

# *Article* **Wideband Rectangular Waveguide to Substrate Integrated Waveguide (SIW) E-Plane T-Junction**

**Roberto Vincenti Gatti [\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3346-2581) , Riccardo Rossi and Marco Dionigi**

Department of Engineering, University of Perugia, Via G. Duranti 93, 06125 Perugia, Italy; riccardo.rossi4@studenti.unipg.it (R.R.); marco.dionigi@unipg.it (M.D.)

**\*** Correspondence: roberto.vincentigatti@unipg.it

**Abstract:** A broadband rectangular waveguide to substrate integrated waveguide power divider for hybrid beam forming networks is presented. Rectangular waveguide symmetric E-plane irises are used to realize a multi-section matching network. A hybrid circuit and full-wave design procedure are described and adopted to synthesize three matching networks with one, two, and three irises, progressively increasing the bandwidth and exceeding the state of the art in the last two cases. Three proof-of-concept prototypes are manufactured and tested to validate the design procedure. Good agreement between simulated and measured performance confirms the validity of the proposed solution.

**Keywords:** rectangular waveguide; substrate integrated waveguide; power divider; T-junction; low-cost manufacturing



**Citation:** Vincenti Gatti, R.; Rossi, R.; Dionigi, M. Wideband Rectangular Waveguide to Substrate Integrated Waveguide (SIW) E-Plane T-Junction. *Electronics* **2021**, *10*, 264. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics10030264) [doi.org/10.3390/electronics10030264](https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics10030264)

Academic Editor: Amir Ebrahimi Received: 22 December 2020 Accepted: 20 January 2021 Published: 23 January 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:/[/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

### **1. Introduction**

Most modern microwave radar and communication systems require wideband highgain antennas, which in many cases consist of large planar arrays. Low profile and light weight are indeed appealing features of such antennas [\[1\]](#page-18-0), though the choice of proper technology for the implementation of the beam-forming network (BFN) is critical, as it determines the insertion loss associated with long routing paths. One approach to reducing such loss and at the same time to increase the antenna bandwidth consists in subarraying, that is, dividing the planar arrays into smaller sections, fed by a low-loss BFN based on air-filled rectangular waveguides (RWGs), substrate integrated waveguides (SIWs) or grounded coplanar waveguides (GCPWs).

SIW is a well-known technology [\[2,](#page-18-1)[3\]](#page-18-2) exhibiting a number of advantages, such as hermeticity, low profile, low insertion loss, ease of integration with other planar structures, light weight, low cost, and compatibility with standard printed circuit board (PCB) manufacturing techniques.

However, the presence of a dielectric substrate implies a higher insertion loss, especially at high frequencies. For these reasons, the air-filled RWG is still of great interest in high-performance systems. In addition to this, the robustness of RWGs makes this technology more appropriate for non-standard 3D feeding structures.

As a consequence, a viable approach for the implementation of high-performance flat antennas is based on hybrid SIW-RWG slot arrays, where the radiating section and the BFN are designed using SIW and RWG technologies, respectively. The connection of these two waveguiding structures requires the design of proper junctions.

Since modern microwave systems are often required to operate on wide bandwidths, a typical design can be represented by a SIW slot array where the single subarrays are center-fed by an RWG feeding network. This choice provides the largest bandwidth by reducing the number of series-fed slots. Therefore, it is necessary to couple microwave power between the RWG and the SIW using a hybrid E-plane T-junction. This consists of a RWG input section connecting two SIW output branches at a right angle.



