

Supplementary Materials: A Comparative Bio-Evaluation and Chemical Profiles of *Calendula officinalis* L. Extracts Prepared via Different Extraction Techniques

Gunes Ak, Gokhan Zengin, Kouadio Ibrahime Sinan, Mohamad Fawzi Mahomoodally, Marie Carene Nancy Picot-Allain, Oguz Cakır, Souheir Bensari, Mustafa Abdullah Yılmaz, Monica Gallo and Domenico Montesano

Table S1. Analytical method validation parameters that belong to the LC-MS/MS method.

No	Analytes	RT ^a	M.I. (m/z) ^b	F.I. (m/z) ^c	Ion. Mode	Equation	$r^{2,d}$	RSD% ^e		Linearity Range (mg/L)	LOD/LOQ (µg/L) ^f	Recovery (%)		U_s	Gr. No ⁱ
								Interday	Intraday			Interday	Intraday		
1	Quinic acid	3.0	190.8	93.0	Neg	$y = -0.0129989 + 2.97989x$	0.996	0.69	0.51	0.1–5	25.7/33.3	1.0011	1.0083	0.0372	1
2	Fumaric acid	3.9	115.2	40.9	Neg	$y = -0.0817862 + 1.03467x$	0.995	1.05	1.02	1–50	135.7/167.9	0.9963	1.0016	0.0091	1
3	Aconitic acid	4.0	172.8	129.0	Neg	$y = -0.7014530 + 32.9994x$	0.971	2.07	0.93	0.1–5	16.4/31.4	0.9968	1.0068	0.0247	1
4	Gallic acid	4.4	168.8	79.0	Neg	$y = 0.0547697 + 20.8152x$	0.999	1.60	0.81	0.1–5	13.2/17.0	1.0010	0.9947	0.0112	1
5	Epigallocatechin	6.7	304.8	219.0	Neg	$y = -0.00494986 + 0.0483704x$	0.998	1.22	0.73	1–50	237.5/265.9	0.9969	1.0040	0.0184	3
6	Protocatechuic acid	6.8	152.8	108.0	Neg	$y = 0.211373 + 12.8622x$	0.957	1.43	0.76	0.1–5	21.9/38.6	0.9972	1.0055	0.0350	1
7	Catechin	7.4	288.8	203.1	Neg	$y = -0.00370053 + 0.431369x$	0.999	2.14	1.08	0.2–10	55.0/78.0	1.0024	1.0045	0.0221	3
8	Gentisic acid	8.3	152.8	109.0	Neg	$y = -0.0238983 + 12.1494x$	0.997	1.81	1.22	0.1–5	18.5/28.2	0.9963	1.0077	0.0167	1
9	Chlorogenic acid	8.4	353.0	85.0	Neg	$y = 0.289983 + 36.3926x$	0.995	2.15	1.52	0.1–5	13.1/17.6	1.0000	1.0023	0.0213	1
10	Protocatechuic aldehyde	8.5	137.2	92.0	Neg	$y = 0.257085 + 25.4657x$	0.996	2.08	0.57	0.1–5	15.4/22.2	1.0002	0.9988	0.0396	1
11	Tannic acid	9.2	182.8	78.0	Neg	$y = 0.0126307 + 26.9263x$	0.999	2.40	1.16	0.05–2.5	15.3/22.7	0.9970	0.9950	0.0190	1
12	Epigallocatechin gallate	9.4	457.0	305.1	Neg	$y = -0.0380744 + 1.61233x$	0.999	1.30	0.63	0.2–10	61.0/86.0	0.9981	1.0079	0.0147	3
13	1,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid	9.8	515.0	191.0	Neg	$y = -0.0164044 + 16.6535x$	0.999	2.42	1.48	0.1–5	5.8/9.4	0.9983	0.9997	0.0306	1
14	4-OH Benzoic acid	10.5	137.2	65.0	Neg	$y = -0.0240747 + 5.06492x$	0.999	1.24	0.97	0.2–10	68.4/88.1	1.0032	1.0068	0.0237	1
15	Epicatechin	11.6	289.0	203.0	Neg	$y = -0.0172078 + 0.0833424x$	0.996	1.47	0.62	1–50	139.6/161.6	1.0013	1.0012	0.0221	3
16	Vanilic acid	11.8	166.8	108.0	Neg	$y = -0.0480183 + 0.779564x$	0.999	1.92	0.76	1–50	141.9/164.9	1.0022	0.9998	0.0145	1
17	Caffeic acid	12.1	179.0	134.0	Neg	$y = 0.120319 + 95.4610x$	0.999	1.11	1.25	0.05–2.5	7.7/9.5	1.0015	1.0042	0.0152	1

47	Quercetin	35.7	301.0	272.9	Neg	$y = 0.00597342 + 3.39417x$	0.999	1.89	1.38	0.1–5	15.5/19.0	0.9967	0.9971	0.0175	3
48	Naringenin	35.9	270.9	119.0	Neg	$y = -0.00393403 + 14.6424x$	0.999	2.34	1.69	0.1–5	2.6/3.9	1.0062	1.0020	0.0392	3
49	Hesperetin	36.7	301.0	136.0/286.0	Neg	$y = 0.0442350 + 6.07160x$	0.999	2.47	2.13	0.1–5	7.1/9.1	0.9998	0.9963	0.0321	3
50	Luteolin	36.7	284.8	151.0/175.0	Neg	$y = -0.0541723 + 30.7422x$	0.999	1.67	1.28	0.05–2.5	2.6/4.1	0.9952	1.0029	0.0313	3
51	Genistein	36.9	269.0	135.0	Neg	$y = -0.00507501 + 12.1933x$	0.999	1.48	1.19	0.05–2.5	3.7/5.3	1.0069	1.0012	0.0337	3
52	Kaempferol	37.9	285.0	239.0	Neg	$y = -0.00459557 + 3.13754x$	0.999	1.49	1.26	0.05–2.5	10.2/15.4	0.9992	0.9990	0.0212	3
53	Apigenin	38.2	268.8	151.0/149.0	Neg	$y = 0.119018 + 34.8730x$	0.998	1.17	0.96	0.05–2.5	1.3/2.0	0.9985	1.0003	0.0178	3
54	Amentoflavone	39.7	537.0	417.0	Neg	$y = 0.727280 + 33.3658x$	0.992	1.35	1.12	0.05–2.5	2.8/5.1	0.9991	1.0044	0.0340	3
55	Chrysin	40.5	252.8	145.0/119.0	Neg	$y = -0.0777300 + 18.8873x$	0.999	1.46	1.21	0.05–2.5	1.5/2.8	0.9922	1.0050	0.0323	3
56	Acacetin	40.7	283.0	239.0	Neg	$y = -0.559818 + 163.062x$	0.997	1.67	1.28	0.02–1	1.5/2.5	0.9949	1.0011	0.0363	3

^aR.T.: Retention time, ^bMI (*m/z*): Molecular ions of the standard analytes (*m/z* ratio), ^cFI (*m/z*): Fragment ions, ^d*r*²: Coefficient of determination, ^eRSD: Relative standard deviation, ^fLOD/LOQ ($\mu\text{g/L}$): Limit of detection/quantification, ^g*U* (%): percent relative uncertainty at 95% confidence level (*k* = 2), ^hIS: Internal standard, ⁱGr. No: Represents grouping of internal standards, these numbers indicate which IS stands for which phenolic compound.