

Article

Molecular Identification and Bioinformatics Analysis of *Anaplasma marginale* **Moonlighting Proteins as Possible Antigenic Targets**

Rosa Estela Quiroz-Casta[ñed](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6386-9526)a *,† [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1099-2440) Hugo Aguilar-Díaz † , Eduardo Coronado-Villanueva, Diego Israel Catalán-Ochoa and Itzel Amaro-Estrada

> Centro Nacional de Investigación Disciplinaria en Salud Animal e Inocuidad, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias (CENID-SAI, INIFAP), Jiutepec 62574, México; aguilar.hugo@inifap.gob.mx (H.A.-D.); villanueva20032009@gmail.com (E.C.-V.); catalanochoaisrael@gmail.com (D.I.C.-O.); amaro.estrada@gmail.com (I.A.-E.)

***** Correspondence: requiroz79@yahoo.com.mx

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Background: Diseases of veterinary importance, such as bovine Anaplasmosis, cause significant economic losses. Due to this, the study of various proteins of the causal agent *Anaplasma marginale* has focused on surface proteins. However, a vaccine for this disease is not yet available. To this end, in this work, moonlighting proteins (MLPs) are presented as an alternative approach for the design of immunogens against *A. marginale*. Methods: The proteins of the strain MEX-15-099-01 were analyzed, and its MLPs were identified. Subsequently, four virulence-associated MLP genes were selected and identified using PCR. The proteins were analyzed using a structural homology approach and the collection of B-cell epitopes was predicted for each MLP. Finally, a pair of AmEno peptides were synthesized and the antigenic potential was tested using an iELISA. Results: Our bioinformatics analysis revealed the potential of AmEno, AmGroEl, AmEF-Tu, and AmDnaK proteins as promising candidates for designing immunogens. The PCR allowed the gene sequence identification in the genome of the strain MEX-15-099-01. Notably, AmEno-derived synthetic peptides showed antigenicity in an ELISA. Conclusions: Our study has shed light on the potential use of MLPs for immunogen design, demonstrating the antigenic potential of AmEno.

Keywords: moonlighting proteins; pathogen; invasion; host; cell adhesion

1. Introduction

Tick vectors transmit *Anaplasma marginale*, the causal agent of bovine Anaplasmosis, an infectious disease affecting cattle in the world's tropical regions and generating significant economic losses; additionally, mechanical transmission by biting insects and veterinary instruments can also occur [\[1\]](#page-17-0). This disease mainly affects yearlings and 2-year-old animals in a moderately severe way, while in older cattle, it is severe and often fatal; the most marked clinical signs are anemia, jaundice, weight and milk loss, and even death [\[2\]](#page-17-1). In the search for immunogenic targets that confer protection against Anaplasmosis, many *A. marginale* proteins have been studied, such as major surface proteins (MSPs), outer membrane proteins (OMPs), and type IV secretion system proteins [\[3](#page-17-2)[–5\]](#page-17-3). Unfortunately, for decades, immunoprotective approaches against *A. marginale* have been unsuccessful [\[6,](#page-17-4)[7\]](#page-17-5).

Evolutionarily, the intracellular bacteria of the Order Rickettsiales, *A. marginale*, underwent a genome reduction, leading to a small size ranging from 0.8 to 2.5 Mbp [\[8\]](#page-17-6). This reductive evolution is positively associated with pathogenicity; therefore, proteins should be used efficiently [\[9\]](#page-17-7). In this regard, moonlighting proteins (MLPs) represent an evolutionary advantage that allows for the performance of multiple functions using the same genomic content [\[10\]](#page-17-8). Thus, the term MLPs refers to monomeric or multimeric

Citation: Quiroz-Castañeda, R.E.; Aguilar-Díaz, H.; Coronado-Villanueva, E.; Catalán-Ochoa, D.I.; Amaro-Estrada, I.. Molecular Identification and Bioinformatics Analysis of *Anaplasma marginale* Moonlighting Proteins as Possible Antigenic Targets. *Pathogens* **2024**, *13*, 845. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens13100845) [10.3390/pathogens13100845](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens13100845)

Academic Editors: Alina Rodriguez-Mallon, Alejandro Cabezas-Cruz and Sara Moutailler

Received: 7 August 2024 Revised: 13 September 2024 Accepted: 27 September 2024 Published: 28 September 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

proteins that perform numerous, autonomous, and unrelated functions independently in a different cellular localization [\[11](#page-17-9)[–13\]](#page-17-10). MLPs do not include proteins with multiple functions resulting from different RNA splice variants, gene fusions, or several homologous proteins (isoforms), nor proteins with the same function in other locations or with different substrates [\[14\]](#page-17-11). Some canonical functions of MLPs include enzymes, scaffolds, ribosomal proteins, receptors, channels, chaperones, and housekeeping proteins associated with primary metabolism [\[13,](#page-17-10)[15,](#page-17-12)[16\]](#page-17-13). The secondary/moonlighting functions of MLPs include transcriptional regulation, receptor binding, apoptosis, and regulatory functions [\[17\]](#page-17-14). Thus, MLPs are considered molecular links of biological processes that facilitate the connection of different metabolic pathways (pathogenesis, cell division, apoptotic signaling, biosynthesis, and transport) by regulating or generating interactions between them [\[17,](#page-17-14)[18\]](#page-17-15).

Although many MLPs have been reported in several organisms (bacteria, archaea, yeast, fungi, humans, and others), advances in studying these proteins have never been addressed in *A. marginale*. However, the bioinformatics characterization of MLPs such as Enolase (Eno) from *A. marginale* was recently reported, as well as the role of MLPs in adhesion, plasminogen-binding, and probably in the host's erythrocyte internalization [\[19](#page-17-16)[–24\]](#page-17-17). Unfortunately, Eno has not been proposed as an immunological target against this pathogen. Thus, it is imperative to search for new strategies based on MLPs, taking advantage of their multifunctional activities, especially if we put into perspective the fruitless achievements in Anaplasmosis vaccine development [\[25\]](#page-17-18).

In this work, we used bioinformatics tools to identify a vast repertoire of MLPs in seven Mexican strains of *A. marginale*. Later, we functionally annotated MLPs of the *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01 and selected four proteins with reported evidence of their role as virulence factors, whose genes were confirmed using end-point PCR in *A. marginale* genome. In addition, we performed a structural homology analysis with the chosen MLPs Eno, GroEl, DnaK, and EF-Tu from pathogenic bacteria. Finally, we designed multiple antigenic peptides (MAPs) for *A. marginale* Eno and tested the antigenic potential by an indirect ELISA (iELISA).

