

# *Article* **Initial Characterization of 3D Culture of Yolk Sac Tissue**

**Vitória Mattos Pereira 1,2, Priscila Avelino Ferreira Pinto <sup>1</sup> , Lina C[ast](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0517-3261)elo Branco Motta <sup>1</sup> , Matheus F. Almeida <sup>1</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3434-8892) André Furugen Cesar de Andrade [3](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0148-4372) , Ana Paula Pinoti Pavaneli <sup>1</sup> and Carlos Eduardo Ambrósio 1,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8224-5022)**

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Animal Science and Food Engineering (FZEA),
- University of São Paulo (USP), Pirassununga 13635-900, SP, Brazil; vmattosp@uwyo.edu (V.M.P.)
	- <sup>2</sup> School of Pharmacy, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82072, USA<br><sup>3</sup> Department of Animal Penroduction, School of Veterinary Medicine an
	- <sup>3</sup> Department of Animal Reproduction, School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science (FMVZ), University of São Paulo USP, Pirassununga 13635-900, SP, Brazil
	- **\*** Correspondence: ceambrosio@usp.br

**Simple Summary:** During the early stages of embryonic development, the yolk sac (YS) performs a crucial role in performing hematopoietic, metabolic, and nutritional functions. However, the mechanism of YS transportation and its malfunction leading to yearly miscarriage is not yet clearly understood. In order to address these issues, three-dimensional (3D) culture models of the YS were created and characterized for three different domestic species: canine, bovine, and porcine. Through the utilization of specific culture media, 3D cultures were successfully generated for all three species. Afterwards, the morphology, protein, and mRNA expression related to YS functions were compared. This development represents a significant advancement as it sets the foundation for further investigation of the functional mechanisms of YS tissue.

**Abstract:** The role of the yolk sac (YS) in miscarriage is not yet clear, largely due to ethical reasons that make in vivo studies difficult to conduct. However, 3D cultures could provide a solution to this problem by enabling cells to be arranged in a way that more closely mimics the structure of the YS as it exists in vivo. In this study, three domestic species (porcine, canine, and bovine) were chosen as models to standardize 3D culture techniques for the YS. Two techniques of 3D culture were chosen: the Matrigel<sup>®</sup> and Hanging-Drop techniques, and the 2D culture technique was used as a standardized method. The formed structures were initially characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), immunohistochemistry (IHC), and quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR). In general, the 3D culture samples showed better organization of the YS cells compared to 2D cultures. The formed structures from both 3D methods assemble the mesothelial layer of YS tissue. Regarding the IHC assay, all in vitro models were able to express zinc and cholesterol transport markers, although only 3D culture techniques were able to generate structures with different markers pattern, indicating a cell differentiation process when compared to 2D cultures. Regarding mRNA expression, the 3D models had a greater gene expression pattern on the Hemoglobin subunit zeta-like (*HBZ*) gene related to the YS tissue, although no significant expression was found in Alpha-fetoprotein (*AFP*), indicating a lack of endodermal differentiation in our 3D model. With the initial technique and characterization established, the next step is to maintain the cultures and characterize the diversity of cell populations, stemness, functions, and genetic stability of each 3D in vitro model.

**Keywords:** Matrigel; Hanging-Drop; canine; porcine; bovine; stem cell

## **1. Introduction**

The yolk sac (YS) is a crucial placental membrane in mammals. It is responsible for providing nourishment to the developing embryo during the initial first trimester of pregnancy when vascular communication is incomplete [\[1\]](#page-15-0). The YS performs a critical role in protein and amino acid transportation and synthesis, and vitamin transport, all of which are essential for early embryonic development [\[2](#page-15-1)[–4\]](#page-15-2). In addition, the YS serves as the



**Citation:** Pereira, V.M.; Pinto, P.A.F.; Motta, L.C.B.; Almeida, M.F.; de Andrade, A.F.C.; Pavaneli, A.P.P.; Ambrósio, C.E. Initial Characterization of 3D Culture of Yolk Sac Tissue. *Animals* **2023**, *13*, 1435. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13091435) [ani13091435](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13091435)

Academic Editor: Pablo Sánchez Quinteiro

Received: 6 March 2023 Revised: 7 April 2023 Accepted: 17 April 2023 Published: 22 April 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

primary site of hematopoiesis in the embryo [\[5\]](#page-15-3), which produces macrophage progenitors that will populate adult tissues [\[6\]](#page-15-4). The YS also contributes to the formation of progenitor germ cells [\[7\]](#page-15-5).

Although it is well-known that the YS performs a crucial role in embryonic development by providing essential nutrients and serving as the first site of blood cell formation, the relationship between YS abnormalities and first-trimester pregnancy loss is still unclear [\[3\]](#page-15-6). Traditional two-dimensional (2D) in vitro culture models are inadequate for studying YS development because they do not accurately mimic the in vivo environment due to the lack of proper cell-to-cell and cell-to-extracellular matrix interactions. In 2D systems, cells tend to be spread out, which does not simulate the tridimensional structure of tissue, impacting many processes, including proliferation, differentiation, and cell death [\[8\]](#page-15-7).

Therefore, exploring alternative methods for cultivating cells and tissues in vitro is essential. Compared to 2D systems, three-dimensional (3D) cell cultures provide a more precise replication of in vivo conditions, resulting in more reliable experimental results. The 3D microenvironment better mimics in vivo conditions, allowing for a more accurate representation of cell behavior [\[8\]](#page-15-7). Additionally, 3D cultures can support the formation of complex cell-to-cell interactions and tissue architectures that are not possible in 2D cultures [\[9\]](#page-15-8), which is critical for studying the functional mechanisms of YS tissue. Lastly, 3D culture systems allow for the study of drug responses and toxicity in a more realistic and predictive manner, making it beneficial for drug development and testing, and understanding the potential effects of environmental toxins on developing embryos [\[8,](#page-15-7)[9\]](#page-15-8).

For this study, three different species of domestic animals (porcine, bovine, and canine) were chosen as models due to their significant impact on the fields of reproduction and translational research. The porcine species is a valuable model due to their similarities to humans in reproductive physiology and anatomy, and their use in studying fertility, pregnancy, and embryonic development. In addition to their value in research, the porcine specie is also economically important in the agricultural industry [\[10,](#page-15-9)[11\]](#page-15-10). Bovines are essential for the agriculture industry's profitability, and research on bovine reproduction is crucial for the development of assisted reproductive technologies that have significant implications for both animal and human reproductive health [\[12\]](#page-15-11). Canine species are often used as a model for studying human reproductive disorders due to their similar reproductive physiology [\[13\]](#page-15-12). Furthermore, they are widely utilized in research concerning artificial insemination and reproductive technology [\[13\]](#page-15-12). Canines are also frequently used in studies exploring reproductive aging and the effects of environmental factors on reproductive health [\[14\]](#page-15-13).

The objective of this study was to develop and characterize the 3D culture technique of YS tissue from three main reproductive domestic species in order to establish an experimental system that could aid in the understanding of YS development pathways and provide potential applications for reproductive technology. By establishing the 3D culture system, we can gain insight into the functional mechanisms that the YS has on health and diseases. This development will be a significant stepping stone towards further exploring the mechanisms of YS tissue and their potential applications in reproductive technology.

#### **2. Materials and Methods**

#### *2.1. Animal Sample Collection*

All procedures were conducted in accordance with the research protocol approved by the Ethics Committee of Animal Use of the Faculty of Animal Science and Food Engineering at the University of São Paulo (7278301018). YS tissues were collected from embryos between the 20th and 30th day of pregnancy as YS mesenchymal stem cells exhibit higher proliferation rates during this time frame [\[15–](#page-15-14)[17\]](#page-15-15).