Power dividers of this kind have already been studied in the literature and, since they all require a coupling aperture etched on the SIW bottom plate, bandwidth is often limited due to the slot resonant behavior. Therefore, a number of techniques have been investigated to broaden the impedance bandwidth with respect to a traditional straight investigated to broaden structure without matching elements. In Reference  $[4]$ , the SIW is locally enlarged at the  $\frac{1}{2}$ junction to attenuate higher order modes and a 20-dB return loss bandwidth of 12.57% is<br>though the SIWC and obtained. The RWG and the SIW are slot-coupled and matched with a guiding post in [\[5\]](#page-19-0),<br>and a radiational layer to except to be referenced and relational layer to except the RWG. though bandwidth is limited to 2.35%. In Reference [\[6\]](#page-19-1), an aperture is realized on the SIW and coupled with a radiating patch printed on an additional layer to excite the RWG. This and coupled with a radiating patch printed on an additional layer to excite the RWG. This device exhibits a bandwidth of 2.79%, which can be broadened by increasing the patch device exhibits a bandwidth of 2.6%, which can be broadened by increasing the patch<br>layer substrate thickness. Two dielectric layers are also employed in [\[7\]](#page-19-2), where two SIW Equal to achieve a bandwidth of 35.66%.<br>Cavities with two H-shaped slots are designed to achieve a bandwidth of 35.66%. they all require a coupling aperture etched on the SIW bottom plate, bandwidth is often both is often both is of limited during to the slot resonant and since resonant behavior. The interacture and, since the model of the slot resonant between  $\epsilon$  and  $\epsilon$  is the state of the slot of t

This paper presents a novel RWG-to-SIW E-plane T-junction with an integrated RWG matching network based on capacitive irises. Return loss bandwidth is broadened accordmateurity risting to the number of irises introduced and a maximum bandwidth of 40.93% is obtained. All full-wave simulations are performed with the commercial software CST Microwave Studio. Three proof-of-concept prototypes are manufactured with low-cost stereolithography (SLA) technology and electroplating. The design is validated with experimental results.

## **2. Power Divider Design 2. Power Divider Design**

gle.

The power divider in Figure 1 is obtained by coupling a standard WR-75 waveguide The power divider in Figur[e 1](#page-2-0) is obtained by coupling a standard WR-75 waveguide and a SIW through an H-shaped slot etched in the SIW bottom ground plane. The SIW top ground plane is removed to show the coupling slot location. RWG input is labeled as top ground plane is removed to show the coupling slot location. RWG input is labeled as port 1, while the SIW outputs as ports 2 and 3. port 1, while the SIW outputs as ports 2 and 3.



(**a**)



(**b**)

**Figure 1.** *Cont.*

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. Unmatched RWG-to-SIW power divider: (a) Top view, (b) Bottom view, (c) 3D view.

Similarly to [8,9], the SIW is modeled as a dielectric-filled RWG with equivalent Similarly to [\[8](#page-19-3)[,9\]](#page-19-4), the SIW is modeled as a dielectric-filled RWG with equivalent width  $a_e$  and same height. The slot dimensions are selected so as to guarantee an input port matching of at least 3 dB in the absence of matching elements. A 2:1 via hole pitch-todiameter ratio is chosen for reliable manufacturing. Rogers RT5880 substrate, with a dielectric constant  $\varepsilon_r$  = 2.2 and tan $\delta$  = 0.001, is adopted to minimize dielectric losses. Other substrate parameters are the height  $y = 1.575$  mm and the metal thickness  $t = 17 \mu m$ , though this parameter is increased to  $45 \mu m$  in the design to account for the via hole metallization process. The design center frequency is set to 12.5 GHz and simulation bandwidth is between 10 and 15 GHz, which is the typical operating frequency range for a WR-75 waveguide. The power divider geometrical parameters and initial scattering parameter are reporte[d](#page-2-1) in Table 1 and Figure [2,](#page-3-0) respectively. For reasons of symmetry, scattering parameter *S*<sub>31</sub> is omitted.



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Table 1.** Unmatched RWG-to-SIW power divider geometrical parameters. **Table 1.** Unmatched RWG-to-SIW power divider geometrical parameters.

The poor return loss performance clearly makes this device unsuitable for hybrid BFNs to be utilized in large planar arrays. This is due to the fact that the equivalent load connected to the RWG is characterized by a very low impedance, which tends to a short circuit as the substrate height becomes smaller.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Unmatched RWG-to-SIW power divider simulated scattering parameters. **Figure 2.** Unmatched RWG-to-SIW power divider simulated scattering parameters. Figure 2. Unmatched RWG-to-SIW power divider In the Commence and to buy power divider simulated seatering parameters.

In the RWG a multi-section impedance matching network is realized by a number of symmetric E-plane irises. In this way, a direct connection between the RWG and the SIW is possible with no need for additional printed circuit elements, thus making this solution particularly convenient.

