



# *Article* **Effect of Dietary Concentrate-to-Forage Ratios During the Cold Season on Slaughter Performance, Meat Quality, Rumen Fermentation and Gut Microbiota of Tibetan Sheep**

 $\,$  $\,$ Shijia Wang  $\,$  , Wenhui Tang  $\,$  , Ting Jiang  $\,$  , Ru Wang  $\,$  , Ruoxi Zhang  $\,$  , Jingyu Ou  $\,$  , Qiangjun Wang  $\,$   $\,$   $\bullet$ **Xiao Cheng <sup>1</sup> , Chunhuan Ren <sup>1</sup> , Jiahong Chen 1,2, Yafeng Huang <sup>1</sup> and Zijun Zhang 1,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4292-4709)**

- <sup>1</sup> College of Animal Science and Technology, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei 230036, China; wangsj2024@ahau.edu.cn (S.W.); 23720297@stu.ahau.edu.cn (W.T.); jiangting2022@stu.ahau.edu.cn (T.J.); wangru3127@163.com (R.W.); zhangruoxi@stu.ahau.edu.cn (R.Z.); qq23720275@stu.ahau.edu.cn (J.O.); wangqiangjun@ahau.edu.cn (Q.W.); chengxiao@ahau.edu.cn (X.C.); renchunhuan@ahau.edu.cn (C.R.); chenjiahong@ahau.edu.cn (J.C.); huangyafeng@ahau.edu.cn (Y.H.)
- <sup>2</sup> Center of Agriculture Technology Cooperation and Promotion of Dingyuan County, Dingyuan 233200, China
- **\*** Correspondence: zhangzijun@ahau.edu.cn

**Simple Summary:** Tibetan sheep are known for their high-quality meat and strong ability to adapt, but limited information is available on the effect of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratio on carcass traits, meat quality, rumen fermentation and gut microbiota of Tibetan sheep during the cold season. Therefore, this study investigated the impact of the different concentrate-to-forage ratios on slaughter performance, meat quality, rumen fermentation, and rumen and fecal microbial community composition. The results revealed that an increase in the dietary concentrate level from 30% to 70% positively impacted slaughter performance, tenderness, and juiciness of Tibetan sheep meat. Meat color was optimized with high acceptability by consumers and was observed at a dietary concentrate-to-forage ratio of 50:50. Correlation analysis revealed a strong correlation between specific gastrointestinal bacteria and slaughter performance as well as with meat quality. These findings offer insights into the effect of the diet on slaughter performance, meat quality, rumen microbiota, and fecal microbiota of Tibetan sheep, which may inform decisions regarding feeding strategies.

**Abstract:** This study aimed to investigate the effects of different dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on slaughter performance, meat quality, rumen fermentation, rumen microbiota and fecal microbiota in Tibetan sheep. A total of sixty male Tibetan sheep were equally allocated into three dietary groups based on concentrate-to-forage ratios, i.e., 30:70 (C30), 50:50 (C50), and 70:30 (C70). Compared with the C30 group, sheep fed the C70 diet resulted in a higher (*p* < 0.05) slaughter live weight (SLW), hot carcass weight (HCW), dressing percentage (DP), eye muscle area, average daily gain (ADG), and ruminal total volatile fatty acids concentration and propionate molar proportion and lower (*p* < 0.05) shear force and cooking loss of meat, and ruminal acetate molar proportion and acetate:propionate ratio. Sheep in the C50 group exhibited a higher (*p* < 0.05) SLW, HCW, ADG, and ruminal propionate molar proportion and lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) shear force and cooking loss of meat, and ruminal acetate molar proportion and acetate: propionate ratio compared with the C30 group. In rumen fluid, the relative abundance of *Butyrivibrio* was lower (*p* = 0.031) in the C30 group, and that of *Ruminococcus* was higher (*p* = 0.003) in the C70 group compared with the C50 group. In feces, genus *Monoglobus* and *UCG\_002* were the most abundant in the C30 group (*p* < 0.05), and the relative abundance of *Prevotella* was significantly higher in the C70 group than in other groups (*p* = 0.013). Correlation analysis revealed possible links between slaughter performance and meat quality and altered microbiota composition in the rumen and feces of Tibetan sheep. Overall, feeding a C70 diet resulted in superior carcass characteristics and meat quality in Tibetan sheep, thus laying a theoretical basis for the application of short-term remote feeding during the cold season.

**Keywords:** Tibetan sheep; carcass traits; meat quality; gut microbiota; correlation analysis



**Citation:** Wang, S.; Tang, W.; Jiang, T.; Wang, R.; Zhang, R.; Ou, J.; Wang, Q.; Cheng, X.; Ren, C.; Chen, J.; et al. Effect of Dietary Concentrate-to-Forage Ratios During the Cold Season on Slaughter Performance, Meat Quality, Rumen Fermentation and Gut Microbiota of Tibetan Sheep. *Animals* **2024**, *14*, 3305. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14223305) [10.3390/ani14223305](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14223305)

Academic Editor: Giuseppe Pulina

Received: 3 October 2024 Revised: 13 November 2024 Accepted: 14 November 2024 Published: 17 November 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

# **1. Introduction**

Tibetan sheep (*Ovis aries*) are one of the most important livestock species living on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau (QTP), China, and a valuable genetic resource in China [\[1\]](#page-16-0). Tibetan mutton is prized for its rich aroma, fresh taste, tender texture, and high nutritional value due to its high protein, low cholesterol, and high vitamin and mineral contents [\[1\]](#page-16-0). These attributes make it a suitable choice among today's consumers seeking high-quality and healthy meat [\[2\]](#page-16-1). However, due to the harsh natural environment in the QTP, the short growing season for forage is only approximately 120 days annually [\[1\]](#page-16-0). In particular, the quantity and quality of forage can decrease in the long cold season, which usually results in limited available nutrients when Tibetan sheep are fed exclusively on grazing [\[3\]](#page-16-2). This annual growth cycle results in lower productivity, food insecurity, and economic challenges for farmers. Sun et al. [\[4\]](#page-16-3) demonstrated that short-term remote feeding is an effective alternative to natural grazing during the prolonged cold season, achieving desirable fattening outcomes in Tibetan sheep. A limited study by Zhang et al. [\[5\]](#page-16-4) reported that transporting Tibetan sheep from Qinghai to Anhui, China, resulted in an average daily weight gain of 40–120 g/day from October to December, with blood parameters remaining within the normal range. Therefore, remote feeding could mitigate forage shortage and guarantee the short-term fattening of Tibetan sheep, preventing weight loss and meeting the peak demand for mutton during the cold season.

Carcass characteristics and meat quality in sheep are influenced by dietary factors, including the concentrate-to-forage (C:F) ratio and increasing the proportion of concentrate in ruminant diets is commonly used to meet the demand for high-quantity mutton during growth [\[6\]](#page-16-5). Previous studies have shown that higher proportions of concentrate in the diet resulted in faster and more efficient growth, as well as higher carcass weight [\[7](#page-16-6)[,8\]](#page-16-7), but may also impact physiological traits, including affecting the composition of the rumen and fecal microbiota [\[6](#page-16-5)[,9\]](#page-16-8). Wang et al. [\[10\]](#page-16-9) demonstrated that suitable dietary C:F ratios can provide balanced nutrition for ruminants, improved feed conversions and animal performance, as well as optimized rumen microbiota. Concentrate-based diets generally result in lower microbial complexity in the rumen and the feces compared with forage-based diets, largely due to the reduced variety of substrates [\[11\]](#page-16-10). However, as a unique breed on the QTP, a few studies on Tibetan sheep have only focused on the growth performance, rumen fermentation and bacterial diversity [\[12\]](#page-16-11) or slaughter performance and meat quality [\[13\]](#page-16-12) under different forage to concentrate ratios. To our knowledge, little comprehensive research has focused on the slaughter performance, meat quality, rumen fermentation, and rumen and fecal microbial community composition of Tibetan sheep, especially during short-term remote feeding in the cold season.

Given the above, the objectives of this study were to evaluate slaughter performance, meat quality, ruminal fermentation, and bacterial communities in the rumen and feces of Tibetan sheep-fed diets with three C:F ratios during the cold season. The findings discussed herein provide a theoretical basis and practical guidance for improving Tibetan sheep production through short-term remote feeding and inform future research on gut microbial metabolism in Tibetan sheep.

# **2. Materials and Methods**

All experimental designs and protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China (approval No. AHAU2020023) and followed the institutional guidelines for animal research.

#### *2.1. Animals, Diets and Experimental Design*

Tibetan sheep were purchased from Henan Mongolian Autonomous County (Qinghai, China). The experiment was conducted from November 2023 to January 2024 at the Jianghuai Watershed Comprehensive Experiment Station of Anhui Agricultural University, Anhui Province, China. Sixty male Tibetan sheep (eight months old) with similar initial body weight (approximately 22.00  $\pm$  0.30 kg) were randomly assigned to three experimental

groups  $(n = 20)$  based on the feed C:F ratio (on a dry matter basis) in the diet: (i) 30:70 (C30 group); 50:50 (C50 group); and 70:30 (C70 group). All animals were vaccinated against internal and external parasites using an anthelmintic drug and were housed in individual pens  $(3.1 \times 1.0 \text{ m})$  with five animals per pen in the same sheep shed. Sheep were provided free access to water and fed twice daily at 08:00 and 17:00 throughout the duration of the experiment, which lasted for 65 days following a 15-day adaptation period. No heating or humidity reduction measures were used during the fattening period. In the feeding area during the growing period (November 2023–January 2024), the minimum, maximum, and total average daily air temperatures were  $-6.4$ , 20.2 and 4.9 °C and the minimum, maximum, and total mean daily relative humidity was 39.0%, 99.0%, and 74.67%, respectively. The ingredients of the diets and nutritional composition are presented in Table [1.](#page-2-0)



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1.** Ingredients and nutritional composition of three C:F ratio diets (DM%).

