

Article **Diatoms of the Macroalgae Epiphyton and Bioindication of the Protected Coastal Waters of the Kazantip Cape (Crimea, the Sea of Azov)**

Anna Bondarenko ¹ , Armine Shiroyan ¹ , Larisa Ryabushko ¹ and Sophia Barinova 2,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9915-2503)

- Kovalevsky Institute of Biology of the Southern Seas, Russian Academy of Sciences, 2, Nakhimov Ave., Sevastopol 299011, Russia; gonzurassa@mail.ru (A.B.); arminka_shir@mail.ru (A.S.); larisa.ryabushko@yandex.ru (L.R.)
- 2 Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Abba Khoushi Avenue, 199, Mount Carmel, Haifa 3498838, Israel
- ***** Correspondence: sophia@evo.haifa.ac.il

Abstract: This article is about the diversity of diatoms in the benthos of the upper sublittoral near Kazantip Cape, located on the shore of the Sea of Azov in the northeastern part of Crimea. The study was conducted in 2022 and 2023 at a depth of 0.1 to 1 m at temperatures from 3.7 °C to 29 °C and salinity from 13.6 to 15.6 psu on the following 11 species of macroalgae: Phaeophyta of *Ericaria crinita*, *Gongolaria barbata*, and *Cladosiphon mediterraneus*; Chlorophyta—*Bryopsis hypnoides*, *Cladophora liniformis*, *Ulva intestinalis*, and *Ulva linza*; and Rhodophyta—*Callithamnion corymbosum*, *Ceramium arborescens*, *Polysiphonia denudata*, and *Pyropia leucosticta*. A total of 97 taxa of Bacillariophyta belonging to 3 classes, 21 orders, 30 families, and 45 genera were found. The highest number of diatom species was found on *U. linza* (61 species), *P. denudata* (45), *E. crinita* (40), the lowest number was recorded on thalli *P. leucosticta* (9). On macroalgae were found of 80% benthic diatoms, 50% marine species, 36% brackish-marine, 9% freshwater, 5% brackish, and 36% cosmopolites. The maximum abundance of the diatom community was 243.4×10^3 cells/cm² (*P. denudata* in September at 23.9 °C and 15.0 psu) with dominance by the diatom of *Licmophora abbreviata,* and the minimum was 3.8 \times 10^3 cells/cm² (*P. leucosticta* in January at 3.7 ◦C and 15.0 psu). The presence in the epiphyton of diatoms—indicators of moderate organic water pollution (32 species), which developed in masse in late summer—indicate a constant inflow of organic matter into the coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape. The bioindicator and statistical studies indicate the effectiveness of the conservation regime, especially at stations within the IUCN reserve, despite relatively high saprobity rates at stations exposed to recreational pressure and poorly treated domestic wastewater.

Keywords: epiphyton diatoms; floristic; ecology; different indices; phytogeography; macroalgae; Kazantip Cape; the Sea of Azov

1. Introduction

Seaweeds serve not only as a source of food and a habitat-forming component for many animals, but also as a substrate for colonization by different microalgae, among which diatoms are dominant [\[1,](#page-19-0)[2\]](#page-19-1). It is known that diatoms create high primary production, make a significant contribution to the formation of microphytobenthos biodiversity, and can also be used as indicators of the quality of their habitat $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$. Together with floristic diversity, data on the abundance and biomass of diatoms from different ecotopes by season are important information [\[2\]](#page-19-1).

The coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape of the Crimean coast of the Sea of Azov is one of the places of biological diversity of aquatic macrophytes due to a number of geomorphological features of the cape (for example, the presence of rocky territorialaquatic complexes), as well as the influence of the more saline waters of the Kerch Strait, which unites the Sea of Azov and the Black Sea.

Citation: Bondarenko, A.; Shiroyan, A.; Ryabushko, L.; Barinova, S. Diatoms of the Macroalgae Epiphyton and Bioindication of the Protected Coastal Waters of the Kazantip Cape (Crimea, the Sea of Azov). *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2024**, *12*, 1211. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse12071211) [10.3390/jmse12071211](https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse12071211)

Academic Editor: Milva Pepi

Received: 28 May 2024 Revised: 11 July 2024 Accepted: 15 July 2024 Published: 18 July 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

It should be noted that the water area of the Sea of Azov near the Kazantip Cape is part of the wetland of international importance "Kazantip Cape Aquatic Rock Complex", protected by the Ramsar Convention, (certificate No. 1393 dated 29 July 2004, Iran, Ramsar), and some bays of the Cape are part of the Kazantip Reserve [\[3\]](#page-19-2).

In this respect, its waters are subject to relatively little anthropogenic influence, and can be considered as a reference [\[4\]](#page-19-3). The study of organisms, especially poorly studied ones, in anthropogenically undisturbed natural complexes is always of scientific interest. At the same time, an important component is the knowledge of the state of the basis of the trophic pyramid, macro- and micro-producers.

In the protected waters of Kazantip Cape, the focus has long been on the study of macrophytes. The first work on the study of the flora coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape was carried out in the 1920s and was reduced to determining the species composition of macrophytobenthic communities [\[5\]](#page-19-4). Currently, there is a lot of work in this area of research [\[6–](#page-19-5)[10\]](#page-19-6). Since 2000, the study of cyanobacteria in the rocky supralittoral zone has begun [\[9\]](#page-19-7).

Studies of benthic community's diatoms were first carried out in 2005 and covered several ecotopes, including the epilithon, epipsammon, and epiphyton of six species of macroalgae: Chlorophyta of genera *Blidingia* Kylin, *Ulothrix* Kützing, *Ulva* Linnaeus, Rhodophyta— *Ceramium* Roth, *Polysiphonia* Greville, and Phaeophyta—*Ericaria* Stackhouse [\[11\]](#page-19-8). In general, 95 diatom species were recorded in the microphytobenthos of the coastal Reserve and nearby bays, of which 79 taxa were recorded in the epiphyton. Together with data on floristic diversity, information on the abundance and biomass of diatoms from different ecotopes by season is presented [\[2](#page-19-1)[,12\]](#page-19-9).

To date, 69 taxa of macroalgae are indicated for the flora of benthic communities of coastal waters of Kazantip Cape: Chlorophyta—33 species, Ochrophyta—11, Rhodophyta—25 [\[10\]](#page-19-6), as well as 184 taxa of microalgae: Cyanobacteria—83, Bacillariophyta—95, Dinophyta—2, Haptophyta—2, Chlorophyta, and Ochrophyta—1 of each [\[9](#page-19-7)[,11\]](#page-19-8).

However, communities of benthic microalgae, which are based on diatoms, have so far been poorly studied. It is known that species that are topically closely related to the substrate (benthos) are among the first to react to environmental changes, so they can be used for bioindication and assessment of the ecological situation, including in protected water areas. Therefore, it seems relevant to continue the study of the composition and quantitative parameters of diatom communities formed on different macroalgae of the Kazantip coast, as well as to expand the limited available information on the dominant species, their abundance and biomass, and to analyze the structure of the diatom community using a series of indices (species diversity, evenness, dominance, and saprobity), including for assessing the ecological situation of the study area.

The aim of this work is to study the diversity of diatom community in epiphyton of different species of macroalgae and bioindication of the protected coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape of the Sea of Azov based on the saprobity index.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Description of the Study Sites

The Kazantip Cape is located in the southern part of the Sea of Azov, and is a peninsula protruding into the sea for 2 km in the northeast of the Crimean Peninsula (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). We conducted a study of diatoms in four bays (stations) of Kazantip: Russkaya (st. 1), Shirokaya (st. 2), Kunushkay (st. 3), and Tatarskaya (st. 4). Shirokaya and Kunushkay bays are part of the Kazantip Reserve.

The Kazantip Cape is a fossil reef composed of briozoan limestone, consisting mainly of the skeletons *Membranipora lapidosa* Pallas, 1803 [\[13\]](#page-19-10). Alternating rocks of varying strength (limestones, clays, and marls) are destroyed by the sea at unequal rates, which determines the unique landscape of the Kazantip Cape (Figure [2\)](#page-2-1). Its coastline is extremely rugged and consists of numerous small capes and bays [\[13\]](#page-19-10).

Figure 1. Map of the sampling sites of the Kazantip Cape in bays 1—Russkaya, 2—Shirokaya, 3—Kunushkay, and 4—Tatarskaya.

Figure 2. Various views of the Kazantip Cape and its bays: (a,d,e)—rocky cliffs; (b)—sandy coast of Russkaya Bay; (**с**)—Kunushkay Bay; (**d**)—Shirokaya Bay; (**e**)—coastal ice cover. Russkaya Bay; (**c**)—Kunushkay Bay; (**d**)—Shirokaya Bay; (**e**)—coastal ice cover.

The coastal waters of the cape have some features. For its shallow upper subtidal zone, the depth of which does not exceed 1.5 m, low salinity, varying in the range of 11–15 psu, as well as significant temperature changes, are noted. In July–August, coastal waters warm up to 28–30 ℃, and in the winter months they cool to subzero temperatures, freezing already at minus 0.5 ◦C [\[11,](#page-19-8)[14\]](#page-20-0).

Ice can remain in the coastal area inclusive from December to March. Periodic strong storms in January–February break up the ice cover, leaving a pile of ice floes at the edge of the surf.

North-easterly and easterly winds prevail throughout the year. The windiest period is from October to June, with the highest number of storms, particularly in March. From July to September, storms are rare, the calmest month is August [\[14\]](#page-20-0). In general, unique ecological conditions have developed in the coastal waters of Kazantip Cape, which significantly distinguish it from other areas of Crimea.

2.2. Sampling and Material Processing

The material for this study was 108 samples of epiphyton from 11 species the macroalgae: Chlorophyta—*Bryopsis hypnoides* J.V. Lamouroux, *Cladophora liniformis* Kützing, *Ulva intestinalis* Linnaeus, and *Ulva linza* Linnaeus; Phaeophyta—*Ericaria crinita* (Duby) Molinari et Guiry, *Gongolaria barbata* (Stackhouse) Kuntze, and *Cladosiphon mediterraneus* Kützing; Rhodophyta—*Callithamnion corymbosum* (Smith) Lyngbye, *Ceramium arborescens* J. Agardh, *Pyropia leucosticta* (Thuret) Neefus et J. Brodie, and *Polysiphonia denudata* (Dillwyn) Grevillei ex Harvey. These species are predominantly annual forms (except *E. crinita* and *G. barbata*) and are widely represented in the shallow coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape. *P. leucosticta* is a seasonal winter species, selected for its dominance in the cold season. Samples of macroalgae with epiphyton were collected in four bays of the Kazantip Cape (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). Material was collected monthly from October 2022 to September 2023 (except for December) at a depth of 0.1 to 1.0 m, and water temperature varied from 3.7 °C in January to 29 °C in August with a salinity from 13.6 to 15.6 psu (Table [1\)](#page-3-0).

