







Article

Seropositivity for *Coxiella burnetii* in Wild Boar (*Sus scrofa*) and Red Deer (*Cervus elaphus*) in Portugal

Humberto Pires ¹, Luís Cardoso ^{2,3} , Ana Patrícia Lopes ^{2,3}, Maria da Conceição Fontes ^{2,3}, Manuela Matos ⁴ , Cristina Pintado ¹ , Luís Figueira ^{5,6}, João Rodrigo Mesquita ^{7,8,9} , Ana Cristina Matos ^{5,6}  and Ana Cláudia Coelho ^{2,3,*} 

- ¹ Polytechnic Institute of Castelo Branco, 5200-130 Castelo Branco, Portugal
 - ² Animal and Veterinary Research Centre, Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro (UTAD), 5000-801 Vila Real, Portugal
 - ³ Associate Laboratory for Animal and Veterinary Sciences (AL4AnimalS), 5000-801556 Vila Real, Portugal
 - ⁴ Centre for the Research and Technology of Agro-Environmental and Biological Sciences (CITAB), UTAD, 5000-556 Vila Real, Portugal
 - ⁵ Research Center for Natural Resources, Environment and Society, Polytechnic Institute of Castelo Branco, 5200-130 Castelo Branco, Portugal
 - ⁶ Researcher at Q-RURAL—Quality of Life in the Rural World, Polytechnic Institute of Castelo Branco, 5200-130 Castelo Branco, Portugal
 - ⁷ ICBAS—School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Porto University, 4099-002 Porto, Portugal
 - ⁸ Epidemiology Research Unit (EPIUnit), Instituto de Saúde Pública da Universidade do Porto, 4099-002 Porto, Portugal
 - ⁹ Laboratório para a Investigação Integrativa e Translacional em Saúde Populacional (ITR), 4099-002 Porto, Portugal
- * Correspondence: accoelho@utad.pt

Abstract: Q fever is caused by the pathogen *Coxiella burnetii* and is a zoonosis that naturally infects goats, sheep, and cats, but can also infect humans, birds, reptiles, or arthropods. A survey was conducted for the detection of antibodies against *C. burnetii* in a sample of 617 free-ranging wild ruminants, 358 wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) and 259 red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), in east–central Portugal during the 2016–2022 hunting seasons. Only adult animals were sampled in this study. Antibodies specific to *C. burnetii* were detected using a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; IDVet[®], Montpellier, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The seroprevalence of *C. burnetii* infection was 1.5% ($n = 9$; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.7–2.8%). Antibodies against *C. burnetii* were detected in 4/358 wild boar (1.1%; 95% CI: CI: 0.3–2.8%) and 5/259 red deer (1.9%; 0.6–4.5%). Results of the present study indicate that antibodies against *C. burnetii* were present in wild boar and red deer in Portugal. These findings can help local health authorities to focus on the problem of *C. burnetii* in wildlife and facilitate the application of a One Health approach to its prevention and control.

Keywords: *Coxiella burnetii*; Portugal; Q fever; red deer; seroprevalence; wild boar



Citation: Pires, H.; Cardoso, L.; Lopes, A.P.; Fontes, M.d.C.; Matos, M.; Pintado, C.; Figueira, L.; Mesquita, J.R.; Matos, A.C.; Coelho, A.C. Seropositivity for *Coxiella burnetii* in Wild Boar (*Sus scrofa*) and Red Deer (*Cervus elaphus*) in Portugal. *Pathogens* **2023**, *12*, 421. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12030421>

Academic Editor: Jörg Jores

Received: 23 January 2023

Revised: 23 February 2023

Accepted: 6 March 2023

Published: 7 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Coxiella burnetii is a Gram-negative obligate intracellular, γ -Proteobacteria, which is the etiologic agent of Q fever, a worldwide zoonosis [1]. Based on phylogenetic investigations of the 16S rRNA, this bacterium belongs to the order Legionellales and the family Coxiellaceae. *C. burnetii* has two antigenic phases: phase I, virulent, with smooth lipopolysaccharides (LPS); phase II, avirulent, with rough LPS [2]. In wild ruminants, *C. burnetii* infection has been documented worldwide [3]. Q fever was first described in Australia in 1935 when Edward Holbrook Derrick investigated a disease in a group of abattoir workers in Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. The “Q” comes from “query” fever, as named by Derrick [4]. Q fever is present in the Iberian Peninsula [5], but little is known about its current occurrence in Portugal, its geographic distribution, or the role of wild