A total of four bovine embryos were obtained from gravid uteri in regional slaughterhouses, with the slaughter of the animals being in accordance with the "Regulation of Industrial and Sanitary Inspection of Products of Animal Origin" (RIISPOA, from its Portuguese acronym). To quantify the embryonic days of the obtained fetuses for inclusion

in the study, the scale proposed by Gjesdal (1969) was used [\[18\]](#page-15-16), which involved measuring cranial length to determine the embryo's age.

For the canine species, 6 gravid uteri from healthy dams between 3 and 5 years old were collected during castration campaigns in Pirassununga-SP. Throughout the castration process, all animals were administered recommended sedation and anesthesia, and the procedure was conducted using aseptic techniques in accordance with Brazil's National Council for the Control of Animal Experimentation (CONCEA). To confirm the embryonic day of the collected embryos, the adapted scale proposed by Lopate et al. (2008) was employed, which involved measuring the crown-rump length of the fetus [\[19\]](#page-15-17). In this study, YS tissues from each gravid uterus were pooled to represent one biological replicate. With six gravid uteri, a total of six YS tissue biological samples were obtained.

In the case of the porcine species, four gravid uteri were collected from sows that had been previously induced for pregnancy. These animals were part of the extensive pig production program at the FZEA campus, and all handling and reproduction techniques adhered to the guidelines established by CONCEA. Furthermore, the transportation and slaughter of these animals complied with RIISPOA regulations and took place within the FZEA school slaughterhouse. The embryos were collected on the 30th day of pregnancy.

#### *2.2. Isolation Protocol and Study Design*

The procedure comprised two phases: (1) dissection of embryos and collection of YS tissues, and (2) digestion of YS tissues. First, embryos were dissected along with their respective placental attachments, and the YS was carefully separated from other placental attachments using a scalpel and a light microscope [\[15–](#page-15-14)[17\]](#page-15-15). Since the YS tissues were collected after the 18th embryonic day, they were all considered secondary YS, composed of endoderm, fetal circulation, and mesothelium. Consequently, the YS explants consisted *Animals* **2023**, *13*, 1435 4 of 20 of cell layers derived from both mesoderm and endoderm (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** The study followed this experimental design: (**A**) Embryos between 20 and 30 gestational **Figure 1.** The study followed this experimental design: (**A**) Embryos between 20 and 30 gestational days were selected for the study. For the canine and porcine species, embryos from the same gravid days were selected for the study. For the canine and porcine species, embryos from the same gravid uptined to minimize the impact of individual differences. (**B**) The  $VE$ uteri were combined to minimize the impact of individual differences. (**B**) The YS tissue used in the study was obtained from specific cell layers composed of both mesoderm and endoderm. These cell each species, the explants were cultured using the explanation of  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  Matrix layers included endoderm, fetal circulation, and mesothelium. After isolating fresh YS tissue from  $T$  tissues were then washed with a phosphate-saline solution (PBS) and  $T$ and 3D Hanging-Drop technique. each species, the explants were cultured using three different methods—2D technique, 3D Matrigel<sup>®</sup>,

The isolated YS tissues were then washed with a phosphate-saline solution (PBS) supplemented with 10,000 IU Penicillin/Streptomycin (P/S, Sigma, P4333, St. Louis, MO, USA) and gently macerated to increase the area for enzymatic digestion. The digestion protocol was adjusted for each species. For the canine samples, a tissue/collagenase IV (Sigma, C5138) ratio of 1:1 was used for approximately 1 h and 30 min [\[15\]](#page-15-14), while for the bovine samples, enzymatic digestion with the same proportion took only 1 h [\[16\]](#page-15-18). The porcine samples underwent enzymatic digestion using trypsin 2.5% (Gibco, 15090046, Billings, MT, USA) for 5 min at 37 °C [\[17\]](#page-15-15). After the enzymatic process, the YS tissues from each species were centrifuged at 600× *g* for 5 min, and the cell pellet was plated according to three different cell culture methods—a 2D cell culture technique (control technique), a 3D method using Matrigel® (Corning, 356237, Glendale, AZ, USA), and a 3D method with Hanging-Drop (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0).

In this study, we opted to use two distinct 3D in vitro culture techniques based on the nature of the YS tissue. While it develops in a stiff matrix, such as the endometrium, the level of invasion between maternal and fetal tissues varies among species [\[10,](#page-15-9)[20\]](#page-15-19). For instance, canine species exhibit endotheliochorial placentation, where the invasion is intermediate. In contrast, bovine and porcine species with epitheliochorial placentation have minimal invasion, with tissues merely in opposition.

We chose Matrigel<sup>®</sup> as it is a commercially available extracellular matrix (ECM) derived from Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm (EHS) mouse sarcoma [\[20\]](#page-15-19). Its complex composition includes laminin, collagen IV, heparan sulfate proteoglycans, and growth factors [\[21\]](#page-15-20), enabling the creation of a 3D cell culture environment that closely mimics in vivo conditions by supporting cell adhesion, migration, differentiation, and angiogenesis [\[21\]](#page-15-20). However, its composition may vary between batches, causing experimental variability, and it is relatively expensive [\[22\]](#page-15-21). To supplement Matrigel®, we also assessed the Hanging-Drop technique, an alternative method for generating 3D spheroids from cells. In this approach, cells are suspended in a small drop of culture medium and placed on the underside of a Petri dish lid. The drop is suspended by gravity, allowing the cells to aggregate and form a spheroid. This technique offers several advantages, including simplicity, low cost, and the capacity to produce uniform spheroids.

In summary, to establish a 3D in vitro culture of YS tissue from different mammalian species, the YS tissues from canine, porcine, and bovine species were first isolated to obtain the pool of cells present in YS tissue. Afterward, fresh cell explants from each species were cultured using three different techniques—2D cell culture technique (Section [2.5\)](#page-6-0), 3D culture using Matrigel<sup>®</sup>, and 3D culture using the Hanging-Drop technique (Section [2.3\)](#page-3-0). To determine the best conditions for developing tridimensional structures, eight types of media were evaluated (Section [2.4\)](#page-4-0). Once the optimal medium conditions were established, the resulting structures were assessed to analyze morphology and function.

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>*2.3. D Cell Culture Establishment—Matrigel® Method and Hanging-Drop Method*

In the Matrigel<sup>®</sup> technique, fresh YS cells were plated in a 1:25  $\mu$ L ratio of Matrigel<sup>®</sup> in  $48$ -well plates with 250  $\mu$ L of growth media. Typically, two weeks after the establishment of the initial culture, the Matrigel® culture could be subcultured at a 1:5 ratio every week (Figure [2\)](#page-4-1) until passage 3.

For the Hanging-Drop technique, cells were cultured in 20  $\mu$ L drops of growth media on the lid of a 60 mm dish at a concentration of 1:20  $\mu$ L. After the establishment of the initial culture, subculturing occurred every 3–5 days (Figure [3\)](#page-5-0) at a 1:3 ratio until passage 3. Subsequently, morphological and functional analyses were performed.



<span id="page-4-1"></span>*Animals* **2023**, *13*, 1435 6 of 20

**Figure 2.** The subculturing technique using Matrigel<sup>®</sup> technique. (Step 1) Begin by scraping the Matrigel® and media to separate and homogenize them. Place the plates on ice for 15 min to liquefy the Matrigel®. (Step 2) Transfer the liquefied Matrigel® to a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube and centrifuge at  $600 \times g$  for 6 min. Add 150 µL of F12 basal medium and follow the instructions in steps 2, 3, and 4. reagent to a liquid state. (Step 5) Use a 1:5 proportion to subculture the cells. Continue with steps 6 (Step 4) Before proceeding to step 5, ensure the Matrigel<sup>®</sup> has been on ice for at least 30 min to bring  $\sigma$  the Hanging-Drop technique, cells were cultured in  $20 \mu$  drops of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$ the reagent to a liquid state. (Step 5) Use a 1:5 proportion to subculture the cells. Continue with steps 6 and 7 as described.

