



# *Article* **Tele-Exercise in Non-Hospitalized versus Hospitalized Post-COVID-19 Patients**

**Vasileios T. Stavrou 1,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2437-5339) , Kyriaki Astara 1,2 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8791-116X) Pavlos Ioannidis <sup>1</sup> , George D. Vavougios 1,3 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0413-4028) Zoe Daniil <sup>1</sup> and Konstantinos I. Gourgoulianis 1,\***

- <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Cardio-Pulmonary Testing and Pulmonary Rehabilitation, Respiratory Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, University of Thessaly, 41110 Larissa, Greece
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Neurology, 417 Army Equity Fund Hospital (NIMTS), 115 21 Athens, Greece<br><sup>3</sup> Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Cynnus, Lefkosia 2408, Cynnus
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Cyprus, Lefkosia 2408, Cyprus
- **\*** Correspondence: vasileiosstavrou@hotmail.com (V.T.S.); kgourg@uth.gr (K.I.G.); Tel.: +30-241-350-2157 (K.I.G.)

Abstract: The purpose of our study was to investigate the effect of tele-exercise (TE) performed for 4 consecutive weeks on fitness indicators in hospitalized post-COVID-19 patients versus nonhospitalized patients. Forty COVID-19 survivors were included, and divided into two groups: non-hospitalized versus hospitalized. Body composition, anthropometric characteristics, pulmonary function tests, single-breath diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide, 6-min walk tests (6MWT) and handgrip strength tests were recorded before and after a TE regimen (3 sessions per week, 60 min each session, warm-up and cool-down with mobility exercises, aerobic exercise such as walking outdoors, and multi-joint strength exercises). Following TE, the 6-min walk distance and handgrip were increased in both groups, with a greater observed response in the non-hospitalized group  $(6MWT: 32.9 \pm 46.6\% \text{ vs. } 18.5 \pm 14.3\%, p < 0.001; \text{handgrip: } 15.9 \pm 12.3\% \text{ vs. } 8.9 \pm 7.6\%, p < 0.001).$ Self-assessed dyspnea and leg fatigue were reduced in both groups, while a higher percentage of reduction was observed in the non-hospitalized group (dyspnea:  $62.9 \pm 42.5\%$  vs.  $37.5 \pm 49.0\%$ , *p* < 0.05; leg fatigue: 50.4 ± 42.2% vs. 31.7 ± 45.1%, *p* < 0.05). Post- vs. pre-TE arterial blood pressure decreased significantly in both groups, with the hospitalized group exhibiting more prominent reduction ( $p < 0.001$ ). Both groups benefited from the TE program, and regardless of the severity of the disease the non-hospitalized group exhibited a potentially diminished adaptative response to exercise, compared to the hospitalized group.

**Keywords:** tele-exercise; dyspnea; fatigue; fitness; well-being

## **1. Introduction**

COVID-19 represents a multisystemic disease that may adversely affect the survivor's health in multiple domains well beyond its acute phase. In COVID-19 survivors, a multitude of symptoms and conditions may arise that may be ameliorable to rehabilitation, including persistent respiratory symptoms, dyspnoea, fatigue and limited functional capacity [\[1\]](#page-8-0). Aside from its associations with obesity, alterations in body composition and specifically sarcopenia may also complicate the overall phenotype with or without association with other comorbidities  $[2,3]$  $[2,3]$ , in a similarly bivalent manner.

The benefits of pulmonary rehabilitation are well documented, representing an established recovery approach that should be available to all patients [\[4\]](#page-8-3). However, pulmonary rehabilitation remains grossly underutilized by suitable patients worldwide [\[5\]](#page-8-4). Tele-rehabilitation involves the use of information and communication technologies to provide rehabilitation services remotely to people in their homes, while unsupervised pulmonary rehabilitation represents a telemedicine approach that has gained impetus during the COVID-19 pandemic [\[6\]](#page-8-5). Previous studies have reported that the tele-rehabilitation



**Citation:** Stavrou, V.T.; Astara, K.; Ioannidis, P.; Vavougios, G.D.; Daniil, Z.; Gourgoulianis, K.I. Tele-Exercise in Non-Hospitalized versus Hospitalized Post-COVID-19 Patients. *Sports* **2022**, *10*, 179. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/sports10110179) [sports10110179](https://doi.org/10.3390/sports10110179)

Academic Editor: Diane E. Mack

Received: 8 October 2022 Accepted: 14 November 2022 Published: 16 November 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

program versus standard rehabilitation shows similar results in patients with chronic respiratory disease, and is equally as effective as hospital-based rehabilitation [\[7\]](#page-8-6).

