



Article The Role and Place of Antioxidants in the Treatment of Male Infertility Caused by Varicocele

Marek Szymański ^{1,2,3}, Piotr Domaracki ^{1,3}, Angelika Szymańska ², Tomasz Wandtke ^{2,4}, Robert Szyca ⁵, Łukasz Brycht ^{1,5}, Karolina Wasilow ^{1,2} and Wojciech Jan Skorupski ^{6,*}

- ¹ Department of Women's Health and Reproductive Medicine, Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, 85-067 Bydgoszcz, Poland
- ² NZOZ Medical Center, Clinic of Infertility Treatment "Genesis", 85-435 Bydgoszcz, Poland
- ³ Clinic of Gynaecology and Oncological Gynecology, 10th Military Research Hospital and Polyclinic, IPHC, 85-681 Bydgoszcz, Poland
- ⁴ Department of Lung Diseases, Neoplasms and Tuberculosis, Faculty of Medicine, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, 85-094 Bydgoszcz, Poland
- ⁵ Clinic of Surgery and Oncological Surgery, 10th Military Research Hospital and Polyclinic, IPHC, 85-681 Bydgoszcz, Poland
- ⁶ 1st Department of Cardiology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, 61-848 Poznan, Poland
- Correspondence: wojciechjan.skorupski@gmail.com; Tel.: +48-607289983

Abstract: The inability to become pregnant for at least 1 year despite regular unprotected intercourse may indicate infertility of one or both partners. This problem affects approximately 10-20% of couples worldwide, regardless of race, with male infertility reported to account for 25-60% of cases. Among the most common pathological causes of male infertility is the presence of varicocele and chronic infections of the male reproductive system. This study was performed using data collected at the Genesis Infertility Treatment Clinic, Bydgoszcz, Poland, between 1 January 2015 and 30 June 2017. A total of 163 men meeting the inclusion criteria were selected and divided into the idiopathic infertility group (78 men) and varicocele-related infertility group (85 men). All patients received treatment with a male fertility supplement containing a combination of 1725 mg of L-carnitine fumarate, 500 mg of acetyl-L-carnitine, 90 mg of vitamin C, 20 mg of coenzyme Q10, 10 mg of zinc, 200 µg of folic acid, 50 μ g of selenium, and 1.5 μ g of vitamin B12 (Proxeed[®] Plus, Sigma-Tau, Italy) twice a day for a period of 6 months from the time of the diagnosis of infertility. The treatment resulted in significant improvements in general semen parameters, particularly sperm count, sperm concentration, total motility, and progressive motility. This antioxidant therapy produced a particularly marked therapeutic benefit in patients with Grade III varicocele, with a greater improvement in progressive motility than in men with less severe or no varicocele. The use of the antioxidant preparation examined here seems reasonable in men with idiopathic infertility and as an adjuvant in those with varicocele-related infertility in whom surgical treatment has resulted in no improvement. Its use should be considered particularly in patients with Grade III varicocele who do not wish to undergo surgical treatment or in whom such a treatment is not possible for various reasons.

Keywords: varicocele; male infertility; antioxidant therapy; oxidative stress

1. Introduction

The inability to become pregnant for at least 1 year despite regular intercourse without contraception may indicate infertility in one or both partners [1,2]. This problem affects approximately 10–20% of couples worldwide, regardless of race, and male infertility accounts for between 25% and 60% of cases [3–5].

1.1. Medical and Environmental Factors and Male Infertility

A number of environmental and medical/health-related factors may be involved in the deterioration of quantitative and qualitative semen parameters. The most commonly re-



Citation: Szymański, M.; Domaracki, P.; Szymańska, A.; Wandtke, T.; Szyca, R.; Brycht, Ł.; Wasilow, K.; Skorupski, W.J. The Role and Place of Antioxidants in the Treatment of Male Infertility Caused by Varicocele. *J. Clin. Med.* **2022**, *11*, 6391. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/jcm11216391

Academic Editor: Luca Boeri

Received: 20 September 2022 Accepted: 25 October 2022 Published: 28 October 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). ported environmental factors include the adverse effects of stress; tobacco; alcohol and drug abuse; being overweight; having an unhealthy diet; genital trauma; an exposure to toxic substances such as heavy metals, pesticides, and xenoestrogens that disrupt homeostasis of the pituitary–hypothalamic–gonadal axis; and an exposure to heat and electromagnetic radiation [6,7]. The most common pathological causes of male infertility include the presence of varicocele and chronic infections in the male reproductive system [8]. Such factors can lead to the production of oxygen free radicals and the progression of oxidative stress, which is considered to underlie male infertility [9–11].

Male infertility is idiopathic in about 30% of cases, where there is no obvious cause of the decrease in semen quality and/or quantity. However, such patients frequently also show elevated levels of oxidative stress [12].

1.2. Varicocele of the Vas Deferens

Varicocele is one of causes of the declined sperm quality and low sperm production, which can lead to infertility in males. Varicoceles occur in approximately 5-22% of the male population (most commonly in the 15–25 age group) and account for nearly 20–42% of cases of male infertility, representing one of the most common detectable causes of infertility in men [13]. However, not every occurrence of varicocele is associated with fertility disorders, with nearly 80% of men diagnosed with varicocele retaining the normal general semen parameters [14]. Varicocele is caused by the elongation and dilation of the pampiniform venous plexus in the testicle. Such lesions are significantly more common on the left than the right side [15]. There are several experimental and epidemiological findings which support the idea that inflammatory mechanisms play an essential role in varicocele pathogenesis [16,17]. Varicocele of the seminal cord is classified according to the degree of severity: grade I–IV, [18]. Although the precise causes of fertility disturbances in some patients with varicocele have not been elucidated, a decreased reproductive potential has been suggested to be related to several factors, including an increased temperature in the testicular region due to an increased blood flow, which causes a decrease in the activities of the antioxidant enzymes superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase in sperm. This in turn results in an increased hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) concentration, reflux of renal and adrenal toxic metabolites, reduced blood supply to the testes and associated hypoxia and subsequent tissue damage, hormonal abnormalities, autoimmune diseases, and the production of oxygen free radicals [19,20]. Oxidative stress has been widely reported to be the main cause of tissue damage and decreased semen quality and quantity in patients with varicocele [14,21,22]. The seminal fluid of fertility-impaired men with a positive diagnosis of varicocele has significantly higher levels of free radicals than men without such lesions [23]. The reduced reproductive potential in men with a positive diagnosis of varicocele is correlated with the elevated levels of oxidative stress, reduced total sperm count, reduced sperm motility, poor sperm morphology, elevated fragmentation of mitochondrial and genomic DNA (up to $8 \times$ that of the healthy population), increased frequencies of sperm apoptosis and necrosis, and smaller testis size on the side with varicocele [18,24]. Varicocele and smoking (10/day) have synergistic adverse effects on sperm motility and morphology [25]. At present, the standard treatment for patients with established varicocele, including men with fertility disorders, is surgical removal [14,26]. Such a treatment has been shown to reduce heat stress in the testicular region and improve or even normalize the activity of the protective enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant systems in male semen. However, data on pregnancy rates following treatment are not conclusive [27]. Unfortunately, some men undergoing treatment for varicocele-related infertility do not show improvement in semen parameters [28]. Alternative, noninvasive therapeutic interventions involving the use of oxidative stress-lowering agents may be beneficial in these, and possibly other, patients [29]. However, the results of the comparative analyses of surgical treatment alone and surgical treatment combined with antioxidant supplementation have been inconclusive [29,30].