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>initial culture, subculturing occurred every 3–5 days (Figure 3) at a 1:3 ratio until passage *2.4. D Cell Culture Establishment—Media Used*

To determine the most suitable culture medium for each species, we evaluated eight distinct expansion media. The choice of growth factors and supplements for each medium was informed by previous studies on trophoblast organoid development across different species [\[23](#page-15-22)[,24\]](#page-15-23), and factors present during early gestation and the initial third of gestation in the three target species [\[24–](#page-15-23)[27\]](#page-16-0).

For the culture medium, the following growth factors and supplements were used: Recombinant Mouse Epithelial Growth Factor 50 ng/mL (EGF, Peprotech, AF-100-15, Cranbury, NJ, USA), Recombinant Murine Noggin 100 ng/mL (NOG, Peprotech, 250-38), Recombinant Human Rspondin 1 500 ng/mL (RPOS-1, Peprotech, 120-38), Recombinant Human Fibroblast Growth Factor-10 100 ng/mL (FGF-10, Peprotech, 100-26), Recombinant Murine Hepatocyte Growth Factor 50 ng/mL (HGF, Peprotech, 315-23), TGF-β type I receptor (ALK5-TD) inhibitor 500 nM (A83-01, System Biosciences, ZRD-A8-02, Palo Alto, CA, USA), Insulin Growth Factor II (IGF-II, Peprotech,100-12), Leukemia Inhibitory Factor (LIF, Peprotech, AF100-20), Supplement B27 (B27, Gibco, 12587010), N-2 Supplement (N2, Gibco, 17502048), Nicotinamide (Sigma, N0636), Glutamine (Glut, Sigma, G7513), and N-Acetyl-L-cysteine (L-Nac, Sigma, A9165).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** (Step 1) Carefully observe the spheroids formed in the hanging drops to determine when **Figure 3.** (Step 1) Carefully observe the spheroids formed in the hanging drops to determine when  $t_{\text{max}}$  are  $\sigma$  (step  $t$ ) carefully *observed* to the spheroids rothed and the nanging drops to determine when  $\sigma$ they are ready for subculturing. This typically occurs when the spheroids reach an optimal size and cell density. (Step 2) Prepare a collection dish by adding an appropriate volume of fresh growth media to a 60 mm dish. Carefully remove the lid with hanging drops from the original dish, taking care not to disturb the drops. Holding the lid over the collection dish, gently wash the hanging drops off the lid by pipetting a small volume of the media from the collection dish onto each hanging drop, allowing the spheroids to fall into the media below. (Step 3) Once all spheroids have been collected in the media, centrifuge for 600× *g* for 6 min, remove the supernatant, and dilute the collected spheroids  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{S}}$  Prepare new handi-unit drops with the diluted spheroids on the lid of a new  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{S}}$ . in the appropriate volume of fresh growth media to achieve a 1:3 proportion for subculturing. (Step 4)<br>— *2.4. D Cell Culture Establishment—Media Used*  spheroids' growth and repeat the subculturing process as needed. Prepare new hanging drops with the diluted spheroids on the lid of a new 60 mm dish. Monitor the

In total, we tested eight different growth media with varying addition of specific growth factors (Table [1\)](#page-6-1). Additionally, we used the following supplements in all expansion  $\rm{b}$ asal media: B27, N2, Nicotinamide, Glutamine and L-Nac, EGF, NOG, RPOS-1, FGF-10, and HGF, together with the culture media F-12 (Gibco, 21127022).

The optimal medium for each species in 3D culture was chosen based on the following criteria, using cells from the 3D Matrigel<sup>®</sup> method: (1) medium acidosis due to nutrient consumption, and (2) the number of structures formed during 3D culture across different passages (Figure [4\)](#page-6-2). To perform an objective analysis, we counted organoid-shaped structures in three distinct images of biological replicates for each culture medium and species. These images were captured at  $10\times$  magnification and analyzed using ImageJ software [\[28\]](#page-16-1). Measurements were taken from each 3D culture technique across three first passages. The

medium that supported the development of cultures with the highest number of structures formed during subculturing was selected as the most suitable medium for each species.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Table 1.** Reagents and concentrations used in the study.

Compound	Concentration	Vendor	Cat#
Supplement minus vitamin A (B27)	$1\%$	Gibco	12587010
N <sub>2</sub>	$1\%$	Gibco	17502048
Nicotinamide	$10 \text{ nM}$	Sigma	N <sub>06</sub> 36
Glutamine	$1\%$	Sigma	G7513
L-Nac	$1\%$	Sigma	A9165
F-12 culture medium		Gibco	21127022
Recombinant Human Noggin (NOG)	$100 \,\mathrm{ng/mL}$	Peprotech	120-10C
Recombinant Human R-Spondin-1 (RPOS-1)	$500 \,\mathrm{ng/mL}$	Peprotech	120-38
Recombinant Human FGF-10 (FGF-10)	$100 \text{ ng/mL}$	Peprotech	100-26
Recombinant Murine HGF (HGF)	$50 \text{ ng/mL}$	Peprotech	315-23
Recombinant Murine EGF (EGF)	$50 \text{ ng/mL}$	Peprotech	315-09
ALK-4, -5, -7 inhibitor (A83-01)	$500 \text{ nM}$	Sigma	<b>SML0788</b>
Recombinant Human IGF-II (IGF-2)	$50 \,\mathrm{ng/mL}$	Peprotech	100-12
Recombinant Human LIF (LIF)	$50 \text{ ng/mL}$	Peprotech	300-05
Matrigel <sup>®</sup>	$25 \mu L$ /well	Corning	356231

N2 (N-2 Supplement), L-Nac (N-Acetyl-L-cysteine), NOG (Recombinant Murine Noggin), RPOS-1 (Recombinant Human Rspondin 1), FGF-10 (Recombinant Human Fibroblast Growth Factor-10), HGF (Recombinant Murine Hepatocyte Growth Factor), EGF (Recombinant Murine Epithelial Growth Factor), A83-01(TGF-β type I receptor *Animals* **2023**, *13*, 1435 9 of 20 (ALK5-TD) inhibitor), IGF-II (Insulin Growth Factor II), LIF (Leukemia Inhibitory Factor).

<span id="page-6-2"></span>

**Figure 4.** This figure illustrates the process of determining the optimal medium for 3D cultures in  $\mathbf{v}$  It demonstrates the assessment of  $(\mathbf{\Lambda})$  medium acidosis, and the nu a rep-presentative study. It demonstrates the assessment of (**A**) medium acidosis, and the number of structures formed across eight different types of media (#1, #2, #3, #4, #5, #6, #7, and #8) using the Matrigel<sup>®</sup> technique. The image shows one of the technical replicates from a canine sample in *2.5. D Cell Culture—Control Method of YS Tissue Culture*  passage 3. All species and media images were evaluated in technical triplicates for each biological To establish a control cell culture technique, we chose the  $2D$  cell culture technique, we chose the  $2D$  cell culture technique, we chose the  $2D$  cell culture technique, we can control culture technique, we can control sample at  $10\times$  magnification.

 $g_{\rm c}$  specific to each specific to each specific to each specific the medium was composed of  $\sim$ Cell cultures were maintained in an incubator at  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> and 37 °C throughout the cultivation period. Both 3D culture techniques for all animal samples were cultured up mino the most suitable growth modia and carry out the subset to passage 3 to determine the most suitable growth media and carry out the subsequent  $\gamma$ ses.  $\blacksquare$ characterization analyses.