 $\frac{1}{1}$  C30 = 30:70 concentrate: forage; C50 = 50:50 concentrate: forage; C70 = 70:30 concentrate: forage. <sup>2</sup> Formulated to provide (per kilogram of premix) 50 KIU of vitamin A, 10 KIU of vitamin D3, 450 mg of vitamin E, 2100 mg of Zn, 8 mg of Se, 900 mg of I, 2100 mg of Fe, 10 mg of Co, 30 mg of Mn, and 1 350 mg of Cu.<sup>3</sup> Calculated according to Ministry of Agriculture of P.R. China, 2004.

#### *2.2. Animal Slaughter and Carcass Characteristics*

At the end of the experiment, five Tibetan sheep from each treatment group were randomly selected and individually weighed after fasting for 24 h and water restriction for 8 h to determine slaughter live weight (SLW). These animals were then slaughtered by professionals using the Halal method [\[14\]](#page-16-13). Average daily gain (ADG) was calculated as total weight gain divided by the number of days following the method described by Liu et al. [\[12\]](#page-16-11) Hot carcass weight (HCW) was determined immediately after slaughter, and dressing percentage (DP) was calculated immediately after according to the method proposed by Zhang et al. [\[15\]](#page-16-14) The grade rule (GR) value was measured using a Vernier caliper to determine tissue thickness between the 12th and 13th ribs at the 11 cm midline of the dorsal spine [\[16\]](#page-17-0). Eye muscle area (EMA) was determined by measuring the crosssectional area of the *longissimus dorsi* muscle between the 12th and 13th ribs [\[14\]](#page-16-13). The right side of the *longissimus dorsi* muscle was sampled for subsequent meat quality analysis.

#### *2.3. Meat Quality Determination*

The *longissimus dorsi* muscle was collected to assess various meat quality attributes, including color, pH, drip loss (DL), cooking loss (CL), and shear force. Lightness (*L\**),

redness (*a\**), and yellowness (*b\**) values were measured using a Minolta chromameter (ADCI-WSI, Beijing Chentaike Instrument Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). The pH of the muscle tissue was determined after 45 min (p $H_{45min}$ ) and again at 24 h (p $H_{24h}$ ) using a pH meter (FE20, Mettler Toledo International Inc., Stockholm, Sweden). The DL was determined as the percentage of water loss after storage at 4 ◦C for 24 h relative to the initial sample weight (1 cm  $\times$  1 cm  $\times$  2 cm) [\[17\]](#page-17-1). Samples were placed in polyethylene bags and cooked in a water bath at 75 ◦C for 1 h and cooking loss was recorded as the difference in weight before and after cooking. Shear force was measured parallel to the orientation of muscle fibers using a Warner-Bratzler machine (TMS-Pro, Food Technology Corp., Sterling, VA, USA). All experiments were performed in triplicate to calculate average values.

#### *2.4. Rumen Fluid and Fecal Sampling and Ruminal Fermentation Analysis*

After slaughter, rumen fluid samples were collected and immediately filtered into sterile freezing tubes using four layers of sterile gauze. Fecal samples were obtained from the rectum and placed in 10 mL sterile freezing tubes. Both rumen fluid and fecal samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C for subsequent analysis.

The analysis of volatile fatty acids (VFA, i.e., acetate, propionate, butyrate, isobutyrate, valerate and isovalerate) was performed on a capillary gas chromatograph (C-2010 Plus, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a capillary column (30 mm  $\times$  0.32 mm  $\times$  0.25 mm) as described by Eikanger et al. [\[18\]](#page-17-2) Briefly, samples were thawed on ice and centrifuged at  $20,000\times g$  for 15 min. Then, 9.5 mL of supernatant was transferred into a new tube, enriched with 0.5 mL of 98% internal standard (formic acid) and centrifuged again. Subsequently, VFA concentrations were determined from the clear supernatant.

#### *2.5. DNA Extraction, Microbiome Sequencing, and Analysis*

High-throughput sequencing was performed at BioMarker Technologies Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). Total genomic DNA was extracted using a Magnetic Soil and Stool DNA kit (Tiangen Biochemical Technology, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The DNA purity and concentration were verified by 1.8% agarose gel electrophoresis and with a Thermo NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The V3–V4 hypervariable regions of the prokaryotic 16S rRNA gene were amplified using the primers 338F (5′ -ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCA-3′ ) and 806R (5′ -GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3′ ) [\[19\]](#page-17-3). The PCR amplification was performed in a reaction mixture containing 50 ng of template DNA,  $0.3 \mu$ L of each primer, 5  $\mu$ L of KOD FX Neo Buffer, 2  $\mu$ L of 2 mM dNTPs, 0.2  $\mu$ L KOD FX Neo and PCR-grade water to a total final volume of 10 µL. PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95  $^{\circ}$ C for 5 min, followed by 25 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 10 s, annealing at 50 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 40 s, and then final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR amplification products were evaluated using 1.8% agarose gel electrophoresis and purified using an E.Z.N.A. Cycle-Pure Kit (OMEGA, Norcross, GA, USA) and Monarch® DNA Gel Extraction Kit (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA). Amplicon pools were sequenced in an Illumina Novaseq 6000 platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) using paired-end sequencing.

Raw data were processed using FLASH (version 1.2.11) and UCHIME (version 8.1). Sequences were classified into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using a 97% threshold using USEARCH (version 10.0) and QIIME2 software platform (v2023.9), and chimeric sequences were identified and deleted. R software (3.2.1, CRAN, Vienna, Austria) was used for plotting, including the Venn diagram, PCoA, ANOSIM, and heatmap. Alpha diversity based on Chao 1, Good's coverage, Shannon index, and Simpson's index was calculated using Mothur (version 1.30.0) [\[20\]](#page-17-4). Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) based on weighted UniFrac distances was conducted to compare samples, and a distance-based matrix analysis was performed to evaluate differences among samples. Sequence alignment was performed using the QIIME2 plugin feature classifier and the Silva database Release 138.

### *2.6. Statistical Analysis*

Statistical analyses of slaughter performance, meat quality attributes and ruminal fermentation were performed using a general linear model routine in SPSS software (version 25.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and then evaluated using one-way ANOVA. Differences between treatments were evaluated using Duncan's multiple range tests, with statistical significance set at *p* values < 0.05. Kruskal–Wallis test and Wilcoxon test were used to analyze the differences between groups at phylum and genus levels. *p*-Values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Hochberg correction method, and biomarkers with statistically significant differences were identified using LEfSe analysis considering a threshold of LDA  $\geq$  3.0 and  $p < 0.05$  as screening criteria. Pearson correlation analysis was used to analyze relationships between rumen or fecal relative bacterial abundance, carcass performance, and meat quality, with significant correlations set as *p* < 0.05.

### **3. Results**

#### *3.1. Slaughter Performance*

Table [2](#page-4-0) shows the results of the effects of dietary C:F ratio on slaughter performance. The SLW and ADG are significantly lower (*p* < 0.001) in the C30 group than in the C50 or C70 group, with no difference between the C50 and C70 groups (*p* > 0.05). The HCW of the C50 group was higher (*p* < 0.001) than that of the C30 group; however, it was lower than that of the C70 group. The DP was lower ( $p = 0.016$ ) in the C30 group than in the C70 group, with the C50 group being intermediate. The EMA was higher ( $p = 0.004$ ) in the C70 group than in the other two groups. The grade rule averaged 0.85 cm and did not differ among the three treatment groups ( $p > 0.05$ ).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2.** Effects of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on slaughter performance of Tibetan sheep.



<sup>a–c</sup> Mean values accompanied by different letters within a row indicate significant differences. <sup>1</sup> C30 = 30:70 concentrate: forage;  $C50 = 50:50$  concentrate: forage;  $C70 = 70:30$  concentrate: forage.

#### *3.2. Meat Quality Attributes*

No significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) were observed for pH<sub>45min</sub>, pH<sub>24h</sub>,  $L^*$ , and  $a^*$ , with average values of 6.41  $\pm$  0.06, 5.50  $\pm$  0.02, 33.90  $\pm$  0.51, and 9.77  $\pm$  0.23, respectively (Table [3\)](#page-5-0). The shear force, cooking loss, and yellowness of meat are the highest (*p* < 0.001) for the C30 group and lowest ( $p < 0.05$ ) for the C70 group, with the C50 group being intermediate. The drip loss of meat is higher  $(p < 0.001)$  in the C30 and C50 groups compared with the C70 group.

Index	Dietary Treatment <sup>1</sup>				<i>p</i> -Value
	C <sub>30</sub>	C50	C70	<b>SEM</b>	
$\rm pH_{45min}$	6.40	6.48	6.34	0.06	0.634
pH <sub>24h</sub>	5.46	5.52	5.52	0.02	0.414
Shear force (N)	47.91 <sup>a</sup>	42.50 <sup>b</sup>	26.88 <sup>c</sup>	2.56	< 0.001
Cooking loss (%)	$40.64$ <sup>a</sup>	38.88 b	$35.67$ c	0.61	< 0.001
Drip $loss$ (%)	$10.74$ <sup>a</sup>	9.77 <sup>a</sup>	4.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.86	< 0.001
Lightness	34.57	34.40	32.74	0.51	0.280
Redness	9.27	9.61	10.44	0.23	0.101
Yellowness	6.50 <sup>a</sup>	5.61 $^{\rm b}$	4.61 $\degree$	0.22	< 0.001

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 3.** Effects of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on meat quality attributes of Tibetan sheep.

 $a-c$  Mean values accompanied by different letters within a row indicate significant differences. <sup>1</sup> C30 = 30:70 concentrate: forage; C50 = 50:50 concentrate: forage; C70 = 70:30 concentrate: forage.