This study reveals the potential of MLPs as possible candidates for prevention strategies and is a novel application of *A. marginale* proteins to control tick-borne diseases.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Genomic Identification of Moonlighting Proteins

For the identification of MLPs, firstly, the seven draft genomes of *A. marginale* Mexican strains previously reported [\[26](#page-17-19)[–28\]](#page-18-0) were automatically annotated with the RAST server (version 2.0) [\[29\]](#page-18-1). The NCBI reference sequence numbers of draft genomes were as follows: MEX-31-096-01 (NZ_VTWV00000000.1), MEX-30-193-01 (NZ_VTCZ00000000.1), MEX-30-184-02 (NZ_VTCY00000000.1), MEX-17-017- 01 (NZ_VTCX00000000.1), MEX-15- 099-01 (VTWW01000001.1), MEX-14-010-01 (NZ_VTSO00000000.1), and MEX-01-001-01 (NZ_QLIV00000000.1). Then, the MLP identification was performed in the MoonProt 3.0 server, using the data retrieved from the RAST annotation of each *A. marginale* draft genome [\[30\]](#page-18-2). Subsequently, all MLPs identified in each genome were compared individually to evaluate whether the seven genomes share the same moonlighting proteins. Finally, for further analysis, we selected the *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01 draft genome that contained the major number of MLPs and protein-encoding genes and rRNA and tRNA genes, according to MoonProt 3.0 and RAST server, respectively.

2.2. Identification of Moonlighting Genes by End-Point PCR

The molecular identification of four *A. marginale* genes encoding MLPs (AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu) was performed with PCR using strain MEX-15-099-01 genomic DNA and specific primers to each gene sequence. The primers used were FwdEno: 5 ′ -GTGCTAAGTGCTAGATCAGTTG-3′ and RevEno: 5′ -TATCACATTATAAAGAAGAGC ACTC-3′ ; FwdGroEl: 5′ -ATGGCGAATGTTGTTGTTACG-3′ and RevGroEl: 5′ -TCCGCCCA TTCCTCCCAT-3′ ; FwdDnak: 5′ -ATGGCGGCTGAGCGCATC-3′ and RevDnak: 5′ -CTACTT

CTTGTCTTCGTCGTC-3′ ; and FwdEFTu: 5′ -GTGAAAGACATAGTC ACATGG-3′ and RevEFTu: 5′ -CTACTCCAAAATCTCAGTTATGA-3′ . The PCR reaction was prepared with 12.5 μ L of OneTaq[®] 2X Master Mix with Standard Buffer (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), 1 μ L of Forward primer (10 pmol/ μ L), 1 μ L of Reverse primer (10 pmol/ μ L), 50 ng of genomic DNA, and MilliQ water to a final volume of $25 \mu L$. For AmEno, the PCR condition was as follows: one cycle at 94 °C/5', 30 cycles of 94 °C/3', 60 °C/1', 72 °C/1'20", and a final cycle at 72 ◦C/5′ . The condition for AmGroEl PCR amplification was one cycle at 94 °C/5′, 30 cycles of 94 °C/3′, 58 °C/1′, 72 °C/1′40″, and a final cycle at 72 °C/5′. As far as AmDnaK, the PCR condition was one cycle at 94 \degree C/5', 30 cycles of 94 \degree C/3', 59 °C/1', 72 °C/1 '56", and a final cycle at 72 °C/5'. Finally, for AmEF-Tu, the condition used was one cycle at 94 °C/5′, 30 cycles of 94 °C/1′, 59 °C/1′, 72 °C/50″, and a final cycle at 72 °C/5′. The amplicons obtained were analyzed using electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel at 100 V for 40 min and recorded in a UVP Bioimaging Systems EpiChemi 3 Darkroom Gel Imaging System (Richmond Scientific, Chorley, UK). The DNA molecular marker was 1 kb Plus DNA ladder N3200 (New England Biolabs). All amplicons obtained were gel-excised, purified (Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System Quick, Promega, WI, USA), and sequenced at Unidad de Síntesis y Secuenciación de DNA, IBT-UNAM.

2.3. Functional Annotation

The genomic functional annotation of *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01 was performed in Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COGs) in EGGNOG-mapper v2 (accesed on 21 January 2024, [http://eggnog-mapper.embl.de/\)](http://eggnog-mapper.embl.de/) [\[31\]](#page-18-3).

2.4. Three-Dimensional (3D) Modelling

Based on their functional implications as virulence factors, we selected *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01 MLPs (AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu), associated with adhesion and invasion, and immunological evasion, as has been reported in other pathogen bacteria [\[32](#page-18-4)[–35\]](#page-18-5). The 3D protein structures were modeled in the web-server SWISS-MODEL [\[36\]](#page-18-6). This server includes the AlphaFold Protein Structure Database (AlphaFold DB) [\[37\]](#page-18-7) alongside the experimental structure-based templates from the SWISS-MODEL Template Library in the SWISS-MODEL homology-modelling pipeline. ChimeraX software [\[38\]](#page-18-8) was used for the visualization and analysis of molecular structures in detail of 3D models generated in SWISS-MODEL.

2.5. Structural Homology Analysis

We performed a structural homology analysis to assess the potential role of AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu as virulence factors. Thus, we selected the crystallized structure of *Streptococcus suis* Eno deposited in PDB (4EWJ), and the 3D structures predicted in AlphaFold DB for *Leptospira interrogans* GroEl (A0A4D8SCF3), *Mycoplasma hyorhinis* DnaK (K7XM09), and *Listeria monocytogenes* EF-Tu (A0A0Y7JXT9), and compared them with the 3D structures of selected MLPs. The superimposition of the molecular structures was performed and visualized in ChimeraX [\[38\]](#page-18-8).

2.6. B-Cell Epitopes Prediction and Multiple Antigenic Peptides (MAPs) Design

The servers BcePred [\[39\]](#page-18-9) and SVMTrip [\[40\]](#page-18-10) were used to predict B-cell epitopes in *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01 MLPs (AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu). The BcePred server predicts B-cell epitopes based on the physicochemical properties of amino acids and SVMTrip performs realistic predictions of protein surface regions that are preferentially recognized by antibodies (antigenic epitopes). According to the functional characteristics, the antigenic and immunogenic capacity of Enolase reported in the literature, and its bioinformatic analysis, we chose the best predicted B-cell epitopes of AmEno. Then, two epitopes were used to design multiple antigenic peptides (MAPs), named AmEno1 and AmEno2. Both MAPs were structured by four repetitions of the same epitope, branched artificially to a Lysine residue scaffold, and synthesized at LifeTein®, Somerset, NJ, USA.