mammals [6]. Although several studies have analyzed the epidemiology of infection, it is still poorly understood [7]. *C. burnetii* can survive in the environment for long periods under conditions of low humidity and high temperature [8], due to its ability to produce extremely resistant small, dense spores [9]. Among the main characteristics of *C. burnetii* is its resistance to physical and chemical agents. In soil, at room temperature, this bacterium may remain viable for 4 months. In tick feces, it resists for up to 36 months and is resistant to UV radiation. Concerning chemical agents, the bacterium is resistant to sodium hypochlorite solution at 100 mg/mL and to pH variations. It survives for around 3 days in 0.5% formaldehyde and 15 min in contact with 50% ethanol. The microorganism is highly resistant to heat treatment and can withstand a temperature of 60 °C for up to 30 min. Due to this characteristic, the traditional method of milk pasteurization has suffered alterations as it does not meet food safety standards. During fast pasteurization, the temperature of raw milk is maintained at 72 °C for 15 s. This method is considered to be more effective in destroying the microorganism [10]. Q fever affects various mammals, including domestic mammals, which act as a reservoir for the infection and pose a severe public health threat [11]. Small ruminants are often identified as the major contributors to the transmission of the disease to humans [12]. The main transmission route of *C. burnetii* is the aerogenic route, through inhaling aerosols or dust containing the microorganism [13]. *C. burnetii* can bind to dust particles, disperse over long distances (up to about 18 km in favorable weather conditions), and survive in adverse conditions. Environmental dispersal is a risk factor for outbreaks in humans and livestock, with wind being an important component of environmental transmission [8]. Ticks are an efficient vector of *C. burnetii*, which has been isolated from several species of ticks collected from vegetation and domestic or wild animals. Some tick genera in which *C. burnetii* has already been detected are *Amblyomma*, *Dermacentor*, *Hyalomma*, *Rhipicephalus*, and *Ixodes* [14]. The oral transmission route is less common but can occur through consumption of contaminated raw milk and its derivatives. In addition to these routes, direct contact with infected animals or contaminated fomites, vertical transmission, and sexual transmission can occur. Contact with abortion material, vaginal discharges, and mucous membranes of infected animals can also be modes of contamination [1]. Infection in humans occurs mainly through inhalation of contaminated aerosols, ingestion of raw (unpasteurized) milk and its derivatives and contact with excreta from infected animals [15]. Aerosolization of *C. burnetii* through fertilizer distribution in fields is also considered a risk factor for Q fever outbreaks in humans [8]. Transmission of *C. burnetii* is associated with abortion in domestic ruminants, and other modes of transmission may occur such as contact with infected blood or milk [16]. *C. burnetii* can be isolated from infected ruminants' feces, milk, colostrum, urine, vaginal secretions, fetal membranes, placenta, and amniotic fluid [17]. When animals are infected, *C. burnetii* enters the body and can be located in the mammary glands, the supramammary lymph nodes, the placenta, and the uterus, and then be excreted at subsequent births [18]. Once established in the placenta, intrauterine infection may be latent or active. If it remains latent, it may be restricted solely to the placenta or spread to the fetus. Offspring are born apparently normal and may be congenitally infected or not. If active, the infection may be confined to the placenta or spread to the fetus via hematogenous or amniotic routes, in which case it causes abortion, premature delivery, stillbirths, or weak offspring. The outcome of intrauterine infection depends on several factors, such as the virulence of the bacteria, immune system of the mother and fetus, the severity of infection, damage to the placenta, gestation time, and number of infected fetuses [19]. Generally, abortions mainly result from severe lesions of the placenta, necrosis of the cotyledons, and thickening of the intercotyledonary areas [20]. *C. burnetii* causes various reproductive signs, in ruminants, such as abortions (particularly late in gestation), stillbirths, premature births, and weak neonates [12]. Dystocia can also occur due to fetal death, poor fetal positioning, or uterine inertia [19]. Placentitis, endometritis, mammary gland lesions [9], infertility, pneumonia, anorexia, depression, agalaxia, and placental retention are clinical signs that may also be present in ruminants, but are not as frequent [21]. Infected sheep and goats

can suffer abortions and excrete large amounts of the bacterium into the environment in subsequent pregnancies, with goats being particularly susceptible. Infection can persist in livestock for years [9]. In humans, after an incubation period of 2 weeks, Q fever is a disease that can manifest as an acute, self-limited febrile illness with flu-like symptoms (fever, headache, myalgia, and joint pain). The period of incubation is 2 weeks. Pneumonia and hepatitis are common complications. Chronic illness rarely occurs, but is more severe and may present with endocarditis, hepatitis, fatigue syndrome, vasculitis, osteomyelitis, miscarriages, or premature births. Pregnant women are associated with high risk to chronic Q fever, which may result in miscarriage or intrauterine fetal death [22]. However, this infection is usually asymptomatic and self-limiting [21]. Clinical signs and lesions caused by *C. burnetii* infection in wildlife include reproductive failure as miscarriages, stillbirths and weak offspring, and placentitis resemble those observed in livestock [7,23,24]. Many infected animals have no clinical signs. The absence of pathognomonic clinical signs and the fact that seronegative animals can excrete bacteria make diagnosis more difficult. In addition, there are no reference diagnostic techniques, which represents a problem for detecting and surveilling cases [13]. Although there are suggestive clinical signs, none of them is pathognomonic of Q fever, and there is a list of differential diagnoses to be ruled out. In addition to this disease, differential diagnoses are campylobacteriosis, brucellosis, listeriosis, chlamydiosis (enzootic abortion), leptospirosis, and toxoplasmosis. All these diseases can cause abortions in small ruminants and are relevant to public health. Abortions can also be idiopathic due to metabolic or hormonal deficiencies, nutritional deficiencies, trauma, or poisoning [25].

The Infection and ecology of *C. burnetii* has been overlooked in wildlife, and the influence of host and environmental factors is still largely unknown, despite evidence that certain wild species behave as reservoirs of *C. burnetii* [7]. Q fever in humans is a worldwide public health problem that needs a One Health approach [26,27], since domestic ruminants are the main source of infection for humans, although wildlife can act as reservoirs. Wildlife species can shed the bacteria and contaminate the environment with *C. burnetii* and transmit it to animals and humans [3,7]. The diagnosis of Q fever is confirmed by various serological techniques for detecting of antibodies against *C. burnetii* antigens, as well as isolation of the microorganism and its genetic material. The choice of which depends on the purpose of the investigation and the types of samples investigated. The available tests can be classified into two types: direct, which aims to search for the presence of the agent (histological analysis, molecular analysis, and isolation), or indirect, which detects the antibodies produced during infection through serological analysis. *C. burnetii* DNA can be detected using real-time PCR techniques on swabs collected from vaginal mucus or in milk samples. Antibodies against *C. burnetii* can be detected using various serological tests such as immunofluorescence (IFI), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), complement fixation test (CFT), and microagglutination [9]. The ELISA test is the most indicated for the detection of the disease in animals, presenting good specificity and high sensitivity. CFT is the least used due to its lower sensitivity concerning other indirect methods [28]. Serological surveys are widely applied to study the presence and distribution of infectious diseases in wild animals [29]. ELISA is the most widely chosen method for epidemiological studies in wildlife populations. Various commercial ELISA tests to detect *C. burnetii* antibodies in domestic ruminants can be used for wild ungulates, with modifications after validation [30,31]. Evidence of antibodies to *C. burnetii* was reported among various wild animal species in Spain including chamois (*Rupicapra rupicapra*), fallow deer (*Dama dama*), European wild boar (*Sus scrofa*), roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) [27], European mouflon (*Ovis aries musimon*), and red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) [27,32]. In red deer in Spain, antibody prevalence ranges from 1.6% to 8.4% [32]. Infection in wild boar has also been previously confirmed using molecular methods in Spain [3,33]. Determination of Q fever agent prevalence in red deer and wild boar can provide new insights about transmission dynamics of disease transmission. Information on potential pathogen exposure is necessary for monitoring the health of wildlife populations [27] in Portugal and the Iberian Peninsula.