Francous parameters.<br>A constant width  $w = 1$  mm is set for all irises with blending radius  $br = 1.25$  mm for a In the RWG and  $\theta = 1$  multi-section in performance matrix  $\theta = 1.25$  multi-order low-cost computer numerical control (CNC) machining, as illustrated in Figure [3.](#page-3-1)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

Figure 3. RWG symmetric E-plane iris (E-plane cross-sectional view).

resonator and two symmetrical transmission l[in](#page-3-2)es, is shown in Figure 4. through a number of full-wave simulations, providing a reliable representation of the iris scattering parameters over an extended bandwidth with respect to the traditional shunt capacitance model [10,11]. The adopted equivalent circuit, consisting of a shunt LC The single iris is modeled with an accurate two-parameter equivalent circuit obtained

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

**Figure 4. Figure 4.** RWG symmetric E-plane iris equivalent circuit. RWG symmetric E-plane iris equivalent circuit.

The transmission line characteristic impedance  $Z_0$  is normalized, while the electrical length  $\theta$  allows for a fine-tuning of the transmission coefficient phase. The model only depends on the iris height  $h$ , which is swept from  $0.5$  mm to  $4.5$  mm with a step of  $0.5$  mm. For each of the nine simulated configurations, a wideband scattering parameters fitting is performed, acting on the three circuit element values *C*, *L*, and  $θ$ , as in [\[12\]](#page-19-7). The fitting is procedure results are listed in Table [2.](#page-4-0) depends on the international international *h*, which is step of 0.5 mm to 4.5 mm to 4.5 mm with a step of 0.5 m

Iris Height (mm)	C(pF)	L(pH)	$\theta$ (deg)
0.50	1.776	314.162	$-0.512$
1.00	3.838	165.103	$-1.526$
1.50	6.438	100.696	$-2.192$
2.00	10.131	63.611	$-2.765$
2.50	14.894	44.643	$-3.374$
3.00	22.089	29.057	$-4.039$
3.50	31.240	22.417	$-4.537$
4.00	49.427	14.315	$-5.198$
4.50	110.015	6.473	$-5.883$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2.** Equivalent circuit parameters for each iris configuration.

To highlight the effectiveness of the proposed equivalent circuit with respect to the To highlight the effectiveness of the proposed equivalent circuit with respect to the It in the concentration of the proposed equivalent cheated with respect to the standard shunt capacitance model, full-wave and circuit scattering parameters for a generic neric iris geometry are compared in Figure [5,](#page-5-0) resulting in a very good agreement over a 40% fractional bandwidth (FBW). 40% fractional bandwidth (FBW).



**Figure 5.** *Cont.*

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 5.** RWG symmetric E-plane iris scattering parameters for  $h = 3.0$  mm: (a)  $|S_{11}|$ , (b)  $\angle S_{11}$ ,  $\binom{6}{}$  | *S*<sub>21</sub> | , (**d**) ∠*S*<sub>21</sub>.

To obtain an accurate model for any value of the iris height in the considered range, io obtain an accurate model for any value of the iris height in the considered range.<br>a least-squares interpolation is performed, adopting the following fitting functions for *C*, *L* and *θ*: 2 *ch c h ch c C h h wb* + ++ <sup>=</sup> <sup>−</sup> (1)

$$
C(h) = \frac{c_3h^3 + c_2h^2 + c_1h + c_0}{h - wb/2}
$$
 (1)

$$
L(h) = l_3 h^3 + l_2 h^2 + l_1 h + l_0
$$
\n(2)

$$
\theta(h) = t_3 h^3 + t_2 h^2 + t_1 h + t_0
$$
\n(3)

Third order polynomials are selected as a trade-off between interpolation accuracy and Third order polynomials are selected as a trade-off between interpolation accuracy computational cost, with the only exception of Equation (1), which is a rational function with first-degree denominator to properly describe the case of an iris with  $h = w b/2$ . The obtained interpolating functions and the associated coefficients are shown in Figure [6](#page-7-0) and Table  $3$ , respectively.