2.7. Indirect Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay (iELISA)

A total of 1 mg of each MAP was resuspended separately in 1 mL of MQ sterile water and diluted in 100 mL of 30 mM carbonate buffer (pH 9.5). Subsequently, 96-well microtiter plates (Corning, Tewksbury, MA, USA) were coated with 0.25 µg of each diluted MAP (100 µL per well) and incubated for 18 h at −20 ◦C. Then, plates were washed three times using 100 µL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), supplemented with 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T20) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) per well. Afterward, plates were blocked using 100 µL of 0.5% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 h at 37 ◦C, and later, the plate was washed three times as mentioned above. After washing, plates were incubated with 100 µL containing different bovine sera diluted 1:100 in PBS-T20, and incubated for 1 h at 37 ◦C. Then, plates were washed as previously mentioned and incubated with antibovine IgG alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibody (Sigma-Aldrich), diluted 1:30,000 in 0.05% PBS-T20 for 1 h at 37 °C. After incubation, the plate was washed thrice with 100 μ L of 0.05% PBS-T20 and one wash with 100 mM Tris-base (pH 9.6). Later, the plate was incubated for 1 h at 37 ◦C with 100 µL of p-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate (SIGMAFAST, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and dissolved in buffer Tris-base (pH 9.6). Finally, the plates were read at 405 nm in a microtiter reader (MultiSkan FC, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The control blank contained all components except serum, and all samples were run in triplicate. The mean absorbance of the blank control was used to normalize the absorbance of all samples. The cutoff value was calculated as the mean absorbance value plus three times the standard deviation of the negative serum. The positivity index value for each serum was determined as the ratio of the mean absorbance divided by the cutoff index value (where >1 = positive; <1 = negative).

3. Results

3.1. Genomic Identification of Moonlighting Proteins

The genes number (protein-encoding genes and rRNA and tRNA genes) retrieved in the RAST server varied among Mexican strains of *A. marginale*. According to automatically annotated RAST data, the *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01 was predicted with 758 protein-encoding genes and RNAs genes classified in 27 subsystems, whereas the number of annotated proteins in the rest of the strains ranged between 508 and 514 genes (Figure [1\)](#page-10-0). Therefore, we used the strain MEX-15-099-01 for further analysis to identify MLPs.

Of the 758 proteins of the *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01, the analysis in the MoonProt 3.0 database revealed a match with 80 MLPs, each one with two functions assigned, including a primary function (Function 1) and moonlighting function (Function 2) (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). According to MoonProt 3.0, both functions have experimental biochemical and/or biophysical data, which provide robust evidence for MLP identification. Interestingly, we found that all MLPs of the Mexican strains were contained in the strain MEX-15-099-01, a novel and intriguing finding that opens up new avenues for research.

Figure 1. RAST subsystems classification of *A. marginale* Mexican strains. The strain **Figure 1.** RAST subsystems classification of *A. marginale* Mexican strains. The strain MEX-15-099- 01 has the most genes (758 protein-encoded genes and rRNA and tRNA genes) of all the strains. Additionally, protein metabolism is the subsystem with the most proteins and RNAs annotated. The five ranges of the gene numbers are shown at the bottom of the figure.

Of the 758 proteins of the *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01, the analysis in the *3.2. Identification of Moonlighting Proteins*

The MLP gene identification of AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu in the A. marginale genome was confirmed using the sequencing and amplicon size in the elec-

trophoresis gel that corresponded to 1278 bp, 1650 bp, 1026 bp, and 807 bp, respectively (Figure [2\)](#page-11-0).

Figure 2. Molecular identification of A. marginale MEX-15-099-01 MLPs genes. Agarose gel electrophoresis showing PCR amplicons of AmEF-Tu (807 bp), AmDnaK (1926 bp), AmEno (1278 bp), AmGroEl (1650 bp). Arrows point to the band size of the molecular weight marker (MWM). and AmGroEl (1650 bp). Arrows point to the band size of the molecular weight marker (MWM). phores. The CR amplication of American State (807 bp), American constructions of the process of the pr

3.3. Functional Annotation of MLPs 3.3. Functional Annotation of MLPs 3.3. Functional Annotation of MLPs

We performed the functional annotation of the A. marginale strain MEX-15-099-01 MLPs in the COG database, which classifies proteins based on the orthologs (direct evolutionary counterparts). The COG function classification showed that all proteins of the A. marginale strain MEX-15-099-01 were classified into 20 functional groups, and specifically, 18 of them contained the MLPs of the A. marginale strain MEX-15-099-01 (Figures [3](#page-11-1) and [4](#page-12-0) and Supplementary Table S1).

Figure 3. COG function classification of *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01 proteins. The 80 MLPs are distributed in 18 out of 20 different functional groups. Categories C (energy production and conversion), J (translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis), and O (posttranslational modification, protein turnover, and chaperones) comprise the majority of MLPs. Each functional group is shown in the right panel, and the number of MLPs identified in each functional group is shown in bold numbers on one side of the bar. are distributed in 18 out of 20 different functional groups. Categories C (energy production and are distributed in 18 out of 20 different functional groups. Categories C (energy production and **Figure 3.** COG function classification of *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01 proteins. The 80 MLPs

Figure 4. Identification of MLPs genes in *A. marginale* strain MEX-15−099−01. MLPs genes are shown **Figure 4.** Identification of MLPs genes in *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01. MLPs genes are shown in the 18 functional groups: energy production and conversion, translation, ribosomal structure and in the 18 functional groups: energy production and conversion, translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis, and posttranslation turnover, and chaperones and chaperones grouped the major chaperones and major biogenesis, and posttranslational modification, protein turnover, and chaperones grouped the major number of genes.

3.4. Three-Dimensional (3D) Modelling 3.4. Three-Dimensional (3D) Modelling

To ensure the utmost accuracy in our research, the process of obtaining the 3D struc-To ensure the utmost accuracy in our research, the process of obtaining the 3D structures of AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu was guided by the selection of tem-tures of AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu was guided by the selection of templates with the highest Global Model Quality Estimation value (GMQE) in SwissModel. plates with the highest Global Model Quality Estimation value (GMQE) in SwissModel. For AmEno, the best template according to GMQE 0.96 was the *A. marginale* strain St. Maries Eno (AlphaFold DB accession number Q5PAS6), which shares a sequence identity of 99.53% and a coverage of 100%. The template for AmEF-Tu, with GMQE 0.92, was the *Erlichia Erlichia ruminatum* strain Gardel EF-Tu (Q5FFE6), with a sequence identity of 89.57% and *ruminatum* strain Gardel EF-Tu (Q5FFE6), with a sequence identity of 89.57% and coverage of 100%. Regarding AmGroEl, the template with the best GMQE 0.88 was *A. marginale* GroEl (Q84I74), with an identity and coverage of 99.64% and 99.64%, respectively. Lastly, the best template for obtaining the 3D model of AmDnaK was *Wolbachia pipientis* (Q73GL7), with a GMQE of 0.87, sequence identity of 71.66%, and coverage of 88%.