To our best knowledge, the seroprevalence of Q fever in wild boar and red deer in central Portugal has not been reported in the literature so far. This study aimed to investigate the exposure to *C. burnetii* of wild ungulates in central Portugal, in order to provide data that could contribute to assess prevalence and distribution of Q fever at the national level.

2. Materials and Methods

Between 2016 and 2022, a survey for Q fever was performed on serum samples randomly obtained from free-ranging hunted wild ruminants killed by hunters, in east-central Portugal. The first hunted animals were sampled up to a total of 10 per year, at each site and in each year. Sampled municipalities included Alcafozes ($n = 16$), Castelo ($n = 30$), Cegonhas ($n = 8$), Crato ($n = 46$), Fratel ($n = 32$), Granja ($n = 10$), Idanha-a-Nova ($n = 29$), Lousã ($n = 44$), Marvão ($n = 23$), Mata ($n = 41$), Monforte ($n = 10$), Monte Fidalgo ($n = 69$), Niza ($n = 26$), Ponte de Sôr ($n = 25$), Portalegre ($n = 49$), Rosmaninhal ($n = 31$), Sarnadas do Ródão ($n = 40$), Tostão ($n = 9$), Vila Velha de Ródão ($n = 64$), and Vale Pouco ($n = 15$). These areas hold most of the wild ungulate population in Portugal. A total of 617 adult wild ungulates, representing two species, i.e., 358 wild boar (*S. scrofa*) and 259 red deer (*C. elaphus*), were examined. Information regarding age, sex, body condition, and location of capture, whenever available, was used to describe the distribution of seropositive individuals.

Blood samples were obtained from the heart or thoracic cavity of the animals during the hunting season. Blood was allowed to clot at environmental temperature, transported to the laboratory, and then centrifuged at $1500 \times g$ for 10 min, with serum samples being kept at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ until testing. Sera were checked for the presence of antibodies to *C. burnetii* in multiple species, using an anti-multi-species HRP conjugate by an ELISA kit (ID Screen[®] Q fever Indirect Multi-species; IDvet, Montpellier, France), in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations and following the guidelines for the interpretation of results. Sensitivity and specificity of this assay have been shown to be 100% (IDvet, according to the manufacturer's internal validation report). Plate microwells were coated with *C. burnetii* phases I and II. Optical densities (OD) of the tested samples and positive and negative controls were measured by an ELISA plate reader at 450 nm. The OD ratio of the sample and positive control (S/P) was calculated for each sample as follows:

$$[(\text{OD}_{\text{sample}} - \text{OD}_{\text{negative}}) / (\text{OD}_{\text{positive}} - \text{OD}_{\text{negative}})] \times 100 \quad (1)$$

Ratios were stratified as four different rising categories: samples with S/P < 40% were considered negative, samples with S/P between 40% and 50% were considered doubtful, samples with S/P between 50% and 80% were considered low positive, and samples with S/P > 80% were considered strongly positive. Any serum sample that was initially classified as "doubtful" was retested and, if resulting doubtful again, it was then considered as negative. Case definition: a wild boar or a red deer that tested positive for *C. burnetii* antibodies were considered infected.

Statistical Analysis

The chi-square test was used to assess significant differences among the groups. A p -value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. A confidence interval (CI) of 95% was calculated for all estimates by the exact binomial test.

3. Results

The seroprevalence of *C. burnetii* infection was 1.5% ($n = 9$; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.7–2.8%). Antibodies to *C. burnetii* were detected in 4/358 wild boar (1.1%; 95% CI: 0.3–2.8%) and 5/259 red deer (1.9%; 0.6–4.5%).

Of these nine positive wild ungulates, three (0.5%; 95% CI: 0.1–1.4%) were considered low positive and six (1.0%, 95% CI: 0.4–2.1%) were considered strong positive.

Regarding distribution according to municipalities, anti-*C. burnetii* antibodies were found in five of them: one wild boar from Alcafozes (6.25%; 1/16 wild ungulates), one red deer from Sarnadas de Ródão (3.6%; 1/28 wild ungulates), three red deer and two wild boar from Monte Fidalgo (7.2%; 5/69 wild ungulates), one wild boar from Rosmaninhal (3.2%; 1/31 wild ungulates), and one red deer from Vila Velha de Ródão (1.6%; 1/63 wild ungulates).

Among the positive species, prevalence in red deer (1.9%; 95% CI: 0.6–4.5%) was higher than in wild boar (1.1%; 95% CI: 0.3–2.8%), but the difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.303$).