Tele-exercise is used to maintain the physical fitness of community-dwelling people during isolation and when outpatient rehabilitation services are not available, such as during the COVID-19 pandemic period [\[8\]](#page-8-7), while unsupervised pulmonary rehabilitation programs in previously hospitalized COVID-19 patients are an effective and beneficial practice for promoting exercise and symptom recovery following post-COVID-19, as well as a novel approach concerning the treatment of persistent fatigue induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection [\[9\]](#page-8-8).

Exercise represents the core of pulmonary rehabilitation programs, comprising 76–100% of programs internationally [\[10\]](#page-8-9), aiming towards a comprehensive functional recovery for respiratory disease patients [\[11\]](#page-8-10). Exercise reduces symptoms, increases functional ability, and improves quality of life, while tele-exercise in particular has been utilized in addressing the needs of COVID-19 survivors [\[9\]](#page-8-8). The average duration of international pulmonary rehabilitation programs ranges from 6 to 9 weeks, with some providing ongoing maintenance programs.

There is insufficient information in the literature about the impact of shorter duration TE and its impact on fitness indicators, strength, stamina and body composition [\[5,](#page-8-4)[9,](#page-8-8)[12\]](#page-8-11). Therefore, the purpose of our study was to investigate the effect of tele-exercise (TE) performed for 4 consecutive weeks on fitness indicators in hospitalized post-COVID-19 patients versus non-hospitalized patients.

#### **2. Materials and Methods**

### *2.1. Participants*

Forty COVID-19 survivors volunteered for this study. They were divided into two groups: non-hospitalized (i.e., mild or moderate COVID-19) versus hospitalized, according to national guidelines (Table [1\)](#page-1-0). Subjects were recruited (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0) consecutively between September 2021 and November 2021 (Delta variant). For the sample size calculation of this study, a power of 86% and a confidence interval of 95% were adopted, with an estimated value for a type 1 error of 5% as there was no previous study investigating the effect of tele-exercise between non-hospitalized and hospitalized patients with COVID-19. As a final result, a value of 14 patients was obtained. However, because this is a new method of exercise, we recruited more patients (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0).

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Table 1.** Body composition results between non-hospitalized and hospitalized post-COVID-19 patients. Data are expressed as percent, mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.



Abbreviations: DLCO(SB) = single-breath diffusing capacity of the lung for CO;  $FEV_1$  = forced expiratory volume in 1st sec; ∆chest: chest circumference difference between maximal inhalation and exhalation.

Inclusion criteria were: age  $\geq$ 20 to  $\leq$ 60 years, without absolute and relative contraindi-cations for a 6-min walk distance test [\[13\]](#page-8-12), BMI  $\leq$  35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, daily physical strain due to working  $\leq 3$  h/day [\[14\]](#page-8-13), and weekly exercise  $\leq 100$  min per week [\[15\]](#page-8-14), comorbidity free, without any form of musculoskeletal disability which could impair maximum exercise capacity [\[9,](#page-8-8)[16\]](#page-8-15), without active self-reported symptoms (chest pain, fatigue and/or

dyspnea) [\[9\]](#page-8-8), and without laboratory confirmed, incident respiratory disease (forced expiratory volume in 1st sec ≥85% of predicted and single-breath diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide >75% of predicted). Moreover, the hospitalized patient group was selected with the additional criterion of a two-month interval since discharge from hospital. Additional inclusion criteria for this group were: no longer require O<sub>2</sub>, without fever for consecutive 48-h period, stable patients, without supplemental  $O_2$  [\[9,](#page-8-8)[17\]](#page-8-16).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** Flow study diagram. Non-hospitalized: 37.1% of eligible patients refused to participate **Figure 1.** Flow study diagram. Non-hospitalized: 37.1% of eligible patients refused to participate with justifications of "not enough time", "I cannot make it" and "I feel tired/exhausted". A total of 24.0% of eligible patients did not meet inclusion criteria such as self-reported symptoms and  $\alpha$  respiratory discusses. Four patients were excluded after  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  pressure during  $\alpha$  pressure during  $\alpha$ incident respiratory disease. Four patients were excluded after PFT due to high blood pressure during 6MWT (systolic blood pressure > 200 mmHg). Hospitalized: 22.8% of eligible patients refused to participate with justifications of "dizziness and headache" and "discomfort feeling". A total of 35.7% of eligible patients did not meet further inclusion criteria such as self-reported highly dyspnea symptoms, incident respiratory disease, comorbidities and musculoskeletal disabilities. Nine patients were excluded after PFT: one patient experienced an 8% desaturation after the first min of 6MWT, three patients showed high blood pressure during 6MWT (systolic blood pressure > 200 mmHg) and five patients showed, during 6MWT, staggering, high diaphoresis, intolerable dyspnea and leg  $\mathbf{I}$ cramps. Abbreviations: PFT = physical fitness test.

