M.R.; Ranjbar, G.H. Susceptibility and tolerance of fenugreek (Trigonella foenumgraceum L.) to salt stress: Physiological and biochemical inspections. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2022**, *194*, 104748. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2021.104748)
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>34. Karimi, R.; Ebrahimi, M.; Amerian, M. Abscisic acid mitigates NaCl toxicity in grapevine by influencing phytochemical compounds and mineral nutrients in leaves. *Sci. Hortic.* **2021**, *288*, 110336. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2021.110336)
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>35. Sasan, M.S.; Akbar, K.; Filippo, M. Photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence of Iranian licorice (Glycyrrhiza glabra l.) accessions under salinity stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 984944.
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>36. Hoang, L.H.; de Guzman, C.C.; Cadiz, N.M.; Tran, D.H. Physiological and phytochemical responses of red amaranth (*Amaranthus tricolor* L.) and green amaranth (*Amaranthus dubius* L.) to different salinity levels. *Legum* **2019**, *43*, 206–211. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.18805/LR-470)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>37. Akhter, N.; Aqeel, M.; Shahnaz, M.M.; Alnusairi, G.S.; Alghanem, S.M.; Kousar, A.; Hashem, M.; Kanwal, H.; Alamri, S.; Ilyas, A. Physiological homeostasis for ecological success of Typha (Typha domingensis Pers.) populations in saline soils. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* **2021**, *27*, 687–701. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-021-00963-x)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>38. Shin, Y.K.; Bhandari, S.R.; Jo, J.S.; Song, J.W.; Cho, M.C.; Yang, E.Y.; Lee, J.G. Response to Salt Stress in Lettuce: Changes in Chlorophyll Fluorescence Parameters, Phytochemical Contents, and Antioxidant Activities. *Agronomy* **2020**, *10*, 1627. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10111627)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>39. Zhang, L.; Ma, H.; Chen, T.; Pen, J.; Yu, S.; Zhao, X. Morphological and Physiological Responses of Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Plants to Salinity. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e112807. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112807)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>40. Zahra, N.; Al Hinai, M.S.; Hafeez, M.B.; Rehman, A.; Wahid, A.; Siddique, K.H.M.; Farooq, M. Regulation of photosynthesis under salt stress and associated tolerance mechanisms. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2022**, *178*, 55–69. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2022.03.003)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>41. Farhat, N.; Kouas, W.; Braun, H.P.; Debez, A. Stability of thylakoid protein complexes and preserving photosynthetic efficiency are crucial for the successful recovery of the halophyte Cakile maritima from high salinity. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2021**, *166*, 177–190. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.05.044)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>42. Naheed, R.; Aslam, H.; Kanwal, H.; Farhat, F.; Mohammad, I.A.G.; Amina, A.M.A.; Dilfuza, J.; Mohammad, J.A.; Sehar, S.; Muhammad, A.; et al. Growth attributes, biochemical modulations, antioxidant enzymatic metabolism and yield in Brassica napus varieties for salinity tolerance. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* **2021**, *28*, 5469–5479. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.08.021)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>43. Abdallah, M.M.S.; El Sebai, T.N.; Ramadan, A.A.E.M.; El-Bassiouny, H.M.S. 2020. Physiological and biochemical role of proline, trehalose, and compost on enhancing salinity tolerance of quinoa plant. *Bull Natl. Res. Cent.* **2020**, *44*, 96. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-020-00354-4)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>44. Ahanger, M.A.; Qin, C.; Maodong, Q.; Dong, X.X.; Ahmad, P.; Abd\_Allah, E.F.; Zhang, L. Spermine application alleviates salinity induced growth and photosynthetic inhibition in Solanum lycopersicum by modulating osmolyte and secondary metabolite accumulation and differentially regulating antioxidant metabolism. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2019**, *144*, 1–13. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.09.021)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>45. ElYacoubi, H.; Mouhssine, F.; Imtara, H.; Ouallal, I.; Ech-cheddadi, S.; Koutoua, A.; Lagzouli, M.; Alotaibi, B.S.; Alkamaly, O.; Parvez, M.K.; et al. Insight into Membrane Stability and Physiological Responses of Selected Salt-Tolerant and Salt-Sensitive Cell Lines of Troyer Citrange (Citrus sinensis [L.] x Citrus trifoliata [L.] Raf.) under Salt Stress. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 9583. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/su14159583)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>46. Behdad, A.; Mohsenzadeh, S.; Azizi, M. Growth, leaf gas exchange and physiological parameters of two Glycyrrhiza glabra L. populations subjected to salt stress condition. *Rhizosphere* **2021**, *17*, 100319. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2021.100319)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>47. Demidchik, V.; Straltsova, D.; Medvedev, S.S.; Pozhvanov, G.A.; Sokolik, A.; Yurin, V. Stress-induced electrolyte leakage: The role of K+-permeable channels and involvement in programmed cell death and metabolic adjustment. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2014**, *65*, 1259–1270. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru004)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>48. Tavakkoli, E.; Rengasamy, P.; McDonald, G.K. High concentrations of Na+ and Cl– ions in soil solution have simultaneous detrimental effects on growth of faba bean under salinity stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2010**, *61*, 4449–4459. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq251)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>49. Tejera, N.A.; Soussi, M.; Lluch, C. Physiological and nutritional indicators of tolerance to salinity in chickpea plants growing under symbiotic conditions. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2006**, *58*, 17–24. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2005.06.007)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>50. Greenway, H.; Munns, R. Mechanisms of salt tolerance in nonhalophytes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.* **1980**, *31*, 149–190. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.31.060180.001053)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>51. Wang, C.F.; Han, G.L.; Qiao, Z.Q.; Li, Y.X.; Yang, Z.R.; Wang, B.S. Root Na+ Content Negatively Correlated to Salt Tolerance Determines the Salt Tolerance of *Brassica napus* L. Inbred Seedlings. *Plants* **2022**, *11*, 906. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/plants11070906)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>52. Farooq, M.; Ahmad, R.; Shahzad, M.; Sajjad, Y.; Hassan, A.; Shah, M.M.; Naz, S.; Ali Khan, S. Differential variations in total flavonoid content and antioxidant enzymes activities in pea under different salt and drought stresses. *Sci. Hortic.* **2021**, *287*, 110258. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2021.110258)
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>53. Akram, W.; Yasin, N.A.; Shah, A.A.; Khan, W.U.; Li, G.; Ahmad, S.; Ahmed, S.; Hussaan, M.; Rizwan, M.; Ali, S. Exogenous application of liquiritin alleviated salt stress and improved growth of Chinese kale plants. *Sci. Hortic.* **2022**, *294*, 110762. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2021.110762)
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>54. Nijveldt, R.J.; Nood, E.V.; van Hoorn, D.E.C.; Boelens, P.G.; van Norren, K.; van Leeuwen, P.A.M. Flavonoids: A review of probable mechanisms of action and potential applications. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2001**, *74*, 418–425. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/74.4.418)
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>55. Chen, L.; Cao, H.; Huang, Q.; Xiao, J.; Teng, H. Absorption, metabolism and bioavailability of flavonoids: A review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2022**, *62*, 7730–7742. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2021.1917508)
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>56. Ates, M.T.; Yildirim, A.B.; Turker, A.U. Enhancement of alkaloid content (galanthamine and lycorine) and antioxidant activities (enzymatic and non-enzymatic) under salt stress in summer snowflake (*Leucojum aestivum* L.). *S. Afr. J. Bot.* **2021**, *140*, 182–188. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2021.04.016)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.