Serologic reactivity data according to species, sex, age, and clinical signs examined are presented in Table 1. The seroprevalence values among males and females were 0.9% (95% CI: 0.2–2.6%) and 2.1% (95% CI: 0.7–4.5%), respectively ($p = 0.213$) (Table 1). Regarding age, the lowest value of seroprevalence (0.6%; 95% CI: 0.1–1.8%) was found in juveniles, and the highest (5.1%; 95% CI: 1.1–9.1%) in adults (Table 1), but these were not statistically significant differences ($p = 0.305$). There was no significant difference in seropositivity results among clinical signs related to presence (2.5%; 95% CI: 0.5–7.3%) and absence (1.2%; 95% CI: 0.4–2.6%) in the studied species ($p = 0.305$) (Table 1).

Table 1. Screening for anti-*C. burnetii* antibodies in free-ranging wild animals from Portugal.

	No. Anti- <i>C. burnetii</i> Low pos./Total (%; CI *)	No. Anti- <i>C. burnetii</i> Strong pos./Total (%; CI *)	No. Anti- <i>C. burnetii</i> pos./Total (%; CI *)
Species			
Wild boar	3/358 (0.8%; 0.2–2.4%)	1/358 (0.3%; 0.0–1.5%)	4/358 (1.1%; 0.3–2.8%)
Red deer	3/259 (1.2%; 0.2–3.4%)	2/259 (0.8%; 0.9–2.8%)	5/259 (1.9%; 0.6–4.5%)
Sex			
Male	2/332 (0.6%; 0.0–2.2%)	1/332 (0.3%; 0.0–1.7%)	3/332 (0.9%; 0.2–2.6%)
Female	4/285 (1.4%; 0.4–3.6%)	2/285 (0.7%; 0.0–2.5%)	6/285 (2.1%; 0.7–4.5%)
Age			
Juvenile	2/499 (0.4%; 0.0–1.4%)	1/499 (0.2%; 0.0–1.1%)	3/499 (0.6%; 0.1–1.8%)
Adult	4/118 (3.4%; 0.9–8.5%)	2/118 (2.5%; 0.5–7.3%)	6/118 (5.1%; 1.1–9.1%)
Clinical signs			
Absence	5/499 (1.0%; 0.3–2.3%)	1/499 (0.2%; 0.0–1.1%)	6/499 (1.2%; 0.4–2.6%)
Presence	1/118 (0.8%; 0.0–4.6%)	2/118 (1.7%; 0.2–6.0%)	3/118 (2.5%; 0.5–7.3%)

* CI, 95% confidence interval; pos., positive.

4. Discussion

Q fever is caused by the pathogen *C. burnetii* and is a zoonosis whose agent naturally infects goats, sheep, and cats, but can also infect humans, birds, reptiles, or arthropods. According to the European Union (EU) annual Q fever epidemiological report for 2019, 1069 human cases were notified in the EU/European Economic Area, 958 (90%) of which were confirmed [34]. In Portugal the disease is endemic in humans with an incidence of 0.11 cases per 100,000 inhabitants, with the highest number of cases reported in the central and southern regions of the country [35].

Previous seroepidemiological studies have proven effective in investigating *C. burnetii* in wild ungulates in the Iberian Peninsula and Europe [3,23,32]. This type of investigation is fundamental for correctly designing prevention and control measures in livestock and wild ungulates under the One Health strategies.

The present study represents the largest serosurvey for *C. burnetii*, a multi-host pathogen in wild ungulates, and is the first one conducted on the prevalence of *C. burnetii* infection using commercial ELISA in Portugal, to date. The test selected to carry out this study has proven to be practical and fast compared to cultural methods that require high biosecurity conditions or to expensive molecular tests. Reports of *C. burnetii* serologically positive wild ungulates in the Iberian Peninsula include red deer (*C. elaphus*) [32,36] and wild boar (*S. scrofa*) [3]. In Portugal, only one wild mammal molecular prevalence study has been performed, but red deer and wild boar were negative to *C. burnetii* [6]. Other studies performed in Portugal have reported Q fever in domestic ruminants [6,37–40], dogs and cats [41], and humans [42–45]. Nevertheless, this study is the first report of *C. burnetii* antibodies in red deer and wild boar in east–central Portugal. Although seropreva-

lence seems to be low, the etiological agent appears to infect wild ungulates under study. Seropositive variation between red deer and wild boars is evidence of the existence of the recent infections and past exposures within the studied animals. Previous studies showed a high seroprevalence ranging from 8.6% to 17.9% in sheep in the country [37,46] and a seroprevalence of 37.8–61.1% in dairy cattle herds [37,38]. Interspecies contact between wild ungulates and domestic ruminants occurs in their habitat, which may favor the transmission of infectious agents such as *C. burnetii*, and the proximity of small ruminants and wild animals to humans may contribute to the transmission of the pathogen to humans [32,47]. The seropositivity found in domestic sheep in previous studies in Portugal suggests an involvement of this species on the potential *C. burnetii* spillover to other susceptible hosts such as wild ungulates.

No association was found between seroprevalence and sex, age or clinical signs. However, the high seropositivity level observed in older wild ungulates corroborated previous studies and could be explained by longer exposure to the bacterium in the environment. Studies on livestock have also found the same pattern [48,49].

In our study, seropositivity was higher in females and adults when compared with males and youngers; however, the results were not statistically significant. In a study carried out in wild boar in Montes de Toledo, south–central Spain [50], seroprevalence was higher in males (1.6%; 95% CI: 0.0–8.7%) compared to females (0.0%; 0.0–5.7%), and in adults (2.6%; 95% CI: 0.1–13.8) compared to young wild boar (0.0%; 95% CI: 0.0–26.5%).

Considering the subclinical features of Q fever, seroepidemiological studies that show the presence of infection are important in disease control, since wild ungulates can transmit the agent even while providing a seronegative result [51].