**Figure 6.** *Cont.*

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 6. Circuit parameters fitting values (black dots) and interpolating functions (solid line): (a) C, *C*, (**b**) *L*, (**c**) *θ*. (**b**) *L*, (**c**) *θ*.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>



A preliminary design of the multi-section matching network at the RWG-to-SIW T-junction input can now be performed. With the aim of maximizing the 20-dB return loss bandwidth, three different designs are carried out with the above-mentioned circuit modeling, employing one, two, and three irises, respectively. The power divider in the case of [a](#page-8-0) two-iris matching network is shown in Figure 7a, and its equivalent circuit is given in Figure 7b[. T](#page-8-0)he transmission lines are dimensioned to model the RWG sections in between each iris, with  $Z_0 = 1$  and electrical lengths  $\theta_i = \beta L_i$ , where  $\beta$  is the propagation constant [\[10,](#page-19-5)[11\]](#page-19-6) and  $L_i$  are the sections physical lengths.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Figure 7. RWG-to-SIW power divider with two-section matching network: (a) Physical realization (E-plane cross-sectional view), (**b**) Equivalent circuit.  $\sigma$  is the synthesized geometries are provided in Table 4,  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  are provided in Table 4,  $\sigma$ 

It is worth mentioning that the proposed design approach allows a quasi-instantaneous first dimensioning of the waveguide circuit, though its accuracy is limited by the fact that only the fundamental mode is considered in the model. A full-wave verification is therefore necessary. The synthesized geometries are provi[de](#page-8-1)d in Table 4, while circuit-analysis and recessary. The synthesized geometries are provided in Table 4, while circuit<br>full-wave simulation scattering [pa](#page-9-0)rameters are compared in Figure 8.



 $\frac{1}{I}$  Second iris and  $\frac{1}{4}$  Second iris

 $\frac{1}{2}$  distance  $\frac{1}{2}$  distance  $U_1$  and Figure 3 and Figure 3 and Figure 9, respectively. Fractional band-figure 9, respectively. Fractional band-figure 9,  $\frac{1}{2}$  654  $\frac{1}{2}$  distance

distance  $\frac{4.661}{3.836}$ 

distance  $\frac{5.654}{2.5}$ 

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Table 4.** RWG-to-SIW power dividers geometrical parameters.

 $L_2$  distance  $\frac{1}{2}$  $L_2$  Third iris distance  $L_3$ 

Third iris



**Figure 8.** *Cont.*

*L*2

 $L_3$ 

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure 8.** RWG-to-SIW power dividers: Comparison between circuit and full-wave analysis: (**a**) **Figure 8.** RWG-to-SIW power dividers: Comparison between circuit and full-wave analysis: (**a**) One-One-section matching network, (**b**) Two-section matching network, (**c**) Three-section matching section matching network, (**b**) Two-section matching network, (**c**) Three-section matching network.

further full-wave optimization is needed. For all cases, however, convergence is reached in **Parameters** and **Simulated** performance are shown in Table [5](#page-9-1) and Figure [9,](#page-10-0) respectively. Fractional bandwidths of a few iterations with a local optimization. The final geometrical parameters and simulated 6.48%, 28.89%, and 40.93% are obtained in the three cases. Insertion loss is always better<br>than 0.17.<sup>1</sup>P in the angustive fraction was are an than 0.17 dB in the operative frequency ranges. As expected, full-wave results show some deviations from circuit simulations, and

<span id="page-9-1"></span>



<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Figure 9.** Optimized RWG-to-SIW power dividers simulated scattering parameters: (**a**) One-section **Figure 9.** Optimized RWG-to-SIW power dividers simulated scattering parameters: (**a**) One-section matching network, (**b**) Two-section matching network, (**c**) Three-section matching network. matching network, (**b**) Two-section matching network, (**c**) Three-section matching network.

It is worth noting that the three-section RWG-to-SIW power divider exhibits a 5-GHz bandwidth around 12.5 GHz with a total thickness of just 11.5 mm  $(L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + w/2)$ , thus making this solution extremely compact.

To assess the effectiveness of the proposed solutions, a comparison with referenced works [\[4](#page-18-3)[–7\]](#page-19-2) is presented in Table [6.](#page-11-0) The SIW height *y* (i.e., the substrate thickness) is related to the cutoff wavelength  $\lambda_c = 2a_e\sqrt{\varepsilon_r}$  as follows:

$$
r = \frac{y}{\lambda_c} \tag{4}
$$

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Table 6.** Comparison with state-of-the-art solutions.



