

Supplementary Table 1. Effect of in-feed antimicrobials on the cecal bacteria composition

Taxonomy	Control	BMD	Tylosin	Virginiamycin	Monensin	Salinomycin	P_Value
Phylum							
Firmicutes	98.5	97.2	97.9	97.2	97.6	97.0	0.692
Proteobacteria	0.44	0.60	1.16	1.02	1.93	1.87	0.468
Bacteroidetes	0.61 ^{ab}	1.85 ^a	0.27 ^b	0.65 ^{ab}	0.23 ^b	0.87 ^{ab}	0.016
Actinobacteria	0.15 ^a	0.10 ^{ab}	0.08 ^{ab}	0.07 ^b	0.11 ^{ab}	0.13 ^{ab}	0.019
Others	0.24 ^b	0.20 ^b	0.54 ^{ab}	1.07 ^a	0.15 ^b	0.12 ^b	<0.001
Genus							
<i>Lachnospiraceae_unclassified</i>	53.91	56.11	50.17	54.06	58.89	48.56	0.135
<i>Ruminococcaceae_unclassified</i>	9.11 ^{bc}	10.63 ^{bc}	17.96 ^a	15.09 ^{ab}	7.00 ^c	11.17 ^{abc}	<0.001
<i>Ruminococcus2</i>	6.74	6.52	4.41	5.13	6.53	7.13	0.585
<i>Butyricoccus</i>	3.15	3.10	3.53	3.46	3.77	4.14	0.101
<i>Blautia</i>	3.66	2.25	3.27	2.38	3.98	4.66	0.082
<i>Clostridiales_unclassified</i>	2.69 ^b	2.89 ^b	2.89 ^b	4.29 ^a	2.98 ^{ab}	3.19 ^{ab}	0.014
<i>Anaerostipes</i>	3.17	2.93	3.17	2.97	3.000	2.99	0.997
<i>Romboutsia</i>	3.25 ^{ac}	3.65 ^c	1.75 ^{ab}	0.65 ^b	2.44 ^{ac}	3.67 ^c	<0.001
<i>Clostridium_XVIII</i>	2.42	0.81	1.02	2.27	1.79	1.99	0.091
<i>Erysipelotrichaceae_unclassified</i>	1.80	1.99	1.40	1.05	1.49	1.58	0.294

Note: Mean relative abundance (%) of the bacterial phylum and top 10 genera are shown, with 12 samples per treatment. Statistical significance was determined using one-way ANOVA and *post hoc* Tukey's test. Columns not sharing a common superscript are considered significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

Supplementary Table 2. Differential regulation of the cecal bacterial composition by antibiotics and ionophores.

Taxonomy	Control	Antibiotics	Ionophores	P-Value
Phylum				
Firmicutes	98.54	97.45	97.29	0.346
Proteobacteria	0.44	0.92	1.9	0.119
Bacteroidetes	0.61	0.93	0.55	0.478
Actinobacteria	0.15 ^a	0.09 ^b	0.12 ^{ab}	0.003
Others	0.24 ^a	0.59 ^a	0.13 ^b	0.016
Genera				
<i>Lachnospiraceae_unclassified</i>	53.91	53.43	53.73	0.988
<i>Ruminococcaceae_unclassified</i>	9.11 ^a	14.54 ^b	9.10 ^a	0.002
<i>Ruminococcus2</i>	6.74	5.36	6.83	0.350
<i>Butyrivibrio</i>	3.15	3.36	3.96	0.028
<i>Blautia</i>	3.66 ^{ab}	2.64 ^a	4.32 ^b	0.019
<i>Clostridiales_unclassified</i>	2.69	3.33	3.09	0.267
<i>Anaerostipes</i>	3.17	3.03	2.99	0.938
<i>Romboutsia</i>	3.25 ^{ab}	2.05 ^a	3.06 ^b	0.019
<i>Clostridium_XVIII</i>	2.42	1.34	1.89	0.125
<i>Erysipelotrichaceae_unclassified</i>	1.80	1.49	1.53	0.644

Note: Mean relative abundance (%) of the bacterial phylum and top 10 genera are shown, with 12 samples per treatment. Statistical significance was determined using one-way ANOVA and *post hoc* Tukey's test. Columns not sharing a common superscript are considered significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

Supplementary Table 3. Regulation of the cecal bacterial composition by antimicrobials

Taxonomy	Control	Antimicrobials	P-Value
Phylum			
Firmicutes	98.54 ^a	97.39 ^b	0.013
Proteobacteria	0.44 ^a	1.32 ^b	0.008
Bacteroidetes	0.61	0.78	0.581
Actinobacteria	0.15	0.10	0.088
Others	0.24	0.41	0.115
Genera			
<i>Lachnospiraceae_unclassified</i>	53.91	53.55	0.887
<i>Ruminococcaceae_unclassified</i>	9.11 ^a	12.32 ^b	0.009
<i>Ruminococcus2</i>	6.74	5.95	0.568
<i>Butyricicoccus</i>	3.15	3.60	0.268
<i>Blautia</i>	3.66	3.32	0.591
<i>Clostridiales_unclassified</i>	2.69 ^a	3.23 ^b	0.037
<i>Anaerostipes</i>	3.17	3.01	0.771
<i>Romboutsia</i>	3.25	2.46	0.189
<i>Clostridium_XVIII</i>	2.42	1.56	0.206
<i>Erysipelotrichaceae_unclassified</i>	1.80	1.51	0.319

Note: Mean relative abundance (%) of the bacterial phylum and top 10 genera are shown, with 12 samples per treatment. Statistical significance was determined using Student's t-test. Columns not sharing a common superscript are considered significantly different ($p < 0.05$).