1.3. Treatment with Antioxidants

Antioxidants are commonly used for the treatment of male infertility with increased levels of oxidative stress, including patients with varicocele and those with idiopathic infertility [8]. The main mechanism of the action of such preparations involves the prevention of the peroxidation of membrane lipids, and to a lesser extent DNA [28]. The rationale behind oral antioxidants intake and positive effects on male reproduction outcome is only supported by a few studies. [31] Treatment with such agents, particularly in patients with varicocele, may contribute not only to the improvement of general semen parameters, but also to a reduction in the degree of DNA fragmentation in sperm with a consequent increase in fertility [29]. Although there are some contrasting reports, the oral consumption of compounds with an antioxidant activity appears to improve the sperm parameters, such as motility and concentration, and decrease DNA damage, but there is not sufficient evidence that fertility rates and live birth really improve after antioxidants intake. Moreover, it depends on the type of antioxidants, treatment duration, and even the diagnostics of the man's fertility, among other factors [31]. In this study we present our clinical results.

2. Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was performed using medical information collected at the Genesis Infertility Treatment Clinic, Bydgoszcz, Poland, between 1 January 2015 and 30 June 2017. The study was approved by the Local Bioethics Committee of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń; Ludwik Rydygier Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, Poland (approval number: KB564/2017). The study was not sponsored by a pharmaceutical company or any drug marketing agency.

A group of 257 men in whom diagnostic procedures were carried out to determine the cause of infertility were initially selected for inclusion in the study. Ninety-four patients were excluded from further analysis because they did not meet the inclusion criteria. The remaining 163 men meeting the inclusion criteria were divided into the idiopathic infertility group (78 men) and varicocele-related infertility group (85 men) according to the criteria outlined below.

Patients with idiopathic infertility were selected by excluding those in whom diagnostic procedures (i.e., tests for blood levels of the luteinizing hormone [LH], folliclestimulating hormone [FSH], and testosterone, and the direct examination of the genital area, including the Doppler ultrasound) confirmed the presence of any detectable cause of infertility, particularly varicocele, hormonal disorders, and infections. The idiopathic infertility group consisted of 78 men with a leukocyte content in semen < 1 million/mL and fulfilling one or more of the following inclusion criteria: sperm count < 39 million/ejaculate (or sperm concentration in semen < 15 million/mL), progressive motility < 32%, and presence of morphologically pathological sperm forms in semen > 96%.

Patients with varicocele-related infertility were selected by excluding those in whom diagnostic procedures (i.e., the direct examination of the genital area in accordance with routine clinical work-up, including the Doppler ultrasound) confirmed the absence of varicocele. Patients with concomitant varicocele and hormonal disorders (based on the analysis of blood LH, FSH, and testosterone levels) and/or an infection of the reproductive or urinary tract were also excluded. The varicocele-related infertility group consisted of 85 patients characterized by a semen leukocyte content < 1 million/mL and one or more of the following inclusion criteria: sperm count < 39 million/ejaculate (or sperm concentration in semen < 15 million/mL), progressive motility < 32%, and presence of morphologically pathological sperm forms in semen > 96%. The varicocele-related infertility group was further divided into three subgroups according to the stage of varicocele: Grade I (48 patients), Grade II (25 patients), and Grade III (12 patients).

2.1. Antioxidant Therapy

All patients received treatment with an antioxidant male fertility supplement containing L-carnitine fumarate (1725 mg), acetyl-L-carnitine (500 mg), ascorbic acid (vitamin C, 90 mg), coenzyme Q10 (20 mg), zinc (10 mg), folic acid (200 μ g), selenium (50 μ g), and vitamin B12 (1.5 μ g) (Proxeed Plus; Sigma-Tau, Pomezia, Rome, Italy) twice a day for a period of 6 months from the time of the diagnosis of infertility.

2.2. Semen Testing

The basic seminal parameters were evaluated by a European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE)-certified embryologist following the fifth edition of the World Health Organization (2010) guidelines.

The following semen parameters were analyzed at the baseline and after 6 months of treatment with the supplement: the ejaculate volume (mL), total sperm count (million/ejaculate), sperm concentration (million/mL), progressive motility (including fast and slow A and B forms, respectively) and total sperm motility (% and million/mL), pathological form content (%), and semen leukocyte concentration (million/mL). The semen was evaluated by one European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE)certified clinical embryologist according to the WHO reference values (5th edition) [2]. Semen, after 2–5 days of sexual abstinence, was donated by patients into sterile containers. Semen analysis took place using a Makler chamber. A Makler sperm counting chamber (Sefi Medical Instruments, Haifa, Israel) inserted into a light microscope (Carl Zeiss Jena, Jena, Germany) with a Ph2 phase contrast was used for the microscopic evaluation of the semen. A small drop of semen, well mixed by pipetting, was placed in the center of a Makler chamber, and the chamber was covered with a coverslip. The preparations were then viewed under a magnification of $200 \times$ at room temperature. The type of movement was then assessed, distinguishing among fast (type A) and slow (type B) forward (type A) and slow (type B) sperm, non-progressive (type C) sperm (local motility), and non-moving (type D) sperm (no visible motility).

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel 2013 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) and Statistica 13 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). The Shapiro–Wilk test confirmed the non-normality of the data distribution, so non-parametric tests were used for the further analyses. The Friedman test was used for the comparisons of the results between the baseline and after 6 months of treatment and the Mann–Whitney U test was used for making comparisons between the groups with and without varicocele. The initial and final results (Tables 1–4) were analyzed using the Friedman test to verify the significance of the observed changes after the treatment. The analyses were performed on the greatest changes in the initial parameters at month 6 of the treatment within the whole study population of 163 patients. The effects of the presence of varicocele on the semen parameters were examined using the Mann–Whitney U test (Tables 5–8). In all analyses, p < 0.05 was taken to indicate a statistical significance.

Table 1. Interpretation of the maximal changes observed in sperm parameters after treatment compared to baseline values.

Parameters	Increas	e	No Cha	ange	Decrea	ise	Test Desult	n *	
1 arameters	n	%	n	%	n	%	lest Kesult	P	
Volume of ejaculate, mL	69	42%	37	23%	57	35%	13.054	0.001	
Sperm concentration, million/mL	139	85%	14	9%	10	6%	123.705	< 0.001	
Total sperm count, million/ejaculate	132	81%	10	6%	21	13%	97.425	0.001	
Pathological forms, %	36	22%	112	69%	15	9%	2.443	0.294	
Total motility, %	90	55%	59	36%	14	9%	29.711	< 0.001	
Progressive motility, %	107	66%	32	20%	24	15%	48.088	< 0.001	

* Friedman test. Data represent the greatest changes in parameters at 6 months compared to baseline.