The study's protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board/Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Larissa, Greece (approval reference number: No. 3952/03-11-2021). All patients provided written informed consent, in accordance with the Helsinki declaration, and personal data according to the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union.

#### $\mathcal{V}$ ata Collection and single-breath diffusing capacity for c *2.2. Data Collection*

Body composition and anthropometric characteristics were recorded according to Stavrou et al. [9] using Tanita MC-980 (Arlington Heights, IL, USA). Pulmonary function tests and single-breath diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (Master Screen, VI-ASYS HealthCare, Hoechberg, Germany) were recorded according to the latest ATS/ERS guidelines [\[18\]](#page-8-17). The 6-min walk test (6MWT) was performed according to the ATS guide-

lines [\[19\]](#page-8-18). Other measurements included blood pressure (Sphygmomanometer Mac Check 501, Tokyo, Japan), arterial  $O_2$  saturation (Sp $O_2$ ) and heart rate (HR) (Nonin 9590 Onyx Vantage, Plymouth, MN, USA). Dyspnea and lower limb fatigue was assessed via the CR-10 Borg scale [\[20\]](#page-9-0) before and at the end of  $6MWT. SpO<sub>2</sub>$  and HR [\[9](#page-8-8)[,17\]](#page-8-16) were recorded every minute of the test, as well as the total distance during the 6MWT. Handgrip strength was assessed by an electronic dynamometer (Camry, EH 101, South El Monte, CA, USA) performing one maximum isometric effort for 5 s with both hands alternately and in random order. All patients reported their dominant upper limb before the trials [\[17\]](#page-8-16). The same procedure was repeated after a 4-week tele-exercise intervention period.

#### *2.3. Tele-Exercise Program*

The tele-exercise program lasted 4 weeks (12 sessions), with each patient taking part in 3 training sessions per week. The duration of each training session was 60 min and included:

- Warm-up: 5 min mobility exercises (child's pose/prayer stretch, doorway stretch, quadriceps stretch) for upper and lower limbs, 2 sets for 20 s each exercise with 20 s rest;
- Aerobic exercise: a 30 min continuous walk outdoors (flat and hard surface), and every five minutes patients checked their heart rate and oxygen saturation and subsequently recorded the total distance covered. The intensity was calculated according to  $HR_{peak}$ during 6MWT (approximately on 90 to 110% of HR<sub>peak</sub>) and patients self-reported feelings of dyspnea and leg fatigue according to CR-10 Borg scales (approximately on 5 to 6 score, respectively);
- Strength exercise: 20 min multi-joint strength exercises with body weight (chair lunges, side lateral raises, seated leg raises and squats), 3 sets for 8–12 repetitions with 40 s rest. The intensity was calculated according to the Borg scale (approximately on a 5 to 6 score from feelings of dyspnea and on a 4 to 5 score from feeling leg fatigue);
- Cool-down: 5 min mobility exercises (child's pose/prayer stretch, doorway stretch, quadriceps stretch) for upper and lower limbs, 2 sets for 20 s each exercise with 20 s rest.

Each patient received a video with instructions for proper tele-exercise from the platform ustep [\(https://ustep.gr/,](https://ustep.gr/) accessed on 10 November 2021), and adherence to the program was determined via one online video call per week. Each video call was regarding possible difficulties in performing exercises and troubleshooting. Each patient chose the time of day to exercise (between 9:00 am and 9:00 pm), while the exercise days were Monday, Wednesday and Friday. Every Friday patients exercised online with tele-supervision, and the other two days were unsupervised. The evaluated parameters  $(HR, SpO<sub>2</sub>, dyspnea and$ leg fatigue) were uploaded onto the ustep platform at the end of each session.

#### *2.4. Statistical Analysis*

A two-way repeated measures ANOVA (group x time) was used to determine statistically significant interaction effects in dependent variables before and after tele-exercise periods and between groups. A Bonferroni post-hoc test was used to locate any differences between means. The IBM SPSS 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software was used for all analyses. The level of significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation and percentage (%) where appropriate.

