

Supporting Information

# Synthesis and Electrochemical Performance of Microporous Hollow Carbon from Milkweed Pappus as Cathode Material of Lithium–Sulfur Batteries

Jun-Ki Kim <sup>1</sup>, Yunju Choi <sup>1</sup>, Euh Duck Jeong <sup>1</sup>, Sei-Jin Lee <sup>2</sup>, Hyun Gyu Kim <sup>1</sup>, Jae Min Chung <sup>3</sup>, Jeom-Soo Kim <sup>4</sup>, Sun-Young Lee <sup>5,\*</sup> and Jong-Seong Bae <sup>1,\*</sup>†

<sup>1</sup> Busan Center, Korea Basic Science Institute (KBSI), Busan 46742, Korea

<sup>2</sup> Jeonju Center, Korea Basic Science Institute (KBSI), Jeonju 54907, Korea

<sup>3</sup> Division of Plant Resources, Korea National Arboretum, Seoul 02455, Korea

<sup>4</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Dong-A University, Busan 49315, Korea

<sup>5</sup> Secondary Batteries Technology Center, Chungnam Techno Park, Cheonan 31035, Korea

\* Correspondence: sylee22@ctp.or.kr (S.-Y.L.); jsbae@kbsi.re.kr (J.-S.B.)

† These authors contributed equally to this study.




Figure. S1. The TGA profiles used to determine the sulfur contents in the sulfur-loaded samples.




Figure S2. SEM images (a) and HR TEM images and EDS mapping Showing Distribution of C, S and N (b) of MPC-6S, MPC-8S and MPC-9S powders.

Table S1. Elemental content of the MPC and MPC-sulfur composites in EA.

|        | <b>C (wt.%)</b> | <b>H (wt.%)</b> | <b>N (wt.%)</b> | <b>S (wt.%)</b> | <b>O (wt.%)</b> |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| MPC    | 79.40           | 2.22            | 0.01            | 0.30            | 18.07           |
| MPC-6S | 34.51           | 0.29            | 0.30            | 58.47           | 6.43            |
| MPC-8S | 17.13           | 0.30            | 0.36            | 77.79           | 4.42            |
| MPC-9S | 7.33            | 0.37            | 0.29            | 91.33           | 0.68            |