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>2.5. D Cell Culture—Control Method of YS Tissue Culture The time it took for each  $\epsilon$

To establish a control cell culture technique, we chose to use the 2D cell culture model.  $\mathbb{R}$  substituted using  $\mathbb{R}$  trypsing  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ Cells isolated from freshly collected YS tissue were plated in T-75 flasks with growth media

specific to each species. For canine samples, the medium was composed of Alpha-MEM (α-MEM, ThermoFisher, 12571063, Waltham, MA, USA), 15% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, ThermoFisher, A5256801), 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (P/S), 1% Glutamine, and 1% nonessential amino acids solution (NEAA, ThermoFisher, 11140076) [\[15\]](#page-15-14). For bovine YS tissue, the medium contained α-MEM,  $10\%$  FBS,  $1\%$  NEAA,  $1\%$  P/S, and  $1\%$  BME Amino Acids Solution (Sigma, B6766) [\[16](#page-15-18)[,17\]](#page-15-15). Finally, for porcine species, the medium consisted of α-MEM, 15% FBS, 1% P/S, 2% Glutamine, and 25 µg Amphotericin B (AMB, ThermoFisher, 15290018) [\[17\]](#page-15-15).

The time it took for each culture to reach 80% confluence aligned with previous studies on 2D cultures of YS tissue from canine, bovine, and porcine species [\[15–](#page-15-14)[17\]](#page-15-15). Cells were subcultured using 1 mL of 0.25% Trypsin solution (25200072, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), incubated for 5 min, and transferred to a 15 mL conical tube for centrifugation at  $300 \times g$  for 5 min. The supernatant was then discarded.

The cell cultures were maintained in an incubator at  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> and 37 °C. All samples were cultured until passage 3 to obtain a more homogeneous in vitro culture, after which characterization analysis was performed.

#### *2.6. Morphological Characterization—Optical Microscopy*

To track the progress of the cultures over time and compare the three cell culture techniques—2D culture, 3D Matrigel®, and 3D Hanging-Drop—we utilized the EVOS M500 microscope. The cultures were assessed weekly to perform media evaluation assays and measure the size of the structures generated by each 3D technique. For comparing structure sizes across different 3D techniques, three distinct images of biological replicates were taken for each culture medium and species. These images were captured at  $10\times$  magnification and analyzed using the software, ImageJ [\[28\]](#page-16-1).

#### *2.7. Morphological Characterization—Scanning Electron Microscopy*

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is a powerful technique for characterizing the morphology and organization of cells in 3D cultures as it enables visualization of surface topography and intricate details of cells and their extracellular matrix. SEM generates highresolution images of cells in 3D cultures, offering valuable insights into the structure and organization of cells within the culture. SEM was employed to examine and compare the morphology of cells and structures produced by different culture techniques to the natural structure of the YS tissue. In particular, the SEM analysis focused on the organization of various cell types, such as cuboidal and squamous epithelial cells found in different parts of the YS tissue.

To prepare samples for scanning electron microscopy, the samples were initially fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 4 h at 4  $°C$ . They were then dehydrated using an ethanol gradient with increasing concentrations every 10 min: 30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 90%, and 100%. After dehydration, the material was stored in a sealed Petri dish until analysis using the TM3000 scanning microscope.

#### *2.8. Morphological Characterization—Immunohistochemistry*

The immunohistochemistry technique (IHC) was employed to examine the localization of ABCA1 and SLC39A7 proteins, which are the primary transport proteins responsible for transporting cholesterol and minerals, respectively, in the YS membrane [\[29\]](#page-16-2). The antibodies used were anti-ABCA1 (ab7360, Abcam) and anti-SLC39A7 (ZIP7, ab117560; Abcam) at a dilution of 1:500 for anti-ABCA1 and 5 µg/mL for anti-SLC39A7, respectively. Histological sections with a thickness of  $5 \mu m$  were arranged on slides, which were dewaxed in an oven at 37 ◦C and xylene, followed by hydration in ethyl alcohol and washing with distilled water. The histological sections were subjected to a 0.01 M citric acid solution with a pH of 6.0 and a temperature of 95 °C for 30 min, followed by blocking endogenous peroxidase with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 60 min. After this period, the primary antibody (each at the dilution described above) was incubated for 16 h at  $4 °C$  in a humid chamber. The slides were then washed in buffered saline, and the secondary antibody AlexaFluor<sup>®</sup>488 (ab150077) was added and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. The reaction was revealed with DAB (Chromogen/Substrate Bulk Pack, ScyTek Laboratories, Logan, UT, USA) for 2 min and counterstained with hematoxylin. In the negative control, the primary antibody was omitted, and all slides were counterstained with hematoxylin.

#### *2.9. Characterization—Genetic Expression*

In this study, the selected genes of interest were Alpha-fetoprotein (*AFP*) and Hemoglobin subunit zeta-like (*HBZ*), which encode proteins produced by the YS with specific functions. *AFP* is involved in metabolic function as it is produced in the YS and later in the embryonic liver [\[30\]](#page-16-3). On the other hand, *HBZ* is associated with hematopoietic function, specifically with the formation of the first blood islets [\[31\]](#page-16-4).

To standardize the gene expression data, housekeeping genes were used for each species. Beta-2-microglobulin (B2M) was used for dogs, while actin beta (ACTB) was used for bovine and porcine species. A complete list of all genes used in the study can be found in Table [2.](#page-8-0) RNA extraction from the samples was conducted using the RNeasy<sup>®</sup> Plus kit (Qiagen®, 73404, Hilden, Germany), following the manufacturer's protocol. The RNA concentration was assessed by analyzing the extracted samples on a Nanodrop 1000. (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), followed by cDNA synthesis. The High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 4387406) was utilized for cDNA synthesis, adhering to the manufacturer's recommendations. The RT-qPCR technique was employed to evaluate gene expression. Reactions were carried out on the Step One RT-PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 12594025), using the QuantiNova SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen, 208052). All samples were analyzed in triplicate. After amplification, the Sequence Detection Software, version 2.0 (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA), was utilized for data analysis. The results were obtained as threshold cycle values (Ct), and the expression levels were calculated using the 2-∆Ct method [\[32\]](#page-16-5).

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 2.** Sequence of primers used in the study.



#### *2.10. Statistical Analysis*

The gene expression between cell culture techniques was compared using a one-way analysis of variance (two-way ANOVA) followed by Turkey's multiple comparison test. Statistical significance between groups was considered when *p*-value was less than 0.05, denoted by \*,  $p \le 0.05$ ; \*\* for  $p \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\* for  $p \le 0.001$ , and \*\*\*\* for  $p \le 0.0001$ .

#### **3. Results**

## *3.1. 3D Model Culture Establishment*

To establish the optimal 3D model for YS culture, three different cell culture techniques were employed: (1) the conventional monolayer culture (2D method), (2) the Matrigel<sup>®</sup> hydrogel-based three-dimensional culture (3D Matrigel®), and (3) the Hanging-Drop method-based three-dimensional culture (3D Hanging-Drop). The 2D culture method was included because it is the established method for culturing YS-derived stem cells [\[15–](#page-15-14)[17\]](#page-15-15).