### **3. Results**

The post tele-exercise performance of the 6-min walk distance (6MWD) was improved in both groups compared with the baseline values (6MWD: F1,  $38 = 36.8$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , Figure [2\)](#page-4-0). An analysis of covariance was used to control for baseline performance inequality in 6MWD between the groups. A greater percentage and distance improvement were observed in the hospitalized group compared to non-hospitalized in the 6MWD  $(32.9 \pm 46.6\% \text{ vs. } 18.5 \pm 14.3\%$ , Figure [2\)](#page-4-0).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 2. Performance changes for 6-min walk distance (6MWD) at baseline and after the tele-exercise period (post-TE) in non-hospitalized and hospitalized groups. \* *p* < 0.05 between baseline and post-TE,  $# p < 0.05$  between groups.

At baseline, SpO<sub>2</sub> at the end of the 6MWT appeared significantly reduced compared to the values at the beginning of the test in both groups, while a higher percentage reduc-to the values at the beginning of the test in both groups, while a higher percentage reduction in SpO<sub>2</sub> was observed in the non-hospitalized group (−2.9 ± 1.0% vs. −2.3 ± 2.0%, F1, 38 = 54.1,  $p < 0.001$ , Figure 3[\). A](#page-4-1)fter the tele-exercise period a significant drop in SpO<sub>2</sub> apappeared compared to the values at the start of the test in both groups, while a higher peared compared to the values at the start of the test in both groups, while a higher percentage of reduction was observed in the non-hospitalized group ( $-2.3 \pm 1.7\%$  vs.  $-1.8 \pm 2.0\%$ , ± 2.0%, F1, 38 = 53.2, *p* < 0.001, [Fig](#page-4-1)ure 3). F1, 38 = 53.2, *p* < 0.001, Figure 3).

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

Figure 3. Changes in O<sub>2</sub> saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>) before and at the end of the 6-min walk test (6MWT) at baseline and after the tele-exercise period (post-TE) in non-hospitalized and hospitalized groups.  $p \times p$  < 0.05 between baseline and post-tele-PR,  $\#p$  < 0.05 between groups.

At baseline, Borg scales at the end of the 6MWT appeared significantly increased At baseline, Borg scales at the end of the 6MWT appeared significantly increased compared to the values at the start of the test in both groups, while higher percentages of dyspnea and leg fatigue parameters were observed in the non-hospitalized group (dyspnea:  $77.7 \pm 24.1\%$  vs.  $33.3 \pm 47.1\%$ , F1,  $38 = 12.2$ ,  $p < 0.05$ , Figure [4;](#page-5-0) leg fatigue: 77.1  $\pm$  17.7% vs. 10.0  $\pm$  31.6%, F1,38 = 13.2, *p* < 0.001, Figure [5\)](#page-5-1). After the tele-exercise period a significant reduction in leg fatigue was experienced in both groups, while a higher percentage of reduction in dyspnea and leg fatigue was observed in the non-hospitalized compared to the hospitalized group (dyspnea:  $62.9 \pm 42.5\%$  vs.  $37.5 \pm 49.0\%$ , F1,  $38 = 12.3$ , 4; leg fatigue: [50.](#page-5-0)4 ± 42.2% vs. 31.7 ± 45.1%, F1, 38 = 11.9, *p* < 0.05, Figure 5). *p* < 0.05, Figure 4; leg fatigue: 50.4 ± 42.2% vs. 31.7 ± 45.1%, F1, 38 = 11.9, *p* < 0.05, Figure [5\)](#page-5-1).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 4. Changes in dyspnea at baseline and after the tele-exercise period (post-TE) in nonhospitalized and hospitalized groups.  $* p < 0.05$  between baseline and post-TE,  $# p < 0.05$  between groups.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

**Figure 5.** Changes in leg fatigue at baseline and after the tele-exercise period (post-TE) in nonhospitalized and hospitalized groups.  $p < 0.05$  between baseline and post-TE,  $p < 0.05$  between groups.