88%. *3.5. Structural Homology Analysis*

3.5. Structural Homology Analysis To analyze if AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu 3D models share structural homology with moonlighting functions in adhesion, invasion, and immune evasion, we performed a 3D superimposition with *S. suis* Eno, *L. interrogans* GroEl, *M. hyorhinis* DnaK, and *L. monocytogenes* EF-Tu, and assessed the Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) value. The results showed that AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu 3D superimposition (RMSD) values in the Root Mean Space Contract on the Root Contract of the Root Contract on the Root Contract on the Root Contract on the Root C had low RMSD values, indicating low variability between 3D structures. In this regard, \overline{D} RMSD values are considered reliable indicators of variability when applied to similar $\frac{1}{2}$ proteins; a good RMSD is \leq 2.0 Å, an acceptable RMSD is $>$ 2.0 Å and \lt 3.0 Å, and a bad RMSD is \geq 3.0 Å. A value of RMSD 0 corresponds to identical structures, which is ≥3.0 Å. A value of RMSD 0 corresponds to identical structures, which suggests a signif-between AmGroEl and *L. interrogans* GroEl, and a low RMSD value of 0.682 Å was obtained Interest and *E. Interregally* Stock, and a low twist of 0.939 A was obtained
in the structural superimposition of AmEno and *S. suis* Eno, suggesting a significant Am the structural identity among these proteins. Even lower RMSD values were obtained in the structural identity among these proteins. Even lower RMSD values were obtained in the structural identity among these process. Even lower range variety were obtained in the superimpositions of AmDnaK and *M. hyorhinis* DnaK, and AmEF-Tu and *L. monocytogenes* suggests a significant structural identity. Interestingly, we observed an RMSD of 0.939 Å EF-Tu, with a RMSD of 0.644 Å and 0.455 Å, respectively (Figure [5\)](#page-13-0).

Figure 5. Structural homology of A. marginale strain MEX-15-099-01 MLPs. Superimpositions of (A) AmEno (magenta) and S. suis Eno (silver); (B) AmGroEl (magenta) and L. interrogans GroEl (silver); (C) AmDnaK (magenta) and M. hyorhinis DnaK (silver); (D) AmEf-Tu (magenta) and L. $g_{\text{max}}(x)$ and $g_{\text{max}}(x)$ and $g_{\text{max}}(x)$ and $g_{\text{max}}(x)$ and $g_{\text{max}}(x)$ and $g_{\text{max}}(x)$ monocytogenes EF-Tu (silver). RMSD values obtained for each superimposition were 0.682 Å, 0.939 Å, metal-0.644 Å, and 0.455 Å, respectively.

3.6. B-Cell Epitope Prediction 3.6. B-Cell Epitope Prediction

The epitope-based in silico approach is immunologically relevant since small se-The epitope-based in silico approach is immunologically relevant since small sequences often induce protective immunity against pathogens. In this regard, we presented quences often induce protective immunity against pathogens. In this regard, we presented a collection of B-cell epitopes with predicted antigenic potential for *A. marginale* MLPs a collection of B-cell epitopes with predicted antigenic potential for *A. marginale* MLPs (AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu) (Table 2). (AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu) (Table [2\)](#page-13-1).

Table 2. B-cell epitopes predicted for *A. marginale* AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu in **Table 2.** B-cell epitopes predicted for *A. marginale* AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu in BcePred and SVMTrip. The number in the parentheses corresponds to the score predicted for the BcePred and SVMTrip. The number in the parentheses corresponds to the score predicted for the SVMTrip epitope (the score closest to 1 represents the best prediction). The bold sequences correspond spond to B-cell epitopes used to design multiple antigenic peptides AmEno1 and AmEno2. to B-cell epitopes used to design multiple antigenic peptides AmEno1 and AmEno2.

3.7. Antigenic Potential of AmEno1 and AmEno2

The iELISA using 0.25 µg of MAPs AmEno1 and AmEno2 showed that peptides have an antigenic potential. The antibodies in the sera from animals naturally and experimentally infected with *A. marginale* reacted against both peptides with positivity indexes exceeding 1. In naturally infected animals, serum 1168 showed the highest positivity values, at 2.75 (AmEno1) and 3.65 (AmEno2) (Figure [6\)](#page-14-0). In experimentally infected animals, the highest positivity values are those of serum 135, with 3.24 (AmEno1) and 4.63 (AmEno2). It is noteworthy that this serum was from a hyperimmunized animal with *A. marginale*. These results showed that AmEno1 and AmEno2 were recognized by the specific antibodies against *A. marginale*.

Sheep serum (species control); sera from hyperimmunized animal (135). The horizontal magenta line $\frac{A}{\sqrt{A}}$ a. mathematic and $\frac{A}{\sqrt{A}}$ and $\frac{A}{\sqrt{A}}$ are negative and values. A expressive indicates the cutoff value, where values ≥ 1 are positive and values < 1 arenegative. **Figure 6.** Antigenic potential of multiple antigenic peptides. Positivity index values obtained using *A. marginale* AmEno1 and AmEno2 and sera from experimentally and naturally infected animals.

line indicates the cutoff value, where values ≥ 1 are positive and values < 1 arenegative. **4. Discussion**

MLPs are distributed in diverse organisms from the three domains of life. These procedus manny paracepate in primary measureme processes and show additional secondary functions. Interestingly, many of these functions in pathogens are associated with patho-teins matricial grip, mainly of ances randidation in pathogens are associated with pathogens,
genesis and survival in a host [\[23,](#page-17-20)[39\]](#page-18-9). In this regard, in bacterial and fungal pathogens, $f(x)$ and built in the set functions in the set of these functions in pathogens are associated with pathogens are associated with pathogens are associated with pathogens are associated with pathogens $f(x)$ MLPs participate in the adhesion, invasion, and colonization of mucosal surfaces or even
 act as toxins; thus, a genuine interest exists in understanding their roles as virulence act as to the stories interest exists in understanding the interest exists in understanding the standard control of α proteins mainly participate in primary metabolic processes and show additional secondary factors [\[23,](#page-17-20)[40\]](#page-18-10).

Many MLPs identified in pathogenic bacteria can bind to specific components of the extracellular cell matrix (fibronectin, laminin, elastin, and collagen) [\[19,](#page-17-16)[41,](#page-18-11)[42\]](#page-18-12). For instance, Eno from *Staphylococcus aureus* has been shown to bind to laminin and collagen I, and EF-Tu of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bind to host complement system factors and plasminogen [\[43](#page-18-13)[,44\]](#page-18-14). These findings underscore the potential of MLPs as vaccine candidates. On the other hand, the study of *A. marginale* surface proteins (major surface proteins and outer membrane proteins) as a primary strategy to control bovine anaplasmosis has not been successful [\[45,](#page-18-15)[46\]](#page-18-16). However, the study of *A. marginale* MLPs presents an intriguing opportunity to contribute to understanding the mechanisms associated with pathogenesis. For instance, bioinformatics analyses of MLPs suggest that AmEno could bind to proteins

on the red blood cell surface, highlighting its potential role in adhesion and possibly in the bacteria internalization, processes still uncharacterized in this pathogen [\[24\]](#page-17-17).

