

Direct and sensitive electrochemical evaluation of pramipexole using graphitic carbon nitride (gCN) sensor

Yogesh M. Shanbhag¹, Mahesh M. Shanbhag², Shweta J. Malode¹, S. Dhanalakshmi¹, Kunal Mondal^{3*},
Nagaraj P. Shetti^{1*}

Supplementary Information




Figure S1. Effect of immersion time.




Figure S2. (A) Voltammetric behavior of 0.5 mM PMXL at different scan rates in pH 3.02 (inset: (a) influence of scan rate of peak potential); (B) dependency of peak current on square root of scan rate; (C) relationship between $\log(v)$ and $\log(I_p)$.




Figure S3. SWV responses of PMXL in presence of different excipients in pH 3.02 at t_{imm} of 40 s; (a) Bar diagram for change in % signal.




Figure S4. SWV of metal ion interference study inset: (a) graphical representation for % signal change.




Figure S5. Electrode stability investigation. CV response of (A) Repeatability; (B) Reproducibility.

Table S1. Specifications of calibration curve of PMXL at gCN·CPE.

Linearity (μM)	0.05 – 500.0
Calibration curve slope ($\mu\text{A M}^{-1}$)	0.379
Intercept of calibration curve (μA)	0.292
SD of intercept	0.00517
Average slope value	0.3798
Regression coefficient (R^2)	0.99
RSD of the slope (in %)	0.385
RSD of the intercept (in %)	0.520
Total test point considered	11
L_D (μM)	0.012
L_Q (μM)	0.039
Sensitivity ($\mu\text{A} \cdot \mu\text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$)	7.44
% RSD for repeatability	1.661
% RSD for reproducibility	0.790