#### *3.3. Rumen Fermentation Parameters*

Total VFA concentration is significantly higher ( $p = 0.027$ ) in the C70 group compared with the C30 and C50 groups, with no differences observed between the C30 and C50 groups (Table [4\)](#page-5-1). The molar proportion of acetate and acetate:propionate are highest (*p* < 0.001) in the C30 group, intermediate in the C50 group and the lowest in the C70 group. The molar proportion of propionate was lower  $(p < 0.001)$  in the C50 group compared with the C70 group, but it was higher than that of the C30 group. The molar proportion of isobutyrate was lower ( $p = 0.049$ ) in the C70 group compared with the C50 group, with the C30 group showing intermediate values. The molar proportions of butyrate, valerate, and isovalerate were similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) among the three groups and averaged 10.78  $\pm$  0.83,  $3.95 \pm 0.18$ , and  $7.26 \pm 0.22$ , respectively.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Table 4.** Effects of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on rumen fermentation parameters of Tibetan sheep.



 $a-c$  Mean values accompanied by different letters within a row indicate significant differences. <sup>1</sup> C30 = 30:70 concentrate: forage; C50 = 50:50 concentrate: forage; C70 = 70:30 concentrate: forage.

#### *3.4. Rumen and Fecal Bacterial Diversity*

The Venn diagram analysis revealed 5539, 5460, and 4123 unique OTUs in the rumen fluid (Figure [1a](#page-6-0)) and 5248, 4013, and 2807 unique OTUs in the feces (Figure [1b](#page-6-0)) of Tibetan sheep in the C30, C50, and C70 groups, respectively.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 1. Effect of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on the Venn analysis of operational taxonomic units from the ruminal (a) and fecal (b) microbiota of Tibetan sheep (5 animals in each group). Abbreviations: C30 = 30:70 concentrate: forage; C50 = 50:50 concentrate: forage; C70 = 70:30 forage. concentrate: forage.

Alpha-diversity indicators of the rumen fluid and feces are presented in Table [5.](#page-6-1) The alpha-diversity and beta-diversity of microorganisms in the rumen and feces were evaluated to explore the differences in microbial composition among the three groups. In rumen fluid, Chao1 and Shannon indices were significantly lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the C70 group compared with the C30 group, with the C50 group showing intermediate values. In feces, the Shannon index was the highest in the C30 group and the lowest in the C70 group ( $p = 0.039$ ), while the PD\_whole\_tree was the highest in the C50 group and the lowest in the C70 group ( $p = 0.007$ ). No significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) were observed for the Simpson index, PD\_whole\_tree, or coverage in rumen fluid, nor for Chao1 and Simpson indices and coverage in feces across the three treatment groups. The above results indicate that a higher C:F ratio reduces bacterial richness and diversity in the rumen and reduces bacterial diversity in the feces of Tibetan sheep. The coverage across all samples exceeded 99.98%, suggesting that the sequencing depth was adequate for accurately characterizing 99.98%, suggesting that the sequencing depth was adequate for accurately characterizing the microbial composition of each sample. the microbial composition of each sample.



<span id="page-6-1"></span>Table 5. Effect of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on alpha-diversity indices of the ruminal and **Table 5.** Effect of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on alpha-diversity indices of the ruminal and fecal microbial communities in the Tibetan sheep. fecal microbial communities in the Tibetan sheep.

 $a-b$  Mean values accompanied by different letters within a row indicate significant differences. <sup>1</sup> C30 = 30:70 concentrate: forage; C50 = 50:50 concentrate: forage; C70 = 70:30 concentrate: forage.

The PCoA of rumen fluid samples showed that PCoA1 and PCoA2 accounted for 11.98% and 10.91% of the variance in the total microbial community structure, respectively 11.98% and 10.91% of the variance in the total microbial community structure, respectively (Figure [2a](#page-7-0)). In fecal samples, PCoA1 and PCoA2 accounted for 20.58% and 13.77% of the variation, respectively (Figure [2b](#page-7-0)). The ANOSIM results of rumen fluid samples showed significant differences in bacterial community structure between the C30 and C70 groups ( $R = 0.524$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ) and between C50 and C70 groups ( $R = 0.260$ ,  $p = 0.020$ ; Supplementary Table S1). In fecal samples, the distinction in the bacterial community revealed that significant differences were observed between the C30 and C70 groups  $(R = 0.660, p = 0.007)$  and between the C50 and C70 groups ( $R = 0.268, p = 0.028$ ). The above results suggest that the dietary C:F ratio could substantially influence the diversity and abundance of microbiota in the rumen and feces of Tibetan sheep.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 2. Effect of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on principal coordinate (PCoA) analysis of the microorganisms of the rumen (a) and feces (b) of Tibetan sheep (5 animals in each group). breviations: C30 = 30:70 concentrate: forage; C50 = 50:50 concentrate: forage; C70 = 70:30 concentrate: Abbreviations: C30 = 30:70 concentrate: forage; C50 = 50:50 concentrate: forage; C70 = 70:30 concentrate: forage.

# 3.5. Rumen and Fecal Bacterial Community Structure *3.5. Rumen and Fecal Bacterial Community Structure*

The relative abundances of the top seven phyla in rumen fluid and feces are illustrated in F[ig](#page-8-0)ure 3. In rumen fluid, the most dominant phyla were similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) across the three experimental groups, i.e., *Bacteroidota* (46.24-49.38%) and *Firmicutes* (41.33-45.15%; 45.15%[; F](#page-8-0)igure 3a). The relative abundance of Verrucomicrobiota was significantly higher (p Figure 3a). The relative abundance of *Verrucomicrobiota* was significantly higher (*p* = 0.018) in the C30 and C50 groups compared with the C70 group (Fi[gu](#page-8-0)re 3b). No significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) were observed for the relative abundances of the other phyla across the across the three treatment groups. In feces, Firmicutes (41.92–53.23%) and Bacteroidota three treatment groups. In feces, *Firmicutes* (41.92–53.23%) and *Bacteroidota* (18.99–40.02%) were the two most dominant [p](#page-8-0)hyla (Figure 3c), with *Bacteroidota* being significantly more abundant (*p* = 0.004) in the C70 group compared with the C30 and [C5](#page-8-0)0 groups (Figure 3d). The relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* was significantly higher ( $p = 0.048$ ) in the C50 group compared with the C30 and C70 groups. No significant differences were observed for the relative abundances of the other five phyla among the three treatment groups ( $p > 0.05$ ).

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Figure 3. Effect of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on relative abundance of ruminal (a,b) and **Figure 3.** Effect of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on relative abundance of ruminal (**a**,**b**) and fecal (c,d) microbiome at the phylum level of Tibetan sheep (5 animals in each group). Abbreviations:  $C30 = 30:70$  concentrate: forage;  $C50 = 50:50$  concentrate: forage;  $C70 = 70:30$  concentrate: forage;  $\approx$  0.01 < *p* < 0.05, \*\* 0.001 < *p* < 0.01.

The relative abundances of the top 14 bacterial genera in rumen fluid (a) and feces The relative abundances of the top 14 bacterial genera in rumen fluid (a) and feces (b) are shown in Figure [4.](#page-9-0) In rumen fluid, the relative abundance of *Butyrivibrio* was significantly lower  $(p = 0.031)$  in the C30 group, and that of *Ruminococcus* was higher  $(p = 0.003)$  in the C70 group compared with the C50 group (Figure [4a](#page-9-0),b). The relative abundances of twelve other abundant genera were similar across treatments ( $p > 0.05$ ). In feces, the relative abundance of *Monoglobus* was considerably lower ( $p = 0.018$ ) in the C50 group than in the C30 group, with the C70 group showing an intermediate value (Figure [4c](#page-9-0),d). Compared with the C30 group, the relative abundance of *Prevotella* was significantly higher  $(p = 0.031)$  in the C70 group, and that of *UCG*\_002 was lower  $(p = 0.013)$ in the C50 and C70 groups. The relative abundances of nine other abundant genera were similar across treatments ( $p > 0.05$ ).

 $100$ 

 $90$ 

Percent of community abundance on general evel<br>  $\stackrel{1}{\approx}$   $\stackrel{2}{\approx}$   $\stackrel{3}{\approx}$   $\stackrel{3}{\approx}$   $\stackrel{3}{\approx}$ 

<span id="page-9-0"></span>



Figure 4. Effect of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on relative abundance of ruminal (a,b) and **Figure 4.** Effect of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on relative abundance of ruminal (**a**,**b**) and fecal (c,d) microbiome at the genus levels of Tibetan sheep (5 animals in each group). Abbreviations:  $C30 = 30:70$  concentrate: forage;  $C50 = 50:50$  concentrate: forage;  $C70 = 70:30$  concentrate: forage; \*  $0.01 < p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $0.001 < p < 0.01$ .

Based on an LDA of 3.0, 16 genera were found to be significantly enriched among the Based on an LDA of 3.0, 16 genera were found to be significantly enriched among the three treatment groups (Figure [5\)](#page-10-0). In rumen fluid, the genera *Lachnospiraceae\_AC2044\_group*, Lachnospiraceae\_XPB1014\_group, and *Monoglobus* were significantly enriched (*p* < 0.05) in the C30 group (Figure [5a](#page-10-0)). *Butyrivibrio* and *Pseudobutyrivibrio* were significantly enriched significantly enriched (p < 0.05) in the C50 group, and Selenomonas, Anaerovibrio, and (*p* < 0.05) in the C50 group, and *Selenomonas*, *Anaerovibrio*, and *Prevotellaceae\_UCG\_004* were significantly enriched ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the C70 group.