Thus, in this work, we identified a collection of MLPs in *A. marginale* Mexican strains and performed a structural homology analysis to determine their possible function compared to previously reported MLPs in pathogenic bacteria. Firstly, to cover the major number of proteins from Mexican strains, we selected strain MEX-15-099-01, which contains 758 protein-encoding genes and rRNA and tRNA, according to RAST annotation, and then we identified MLPs in the MoonProt 3.0 database. We found 80 MLPs that were mainly related to functions of primary metabolism, and the second function is related to signaling or regulating processes such as transcription or translation, as expected [\[47\]](#page-18-17). Of these 80 MLPs, we selected four MLPs (AmEno, AmGroEl, AmDnaK, and AmEF-Tu) with a significant role in virulence processes, and, as it was expected, the MLP genes were identified in the *A. marginale* genome.

Interestingly, some identified MLPs had a second function related to virulence factors, such as AmGroEl and AmDnaK from category O (posttranslational modification, protein turnover, and chaperones), AmEF-Tu from category J (translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis), and AmEno from category F (nucleotide transport and metabolism). Specifically, GroEl and DnaK participate in proteasome assembly, transport, and the folding of proteins [\[48–](#page-18-18)[50\]](#page-18-19), and during pathogen invasion, they secrete or relocate to the cell surface to bind to plasminogen and mucin as a moonlight function [\[51](#page-18-20)[–53\]](#page-18-21). For example, in *Mycoplasma pneumonia* and *Salmonella enterica,* GroEl relocates to the bacteria surface to promote adhesion to the glycoprotein of mucosal surfaces (mucin), allowing pathogen invasion and colonization [\[51](#page-18-20)[–53\]](#page-18-21). Additionally, GroEl provides protective immunity in infections with *Mycoplasma tuberculosis*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, and *Streptococcus pneumonia* when it is used as a recombinant protein [\[52,](#page-18-22)[54,](#page-19-0)[55\]](#page-19-1). Conversely, DnaK is considered the most conserved member of the ubiquitous heat-shock protein 70 family of molecular chaperones [\[9\]](#page-17-7). In the pathogen of pigs, *Mycoplasma hyorhinis*, the recombinant DnaK, in addition to binding to plasminogen, also binds to fibronectin, laminin, type IV collagen, and vitronectin [\[34\]](#page-18-23). In contrast, in *M. tuberculosis*, recombinant DnaK binds human plasminogen and stimulates monocyte chemokine synthesis and dendritic cell maturation [\[56\]](#page-19-2). On the other hand, the G protein EF-Tu is a member of the category of translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis, which participates in protein folding and the catalysis of aminoacyl-tRNA to the A-site of the ribosome [\[57\]](#page-19-3). EF-Tu binds to fibronectin, plasminogen, and other extracellular matrix proteins in pathogenic bacteria, increasing virulence [\[58\]](#page-19-4). In pigs, the immunization with *S. suis* recombinant EF-Tu (rEF-Tu) elicited a Th1 and Th2 protective response against the bacteria, and the anti-rEF-Tu sera reduced the pathogen load in porcine blood [\[59\]](#page-19-5). Additionally, a proteomics analysis in a Brazilian isolate of *A. marginale* revealed that a group of proteins associated with the cell membrane, including EF-Tu, induced an IgG2-type immune response in immunized cattle with pathogen membrane fractions, suggesting a possible role of EF-Tu in antibody activation [\[60\]](#page-19-6). We have identified Eno or phosphopyruvate hydratase in the category of nucleotide transport and metabolism. This enzyme catalyzes the glycolytic reaction from 2-phosphoglycerate (2-PGA) to phosphoenolpyruvic acid (PEP). Eno is displayed on the cell surface of *Streptococcus pneumoniae,* where it binds to plasminogen and then converts to plasmin, a critical step in breaking down the extracellular matrix and initiating the invasion of host tissue [\[61\]](#page-19-7). Also, Eno was recently found to bind to the complement protein C4b-binding protein (C4BP) and protect bacteria from complement-mediated killing [\[56\]](#page-19-2). Furthermore, *S. suis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* Eno can bind to host fibronectin or laminin, respectively, and even to porcine red blood cells like *Mycoplasma suis* [\[22](#page-17-21)[,32\]](#page-18-4). In this regard, in the bovine hemoparasite *Babesia bigemina,* an Eno was identified from an expressed sequence tags (ESTs) analysis of the intraerythrocytic stage, suggesting a possible role in the erythrocyte invasion [\[62\]](#page-19-8). More recently, Cárdenas-Flores et al. [\[63\]](#page-19-9) found that the sera from rabbits immunized with multiple antigenic peptides (MAPs) derived from *Babesia bovis* Eno recognized the parasite by indirect immunofluorescence in infected bovine erythrocytes. This finding supports

the possible location of Eno in the pathogen's cell membrane or its interaction with red blood cells. In an immunogenicity study in pigs, Xue et al. [\[64\]](#page-19-10) used a recombinant *M. suis* Eno (rMseno) that can induce an immunological response and partial clinical protection against the *M. suis* challenge. This immunogenicity makes rMseno a potential immunogen for developing an anti-*M. suis* vaccine, demonstrating the practical implications of this research.

Our structural homology analysis revealed that the selected *A. marginale* MLPs share a similar 3D structure with MLPs of pathogenic bacteria, acting as virulence factors in adhesion, invasion, and immune evasion [\[33–](#page-18-24)[35,](#page-18-5)[64\]](#page-19-10). This result suggested that *A. marginale* MLPs could have a similar function, making them potential vaccine candidates.

Therefore, in this work, we explored whether MAPs from AmEno could have antigenic potential. In this regard, our results revealed that positive sera to *A. marginale* recognized AmEno1 and AmEno2, which represent a good approach for using them as vaccine antigens. These results led us to continue working on the assessment of the antigenicity of the predicted B-cell epitopes, which could be the basis for developing vaccines against Anaplasmosis.