Table 1. Temperature and salinity of the water in the bays of the Kazantip Cape in the different seasons 2022–2023.

The bays of the cape are bound by bryozoan limestone cliffs, and their bottom, rocky in places, is formed by sand and shell sediments (Figure [2\)](#page-2-1). The adjacent bays have a similar bottom, but their shores are flat, sandy, and shell-like [\[13\]](#page-19-10).

To identify diatoms, preparations from living cells were used, as well as permanent preparations from cleaned valves prepared according to known methods [\[15\]](#page-20-1) and in our modification [\[16\]](#page-20-2). Determination of the qualitative diatom composition was carried out in a light microscope (LM) of the Axioskop 40 type with AxioVision Rel. 4.6 (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). For the more accurate identification of diatoms, scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi SU3500, Tokyo, Japan) was applied. The diatom suspension was cleaned of organic matter by keeping it in $K MnO₄$ for 24 h, which was followed by adding HCl and heating this mixture to remove insoluble salts (e.g., carbonates). Then, the samples were washed with distilled water using repeated centrifugations to remove acid. Dried preparations of diatom valves were coated with gold-palladium for the SEM visualization.

In the classification of Bacillariophyta, we used the system of Round et al., 1990 [\[17\]](#page-20-3). Species identification of diatoms and determination of their ecological and phytogeographical characteristics were carried out by the following sources [\[3](#page-19-2)[,12](#page-19-9)[,18](#page-20-4)[–28\]](#page-20-5).

Quantitative counting of cells was carried out in a Goryaev chamber with a volume of 0.9 mm³ in triplicate. The species richness (S), abundance (N), and biomass (B) of diatoms species were determined according to the method [\[1\]](#page-19-0). The species richness was determined as the number of species found in the counting chamber when viewing samples of macroalgae.

The analysis of the structure of the diatom community was carried out using indices of species diversity (H) [\[29\]](#page-20-6), evenness (e) [\[30\]](#page-20-7), and dominance (D_{BP}) [\[31\]](#page-20-8). When calculating the surface area of the macrophyte-basiphyte, we were guided by the method [\[32\]](#page-20-9). Statistical processing of quantitative data were carried out using Microsoft Office Excel 2007 software and a statistical analysis application for Windows Past 4.03 [\[33\]](#page-20-10). The Bray–Curtis similarity index was used for comparison of the relative abundances of species in a community in entire habitats and varied between 0 and 1. The network analysis in JASP (Jeffreys's Amazing Statistics Program), significant only, was doing on the botnet package in R Statistica package of [\[34\]](#page-20-11). The program conducts a Bayesian Pearson correlation analysis. The Pearson correlation coefficient is varied between −1 and 1, and measures the strength and direction of the relationship between each pair of variables. Bayesian analysis answers questions about the relationship of the parameters using probability statements.

3. Results

3.1. Fouling Species of Macroalgae

The following diatoms in the coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape in macroalgae epiphyton of 11 species have been studied: Phaeophyta—Ericaria crinita, Gongolaria barbata, and Cladosiphon mediterraneus; Chlorophyta—Bryopsis hypnoides, Cladophora liniformis, Ulva intestinalis, and Ulva linza; and Rhodophyta—Callithamnion corymbosum, Ceramium arborescens, Polysiphonia denudata, and Pyropia leucosticta (Figure [3a](#page-4-0)–k).

Figure 3. Samples of the macroalgae of the coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape: (a)—*Bryopsis* hypnoides, $\mathbf{(b)}$ —Callithamnion corymbosum, $\mathbf{(c)}$ —Cladosiphon mediterraneus, $\mathbf{(d)}$ —Ulva intestinalis, $\mathbf{(e)}$ — . . .
Polysiphonia denudata, (f)—Pyropia leucosticta, (g)—Ulva linza, (h)—Ericaria crinita, (i)—Cladophora (**i**)—*Cladophora liniformis*, (**j**)—*Gongolaria barbata*, and (**k**)—*Ceramium arborescens*. *liniformis*, (**j**)—*Gongolaria barbata*, and (**k**)—*Ceramium arborescens*.*Melosira Melosira moniliformisis moniliformisis, (C)*—Cladosiphon mediterraneus, (**d**)—*Ulva intestinalis*, (e)—*Polysiphonia denudata*, (f)—*Pyropia leucosticta*, (g)—*Ulva linza*, (*A*)—*Ericaria crinita*, (*i*)—*Cladop*

3.2. Species Composition, Ecology, and Distribution of Diatoms

A total of 97 Bacillariophyta taxa were found, belonging to 3 classes, 21 orders, 30 families, and 45 genera, of which 51 species were indicated the first time in the Kazantip Cape (Appendix [A](#page-14-0) Table [A1\)](#page-17-0). The basis of their species composition is the class Bacillariophyceae, which is typical for microphytobenthos [\[1,](#page-19-0)[2,](#page-19-1)[18](#page-20-4)[,35\]](#page-20-12). The highest number of diatom species was found on *U. linza* (61), *P. denudata* (45), and *Ericaria crinita* (40), and the lowest number of taxa was recorded on thalli of the red alga *P. leucosticta* (9) (Appendix [A](#page-14-0) Table [A1\)](#page-17-0).

The diatom flora is represented by typical obligate fouling organisms—*Achnanthes brevipes* (Figures [4a](#page-5-0),e, [5k](#page-6-0) and [6j](#page-7-0)), *Achnanthes longipes* (Figures [5o](#page-6-0) and [6t](#page-7-0)), *Gomphonemopsis* pseudexigua (Figure [5n](#page-6-0)), *Grammatophora marina* (Figure [4b](#page-5-0),k), *Licmophora abbreviata* (Figures [5j](#page-6-0) and [6q](#page-7-0),r), *Rhoicosphenia marina* (Figures [4c](#page-5-0) and [6n](#page-7-0)), *Tabularia parva* (Figure [6m](#page-7-0)), *Tabularia tabulata* (Figures [4f](#page-5-0),j,n, [5q](#page-6-0) and [6u](#page-7-0)), and *Striatella unipunctata*—which are capable of adhesion with the help of mucopolysaccharides they secrete, and often form colonies. One of the colonial species of *Navicula ramosissima* (Figure [4g](#page-5-0),h) was found in mucus tubes in March and April. The bentho-planktonic species of *Melosira jurgensii* (Figure [4d](#page-5-0))*, Melosira moniliformis* (Figure [4i](#page-5-0)), and *Melosira lineata* (Figure [4m](#page-5-0)) were met as well.

Figure 4. LM. Colonies of diatoms of various forms in the fouling of macroalgae in the Kazantip **Figure 4.** LM. Colonies of diatoms of various forms in the fouling of macroalgae in the Kazantip Cape: Achnanthes brevipes (**a,e,o**), Grammatophora marina (**b,k**), Rhoicosphenia marina (**c**), Melosira jurgensii (d), Tabularia tabulata (f,j,n) , single cell of Navicula ramosissima (g) and its tube colonies (h), Melosira moniliformis (i), and Melosira lineata (m). A single living species of Cocconeis scutellum is inside of the side of the red alga *Ceramium arborescens* (**l**). red alga *Ceramium arborescens* (**l**).

Figure 5. LM. Photographs of some diatom species frustules (a-e,g,i,p,q) and cells with chloroplasts plasts (**f**–**h**,**j**-**o**) on macroalgae epiphyton: *Cocconeis placentula* var. *euglypta* (**a**), *Cocconeis scutellum* (f-h,j-o) on macroalgae epiphyton: Cocconeis placentula var. euglypta (a), Cocconeis scutellum (b), Mastogloia pumila (**c**), Navicula cancellata (**d**), Navicula palpebralis (**e**), Diploneis didyma (**f**), Caloneis liber (g), Nitzschia sigmoidea (h), Nitzschia lanceolata var. minor (i), Licmophora abbreviata (j), Achnanthes brevipes ($\bf k$), Petroneis humerosa ($\bf l$), Undatella lineolata ($\bf m$), Gomphonemopsis pseudexigua ($\bf n$), Achnanthes When studying thalli of macrophytes, we noted that *Cocconeis scutellum* often forms *longipes* (**o**), *Synedrosphenia crystallina* (**p**), and *Tabularia tabulata* (**q**). Scale bar: 10 µm.

We also found the following benthic free-living species capable of moving along the substrate (Figures [5](#page-6-0) and [6\)](#page-7-0): *Cocconeis placentula* var. *euglypta* (Figures [5a](#page-6-0) and [6k](#page-7-0)), *Cocconeis* [36]. *scutellum* (Figures [5b](#page-6-0) and [6a](#page-7-0)), *Fallacia forcipata* (Figure [6i](#page-7-0)), *Lyrella atlantica* (Figure [6p](#page-7-0)), Caloneis liber (Figure [5g](#page-6-0)), Mastogloia pumila (Figures [5c](#page-6-0) and [6b](#page-7-0),c), N. cancellata (Figure [5d](#page-6-0)), 5b,k), *Licmophora abbreviata* (Figures 5j and 6q,r), *Navicula cancellata* (Figure 5d), *Nitzschia* N. perminuta (Figure [6f](#page-7-0)), Nitzschia lanceolata var. minor (Figure [5i](#page-6-0)), Rhopalodia musculus
(Figure 6s), end ethnes and *Trachyneis aspera* are among the most frequently encountered. Some rare diatom (Figure [6e](#page-7-0)), and others.

When studying thalli of macrophytes, we noted that *Cocconeis scutellum* often forms *Licmophora rostrata*, *Lyrella lyra*, *L. lyroides*, *Navicula cancellata* var. *gregoryi*, *Navicula* close, sometimes numerous groups located on the surface of the thalli or inside them (Figure 41). A similar phenomenon was described for the Black Sea [\[1\]](#page-19-0) and other waters [\[36\]](#page-20-13).

The species Berkeleya rutilans, Cylindrotheca closterium, Grammatophora marina (Figure [5b](#page-6-0),k), *compressa*. *Licmophora abbreviata* (Figures [5j](#page-6-0) and [6q](#page-7-0),r), *Navicula cancellata* (Figure [5d](#page-6-0)), *Nitzschia sigma*, *N. sigmoidea* (Fugure 5h), *Rhoicosphenia marina* (Figure [6n](#page-7-0)), *T. tabulata* (Figure [6u](#page-7-0)), and *Trachyneis aspera* are among the most frequently encountered. Some rare diatom species of the macroalgae epiphyton were found: *Amphora laevis*, *Grammatophora angulosa*, *Licmophora rostrata*, *Lyrella lyra*, *L. lyroides*, *Navicula cancellata* var. *gregoryi*, *Navicula dumontiae* (Figure [6d](#page-7-0)), *Nitzschia dissipata*, *N. inconspicua* (Figure [6g](#page-7-0)), *Planothidium delicatulum* (Figure [6h](#page-7-0)), *Petroneis humerosa* (Figure [5i](#page-6-0)), *Striatella unipunctata*, and *Tryblionella compressa*.