At baseline, arterial pressure (SBP, DBP and MAP) at the end of the 6MWT appeared At baseline, arterial pressure (SBP, DBP and MAP) at the end of the 6MWT appeared significantly increased compared to the values at the start of the test in both groups, while a higher percentage of increase was observed in the hospitalized compared to nonhospitalized group (F1, 38 = 65.2, *p* < 0.001, Table 2). Fol[lo](#page-5-2)wing the tele-exercise period, a significant reduction in post- vs. pre-TE values was observed in the groups, with the hospiized group exhibiting a more prominent reduction (F1, 38 = 42.1, *p* < 0.001, Table 2). Heart talized group exhibiting a more prominent reduction (F1, 38 = 42.1, *p* < 0.001, Table [2\)](#page-5-2). Heart rate differences were not noted at baseline or following TE. Furthermore, no interaction was observed between groups and measurements (F1, 38 = 0.204, *p* > 0.05, Table 2). was observed between groups and measurements (F1, 38 = 0.204, *p* > 0.05, Table [2\)](#page-5-2).

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Table 2.** Hemodynamic parameters between groups before and at the end of the 6-min walk test (6MWT) at baseline and after the tele-exercise period (post-TE).



\* *p* < 0.05 between baseline and post-TE values, # *p* < 0.05 between groups, † *p* < 0.05 between pre- and post-6-min walk test (6MWT).

Post-TE handgrip strength was improved in both groups compared with the baseline Post-TE handgrip strength was improved in both groups compared with the baseline values (F1,  $38 = 36.8$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , Figure [6\)](#page-6-0). Analysis of covariance was used to control for baseline performance inequality in handgrip strength between the groups. A greater percentage improvement was observed in the non-hospitalized compared to hospitalized group in handgrip strength (15.9  $\pm$  12.3% vs. 8.9  $\pm$  7.6%, Figure 6). T[he](#page-6-0)re was no difference between the baseline and post-tele-exercise period, nor between group values in anthropometric and body composition variables ( $p > 0.05$ ).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>



#### $T_{\text{SUSL}}$ **4. Discussion**

The results have shown that in both groups, exercise was beneficial for post-COVID rehabilitation. In fact, the combination of an aerobic-anaerobic training program, with its distinct effects, provides a holistic approach to total physical rehabilitation. What matters, though, is the influence of the severity of COVID-19 in the post-COVID interval.

Despite the overall better performance after the designated training program, the response to exercise was different between the groups. The hospitalized group exhibited a<br>response to exercise was different between the groups. The hospitalized group exhibited a more prominent drop in  $SpO<sub>2</sub>$  and an increase in BP at the end of the 6MWT. This could signify a more optimal autonomic adaptation to exercise stimuli. Post-COVID patients suffering from impaired oxygenation and muscle trophicity were hindered, especially if they had been previously hospitalized [\[17\]](#page-8-16). As a compensatory, blood pressure increased linearly with its constituent of cardiac output, in order to maintain adequate perfusion in<br> muscles during exercise [\[21\]](#page-9-1). Therefore, the hospitalized group may display wider margins of improvement during rehabilitation.

Previous study by our research team reported that the pathophysiology underlying Previous study by our research team reported that the pathophysiology underlying lasting hypoxia secondary to COVID-19 may be ventilation–perfusion mismatch [9]. lasting hypoxia secondary to COVID-19 may be ventilation–perfusion mismatch [\[9\]](#page-8-8). Exercise widens the alveolar-arterial  $PO<sub>2</sub>$  difference, due to VA/Q, and interstitial pulmonary edema, inadequate ventilatory response, and/or alveolar–capillary diffusion, resulting in the further limitation of  $O_2$  transport [\[9\]](#page-8-8).

Accordingly, the non-hospitalized group seems to suffer from more prominent post-COVID symptoms, perturbing their potential to benefit from rehabilitation. The scores from the Borg scale could indirectly support this result. The non-hospitalized group claimed more persistence in perceived intensity of exercise, both aerometric and strength. This could be attributed to the mismatch between the most frequent phenotype of SARS-CoV-2 infection and the national guidelines of severity assessment. The criteria of hospital admission were based on the presence of fever among other signs, whereas in data-driven analysis for COVID-19 phenotypes, it was shown that febrility covered the smallest portion [\[22\]](#page-9-2). Previous study by our research team reported that prolonged periods of bed rest have been shown to induce substantial changes in body composition and are accompanied by overall metabolic decline and affect potentially all body muscles [\[17\]](#page-8-16). COVID-19 survivors may experience acute sarcopenia associated by lockdown, which leads to longpost-COVID-19 syndrome [\[17\]](#page-8-16). These associations are reflected in our results and should be addressed by targeted rehabilitation. Our results show greater percentage improvement in the non-hospitalized compared to hospitalized group in the handgrip strength test  $(15.9 \pm 12.3\% \text{ vs. } 8.9 \pm 7.6\%).$ 