5. Conclusions

In recent years, the development of vaccine candidates against bovine Anaplasmosis has focused on cell surface proteins, the potential of which as such has not been successful so far. On the other hand, MLPs involved in different processes represent a viable alternative for controlling tick-borne diseases. In this regard, we are currently directing our efforts to explore MLPs, the study of which in other pathogens represents a possibility of developing control strategies based on multiepitope vaccines. In this work, we identified the MLPs of *A. marginale* and selected four that were associated with virulence factors. We studied these using bioinformatics tools with the aim of proposing these proteins as potential multiepitope vaccines. Additionally, we identified four MLP genes in the *A. marginale* genome, and finally, we designed MAPs derived from AmEno, which showed an antigenic potential. These bioinformatics approaches represent a feasible alternative for vaccine development, reducing time and costs in the search for strategies to control pathogens.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: [https:](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/pathogens13100845/s1) [//www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/pathogens13100845/s1,](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/pathogens13100845/s1) Table S1: Name and functional classification of *A. marginale* strain MEX-15-099-01 MLPs.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.E.Q.-C. and H.A.-D.; methodology, R.E.Q.-C., D.I.C.-O., I.A.-E. and E.C.-V.; formal analysis, R.E.Q.-C. and H.A.-D.; data curation, R.E.Q.-C., E.C.-V. and H.A.-D.; writing—original draft preparation, R.E.Q.-C. and H.A.-D.; writing—review and editing, R.E.Q.-C., I.A.-E. and H.A.-D.; funding acquisition, R.E.Q.-C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by CONAHCYT, Ciencia de Frontera Grant number CF-2023-I-2600.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data generated or analyzed during this study are available in the published article.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge M. en C. René Camas-Pereyra (CENID-SAI, INIFAP) for kindly providing a sheep serum sample.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Kocan, K.M.; de la Fuente, J.; Blouin, E.F.; Coetzee, J.F.; Ewing, S.A. The Natural History of *Anaplasma marginale*. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2010**, *167*, 95–107. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2009.09.012) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19811876)
- 2. WOAH. Bovine Anaplasmosis. In *Manual of Diagnostic Test and Vaccines for Terrestrial Manual 2018*; World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH): Paris, France, 2018.
- 3. de La Fuente, J.; Garcia-Garcia, J.C.; Blouin, E.F.; Rodríguez, S.D.; García, M.A.; Kocan, K.M. Evolution and Function of Tandem Repeats in the Major Surface Protein 1a of the Ehrlichial Pathogen *Anaplasma marginale*. *Anim. Health Res. Rev.* **2001**, *2*, 163–173. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1079/AHRR200132) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11831437)
- 4. Cangussu, A.S.R.; Mariúba, L.A.M.; Lalwani, P.; Pereira, K.D.E.S.; Astolphi-Filho, S.; Orlandi, P.P.; Epiphanio, S.; Viana, K.F.; Ribeiro, M.F.B.; Silva, H.M.; et al. A Hybrid Protein Containing MSP1a Repeats and Omp7, Omp8 and Omp9 Epitopes Protect Immunized BALB/c Mice against Anaplasmosis. *Vet. Res.* **2018**, *49*, 6. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-018-0503-4) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29351812)
- 5. Zhao, L.; Mahony, D.; Cavallaro, A.S.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, J.; Deringer, J.R.; Zhao, C.-X.; Brown, W.C.; Yu, C.; Mitter, N.; et al. Immunogenicity of Outer Membrane Proteins VirB9-1 and VirB9-2, a Novel Nanovaccine against *Anaplasma marginale*. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0154295. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154295) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27115492)
- 6. Ducken, D.R.; Brown, W.C.; Alperin, D.C.; Brayton, K.A.; Reif, K.E.; Turse, J.E.; Palmer, G.H.; Noh, S.M. Subdominant Outer Membrane Antigens in *Anaplasma marginale*: Conservation, Antigenicity, and Protective Capacity Using Recombinant Protein. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0129309. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0129309) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26079491)
- 7. Sarli, M.; Novoa, M.B.; Mazzucco, M.N.; Signorini, M.L.; Echaide, I.E.; de Echaide, S.T.; Primo, M.E. A Vaccine Using *Anaplasma marginale* Subdominant Type IV Secretion System Recombinant Proteins Was Not Protective against a Virulent Challenge. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0229301. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229301)
- 8. Salje, J. Cells within Cells: Rickettsiales and the Obligate Intracellular Bacterial Lifestyle. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2021**, *19*, 375–390. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-00507-2)
- 9. Matos, A.L.; Curto, P.; Simões, I. Moonlighting in Rickettsiales: Expanding Virulence Landscape. *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* **2022**, *7*, 32. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed7020032)
- 10. Yadav, P.; Singh, R.; Sur, S.; Bansal, S.; Chaudhry, U.; Tandon, V. Moonlighting Proteins: Beacon of Hope in Era of Drug Resistance in Bacteria. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* **2023**, *49*, 57–81. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2022.2036695)
- 11. Franco-Serrano, L.; Sánchez-Redondo, D.; Nájar-García, A.; Hernández, S.; Amela, I.; Perez-Pons, J.A.; Piñol, J.; Mozo-Villarias, A.; Cedano, J.; Querol, E. Pathogen Moonlighting Proteins: From Ancestral Key Metabolic Enzymes to Virulence Factors. *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 1300. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9061300)
- 12. Huberts, D.H.E.W.; van der Klei, I.J. Moonlighting Proteins: An Intriguing Mode of Multitasking. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta-Mol. Cell Res.* **2010**, *1803*, 520–525. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2010.01.022)
- 13. Jeffery, C.J. Moonlighting Proteins. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **1999**, *24*, 8–11. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0004(98)01335-8) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10087914)
- 14. Jeffery, C.J. Moonlighting Proteins: Complications and Implications for Proteomics Research. *Drug Discov. Today Targets* **2004**, *3*, 71–78. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1741-8372(04)02405-3)
- 15. Jeffery, C.J. Moonlighting Proteins—An Update. *Mol. Biosyst.* **2009**, *5*, 345–350. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/b900658n) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19396370)
- 16. Jeffery, C.J. Protein Moonlighting: What Is It, and Why Is It Important? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B Biol. Sci.* **2018**, *373*, 20160523. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0523) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29203708)