In feces, the genera Monoglobus, UCG\_002, Prevotellaceae\_UCG\_004, UCG\_009, In feces, the genera *Monoglobus*, *UCG\_002*, *Prevotellaceae\_UCG\_004*, *UCG\_009*, *GWE2\_* 31\_10, Family\_XIII\_AD3011\_group, Intestinimonas, Turicibacter, Candidatus\_Soleaferrea, and Desulfovibrio were significantly enriched ( $p < 0.05$ ) in [th](#page-10-0)e C30 group (Figure 5b); *Romboutsia*, Eubacterium\_tenue\_group, Streptococcus, and Caldicoprobacter were significantly enriched ( $p$  < 0.05) in the C50 group; and *Prevotella*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Prevotellaceae\_YAB2003\_group*, Oscillospira, Lachnospiraceae\_UCG\_004, Oscillibacter, Succinivibrionaceae\_UCG\_001, and Marv*inbryantia* were significantly enriched ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the C70 group.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 5. Effect of dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios on ruminal (a) and fecal (b) microbial composition using the linear discriminant analysis effect size analysis (LDA > 3 and *p* < 0.05) in Tibetan sheep (5 animals in each group). Abbreviations: C30 = 30:70 concentrate: forage; C50 = 50:50 concentrate: forage;  $C70 = 70:30$  concentrate: forage.

# 3.6. Correlation Analysis *3.6. Correlation Analysis*

To elucidate the relationship between rumen or fecal microbial composition and To elucidate the relationship between rumen or fecal microbial composition and slaughter performance and meat quality in Tibetan sheep, a Pearson correlation analysis between these variables was carried out, and the significantly correlated substances ( $p < 0.05$ ) are 0.05) are presented i[n F](#page-11-0)igure 6. In rumen fluid, Bacteroidales\_ bacterium\_Bact\_22 was found presented in Figure 6. In rumen fluid, *Bacteroidales\_ bacterium\_Bact\_22* was found to be negatively correlated with SLW ( $p = 0.037$ ,  $r = -0.559$ ) and ADG ( $p = 0.031$ ,  $r = -0.558$  $r = -0.558$ ; Figure 6a), Figure 6a), while Saccharofermentans was negatively correlated with SLW (p = 0.037, r = while *Saccharofermentans* was negatively correlated with SLW (*p* = 0.037, r = −0.543). *Succiniclasticum* was negatively correlated with shear force ( $p = 0.026$ ,  $r = -0.572$ ) and DL ( $p = 0.026$ ,  $r = -0.572$ ). *Ruminococcus* was negatively correlated with DL ( $p = 0.009$ ,  $r = -0.645$ ), CL r = −0.645), CL (p = 0.03, r = −0.559), b\* value (p = 0.043, r = −0.529), and positively correlated (*p* = 0.03, r = −0.559), *b\** value (*p* = 0.043, r = −0.529), and positively correlated with *a\** value ( $p = 0.025$ ,  $r = 0.576$ ) and molar proportion of valerate ( $p = 0.005$ ,  $r = 0.685$ ). *Treponema* was negatively correlated with DL ( $p = 0.043$ ,  $r = -0.527$ ) and positively correlated with  $a^*$ value ( $p = 0.001$ ,  $r = 0.773$ ) and total VFA concentration ( $p = 0.023$ ,  $r = 0.582$ ). *Butyrivibrio* was positively correlated with  $pH_{24h}$  ( $p = 0.018$ ,  $r = 0.60$ ). *Prevotella* was positively correlated with *a*<sup>\*</sup> value (*p* = 0.037, **r** = 0.542) and molar proportion of butyrate (*p* = 0.037, **r** = 0.614) and negatively correlated with DP ( $p = 0.039$ ,  $r = -0.537$ ). *Rikenellaceae\_RC9\_gut\_group* was negatively correlated with *a\** value ( $p = 0.016$ ,  $r = -0.610$ ) and total VFA concentration  $(p = 0.027, r = -0.568)$ , and positively correlated with *b*<sup>\*</sup> value  $(p = 0.039, r = 0.537)$ .

In feces, *Christensenellaceae\_R\_7\_group* was negatively correlated with SLW ( $p = 0.021$ ,  $r = -0.589$ ) and ADG (*p* = 0.014,  $r = -0.618$ ; Figure [6b](#page-11-0)). *Prevotella* was positively correlated with SLM (p = 0.021, r = 0.589) and  $\frac{1}{2}$ with SLW ( $p = 0.042$ ,  $r = 0.530$ ), HCW ( $p = 0.001$ ,  $r = 0.747$ ), DP ( $p = 0.006$ ,  $r = 0.672$ ), EMA  $(p = 0.042, r = 0.530)$ , grade rule  $(p = 0.009, r = 0.651)$ , and molar proportion of propionate  $(p = 0.004, r = 0.691)$  and valerate  $(p = 0.023, r = 0.583)$ , and negatively correlated with  $= 0.044$  r = 0.004, r = 0.013) and valerate (p = 0.023, r = 0.043),  $\approx 0.783$ ,  $\approx 0.78$  $b^*$  value (*p* = 0.015, r = −0.612), molar proportion of acetate (*p* = 0.004, r = −0.702), and = 0.015, r = −0.612), molar proportion of acetate (p = 0.004, r = −0.702), and acetate:propio-(*p* = 0.004, r = −0.690), HCW (*p* = 0.002, r = −0.738), ADG (*p* = 0.007, r = −0.665), DP  $(p = 0.030, r = -0.559)$ , and molar proportion of propionate  $(p = 0.004, r = -0.694)$ , and positively correlated with shear force  $(p = 0.038, r = 0.540)$ , CL  $(p = 0.029, r = 0.562)$ , molar proportion of acetate ( $p = 0.006$ ,  $r = 0.673$ ), and acetate:propionate ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $r = 0.811$ ).  $\frac{1000 \text{ N}}{1000 \text{ N}}$  regionalized (p = 0.005 r = 0.682), HCM (p = 0.03<br> $\frac{1000 \text{ N}}{1000 \text{ N}}$  r = 0.682), HCM (p = 0.03 *UCG\_002* was negatively correlated with SLW ( $p = 0.005$ ,  $r = -0.682$ ), HCW ( $p < 0.05$ , shear force (*p* = 0.001, r = −0.747), CL (*p* = 0.015, r = −0.614), DL (*p* = 0.013, r = −0.622), acetate: propionate ( $p = 0.03$ ,  $r = -0.560$ ). *Akkermansia* was negatively correlated with SLW

 $r = -0.792$ ), ADG ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $r = -0.863$ ), DP ( $p = 0.013$ ,  $r = -0.625$ ), and molar proportion of propionate ( $p = 0.001$ ,  $r = -0.744$ ), and positively correlated with shear force ( $p = 0.008$ )  $r = 0.654$ ), DL ( $p = 0.018$ ,  $r = 0.600$ ), CL ( $p = 0.033$ ,  $r = 0.552$ ),  $b^*$  value ( $p = 0.002$ ,  $r = 0.729$ ), molar proportion of acetate ( $p < 0.001$ , r = 0.794), and acetate: propionate ( $p = 0.003$ , r = 0.714). *Prevotellaceae\_UCG\_003* was positively correlated with  $a^*$  value ( $p = 0.011$ ,  $r = 0.636$ ). Lach*noclostridium* was positively correlated with pH<sub>45min</sub> ( $p = 0.021$ , r =  $-0.589$ ) and molar proportion of butyrate ( $p = 0.03$ ,  $r = 0.558$ ). Alistipes were positively correlated with  $a^*$  value  $(p = 0.037, r = 0.541)$  and negatively correlated with the molar proportion of isobutyrate  $(p = 0.049, r = −0.515)$ . 28\_4 was positively correlated with grade rule ( $p = 0.002, r = 0.725$ ) and molar proportion of propionate ( $p = 0.04$ ,  $r = 0.536$ ). *Rikenellaceae*\_*RC9\_gut\_group* was negatively correlated with the molar proportion of valerate ( $p = 0.044$ , r =  $-0.526$ ).

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Figure 6. Pearson correlation coefficients between ruminal (a) and fecal (b) microbiota composition **Figure 6.** Pearson correlation coefficients between ruminal (**a**) and fecal (**b**) microbiota composition at the genus level and slaughter performance and meat quality (n = 15) of Tibetan sheep. \* p < 0.05, at the genus level and slaughter performance and meat quality (*n* = 15) of Tibetan sheep. \* *p* < 0.05, \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , \*\*\*\*\*\*  $p < 0.000001$ .

# 4. Discussion **4. Discussion**

Tibetan sheep, as a unique primitive sheep breed on the QTP, has gained much research interest from both a basic perspective and a livestock production perspective. Understanding the physiological responses of Tibetan sheep can guide management and husbandry practices in the QTP in a growing Tibetan sheep production industry. In the present study, we attempted to gain a better understanding of the Tibetan sheep gut crobiota and the effects of dietary C:F ratio on it while correlating the observed changes microbiota and the effects of dietary C:F ratio on it while correlating the observed changes with slaughter performance and meat quality. with slaughter performance and meat quality.

# 4.1. Effect on Slaughter Performance *4.1. Effect on Slaughter Performance*

reflects production performance and directly impacts the amount of saleable meat, thereby affecting farmers' income [\[1,](#page-16-0)[21\]](#page-17-5). A high EMA value indicates better meat production per-formance [\[1\]](#page-16-0). In the present study, Tibetan sheep fed the C70 diet exhibited increased SLW, HCW, DP, EMA, and ADG compared with those in the C30 group. Additionally, animals in the C50 group showed lower HCW and EMA compared with those in the C70 group, indicating that a diet consisting of a 70:30 C:F ratio results in the optimization of slaughter performance in Tibetan sheep. The improved slaughter performance can be attributed to the lower fiber content and higher energy intake in animals receiving an increased C:F ratio in their diet, which promotes a shift in rumen fermentation from acetic acid towards propi-onic acid, thereby reducing the acetic acid/propionic acid ratio [22[,23\]](#page-17-7). This alteration is Slaughter performance, as determined by carcass weight, slaughter ratio, and EMA, conducive to an increase in the indicator of improved feed energy utilization efficiency [\[23\]](#page-17-7). This may also explain the higher EMA value observed in the C70 group. In line with the current results, Jin et al. [\[24\]](#page-17-8) reported that lambs exhibited an increase in HCW, DP, EMA, and growth rate when dietary concentrate proportions were increased from 35% to 65%. Collectively, the findings of this research suggested that Tibetan sheep fed with a 70% concentration level for off-site fattening can lead to an improvement in slaughter performance for farmers.