Parameters —	Inci	ease	No C	hange	Dec	rease	Toot Dooult	n *
	п	%	п	%	п	%	- lest kesult	Ρ
Form A	88	54%	40	25%	35	21%	7.254	0.027
Form B	120	74%	14	9%	29	18%	46.466	< 0.001
Form C	82	50%	30	18%	51	31%	19.642	< 0.001
Form D	60	37%	42	26%	61	37%	1.568	0.456

Table 2. Effects of treatment on percentage of sperm motility forms in the ejaculate.

* Friedman test.

Table 3. Effects of treatment on concentration of sperm motility forms in the ejaculate.

Parameters —	Incr	rease	No C	hange	Dec	rease	Test Recult	<i>n</i> *
	n	%	n	%	п	%	- lest Kesult	P
Form A	108	66%	49	30%	6	4%	86.196	< 0.001
Form B	126	77%	28	17%	9	6%	110.689	< 0.001
Form C	88	54%	44	27%	31	19%	8.682	0.013
Form D	74	45%	54	33%	35	21%	0.0629	0.969

* Friedman test.

Table 4. Effects of treatment on total counts of sperm motility forms in the ejaculate.

Paramotors	Incr	rease	No C	hange	Dec	rease	Test Result	11 ×	
I alametels	п	%	п	%	п	%	- lest Kesult	r	
Form A	116	71%	36	22%	11	7%	81.094	< 0.001	
Form B	130	80%	17	10%	16	10%	96.768	< 0.001	
Form C	99	61%	32	20%	32	20%	12.931	0.002	
Form D	76	47%	41	25%	46	28%	2.151	0.341	

* Friedman test.

Table 5. Effects of treatment on general sperm parameters in relation to the presence of varicocele.

			В	aseline						Chang	ge after Th	nerapy			
Parameter	Varicocele ⁻	x Mean	SD	Min	Media	an Max	Ulest	<i>p</i> •	x Mean	SD	Min	Media	n Max	Ulest	<i>p</i> .
Volumo of giagulata mI	No	3.7	1.0	2.0	3.5	6.0	1 500	0.122	0.0	0.8	-1.8	0.0	1.8	0.210	0.750
volume of ejaculate, mL	Yes	3.4	1.0	1.5	3.5	6.0	1.508	0.132	0.0	0.9	-2.5	0.0	2.8	0.318	0.750
Sperm concentration,	No	6.9	10.6	0.0	5.5	91.0	0.000	0.407	2.6	3.2	-9.0	2.5	18.0	1 104	0.270
million/mL	Yes	6.8	9.2	0.0	4.5	55.0	0.680	0.496	2.2	4.0	-19.0	2.0	24.0	1.104	0.270
Total sperm count,	No	25.4	47.3	0.0	18.2	409.5	1.020	0.200	8.7	11.0	-28.5	8.0	49.6	1 0771	0.0(1
million/ejaculate	Yes	23.0	31.3	0.0	15.0	192.5	1.020	0.308	6.0	10.2	-24.5	3.5	34.3	1.8/1	0.061
Pathological forms %	No	96.6	1.2	94.0	96.0	99.9	0 500	0.554	3.8	19.0	-2.0	0.0	99.9	1 70/	0.074
1 autological forms, 76	Yes	96.5	1.6	93.0	96.0	99.9	0.589	0.556	4.8	21.0	-1.5	0.0	100.0	-1.786	0.074
Total motility %	No	72.6	36.0	0.0	90.5	100.0	1 552	0 1 2 0	10.8	20.0	-22.2	0.7	100.0	1 220	0.210
Total motility, %	Yes	67.1	32.2	0.0	77.8	100.0	1.555	0.120	15.1	22.9	-33.3	8.3	100.0	-1.230	0.219
Progressive motility %	No	53.8	37.2	0.0	57.1	100.0	2 072	0.020	11.4	19.2	-30.0	7.2	100.0	1 450	0.145
Progressive motility, %	Yes	40.8	33.2	0.0	33.3	100.0	2.072	0.038	16.2	22.0	-33.3	12.5	75.0	-1.439	0.145

* Mann-Whitney U test.

Table 6. Effects of treatment on percentages of sperm motility forms in the ejaculate in relation to the presence of *varicocele*.

	.		aseline			n *		- U Toet	p *						
Parameter	Varicocele	x Mean	SD	Min	Media	ın Max	U lest	p	x Mean	SD	Min	Median	Max	U lest	p ·
	No	28.0	33.7	0.0	16.2	100.0	1 1 10	0.050	7.6	18.6	-37.5	0.0	66.7	0.000	0.404
Form A	Yes	20.2	27.4	0.0	11.1	100.0	1.143	0.253	8.1	18.5	-50.0	4.2	50.0	-0.800	0.424
Eaura D	No	25.8	30.9	0.0	16.7	100.0	0.426	0.((2	10.8	22.0	-50.0	10.3	100.0	1 1 1 0	0.2((
Form D	Yes	20.7	24.3	0.0	14.3	100.0	0.436	0.663	13.3	20.8	-44.4	14.3	66.7	-1.112	0.266
F C	No	18.8	23.2	0.0	13.4	75.0	1 000	0.050	3.9	20.9	-40.0	0.0	100.0	0 500	0.554
Form C	Yes	26.2	26.6	0.0	22.2	100.0	-1.893	0.058	5.4	20.1	-46.7	4.4	45.5	-0.588	0.556
E D	No	21.0	31.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	1 000	0.070	1.6	17.4	-33.3	0.0	100.0	0.070	0.042
Form D	Yes	24.7	26.1	0.0	18.2	100.0	-1./5/	0.079	2.6	25.2	-55.6	0.0	100.0	-0.072	0.943

* Mann-Whitney U test.

		Baseline						*			*				
Parameter	varicoceie	x Mean	SD	Min	Medi	an Max	UTest	Ρ	_ x Mean	SD	Min	Median	Max	U Test	<i>p</i> *
	No	1.4	1.9	0.0	1.0	8.0	0.070	0.001	1.2	1.6	-1.0	1.0	8.0	0.454	0 (10
Form A	Yes	1.1	1.6	0.0	0.1	6.0	0.972	0.331	1.0	1.3	-2.0	0.5	5.0	0.456	0.648
F B	No	1.4	1.7	0.0	1.0	6.0	0.000	0 505	1.4	1.8	-2.0	1.0	11.0	0.055	0.055
Form B	Yes	1.2	1.6	0.0	1.0	8.0	0.338	0.735	1.4	1.5	-1.0	1.0	7.0	-0.057	0.955
г с	No	1.5	2.3	0.0	1.0	9.0	0.017	0.050	0.7	2.4	-8.0	0.1	14.0	0.004	0 700
Form C	Yes	1.8	2.7	0.0	0.3	12.0	-0.917	0.359	0.5	1.7	-5.0	0.1	10.0	0.334	0.738
E D	No	2.5	8.6	0.0	0.0	73.0	1 100	0.004	0.3	1.7	-5.0	0.0	8.0	0.0/2	0.050
Form D	Yes	2.7	6.7	0.0	0.5	47.0	-1.190	0.234	0.0	2.7	-20.0	0.0	9.0	0.063	0.950

Table 7. Effects of treatment on concentrations of sperm motility forms in the ejaculate in relation to the presence of *varicocele*.