The achievable bandwidth is observed to be linearly dependent on the ratio *r*. For this reason, in order to perform a fair comparison between the various state-of-the-art solutions, the fractional bandwidth performance is normalized by introducing a merit factor *F* defined as:

$$
F = \frac{FBW}{r} \tag{5}
$$

Table [6](#page-11-0) refers to simulated results only, so that biasing due to manufacturing errors in fabricated prototypes is not considered. In order to highlight an actual bandwidth improvement, each merit factor is related to the highest state-of-the-art merit factor, corresponding to reference [\[7\]](#page-19-2).

The third design proposed in this work exhibits the best overall performance, exceeding the state of the art by almost 15% in terms of FBW and 50% in terms of *F*. With this respect, however, also the second design achieves a remarkable merit factor.

#### **3. Power Divider Manufacturing and Test**

The three power dividers are prototyped to validate simulation results. The three RWG multi-section matching networks are manufactured with a Formlabs Form 2 SLA printer and metalized with a two-step process consisting of silver painting followed by electrolytic copper deposition. The open waveguide sections are shown in Figure [10](#page-12-0) to visualize internal details.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

(**a**)



(**b**)



(**c**)

Figure 10. Waveguide multi-section matching networks: (a) One section, (b) Two sections, sections. (**c**) Three sections.

In particular, each structure is divided into two symmetrical halves so as to ease the silver paint deposition. The two parts and a separate copper rod are immersed in a commercial copper sulfide solution. Electroplating takes place when the cathode of a DC power supply is connected through copper wires to the inner surfaces of the two split waveguides, while the anode is connected to the copper rod. A 100 mA current guarantees a uniform copper deposition, limiting defects such as spikes and unwanted surface roughness. Screws are used to guarantee good electrical contact between the two parts. The adopted manufacturing process, detailed in [\[13\]](#page-19-8), minimizes cost and prototyping time, while ensuring good performance, as already verified in [\[14–](#page-19-9)[16\]](#page-19-10). The SIW is manufactured with standard PCB technology and joint to a mechanical supporting structure integrating the RWG section by means of six mounting screws. A wideband SIW-to-GCPW transition is designed according to [\[17\]](#page-19-11). The transition geometry, simulated performance, and geometrical parameters are reported in Figure [11](#page-13-0) and Table [7,](#page-13-1) respectively. performance, and geometrical parameters are reported in Figure 11 and Table 7, respectively.<br>GCPW feeding sections for the two output ports are preferred over microstrip lines due control control of the two surplit posts are preferred over interesting lines due<br>to a reduced radiation loss and a limited influence on the RWG structure. Two Southwest to a reduced radiation ross and a influed influence on the two structure. Two solutions Microwave 2.92-mm jack (female) end-launch solder-less connectors model 1092-03A-5 are employed to feed the GCPW lines.  $\frac{1}{2}$  decreases are reported in a decording to  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The transmitted in  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ ors model 1092-03A-5 are employed to feed the GCPW lines.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

**Figure 11.** SIW-to-GCPW transition: (**a**) Geometry, (**b**) Simulated scattering parameters. **Figure 11.** SIW-to-GCPW transition: (**a**) Geometry, (**b**) Simulated scattering parameters.

<span id="page-13-1"></span>



The RWG input port is connected to a commercial coaxial-to-WR-75 transition, The RWG input port is connected to a commercial coaxial-to-WR-75 transition, whose scattering parameters are reported in [Figu](#page-14-0)re 12 in a back-to-back configuration to verify its input matching in the frequency range of interest. The assembled prototype is shown in Figure 13. In particular, a 3D-printed rigid plate on top of the SIW circuit is clearly visible.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

This element is introduced to uniformly distribute the pressure on the PCB in order to further improve the electrical contact with the RWG section. First element is introduced to uniformly distribute the pressure on the PCD

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Figure 12. Coaxial-to-WR-75 transition measured scattering parameters (back-to-back configuration).