# *4.2. Effect on Meat Quality Attributes*

Meat quality is commonly assessed by parameters such as pH, color, tenderness, and juiciness. More specifically, an increase in pH value indicates higher fresh-keeping performance of meat [\[25\]](#page-17-9). In the present study, the  $pH_{45min}$  and  $pH_{24h}$  were not affected by different dietary C:F ratios, suggesting that an increased dietary C:F ratio did not change meat fresh-keeping performance.

Meat color has a direct impact on the appearance and economic value of meat [\[26\]](#page-17-10), and an increase in the yellowness value is indicative of lower meat freshness [\[27\]](#page-17-11). The present study showed that increasing the dietary C:F ratio led to a significant decrease in the *b*\* value of Tibetan sheep meat, indicating that an increase in the dietary C:F ratio significantly improved meat freshness. Increased tenderness, as indicated by reduced shear force, combined with increased juiciness, as indicated by decreased cooking loss and drip loss, likely affects the meat's acceptability and palatability by the consumer [\[15](#page-16-14)[,28\]](#page-17-12). The results discussed herein showed that increasing the dietary C:F ratio significantly improved meat tenderness and juiciness, which is consistent with the results of previous studies [\[29,](#page-17-13)[30\]](#page-17-14). This variation might be explained by the fact that an increase in concentrate levels led the rumen microbiota to produce large amounts of propionic acid, thereby providing more precursor substances for fatty acid synthesis, which in turn increases intramuscular fat deposition [\[31–](#page-17-15)[34\]](#page-17-16). Kang et al. [\[35\]](#page-17-17) also found that high intramuscular fat content in meat is easily lost upon heating, thus increasing the CL. In addition, lambs in the C50 group exhibited *L\** values greater than 34 and *a\** values greater than 9.5, which are considered above the level acceptable by consumers [\[36\]](#page-17-18). Therefore, this study recommends that farmers utilize a diet with a 70:30 C:F ratio to achieve superior juiciness and tenderness in Tibetan mutton production, while a 50:50 C:F feeding diet is advised for optimal meat color.

#### *4.3. Effect on Rumen Fermentation Parameters*

The total VFA and VFA molar ratio plays a crucial role in evaluating the internal environmental indicators of rumen fermentation [\[12,](#page-16-11)[24\]](#page-17-8). In the present study, an increase in C:F ratio significantly increased total VFA concentration in the rumen. This may be explained by the higher carbohydrate and starch contents in diets with a higher C:F ratio, resulting in a higher concentration of ruminal total VFA, which is consistent with findings obtained from Holstein cattle [\[37\]](#page-17-19). The present study also showed that the proportion of acetate and the acetate/propionate ratio decreased significantly with an increase in the C:F ratio, while the proportion of propionate increased with an increase in the C:F ratio. This may be related to the changes in rumen fermentation patterns among different C:F ratio groups. Previous studies have indicated that the abundance of cellulose-degrading bacteria in the rumen increased at higher roughage levels, leading to acetate-dominant fermentation, while the abundance of starch-degrading bacteria in the rumen was greater at higher concentrate levels, resulting in primarily propionate acid fermentation [\[38\]](#page-17-20). In line with our findings, Yi et al. [\[23\]](#page-17-7) observed a significant increase in ruminal propionate concentration and a decrease in acetate concentration and acetate-propionate ratio with increasing concentrate ratio. Cui et al. [\[39\]](#page-17-21) also suggested that increasing the molar proportion of propionate in the rumen results in improved energy efficiency, which is crucial for enhancing carcass weight. These results thus explain why the C70 group exhibited superior slaughter performance. Unfortunately, the ruminal pH was not recorded in this study, which may be a potential limitation in evaluating the possibility of induced acidosis. However, Zhang et al. [\[40\]](#page-18-0)

reported that the rumen pH value of Tibetan sheep was 5.67 when fed a diet with a 70:30 C:F ratio, which falls within the normal range (5.5–7.0) for rumen fermentation [\[41\]](#page-18-1).

# *4.4. Effect on Rumen and Fecal Bacterial Diversity*

In the present study, the results of alpha diversity and PCoA confirmed the influence of dietary C:F ratio on the structure and composition of both rumen and fecal bacterial communities in Tibetan sheep. Five samples from each group were employed for analysis in the present study, which may present a potential limitation. In fact, increasing the dietary C:F ratio not only led to significantly lower bacterial diversity but also to a dramatic change in microbial composition, which is consistent with the findings of Pang et al. [\[6\]](#page-16-5) and Yi et al. [\[23\]](#page-17-7) Low rumen and fecal bacterial diversity in the C70 group could be attributed to variations in dietary C:F ratio, resulting in different contents of protein and carbohydrate and energy [\[10](#page-16-9)[,42\]](#page-18-2). Lv et al. [\[43\]](#page-18-3) argued that feeding the higher dietary protein and energy levels significantly reduced the abundance and diversity of the ruminal microbiota of lambs. The phenomenon was consistent with the results of previous studies on the effects of dietary C:F ratio on the rumen and fecal bacterial community composition of yak [\[44\]](#page-18-4). However, the results were inconsistent with those of Li [\[45\]](#page-18-5), who found that Tibetan sheep fed a diet with a 70:30 diet showed higher diversity and richness of the ruminal microbiota than those fed a 30:70 diet. This could be explained by the differences in the experimental number of sheep (5 vs. 3 animals in each group) or environmental factors in the fattening test season month (November to January vs. May to August). Notably, deep sequencing coverage (99.0%) of the rumen and fecal epimural community could provide novel and detailed insights into the impact of the dietary C:F ratio in Tibetan sheep

#### *4.5. Effect on Rumen and Fecal Bacterial Community Structure*

The present study comprehensively describes the composition of the rumen and fecal bacterial community in Tibetan sheep. In rumen fluid, consistent with previous studies [\[46](#page-18-6)[–48\]](#page-18-7), the *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* were the dominant phyla in the rumen microbiota, accounting for approximately 90% of all the bacterial phyla. However, the abundances of *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes*were not affected by changes in the dietary C:F ratio, possibly due to the ability of rumen microorganisms to adapt to changing levels of dietary concentrate levels through self-regulation. We found that the relative abundance of *Verrucomicrobiota* decreased with the increase in the C:F ratio, which is supported by a study by Yi et al. [\[23\]](#page-17-7), which reported a lower abundance of *Verrucomicrobiota* in the rumen of yaks fed with a higher C:F ratio. This change may be related to the animal's ability to improve cellulose degradation in the rumen and conversion into VFAs for subsequent use by other organisms [\[49\]](#page-18-8) because diets with high forage ratios feature more resistant lignocellulose. Gharechahi et al. [\[50\]](#page-18-9) also demonstrated that *Verrucomicrobiota-*enriched genes are associated with the degradation of lignocellulosic polymers and the fermentation of degradation products into VFAs. At the genus level, only two differential genera were observed among the top 14 most abundant taxa, indicating that the majority of the genera present in the three treatment groups were not affected by the different dietary treatments, which is consistent with the results reported by Du et al. [\[46\]](#page-18-6) Notably, the relative abundance of *Butyrivibrio* was significantly higher in the C50 group compared with the C30 group, with the C70 group exhibiting intermediate values. Consistent with the results of the present study, Petri et al. [\[51\]](#page-18-10) found that the abundance of *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* in the rumen of yaks was high when animals were fed a diet composed of 30% concentrate, intermediate for a diet of 80% concentrate, and low for a diet of 0% concentrate, although the specific functions of *Butyrivibrio* remain unclear. *Ruminococcus* is one of the most important species in the rumen because it is positively correlated with carbohydrate and energy metabolism [\[52\]](#page-18-11). In our study, *Ruminococcus* was most abundant in the C70 group, indicating that the ability of Tibetan sheep fed to degrade starch and obtain energy from carbohydrates was improved on a diet with a 70:30 C:F ratio, which would promote the growth of Tibetan sheep.

The phyla *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* showed the highest relative abundance in the feces of Tibetan sheep, which is consistent with previous research on lambs [\[53\]](#page-18-12), dairy cows [\[54\]](#page-18-13), and Yak calves [\[55\]](#page-18-14). *Bacteroidetes* play a crucial role in the maintenance of gut homeostasis by degrading proteins and carbohydrates and by producing VFAs [\[54](#page-18-13)[,56\]](#page-18-15). Our findings indicate that as the ratio of concentrate in the diet increases, the abundance of *Bacteroidetes* tends to decrease and then increase, with the highest abundance observed in the C70 group. This indicates that Tibetan sheep fed a 70:30 C:F ratio exhibit enhanced activity and improved utilization of both carbohydrates and protein, which is in line with results reported by Pang et al. [\[6\]](#page-16-5) *Proteobacteria* play a significant role in biofilm formation, fermentation, and the digestion of soluble carbohydrates [\[57\]](#page-18-16). The present study revealed a higher relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* in the C50 group compared with the other two groups. These results are broadly consistent with those reported by Han et al. [\[58\]](#page-18-17), who found that the abundance of *Proteobacteria* significantly increased as the proportion of dietary concentrate increased. This could be attributed to differences in diet composition, type of animal species, and location of microorganisms. The genera *Prevotella* can use starch, protein, hemicelluloses, or pectin as energy sources [\[10\]](#page-16-9), while *Monoglobus* has been reportedly involved in dietary fiber fermentation, being also associated with healthy microbial communities [\[59\]](#page-18-18). *Prevotella* exhibited the highest relative abundance in the C70 group, while *Monoglobus* was found in the highest relative abundance in the C30 group. The results of this study may be explained by the highest CP content in the C70 diet and the highest fiber content in the C30 diet [\[60](#page-18-19)[,61\]](#page-18-20). Mao et al. [\[62\]](#page-18-21) found that the dietary protein content was highly correlated with the *Prevotella* abundance. These results suggested that, as the concentrate ratio in the diet increases, starch and protein degradation increases, while forage fiber degradation decreases, which promotes the growth of Tibetan sheep but may negatively impact host health. Notably, although the precise function of *UCG\_002* is unclear, its abundance increased as the C:F ratio increased, indicating a possible role in the digestion and utilization of non-structural carbohydrates and protein.