* Mann-Whitney U test.

Table 8. Effects of treatment on total counts of sperm motility forms in the ejaculate in relation to the presence of *varicocele*.

	** * *	Baseline						*		- Utest	v *				
Parameter	Varicocele	x Mean	SD	Min	Medi	an Max	U test	p	x Mean	SD	Min	Median	Max	U test	p ·
	No	5.0	6.6	0.0	3.2	31.5	1.10/	0.0(0)	4.4	7.0	-5.8	3.3	43.5	0.(1)	0.500
Form A	Yes	3.7	5.2	0.0	0.5	21.0	1.126	0.260	3.5	5.0	-9.0	2.0	22.3	0.616	0.538
г р	No	5.1	6.5	0.0	2.8	24.0	0.075	0 702	4.8	6.8	-7.0	3.0	42.0	0.407	0.440
Form B	Yes	4.3	6.1	0.0	2.5	36.0	0.275	0.783	4.2	5.4	-9.0	2.2	22.3	0.436	0.663
EC	No	5.6	9.0	0.0	2.0	42.8	0.027	0.402	2.3	9.1	-27.5	0.6	63.5	0.240	0.004
Form C	Yes	5.8	8.7	0.0	1.1	37.5	-0.837	0.402	1.8	5.3	-16.5	0.8	25.5	-0.249	0.804
E D	No	9.8	37.9	0.0	0.0	328.5	0.007	0.210	-0.1	15.6	-118.5	0.0	48.5	0.0/5	0.049
Form D	Yes	9.3	23.7	0.0	1.5	164.5	-0.996	0.319	-1.3	9.0	-43.0	0.3	10.3	0.065	0.948

* Mann-Whitney U test.

3. Results

3.1. Evaluation of the Effects of Antioxidant Therapy on General Semen Parameters

There were statistically significant changes in the semen quality and/or quantity parameters after 6 months of antioxidant therapy with Proxeed Plus (Sigma-Tau) compared to the baseline. With the exception of the percentage of pathological forms (Table 1) and the percentage, concentration, as well as quantity of D forms of spermatozoa (Tables 2–4), which showed no significant changes after the antioxidant therapy, significant increases were observed in all the semen quality and/or quantity parameters after the treatment (Tables 1–4). These results confirm that Proxeed Plus had a positive effect on the semen quality. Following a treatment with Proxeed Plus, significant increases were observed in the volume of ejaculate in 42% of patients, the sperm concentration in 85%, and the total sperm count in 81% (Table 1). Improvements in the total and progressive sperm motility (i.e., increases in scores) were observed in 55% and 66% of patients, respectively.

Analysis of the sperm motility (A and B forms, fast and slow progressive motility, respectively; C, local motility; D, no visible motility) showed increases in the percentages of A and B forms after the treatment in 54% and 74% of patients, respectively; the concentrations of A and B forms in 66% and 77%, respectively; and in the total sperm count in the ejaculate in 71% and 80%, respectively (Tables 2–4). The analysis also showed a statistically significant increase after therapy in the percentage of locally motile forms (C form) in 50% of patients, which was most likely due to the absolute increase in the total sperm number in the semen. At the same time, higher rates of decreases in the occurrence of locally motile C form spermatozoa in semen in relation to the A and B forms showing a progressive motility were noted in terms of a percentage (31% vs. 21% vs. 18%, respectively), concentration (19% vs. 4% vs. 6%, respectively) and absolute total numbers (20% vs. 7% vs. 19%, respectively). There were no significant differences after therapy in the percentage, concentration, or absolute total numbers of immobile D form sperm. As in the case of locally motile C form sperm, higher rates of decreases in A, B, and C forms.

Despite the significant positive effects of antioxidant therapy, some patients showed a lack of improvement or even worsening of the semen quantity/quality parameters. Next,

we examined whether the presence of varicocele or other idiopathic factors responsible for male infertility may be associated with a weaker response to the antioxidant therapy, and whether the supplement used would have a particularly beneficial effect in either of the two groups of patients.

3.2. Effects of the Presence of Varicocele on Semen Parameters at Baseline and Differences after Treatment

The total patient population (n = 163) consisted of 85 men with varicocele (Grades I, II, and III; varicocele-related infertility group) and 78 men without varicocele (idiopathic infertility group). The general semen parameters are shown in Table 5.

There were no significant differences in the baseline values before therapy between the two groups with the exception of a progressive mobility, which was significantly reduced in the varicocele-related infertility group compared to the idiopathic infertility group (mean: $40.8\% \pm 33.2\%$ vs. $53.8\% \pm 37.2\%$, respectively; median: 33.3% vs. 57.1%, respectively). Poorer values of individual general semen parameters were associated with an increasing grade of varicocele in the varicocele-related infertility group. Patients with Grade III varicocele showed a significantly lower sperm concentration and absolute total number and higher percentage of pathological forms compared to the idiopathic infertility group (0.6 \pm 0.4 million/mL vs. 6.9 \pm 10.6 million/mL, respectively, p < 0.001; 1.7 \pm 1.3 million/ejaculate vs. 25.4 \pm 47.3 million/ejaculate, respectively, p < 0.001; 98.4% ± 1.4 % vs. 96.6% ± 1.2 %, respectively, p = 0.015) and corresponding values of those with lower grade varicocele (Grade I: 9.3 \pm 11 million/mL, *p* < 0.001; 30.6 ± 37.2 million/ejaculate, p < 0.001; $96.4\% \pm 1.3\%$, p = 0.005, respectively; Grade II: 4.9 ± 4.7 million/mL, p = 0.018; 18.7 ± 18.9 million/ejaculate, p = 0.006; $95.8\% \pm 1.5\%$, p < 0.001, respectively). There were no significant differences in the changes from the baseline in the values of the general semen parameters after antioxidant therapy between the groups. In the varicocele-related infertility group, the greatest improvements were seen in the Grade III varicocele subgroup. After treatment, the percentage of motile forms was significantly greater in that subgroup than in the Grade II subgroup ($32.8\% \pm 25.4\%$ vs. 10.3% \pm 22.6%, respectively, p = 0.029), including those with a progressive motility, which were significantly greater in the Grade III subgroup than in the Grade I and Grade II subgroups.

Tables 6–8 shows the data on spermatozoa in semen divided into subpopulations according to the differences in motility before and after therapy in the following groups: progressive (A and B forms, fast and slow, respectively), local (C form), and immotile (D form). Prior to therapy, there were no significant differences among any groups. However, an extended statistical analysis taking into account the severity of varicocele before treatment indicated significant differences in the total number and concentration of A form spermatozoa in the Grade III varicocele subgroup compared to the Grade I subgroup. These observations suggest that Grade III varicocele is associated with significantly poorer values of the general semen parameters.