In addition to floristic analysis of diatom species, an analysis of ecological and phytogeographical characteristics was carried out, and average values of diatom abundance at the study stations were calculated (Appendix [A](#page-14-0) Table [A2\)](#page-19-11). Ecological characteristics are represented by marines (50%) and brackish-marines (36%) as well as of freshwaters (9%) and brackish (5%). Of the 44 diatom species identified according to the saprobiont scale modified by [\[37\]](#page-20-14), 32 were indicators of moderate organic pollution of waters or waters of Class 3 of water quality (species saprobity index varied from 0.7 to 3.6) (Appendix [A](#page-14-0) Table [A2\)](#page-19-11). Betamesosaprobionts prevailed among this group (20 species). Of the phytogeographical elements of diatom flora, 36% species cosmopolites were found, of which *Cocconeis scutellum*, *Tabularia tabulata*, and

Licmophora abbreviata recorded almost on all species of macroalgae, as well as the colonial species *Achnanthes brevipes*, *Navicula ramosissima*, and *Rhoicosphenia marina*. Each group of ABT, *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2024**, *12*, x FOR PEER REVIEW 9 of 24 BT, and boreal species counted as 20%.

Figure 6. SEM. Some species of diatoms found in the macroalgae epiphyton of the Kazantip Cape **Figure 6.** SEM. Some species of diatoms found in the macroalgae epiphyton of the Kazantip Cape coastal waters are as follows: Cocconeis scutellum (a), Mastogloia pumila (b,c), Navicula dumontiae (d), Rhopalodia musculus (e), Navicula perminuta (f), Nitzschia inconspicua (g), Planothidium delicatulum (h), *Fallacia forсipata* (**i**), *Achnanthes brevipes* (**j**), *Cocconeis placentula* var. *euglypta* (**k**), *Haslea subagnita* (**l**), Fallacia forcipata (**i**), Achnanthes brevipes (**j**), Cocconeis placentula var. euglypta (**k**), Haslea subagnita (l), Tabularia parva (**m**), Rhoicosphenia marina (**n**), Nitzschia hybrida f. hyalina (**o**), Lyrella atlantica (**p**), Licmophora abbreviata (q,r), Melosira moniliformis (s), Achnanthes longipes (t), and Tabularia tabulata (u). Scale bar: $(a-e) = 5 \mu m$; $(f,g) = 3 \mu m$; $(h) = 4 \mu m$; $(i-n) = 10 \mu m$; $(o-q) = 20 \mu m$; $(r,s) = 30 \mu m$; and $(**t**,**u**) = 40$ μ m.

The calculation of species number, the average abundance of diatom cells on macroalgae at the study stations, and the calculation of the Saprobity Index S is shown in Table [2.](#page-8-0)

Table 2. Species number, averaged abundance (cells per cm²) of diatoms on macroalgae, and Index saprobity S over the sampling stations in the coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape of the Sea of Azov.

Variable	St. 1	St. 2	St. 3	St. 4					
Species number	89	97	-97	42					
Abundance averaged, cells/cm ²	15.394.98	45.112.88	20.169.29	28,443.75					
Index S	2.21	2.26	2.25	2.19					
Duccleus Bay Ct. 2. Chippleaus Bay Ct. 2. Kunuchleau Bay Ct. 4. Tatapeleaus Bay $N_{\alpha+\alpha}$ C+ 1									

Note. St. 1—Russkaya Bay; St. 2—Shirokaya Bay, St. 3—Kunushkay Bay, St. 4—Tatarskaya Bay.

The minimum number of species was noted at st. 4. At the same time, the abundance of diatoms was in the range of 15–45 thousand cells/ cm^2 on average, and at st. 4, an average value of 28 thousand was observed, and the maximum value of 45 thousand was at st. 2. The saprobity indices of the station's community calculated based on species-specific saprobity indices and the number of cells of each indicator species (Appendix [A](#page-14-0) Table [A2\)](#page-19-11) varied between 2.19 and 2.26, which corresponds to Class 3 of water quality. The highest index was at st. 2, and the lowest at st. 4, which, together with the reduced species number here, suggests a negative influence of the environment. The Pearson coefficients calculated for the data of Appendix [A](#page-14-0) Table [A2](#page-19-11) show insignificant correlation between species number and cells abundance (0.03) and Index S and cells abundance (0.45), but the correlation between species number and Index S was 0.84. It can confirm the negative influence of the environment near station 4 on species' number of diatoms, as well as increasing the organic pollution load.

Statistical comparison of diatom communities on the different macroalgae and stations was conducted as a JASP network analysis (Figure [7a](#page-8-1)). It shows three different clusters of submerged macrophytes coded as in Appendix [A](#page-14-0) Table [A1.](#page-17-0)

Figure 7. JASP plot of the full diatom community similarity in submerged macroalgae (**a**) and in **Figure 7.** JASP plot of the full diatom community similarity in submerged macroalgae (**a**) and in four studied sites in the coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape of the Sea of Azov (**b**). Macrophyte names names were considered as in Appendix A Table A1. The line thickness reflects the similar similar coefficients the similar sim were coded as in [A](#page-14-0)ppendix A Table [A1.](#page-17-0) The line thickness reflects the similarity coefficient value. The $\,$ red lines are negative, and blue lines are positive correlations. Different clusters are numbered 1–3.

The highest similarity was between communities of cluster 1 on *P. denudata* and *U. linza* sampled mainly in Shirokaya Bay. Their epiphyton had the highest number of diatom species among other macroalgae. Cluster 2 brought together communities on *U*. *intestinalis, C. corymbosum, Cl. Liniformis,* and *G. barbata*. These macroalgae were sampled only in Shirokaya Bay during the summer period (with the exception *U. intestinalis*). The remaining diatom communities on macrophytes constituted the third cluster (B. hypnoides, var. *moniliformis*, *M. moniliformis* var. *subglobosa*, *N. perminuta*, *N. ramosissima*, *T. parva*, and *T. tabulata*. *C. arborescens*, *E. crinita*, and *P. leucosticta*). Among them, the similarity was noticeably lower. The listed macroalgae were sampled at four stations in different seasons of the year, which causes a wide variety of diatoms of their epiphyton.

The analysis of the similarity of diatom communities by stations (Figure [7b](#page-8-1)) also based on the abundance of species of the entire species composition (Appendix [A](#page-14-0) Table [A2\)](#page-19-11) showed that the communities of stations 2 and 3 had the most similarity. These stations belong to the Kazantip Reserve, and are characterized by similar relief and underlying substrates. Among the diatoms, the following species prevailed here: *A. brevipes*, *C. scutellum*, *C. closterium*, *G. marina*, *L. abbreviata*, *L. flabellata*, *M. jurgensii*, *M. moniliformis* var. *moniliformis*, *M. moniliformis* var. *subglobosa*, *N. perminuta*, *N. ramosissima*, *T. parva*, and *T. tabulata*.

3.3. Structure of the Diatom Community in Different Seasons

The similarity of the species composition of diatoms of macroalgae by month, calculated using the Bray–Curtis index (BC) in the Past 4.03 program, turned out to be close to 0.45 (Figure [8\)](#page-9-0). This indicated a certain similarity in the species composition on the epiphyton diatom community throughout the year. There were seven species (*Achnanthes brevipes*, *Berkeleya rutilans*, *Cocconeis scutellum*, *Grammatophora marina*, *Melosira moniliformis*, *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2024**, *12*, x FOR PEER REVIEW 12 of 24 *Navicula ramosissima*, and *Tabularia tabulata*) that occur monthly on macroalgae.

Figure 8. Dendrogram of the similarity of the diatom species composition in the epiphyton by **Figure 8.** Dendrogram of the similarity of the diatom species composition in the epiphyton by seasons. seasons.

At the same time, the following two clusters were distinguished: one included a complex of diatom species in November and January, the other in the remaining months. The identification of the first cluster is most likely since only in these two months the species Achnanthes brevipes var intermedia, Diploneis littoralis, D. didyma, Fallacia forcipata, Gomphonemopsis pseudexigua, and some others were found.

Another cluster is formed by a complex of species*,* evenly represented in the remaining months. This distribution may be associated with the hydrodynamic regime in the coastal region. As a rule, from April to September, a minimum number of storms are usually recorded at the Kazantip Cape. During the study period, the most hydrodynamically turbulent months were November, December, and January.

Analysis of diatom community structure showed seasonality in their development, despite the similar species composition during the annual cycle.

In winter (January and February), the macroalgae fouling (*B. hypnoides*, *E. crinita*, P. leucostica, and U. linza) represented 32 species of diatoms. The epiphyton contained numerous colonial benthic species of *Tabularia* and *Navicula ramosissima*, as well as a single attached *C. scutellum.* Species of *Achnanthes, Grammatophora, Rhoicosphenia*, and
diatomatic attached *C. scutellum.* Species of *Achnanthes*, *Grammatophora*, *Rhoicosphenia*, and benthoplanktonic *Melosira moniliformis* were often found in the epiphyton. Planktonic

species, *Skeletonema costatum* and *S. subsalsum*, with a winter peak in their development, were often detected in fouling. The species richness varied from 9 to 13. The maximum abundance of diatoms was 26.9 \times 10^3 cells/cm² on *E. crinita* in February, and the minimum was 3.8 \times 10³ cells/cm² on *P. leucostica* in January. The values of the indices varied between $(D_{BP} = 18-53\%)$, $(H = 2.6-3.1)$, and $(e = 0.7-0.9)$.

In spring (March, April, and May), 31 species of diatoms were recorded on the five species of macroalgae (*B. hypnoides*, *C. arborescens*, *E. crinita*, *U. intestinalis*, and *U. linza*). The above species, which are part of the winter complex, were more abundant in spring. The richness species varied from 7 to 18. The maximum abundance of diatoms reached 61.8×10^3 cells/cm² in the epiphyton of *E. crinita* in March, and the minimum was 6.3×10^3 cells/cm² on *U. intestinalis* in April. The indices varied within the following ranges: $H = 1.6-2.9$; $D_{BP} = 21-67$ %; and $e = 0.5-0.9$. In March–April, *N. ramosissima* was found in colonies represented by long mucous tubes (Figure [4h](#page-5-0)). It should be noted that the high abundance of the diatom community is often due to the massive development of one, two, or less often three species, which is reflected in higher values of the dominance indices compared to the winter season.