In order to identify the optimal 3D media for each species, the number of formed structures was analyzed in passages 1 through 3 (Table [3\)](#page-9-0) using the Matrigel<sup>®</sup> Method. Media promoting adequate cell growth were determined for each species. In the 3D cell culture models, medium #2 was the most suitable for canine YS samples, consistently fostering the development of the highest number of 3D structures across all three passages. While media #1 and #3 showed a good number of structures initially, only medium #2 (containing A83-01 and IGF-II) maintained cell growth in subsequent passages. For porcine species, medium #3 (containing A83-01, IGF-II, and LIF) was the best option, enabling sustainable 3D structure growth and development using the Matrigel<sup>®</sup> method until passage 3. Medium #1, though exhibiting more structures initially, declined in subsequent passages. Finally, for bovine species, medium #4 (containing only A83-01) was the optimal choice, allowing the development and maintenance of structure numbers across various passages (Table [3\)](#page-9-0).

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 3.** Number of structures formed across different passages using Matrigel® method.



Using the chosen model, the primary culture duration for the two proposed 3D culture models was 18 days for canine species, 12 days for porcine species, and 16 days for bovine species with the 3D Matrigel technique, respectively. In contrast, with the Hanging-Drop technique, the primary culture duration was approximately 7 days for canine species, 6 days for porcine species, and 9 days for bovine species, respectively. The subsequent subculture passages were performed every week for organoid culture and every 3–5 days using the Hanging-Drop technique.

For the 3D culture of YS tissue cells, all species examined in this study required a medium supplemented with A83-01. Additionally, only canine and porcine species needed IGF-II supplementation, and solely porcine species necessitated LIF supplementation (Table [4\)](#page-10-0). The dependence of all three species on A83-01 is consistent with previous 3D cell culture studies, as A83-01 is essential for supplementing the 3D culture of various tissues, such as the endometrium [\[33](#page-16-6)[,34\]](#page-16-7), trophoblast [\[23](#page-15-22)[,24\]](#page-15-23), and placenta [\[34\]](#page-16-7). Additionally, IGF-II supplementation was found to be crucial only for canine and porcine species. IGF-II is a growth-promoting hormone during mammalian pregnancy that aids in mesothelium formation during embryo development and performs a significant role in canine

pregnancy [\[26\]](#page-16-8) and porcine embryological development [\[35\]](#page-16-9). Lastly, only porcine species required LIF supplementation, which aligns with previous in vitro studies demonstrating that LIF is expressed by the porcine trophectoderm to assist in the adherence and viability of the embryo [\[36\]](#page-16-10).

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Table 4.** Growth medium used for each species and cell culture technique.



#### *3.2. Morphology and Cellular Conformation Animals* **2023**, *13*, 1435 13 of 20

Using light microscopy, we observed that YS tissue stem cells cultured in 3D techniques resulted in cystic, balloon-like morphologies in three-dimensional extensions (Figure [5\)](#page-10-1). Furthermore, after measuring the size of the structures using Image J software, we discov-<br>we discovered that can not a size of 38,273.87 percent of 37,373.87 µm ± 37,373.87 µm ± 37,373.87 µm ± 37,373. ered that canine species exhibited structures with a size of 38,273.87  $\mu$ m  $\pm$  2586.89  $\mu$ m in the  $3D$  Matrigel<sup>®</sup> technique, while in the 3D Hanging-Drop technique, these structures were  $\frac{10,821,13}{10,821,13}$ approximately half the size, measuring 19,821.13  $\mu$ m  $\pm$  1395.23  $\mu$ m. For porcine species, approximately hall the size, inclusioning 19,021.15  $\mu$ m  $\pm$  1599.29  $\mu$ m. Tor porcine species, the achieved sizes were 33,654.58  $\mu$ m  $\pm$  3875.09  $\mu$ m, and 14,040.99  $\mu$ m  $\pm$  1608.67  $\mu$ m for  $\frac{1}{100}$  and Hanging-Drop techniques, respectively. For bovine species, the sizes were Matrigel<sup>®</sup> and Hanging-Drop techniques, respectively. For bovine species, the sizes were  $\frac{1}{2}$  similar with 22,911.84  $\mu$ m  $\pm$  3409.24  $\mu$ m, and 11,382.27  $\mu$ m  $\pm$  1020.91  $\mu$ m for Matrigel<sup>®</sup> and Hanging-Drop techniques, respectively (Figure [5\)](#page-10-1).  $\lim_{n \to \infty}$  Matri $\lim_{n \to \infty}$  Hanging-Drop techniques, respectively (Figure 5).

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

Figure 5. Representative images of each studied group were taken at 10× magnification. These images display the morphology of different methods used in this study for (A) canine species, (**B**) bovine species, and (**C**) porcine species. Additionally, the size of the structures formed using culture techniques were compared for (**D**) canine species, (**E**) bovine species, and (**F**) porcine species. the 3D culture techniques were compared for (**D**) canine species, (**E**) bovine species, and (**F**) porcine species. Each image represents one of the replicates for each species under different culture conditions (3D Matrigel®, 3D Hanging-Drop, and 2D culture technique) at passage 3.

Additionally, SEM was employed to compare the organizational structure of the 3D in vitro techniques. It was observed that both 3D cultures generated a cell conformation similar to that found in YS tissue (Figure [6\)](#page-11-0). For canine species, both 3D techniques resulted in a highly digitized external conformation, featuring microvilli and elongated cells, and short branching processes (Figure [6A](#page-11-0)), characteristic of the mesothelium layer of the YS [\[20\]](#page-15-19). The SEM analysis of porcine YS tissue revealed a less digitized and more elongated appearance, resembling the mesothelium layer of the YS tissue in this species [\[37\]](#page-16-11). Moreover, both 3D techniques derived from porcine YS displayed a conformation more akin to the mesothelium layer (Figure [6C](#page-11-0)), with more pronounced flatter poles and sparse, irregular distribution of microvilli [\[37\]](#page-16-11). In bovines, the YS tissue exhibited more rounded and fused microvilli, and a cubic shape resembling the endoderm layer of the YS (Figure [6B](#page-11-0)), consistent with a previous report by Galdos-Riveros et al. in 2012 [\[38\]](#page-16-12). Furthermore, both 3D culture methods of bovine species produced structures with an external composition resembling the mesothelium layer, which contrasted with the results reported by Mançanares et al. in 2019 [\[39\]](#page-16-13), where the 3D culture of bovine YS generated structures resembling blood vessels.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

**Figure 6.** Scanning electron microscopy images were taken at 50× magnification for different species  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ and culture techniques. Each image represents one of the replicates for each species under various and culture techniques. Each image represents one of the replicates for each species under various culture conditions in passage 3: (A) canine species, (B) bovine species, and (C) porcine species. The total number of samples for each species and technique was *n* = 3. To compare the conformational total number of samples for each species and technique was *n* = 3. To compare the conformational organization of different formed structures, YS tissue from each species was used as a reference. The organization of different formed structures, YS tissue from each species was used as a reference. The SEM analysis of YS tissue from canine and porcine species resembled a mesothelium layer, whereas SEM analysis of YS tissue from canine and porcine species resembled a mesothelium layer, whereas the bovine YS sample resembled an endothelium layer of YS. Both 3D culture techniques resulted the bovine YS sample resembled an endothelium layer of YS. Both 3D culture techniques resulted in structures exhibiting conformational characteristics of the mesothelium layer, with a less digitized and more elongated appearance.

# *3.3. Characterization—Transport Markers 3.3. Characterization—Transport Markers*

The selection of lipid transporter (ABCA-1) and mineral transporter (SLC39A7) was The selection of lipid transporter (ABCA-1) and mineral transporter (SLC39A7) was based on a recent study that identified the presence of transporters responsible for the based on a recent study that identified the presence of transporters responsible for the transport of various substances, such as amino acids, cholesterol, metals, and anions, in transport of various substances, such as amino acids, cholesterol, metals, and anions, in human, mouse, and chick YSs. These transporters were found to be conserved across species [\[29\]](#page-16-2) and are associated with drug resistance and absorption [\[40\]](#page-16-14).