**Figure 13.** RWG-to-SIW power divider prototype. **Figure 13.** RWG-to-SIW power divider prototype. **Figure 13.** RWG-to-SIW power divider prototype.

using the Short-Open-Load-Through (SOLT) calibration technique. Measured scattering parameters are reporte[d in](#page-15-0) [Fig](#page-17-0)ures 14–16 and compared to simulated results, the latter including GCPW-to-SIW transition sections. Physical properties of copper conductivity and surface roughness are taken into account in the simulation. In particular, an estimated metallic roughness of the order of  $5 \mu m$  contributes to deteriorating copper conductivity. Similarly to [\[18\]](#page-19-12), the effects of the coaxial connectors are de-embedded. According to measured data provided by the manufacturer, an estimated insertion loss of 0.4 dB at 10 GHz and 0.5  $\overline{dB}$  at 15 GHz is considered. The three power dividers are tested with an Agilent N5230A vector network analyzer

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

**Figure 14.** One-section RWG-to-SIW power divider measured results: (a)  $S_{11}$ , (b)  $S_{21}$ , (c) Amplitude and phase unbalance.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

**Figure 15.** Two-section RWG-to-SIW power divider measured results: (**a**) *S*11, (**b**) *S*21, (**c**) Amplitude **Figure 15.** Two-section RWG-to-SIW power divider measured results: (**a**) *S*11, (**b**) *S*21, (**c**) Amplitude and phase unbalance.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

**Figure 16.** Three-section RWG-to-SIW power divider measured results: (a)  $S_{11}$ , (b)  $S_{21}$ , (c) Amplitude and phase unbalance.

Measured results show an overall good agreement with simulations, though small frequency shifts toward higher frequencies, differences in the return loss levels, and increased insertion loss can be observed. Deviations from simulated results are mainly caused by the additive manufacturing 0.1-mm fabrication tolerance, as well as misalignment errors and plastic deformation in the assembly. It is worth mentioning that simulation results derive from an electromagnetic design, which accounts for the effects of the SIW-to-GCPW output transitions but excludes the contributions from the coaxial-to-WR-75 input transition, which is assumed negligible with respect to the validation of the manufactured devices. Small differences in the insertion loss are to be imputed to a suboptimal electrical contact between the SIW and RWG sections as well as to the manufacturing tolerance. Nevertheless, very small amplitude and phase unbalances can be noticed in the frequency ranges of interest, as shown in Figures [14c](#page-15-0), [15c](#page-16-0) and [16c](#page-17-0). Industrial-grade manufacturing with aluminum CNC machining would indeed lead to an excellent agreement between simulations and measurements, though considerably increasing costs and prototyping time. In conclusion, the adopted in-house fabrication process is considered a good compromise to validate the performance for the three proof-of-concept prototypes and adequate to demonstrate the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed solution.