From June to September, 74 species of diatoms were recorded in the epiphyton of different macroalgae (*B. hypnoides*, *C. corymbosum*, *Cl. liniformis*, *Cladosiphon mediterraneus*, *E. crinita*, *G. barbata*, *U. intestinalis*, *U. linza*, and *Polysiphonia denudata*). At this period, the diatom genera Licmophora (six species), Melosira (four), and Nitzschia (ten) are most diversely represented. Thus, in June–July, the species *C. scutellum* was dominant; *Navicula perminuta*, *N. ramosissima*, and *Rh. marina* were subdominantes; other species were noted as single. At the beginning of summer, many diatom colonies were destroyed and were found mainly in the form of single cells, fouling substrates to a lesser extent than in spring. The abundance of diatoms ranged from 4.3×10^3 cells/cm 2 (G. *barbata,* June) to 78 \times 10³ cells/cm² (*G. barbata,* July). The species richness varied from 3 to 18. During these months, low species diversity indices of $(H = 1.1–2.6)$ and $(e = 0.2)$ were noted. The Berger–Parker dominance index was maximum ($D_{BP} = 87\%$). The abundant fouling of macroalgae by colonies of diatoms, mainly of the genera *Licmophora* spp., as also *Tabularia* spp., but less of *Achnantes* spp. and *Grammatophora marina*, were in August and September. Subdominant species *Cocconeis placentula* var. *euglypta*, *Odontella obtusa*, *Rhopalodia musculus*, and *Seminavis ventricosa* developed in mass. The richness species varied from 8 to 32, and the abundance ranged from 5×10^3 cells/cm 2 (Cl. *mediterraneus,* August) to 243.4×10^3 cells/cm² (*P. denudata,* September). The indices varied within the following ranges: H = 2.0–3.9, e = 0.7–0.9, D_{BP} = 14–58%; this indicates a more uniform distribution of the abundance of species in the community than in June–July.

In October and November, 43 species diatoms were recorded in the epiphyton of four macroalgae species (*B. hypnoides*, *C. arborescens*, *E. crinita*, and *U. linza*). The species *C. scutellum*, *G. marina*, *Tabularia fasciculata*, *T. parva*, *T. tabulata*, and *Melosira lineata* occur frequently. The fouling of macroalgae thalli with diatom colonies is less abundant. The species richness varied from 6 to 17. The maximum abundance of the diatom community was 18.8×10^3 cells/cm² on *C. arborescens* in October at 17 °C, and the minimum was 4.4×10^3 cells/cm² on *U. linza*. In the diatom epiphyton on *B. hypnoides* and *E. crinita*, minimum values of indices ($H = 0.8$ and 1.2) and ($e = 0.3$ and 0.5) were noted, which is due to the high abundance of the dominants species ($D_{BP} = 61$ and 67%). In the epiphyton of other macroalgae, the values indices varied between $H = 2.4 - 3.3$ and $e = 0.7 - 0.8$.

There were five cosmopolites of diatom species that dominated in different months of the year, as follows: *C. scutellum*, *G. marina*, *L. abbreviata*, *Rh. Marina*, and *T. tabulata*. The abundance of dominant diatom species during all seasons was as follows:

- *Cocconeis scutellum* dominated in March (*E. crinita*), May (*B. hypnoides*, *C. arborescens*, *U. linza*), June (*G. barbata*), and July (*C. corymbosum*) with the highest abundance $(18.9 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/cm}^2)$ in May (*C. arborescens*) and the lowest $(1.2 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/cm}^2)$ in July (*C. corymbosum*).

- *Grammatophora marina* dominated in October (*E. crinita*) with abundance of 15.3×10^3 cells/cm² .
- *Licmophora abbreviata* (1004 cells/cm²) dominated in August on *Cl. mediterraneus* and *U. linza*, where its minimum abundance was noted, as well as the maximum ($N = 97.7 \times$ 10³ cells/cm²) of *P. denudata* in September.
- *- Rhoicosphenia marina* (N = 13.7 \times 10³ cells/cm²) dominated on *E. crinita* in April.
- *Tabularia tabulata* dominated in October (*C. arborescens*), January (*U. linza*), February (*B. hypnoides*), March (*B. hypnoides, C. arborescens*), April (*B. hypnoides*), and August (*E. crinita*). The highest abundance (24.2 \times 10³ cell/cm²) was recorded in the epiphyton of *B. hypnoides* in April, and the minimum (4.8×10^3 cell/cm²) was recorded in the epiphyton of *E. crinita* in August.

4. Discussion

The epiphytic diatoms on aquatic vegetation in the upper sublittoral seas live in highly variable environmental conditions. Shallow coastal waters are characterized by significant temperature fluctuations throughout the year, season, and even within day; noticeable changes in salinity due to rain, melting snow, and seasonal influxes of fresh water; and the influence of the movement of water masses due to storms, surge phenomena in the seas, etc. In addition, macroalgae, as a substrate, are very variable during their life cycle.

Obviously, in such conditions, it is mainly species with broad ecological plasticity that can exist and flourish. Thus, cosmopolites and species with a wide geographical distribution dominate in terms of species richness and abundance. Therefore, the microphytobenthos diatoms from the coastal waters of different seas are characterized by significant similarities. Thus, 54 species registered at Kazantip Cape are indicated on green, brown, and red algae in the Crimean coastal waters of the Black Sea [\[1\]](#page-19-0). On 25 species of macrophytes from the Peter the Great Bay of the Sea of Japan, 112 species of diatoms were found, of which 47 taxa were common to the Sea of Azov [\[38\]](#page-20-15). Of the 85 species of diatoms found in the epiphyton of red, brown, and green algae of the Mediterranean Sea (coast of Israel), 45 taxa are also common [\[39\]](#page-20-16). In the epiphyton of 6 species of wetland macrophytes in southern Iraq, 74 species of diatoms were recorded [\[40\]](#page-20-17), of which 10 species were found in the Sea of Azov.

Among the diatoms noted in our list of species, there are obligate foulers, *Achnanthes*, *Licmophora*, *Tabularia*, *Gomphonemopsis*, *Rhoicosphenia*, *Striatella*, etc., capable of adhesion with the help of mucopolysaccharides they secrete $[41,42]$ $[41,42]$, as well as species that move freely along the substrate.

Many authors have noted that macrophytes represent an ideal substrate its colonization by diatoms [\[38](#page-20-15)[,43](#page-20-20)[–46\]](#page-21-0). According to the authors [\[47\]](#page-21-1), the structure of epiphyton diatom communities can vary significantly depending from the macrophyte species; based on this, they hypothesized that it was due to an increase in colonization surface area by diatoms. This is also evidenced by data [\[35,](#page-20-12)[48\]](#page-21-2).

A wide variety of factors are known to influence the species composition and quantity of diatom algae: abiotic environmental conditions, biogeographic isolation, the nature of the underlying bottom substrates, and the physiological state of the basiphyte [\[3](#page-19-2)[,35](#page-20-12)[,45](#page-21-3)[,46,](#page-21-0)[49](#page-21-4)[–53\]](#page-21-5). For diatoms from mountain lakes, the dependence of the diversity of their communities on altitude has been shown [\[54\]](#page-21-6). At the same time, some authors indicate that the degree of colonization of macroalgae by diatoms may depend on the taxonomic rank of the basiphyte [\[44](#page-20-21)[,45](#page-21-3)[,48,](#page-21-2)[49\]](#page-21-4), the structure of their thalli, and the season [\[1](#page-19-0)[,11](#page-19-8)[,38\]](#page-20-15).

Previously, the diatom epiphyton *Bryopsis* was classified as nonfouling [\[52\]](#page-21-7) and *Ulva* was classified as weakly fouling [\[55–](#page-21-8)[58\]](#page-21-9). However, subsequent work showed that Chlorophyta algae, having an axial type of thalli, for example, *Cladophora*, *Chaetomorpha*, and *Bryopsis*, can be fouled by diatoms [\[55,](#page-21-8)[57](#page-21-10)[–59\]](#page-21-11). The abundance of diatoms on *Bryopsis plumosa* was 14.4×10^3 cells/cm² in May at depth up to 1 m in Koktebel Bay of the Black Sea [\[60\]](#page-21-12). The abundance of diatoms on *Bryopsis hypnoides w*as 28 \times 10³ cells/cm² in May at the Kazantip

Cape. We noted that in the same months, the abundance of diatoms on *U. intestinalis* and *U. linza*, which have a lamellar thallus, was lower compared to the axial structures of the *Bryopsis*. In April, the abundance diatoms on *U. intestinalis* was 6.3×10^3 cells/cm² and on *B. hypnoides*—53.9 \times 10³ cells/cm². However, in September, at the Kazantip Cape, abundant development on *U. linza* and high (compared to other months) values of species richness (S = 24), the highest peaks of abundance (N = 17.7 \times 10 3 cells/cm 2), and biomass $(B = 0.31 \text{ mg/cm}^2)$ diatoms were registered.

Cases of intensive colonization on *Ulva* have been recorded previously in the Crimean coastal waters of the Black Sea. For example, in the work, it was noted that, in certain seasons of the year, the thalli, and especially the rhizoids of *Ulva rigida*, were intensively overgrown with diatoms [\[57\]](#page-21-10). This may be due to a certain physiological state of the basiphyte at different stages of the life cycle, as indicated in the monograph [\[1\]](#page-19-0).

The species Phaeophyta of *E. crinita* and *G. barbata* are well fouling with diatoms, as shown in this study and works [\[1,](#page-19-0)[35,](#page-20-12)[61,](#page-21-13)[62\]](#page-21-14). These are perennial macroalgae, so the duration of existence of their thalli is longer compared to other studied species. It is indicated that the abundance of epiphyton on old plants was higher than in young ones [\[63\]](#page-21-15).

Rhodophyta species with different thalli structures, sampled from the same depth, were colonized by diatoms differently. Thus, the lamellar thallus on *P. leucostica* had the lowest abundance of microphytes and the lowest species richness, while the epiphyton of *Polysiphonia denudata* had the highest number of all quantitative indicators. These macroalgae were selected in different seasons, but in comparison with other vegetation, *P. leucostica* thallus were practically not overgrown in winter, and in September the communities on *P. denudata* diatom epiphyton differed in the highest species richness, abundance, and biomass among other macroalgae. Therefore, there is a significant increase in the specific surface area of the thallus of axial-type macroalgae compared to the lamellar type [\[1](#page-19-0)[,48](#page-21-2)[,61\]](#page-21-13). At the same time, not only species diversity and species richness increase with increasing substrate area [\[64\]](#page-21-16), but also the abundance of diatom populations and communities.

It should be taken into account that some macroalgae-basiphyte are overgrown with smaller macroepiphytes from the genera *Ceramium, Cladophora*, etc., which provide additional surface area for colonization by diatoms.

Let us note that an important factor influencing the development of epiphyton communities in coastal waters is hydrodynamics. Thus, according to the species composition, the diatom flora on macroalgae in different months of the year is divided into two clusters. One cluster unites a complex of species from November and January. During these months, strong stormy days were observed at Kazantip Cape, which made sampling impossible in December. The second cluster unites the diatom flora in the remaining months of the year, characterized by shorter and less severe storms. From October to March, the role of hydrodynamics increases significantly. By the beginning of summer, hydrodynamic activity decreases and in the calmest months, August, and September, we observed maximum species richness, species diversity, and evenness of species in diatom communities with abundant developments of macroalgae.