Similarly to the baseline observations, there were no significant differences among groups after therapy (Tables 6–8). However, according to the severity, the Grade III group showed a significantly greater improvement in the percentage of B form sperm after therapy compared to the idiopathic infertility group (28.7% \pm 16.6% vs. 10.8% \pm 22%, respectively, *p* = 0.015), Grade I subgroup (11.9% \pm 18.8%, *p* = 0.045), and Grade II subgroup (8.4% \pm 23.4%, *p* = 0.048).

4. Discussion

Varicocele is a common condition, with an estimated incidence of up to one in five men [32]. However, the precise relationship between the presence of varicocele and the deterioration of qualitative and quantitative semen parameters is still not clear. This is all the more controversial because some men diagnosed with seminal varicocele do not have fertility disorders [33–35]. The main factor related to a reduced reproductive

potential associated with the presence of varicocele is oxidative stress, which affects the process of spermatogenesis as well as inducing direct functional and structural damage to male germ cells, including effects on the sperm cell membrane and damage to genomic and mitochondrial DNA [36]. The evidence regarding the role of L-carnitine as a primary or adjuvant treatment of varicocele is sparse. The pathophysiological significance of L-carnitine implicates a potential role of the molecule in the management of varicocele, but the evidence so far is controversial for any recommendations. L-carnitine might be taken into consideration in selected cases; however, further search is needed in order for the optimal role of L-carnitine in infertile patients with varicocele to be clarified [37].

This study investigated the efficacy of an oral administration of a male fertility supplement containing substances known to relieve oxidative stress (Proxeed Plus; Sigma-Tau) in men with diagnosed idiopathic or varicocele-related infertility. General semen parameters were evaluated in accordance with the WHO reference values (5th edition): the ejaculate volume, concentration, and total number of spermatozoa in the ejaculate, and their motility and morphology (the percentage of forms with pathological morphology) at the time of diagnosis and after 3 and 6 months of treatment [2]. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no previous studies on the efficacy of Proxeed Plus in infertile men, particularly those diagnosed with varicocele. Its use in patients in this group is justified as these men have elevated levels of compounds with an oxidative potential, i.e., malondialdehyde (MDA) and nitric oxide (NO), and the decreased activity of enzymatic (superoxide dismutase [SOD], glutathione peroxidase [GPX]) and non-enzymatic antioxidants (ascorbic acid) in their semen [38]. All subjects in the present study showed a significant reduction in the value of at least one of the parameters relative to the lower limits of the WHO reference range. These observations are consistent with reports from many other research groups [15,39]. The use of the antioxidant preparation led to significant improvements in the absolute total sperm count in the semen (81%) as well as the sperm concentration (85%)and progressive (65%) and total (55%) motility. The detailed analysis of the sperm motility indicated significant increases in the percentage, concentration, and total number of motile sperm forms (A, B, and C forms) in at least 50% of the men in the study. However, there was no significant improvement in the sperm morphology, with improvement seen in only 22% of the subjects. Our data are consistent with previous reports of antioxidant therapy in patients with infertility of different aetiologies and varicocele-related infertility [14,18]. The efficacy of the preparation tested here, Proxeed Plus (Sigma-Tau), appeared to be due to the cumulative action of its individual antioxidant components.

The major component of the tested preparation was L-carnitine, which was first reported to have a positive influence on the semen quality by Tanphaichitr (1977), who found that the addition of acetyl-L-carnitine to ejaculate significantly improved the motility of spermatozoa [40]. Later, L-carnitine was also shown to play an important role in the process of energy production at the cellular level by participating in the beta-oxidation of long-chain fatty acids [41]. Its significant antioxidant potential is also an important property. The use of L-carnitine, either individually or in combination with other substances, such as acetyl-L-carnitine, as in the present study, has been shown to contribute to increases in the total sperm count, sperm concentration, and motility in subjects previously diagnosed with infertility due to a variety of aetiological factors. However, in contrast to our results, some studies have also reported significant improvements in sperm morphology with antioxidant therapy [14,18,23]. It is possible that such significant changes would have also been observed in the present study if the patient population had been larger. The pathophysiological significance of L-carnitine implicates a potential role of the molecule in the management of varicocele, but the evidence so far is controversial for any recommendations. L-carnitine might be taken into consideration in selected cases; however, further research is needed in order for the optimal role of L-carnitine in infertile patients with varicocele to be clarified [37]. Minerals, in particular zinc and selenium, seem to play key roles in regulating the antioxidant potential of male sperm. Zinc is an essential element for the proper functioning of SOD, while selenium is essential for GPX, both of which are important components of the antioxidant protective system of sperm [42]. Selenium deficiency may contribute not only to increased oxidative stress but also to reduced testicular size, sperm duct atrophy, and structural defects during sperm maturation in the epididymis, while zinc deficiency may contribute to adverse changes to DNA damage repair and cell division [8,42]. Selenium deficiency is common in men with varicocele, and reduced levels of selenium are directly correlate with lower sperm counts in semen as well as poorer motility and morphology [8,42]. Selenium supplementation has been shown to significantly reduce the peroxidation of sperm cell membranes and DNA and to improve the general semen parameters, particularly sperm motility and viability [8,13]. Similarly, zinc concentration is directly correlated with the total number of sperm in semen [8,42] and inversely proportional with the degree of sperm cell DNA fragmentation [5]. Zinc is a component of many metalloenzymes, including SOD, which is responsible for reducing oxidative stress, and many others involved in transcription, translation, and replication [8,13,38,43,44]. Through its cofactor, antiapoptotic, and antioxidant functions, zinc enables the maintenance of an adequate sperm motility and the progression of spermatogenesis [38,42–45]. Due to its antioxidant potential and its role as part of the mitochondrial oxidative respiratory chain, coenzyme Q10 appears to play a role not only in building the antioxidant potential but also in the process of energy production for sperm motility [14,46,47]. The treatment with coenzyme Q10 improves the general semen parameters, including increases in the sperm concentration and progressive motility [14,47–49]. Folic acid is important in DNA biosynthesis, which is highly active in germ cells. In addition, it has strong antioxidant properties through which it can contribute to reducing the oxidative stress and preventing the peroxidation of the cell membrane lipids [38,45]. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) is also an antioxidant with a high antioxidant potential. A low ascorbic acid concentration in semen leads to DNA fragmentation and the deterioration of other parameters of semen quality [18,50]. Its presence in seminal fluid significantly protects spermatozoa from DNA peroxidation and promotes an improved motility [8,51].