The expression of proteins ABCA-1 and SLC39A7 was observed in all in vitro models of different species (Figure [7\)](#page-12-0). In YS tissues, the endoderm layer exhibited the primary expression of these markers, while in 3D in vitro methods, their expression was higher in the outer layer of Matrigel®-derived structures and randomly distributed in Hanging-Drop formations. In the 2D culture, the SLC39A7 marker was distributed across the cell surface, while the ABCA-1 marker was more concentrated around the cell nucleus (Figure [7\)](#page-12-0). However, the multicellular arrangement of markers observed in the original tissue and 3D methods was not seen in the 2D culture. The results indicate that the expression pattern of these markers is more complex in 3D cultures than in conventional 2D models. Therefore, the 3D in vitro models of YS display a higher degree of cell differentiation than those obtained by 2D cultures.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

analyzed by immunohistochemistry and immunocytochemistry in each studied group, including (A) canine, (B) bovine, and (C) porcine species. Immunohistochemistry images were captured at  $10\times$  magnification, while immunocytochemistry images were captured at  $40\times$  magnification (*n* = 3). **Figure 7.** The expression of membrane markers ZIP-7 (SLC39A7 protein) and ABCA-1 protein was

#### *3.4. Characterization—Genetic Expression*

For both canine and porcine species, in general, the 3D Matrigel<sup>®</sup> technique provided a higher *HBZ* expression, and this may be attributed to the fact that *HBZ* is mainly expressed in the blood islands of the YS [\[5,](#page-15-3)[41\]](#page-16-15), which have similar characteristics of higher stiffness and tension to the 3D Matrigel<sup>®</sup> method. In contrast to the findings in other species, in bovine samples, the expression [o](#page-13-0)f both *AFP* (Figure 8B) and *HBZ* (Figure 8E) was significantly higher in the 2D cell culture compared to the 3D models studied ( $p < 0.05$ ). This observation was different from the pattern reported by Mançanares et al. (2019) [\[39\]](#page-16-13) and Potapova et al. (2007) [\[41\]](#page-16-15), where an increase in gene expression was observed in 3D cultures. However, none of the cell culture methods were able to replicate the same *AFP* expression pattern found in the YS tissue (Figure [8A](#page-13-0),C,E).

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

Figure 8. The relative expression of AFP and HBZ in different species and cell culture techniques  $(n = 3$  for each species and in vitro technique analyzed). (A,D) canine species, (B,E) bovine species and (C,F) porcine species. (\*) represents statistical differences  $p < 0.05$ , (\*\*\*\*) represents statistical differences  $p < 0.0001$  in relation to the YS tissue.

## **4. Discussion 4. Discussion**

The YS tissue is a crucial extraembryonic membrane that supports hematopoiesis and provides nutrients in early embryonic development. Culturing YS tissue in 3D offers merous advantages, as it contains diverse cell populations, including hematopoietic, en-numerous advantages, as it contains diverse cell populations, including hematopoietic, endothelial, and mesenchymal cells [5]. Thus, 3D culture enables the maintenance of cellular dothelial, and mesenchymal cells [\[5\]](#page-15-3). Thus, 3D culture enables the maintenance of cellular heterogeneity and the study of cell–cell interactions. The YS has been linked to early mis-heterogeneity and the study of cell–cell interactions. The YS has been linked to early miscarriage [3] and studying the YS tissue through 3D in vitro culture can allow investigation carriage [\[3\]](#page-15-6) and studying the YS tissue through 3D in vitro culture can allow investigation into disease mechanisms and the development of novel therapies. Additionally, the YS is into disease mechanisms and the development of novel therapies. Additionally, the YS is important in transporting nutrients and waste products, making it a valuable target for important in transporting nutrients and waste products, making it a valuable target for toxicity testing [\[9\]](#page-15-8). Developing a 3D in vitro culture of YS facilitates toxicity assessments and the development of safer drugs. and the development of safer drugs.

In this study, we established a reproducible protocol for generating 3D cultures of YS In this study, we established a reproducible protocol for generating 3D cultures of YS tissue using specific culture conditions and media. The light microscope morphology and tissue using specific culture conditions and media. The light microscope morphology and immunohistology cuts presented by the 3D Matrigel® method are consistent with previous studies that reported the generation of organoids from trophoblast and endometrium, displaying a spherical shape with distinct layers arranged around a central cavity [23,34]. displaying a spherical shape with distinct layers arranged around a central cavity [\[23,](#page-15-22)[34\]](#page-16-7). The Hanging-Drop technique originated structures with a shape and morphology similar to those described by Foty et al. 2011 [\[42\]](#page-16-16), which derived spheroids from tumor cells. These structures are composed of aggregated cells that form a three-dimensional structure with a central core and outer layer of cells, similar to those visualized in our study.

Regarding the size of the formed structures, the Matrigel® method produces structures of a size of 31,613.43  $\mu$ m  $\pm$  7881.79  $\mu$ m, while the Hanging-Drop method generates structures half the size of the Matrigel<sup>®</sup> method<sup>®</sup>—15,081.46  $\mu$ m  $\pm$  4314.57  $\mu$ m. These sizes are consistent with organoid and spheroid descriptions, respectively [\[23,](#page-15-22)[33,](#page-16-6)[34,](#page-16-7)[42,](#page-16-16)[43\]](#page-16-17). The Matrix  $d\mathbb{R}$  desired 2D we the discussery consideration and specified descriptions that we can be Matrigel®-derived 3D method forms more complex and organized structures that resemthe description and classification of an organoid model, while Hanging-Drop structures Matrigel<sup>®</sup>-derived 3D method forms more complex and organized structures that resemble resemble spheroid descriptions. The time to obtain the formed structures varied between the two techniques. The Matrigel<sup>®</sup> method takes around 15 days to establish the first culture, and subsequent subculturing takes approximately one week, which is consistent with previous reports [\[23](#page-15-22)[,33\]](#page-16-6). The Hanging-Drop method has a faster establishment of the first culture at around seven days, and passages were performed every five days, also consistent with previous reports [\[22\]](#page-15-21).

Both transport markers used in this study were found in both the endoderm and mesothelial layers of YS tissue, so using only IHC assay would not be possible to differentiate between the endoderm and mesothelium layer [\[29\]](#page-16-2). However, SEM analysis indicated that both 3D methods originate structures that assemble from the mesothelium layer of YS tissue. The low expression of *AFP* indicates a lack of endoderm differentiation as *AFP* is specifically expressed in endodermal cells of the YS during early embryonic development [\[44\]](#page-16-18).

Based on the results, the structures derived by the Matrigel $^{\circledR}$  method would be suitable for studying YS transport elucidation mechanisms and disorders due to their better organizational conformation. Meanwhile, the Hanging-Drop technique, due to its better capacity to develop spheroids and its lower cost, can provide a platform for drug screening and toxicity testing, offering a more physiologically relevant environment than 2D cell cultures. To improve the methods described, it would be beneficial to further confirm the obtained layers by using markers, such as Wilms tumor protein 1 (WT1), for mesothelium differentiation [\[45\]](#page-16-19) and SOX17 for endodermal differentiation [\[46\]](#page-16-20). Additionally, for the Matrigel<sup>®</sup> method, assessing genes associated with stemness or self-renewal, such as SOX2, NANOG, or OCT4 [\[47\]](#page-16-21), which were not assessed in the present research, would be beneficial.

