

Supplementary File

Following references serve as an additional source of reading and information for interested parties who may want to know more about AHP method and/or implementation in traffic analysis.

1. Ambrasaite, I.; Barfod, M.B.; Salling, K.B. MCDA and risk analysis in transport infrastructure appraisals: The Rail Baltica case. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.* **2011**, *20*, 944–953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.08.103>.
2. Annema, J.A.; Frenken, K.; Koopmans, C.; Kroesen, M. Relating cost-benefit analysis results with transport project decisions in the Netherlands. *Leff. Spat. Resour. Sci.* **2016**, *10*, 109–127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12076-016-0175-5>.
3. Barfod, M.B.; Steen, L. Multi-criteria decision analysis for use in transport decision making. (2014). Available online: <https://orbit.dtu.dk/en/publications/multi-criteria-decision-analysis-for-use-in-transport-decision-ma> (accessed on 9 October 2024).
4. Barfod, M.B.; Salling, K.B. A new composite decision support framework for strategic and sustainable transport appraisals. *Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract.* **2015**, *72*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2014.12.001>.
5. Barfod, M.B.; Salling, K.B.; Leleur, S. Composite decision support by combining cost-benefit and multi-criteria decision analysis. *Decis. Support Syst.* **2011**, *51*, 167–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2010.12.005>.
6. Bucklew, K.J. I-70 Dedicated Truck Lanes Feasibility Study. 2009. Available online: <https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/roadschool/2011/pres/71/> (accessed on 9 October 2024).
7. De Felice, F.; Petrillo, A.; Autorino, C. Development of a framework for sustainable outsourcing: Analytic balanced scorecard method (A-BSC). *Sustainability* **2015**, *7*, 8399–8419. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su7078399>.
8. Eliasson, J.; Lundberg, M. Do Cost-Benefit Analyses Influence Transport Investment Decisions? Experiences from the Swedish Transport Investment Plan 2010–21. *Transp. Rev.* **2012**, *32*, 29–48. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01441647.2011.582541>.
9. European Commission. *Guide to Cost-Benefit Analysis of Investment Projects: Economic Appraisal Tool for Cohesion Policy 2014–2020*. Publications Office of the European Union: Luxembourg, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.2776/97516>.
10. Farrow, S.; Viscusi, W.K. *Principles and Standards for the Benefit-Cost Analysis of Public Safety*; Benefit-Cost Analysis Center, University of Washington: Washington, DC, USA, 2010; Volume 2, p. 40. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781782549062>.
11. Godavarthy, R.; Mattson, J.; Ndembe, E. Cost-Benefit Analysis of Rural and Small Urban Transit. 2014. Available online: <https://www.google.com.hk/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&opi=89978449&url=https://www.ncnr.usf.edu/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/77060-NCTR-NDSU03-508.pdf&ved=2ahUKEwjahJS9rqGJAxXVafUHHX1zAzIQFnoECBYQAQ&usq=AOv-Vaw3S8PguXbPwSxB1Llf3aVwm> (accessed on 9 October 2024).
12. Godfrey, M.; Manikas, A. Sustainability Concepts into a Supplier. *Bus. Educ. Accredit.* **2012**, *4*, 1–13.
13. Victoria Transport Institute. 5.2 Travel Time. In *Transportation Cost and Benefit Analysis II—Travel Time Costs*; Victoria Transport Policy Institute: Victoria, BC, Canada; 2012; pp. 1–23.
14. McFadden, D. Measuring willingness to pay for transportation improvements. In *Theoretical Foundations of Travel Choice Modeling*; University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA, 1998; pp. 339–364.
15. Nosal, K.; Solecka, K. Application of AHP method for multi-criteria evaluation of variants of the integration of Urban public transport. *Transp. Res. Procedia* **2014**, *3*, 269–278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2014.10.006>.
16. Princeton, J.; Cohen, S. Impact of a dedicated lane on the capacity and the level of service of an urban motorway. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.* **2011**, *16*, 196–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.04.442>.
17. Tiger, F.; Grant, I.I.; August, A. Cost/Benefit Analysis of NJDOT Route 18/Hoes Lane Improvement Project For TIGER II Grant Application; RUTGERS: New Brunswick, NJ, USA, 2010.
18. Zoran, D. 2011. Application of the AHP Method for Selection of a, 19, 93–99. “National Income and Product Accounts”. Bureau of Economic Analysis. Bureau of Economic Analysis. Available online: <https://ume.rgf.bg.ac.rs/index.php/ume/article/view/85> (accessed on 30 July 2014).
19. Abbas, K.A.; Bell, M.G.H. System dynamics applicability to transportation modeling. In *Transportation Research Part*; 1994. Available online: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/0965856494900221> (accessed on 9 October 2024).
20. Chen, H.; Wang, X.; Mao, B. Sustainability Assessment of Urban Transport Policy in Urban Area-A Case Study of Beijing, China. *J. Transp. Syst. Eng. Inf. Technol.* **2006**, *6*, 100–112.
21. Egilmez, G.; Tatari, O. A dynamic modeling approach to highway sustainability: Strategies to reduce overall impact. *Transp. Res. Part A: Policy Pract.* **2012**, *46*, 1086–1096.
22. Festin, S.M. *Summary of National and Regional Travel Trends: 1970–1995*; Federal Highway Administration: Washington, DC, USA, 1996.
23. Hsu, C.W. Using a system dynamics model to assess the effects of capital subsidies and feed-in tariffs on solar PV installations. *Appl. Energy* **2012**, *100*, 205–217.
24. Martinez-Moyano, I.J.; Richardson, G.P. Best practices in system dynamics modeling. *Syst. Dyn. Rev.* **2013**, *29*, 102–123.
25. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. *Fatal Analysis Reporting System*; U.S. Department of Transportation: Washington, DC, USA, 2012.
26. O'Rourke, L.; Beshers, E.; Stock, D. *Measuring the Impacts of Freight Transportation Improvements on the Economy and Competitiveness*; No. FHWA-HOP-15-034; United States. Federal Highway Administration: Washington, DC, USA, 2015.
27. Handy, S. *Increasing Highway Capacity Unlikely to Relieve Traffic Congestion*; Policy Brief; National Center for Sustainable Transportation: Davis, CA, USA, 2015, pp. 1–2.

28. Sharma, S.; Shelton, J.; Valdez, G.; Warner, J. Methodologies and Models for Selection of Optimal Truck Freight Management Strategies. *Management* **2017**, *3*, 4. Available online: https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C10&q=Methodologies+and+Models+for+Selection+of+Optimal+Truck+Freight+Management+Strategies&btnG (accessed on 9 October 2024).
29. Abdelgawad, H.; Abdulhai, B.; Amirjamshidi, G.; Wahba, M.; Woudsma, C.; Roorda, M.J. Simulation of Exclusive Truck Facilities on Urban Freeways. *J. Transp. Eng.* **2011**, *137*, 547–562. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)TE.1943-5436.0000234](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)TE.1943-5436.0000234).

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.