Some authors have noted a positive interaction between the organic matter content of natural habitats and the propensity of diatoms to heterotrophy [\[65](#page-21-17)[–67\]](#page-21-18). The paper [\[68\]](#page-21-19) indicates that the heterotrophic composition of microalgae is higher in the epiphyton than in the epilithon. Recent studies have shown that the beta diversity of producers is highest in the hypertrophic waterbody [\[69\]](#page-21-20). In conditions of increased nutrient content in water, there is a gradual replacement of the pioneer periphyton diatom community with green macroalgae [\[70\]](#page-21-21). Certain benthic diatoms of the genera *Amphora*, *Licmophora*, *Navicula*, *Striatella*, *Cocconeis*, *Tabularia*, and others prefer an environment enriched with dissolved organic matter and belong to heterotrophic (mixotrophic) species [\[1](#page-19-0)[,65\]](#page-21-17). As a rule, such species are indicators of the trophic level of a water area and are abundantly represented in the organic-rich coastal area of the Sea of Azov.

In the coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape, we recorded the year-round presence of indicators of moderate organic pollution of waters, which develop en masse in late summer. This is probably due to the intake of organic substances with untreated sewage and domestic waters from adjacent settlements and recreation facilities near stations 1 and 4, with maximum load in summer period. Statistical comparison of diatom communities in terms of abundance and composition of bioindicators in JASP shows that the interaction between environment and biota is most similar at stations 2 and 3, and different at stations 1 and 4. It should be noted that stations 2 and 3 are located in the territory of the Kazantip Reserve, which indicates the effectiveness of the conservation regime, despite the relatively high saprobity indices (2.25–2.26). However, the ecosystems of stations 1 and 4 suffer significantly from anthropogenic load, although the saprobity indices here are lower (2.19–2.21) than in the protected part. This confirms our assumption of toxic pollution at the stations affected by recreational loads.

However, besides mesosaprobionts, oligosaprobionts were also observed, which were constantly present in the community, but with lower abundance, as it was previously noted in our work [\[3\]](#page-19-2).

There are known works that show the role of benthic diatoms in the indication of other types of pollution, for example, metals [\[71\]](#page-21-22). Information on the floristic composition of diatoms and the structure of their communities in the Kazantip coastal area can be used to assess the ecological state of the intact environment or different types of impact, as indicated by the work carried out in other territories [\[72](#page-21-23)[,73\]](#page-21-24).

5. Conclusions

For the first time, data on the species composition, seasonal dynamics of abundance, biomass, and structural indicators of the diatom community of epiphyton of 11 species of red, brown, and green macroalgae were obtained for the protected waters of Kazantip Cape of the Sea of Azov represented by 97 taxa of Bacillariophyta were discovered, belonging to 3 classes, 21 orders, 30 families, and 45 genera, 51 species of which were indicated for the first time for the study areas. The number diatom species are the genera *Nitzschia* (12 species) and *Navicula* (11). Number of diatom species found by season: 32 in winter, 31 in spring, 74 in summer, and 43 in autumn, and 80% of benthic diatom species, 50% marine, 36% brackish marine, 9% freshwater, 5% brackish, 21% β-mesosaprobic species indicators of moderate organic pollution, and 36% cosmopolites were found.

Diatom communities are characterized by similar species composition throughout the year (except for November and January), with the highest similarity on macroalgae within the same station. The quantitative values of diatoms vary depending on the species of macroalgae and the season. The thalli of *B. hypnoides*, *G. barbata*, *E. crinita* и *P. denudata*, grow best, and the most abundant development of diatoms occurs in March and September. The maximum values of the abundance and biomass of diatoms for the entire study period were 243.4 \times 10³ cells/cm² и 2.82 mg/cm² on *P. denudata* in September. The minimum values (N = 3.8×10^3 cells/cm², B = 0.006 mg/cm²) were noted on *P. leucosticta* in January.

The presence in the epiphyton of diatoms—indicators of moderate organic pollution of water, which developed in masse in late summer—indicate a constant inflow of organic matter into the coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape. Our bioindicator and statistical studies indicate the effectiveness of the conservation regime, especially at stations within the IUCN reserve, despite relatively high saprobity rates at stations exposed to recreational pressure and poorly treated domestic wastewater. In whole, the waters of the Cape are mesotrophic. The bioindicator properties of the identified diatom species can be used in further monitoring the dynamics of anthropogenic load in the Sea of Azov.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.R. and A.B.; methodology, L.R.; diatom species identification, A.B. and A.S.; preparation of diatom samples for SEM and obtaining SEM images, A.S.; data and formal analysis, A.B., S.B. and A.S.; writing—original draft preparation, A.B. and A.S.; writing—review and editing, A.B. and L.R.; supervision, S.B.; project administration, S.B.; funding acquisition, S.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article.

Acknowledgments: This research received financial support within the governmental research assignments IBSS RAS №124022400152-1. We are grateful to V.N. Lishaev for his help in preparing electronic microphotographs. We also thanks to the Israeli Ministry of Aliya and Integration.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Appendix A

Table A1. Average abundance (cells per cm²) of epiphytic macroalgae diatoms in the coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape in the Sea of Azov.

Table A1. *Cont.*

No.	Taxa	Ericaria crinita	Gongolaria barbata	Cladosiphon mediterraneus	Bryopsis hypnoides	Cladophora liniformis	Ulva intestinalis	Ulva linza	Callithamnion corymbosum	Ceramium arborescens	Polysiphonia denudata	Pyropia leucosticta
	Code	Ecr	Cob	Clm	Brh	C11	Uli	Ull	Cac	Cea	Pod	Pyl
23	Falcula media var. subsalina * Proschkina-Lavrenko 1963	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.667	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{0}$
24	Fallacia forcipata * (Greville) A.J. Stickle et D.G. Mann 1990	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.667	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
25	Fallacia pygmaea * (Kützing) A.J. Stickle et D.G. Mann 1990	76	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
26	Gomphonemopsis pseudexigua * (Simonsen) Medlin 1986	76	θ	$\boldsymbol{0}$	74	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
27	Grammatophora angulosa * Ehrenberg 1840	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
28	Grammatophora marina (Lyngbye) Kützing 1844	5664	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	2143	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	480.7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	800	6717	243
29	Halamphora coffeiformis (C. Agardh) Levkov 2009	86	239	$\mathbf{1}$	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	867	$\mathbf{0}$
30	Halamphora hyalina * (Kützing) Levkov 2009	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	28.33	$\boldsymbol{0}$	37.6	1950	$\mathbf{0}$
31	Hantzschia marina * (Donkin) Grunow 1880	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	40	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	32.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
32	Haslea subagnita * (Proschkina-Lavrenko) Makarova et Karajeva 1985	0.1	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	θ	$\mathbf{0}$
33 34	Hyalosira delicatula Kützing 1844 Licmophora abbreviata C. Agardh 1824	86 76	$\boldsymbol{0}$ 418	$\boldsymbol{0}$ 2920	0.25 $\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$ 789.7	$\boldsymbol{0}$ 170	$\boldsymbol{0}$ 1017	$\boldsymbol{0}$ 218	$\boldsymbol{0}$ 63	$\boldsymbol{0}$ 97,711	$\boldsymbol{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$
35	Licmophora dalmatica (Kützing) Grunow 1867	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	28.33	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
36	Licmophora flabellata * (Greville) C. Agardh 1831	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	775	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	235.3	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	9858	0
37	Licmophora hastata * Mereschkowsky 1901	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	179	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	37.6	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
38	Licmophora paradoxa (Lyngbye) C. Agardh 1828	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	11.33	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0
39	Licmophora rostrata * Mereschkowsky, 1902	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	1	$\mathbf{0}$
40	Lyrella abrupta * (Gregory) D.G. Mann 1990	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.333	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
41	Lyrella atlantica * (A. Schmidt) A.J. Stickle et D.G. Mann 1990	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	θ
42	Lyrella lyra * (Ehrenberg) N.I. Karajeva 1978	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	325	$\mathbf{0}$
43	Lyrella lyroides * (Hendey) D.G. Mann 1990	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.333	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
44	Mastogloia pumila * (Grunow) P.T. Cleve 1895	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
45 46	Mastogloia pusilla Grunow 1878 Melosira jurgensii * C. Agardh 1824	$\boldsymbol{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$	0 $\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$ 0	0 $\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$	0.333 $\overline{0}$	0 0	$\boldsymbol{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$	1 23,399	$\boldsymbol{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$
47	Melosira lineata * (Dillwing) C.	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	θ	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	81	$\boldsymbol{0}$	753.9	5308	$\boldsymbol{0}$
48	Agardh 1824 Melosira moniliformis var. moniliformis	882	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	374.8	$\mathbf{1}$	0.333	728.7	0	$0.4\,$	10,183	$\boldsymbol{0}$
49	(O.F. Müller) C. Agardh 1824 Melosira moniliformis var. subglobosa *	468	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	310.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	530.7	0	84.6	19,065	$\boldsymbol{0}$
50	(Grunow) Hustedt 1927 Navicula ammophila var. intermedia	114	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.25	$\mathbf{0}$	43	9	$\overline{0}$	85	2600	$\mathbf{0}$
51	Grunow 1822 Navicula cancellata Donkin 1873 var. cancellata	89	239	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.125	987	0.333	0.333	145	63.4	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$