The putative mechanism by which rehabilitation ameliorates this damage has been previously described in interstitial lung disease, where the dyspnea reduced after exercise rehabilitation programs [\[23\]](#page-9-3). Patients with chronic lung diseases had exercise intolerance due to reduced breathing efficiency that resulted from the deteriorating ventilatory mechanics on one hand and the increased ventilatory requirement on the other hand [\[24\]](#page-9-4). In our study the impact of the 30 min continuous walk was on feelings of dyspnea of 5 to 6 score (Borg scale), aiming to improve the limiting factor of dyspnea with the process of central desensitization of dyspnea.

Our study should be interpreted within the context of its strengths and limitations. An important limitation of our study is that rehabilitation was performed within a relatively limited duration. However, despite the limited duration of the rehabilitation program, both groups showed significant improvement in 6MWD and handgrip strength. Additionally, the rest of the parameters of fitness indicators, when examined, showed a trendline towards enhanced physical condition. It should be noted that the rehabilitation program was unsupervised, allowing a greater degree of freedom to both the clinician and the patient. Telemedicine has gained popularity during the COVID-19 pandemic, as access to hospitals and outpatient departments was limited [\[25\]](#page-9-5). Another important limitation is that per the study protocol, patient groups older than 60 and with BMI greater than 35 were not studied. While these patient groups were not the focus of our study, similar studies could explore the potential benefit of TE. A final limitation is that as the study involved survivors infected with the delta variant, an analysis of the variant as a variable could not be performed. Studies with study samples that extend to the initial infection and Omicron could thus provide more information on whether variants specifically had any impact on rehabilitation in general. Finally, our findings should be interpreted within the context of practical significance. As such, while statistically significant, differences in heart rate that do not exceed physiological thresholds (i.e., brady–tachycardia or clinically significant fluctuations) [\[26\]](#page-9-6) are unlikely to inform clinical decision making; the same could be said about the oscillations noted in the arterial blood pressure. Differences in handgrip strength and 6-min walking distance, however [\[27,](#page-9-7)[28\]](#page-9-8), may be more reliable in informing decisions and guiding rehabilitation. Notably, our findings are corroborated by studies with larger sample sizes [\[29\]](#page-9-9) and indicate that COVID-19 survivors benefit in all aspects of physical fitness.

### **5. Conclusions**

In conclusion, a tele-pulmonary rehabilitation program had a beneficial effect in all COVID-19 survivors, with more prominent results having been observed in the hospitalized group. Our results put emphasis on the need for rehabilitation to be addressed for all COVID-19 survivors. Furthermore, it is crucial to address this need in an individualized manner. Our results support a clear difference in the needs and adaptation of different severity groups in COVID-19 survivors, and potentially imply a second order question: that of the necessary duration and follow-up for rehabilitation programs in the long-COVID setting. An important implication of our study is that as TE is shown to confer a beneficial effect, it could provide a cost-effective and potentially widely disseminated alternative to on-site rehabilitation practice.

**Author Contributions:** V.T.S., P.I. and K.I.G. conceived of the presented idea and designed the study. V.T.S., G.D.V. and P.I. contributed to sample collection, sample preparation and data analysis. V.T.S., G.D.V. and K.A. contributed equally to the writing of the paper. Z.D. and K.I.G. supervised the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of University Hospital of Larissa (Ethical Committee Approval Number: 3952/03-11-2021).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** All data are available after request.