C. burnetii ELISA tests for livestock have been previously used to study Q fever in wild ruminants [32,47,51–53]. According to the manufacturer's internal validation report, sensitivity and specificity of this assay have been shown to be 100% (IDvet®). However, this kit has not been validated for wildlife, and sensitivity and specificity may be lower than reported by manufacturers. The seroprevalence found in east–central Portugal, even considering individual municipalities/locations, appears to be within low ranges when compared with the prevalence observed among wild ruminants in neighboring Spain, reported to be 1.9 to 7.0% in the Basque country [3], and 6% in the Canary Islands [54]. Red deer has been identified as an important reservoir host for *C. burnetii* in the Iberian Peninsula [32,55]. In Europe, *C. burnetii* infection has previously been reported in game animals [56,57].

The dynamics of wild boar and deer population in the Iberian Peninsula is changing, with a trend toward considerable constantly growing in Portugal. This change is due to destruction and habitat fragmentation by main anthropogenic factors associated with urban expansion, agricultural practices, forestry and livestock expansion, loss of natural predators, and climate change, leading to closer proximity between livestock and domestic species and, consequently, increased interspecies contact, which facilitates the transmission of infectious diseases [58,59]. In recent decades, emerging zoonotic infectious diseases of wild animal origin have been one of the most worrying threats to human and livestock health [60]. In the Iberian Peninsula, wild ungulates are considered a reservoir of several infectious diseases such as tuberculosis, brucellosis, and paratuberculosis [61–64]. The present study contributes to the detection of potential future threats of *C. burnetii* infections from wild populations, informing on the potential involvement of wild ungulates in the infection. Wildlife monitoring is needed to identify changes in disease occurrence and measure interventions impact. This monitoring in wild ungulates allows information to be obtained to compare distribution trends and prevalence in livestock, serving as a basis for making decisions on disease control in both types of populations and as a way of assessing the effects of any disease management action [61]. The results of the present study can serve as a basis for future research by allowing the comparison of the seroprevalence in domestic and wild ungulates. It also highlights the importance of convenience sampling in providing basic descriptive information useful for the design of future epidemiological

research [5]. Q fever is an example of a disease that needs the collaboration of human and animal health professionals working together in a One Health perspective to reduce the risk of infections for both humans and animals. This approach must also consider the environmental risk of Q fever associated with domestic and wild mammals, particularly in regions of nature tourism, where the human population is in close contact with countryside and, consequently, with livestock and wildlife.

5. Conclusions

This is the first study in wild ungulates carried out in Portugal. The results highlight the importance of a One Health, multidisciplinary approach and the integration of wild animals in the livestock disease control of Q fever in animals and humans. Results of the present study indicated that wild boar and red deer from the center of Portugal were exposed to *C. burnetii*. There is also a public health concern, and natural reservoirs should be investigated to explain the role of wildlife in the epidemiology of infection.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.P., A.C.C., A.C.M. and J.R.M.; methodology, H.P., A.C.M., A.C.C. and J.R.M.; software, H.P. and A.C.C.; validation, A.C.M., A.C.C. and J.R.M.; formal analysis, H.P., L.C. and A.C.C.; investigation, H.P., resources, L.C., M.d.C.F. and A.P.L.; data curation, A.C.C. and L.C.; writing—original draft preparation, H.P. and A.C.C.; writing—review and editing, H.P., A.C.C., L.C., A.P.L., L.F., M.d.C.F., J.R.M., C.P., A.C.M. and M.M.; visualization, H.P., C.P. and M.M.; supervision, A.C.C., J.R.M. and A.C.M. project administration, A.C.C., A.C.M. and J.R.M.; funding acquisition, A.C.C., L.C., M.d.C.F. and A.P.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by projects UIDB/CVT/00772/2020 and LA/P/0059/2020 funded by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical review and approval were waived for this study, due to the origin of the blood of carcasses. As a matter of fact, carcasses were not obtained from experimental trials but from a regional passive surveillance system during hunting season. This study followed the activity of hunting, in strict collaboration with the hunters and assistant veterinarians. No interferences were made in the regular health management of wildlife.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the hunters and veterinarians who helped in sample collection.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Angelakis, E.; Raoult, D. Q Fever. *Vet. Microbiol.* **2010**, *140*, 297–309. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Beare, P.A.; Jeffrey, B.M.; Long, C.M.; Martens, C.M.; Heinzen, R.A. Genetic Mechanisms of *Coxiella burnetii* Lipopolysaccharide Phase Variation. *PLoS Pathog.* **2018**, *14*, e1006922. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Zendoia, I.I.; Cevidanes, A.; Hurtado, A.; Vázquez, P.; Barral, M.; Barandika, J.F.; García-Pérez, A.L. Stable Prevalence of *Coxiella burnetii* in Wildlife After a Decade of Surveillance in Northern Spain. *Vet. Microbiol.* **2022**, *268*, 109422. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Oyston, P.C.F.; Davies, C. Q Fever: The Neglected Biothreat Agent. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **2011**, *60*, 9–21. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. González-Barrio, D.; Jado, I.; Viñuela, J.; García, J.; Olea, P.; Arce, F.; Ruiz-Fons, F. Investigating the Role of Micromammals in the Ecology of *Coxiella burnetii* in Spain. *Animals* **2021**, *11*, 654. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Cumbassá, A.; Barahona, M.J.; Cunha, M.V.; Azórin, B.; Fonseca, C.; Rosalino, L.M.; Tilburg, J.; Hagen, F.; Santos, A.S.; Botelho, A. *Coxiella burnetii* DNA Detected in Domestic Ruminants and Wildlife from Portugal. *Vet. Microbiol.* **2015**, *180*, 136–141. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. González-Barrio, D.; Ruiz-Fons, F. *Coxiella burnetii* in wild Mammals: A Systematic Review. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **2019**, *66*, 662–671. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Clark, N.J.; Magalhães, R.J.S. Airborne Geographical Dispersal of Q Fever from Livestock Holdings to Human Communities: A Systematic Review and Critical Appraisal of Evidence. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2018**, *18*, 218. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Canevari, J.T.; Firestone, S.; Vincent, G.; Campbell, A.; Tan, T.; Muleme, M.; Cameron, A.; Stevenson, M. The Prevalence of *Coxiella burnetii* Shedding in Dairy Goats at the Time of Parturition in an Endemically Infected Enterprise and Associated Milk Yield Losses. *BMC Vet. Res.* **2018**, *14*, 353. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Damasceno, I.; Guerra, R.C. *Coxiella burnetii* and Q Fever in Brazil: A Public Health Issue. *Cien. Saude Colet.* **2018**, *23*, 4231–4239. [[CrossRef](#)]