Table A1. *Cont.*

No.	Taxa	Ericaria crinita	Gongolaria barbata	Cladosiphon mediterraneus	Bryopsis hypnoides	Cladophora liniformis	Ulva intestinalis	Ulva linza	Callithamnion corymbosum	Ceramium arborescens	Polysiphonia denudata	Pyropia leucosticta
	Code	Ecr	Cob	Clm	Brh	C11	Uli	Ull	Cac	Cea	Pod	Pyl
52	Navicula cancellata var. gregoryi * Grunow 1880	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.125	θ	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
53	Navicula cryptocephala * Kützing 1844	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	28	0	38	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$
54	Navicula directa (W. Smith) Ralfs ex Pritchard 1861	177	239	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	32.4	θ	$\boldsymbol{0}$
55	Navicula dumontiae * Baardseth et Taasen 1973	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.125	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
56	Navicula palpebralis Brebisson ex W. Smith 1853	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.333	$\boldsymbol{0}$	84.6	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
57	Navicula pennata var. pontica * Mereschkowsky 1902	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	9	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
58	Navicula perminuta * Grunow 1880	342	478	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.5	5331	870	283.7	109	127	3575	$\mathbf{0}$
59	Navicula perrhombus * Hustedt ex Simonsen 1962	156	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
60	Navicula ramosissima (C. Agardh) P.T. Cleve 1895	2039	67,849	149	1073	4738	114.3	266.7	980	954.9	6825	485
61	Neosynedra provincialis * (Grunow) Williams et Round 1986	0.1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	172.1	θ	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	59.59	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
62	Nitzschia dissipata (Kützing) Grunow 1862	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.333	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$
63	Nitzschia distans Gregory 1857	83	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	0.333	11.67	0	32.4	θ	$\boldsymbol{0}$
64	Nitzschia hybrida f. hyalina Proschkina-Lavrenko 1963	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.125	θ	0.333	0.333	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
65	Nitzschia inconspicua * Grunow 1862	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	θ	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.333	0	θ	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
66	Nitzschia lanceolata var. minor Van Heurck 1880	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	211.8	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	0.2	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
67	Nitzschia rupestris * Proschkina-Lavrenko 1963	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	40	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	0	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
68	Nitzschia sigma (Kützing) W. Smith 1853	430	$\boldsymbol{0}$	149	163.3	3356	259	67	254	60	1625	0
69	Nitzschia sigmoidea (Nitzsch) W. Smith 1853	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	186.7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	2816	0
70	Nitzschia spathulata Brébisson ex W. Smith 1853	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	6.667	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
71	Nitzschia tenuirostris Mereschkowsky 1901	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	1	0
72	Nitzschia vermicularis (Kützing) Hantzsch ex Rabenhorst 1860	76	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0
73	Nitzschia vidovichii * (Grunow) Grunow 1881	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	9	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
74	Odontella obtusa * Kützing 1844	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	0.667	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	867	$\mathbf{0}$
75	Parlibellus delognei (Van Heurck) E.J. Cox 1988	111	1374	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	3554	$\overline{0}$	0.333	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	182
76	Petroneis humerosa (Brebisson ex Smith) Sticle et D.G. Mann 1990	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{0}$
77	Plagiotropis lepidoptera * (Gregory) Kuntze 1898	0.1	179	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	195.2	0	37.6	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{0}$
78	Planothidium delicatulum * (Kützing) Round et Bukhtiyarova 1996	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.333	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
79	Pleurosigma angulatum (Queckett) W. Smith 1852	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.333	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
80	Pleurosigma elongatum W. Smith 1852	$\mathbf{0}$	358	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	592	0	0.667	0	63.4	$\overline{0}$	0
81	Pleurosigma intermedium W. Smith 1853	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$

Table A1. *Cont.*

Callithamnion corymbosum Cladosiphon mediterraneus Ceramium arborescens Cladophora liniformis Gongolaria barbata Bryopsis hypnoides Ulva intestinalis Ericaria crinita Ulva linza Taxa No.	Polysiphonia denudata Pyropia leucosticta
C11 Code Cob Clm Brh Uli Ull Cac Cea Ecr	Pod Pyl
Proschkinia poretzskiae * (Korotkevich) 82 209 Ω $\mathbf{0}$ θ $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\overline{0}$ Ω D.G. Mann 1990	$\mathbf{0}$ 182
Psammodictyon panduriforme * $\mathbf{0}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$ 83 152 $\mathbf{0}$ (Gregory) D.G. Mann 1990	$\overline{0}$
Rhoicosphenia marina (W. Smith) M. 84 2746 478 Ω 185.5 789.7 109 1029 1447 6.667 Schmidt 1889	Ω 606
Rhopalodia musculus * (Kützing) O.F. $\overline{0}$ 85 $\boldsymbol{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$ 74 $\boldsymbol{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$ $\overline{0}$ 0.667 Müller 1899	2383 $\boldsymbol{0}$
Skeletonema costatum (Greville) P.T. 86 1645 $\mathbf{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$ 337.6 $\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$ 226.8 Cleve 1878	$\boldsymbol{0}$
Skeletonema subsalsum (Cleve) Bethge $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ 87 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{0}$ θ 0.125 $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\overline{0}$ 1928	$\mathbf{0}$ $\overline{0}$
Seminavis ventricosa * (Gregory) M. 88 356 $\boldsymbol{0}$ θ $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$ 126 $\overline{0}$ Garcia-Baptista 1993	$\overline{0}$ 1083
Striatella unipunctata * (Lyngbye) C. 89 0.1 $\mathbf{0}$ $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\overline{0}$ Ω Ω 0.125 Agardh 1832	$\overline{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$
Synedrosphenia crystallina (C. Agardh) Lobban et Ashworth 227.6 90 $\overline{0}$ 179 θ $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{0}$ 46.33 $\overline{0}$ 63 2022	$\mathbf{0}$ 650
Tabularia fasciculata (C. Agardh) $\overline{0}$ 497 597 $\mathbf{0}$ 1204 $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ 1138 $\mathbf{0}$ 65 91 Williams et Round 1986	$\mathbf{0}$
Tabularia parva (Kützing) Williams et 92 475 $\boldsymbol{0}$ $\overline{0}$ 626 911.4 2567 929.5 $\mathbf{0}$ 364.2 Round 1990	$\boldsymbol{0}$ 17,224
Tabularia tabulata (C. Agardh) Snoeijs 93 2674 $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf{1}$ 8086 6120 931.7 859.3 145 2984 1992	11,049 425
Trachyneis aspera * (Ehrenberg) P.T. 94 389 $\overline{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$ $\overline{0}$ 358 119 104.2 114.3 49 Cleve 1894	$\boldsymbol{0}$ 650
Tryblionella compressa * (Bailey) 95 $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$ θ 0.125 θ $\mathbf{0}$ $\overline{0}$ Poulin 1990	$\mathbf{0}$
Tryblionella hungarica (Grunow) D.G. 96 θ 0.25 $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ 0.2 $\overline{0}$ 0.1 $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ Mann 1990	$\boldsymbol{0}$
Undatella lineolata (Ehrenberg) L.I. 97 $\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$ Ω Ω $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ 9.333 109 Ω $\mathbf{1}$ Ryabushko 2006	$\mathbf{0}$
37 No of Species 40 26 15 35 18 19 61 14 45 Average Abundance, cells/cm ² 28,444 78,010 5013 21,739 41,462 9165 8418 3344 13,362	9 243,426 3760

Note. (*)—species recorded for the first time in the Kazantip Cape.

Table A2. Species composition of diatoms, their averaged abundance (cells per cm²) by stations, ecological (Habitat, RS, SAPRO, s), and phytogeographical (PhG) characteristics in the coastal waters of the Kazantip Cape in the Sea of Azov.

No.	Taxon	St. 1	St. 2	St.3	St.4	Habitat	RS	SAPRO	Index S	PhG
	Achnanthes brevipes	546.60	673.72	406.78	838.63	B	BM	ß	2.00	\mathcal{C}
	Achnanthes brevipes var. intermedia	16.60	8.30	8.30	83.00	B	BM			
3	Achnanthes longipes	0.15	32.57	10.91	0.00	B	M	ß		ABT
4	Amphora laevis	0.13	0.07	0.07	0.00	B	М			BT, not
	Amphora marina	0.27	77.16	25.81	0.00	B	М	-		BT, not
6	Amphora ovalis	14.05	50.33	21.46	0.00	B	BM		1.50	
	Amphora proteus	1.33	43.97	15.10	0.00	B	M		$\overline{}$	
8	Anaulus minutus	0.00	131.40	43.80	0.00	B	М	-		BT, not
9	Bacillaria paxillifer	43.47	21.94	21.80	0.00	BP	BM	ß	2.30	
10	Berkeleya rutilans	499.62	429.58	309.73	1992.13	B	BM			ABT, not

Table A2. *Cont.*

No.	Taxon	St.1	St. 2	St. 3	St. 4	Habitat	RS	SAPRO	Index S	PhG
11	Caloneis liber	126.43	185.93	104.12	532.50	B	M	$\overline{}$		$\mathsf C$
12	Cocconeis neothumensis	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.00	B	FW	÷,	\overline{a}	ABT, not
13	Cocconeis placentula var. euglypta	3.69	251.05	84.91	0.00	B	BM	β	1.30	ABT
14	Cocconeisscutellum	2751.35	3866.54	2205.96	4566.88	B	BM	β	2.00	C
15	Coscinodiscus janischii	47.47	132.27	59.91	0.00	\mathbf{P}	M	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	BT, not
16	Cylindrotheca closterium	32.51	373.96	135.49	155.88	BP	BM	β	2.00	C
17	Diatoma tenuis	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.00	BP	FW	β	2.40	C
18	Diploneis didyma	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.13	B	BM	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	ABT, not
19	Diploneis littoralis	6.48	3.24	3.24	0.00	B	M	\overline{a}	$\overline{}$	ABT, not
$20\,$	Diploneis lineata	20.25	10.13	10.13	101.25	B	M	$\mathbf O$	0.70	BT
21	Diploneis smithii	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.00	B	BM	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\mathsf C$
22	Entomoneis paludosa	1.33	0.67	0.67	0.00	BP	BM	β - α	2.50	C
23	Falcula media var. subsalina	0.13	0.17	0.10	0.00	$\rm BP$	M	α -0	2.70	B
24	Fallacia forcipata	0.13	0.17	0.10	0.00	B	M	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	ABT, not
25	Fallacia pygmaea	15.20	7.60	7.60	76.00	B	BM	$\overline{}$	\overline{a}	BT
26	Gomphonemopsis pseudexigua	30.00	15.00	15.00	76.00	B	M		\overline{a}	ABT, not
27	Grammatophora angulosa	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.00	B	M	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	C
28	Grammatophora marina	1817.56	1604.88	1140.82	5664.25	B	M	β	$\overline{}$	C
29	Halamphora coffeiformis	18.70	119.85	46.18	85.50	B B	M	\mathbf{o}	1.30	C
$30\,$	Halamphora hyalina	13.39	201.59	71.66	0.00		BM	\mathbf{o}	1.00	ABT, not
31 32	Hantzschia marina	14.48 0.03	7.24 0.11	7.24 0.05	0.00 0.13	B B	M BM	$O - \alpha$	1.80 $\overline{}$	BT, not B
33	Haslea subagnita		8.58	8.58	85.50	B	BM	$\overline{}$		
34	Hyalosira delicatula	17.15 815.28	10,046.31	3620.53	76.00	B	M	β β	2.00 $\overline{}$	ABT, not C
35	Licmophora abbreviata Licmophora dalmatica	5.67	2.93	2.87	0.00	B	M	\overline{a}	$\overline{}$	B
36	Licmophora flabellata	202.07	1009.53	403.87	0.00	B	M	$o-\beta$	1.50	BT, not
37	Licmophora hastata	43.32	3.76	15.69	0.00	B	M	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	B
38	Licmophora paradoxa	2.27	1.13	1.13	0.00	B	M	÷,	\overline{a}	C
39	Licmophora rostrata	0.00	0.10	0.03	0.00	B	M	\overline{a}	\overline{a}	B
40	Lyrella abrupta	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.00	B	M	$\overline{}$	\overline{a}	BT
41	Lyrella atlantica	1.40	0.80	0.73	0.00	B	M	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	ABT, not
42	Lyrella lyra	0.00	32.50	10.83	0.00	B	M	$o-\beta$	1.50	BT, not
43	Lyrella lyroides	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.00	B	M	β	2.10	BT
44	Mastogloia pumila	1.40	0.70	0.70	0.00	B	BM	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	BT, not
45	Mastogloia pusilla	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.00	B	BM		\overline{a}	BT, not
46	Melosira jurgensii	0.00	2339.90	779.97	0.00	${\bf P}$	BM	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	ABT, not
47	Melosira lineata	166.98	614.29	260.42	0.00	${\bf P}$	BM	$o-\beta$	2.00	ABT, not
	Melosira moniliformis var.									
48	moniliformis	397.23	1217.15	538.13	882.25	BP	BM	$o-\beta$	2.00	C
49	Melosira moniliformis var.									
	subglobosa	278.64	2045.82	774.82	467.50	BP	BM			B
50	Navicula ammophila var.	41.65	285.13	108.93	114.00	B	BM			AB
	intermedia									
51	Navicula cancellata var. cancellata	30.55	152.51	61.02	88.88	B	M			$\mathsf C$
52	Navicula cancellata var. gregoryi	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	B	$\mathbf M$			B
53	Navicula cryptocephala	13.12	6.56	6.56	0.00	B	FW	β - α	2.40	C
54	Navicula directa	41.93	44.87	28.93	177.25	$\, {\bf B}$	M		\overline{a}	$\mathsf C$
55	Navicula dumontiae	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	B	M	$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$	\overline{a}	B
56	Navicula palpebralis	16.99	8.49	8.49	$0.00\,$	B	M	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	ABT, not
57	Navicula pennata var. pontica	1.80	1.00	0.93	0.00	B	M	β	1.00	BT
58	Navicula perminuta	150.67	1111.58	420.75	342.13	B	FW	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	C
59	Navicula perrhombus	31.18	15.59	15.59	155.88	B	M	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	B
60	Navicula ramosissima	896.59	8532.55	3143.05	2039.00	B	BM	β - α	2.40	ABT, not
61	Neosynedra provincialis	46.36	23.18	23.18	0.13	B	M	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	B
62	Nitzschia dissipata	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.00	B	FW	$\mathbf O$	1.40	C
63	Nitzschia distans	25.41	12.74	12.72	83.00	B	BM	α	3.60	BT, not
64	Nitzschia hybrida f. hyalina	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.00	B	BM	$\beta-\alpha$	2.50	B
65	Nitzschia inconspicua	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.00	B	FW		$\overline{}$	ABT, not
66	Nitzschia lanceolata var. minor	42.39	21.30	21.23	0.00	B	B	β	2.0	BТ
67	Nitzschia rupestris	8.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	B	BM	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	B
68	Nitzschia sigma	173.85	621.48	265.11	430.00	$\rm BP$	B	α	3.00	ABT, not
69	Nitzschia sigmoidea	37.53	300.27	112.60	0.00	BP	FW	β - α	2.50	B
70	Nitzschia spathulata	1.33	0.67	0.67	0.00	B	M	β - α	2.50	BT