There were no significant differences in the general semen quality parameters between the idiopathic infertility and varicocele-related infertility groups before the treatment, except in progressive motility, which although within the reference range in both groups, was significantly worse in patients with varicocele than in the idiopathic infertility group. This was most likely due to the inclusion of patients with Grade III varicocele, characterized not only by a significantly lower total sperm number in semen compared to men with idiopathic infertility, but also to their significantly reduced progressive motility resulting from the significantly lower numbers of form A spermatozoa in semen. Although there were no significant differences in other general semen parameters between the two groups, it is noteworthy that the varicocele-related infertility group tended to have lower mean and median values of almost all parameters than the idiopathic infertility group. These differences were likely due to higher levels of oxidative stress and reduced activity of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants in semen in the former, which has indeed been reported previously in patients with varicocele [15]. As a result of existing pathologies, both groups showed significant decreases in the total sperm count and concentration relative to the lower limit of the WHO reference range, consistent with previous studies. On the other hand, the detailed analyses of sperm motility showed no significant differences in the percentage, concentration, or total numbers of A, B, C, and D forms between the two groups. After antioxidant therapy, both groups showed an improvement in the semen quality with increases in the total number of spermatozoa in the ejaculate, sperm concentration, as well as the total and progressive motility. The magnitudes of improvement in all parameters were not significantly different between the groups and there were no differences in the effects of the treatment between the groups, confirming that it had a positive effect on the general semen parameters independent of the underlying etiology of infertility.

A detailed analysis taking into account the varicocele grade revealed significantly poorer parameters with an increasing severity of pathology. Patients with Grade III varicocele showed significantly worse results compared to Grade I. These results are in line with previous studies [14,15,23,52–55]. After a pharmacological intervention, patients with Grade III varicocele showed a significant improvement in their outcome compared to groups with lower varicocele grades, particularly Grade II in terms of the mean improvement after therapy, which may have been a direct result of the significant increase in the percentage of B form sperm with a slow progressive motility in this subgroup.

Currently, there is still a lack of defined guidelines for the treatment of male infertility associated with oxidative stress, partly due to the unknown etiology of the condition [9]. Over the past few years, several clinical trials have been conducted to investigate the effects of antioxidant supplementation on oxidative stress in seminal fluid and semen parameters [9,56]. Many have reported promising effects of antioxidants on sperm concentration, motility, morphology, and DNA fragmentation [57,58]. Some of the studies have shown improvements in the sperm redox status and semen parameters and a good correlation with pregnancy outcomes [59]. However, the role of antioxidant therapy in male infertility is still controversial. Countered by randomized studies that showed no improvement in the semen parameters and DNA fragmentation in infertile men, and no beneficial effect on pregnancy or live birth rates was observed. [60].

As it has been established that smoking can have an adverse effect on the quality of semen [61], the similar percentage of smokers in both groups was also an important advantage, which makes it possible to exclude this as a possible factor responsible for the observed differences between the groups. However, as data in the literature indicate no differences in the semen quality between nonsmokers and ex-smokers, we did not subdivide our study population according to this criterion, which may represent a limitation of the analysis and should be analyzed in future studies on a larger population. Similarly, the lack of history taking regarding passive smoking or the number of cigarettes smoked per day by active smokers also represents a limitation, as the distribution of highly dependent smokers between the two groups was not known. Information on the number of successful pregnancies after the treatment was also not collected.