11. Kılıç, A.; Kalender, H.; Koç, O.; Kılınc, Ü.; Irehan, B.; Berri, M. Molecular Investigation of *Coxiella burnetii* Infections in Aborted Sheep in Eastern Turkey. *Iran. J. Vet. Res.* **2016**, *17*, 41–44. [[PubMed](#)]
12. Rizzo, F.; Vitale, N.; Ballardina, M.; Borromeo, V.; Luzzago, C.; Chiavacci, L.; Mandola, M. Q Fever Seroprevalence and Risk Factors in Sheep and Goats in Northwest Italy. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **2016**, *130*, 10–17. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Cremoux, R.; Rousset, E.; Touratier, A.; Audusseau, G.; Nicolle, P.; Ribaud, D.; David, V.; Pape, M. *Coxiella burnetii* Vaginal Shedding and Antibody Responses in Dairy Goat Herds in a Context of Clinical Q Fever Outbreaks. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Mic.* **2012**, *64*, 120–122. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Körner, S.; Makert, G.R.; Ulbert, S.; Pfeffer, M.; Mertens-Scholz, K. The Prevalence of *Coxiella burnetii* in Hard Ticks in Europe and Their Role in Q Fever Transmission Revisited—A Systematic Review. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2021**, *8*. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Djerbib, A.; Czaplicki, G.; Grégoire, F.; Kirschvink, N.; Saegerman, C.; Pozzo, F.D. Exploratory Investigation of Q Fever in Apparently Healthy Meat Sheep Flocks in Belgium. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **2018**, *65*, 1117–1121. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Kirchgessner, M.S.; Dubovi, E.J.; Whipps, C.M. Disease Risk Surface for *Coxiella burnetii* Seroprevalence in White-Tailed Deer. *Zoonoses Public Health* **2012**, *60*, 457–460. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Álvarez-Alonso, R.; Zendoia, I.I.; Barandika, J.F.; Jado, I.; Hurtado, A.; López, C.M.; García-Pérez, A.L. Monitoring *Coxiella burnetii* Infection in Naturally Infected Dairy Sheep Flocks Throughout Four Lambing Seasons and Investigation of Viable Bacteria. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2020**, *7*. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Aiello, S.; Moses, M.; Allen, D.; Constable, P.; Dart, A.; Davies, P.; Quesenberry, K.; Reeves, P.; Sharma, J. *The Merck Veterinary Manual*, 11th ed.; MERCK & CO., INC: Kenilworth, NJ, USA, 2016; pp. 623–625. ISBN -13: 978-0911910612.
19. Agerholm, J.S. *Coxiella burnetii* Associated Reproductive Disorders in Domestic Animals—A Critical Review. *Acta Vet. Scand.* **2013**, *55*, 13. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Macías-Rioseco, M.; Riet-Correa, F.; Miller, M.M.; Sondgeroth, K.; Fraga, M.; Silveira, C.; Uzal, F.A.; Giannitti, F. Bovine Abortion Caused by *Coxiella burnetii*: Report of a Cluster of Cases in Uruguay and Review of the Literature. *J. Vet. Diagn. Investig.* **2019**, *31*, 634–639. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Arricau-Bouvery, N.; Souriau, A.; Bodier, C.; Dufour, P.; Rousset, E.; Rodolakis, A. Effect of Vaccination with Phase I and Phase II *Coxiella burnetii* Vaccines in Pregnant Goats. *Vaccine* **2005**, *23*, 4392–4402. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Sobotta, K.; Hillarius, K.; Jiménez, P.H.; Kerner, K.; Heydel, C.; Menge, C. Interaction of *Coxiella burnetii* Strains of Different Sources and Genotypes with Bovine and Human Monocyte-Derived Macrophages. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2018**, *7*, 543. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. González-Barrio, D.; Almería, S.; Caro, M.R.; Salinas, J.; Ortiz, J.A.; Gortázar, C.; RuizFons, F. *Coxiella burnetii* shedding by farmed red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **2015**, *62*, 572–574. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Kreizinger, Z.; Szeredi, L.; Bacsadi, A.; Nemes, C.; Sugár, L.; Varga, T.; Sulyok, K.M.; Szigeti, A.; Acs, K.; Tobias, E.; et al. Occurrence of *Coxiella burnetii* and Chlamydiales Species in Abortions of Domestic Ruminants and in Wild Ruminants in Hungary, Central Europe. *J. Vet. Diagn. Investig.* **2015**, *27*, 206–210. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Benkirane, A.; Essamkaoui, S.; Idrissi, L.; Luchese, A.; Natale, A. A Sero-Survey of Major Infectious Causes of Abortion in Small Ruminants in Morocco. *Vet. Ital.* **2015**, *51*, 25–30. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Rodríguez-Alonso, B.; Almeida, H.; Alonso-Sardón, M.; López-Bernus, A.; Pardo-Lledias, J.; Velasco-Tirado, V.; Carranza-Rodríguez, C.; Pérez-Arellano, J.L.; Belhassen-García, M. Epidemiological Scenario of Q Fever Hospitalized Patients in the Spanish Health System: What's New. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **2019**, *90*, 226–233. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Espí, A.; del Cerro, A.; Oleaga, O.; Rodríguez-Pérez, M.; López, C.; Hurtado, A.; Rodríguez-Martínez, L.; Barandika, J.; García-Pérez, A. One Health Approach: An Overview of Q Fever in Livestock, Wildlife and Humans in Asturias (Northwestern Spain). *Animals* **2021**, *11*, 1395. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Borriello, G.; Galiero, G. *Coxiella burnetii*. Zoonosis. *InTech* **2012**, 65–88.
29. Laidoudi, Y.; Davoust, B.; Watier-Grillot, S.; Oger, A.; Le Potier, M.-F.; Deblanc, C. Serological Survey of Aujeszky's Disease in Wild Boar from Southeastern France. *Pathogens* **2022**, *11*, 1107. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. González-Barrio, D.; Ávila, A.L.V.; Boadella, M.; Beltran-Beck, B.; Barasona, J.; Santos, J.P.V.; Queirós, J.; García-Pérez, A.L.; Barral, M.; Ruiz-Fons, F. Host and Environmental Factors Modulate the Exposure of Free-Ranging and Farmed Red Deer (*Cervus elaphus*) to *Coxiella burnetii*. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* **2015**, *81*, 6223–6231. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Stellfeld, M.; Gerlach, C.; Richter, I.-G.; Miethe, P.; Fahlbusch, D.; Polley, B.; Sting, R.; Pfeffer, M.; Neubauer, H.; Mertens-Scholz, K. Evaluation of the Diagnostic Potential of Recombinant *Coxiella burnetii* Com1 in an ELISA for the Diagnosis of Q Fever in Sheep, Goats and Cattle. *Microorganisms* **2020**, *8*, 1235. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Fernández-Aguilar, X.; Cabezón, O.; Colom-Cadena, A.; Lavín, S.; López-Olvera, J.R. Serological Survey of *Coxiella burnetii* at the Wildlife–Livestock Interface in the Eastern Pyrenees, Spain. *Acta Vet. Scand.* **2015**, *58*, 26. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Astobiza, I.; Barral, M.; Ruiz-Fons, F.; Barandika, J.; Gerrikagoitia, X.; Hurtado, A.; García-Pérez, A. Molecular Investigation of the Occurrence of *Coxiella burnetii* in Wildlife and Ticks in an Endemic Area. *Vet. Microbiol.* **2011**, *147*, 190–194. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Q fever. In *ECDC. Annual Epidemiological Report for 2019*; ECDC: Stockholm, Sweden, 2021.
35. Monteiro, R.L.; Nascimento, R.; Diogo, J.; Bernardino, R.; Leão, R.N. Q Fever: An Emerging Reality in Portugal. *Cureus* **2021**, *13*. [[CrossRef](#)]