# *4.6. Correlation Analysis*

Understanding the links between the gastrointestinal bacterial community and carcass characteristics and meat quality is key to improving animal productivity and meat quality [\[63\]](#page-18-22). In the present study, the abundance of seven microbial genera in the rumen and seven microbial genera in the feces showed a strong association with slaughter performance and meat quality. In rumen fluid, *Saccharofermentans* and *Bacteroidales\_bacterium\_Bact\_22* were found to be negatively correlated with the SLW or ADG. Although the causal relationship between the host and microbiota remains unclear, it can be hypothesized that these two genera are involved in lipid and protein metabolism. Moreover, *Treponema* and *Ruminococcus* were found to be positively correlated with meat color (indicated by the *a\** value) and juiciness (indicated by DL), whereas *Succiniclasticum* was positively correlated with meat tenderness (indicated by shear force) and juiciness (indicated by DL). These findings indicate that, to some extent, changes in meat quality may be associated with differential impacts on gut bacteria to some extent. Similar results were also observed in a previous study by Xiang et al. [\[63\]](#page-18-22), who found that rumen bacteria composition is closely related to meat quality in sheep. In addition, four bacterial genera showed a significantly correlated with rumen VFAs of Tibetan sheep. The relative abundance of *Prevotella* was positively correlated with butyrate proportion, similar to results found by Chiquette et al. [\[61\]](#page-18-20) and Bi et al. [\[64\]](#page-18-23) In line with our findings, Anderson et al. [\[65\]](#page-19-0) and Ferrario et al. [\[66\]](#page-19-1) reported that the *Ruminococcus* was related to its ability to digest resistant starch, and its relative abundance positively correlated with valerate. This can also explain the trend towards a higher proportion of valerate in the C70 group. In addition, the abundance of *Treponema* was positively associated with the total VFA concentration, indicating the potentially pivotal role of the microbe in the microbial breakdown of dietary carbohydrates and VFA production.

In feces, the relative abundance of *Prevotella* was positively correlated with carcass traits, including SLW, ADG, HCW, and DP, but negatively correlated with shear force, cooking loss, and *b\** value; in contrast, *Akkermansia* and *UCG\_002* were negatively correlated with carcass traits but positively correlated with meat tenderness and juiciness. These results showed that rapid ruminant growth does not necessarily correspond to better meat quality by modulating rumen bacteria. Furthermore, *Christensenellaceae\_R\_7\_group* was negatively correlated with SLW and ADG. However, Zhao et al. [\[19\]](#page-17-3) reported that the genus was positively correlated with ADG in lambs, which is in contrast with the findings of the present study. The reason for this discrepancy is currently unclear and warrants further investigation. Lastly, the genera *Alistipes* and *Prevotellaceae\_UCG\_003* were found to be positively correlated with the *a\** value, indicating that an increased abundance of these genera can improve meat color. *Akkermansia* can utilize intestinal mucins to produce acetate and propionate for the host's intestinal epithelial cells [\[67\]](#page-19-2). The present study showed that the relative abundance of *Akkermansia* was positively correlated with the acetate proportion and negatively correlated with the propionate proportion, which could be beneficial for gastrointestinal tract health. Additionally, the propionate proportion was positively correlated with *Prevotella* and *28\_4* and negatively correlated with *UCG\_002* and *Akkermansia*. However, due to the complex nature of bacterial interactions, it remains challenging to pinpoint the specific bacteria responsible for producing a particular VFA. We also noticed that the abundance of *Rikenellaceae\_RC9\_gut\_group* was negatively related to the valerate proportion, and that the same relationship exists among *Alistipes* and the level of proportion. Taken together, these findings provide a better understanding of the relationships between slaughter performance, meat quality, rumen fermentation, and microbial functions and that these relationships are influenced by dietary C:F ratios, thus potentially contributing to the development of Tibetan sheep husbandry strategies.