5. Conclusions

The treatment of men with idiopathic or varicocele-related infertility with a supplement containing l-carnitine (Proxeed Plus; Sigma-Tau) resulted in significant improvements in the general semen parameters, particularly sperm count, sperm concentration, total motility, and progressive motility. This antioxidant preparation showed a particularly marked therapeutic benefit in patients with Grade III varicocele, with a greater improvement in progressive motility than in men with less severe or no varicocele. However, according to the literature, surgical treatment produces the best results in such patients [14,23,31,38]. The use of the antioxidant preparation examined here seems reasonable in men with idiopathic infertility and as an adjuvant in those with varicocele-related infertility in whom surgical treatment has resulted in no improvement. Its use should be considered particularly in patients with Grade III varicocele who do not wish to undergo surgical treatment or in whom such treatment is not possible for various reasons. A regular use of the preparation for a period of at least 3 months may lead to a significant improvement in the general semen parameters and make it easier to carry out in vitro fertilization procedures in couples in which male factors are responsible for infertility.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.S., T.W. and K.W.; methodology, M.S.; software, T.W.; validation, T.W., M.S. and P.D.; formal analysis, T.W. and A.S.; investigation, M.S., P.D., A.S., T.W., R.S., Ł.B. and K.W.; resources, M.S.; data curation, Ł.B.; writing—original draft preparation, M.S.; writing—review and editing, M.S., P.D. and W.J.S.; visualization, P.D.; supervision, A.S.; project administration, A.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Local Bioethics Committee of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń; Ludwik Rydygier Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, Poland (approval number: KB564/2017/07/25).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Aitken, R.J.; Roman, S.D. Antioxidant systems and oxidative stress in the testes. Mol. Mech. Spermatogenes 2009, 636, 154–171.
- World Health Organization. WHO Laboratory Manual for the Examination and Processing of Human Semen, 5th ed.; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2010.
- Punab, M.; Poolamets, O.; Paju, P.; Vihljajev, V.; Pomm, K.; Ladva, R.; Korrovits, P.; Laan, M. Causes of male infertility: A 9-year prospective monocentre study on 1737 patients with reduced total sperm counts. *Hum. Reprod.* 2017, 32, 18–31. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wagner, H.; Cheng, J.W.; Ko, E.Y. Role of reactive oxygen species in male infertility: An updated review of literature. *Arab. J. Urol.* 2018, 16, 35–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cui, X.; Jing, X.; Wu, X.; Yan, M.; Li, Q.; Shen, Y.; Wang, Z. DNA methylation in spermatogenesis and male infertility. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 2016, 12, 1973–1979. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Antoniassi, M.P.; Intasqui, P.; Camargo, M.; Zylbersztejn, D.S.; Carvalho, V.M.; Cardozo, K.H.M.; Bertolla, R.P. Analysis of the functional aspects and seminal plasma proteomic profile of sperm from smokers. *BJU Int.* **2016**, *118*, 814–822. [CrossRef]
- 7. Durairajanayagam, D. Lifestyle causes of male infertility. Arab. J. Urol. 2018, 16, 10–20. [CrossRef]
- 8. Walczak-Jedrzejowska, R.; Wolski, J.K.; Slowikowska-Hilczer, J. The role of oxidative stress and antioxidants in male fertility. *Cent. Eur. J. Urol.* 2013, *66*, 60–67. [CrossRef]
- 9. Dutta, S.; Majzoub, A.; Agarwal, A. Oxidative stress and sperm function: A systematic review on evaluation and management. *Arab. J. Urol.* **2019**, *17*, 87–97. [CrossRef]
- 10. Agarwal, A.; Prabakaran, S.; Allamaneni, S.S.S.R. Relationship between oxidative stress, varicocele and infertility: A meta-analysis. *Reprod. Biomed. Online* **2006**, *12*, 630–633. [CrossRef]
- 11. Dada, R.; Shamsi, M.B.; Venkatesh, S.; Gupta, N.P.; Kumar, R. Attenuation of oxidative stress & DNA damage in varicocelectomy: Implications in infertility management. *Indian J. Med. Res.* **2010**, *132*, 728–730.
- 12. Alahmar, A.T. Effect of vitamin C, vitamin E, zinc, selenium, and coenzyme Q10 in infertile men with idiopathic oligoasthenozoospermia. *Int. J. Infertil. Fetal. Med.* 2017, *8*, 45–49. [CrossRef]
- 13. Asadi, N.; Bahmani, M.; Kheradmand, A.; Rafieian-Kopaei, M. The impact of oxidative stress on testicular function and the role of antioxidants in improving it: A review. J. Clin. Diagn. Res. JCDR 2017, 11, IE01–IE05. [CrossRef]
- 14. Garg, H.; Kumar, R. An update on the role of medical treatment including antioxidant therapy in varicocele. *Asian J. Androl.* **2016**, *18*, 222.
- 15. Pallotti, F.; Paoli, D.; Carlini, T.; Vestri, A.R.; Martino, G.; Lenzi, A.; Lombardo, F. Varicocele and semen quality: A retrospective case–control study of 4230 patients from a single centre. *J. Endocrinol. Investig.* **2017**, *41*, 185–192. [CrossRef]
- 16. Zeinali, M.; Hadian Amree, A.; Khorramdelazad, H.; Karami, H.; Abedinzadeh, M. Inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines in the seminal plasma of infertile men suffering from varicocele. *Andrologia* **2016**, *49*, 12685. [CrossRef]
- 17. Fang, Y.; Su, Y.; Xu, J.; Hu, Z.; Zhao, K.; Liu, C.; Zhang, H. Varicocele-Mediated Male Infertility: From the Perspective of Testicular Immunity and Inflammation. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, 3542. [CrossRef]
- Gual-Frau, J.; Abad, C.; Amengual, M.J.; Hannaoui, N.; Checa, M.A.; Ribas-Maynou, J.; Lozano, I.; Nikolaou, A.; Benet, J.; García-Peiró, A.; et al. Oral antioxidant treatment partly improves integrity of human sperm DNA in infertile grade I varicocele patients. *Hum. Fertil.* 2015, 18, 225–229. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hassani-Bafrani, H.; Najaran, H.; Razi, M.; Rashtbari, H. Berberine ameliorates experimental varicocele-induced damages at testis and sperm levels; evidences for oxidative stress and inflammation. *Andrologia* **2019**, *51*, 13179. [CrossRef]
- Lacerda, J.I.; Del Giudice, P.T.; da Silva, B.F.; Nichi, M.; Fariello, R.M.; Fraietta, R.; Restelli, A.E.; Blumer, C.G.; Bertolla, R.P.; Cedenho, A.P. Adolescent varicocele: Improved sperm function after varicocelectomy. *Fertil. Steril.* 2011, 95, 994–999. [CrossRef]
- 21. Babaei, A.; Asadpour, R.; Mansouri, K.; Sabrivand, A.; Kazemi-Darabadi, S. Lycopene protects sperm from oxidative stress in the experimental varicocele model. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2021**, *9*, 6806–6817. [CrossRef]
- Mostafa, T.; Anis, T.; Imam, H.; El-Nashar, A.R.; Osman, I.A. Seminal reactive oxygen species-antioxidant relationship in fertile males with and without varicocele. *Andrologia* 2009, 41, 125–129. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hamada, A.; Esteves, S.C.; Agarwal, A. Insight into oxidative stress in varicocele-associated male infertility: Part 2. Nat. Rev. Urol. 2013, 10, 26–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 24. Wang, H.; Lv, Y.; Hu, K.; Feng, T.; Jin, Y.; Wang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Chen, B. Seminal plasma leptin and spermatozoon apoptosis in patients with varicocele and leucocytospermia. *Andrologia* **2015**, *47*, 655–661. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Collodel, G.; Capitani, S.; Iacoponi, F.; Federico, M.G.; Pascarelli, N.A.; Moretti, E. Retrospective Assessment of Potential Negative Synergistic Effects of Varicocele and Tobacco Use on Ultrastructural Sperm Morphology. Urology 2009, 74, 794–799. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Masson, P.; Brannigan, R.E. The Varicocele. Urol. Clin. 2014, 41, 129–144. [CrossRef]
- Agarwal, A.; Hamada, A.; Esteves, S.C. Insight into oxidative stress in varicocele-associated male infertility: Part 1. *Nat. Rev. Urol.* 2012, 9, 678–690. [CrossRef]
- Moshtaghion, S.-M.; Malekinejad, H.; Razi, M.; Shafie-Irannejad, V. Silymarin protects from varicocele-induced damages in testis and improves sperm quality: Evidence for E2f1 involvement. *Syst. Biol. Reprod. Med.* 2013, 59, 270–280. [CrossRef]
- Barekat, F.; Tavalaee, M.; Deemeh, M.R.; Bahreinian, M.; Azadi, L.; Abbasi, H.; Rozbahani, S.; Nasr-Esfahani, M.H. A preliminary study: N-acetyl-L-cysteine improves semen quality following varicocelectomy. *Int. J. Fertil. Steril.* 2016, 10, 120.
- Pourmand, G.; Movahedin, M.; Dehghani, S.; Mehrsai, A.; Ahmadi, A.; Pourhosein, M.; Hoseini, M.; Ziloochi, M.; Heidari, F.; Beladi, L.; et al. Does L-carnitine therapy add any extra benefit to standard inguinal varicocelectomy in terms of deoxyribonucleic acid damage or sperm quality factor indices: A randomized study. Urology 2014, 84, 821–825. [CrossRef]
- Martin-Hidalgo, D.; Bragado, M.J.; Batista, A.R.; Oliveira, P.F.; Alves, M.G. Antioxidants and Male Fertility: From Molecular Studies to Clinical Evidence. *Antioxidants* 2019, 8, 89. [CrossRef]
- 32. Nasr Esfahani, M.H.; Tavalaee, M. Origin and role of DNA damage in varicocele. Int. J. Fertil. Steril. 2012, 6, 141–146.
- 33. Madgar, I.; Weissenberg, R.; Lunenfeld, B.; Karasik, A.; Goldwasser, B. Controlled trial of high spermatic vein ligation for varicocele in infertile men. *Fertil. Steril.* **1995**, *63*, 120–124. [CrossRef]
- 34. Goldstein, M.; Gilbert, B.R.; Dicker, A.P.; Dwosh, J.; Gnecco, C. Microsurgical Inguinal Varicocelectomy With Delivery of the Testis: An Artery and Lymphatic Sparing Technique. *J. Urol.* **1992**, *148*, 1808–1811. [CrossRef]
- 35. Witt, M.A.; Lipshultz, L.I. Varicocele: A progressive or static lesion? Urology 1993, 42, 541–543. [CrossRef]
- 36. Aitken, R.J. Impact of oxidative stress on male and female germ cells: Implications for fertility. *Reproduction* **2020**, *159*, 189–201. [CrossRef]
- Tsampoukas, G.; Khan, M.F.; Katsouri, A.; Akhter, W.; Moussa, M.; Deliveliotis, K.; Papatsoris, A.; Buchholz, N. L-carnitine as primary or adjuvant treatment in infertile patients with varicocele. A systematic review. *Arch. Ital. Urol. Androl.* 2020, 92, 3. [CrossRef]
- Nematollahi-Mahani, S.N.; Azizollahi, G.H.; Baneshi, M.R.; Safari, Z.; Azizollahi, S. Effect of folic acid and zinc sulphate on endocrine parameters and seminal antioxidant level after varicocelectomy. *Andrologia* 2014, 46, 240–245. [CrossRef]
- Moretti, E.; Collodel, G.; Fiaschi, A.I.; Micheli, L.; Iacoponi, F.; Cerretani, D. Nitric oxide, malondialdheyde and non-enzymatic antioxidants assessed in viable spermatozoa from selected infertile men. *Reprod. Biol.* 2017, 17, 370–375. [CrossRef]
- 40. Kohengkul, S.; Tanphaichitr, V.; Muangmun, V.; Tanphaichitr, N. Levels of L-carnitine and LO-acetylcarnitine in normal and infertile human semen: A lower level of LO-acetylcarnitine in infertile semen. *Fertil. Steril.* **1977**, *28*, 1333–1336. [CrossRef]
- 41. Bremer, J. Carnitine–metabolism and functions. *Physiol. Rev.* **1983**, *63*, 1420–1480. [CrossRef]
- Camejo, M.I.; Abdala, L.; Vivas-Acevedo, G.; Lozano-Hernández, R.; Angeli-Greaves, M.; Greaves, E.D. Selenium, Copper and Zinc in Seminal Plasma of Men with Varicocele, Relationship with Seminal Parameters. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 2011, 143, 1247–1254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Chimienti, F.; Aouffen, M.; Favier, A.; Seve, M. Zinc homeostasis-regulating proteins: New drug targets for triggering cell fate. *Curr. Drug Targets* **2003**, *4*, 323–338. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Zago, M.P.; Oteiza, P.I. The antioxidant properties of zinc: Interactions with iron and antioxidants. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2001**, *31*, 266–274. [CrossRef]
- 45. Ebisch, I.M.W.; Thomas, C.M.G.; Peters, W.H.M.; Braat, D.D.M.; Steegers-Theunissen, R.P.M. The importance of folate, zinc and antioxidants in the pathogenesis and prevention of subfertility. *Hum. Reprod. Update* **2007**, *13*, 163–174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Littarru, G.P.; Tiano, L. Bioenergetic and antioxidant properties of coenzyme Q10: Recent developments. *Mol. Biotechnol.* 2007, 37, 31–37. [CrossRef]
- 47. Mancini, A.; Balercia, G. Coenzyme Q10 in male infertility: Physiopathology and therapy. Biofactors 2011, 37, 374–380. [CrossRef]
- Balercia, G.; Buldreghini, E.; Vignini, A.; Tiano, L.; Paggi, F.; Amoroso, S.; Ricciardo-Lamonica, G.; Boscaro, M.; Lenzi, A.; Littarru, G. Coenzyme Q10 treatment in infertile men with idiopathic asthenozoospermia: A placebo-controlled, double-blind randomized trial. *Fertil. Steril.* 2009, *91*, 1785–1792. [CrossRef]
- Festa, R.; Giacchi, E.; Raimondo, S.; Tiano, L.; Zuccarelli, P.; Silvestrini, A.; Meucci, E.; Littarru, G.P.; Mancini, A. Coenzyme Q10 supplementation in infertile men with low-grade varicocele: An open, uncontrolled pilot study. *Andrologia* 2014, 46, 805–807. [CrossRef]
- 50. Song, G.J.; Norkus, E.P.; Lewis, V. Relationship between seminal ascorbic acid and sperm DNA integrity in infertile men. *Int. J. Androl.* **2006**, *29*, 569–575. [CrossRef]
- 51. Asadi, F.; Gilani, M.A.S.; Ghaheri, A.; Saffari, J.R.; Zamanian, M. The prevalence of Y chromosome microdeletions in Iranian infertile men with azoospermia and severe oligospermia. *Cell J.* **2017**, *19*, 27.