**Acknowledgments:** Authors appreciate the friendly cooperation of patients (non-hospitalized and hospitalized).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>1. Deer, R.R.; Rock, M.A.; Vasilevsky, N.; Carmody, L.; Rando, H.; Anzalone, A.J.; Basson, M.D.; Bennett, T.D.; Bergquist, T.; Boudreau, E.A.; et al. Characterizing Long COVID: Deep Phenotype of a Complex Condition. *EBioMedicine* **2021**, *74*, 103722. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103722)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>2. Freuer, D.; Linseisen, J.; Meisinger, C. Impact of body composition on COVID-19 susceptibility and severity: A two-sample multivariable Mendelian randomization study. *Metabolism* **2021**, *118*, 154732. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2021.154732)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>3. Mochizuki, T.; Yano, K.; Ikari, K.; Okazaki, K. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on body composition among patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Mod. Rheumatol.* **2022**, *32*, 452–454. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/mr/roab018)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>4. Gloeckl, R.; Marinov, B.; Pitta, F. Practical recommendations for exercise training in patients with COPD. *Eur. Respir. Rev.* **2013**, *128*, 178–186. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1183/09059180.00000513)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>5. Vasilopoulou, M.; Papaioannou, A.I.; Kaltsakas, G.; Louvaris, Z.; Chynkiamis, N.; Spetsioti, S.; Kortianou, E.; Genimata, S.A.; Palamidas, A.; Kostikas, K.; et al. Home-based maintenance tele-rehabilitation reduces the risk for acute exacerbations of COPD, hospitalisations and emergency department visits. *Eur. Respir. J.* **2017**, *49*, 1602129. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02129-2016) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28546268)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>6. Stavrou, V.T.; Griziotis, M.; Vavougios, G.D.; Raptis, D.G.; Bardaka, F.; Karetsi, E.; Kyritsis, A.; Daniil, Z.; Tsarouhas, K.; Triposkiadis, F.; et al. Supervised Versus Unsupervised Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Patients with Pulmonary Embolism: A Valuable Alternative in COVID Era. *J. Funct. Morphol. Kinesiol.* **2021**, *6*, 98. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/jfmk6040098) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34940507)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>7. Vasilopoulou, M.; Papaioannou, A.I.; Chynkiamis, N.; Vasilogiannakopoulou, T.; Spetsioti, S.; Louvaris, Z.; Kortianou, E.; Kocsis, O.; Tsopanoglou, A.; Feridou, C.; et al. Effectiveness of home tele-rehabilitation on functional capacity and daily physical activity in COPD patients. *Eur. Respir. J.* **2015**, *46*, OA273.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>8. Zengin Alpozgen, A.; Kardes, K.; Acikbas, E.; Demirhan, F.; Sagir, K.; Avcil, E. The effectiveness of synchronous tele-exercise to maintain the physical fitness, quality of life, and mood of older people—A randomized and controlled study. *Eur. Geriatr. Med.* **2022**, *13*, 1177–1185. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s41999-022-00672-y) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35881310)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>9. Stavrou, V.T.; Tourlakopoulos, K.N.; Vavougios, G.D.; Papayianni, E.; Kiribesi, K.; Maggoutas, S.; Nikolaidis, K.; Fradelos, E.C.; Dimeas, I.; Daniil, Z.; et al. Eight Weeks Unsupervised Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Previously Hospitalized of SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *J. Pers. Med.* **2021**, *11*, 806. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/jpm11080806)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>10. Desveaux, L.; Janaudis-Ferreira, T.; Goldstein, R.; Brooks, D. An international comparison of pulmonary rehabilitation: A systematic review. *COPD* **2015**, *12*, 144–153. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3109/15412555.2014.922066)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>11. Hill, N.S. Pulmonary rehabilitation. *Proc. Am. Thorac. Soc.* **2006**, *3*, 66–74. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1513/pats.200511-121JH) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16493153)
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>12. Stavrou, V.; Griziotis, M.; Raptis, D.; Bardaka, F.; Karetsi, E.; Kiritsis, A.; Daniil, Z.; Tsarouhas, K.; Triposkiadis, F.; Gourgoulianis, K.I.; et al. Eight Weeks of Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Patients with Pulmonary Embolism: A Preliminary Report. *Proceedings* **2019**, *25*, 37.
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>13. Holland, A.E.; Spruit, M.A.; Troosters, T.; Puhan, M.A.; Pepin, V.; Saey, D.; McCormack, M.C.; Carlin, B.W.; Sciurba, F.C.; Pitta, F.; et al. An official European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society technical standard: Field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. *Eur. Respir. J.* **2014**, *44*, 1428–1446. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00150314)
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>14. Stavrou, V.; Bardaka, F.; Karetsi, E.; Seitanidis, G.; Daniil, Z.; Gourgoulianis, K.I. The effect of physical strain on breeders patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol.* **2019**, *260*, 137–139. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.resp.2018.11.009) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30472194)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>15. Stavrou, V.; Karetsi, E.; Daniil, Z.; Gourgoulianis, K.I. 4 weeks exercise in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome patient with type 2 diabetes mellitus and without continuous positive airway pressure treatment: A case report. *Sleep Med. Res.* **2019**, *10*, 54–57. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.17241/smr.2019.00374)