36. Castillo, L.; Fernández-Llario, P.; Almansa, J.C.; Bermejo, F.; de Mendoza, J.H. First Seropositive Cases of *Coxiella burnetii* in Red Deer Populations in the Southwest Iberian Peninsula. *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.* **2010**, *41*, 468–473. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Anastácio, S.; Carolino, N.; Sidi-Boumedine, K.; da Silva, G.J. Q Fever Dairy Herd Status Determination Based on Serological and Molecular Analysis of Bulk Tank Milk. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **2014**, *63*, e293–e300. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Pimenta, L.; Alegria, N.; Anastácio, S.; Sidi-Boumedine, K.; da Silva, G.; Rabiço; Simões, J. Prevalence of *Coxiella burnetii* Antibodies in Portuguese Dairy Cattle Herds. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* **2014**, *47*, 227–230. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Cruz, R.; Esteves, F.; Vasconcelos-Nóbrega, C.; Santos, C.; Ferreira, A.S.; Mega, C.; Coelho, A.C.; Vala, H.; Mesquita, J.R. A Nationwide Seroepidemiologic Study on Q Fever Antibodies in Sheep of Portugal. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* **2018**, *18*, 601–604. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Cruz, R.; Esteves, F.; Vasconcelos-Nóbrega, C.; Santos, C.; Ferreira, A.S.; Mega, C.; Coelho, A.C.; Vala, H.; Mesquita, J.R. Outbreaks of Abortions by *Coxiella burnetii* in Small Ruminant Flocks and a Longitudinal Serological Approach on Archived Bulk Tank Milk Suggest Q Fever Emergence in Central Portugal. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **2018**, *65*, 972–975. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Anastácio, S.; Anjos, S.; Neves, S.; Neves, T.; Esteves, P.; Craveiro, H.; Madeira, B.; Pires, M.D.A.; Sousa, S.; da Silva, G.; et al. *Coxiella burnetii* in Dogs and Cats from Portugal: Serological and Molecular Analysis. *Pathogens* **2022**, *11*, 1525. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Palmela, C.; Badura, R.; Valadas, E. cute Q fever in Portugal. Epidemiological and Clinical Features of 32 Hospitalized Patients. *Germes* **2012**, *2*, 43–59. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Alves, J.; Almeida, F.; Duro, R.; Ferraz, R.; Silva, S.; Sobrinho-Simões, J.; Sarmento, A. Presentation and Diagnosis of Acute Q Fever in Portugal—A Case Series. *Idcases* **2016**, *7*, 34–37. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Gonçalves, M.; Moreira, S.; Gaspar, E.; Santos, L. Rare Case of Otomastoiditis Due to *Coxiella burnetii* Chronic Infection. *BMJ Case Rep.* **2018**, 2018. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Cruz, R.; Santos, C.; Esteves, F.; Ferreira, A.; Vasconcelos-Nóbrega, C.; Mega, C.; Albuquerque, C.; Teixeira-De-Lemos, E.; Coelho, A.C.; Vala, H.; et al. Occupationally Acquired Q Fever in Shepherds and Sheep Milk Cheesemakers. *Ecohealth* **2020**, *17*, 255–257. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Cruz, R.; Esteves, F.; Vasconcelos-Nóbrega, C.; Santos, C.; Ferreira, A.S.; Mega, A.C.; Coelho, A.C.; Vala, H.; Mesquita, J.R. Prospective Serosurvey of *Coxiella burnetii* Antibodies in Selected Sheep of Portugal. *Ecohealth* **2018**, *15*, 871–874. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Richomme, C.; Gauthier, D.; Fromont, E. Contact Rates and Exposure to Inter-Species Disease Transmission in Mountain Ungu-Lates. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **2006**, *134*, 21–30. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Benaissa, M.H.; Ansel, S.; Mohamed-Cherif, A.; Benfodil, K.; Khelef, D.; Youngs, C.R.; Kaidi, R.; Ait-Oudhia, K. Seroprevalence and Risk Factors for *Coxiella burnetii*, the Causative Agent of Q fever in the Dromedary Camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) Population in Algeria. *Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res.* **2017**, *84*, a1461. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Alvarez, J.; Perez, A.; Mardones, F.; Pérez-Sancho, M.; García-Seco, T.; Pagés, E.; Mirat, F.; Díaz, R.; Carpintero, J.; Domínguez, L. Epidemiological Factors Associated with the Exposure of Cattle to *Coxiella burnetii* in the Madrid Region of Spain. *Vet. J.* **2012**, *194*, 102–107. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