- Sangodele, J.O.; Inuwa, Z.; Lawal, B.; Adebayo-Gege, G.; Okoli, B.J.; Mtunzi, F. Proxeed plus salvage rat testis from ischemiareperfused injury by enhancing antioxidant's activities and inhibition of iNOS expression. *Biomed Pharmacother.* 2021, 133, 111086. [CrossRef]
- 53. Ozer, C. Antioxidant treatment of increased sperm DNA fragmentation: Complex combinations are not more successful. *Arch. Ital. Urol. Androl.* **2020**, *92*, 4. [CrossRef]
- Micic, S.; Lalic, N.; Djordjevic, D.; Bojanic, N.; Bogavac-Stanojevic, N.; Busetto, G.M.; Virmani, A.; Agarwal, A. Double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial on the effect of L-carnitine and L-acetylcarnitine on sperm parameters in men with idiopathic oligoasthenozoospermia. *Andrologia* 2019, 51, 13267. [CrossRef]
- 55. Busetto, G.M.; Agarwal, A.; Virmani, A.; Antonini, G.; Ragonesi, G.; Del Giudice, F.; Micic, S.; Gentile, V.; De Berardinis, E. Effect of metabolic and antioxidant supplementation on sperm parameters in oligo-astheno-teratozoospermia, with and without varicocele: A double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Andrologia* **2018**, *50*, 12927. [CrossRef]
- 56. Majzoub, A.; Agarwal, A. Systematic review of antioxidant types and doses in male infertility: Benefits on semen parameters, advanced sperm function, assisted reproduction and live-birth rate. *Arab. J. Urol.* **2018**, *16*, 113–124. [CrossRef]
- 57. Gambera, L.; Stendardi, A.; Ghelardi, C.; Fineschi, B.; Aini, R. Effects of antioxidant treatment on seminal parameters in patients undergoing in vitro fertilization. *Arch. Ital. Urol. Androl.* **2019**, *91*. [CrossRef]
- Alahmar, A.T.; Calogero, A.E.; Singh, R.; Cannarella, R.; Sengupta, P.; Dutta, S. Coenzyme Q10, oxidative stress, and male infertility: A review. *Clin. Exp. Reprod. Med.* 2021, 48, 97–104. [CrossRef]
- 59. Gharagozloo, P.; Aitken, R.J. The role of sperm oxidative stress in male infertility and the significance of oral antioxidant therapy. *Hum. Reprod.* **2011**, *26*, 1628–1640. [CrossRef]
- Steiner, A.Z.; Hansen, K.R.; Barnhart, K.T.; Cedars, M.I.; Legro, R.S.; Diamond, M.P.; Krawetz, S.A.; Usadi, R.; Baker, V.L.; Coward, R.M.; et al. The effect of antioxidants on male factor infertility: The Males, Antioxidants, and Infertility (MOXI) randomized clinical trial. *Fertil. Steril.* 2020, *113*, 552–560. [CrossRef]
- Sharma, R.; Harlev, A.; Agarwal, A.; Esteves, S.C. Cigarette Smoking and Semen Quality: A New Meta-analysis Examining the Effect of the 2010 World Health Organization Laboratory Methods for the Examination of Human Semen. *Eur. Urol.* 2016, 70, 635–645. [CrossRef]