In conclusion, the establishment of a reproducible protocol for generating 3D cultures of YS tissue using specific culture conditions and media offers numerous advantages in studying YS tissue. Both the Matrigel<sup>®</sup> and Hanging-Drop methods can generate structures that resemble organoids or spheroids, respectively. The Matrigel<sup>®</sup> method generates more complex and organized structures suitable for studying transport elucidation mechanisms and disorders, while the Hanging-Drop technique is suitable for drug screening and toxicity testing. Further studies on markers for differentiation and genes associated with stemness and self-renewal will improve the methods and help in the understanding of YS tissue development and disorders.

#### **5. Conclusions**

Our study evaluated three in vitro methods for culturing YS tissue, and discovered that the 3D technique model demonstrated superior cell organization and morphological similarity to the original tissue compared to the standard 2D model. The preliminary findings from this research seek to establish a methodology for cultivating 3D structures from mammalian YS tissue, offering an initial characterization of the resulting structures, and outlining potential applications and future directions.

**Author Contributions:** Designed and wrote the experiment, V.M.P. and C.E.A.; executed in vitro assays—V.M.P., P.A.F.P., L.C.B.M. and M.F.A.; YS samples collection—V.M.P., A.F.C.d.A., A.P.P.P. and M.F.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), grant number: # 18/24273-0.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** This research was conducted by the Ethics Committee of Animal Use of the Faculty of Animal Science and Food Engineering of University of Sao Paulo (7278301018).

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank the faculties of Trophoblast Research Center (CTR) at University of Cambridge, UK. With their assistance and support with which the first author was able to learn the organoid technique method and get in deep contact with developing organoids from reproductive tract.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### **References**