50. González-Barrio, D.; Martín-Hernando, M.P.; Ruiz-Fons, F. Shedding patterns of endemic Eurasian wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) Pathogens. *Res. Vet. Sci.* **2015**, *102*, 206–211. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Karagul, M.S.; Malal, M.E.; Akar, K. Seroprevalence of Q Fever in Sheep and Goats from the Marmara Region, Turkey. *J. Vet. Res.* **2019**, *63*, 527–532. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. López-Olvera, J.R.; Vidal, M.D.; Vicente, J.; Pérez, M.; Luján, L.; Gortázar, C. Serological Survey of Selected Infectious Diseases in Mouflon (*Ovis aries musimon*) from South-Central Spain. *Eur. J. Wildl. Res.* **2008**, *55*, 75–79. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Marreros, N.; Hüseyin, D.; Albini, S.; Frey, C.F.; Abril, C.; Vogt, H.R.; Holzwarth, N.; Wirz-Dittus, S.; Friess, M.; Engels, M.; et al. Epizootio Logic Investigations of Selected Abortive Agents in Free-Ranging Alpine ibex (*Capra ibex ibex*) in Switzerland. *J. Wildl. Dis.* **2011**, *47*, 530–543. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Bolaños-Rivero, M.; Carranza-Rodríguez, C.; Rodríguez, N.F.; Gutiérrez, C.; Pérez-Arellano, J.-L. Detection of *Coxiella burnetii* DNA in Peridomestic and Wild Animals and Ticks in an Endemic Region (Canary Islands, Spain). *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* **2017**, *17*, 630–634. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. González-Barrio, D.; Fernández-de-Mera, I.G.; Ortiz, J.A.; Queirós, J.; Ruiz-Fons, F. Long-Term Dynamics of *Coxiella burnetii* in Farmed Red Deer (*Cervus elaphus*). *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2015**, *2*, 74. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Hubálek, Z.; Juricová, Z.; Svobodová, S.; Halouzka, J. A Serologic Survey for Some Bacterial and Viral Zoonoses in Game Animals in the Czech Republic. *J. Wildl. Dis.* **1993**, *29*, 604–607. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Ebani, V.V.; Rocchigiani, G.; Bertelloni, F.; Nardoni, S.; Leoni, A.; Nicoloso, S.; Mancianti, F. Molecular Survey on the Presence of Zoonotic Arthropod-Borne Pathogens in Wild Red Deer (*Cervus elaphus*). *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2016**, *47*, 77–80. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Vetter, S.G.; Puskas, Z.; Bieber, C.; Ruf, T. How Climate Change and Wildlife Management Affect Population Structure in Wild Boars. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 72. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Gričuvienė, L.; Janeliūnas, Z.; Jurgelevičius, V.; Paulauskas, A. The Effects of Habitat Fragmentation on the Genetic Structure of Wild Boar (*Sus scrofa*) Population in Lithuania. *BMC Genet.* **2021**, *22*, 53. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Ruiz-Fons, F. A Review of the Current Status of Relevant Zoonotic Pathogens in Wild Swine (*Sus scrofa*) Populations: Changes Modulating the Risk of Transmission to Humans. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **2015**, *64*, 68–88. [[CrossRef](#)]

61. Martin, C.; Pastoret, P.P.; Brochier, B.; Humblet, M.F.; Saegerman, C. A Survey of the Transmission of Infectious Diseases/Infections Between Wild and Domestic Ungulates in Europe. *Vet. Res.* **2011**, *42*, 70. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Matos, A.C.; Figueira, L.; Martins, M.H.; Pinto, M.L.; Matos, M.; Coelho, A.C. New Insights into *Mycobacterium bovis* Prevalence in Wild Mammals in Portugal. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **2014**, *63*, e313–e322. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Matos, A.C.; Dias, A.P.; Morais, M.; Figueira, L.; Martins, M.H.; Matos, M.; Pinto, M.L.; Coelho, A.C. Granuloma Coinfection with *Mycobacterium bovis*, *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, and *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* in Five Hunted Red Deer (*Cervus elaphus*) in Portugal. *J. Wildl. Dis.* **2015**, *51*, 793–794. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Cilia, G.; Fratini, F.; Turchi, B.; Angelini, M.; Cerri, D.; Bertelloni, F. Genital *Brucella suis* Biovar 2 Infection of Wild Boar (*Sus scrofa*) Hunted in Tuscany (Italy). *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 582. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.