- 17. Khan, I.; Chen, Y.; Dong, T.; Hong, X.; Takeuchi, R.; Mori, H.; Kihara, D. Genome-Scale Identification and Characterization of Moonlighting Proteins. *Biol. Direct* **2014**, *9*, 30. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13062-014-0030-9)
- 18. Liu, H.; Jeffery, C.J. Moonlighting Proteins in the Fuzzy Logic of Cellular Metabolism. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 3440. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25153440)
- 19. Piatigorsky, J.; Wistow, G.J. Enzyme/Crystallins: Gene Sharing as an Evolutionary Strategy. *Cell* **1989**, *57*, 197–199. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(89)90956-2)
- 20. Curtis, N.J.; Patel, K.J.; Rizwan, A.; Jeffery, C.J. Moonlighting Proteins: Diverse Functions Found in Fungi. *J. Fungi* **2023**, *9*, 1107. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/jof9111107)
- 21. Satala, D.; Karkowska-Kuleta, J.; Zelazna, A.; Rapala-Kozik, M.; Kozik, A. Moonlighting Proteins at the Candidal Cell Surface. *Microorganisms* **2020**, *8*, 1046. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8071046)
- 22. Hemmadi, V.; Biswas, M. An Overview of Moonlighting Proteins in *Staphylococcus aureus* Infection. *Arch. Microbiol.* **2021**, *203*, 481–498. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-020-02071-y) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33048189)
- 23. Singh, N.; Bhalla, N. Moonlighting Proteins. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **2020**, *54*, 265–285. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-030620-102906) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32870732)
- 24. Quiroz-Castañeda, R.E.; Aguilar-Díaz, H.; Amaro-Estrada, I. An Alternative Vaccine Target for Bovine Anaplasmosis Based on Enolase, a Moonlighting Protein. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2023**, *10*, 1225873. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2023.1225873) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37808115)
- 25. Wang, G.; Xia, Y.; Cui, J.; Gu, Z.; Song, Y.; Chen, Y.Q.; Chen, H.; Zhang, H.; Chen, W. The Roles of Moonlighting Proteins in Bacteria. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* **2014**, *16*, 15–22. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.21775/cimb.016.015)
- 26. Quiroz Castañeda, R.E.; Amaro Estrada, I.; Martínez Ocampo, F.; Rodríguez Camarillo, S.; Dantán González, E.; Cobaxin Cárdenas, M.; Preciado de la Torre, J.F. Draft Genome Sequence of *Anaplasma marginale* Strain Mex-01-001-01, a Mexican Strain That Causes Bovine Anaplasmosis. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* **2018**, *7*, e01101-18. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mra.01101-18)
- 27. Martínez-Ocampo, F.; Quiroz-Castañeda, R.E.; Amaro-Estrada, I.; Dantán-González, E.; De La Torre, J.F.P.; Rodríguez-Camarillo, S. Whole-Genome Sequencing of Mexican Strains of *Anaplasma marginale:* An Approach to the Causal Agent of Bovine Anaplasmosis. *Int. J. Genom.* **2020**, *2020*, 5902029. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5902029)
- 28. Martínez-Ocampo, F.; Quiroz-Castañeda, R.E.; Amaro-Estrada, I.; Cobaxin Cárdenas, M.; Dantán-González, E.; Rodríguez-Camarillo, S. Draft Genome Sequences of *Anaplasma marginale* Strains MEX-15-099-01 and MEX-31-096-01, Two Mexican Isolates with Different Degrees of Virulence. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* **2019**, *8*, e01184-19. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01184-19)
- 29. Aziz, R.K.; Bartels, D.; Best, A.A.; DeJongh, M.; Disz, T.; Edwards, R.A.; Formsma, K.; Gerdes, S.; Glass, E.M.; Kubal, M.; et al. The RAST Server: Rapid Annotations Using Subsystems Technology. *BMC Genom.* **2008**, *9*, 75. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75)
- 30. Chen, C.; Zabad, S.; Liu, H.; Wang, W.; Jeffery, C. MoonProt 2.0: An Expansion and Update of the Moonlighting Proteins Database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2018**, *46*, D640–D644. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1043)
- 31. Cantalapiedra, C.P.; Hernández-Plaza, A.; Letunic, I.; Bork, P.; Huerta-Cepas, J. EggNOG-Mapper v2: Functional Annotation, Orthology Assignments, and Domain Prediction at the Metagenomic Scale. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2021**, *38*, 5825–5829. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab293)
- 32. Schreiner, S.A.; Sokoli, A.; Felder, K.M.; Wittenbrink, M.M.; Schwarzenbach, S.; Guhl, B.; Hoelzle, K.; Hoelzle, L.E. The Surface-Localised α-Enolase of *Mycoplasma suis* Is an Adhesion Protein. *Vet. Microbiol.* **2012**, *156*, 88–95. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.10.010) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22047714)
- 33. Ho, J.D.; Takara, L.E.M.; Monaris, D.; Gonçalves, A.P.; Souza-Filho, A.F.; de Souza, G.O.; Heinemann, M.B.; Ho, P.L.; Abreu, P.A.E. GroEL Protein of the *Leptospira* Spp. Interacts with Host Proteins and Induces Cytokines Secretion on Macrophages. *BMC Microbiol.* **2021**, *21*, 99. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-021-02162-w) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33789603)
- 34. Li, Y.; Wang, J.; Liu, B.; Yu, Y.; Yuan, T.; Wei, Y.; Gan, Y.; Shao, J.; Shao, G.; Feng, Z.; et al. DnaK Functions as a Moonlighting Protein on the Surface of *Mycoplasma hyorhinis* Cells. *Front. Microbiol.* **2022**, *13*, 842058. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.842058) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35308339)
- 35. Archambaud, C.; Gouin, E.; Pizarro-Cerda, J.; Cossart, P.; Dussurget, O. Translation Elongation Factor EF-Tu Is a Target for Stp, a Serine-Threonine Phosphatase Involved in Virulence of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2005**, *56*, 383–396. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04551.x)
- 36. Waterhouse, A.; Bertoni, M.; Bienert, S.; Studer, G.; Tauriello, G.; Gumienny, R.; Heer, F.T.; de Beer, T.A.P.; Rempfer, C.; Bordoli, L.; et al. SWISS-MODEL: Homology Modelling of Protein Structures and Complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2018**, *46*, W296–W303. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky427)
- 37. Jumper, J.; Evans, R.; Pritzel, A.; Green, T.; Figurnov, M.; Ronneberger, O.; Tunyasuvunakool, K.; Bates, R.; Žídek, A.; Potapenko, A.; et al. Highly Accurate Protein Structure Prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **2021**, *596*, 583–589. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2)
- 38. Goddard, T.D.; Huang, C.C.; Meng, E.C.; Pettersen, E.F.; Couch, G.S.; Morris, J.H.; Ferrin, T.E. UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting Modern Challenges in Visualization and Analysis. *Protein Sci.* **2018**, *27*, 14–25. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3235)
- 39. Jia, B.; Cheong, G.-W.; Zhang, S. Multifunctional Enzymes in Archaea: Promiscuity and Moonlight. *Extremophiles* **2013**, *17*, 193–203. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-012-0509-1)
- 40. Ligabue-Braun, R.; Carlini, C.R. Moonlighting Toxins: Ureases and Beyond. In *Plant Toxins*; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2015; pp. 1–21. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6728-7_10-1)
- 41. Hendriks, W.; Mulders, J.W.; Bibby, M.A.; Slingsby, C.; Bloemendal, H.; de Jong, W.W. Duck Lens Epsilon-Crystallin and Lactate Dehydrogenase B4 Are Identical: A Single-Copy Gene Product with Two Distinct Functions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1988**, *85*, 7114–7118. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.19.7114)