Note. (-)—data absent. Habitat: B—benthos, P—plankton, BP—bentho-plankton. RS—the relationship of species to the water salinity: M—marine species, FW—freshwater, BM—brackish-marine, B—brackish. SAPRO self-purification zone: α—mesosaprobic, α-β—mesosaprobic, α-o—mesosaprobic, β—mesosaprobic, β-α mesosaprobic, o—oligosaprobic, o-β—mesosaprobic. Index: S—species-specific index saprobity s according to [\[37\]](#page-20-14). PhG—phytogeographic elements: B—boreal species, AB—arctic-boreal, BT—boreal-tropical, ABT—arcticboreal-tropical, C—cosmopolite. not = notal species also found in the southern hemisphere.

References

- 1. Ryabushko, L.I. *Microphytobenthos of the Black Sea*; Gaevskaya, A.V., Ed.; EKOSI-Hydrophyzica: Sevastopol, Russia, 2013; 416p. (In Russian)
- 2. Ryabushko, L.I.; Bondarenko, A.V. The Qualitative and Quantitative Characteristics of the Benthic Diatoms near Kazantip Cape of the Sea of Azov. *J. Black Sea/Mediterr. Environ.* **2016**, *22*, 237–249.
- 3. Barinova, S.; Bondarenko, A.; Ryabushko, L.; Kapranov, S. Microphytobenthos as an indicator of water quality and organic pollution in the western coastal zone of the Sea of Azov. *Oceanol. Hydrobiol. Stud.* **2019**, *48*, 125–139. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1515/ohs-2019-0013)
- 4. Litvinyuk, N.A. A. Cadastral documentation for the state budgetary institution of the Republic of Crimea Kazantipsky Nature Reserve. *Sci. Notes Martyan Cape Nat. Reserve* **2016**, *7*, 27–55. (In Russian)
- 5. Volkov, L.I. Materials on the flora of the Sea of Azov. *Proc. Rostov Reg. Biol. Soc.* **1940**, *4*, 114–137. (In Russian)
- 6. Gromov, V.V. Bottom Sea and coastal aquatic vegetation. In *Modern Development of Estuarine Ecosystems on the Example of the Sea of Azov*; Matishov, G.G., Ed.; Kola Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences: Apatity, Russia, 1999; pp. 130–166. (In Russian)
- 7. Sadogurskiy, S.Y.; Belich, T.V.; Maslov, I.I.; Sadogurskaya, S.A. Phytobenthos species structure in Nature Reserve of Crimea. *Bull. Main Bot. Gard.* **2003**, *186*, 86–104. (In Russian)
- 8. Maslov, I.I. Phytobenthos of some protected and natural aquatic complexes of the Sea of Azov. *Proc. Nikitsk. Bot. Gard.* **2004**, *123*, 68–75. (In Russian)
- 9. Sadogurskaya, S.A.; Sadogurskiy, S.Y.; Belich, T.V. Annotated list of phytobenthos of the Kazantip nature reserve. *Sci. Work. Nikitsk. Bot. Gard.* **2006**, *126*, 190–208. (In Russian)
- 10. Belich, T.V.; Sadogursky, S.E.; Sadogurskaya, S.A. Revision of the macrophyte flora of the water area of the Kazantip Nature Reserve. *Sci. Notes Martyan Cape Nat. Reserve* **2019**, *10*, 61–72. (In Russian)
- 11. Bondarenko, A.V. Microalgae of the Benthos of the Crimean Coastal Waters of the Sea of Azov. Ph.D. Thesis, A.O. Kovalevsky Institute of Biology of the Southern Seas of RAS, Sevastopol, Ukraine, 2017; 176p. *submitted*. (In Russian).
- 12. Bondarenko, A.V.; Ryabushko, L.I.; Sadogurskaya, S.A. Microalgae of benthos and plankton in the coastal waters of the Kazantip Nature Reserve (Sea of Azov, Crimea). *Biota Environ. Prot. Areas* **2018**, *4*, 25–48. (In Russian)
- 13. Lebedinsky, V.I.; Kirichenko, L.P. *Crimea Is an Open-Air Museum*; SONAT: Simferopol, Ukraine, 2002; 184p. (In Russian)
- 14. Boltachev, A.R.; Alemov, S.V.; Zagorodnyaya, Y.A.; Karpova, E.P.; Manzhos, L.A.; Gubanov, V.V.; Litvinyuk, L.A. *Underwater World Kazantip Nature Reserve*; Boltachev, A.R., Zagorodnyaya, Y.A., Eds.; Biznes-Inform: Simferopol, Russia, 2016; 112p. (In Russian)
- 15. Proshckina-Lavrenko, A.I. (Ed.) *Diatoms of the USSR (Fossil and Modern). 1*; Nauka: Leningrad, Russia, 1974; 403p. (In Russian)
- 16. Blaginina, A.; Ryabushko, L. Finding of a Rare Species of Diatom *Nanofrustulum shiloi* (Lee, Reimer et Mcenery) Round, Hallsteinsen et Paasche, 1999 in the Periphyton of the coastal waters of the Black Sea. *Int. J. Algae* **2021**, *23*, 247–256. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1615/InterJAlgae.v23.i3.40)
- 17. Round, F.E.; Crawford, R.M.; Mann, D.G. *The Diatoms. Biology and Morphology of the Genera*; Cambridge University: Cambridge, UK, 1990; 747p.
- 18. Ryabushko, L.I.; Begun, A.A. *Diatoms of Microphytobenthos of the Sea of Japan (Synopsis and Atlas)*; In 1–2 Volumes; RK KIA: Sevastopol, Russia, 2016; Volume 2, 324p. (In Russian)
- 19. Smith, W. *A Synopsis of the British Diatomaceae. 1*; John Van Voorst: London, UK, 1853; 89p.
- 20. Smith, W. *A Synopsis of the British Diatomaceae. 2*; John Van Voorst: London, UK, 1856; 107p.
- 21. Kuylenstierna, M. Benthic Algal Vegetation in the Nordre Älv Estuary (Swedish West Coast). Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Marine Botany, University of Göteborg-Sweden, Göteborg, Sweden, 1989. Volume 1. 244p. *in press*.
- 22. Kuylenstierna, M. Benthic Algal Vegetation in the Nordre Älv Estuary (Swedish West Coast). Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Marine Botany, University of Göteborg-Sweden, Göteborg, Sweden, 1990. Volume 2. 76p. *in press*.
- 23. Guslyakov, N.E.; Zakordonets, O.A.; Gerasimyuk, V.P. *Atlas of Benthic Diatoms of the Northwestern Part of the Black Sea and Adjacent Water Bodies*; Nauk. Dumka: Kyiv, Ukraine, 1992; 112p. (In Russian)
- 24. Witkowski, A.; Lange-Bertalot, H.; Metzelin, D. *Diatom Flora of Marine Coasts I. Iconographia Diatomologica*; Lange-Bertalot, H., Ed.; Koeitz Scientific Books: Königstein, Germany, 2000; Volume 7.
- 25. Levkov, Z. Diatoms of Europe: Diatoms of the European Inland Waters and Comparable Habitats. In *Amphora sensu lato*; Lange-Bertalot, H., Ed.; A.R.G. Gantner Verlag K.G.: Ruggell, Liechtenstein, 2009; Volume 5, pp. 5–916.
- 26. Al-Yamani, F.Y.; Saburova, M.A. *Illustrated Guide on the Benthic Diatoms of Kuwait's Marine Environment*; Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research: Safat, Kuwait, 2011; 352p.
- 27. Ryabushko, L.I.; Bondarenko, A.V.; Barinova, S.S. Benthic indicator microalgae in assessing the degree of organic water pollution using the example of the Crimean coast of the Azov Sea. *Mar. Biol. J.* **2019**, *4*, 69–80. (In Russian) [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.21072/mbj.2019.04.3.07)
- 28. Guiry, M.D.; Guiry, G.M. AlgaeBase. World-Wide Electronic Publication, National University of Ireland, Galway. Available online: <http://www.algaebase.org> (accessed on 18 March 2023).
- 29. Shannon, C.E.; Weaver, W. *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*; University of Illinois Press: Champaign, IL, USA, 1949; 117p. 30. Pielou, E.C. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. *J. Theoret. Biol.* **1966**, *10*, 370–383. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(66)90133-0) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5964400)
- 31. Berger, W.H.; Parker, F.L. Diversity of Planktonic Foraminifera in Deep-Sea Sediments. *Science* **1970**, *168*, 1345–1347. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.168.3937.1345) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17731043)
- 32. Minicheva, G.G. Allometric method for determining the specific surface area of algae-macrophyte. *Algologia* **1992**, *2*, 93–96. (In Russian)
- 33. Hammer, Ø.; Harper, D.A.T.; Ryan, P.D. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontol. Electron.* **2001**, *4*, 1–9.
- 34. Love, J.; Selker, R.; Marsman, M.; Jamil, T.; Dropmann, D.; Verhagen, J.A.; Ly, A.; Gronau, F.Q.; Smira, M.; Epskamp, S.; et al. JASP: Graphical statistical software for common statistical designs. *J. Stat. Softw.* **2019**, *88*, 1–17. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v088.i02)
- 35. Shiroyan, A.G. Diatoms of Macrophytes Epiphyton of the Crimean Coastal Waters of the Black Sea. Ph.D. Thesis, A.O. Kovalevsky Institute of Biology of the Southern Seas of RAS, Sevastopol, Ukraine, 2022; 167p. *submitted*. (In Russian).
- 36. López-Fuerte, F.O.; Siqueiros-Beltrones, D.A.; Altamirano-Cerecedo, M.C. Species composition and new records of Diatom taxa on *Phyllodictyon pulcherrimum* (Chlorophyceae) from the Gulf of California. *Diversity* **2020**, *12*, 339. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/d12090339)
- 37. Sládeˇcek, V. Diatoms as indicators of organic pollution. *Acta Hydrochem. Et Hydrobiol.* **1986**, *14*, 555–566. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/aheh.19860140519)
- 38. Ryabushko, L.I.; Begun, A.A. *Diatoms of Microphytobenthos of the Sea of Japan*; In 1–2 Volumes; H. Opiaндa: Simferopol, Russia, 2015; Volume 1, 288p. (In Russian)