- <span id="page-15-0"></span>1. Burton, G.J.; Watson, A.L.; Hempstock, J.; Skepper, J.N.; Jauniaux, E. Uterine Glands Provide Histiotrophic Nutrition for the Human Fetus during the First Trimester of Pregnancy. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2002**, *87*, 2954–2959. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.87.6.8563) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12050279)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>2. King, B.F.; Enders, A.C. Protein Absorption and Transport by the Guinea Pig Visceral Yolk Sac Placenta. *Am. J. Anat.* **1970**, *129*, 261–287. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/aja.1001290303) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5476175)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>3. Zohn, I.E.; Sarkar, A.A. The Visceral Yolk Sac Endoderm Provides for Absorption of Nutrients to the Embryo during Neurulation. *Birth Defects Res. Part A Clin. Mol. Teratol.* **2010**, *88*, 593–600. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/bdra.20705) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20672346)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>4. Brent, R.L.; Fawcett, L.B. Nutritional Studies of the Embryo during Early Organogenesis with Normal Embryos and Embryos Exhibiting Yolk Sac Dysfunction. *J. Pediatr.* **1998**, *132*, S6–S16. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476(98)70522-0)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>5. Palis, J.; Yoder, M.C. Yolk-Sac Hematopoiesis: The First Blood Cells of Mouse and Man. *Exp. Hematol.* **2001**, *29*, 927–936. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-472X(01)00669-5)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>6. Stremmel, C.; Schuchert, R.; Wagner, F.; Thaler, R.; Weinberger, T.; Pick, R.; Mass, E.; Ishikawa-Ankerhold, H.C.; Margraf, A.; Hutter, S.; et al. Yolk Sac Macrophage Progenitors Traffic to the Embryo during Defined Stages of Development. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 75. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02492-2)
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>7. Nikolic, A.; Volarevic, V.; Armstrong, L.; Lako, M.; Stojkovic, M. Primordial Germ Cells: Current Knowledge and Perspectives. *Stem Cells Int.* **2016**, *2016*, 1741072. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/1741072)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>8. Huch, M.; Koo, B.K. Modeling Mouse and Human Development Using Organoid Cultures. *Development* **2015**, *142*, 3113–3125. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.118570)
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>9. Lancaster, M.A.; Knoblich, J.A. Organogenesis in a Dish: Modeling Development and Disease Using Organoid Technologies. *Science* **2014**, *345*, 1247125. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1247125)
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>10. Almeida, F.R.C.L.; Dias, A.L.N.A. Pregnancy in Pigs: The Journey of an Early Life. *Domest. Anim. Endocrinol.* **2022**, *78*, 106656. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.domaniend.2021.106656)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>11. Gonzalez-Bulnes, A.; Astiz, S.; Ovilo, C.; Lopez-Bote, C.J.; Torres-Rovira, L.; Barbero, A.; Ayuso, M.; Garcia-Contreras, C.; Vazquez-Gomez, M. Developmental Origins of Health and Disease in Swine: Implications for Animal Production and Biomedical Research. *Theriogenology* **2016**, *86*, 110–119. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2016.03.024)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>12. Roberts, J.F.; Jeff Huang, C.C. Bovine Models for Human Ovarian Diseases. *Prog. Mol. Biol. Transl. Sci.* **2022**, *189*, 101–154. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/BS.PMBTS.2022.02.001) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35595347)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>13. Schneider, M.R.; Wolf, E.; Braun, J.; Kolb, H.J.; Adler, H. Canine Embryo-Derived Stem Cells and Models for Human Diseases. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2008**, *17*, R42–R47. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddn078)
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>14. Starling, M.J.; Branson, N.; Thomson, P.C.; McGreevy, P.D. Age, Sex and Reproductive Status Affect Boldness in Dogs. *Vet. J.* **2013**, *197*, 868–872. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2013.05.019)
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>15. Wenceslau, C.V.; Miglino, M.A.; Martins, D.S.; Ambrósio, C.E.; Lizier, N.F.; Pignatari, G.C.; Kerkis, I. Mesenchymal Progenitor Cells from Canine Fetal Tissues: Yolk Sac, Liver, and Bone Marrow. *Tissue Eng. Part A* **2011**, *17*, 2165–2176. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2010.0678)
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>16. Mançanares, C.A.F.; Oliveira, V.C.; Oliveira, L.J.; Carvalho, A.F.; Sampaio, R.V.; Mançanares, A.C.F.; Souza, A.F.; Perecin, F.; Meirelles, F.V.; Miglino, M.A.; et al. Isolation and Characterization of Mesenchymal Stem Cells from the Yolk Sacs of Bovine Embryos. *Theriogenology* **2015**, *84*, 887–898. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2015.05.031) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26143361)
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>17. Machado Bertassoli, B.; Santos, A.C.; Fratini, P.; Alves De Lima Will, S.E.; Rodrigues, M.N.; Chaves, A.; Neto, A. Isolation and characterization of stem cells from yolk sac domestic porcine (Sus scrofa). *Arch. Vet. Sci.* **2015**, *20*, 1–10.
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>18. Gjesdal, F. Age Determination of Bovine Foetuses. *Acta Vet. Scand.* **1969**, *10*, 197–218. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03548273)
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>19. Lopate, C. Estimation of Gestational Age and Assessment of Canine Fetal Maturation Using Radiology and Ultrasonography: A Review. *Theriogenology* **2008**, *70*, 397–402. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2008.05.034)
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>20. Lee, S.Y.; Anderson, J.W.; Scott, G.L.; Mossman, H.W. Ultrastructure of the Placenta and Fetal Membranes of the Dog: II. The Yolk Sac. *Am. J. Anat.* **1983**, *166*, 313–327. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/aja.1001660306)
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>21. Kleinman, H.K.; Martin, G.R. Matrigel: Basement Membrane Matrix with Biological Activity. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **2005**, *15*, 378–386. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2005.05.004)
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>22. Kozlowski, M.T.; Crook, C.J.; Ku, H.T. Towards Organoid Culture without Matrigel. *Commun. Biol.* **2021**, *4*, 1387. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02910-8) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34893703)
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>23. Turco, M.Y.; Gardner, L.; Kay, R.G.; Hamilton, R.S.; Prater, M.; Hollinshead, M.S.; McWhinnie, A.; Esposito, L.; Fernando, R.; Skelton, H.; et al. Trophoblast Organoids as a Model for Maternal–Fetal Interactions during Human Placentation. *Nature* **2018**, *564*, 263–267. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0753-3) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30487605)
- <span id="page-15-23"></span>24. Huang, X.; Han, X.; Uyunbilig, B.; Zhang, M.; Duo, S.; Zuo, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Yun, T.; Tai, D.; Wang, C.; et al. Establishment of Bovine Trophoblast Stem-like Cells from in Vitro-Produced Blastocyst-Stage Embryos Using Two Inhibitors. *Stem Cells Dev.* **2014**, *23*, 1501–1514. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1089/scd.2013.0329)
- 25. Wang, L.M.; Feng, H.L.; Ma, Y.Z.; Cang, M.; Li, H.J.; Yan, Z.; Zhou, P.; Wen, J.X.; Bou, S.; Liu, D.J. Expression of IGF Receptors and Its Ligands in Bovine Oocytes and Preimplantation Embryos. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* **2009**, *114*, 99–108. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2008.09.019) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19013732)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>26. Kautz, E.; Gram, A.; Aslan, S.; Ay, S.S.; Selçuk, M.; Kanca, H.; Koldaş, E.; Akal, E.; Karakaş, K.; Findik, M.; et al. Expression of Genes Involved in the Embryo-Maternal Interaction in the Early-Pregnant Canine Uterus. *Reproduction* **2014**, *147*, 703–717. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-13-0648) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24481956)
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>27. Vassiliev, I.; Nottle, M.B. Isolation and Culture of Porcine Embryonic Stem Cells. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2013**, *1074*, 85–95. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-628-3_7)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>28. Abràmoff, M.D.; Magalhães, P.J.; Ram, S.J. Image Processing with ImageJ. *Biophotonics Int.* **2004**, *11*, 36–42.
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>29. Cindrova-Davies, T.; Jauniaux, E.; Elliot, M.G.; Gong, S.; Burton, G.J.; Charnock-Jones, D.S. RNA-Seq Reveals Conservation of Function among the Yolk Sacs of Human, Mouse, and Chicken. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2017**, *114*, E4753–E4761. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702560114)
- <span id="page-16-4"></span><span id="page-16-3"></span>30. Tomasi, T.B. Structure and Function of Alpha-Fetoprotein. *Annu. Rev. Med.* **1977**, *28*, 453–465. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.me.28.020177.002321) 31. Manning, L.R.; Russell, J.E.; Padovan, J.C.; Chait, B.T.; Popowicz, A.; Manning, R.S.; Manning, J.M. Human Embryonic, Fetal, and Adult Hemoglobins Have Different Subunit Interface Strengths. Correlation with Lifespan in the Red Cell. *Protein Sci.* **2007**,
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>*16*, 1641–1658. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.072891007) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17656582) 32. Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>C(T)) Method. *Methods* **2001**, *25*, 402–408. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11846609) 33. Boretto, M.; Cox, B.; Noben, M.; Hendriks, N.; Fassbender, A.; Roose, H.; Amant, F.; Timmerman, D.; Tomassetti, C.; Vanhie, A.; et al. Development of Organoids from Mouse and Human Endometrium Showing Endometrial Epithelium Physiology and Long-Term Expandability. *Development* **2017**, *144*, 1775–1786. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.148478)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>34. Turco, M.Y.; Gardner, L.; Hughes, J.; Cindrova-Davies, T.; Gomez, M.J.; Farrell, L.; Hollinshead, M.; Marsh, S.G.E.; Brosens, J.J.; Critchley, H.O.; et al. Long-Term, Hormone-Responsive Organoid Cultures of Human Endometrium in a Chemically Defined Medium. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2017**, *19*, 568–577. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3516)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>35. Miese-Looy, G.; van den Heuvel, M.J.; Edwards, A.K.; Lamarre, J.; Tayade, C. Expression of Insulin-like Growth Factor (IGF) Family Members in Porcine Pregnancy. *J. Reprod. Dev.* **2012**, *58*, 51–60. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1262/jrd.09-191K)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>36. Blitek, A.; Morawska, E.; Ziecik, A.J. Regulation of Expression and Role of Leukemia Inhibitory Factor and Interleukin-6 in the Uterus of Early Pregnant Pigs. *Theriogenology* **2012**, *78*, 951–964. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2012.05.016) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22920593)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>37. Tiedemann, K.; Minuth, W.W. The Pig Yolk Sac I. Fine Structure of the Posthaematopoietic Organ. *Histochemistry* **1980**, *68*, 133–146. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00489509)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>38. Galdos-Riveros, A.; Rezende, L.C.; Pessolato, A.; Zogno, M.A.; Rici, R.E.M.; Miglino, A. The Structure of the Bovine Yolk Sac: A Study Microscopic. In *Current Microscopy Contributions to Advances in Science and Technology*, 5th ed.; Formatex Research Center: Basking Ridge, NJ, USA, 2012; pp. 508–515.
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>39. Mançanares, C.A.F.; de Oliveira, V.C.; Oliveira, L.J.; Miglino, M.A.; Meirelles, F.V.; Ambrósio, C.E. Morphological and Molecular Analysis of In Vitro Tubular Structures from Bovine Yolk Sac-Derived MSCs. *Stem Cells Int.* **2019**, *2019*, 5073745. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5073745)
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>40. Lin, L.; Yee, S.W.; Kim, R.B.; Giacomini, K.M. SLC Transporters as Therapeutic Targets: Emerging Opportunities. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2015**, *14*, 543–560. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd4626)
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>41. Potapova, I.A.; Gaudette, G.R.; Brink, P.R.; Robinson, R.B.; Rosen, M.R.; Cohen, I.S.; Doronin, S.V. Mesenchymal Stem Cells Support Migration, Extracellular Matrix Invasion, Proliferation, and Survival of Endothelial Cells in Vitro. *Stem Cells* **2007**, *25*, 1761–1768. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.2007-0022)
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>42. Foty, R. A Simple Hanging Drop Cell Culture Protocol for Generation of 3D Spheroids. *J. Vis. Exp. JoVE* **2011**, *51*, 2720. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3791/2720)
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>43. Jeong, Y.; Tin, A.; Irudayaraj, J. Flipped Well-Plate Hanging-Drop Technique for Growing Three-Dimensional Tumors. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **2022**, *10*, 898699. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2022.898699) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35860331)
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>44. Kwon, G.S.; Fraser, S.T.; Eakin, G.S.; Mangano, M.; Isern, J.; Sahr, K.E.; Hadjantonakis, A.K.; Baron, M.H. Tg(Afp-GFP) Expression Marks Primitive and Definitive Endoderm Lineages during Mouse Development. *Dev. Dyn.* **2006**, *235*, 2549–2558. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20843) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16708394)
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>45. Santise, G.; Maselli, D.; Malanga, D.; di Vito, A.; Mandarino, N.; Boccadamo, G.; Zeppa, P.; Amorosi, A.; Viglietto, G.; Rizzuto, A.; et al. Identification of Mesothelial Cells in Intraoperative Blood Salvage. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* **2019**, *11*, 1771. [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30972200)
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>46. Yagi, S.; Shiojiri, N. Identification of Novel Genetic Markers for Mouse Yolk Sac Cells by Using Microarray Analyses. *Placenta* **2017**, *49*, 68–71. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.placenta.2016.11.013)
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>47. Corrò, C.; Novellasdemunt, L.; Li, V.S.W. A Brief History of Organoids. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* **2020**, *319*, C151–C165. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00120.2020)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.