### **5. Conclusions**

The study showed that different dietary C:F ratios could significantly influence carcass traits, meat quality, and gastrointestinal microbiota composition in Tibetan sheep. It was found that increasing the dietary concentrate level from 30% to 70% exerted a positive effect on SLW, HCW, DP, EMA, ADG, and the tenderness and juiciness of the meat of Tibetan sheep. Moreover, animals fed with 50% concentrate exhibited optimizing meat color which was more acceptable by consumers. Furthermore, correlation analysis revealed a strong correlation between specific gastrointestinal bacteria and slaughter performance, and meat quality in Tibetan sheep. However, despite limitations in rumen fermentation parameters and probiotic quantification, this study underscores the potential of C: F ratio dietary manipulation to improve both slaughter performance and meat quality in Tibetan sheep. Additionally, it elucidates the relationship between slaughter performance and gastrointestinal microbiota, providing valuable insights for farmers in selecting the appropriate C:F ratio for feeding Tibetan sheep rearing. In summary, Tibetan sheep fed with a 70:30 C:F ratio have the potential for improved slaughter performance and meat quality during cold season fattening in allopatry.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: [https://](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ani14223305/s1) [www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ani14223305/s1;](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ani14223305/s1) Table S1: Comparison of similarities in microbiota composition between lambs in the three treatment groups by ANOSlM analysis; Table S2: Relative abundance of top 7 phyla in the ruminal and fecal microbiome of Tibetan sheep fed diets with different concentrate-to-forage ratios; Table S3: Relative abundance of the top 14 genera in the ruminal and fecal microbiome of lambs Tibetan sheep fed diets with different concentrate-to-forage ratios.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, Z.Z.; methodology and formal analysis, Z.Z., Q.W., Y.H. and R.Z.; investigation, W.T., R.W., J.O. and T.J.; data curation, W.T. and S.W.; writing—original draft preparation, S.W., R.Z. and T.J.; writing—review and editing, C.R., R.Z., Y.H. and J.C.; funding acquisition, Z.Z. and X.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. **Funding:** This research was funded by the Science and Technology Program of Qinghai, China (2022- NK-134), the Scientific Research Project of Anhui Higher Education Institutions (2023AH050981), the Qinghai Provincial Key Laboratory of Adaptive Management on Alpine Grassland (2023-GHSYS-KF-04), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32402701), and the China Agriculture Research System of MOF and MARA (CARS-38).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The animal study protocol was approved by the Animal Care Committee, Anhui Agricultural University (No. AHAU2020023, 4 January 2020).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data used and analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are very grateful to the Jianghuai Watershed Comprehensive Experiment Station of Anhui Agricultural University and Huangzhu Animal Husbandry Technology Co., Ltd. (Anhui, China) for providing feeding experimental site assistance and technical support. The authors also extend gratitude to the members of Modern Grass Husbandry team at Anhui Agricultural University.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>1. Su, Y.; Sun, X.; Zhao, S.; Hu, M.; Li, D.; Qi, S.; Jiao, X.; Sun, Y.; Wang, C.; Zhu, X.; et al. Dietary alfalfa powder supplementation improves growth and development, body health, and meat quality of Tibetan sheep. *Food Chem.* **2022**, *396*, 133709. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.133709) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35872497)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>2. Zhang, X.; Han, L.; Hou, S.; Raza, S.H.A.; Wang, Z.; Yang, B.; Sun, S.; Ding, B.; Gui, L.; Simal-Gandara, J.; et al. Effects of different feeding regimes on muscle metabolism and its association with meat quality of Tibetan sheep. *Food Chem.* **2022**, *374*, 131611. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.131611) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34863603)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>3. Cui, X.; Wang, Z.; Tan, Y.; Chang, S.; Zheng, H.; Wang, H.; Yan, T.; Guru, T.; Hou, F. Selenium yeast dietary supplement affects rumen bacterial population dynamics and fermentation parameters of Tibetan sheep (*Ovis aries*) in alpine meadow. *Front. Microbiol.* **2021**, *12*, 663945. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.663945) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34276597)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>4. Sun, Z.P.; Liu, Q.Q.; Meng, Z.; Chen, J.H.; Zhang, Y.; Cheng, X.; Huang, Y.F.; Ren, C.H.; Dong, Q.M.; Zhang, Z.J. Enhancing the resilience of coupled human and natural systems of alpine rangelands on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Trans. Chin. Soc. Agric. Eng.* **2021**, *37*, 297–306.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>5. Zhang, C. Breeding Experiment and Adaptability of Yak and Tibetan Sheep in Jianghuai Watershed Area. Master's Thesis, Anhui Agricultural University, HeFei, China, 2021.
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>6. Pang, K.; Yang, Y.; Chai, S.; Li, Y.; Wang, X.; Sun, L.; Cui, Z.; Wang, S.; Liu, S. Dynamics changes of the fecal bacterial community fed diets with different concentrate-to-forage ratios in Qinghai yaks. *Animals* **2022**, *12*, 2334. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12182334)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>7. Zhang, X.; Wang, H.; You, W.; Zhao, H.; Wei, C.; Jin, Q.; Liu, X.; Liu, G.; Tan, X.; Wang, X.; et al. In vitro degradability of corn silage and Leymus chinensis silage and evaluation of their mixed ratios on performance, digestion and serum parameters in beef cattle. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **2020**, *104*, 1628–1636. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.13392)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>8. Papi, N.; Mostafa-Tehrani, A.; Amanlou, H.; Memarian, M. Effects of dietary forage-to-concentrate ratios on performance and carcass characteristics of growing fat-tailed lambs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *163*, 93–98. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.10.010)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>9. Grilli, D.J.; Fliegerova, K.; Kopeny, J.; Lama, S.P.; Egea, V.; Sohaefer, N.; Pereyra, C.; Ruiz, M.S.; Sosa, M.A.; Arenas, G.N.; et al. Analysis of the rumen bacterial diversity of goats during shift from forage to concentrate diet. *Anaerobe* **2016**, *42*, 17–26. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2016.07.002)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>10. Wang, L.; Li, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, L. The effects of different concentrate-to-forage ratio diets on rumen bacterial microbiota and the structures of Holstein cows during the feeding cycle. *Animals* **2020**, *10*, 957. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10060957)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>11. Henderson, G.; Cox, F.; Ganesh, S.; Jonker, A.; Young, W.; Collaborators, G.R.C.; Janssen, P.H. Rumen microbial community composition varies with diet and host, but a core microbiome is found across a wide geographical range. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 14567. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14567)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>12. Liu, M.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Ge, G.; Jia, Y.; Du, S. Effects of replacing alfalfa hay with oat hay in fermented total mixed ration on growth performance and rumen microbiota in lambs. *Fermentation* **2023**, *9*, 9. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation9010009)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>13. Zhou, L.; Li, X.Q.; Peng, X.X.; Wang, J.; Hou, S.Z.; Gui, L.S. Effect of different ratio of concentrate to roughage on slaughtering performance and meat quality of Tibetan sheep in fattening period. *Southwest China J. Agric. Sci.* **2021**, *34*, 400–405.
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>14. Huang, Y.; Zhao, M.; Zhang, X.; Wei, H.; Liu, L.; Zhang, Z.; Cheng, X.; Wang, G.; Ren, C. Indoor feeding combined with restricted grazing time improves body health, slaughter performance, and meat quality in Huang-huai sheep. *Anim. Biosci.* **2023**, *36*, 1655–1665. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.23.0252) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37857341)
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>15. Zhang, Z.; Wang, X.; Jin, Y.; Zhao, K.; Duan, Z. Comparison and analysis on sheep meat quality and flavor under pasture-based fattening contrast to intensive pasture-based feeding system. *Anim. Biosci.* **2022**, *35*, 1069–1079. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.21.0396)
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>16. Payne, C.E.; Pannier, L.; Anderson, F.; Pethick, D.W.; Gardner, G.E. Lamb age has little impact on eating quality. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 187. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9020187)
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>17. Grochowska, E.; Borys, B.; Lisiak, D.; Mroczkowski, S. Genotypic and allelic effects of the myostatin gene (MSTN) on carcass, meat quality, and biometric traits in Colored Polish Merino sheep. *Meat Sci.* **2018**, *151*, 4–17. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.12.010)
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>18. Eikanger, K.S.; Kjær, S.T.; Dörsch, P.; Iwaasa, A.D.; Alemu, A.W.; Schei, I.; Pope, P.B.; Hagen, L.H.; Kidane, A. Asparagopsis taxiformis inclusion in grass silage-based diets fed to Norwegian red dairy cows: Effects on ruminal fermentation, milk yield, and enteric methane emission. *Livest. Sci.* **2024**, *285*, 105495. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2024.105495)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>19. Zhao, M.; Zhang, X.; Chen, Y.; Ren, C.; Sun, Y.; Wang, P.; Cheng, X.; Zhang, Z.; Chen, J.; Huang, Y. Stall-feeding of sheep on restricted grazing: Effects on performance and serum metabolites, ruminal fermentation, and fecal microbiota. *Animals* **2023**, *13*, 2644. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13162644)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>20. Schloss, P.D.; Westcott, S.L.; Ryabin, T.; Hall, J.R.; Hartmann, M.; Hollister, E.B.; Lesniewski, R.A.; Oakley, B.B.; Parks, D.H.; Robinson, C.J.; et al. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* **2009**, *75*, 7537–7541. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01541-09)
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>21. Armstrong, E.; Ciappesoni, G.; Iriarte, W.; Silva, C.D.; Macedo, F.; Navajas, E.A.; Brito, G.; San Julián, R.; Gimeno, D.; Postiglioni, A. Novel genetic polymorphisms associated with carcass traits in grazing Texel sheep. *Meat Sci.* **2018**, *145*, 202–208. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.06.014)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>22. Rufino-Moya, P.J.; Blanco, M.; Lobón, S.; Bertolín, J.R.; Armengol, R.; Joy, M. The inclusion of concentrate with quebracho is advisable in two forage-based diets of ewes according to the in vitro fermentation parameters. *Animals* **2019**, *9*, 451. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9070451) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31319456)
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>23. Yi, S.; Dai, D.; Wu, H.; Chai, S.; Liu, S.; Meng, Q.; Zhou, Z. Dietary concentrate-to-forage ratio affects rumen bacterial community composition and metabolome of yaks. *Front. Nutr.* **2022**, *9*, 927206. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.927206) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35911107)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>24. Jin, Y.; Zhou, Y. Effects of concentrate level and chromium-methionine supplementation on the performance, nutrient digestibility, rumen fermentation, blood metabolites, and meat quality of Tan lambs. *Anim. Biosci.* **2022**, *35*, 677–689. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.20.0802) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34727647)
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>25. Zhang, C.; Luo, J.; Yu, B.; Zheng, P.; Huang, Z.; Mao, X.; He, J.; Yu, J.; Chen, J.; Chen, D. Dietary resveratrol supplementation improves meat quality of finishing pigs through changing muscle fiber characteristics and antioxidative status. *Meat Sci.* **2015**, *102*, 15–21. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.11.014)
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>26. Hussain, Z.; Li, X.; Zhang, D.; Hou, C.; Ijaz, M.; Bai, Y.; Xiao, X.; Zheng, X. Influence of adding cinnamon bark oil on meat quality of ground lamb during storage at 4 ◦C. *Meat Sci.* **2021**, *171*, 108269. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2020.108269)
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>27. Wang, B.; Wang, Z.; Chen, Y.; Liu, X.; Liu, K.; Zhang, Y.; Luo, H. Carcass traits, meat quality, and volatile compounds of lamb meat from different restricted grazing time and indoor supplementary feeding systems. *Foods* **2021**, *10*, 2822. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10112822)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>28. Listyarini, K.; Rahayu, S.; Uddin, M.J.; Gunawan, A. Association study and expression analysis of olfactomedin like 3 gene related to meat quality, carcass characteristics, retail meat cut, and fatty acid composition in sheep. *Anim. Biosci.* **2022**, *35*, 1489–1498. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.21.0406)
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>29. Kang, K.; Ma, J.; Wang, H.; Wang, Z.; Peng, Q.; Hu, R.; Zou, H.; Bao, S.; Zhang, W.; Sun, B. High-energy diet improves growth performance, meat quality and gene expression related to intramuscular fat deposition in finishing yaks raised by barn feeding. *Vet. Med. Sci.* **2020**, *6*, 755–765. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/vms3.306)