#### **4. Conclusions**

This work presents a broadband RWG-to-SIW E-plane T-junction, a key device in all hybrid RWG-SIW architectures for phased arrays antennas. Despite the choice of a low-profile SIW substrate, wideband performance has been obtained thanks to a multisection RWG matching network consisting of a number of symmetric E-plane irises. An equivalent circuit has been extracted to describe the capacitive iris performance over an extended frequency range, considerably improving the traditional single-parameter model and significantly reducing the computational cost of the matching network design when compared to a pure full-wave procedure. Simulated 20-dB return loss fractional bandwidths of 6.48%, 28.89%, and 40.93% have been achieved, respectively, for the one-iris, two-iris, and three-iris versions for a SIW height equal to 4.5% of the cutoff wavelength. Such performance is comparable or exceeds the state of the art. Three proof-of-concept prototypes have been fabricated with PCB and additive manufacturing technologies. Good agreement between simulations and measurements validates the design procedure.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, R.V.G.; data curation, R.R. and M.D.; formal analysis, R.V.G.; investigation, R.R.; methodology, R.V.G.; project administration, R.V.G.; resources, M.D.; supervision, R.V.G.; validation, M.D.; visualization, R.R.; writing—original draft, R.V.G., R.R. and M.D.; writing—review & editing, R.V.G. and R.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data is contained within the article.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>1. Vincenti Gatti, R.; Marcaccioli, L.; Sbarra, E.; Sorrentino, R. Flat Array Antennas for Ku-Band Mobile Satellite Terminals. In Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EUCAP), Rome, Italy, 11–15 April 2011; pp. 2618–2622.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>2. Deslandes, D.; Wu, K. Integrated microstrip and rectangular waveguide in planar form. *IEEE Microw. Wirel. Components Lett.* **2001**, *11*, 68–70. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1109/7260.914305)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>3. Deslandes, D.; Wu, K. Single-substrate integration technique of planar circuits and waveguide filters. *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.* **2003**, *51*, 593–596. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1109/TMTT.2002.807820)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>4. Zhang, Q.; Lu, Y. E-band "T" shape transitions between substrate integrated waveguide and standard waveguide. In Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Microwave Conference, Macau, China, 16–20 December 2008; pp. 1–4.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>5. Abdel-Wahab, W.; Al-Saedi, H.; Safavi-Naeini, S. E-plane RWG-to-SIW Transition Power Splitter for Ka-Band SATCOM Application. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation and USNC/URSI National Radio Science Meeting, San Diego, CA, USA, 9–14 July 2017; pp. 2251–2252.
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>6. Li, C.; Wu, D.; Seo, K. Rectangle waveguide to substrate integrated waveguide transition and power divider. *Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett.* **2010**, *52*, 375–378. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/mop.24902)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>7. Bu, S.; Jin, H.; Wang, W.; Luo, G.; Chin, K.-S. A Millimeter-Wave Broadband Transition of Air-Filled Rectangular Waveguide to Differential Substrate Integrated Waveguide. In Proceedings of the IEEE MTT-S International Wireless Symposium (IWS), Guangzhou, China, 19–22 May 2019; pp. 1–3.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>8. Yan, L.; Hong, W.; Wu, K.; Cui, T. Investigations on the propagation characteristics of the substrate integrated waveguide based on the method of lines. *IEE Proc. Microwaves Antennas Propag.* **2005**, *152*, 35–42. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1049/ip-map:20040726)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>9. Vincenti Gatti, R.; Dionigi, M.; Sorrentino, R. Low cost active scanning antenna for mobile satellite terminals. In Proceedings of the IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium, Columbus, OH, USA, 22–27 June 2004; pp. 680–683.
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>10. Pozar, D.M. *Microwave Engineering*, 4th ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2011.
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>11. Collin, R.E. *Foundations for Microwave Engineering*, 2nd ed.; IEEE: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2000.
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>12. Vincenti Gatti, R.; Rossi, R.; Dionigi, M. Broadband Right-Angle Rectangular Waveguide to Substrate Integrated Waveguide Transition with Distributed Impedance Matching Network. *Appl. Sci.* **2019**, *9*, 389. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/app9030389)
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>13. Dionigi, M.; Tomassoni, C.; Venanzoni, G.; Sorrentino, R. Simple high-performance metal-plating procedure for stereolithographically 3-d-printed waveguide components. *IEEE Microw. Wireless Comp. Lett.* **2017**, *27*, 953–955. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1109/LMWC.2017.2750090)
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>14. Vincenti Gatti, R.; Rossi, R.; Dionigi, M. X-band right-angle coaxial-to-single ridge waveguide compact transition with capacitive coupling. *Electron. Lett.* **2019**, *55*, 103–105. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1049/el.2018.7088)
- 15. Vincenti Gatti, R.; Rossi, R.; Dionigi, M. In-line stepped ridge coaxial-to-rectangular waveguide transition with capacitive coupling. *Int. J. RF Microw. Comp.-Aid. Eng.* **2019**, *29*, e21626. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/mmce.21626)
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>16. Vincenti Gatti, R.; Rossi, R.; Dionigi, M.; Spigarelli, A. An x-band compact and low-profile waveguide magic-t. *Int. J. RF Microw. Comp.-Aid. Eng.* **2019**, *29*, e21854. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/mmce.21854)
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>17. Kazemi, R.; Fathy, A.E.; Yang, S.; Sadeghzadeh, R.A. Development of an ultra wide band GCPW to SIW transition. In Proceedings of the IEEE Radio and Wireless Symposium, Santa Clara, CA, USA, 15–18 January 2012; pp. 171–174.
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>18. Vincenti Gatti, R.; Rossi, R. Hermetic Broadband 3-dB Power Divider/Combiner in Substrate-Integrated Waveguide (SIW) Technology. *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.* **2018**, *66*, 3048–3054. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1109/TMTT.2018.2825347)