- 42. Henderson, B.; Martin, A. Bacterial Virulence in the Moonlight: Multitasking Bacterial Moonlighting Proteins Are Virulence Determinants in Infectious Disease. *Infect. Immun.* **2011**, *79*, 3476–3491. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00179-11)
- 43. Kunert, A.; Losse, J.; Gruszin, C.; Hühn, M.; Kaendler, K.; Mikkat, S.; Volke, D.; Hoffmann, R.; Jokiranta, T.S.; Seeberger, H.; et al. Immune Evasion of the Human Pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Elongation Factor Tuf Is a Factor H and Plasminogen Binding Protein. *J. Immunol.* **2007**, *179*, 2979–2988. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.179.5.2979) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17709513)
- 44. Antikainen, J.; Kuparinen, V.; Lähteenmäki, K.; Korhonen, T.K. Enolases from Gram-Positive Bacterial Pathogens and Commensal Lactobacilli Share Functional Similarity in Virulence-Associated Traits. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* **2007**, *51*, 526–534. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2007.00330.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17892475)
- 45. Palmer, G.H.; Barbet, A.F.; Cantor, G.H.; McGuire, T.C. Immunization of Cattle with the MSP-1 Surface Protein Complex Induces Protection against a Structurally Variant *Anaplasma marginale* Isolate. *Infect. Immun.* **1989**, *57*, 3666–3669. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.57.11.3666-3669.1989) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2807542)
- 46. Agnes, J.T.; Brayton, K.A.; LaFollett, M.; Norimine, J.; Brown, W.C.; Palmer, G.H. Identification of Anaplasma Marginale Outer Membrane Protein Antigens Conserved between *A. marginale* Sensu Stricto Strains and the Live *A. Marginale* subsp. Centrale Vaccine. *Infect. Immun.* **2011**, *79*, 1311–1318. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01174-10)
- 47. Jeffery, C.J. Why Study Moonlighting Proteins? *Front. Genet.* **2015**, *6*, 211. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2015.00211)
- 48. Kim, Y.E.; Hipp, M.S.; Bracher, A.; Hayer-Hartl, M.; Ulrich Hartl, F. Molecular Chaperone Functions in Protein Folding and Proteostasis. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2013**, *82*, 323–355. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-060208-092442)
- 49. Baumeister, W.; Walz, J.; Zühl, F.; Seemüller, E. The Proteasome: Paradigm of a Self-Compartmentalizing Protease. *Cell* **1998**, *92*, 367–380. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80929-0)
- 50. Ellis, R.J. Molecular Chaperones: Assisting Assembly in Addition to Folding. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **2006**, *31*, 395–401. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2006.05.001)
- 51. Ensgraber, M.; Loos, M. A 66-Kilodalton Heat Shock Protein of *Salmonella typhimurium* Is Responsible for Binding of the Bacterium to Intestinal Mucus. *Infect. Immun.* **1992**, *60*, 3072–3078. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.60.8.3072-3078.1992)
- 52. Fourie, K.R.; Wilson, H.L. Understanding GroEL and DnaK Stress Response Proteins as Antigens for Bacterial Diseases. *Vaccines* **2020**, *8*, 773. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8040773)
- 53. Hagemann, L.; Gründel, A.; Jacobs, E.; Dumke, R. The Surface-Displayed Chaperones GroEL and DnaK of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* Interact with Human Plasminogen and Components of the Extracellular Matrix. *Pathog. Dis.* **2017**, *75*, ftx017. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/femspd/ftx017) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28204467)
- 54. Hickey, T.B.M.; Thorson, L.M.; Speert, D.P.; Daffé, M.; Stokes, R.W. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Cpn60.2 and DnaK Are Located on the Bacterial Surface, Where Cpn60.2 Facilitates Efficient Bacterial Association with Macrophages. *Infect. Immun.* **2009**, *77*, 3389–3401. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00143-09) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19470749)
- 55. Paliwal, P.K.; Bansal, A.; Sagi, S.S.K.; Mustoori, S.; Govindaswamy, I. Cloning, Expression and Characterization of Heat Shock Protein 60 (GroEL) of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhi and Its Role in Protective Immunity against Lethal Salmonella Infection in Mice. *Clin. Immunol.* **2008**, *126*, 89–96. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2007.09.004) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18024219)
- 56. Kainulainen, V.; Korhonen, T.K. Dancing to Another Tune—Adhesive Moonlighting Proteins in Bacteria. *Biology* **2014**, *3*, 178–204. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/biology3010178) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24833341)
- 57. Widjaja, M.; Harvey, K.L.; Hagemann, L.; Berry, I.J.; Jarocki, V.M.; Raymond, B.B.A.; Tacchi, J.L.; Gründel, A.; Steele, J.R.; Padula, M.P.; et al. Elongation Factor Tu Is a Multifunctional and Processed Moonlighting Protein. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 11227. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10644-z)
- 58. Harvey, K.L.; Jarocki, V.M.; Charles, I.G.; Djordjevic, S.P. The Diverse Functional Roles of Elongation Factor Tu (EF-Tu) in Microbial Pathogenesis. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 2351. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02351)
- 59. Feng, L.; Niu, X.; Mei, W.; Li, W.; Liu, Y.; Willias, S.P.; Yuan, C.; Bei, W.; Wang, X.; Li, J. Immunogenicity and Protective Capacity of EF-Tu and FtsZ of *Streptococcus suis* Serotype 2 against Lethal Infection. *Vaccine* **2018**, *36*, 2581–2588. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.03.079)
- 60. Lopez, J.E.; Siems, W.F.; Palmer, G.H.; Brayton, K.A.; McGuire, T.C.; Norimine, J.; Brown, W.C. Identification of Novel Antigenic Proteins in a Complex Anaplasma Marginale Outer Membrane Immunogen by Mass Spectrometry and Genomic Mapping. *Infect. Immun.* **2005**, *73*, 8109–8118. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.12.8109-8118.2005)
- 61. Pancholi, V.; Fischetti, V.A. A-Enolase, a Novel Strong Plasmin(Ogen) Binding Protein on the Surface of Pathogenic Streptococci. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 14503–14515. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.23.14503)
- 62. Javier Pérez De La Rosa, J.; Ureostegui, P.V.; Antonio Álvarez Martínez, J.; Rojas Martínez, C.; Manuel González Zuñiga, V.; Figueroa Millán, J.V. Identificación Inicial de Genes En Babesia Bigemina Mediante Análisis de Etiquetas de Secuencia Expresadas En El Estadio Intraeritrocítico Del Parásito. *Rev. Mex. Ciencias Pecu.* **2012**, *3*, 61–87.
- 63. Cárdenas-Flores, A. *Caracterización de La Proteína Enolasa de Babesia Bovis e Identificación de Epítopos Inmunogénicos*; Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro: Querétaro, Mexico, 2021.
- 64. Xue, S.; Seo, K.; Yang, M.; Cui, C.; Yang, M.; Xiang, S.; Yan, Z.; Wu, S.; Han, J.; Yu, X.; et al. *Mycoplasma suis* Alpha-Enolase Subunit Vaccine Induces an Immune Response in Experimental Animals. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 1506. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9121506)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.