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>16. Stavrou, V.T.; Astara, K.; Daniil, Z.; Gourgoulianis, K.I.; Kalabakas, K.; Karagiannis, D.; Basdekis, G. The reciprocal association between fitness indicators and sleep quality in the context of recent sport injury. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 4810. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17134810) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32635418)
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>17. Stavrou, V.T.; Vavougios, G.D.; Boutlas, S.; Tourlakopoulos, K.N.; Papayianni, E.; Astara, K.; Stavrou, I.T.; Daniil, Z.; Gourgoulianis, K.I. Physical Fitness Differences, Amenable to Hypoxia-Driven and Sarcopenia Pathophysiology, between Sleep Apnea and COVID-19. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 669. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19020669)
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>18. Graham, B.L.; Brusasco, V.; Burgos, F.; Cooper, B.G.; Jensen, R.; Kendrick, A.; MacIntyre, N.R.; Thompson, B.R.; Wanger, J. ERS/ATS standards for single-breath carbon monoxide uptake in the lung. *Eur. Respir. J.* **2017**, *49*, 1600016. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00016-2016)
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>19. American Thoracic Society (ATS). Committee on Proficiency Standards for Clinical Pulmonary Function Laboratories. ATS statement: Guidelines for the six-minute walk test. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **2002**, *166*, 111–117. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.166.1.at1102)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>20. Borg, E.; Borg, G.; Larsson, K.; Letzter, M.; Sundblad, B.M. An index for breathlessness and leg fatigue. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* **2010**, *20*, 644–650. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.00985.x)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>21. Ogoh, S.; Fadel, P.J.; Nissen, P.; Jans, Ø.; Selmer, C.; Secher, N.H.; Raven, P.B. Baroreflex-mediated changes in cardiac output and vascular conductance in response to alterations in carotid sinus pressure during exercise in humans. *J. Physiol.* **2003**, *550*, 317–324. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2003.041517) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12730341)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>22. Vavougios, G.D.; Stavrou, V.T.; Konstantatos, C.; Sinigalias, P.C.; Zarogiannis, S.G.; Kolomvatsos, K.; Stamoulis, G.; Gourgoulianis, K.I. COVID-19 Phenotypes and Comorbidity: A Data-Driven, Pattern Recognition Approach Using National Representative Data from the United States. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 4630. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19084630) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35457497)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>23. Essam, H.; Abdel Wahab, N.H.; Younis, G.; El-Sayed, E.; Shafiek, H. Effects of different exercise training programs on the functional performance in fibrosing interstitial lung diseases: A randomized trial. *PLoS ONE* **2022**, *17*, e0268589. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268589)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>24. Vogiatzis, I.; Zakynthinos, G.; Andrianopoulos, V. Mechanisms of physical activity limita-tion in chronic lung diseases. *Pulm. Med.* **2012**, *2012*, 634761. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1155/2012/634761)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>25. Benis, A.; Banker, M.; Pinkasovich, D.; Kirin, M.; Yoshai, B.E.; Benchoam-Ravid, R.; Ashkenazi, S.; Seidmann, A. Reasons for Utilizing Telemedicine during and after the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Internet-Based International Study. *J. Clin. Med.* **2021**, *10*, 5519. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10235519) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34884221)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>26. Reimers, A.K.; Knapp, G.; Reimers, C.D. Effects of Exercise on the Resting Heart Rate: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Interventional Studies. *J. Clin. Med.* **2018**, *12*, 503. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/jcm7120503) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30513777)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>27. Mroszczyk-McDonald, A.; Savage, P.D.; Ades, P.A. Handgrip strength in cardiac rehabilitation: Normative values, interaction with physical function, and response to training. *J. Cardiopulm. Rehabil. Prev.* **2007**, *5*, 298–302. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1097/01.HCR.0000291297.70517.9a) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17885508)
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>28. Bellet, R.N.; Adams, L.; Morris, N.R. The 6-minute walk test in outpatient cardiac rehabilitation: Validity, reliability and responsiveness—A systematic review. *Physiotherapy* **2012**, *98*, 277–286. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2011.11.003)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>29. Piquet, V.; Luczak, C.; Seiler, F.; Monaury, J.; Martini, A.; Ward, A.B.; Gracies, J.M.; Motavasseli, D. Covid Rehabilitation Study Group. Do Patients With COVID-19 Benefit from Rehabilitation? Functional Outcomes of the First 100 Patients in a COVID-19 Rehabilitation Unit. *Arch Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **2021**, *102*, 1067–1074. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2021.01.069)