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>30. Wang, H.; Li, H.; Wu, F.; Qiu, X.; Yu, Z.; Niu, W.; He, Y.; Su, H.; Cao, B. Effects of dietary energy on growth performance, rumen fermentation and bacterial community, and meat quality of Holstein-Friesians Bulls slaughtered at different ages. *Animals* **2019**, *9*, 1123. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9121123)
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>31. Zeng, Z.; Yu, B.; Mao, X.; Chen, D. Effects of dietary digestible energy concentration on growth, meat quality, and PPARγ gene expression in muscle and adipose tissues of Rongchang piglets. *Meat Sci.* **2012**, *90*, 66–70. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.06.004)
- 32. Cherif, M.; Valenti, B.; Abidi, S.; Luciano, G.; Mattioli, S.; Pauselli, M.; Bouzarraa, I.; Priolo, A.; Salem, H.B. Supplementation of *Nigella sativa* seeds to Barbarine lambs raised on low- or high-concentrate diets: Effects on meat fatty acid composition and oxidative stability. *Meat Sci.* **2018**, *139*, 134–141. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.01.022) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29413673)
- 33. Smith, S.B.; Kawachi, H.; Choi, C.B.; Choi, C.W.; Wu, G.; Sawyer, J.E. Cellular regulation of bovine intramuscular adipose tissue development and composition. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2009**, *87*, 72–82. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2008-1340) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18997081)
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>34. Aurousseau, B.; Bauchart, D.; Calichon, E.; Micol, D.; Priolo, A. Effect of grass or concentrate feeding systems and rate of growth on triglyceride and phospholipid and their fatty acids in the *M. longissimus thoracis* of lambs. *Meat Sci.* **2004**, *66*, 531–541. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(03)00156-6) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22060862)
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>35. Kang, L.; Wang, W.; Yang, L.; Liu, T.; Zhang, T.; Xie, J.; Zhai, M.; Zhao, X.; Duan, Y.; Jin, Y. Effects of feeding patterns on production performance, lipo-nutritional quality and gut microbiota of Sunit sheep. *Meat Sci.* **2024**, *218*, 109642. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2024.109642) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/39208537)
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>36. Khliji, S.; van de Ven, R.; Lamb, T.A.; Manza, M.; Hopkins, D.L. Relationship between consumer ranking of lamb colour and objective measures of colour. *Meat Sci.* **2010**, *85*, 224–229. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.01.002)
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>37. Maekawa, M.; Beauchemin, K.A.; Christensen, D.A. Effect of concentrate level and feeding management on chewing activities, saliva production, and ruminal pH of lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2002**, *85*, 1165–1175. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74179-9)
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>38. Nocek, J.E.; Tamminga, S. Site of digestion of starch in the gastrointestinal tract of dairy cows and its effect on milk yield and composition. *J. Dairy Sci.* **1991**, *74*, 3598–3629. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78552-4)
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>39. Cui, X.; Wang, Z.; Guo, P.; Li, F.; Chang, S.; Yan, T.; Zheng, H.; Hou, F. Shift of feeding strategies from grazing to different forage feeds reshapes the rumen microbiota to improve the ability of Tibetan sheep *(Ovis aries)* to adapt to the cold season. *Microbiol. Spectr.* **2023**, *11*, e0281622. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.02816-22)
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>40. Zhang, C.M.; Zhou, L.; Gui, L.S.; Wang, Z.Y.; Yang, B.C.; Hou, S.Z. Effect of different concentrate to forage ratio total mixed rations on rumen fermentation parameters, antioxidant capacity and digestive enzyme activities of black Tibetan sheep. *Feed Res.* **2021**, *44*, 1–4.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>41. Zhang, X.; Jiao, T.; Ma, S.; Chen, X.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, S.; Ren, Y. Effects of different proportions of stevia stalk on nutrient utilization and rumen fermentation in ruminal fluid derived from sheep. *PeerJ* **2023**, *11*, e14689. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.14689)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>42. Fresno, R.A.; Griffith, J.E.; Kruse, C.; St-Pierre, B. Effects of grain-based diets on the rumen and fecal bacterial communities of the North American bison (*Bison bison*). *Front. Microbiol.* **2023**, *14*, 1163423. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1163423) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37485522)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>43. Lv, X.; Cui, K.; Qi, M.; Wang, S.; Diao, Q.; Zhang, N. Ruminal microbiota and fermentation in response to dietary protein and energy levels in weaned lambs. *Animals* **2020**, *10*, 109. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10010109) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31936592)
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>44. Pang, K.; Chai, S.; Yang, Y.; Wang, X.; Liu, S.; Wang, S. Dietary forage to concentrate ratios impact on yak ruminal microbiota and metabolites. *Front. Microbiol.* **2022**, *13*, 964564. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.964564) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36033892)
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>45. Li, J.W. Effect of Dietary Concentrate to Roughage Ratio on Digestive Tract Morphology and Microbial Diversity of Fattening Tibetan Sheep. Master's Thesis, Qinghai University, Xining, China, 2022.
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>46. Du, M.; Yang, C.; Liang, Z.; Zhang, J.; Yang, Y.; Ahmad, A.A.; Yan, P.; Ding, X. Dietary energy levels affect carbohydrate metabolism-related bacteria and improve meat quality in the longissimus thoracis muscle of yak (*Bos grunniens*). *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2021**, *8*, 718036. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.718036) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34631849)
- 47. Chen, H.; Wang, C.; Huasai, S.; Chen, A. Effects of dietary forage to concentrate ratio on nutrient digestibility, ruminal fermentation and rumen bacterial composition in Angus cows. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 17023. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-96580-5)
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>48. Ahmas, A.A.; Yang, C.; Zhang, J.; Kalwar, Q.; Liang, Z.; Li, C.; Du, M.; Yan, P.; Long, R.; Han, J.; et al. Effects of dietary energy levels on rumen fermentation, microbial diversity, and feed efficiency of yaks (*Bos grunniens*). *Front. Microbiol.* **2020**, *11*, 625.
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>49. Gharechahi, J.; Sarikhan, S.; Han, J.L. Functional and phylogenetic analyses of camel rumen microbiota associated with different lignocellulosic substrates. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes* **2022**, *8*, 46. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-022-00309-9)
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>50. Gharechahi, J.; Vahidi, M.F.; Bahram, M.; Han, J.L.; Ding, X.Z.; Salekdeh, G.H. Metagenomic analysis reveals a dynamic microbiome with diversified adaptive functions to utilize high lignocellulosic forages in the cattle rumen. *ISME J.* **2021**, *15*, 1108–1120. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00837-2)
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>51. Petri, R.M.; Schwaiger, T.; Penner, G.B.; Beauchemin, K.A.; Forster, R.J.; McKinnon, J.J.; McAllister, T.A. Changes in the rumen epimural bacterial diversity of beef cattle as affected by diet and induced ruminal acidosis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2013**, *79*, 3744–3755. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03983-12)
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>52. Liu, J.H.; Bian, G.R.; Zhu, W.Y.; Mao, S.Y. High-grain feeding causes strong shifts in ruminal epithelial bacterial community and expression of Toll-like receptor genes in goats. *Front. Microbiol.* **2015**, *6*, 167. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00167)
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>53. Li, C.; Wang, W.; Liu, T.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, G.; Li, F.; Li, F.; Yue, X.; Li, T. Effect of Early Weaning on the Intestinal Microbiota and Expression of Genes Related to Barrier Function in Lambs. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 1431. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01431) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30013534)
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>54. Zhang, Q.; Zhang, T.; Ta, N.; Zhang, J.; Ding, H.; Zhang, X. Replacing dietary alfalfa hay with nettle benefits rumen ph balance and microbiota in rumen and feces with minimal effects on performance and digestibility in dairy cows. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2024**, *307*, 115825. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2023.115825)
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>55. Cui, Z.; Wu, S.; Li, J.; Yang, Q.E.; Chai, S.; Wang, L.; Wang, X.; Zhang, X.; Liu, S.; Yao, J. Effect of alfalfa hay and starter feeding intervention on gastrointestinal microbial community, growth and immune performance of Yak calves. *Front. Microbiol.* **2020**, *11*, 994. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00994) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32582049)
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>56. Pinnell, L.J.; Reyes, A.A.; Wolfe, C.A.; Weinroth, M.D.; Metcalf, J.L.; Delmore, R.J.; Belk, K.E.; Morley, P.S.; Engle, T.E. Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes drive differing microbial diversity and community composition among micro-environments in the bovine rumen. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 897996. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2022.897996) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35664853)
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>57. Pitta, D.W.; Indugu, N.; Kumar, S.; Vecchiarelli, B.; Sinha, R.; Baker, L.D.; Bhukya, B.; Ferguson, J.D. Metagenomic assessment of the functional potential of the rumen microbiome in Holstein dairy cows. *Anaerobe* **2016**, *38*, 50–60. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2015.12.003)
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>58. Han, X.; Li, B.; Wang, X.; Chen, Y.; Yang, Y. Effect of dietary concentrate to forage ratios on ruminal bacterial and anaerobic fungal populations of cashmere goats. *Anaerobe* **2019**, *59*, 118–125. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2019.06.010)
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>59. Lesniak, N.A.; Schubert, A.M.; Flynn, K.J.; Leslie, J.L.; Sinani, H.; Bergin, I.L.; Young, V.B.; Schloss, P.D. The gut bacterial community potentiates clostridioides difficile infection severity. *MBio* **2022**, *13*, e0118322. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01183-22)
- <span id="page-18-19"></span>60. Cui, K.; Qi, M.L.; Wang, S.Q.; Diao, Q.Y.; Zhang, N.F. Dietary energy and protein levels influenced the growth performance, ruminal morphology and fermentation and microbial diversity of lambs. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 16612. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53279-y)
- <span id="page-18-20"></span>61. Chiquette, J.; Allison, M.J.; Rasmussen, M.A. Prevotella bryantii 25A used as a probiotic in early-lactation dairy cows: Effect on ruminal fermentation characteristics, milk production, and milk composition. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2008**, *91*, 3536–3543. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0849)
- <span id="page-18-21"></span>62. Mao, S.; Zhang, R.; Wang, D.; Zhu, W. The diversity of the fecal bacterial community and its relationship with the concentration of volatile fatty acids in the feces during subacute rumen acidosis in dairy cows. *BMC Vet. Res.* **2012**, *8*, 237. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-6148-8-237)
- <span id="page-18-22"></span>63. Xiang, J.; Zhong, L.; Luo, H.; Meng, L.; Dong, Y.; Qi, Z.; Wang, H. A comparative analysis of carcass and meat traits, and rumen bacteria between Chinese Mongolian sheep and Dorper × Chinese Mongolian crossbred sheep. *Animal* **2022**, *16*, 100503. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2022.100503) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35378496)
- <span id="page-18-23"></span>64. Bi, Y.L.; Zeng, S.Q.; Zhan, R.; Diao, Q.Y.; Tu, Y. Effects of dietary energy levels on rumen bacterial community composition in Holstein heifers under the same forage to concentrate ratio condition. *BMC Microbiol.* **2018**, *18*, 69. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-018-1213-9) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29996759)
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>65. Anderson, C.L.; Schneider, C.J.; Erickson, G.E.; MacDonald, J.C.; Fernando, S.C. Rumen bacterial communities can be acclimated faster to high concentrate diets than currently implemented feedlot programs. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2016**, *120*, 588–599. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13039) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26726754)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>66. Ferrario, C.; Statello, R.; Carnevali, L.; Mancabelli, L.; Milani, C.; Mangifesta, M.; Duranti, S.; Lugli, G.A.; Jimenez, B.; Lodge, S.; et al. How to feed the mammalian gut microbiota: Bacterial and metabolic modulation by dietary fibers. *Front. Microbiol.* **2017**, *12*, 1749. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01749)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>67. Guo, X.; Li, S.; Zhang, J.; Wu, F.; Li, X.; Wu, D.; Zhang, M.; Ou, Z.; Jie, Z.; Yan, Q.; et al. Genome sequencing of 39 Akkermansia muciniphila isolates reveals its population structure, genomic and functional diverisity, and global distribution in mammalian gut microbiotas. *BMC Genom.* **2017**, *18*, 800. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-4195-3)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.