- 39. Barinova, S.; Ryabushko, L.; Balycheva, D.; Blaginina, A.; Chiernyavsky, E.; Shiroyan, A. Benthic diatoms on macrophytes of the Israeli Mediterranean coast. *Diversity* **2024**, *16*, 338. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/d16060338)
- 40. Al-Handal, A.Y.; Abdulla, D.S.; Wulff, A.; Abdulwahab, M.T. Epiphytic diatoms of the Mesopotamian wetland: Huwaiza marsh, South Iraq. *Diatom* **2014**, *30*, 164–178.
- 41. Ohgai, M.; Matsui, T.; Okuda, T.; Tsukahara, H. Fine structure of adhesive parts of diatoms (Bacillariaceae) and adhesive mechanism. *J. Shimonoseki Univ. Fish.* **1984**, *33*, 27–35.
- 42. Ohgai, M.; Tsukahara, H.; Matsui, T.; Nakajima, K. *Licmophora abbreviata* Agardh. and *L. paradoxa* (Lyngbye) Agardh in vitro. *Bull. Jpn. Sci. Fish* **1984**, *50*, 1157–1163. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.50.1157)
- 43. Lee, J.J.; McEnery, M.E.; Kennedy, E.M.; Rubin, H. A nutritional analysis of a sublittoral diatom assemblage epiphytic on *Enteromorpha* from a Long Island salt marsh. *J. Phycol.* **1975**, *11*, 14–49. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.1975.tb02746.x)
- 44. Siqueiros-Beltrones, D.A.; Hernández-Almeida, O.U. Florística de diatomeas epifitas en un manchón de macroalgas subtropicales. *Cicimar Oceánides* **2006**, *21*, 11–61. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.37543/oceanides.v21i1-2.25)
- 45. Hernández-Almeida, O.U.; Siqueiros-Beltrones, D.A. Substrate-dependent differences between the structures of epiphytic and epilithic diatom assemblages off the southwestern coast of the Gulf of California. *Bot. Mar.* **2012**, *55*, 149–159. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1515/BOT.2011.103)
- 46. Korotkevich, O.S. Diatom flora of the littoral zone of the Barents Sea. *Tr. Murm. Mar. Biol. Inst.* **1960**, *1*, 68–338. (In Russian)
- 47. Main, S.P.; McIntire, C.D. The Distribution of Epiphytic Diatoms in Yaquina Estuary, Oregon. *Bot. Mar.* **1974**, *17*, 88–99. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1515/botm.1974.17.2.88)
- 48. Ryabushko, L.I.; Zavalko, S.E. Microphytofouling of artificial and natural substrates in the Black Sea. *Bot. J.* **1992**, *77*, 33–39. (In Russian)
- 49. Huang, R.; Boney, A.D. Effects of diatom mucilage on the growth and morphology of marine algae. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **1983**, *67*, 79–89. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(83)90136-3)
- 50. Huang, R.; Boney, A.D. Individual and combined interactions between littoral diatoms and sporelings of red algae. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **1985**, *85*, 101–111. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(85)90136-4)
- 51. Ryabushko, L.I. Fouling diatoms on the benthic plants of the Black Sea by Omega Cape. *Hydrobiol. J.* **1996**, *32*, 15–22.
- 52. Proshckina-Lavrenko, A.I. *Diatoms of the Benthos of the Black Sea*; Nauka: Moskow, Russia, 1963; 243p. (In Russian)
- 53. Siqueiros Beltrones, D.A.; Martínez, Y.J.; Aldana-Moreno, A. Exploratory floristics of epiphytic diatoms from Revillagigedo Islands (Mexico). *Cymbella* **2019**, *5*, 98–123. Available online: <http://cymbella.mx> (accessed on 11 July 2024).
- 54. Sahin, B.; Barinova, S. Environmental factors structuring diatom diversity of the protected high mountain lakes in the Kaçkar Mountains National Park (Rize, Turkey). *Ecologies* **2024**, *5*, 312–341. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ecologies5020020)
- 55. Kucherova, Z.S. Dynamics of diatom fouling on the Black Sea macrophytes. *Biol. Morya* **1969**, *18*, 114–122. (In Russian)
- 56. Round, F.E. Benthic marine diatoms. *Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Ann. Rev.* **1971**, *9*, 83–139.
- 57. Ryabushko, L.I.; Balycheva, D.S.; Strijak, A.V. Diatom epiphyton of some green algae and periphyton of anthropogenic substrates of Crimean coastal waters of the Black Sea (Ukraine). *Algologia* **2013**, *23*, 419–437. (In Russian) [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.15407/alg23.04.419)
- 58. Kolpakov, N.V.; Begun, A.A.; Olkhovik, A.V. Composition and distribution of microalgae in the estuary of the Sukhodol River (Ussuri Bay, Peter the Great Bay) in autumn. 2. Epiphyton. *Izv. TINRO* **2014**, *176*, 127–138. (In Russian) [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.26428/1606-9919-2014-176-127-138)
- 59. Prazukin, A.V.; Lee, R.I.; Balycheva, D.S.; Firsov, Y.K.; Kholodov, V.V. *Cladophora* (Chlorophyta) as an ecological engineer in hypersaline lake Chersonesskoye: Distribution of diatom algae in the structured space of plant mats. *Mar. Biol. J.* **2023**, *8*, 62–86. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.21072/mbj.2023.08.3.05)
- 60. Ryabushko, L.I.; Bondarenko, A.V.; Shiroyan, A.G. Diatoms of *Bryopsis plumosa* (Hudson) C. Agardh (Chlorophyta, Bryopsidales) Epiphyton from the Black and Aegean Seas. *Int. J. Algae* **2019**, *21*, 321–334. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1615/InterJAlgae.v21.i4.30)
- 61. Colina, A. *Investigation on the Structure, Composition and Productivity of the Epiphyte Communities on Fucus vesiculosus L. in the Western Baltic*; Christian-Albrechts Universitat: Kiel, Germany, 1981; 118p.
- 62. Ryabushko, L.; Balycheva, D.; Kapranov, S.; Shiroyan, A.; Blaginina, A.; Barinova, S. Seasonal dynamics of microphytobenthos distribution in three ecotopes on a mussel farm (Black Sea). *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2023**, *11*, 2100. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse11112100)
- 63. Ballantine, D.L. The distribution of algal epiphytes on macrophyte hosts off-shore from La Parguera, Puerto Rico. *Bot. Mar.* **1979**, *22*, 107–111. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1515/botm.1979.22.2.107)
- 64. Odum, Y. *Ecology*; Mir: Moscow, Russia, 1986; Volume 2, 376p. (In Russian)
- 65. Lewin, J.C. Heterotrophy in marine diatoms. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **1953**, *9*, 305–313. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-9-2-305) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13096712)
- 66. Admiraal, W.; Peletier, H. Influence of organic compounds and light limitation on the growth rate of estuarine benthic diatoms. *Br. Psychol. J.* **1979**, *14*, 197–206. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/00071617900650211)
- 67. Saks, N.M. Primary production and heterotrophy of a pinnate and a centric salt marsh diatom. *Mar. Biol.* **1983**, *76*, 241–246. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00393023)
- 68. Wijewardene, L.; Wu, N.; Giménez-Grau, P.; Holmboe, C.; Fohrer, N.; Baattrup-Pedersen, A.; Riis, T. Epiphyton in Agricultural Streams: Structural Control and Comparison to Epilithon. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 3443. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/w13233443)
- 69. Dunck, B.; Rodrigues, L.; Lima-Fernandes, E.; Cassio, F.; Pascoal, C.; Cottenie, K. Priority effects of stream eutrophication and assembly history on beta diversity across aquatic consumers, decomposers and producers. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, *797*, 149106. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149106) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34303255)
- 70. Kim, S.; Quiroz-Arita, C.; Monroe, E.A.; Siccardi, A.; Mitchell, J.; Huysman, N.; Davis, R.W. Application of attached algae flow-ways for coupling biomass production with the utilization of dilute non-point source nutrients in the Upper Laguna Madre, TX. *Water Res.* **2021**, *191*, 116816. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.116816) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33476801)
- 71. Martínez, Y.J.; Siqueiros-Beltrones, D.A.; Marmolejo-Rodríguez, A.J. Response of benthic diatom assemblages to contamination by metals in a marine environment. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2021**, *9*, 443. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse9040443)
- 72. Siqueiros Beltrones, D.A.; Martínez, Y.J.; López-Fuerte, F.O. Epiphytic diatoms from the Central Region of the Gulf of California: Floristics and biogeographic remarks. *Diversity* **2023**, *15*, 510. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/d15040510)
- 73. Skorobogatova, O.; Yumagulova, E.; Storchak, T.; Barinova, S. Bioindication of the influence of oil production on sphagnum bogs in the Khanty-Mansiysk Autonomous Okrug–Yugra, Russia. *Diversity* **2019**, *11*, 207. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/d11110207)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.