



Systematic Review Effects of Exercise on Inflammatory Markers in Individuals with Chronic Kidney Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Victor M. Baião¹, Vinícius A. Cunha¹, Marvery P. Duarte¹, Francini P. Andrade^{2,3}, Aparecido P. Ferreira^{4,5}, Otávio T. Nóbrega¹, João L. Viana^{3,*} and Heitor S. Ribeiro^{1,3,4}

- ¹ Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Brasilia, Brasilia 70910-900, Brazil; victor.baiao@aluno.unb.br (V.M.B.); albuquerquev40@gmail.com (V.A.C.); marveryp@gmail.com (M.P.D.); otavionobrega@unb.br (O.T.N.); heitor.ribeiro@icesp.edu.br (H.S.R.)
- ² Graduate Program in Pneumological Sciences, School of Medicine, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre 91501-970, Brazil; fandrade@umaia.pt
- ³ Research Center in Sports Sciences, Health Sciences and Human Development, CIDESD, University of Maia, 4475-690 Maia, Portugal
- ⁴ Interdisciplinary Research Department, University Center ICESP, Brasília 71961-540, Brazil; aparecido.ferreira@icesp.edu.br
- ⁵ Post-Graduation Program, Santa Úrsula University, Rio de Janeiro 22231-040, Brazil
- * Correspondence: jviana@umaia.pt; Tel.: +351-229-866070

Abstract: Individuals with chronic kidney disease (CKD) have a systemic inflammatory state. We assessed the effects of exercise on inflammatory markers in individuals with CKD. An electronic search was conducted, including MEDLINE. Experimental clinical trials that investigated the effects of exercise on inflammatory markers in individuals with CKD at all stages were included. Meta-analyses were conducted using the random-effects model and standard mean difference (SMD). Subgroup analyses were performed for resistance, aerobic, and combined exercise interventions. Twenty-nine studies were included in the meta-analyses. Exercise interventions showed significant reductions in C-reactive protein (CRP) (SMD: -0.23; 95% CI: -0.39 to -0.06), interleukin (IL)-6 (SMD: -0.35; 95% CI: -0.57, -0.14), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) (SMD: -0.63, 95% CI: -1.01, -0.25) when compared with the controls. IL-10 levels significantly increased (SMD: 0.66, 95% CI: 0.09, 1.23) with exercise interventions. Resistance interventions significantly decreased CRP (SMD: -0.39, 95% CI: -0.69, -0.09) and TNF-α (SMD: -0.72, 95% CI: -1.20, -0.23) levels, while increasing IL-10 levels (SMD: 0.57, 95% CI: 0.04, 1.09). Aerobic interventions only significantly reduced IL-6 levels (SMD: -0.26, 95% CI: -0.51, -0.01). No significant changes in any inflammatory markers were observed with combined exercise interventions. Exercise interventions are effective as an anti-inflammatory therapy in individuals with CKD compared to usual care control groups. Resistance interventions seem to promote greater anti-inflammatory effects.

Keywords: kidney failure; dialysis; cytokines; inflammation; resistance exercise; aerobic exercise

1. Introduction

Individuals with CKD have a systemic inflammatory condition characterized by persistent alterations in circulating inflammatory markers, which may result in several adverse outcomes, such as cardiovascular disease, protein-energy wasting, anemia, atherosclerosis, bone diseases, morbidity, and mortality [1–6]. The persistent chronic systemic inflammation in individuals with CKD may be caused by several factors, including the high production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, oxidative stress, metabolic acidosis, chronic and recurrent infections, and a disorder of adipose tissue metabolism [1,3,7,8].

Inflammatory markers, such as the interleukin (IL) family (e.g., IL-1 β , IL-1 receptor antagonist, IL-6), tumoral necrosis factor-alpha (TNF)- α , and c-reactive protein (CRP),



Citation: Baião, V.M.; Cunha, V.A.; Duarte, M.P.; Andrade, F.P.; Ferreira, A.P.; Nóbrega, O.T.; Viana, J.L.; Ribeiro, H.S. Effects of Exercise on Inflammatory Markers in Individuals with Chronic Kidney Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Metabolites* **2023**, *13*, 795. https://doi.org/10.3390/ metabo13070795

Academic Editor: Jieun Oh

Received: 23 May 2023 Revised: 19 June 2023 Accepted: 23 June 2023 Published: 27 June 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). are inversely associated with kidney function and positively with albuminuria [1]. Moreover, skeletal muscle is an endocrine organ producing and releasing both pro- and antiinflammatory cytokines (i.e., myokines). The skeletal muscle contraction releases myokines, which exert specific systemic endocrine effects, modulating the global inflammatory condition [9,10].

Several strategies have been proposed to attenuate the inflammation in CKD, including optimal dialysis treatment and lifestyle modifications [11,12]. Engagement in exercise programs is an example of a lifestyle modification and the effects have been reported to provide improvements or maintenance in inflammatory markers [13–16]. After acute exercise bouts, there is an increase in circulating levels of IL-6 myokine, which promotes a proinflammatory condition; however, the increased myokine IL-6 has a positive and transient effect triggering the release of IL-10, which is an anti-inflammatory cytokine [10,17].

There is scientific evidence showing that different types of exercise interventions might play an important role in attenuating the chronic inflammatory condition in CKD [10,18–21]. However, no previous systematic review and meta-analysis has synthesized the effects of different exercise interventions on inflammatory markers (i.e., CRP, IL-6, IL-10, and TNF- α) across the wide spectrum of CKD. Thus, the present study aimed to assess the effects of exercise interventions on inflammatory markers in the whole spectrum of CKD.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Registration and Protocol

This study was registered at the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (CRD42020207830). The systematic review was performed using the **PICOS** framework: individuals with CKD (**P**opulation); exercise (Intervention); usual care control (**C**omparison); inflammatory markers (i.e., CRP, IL-6, IL-10, and TNF- α) (**O**utcomes); experimental clinical trials (**S**tudy design). Also, we followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [22] and Cochrane Collaboration recommendation statements [23].

2.2. Eligibility Criteria

Only experimental clinical trials, randomized or not, were included. We investigated the effects of different types of exercise interventions that lasted \geq 4 weeks and that evaluated the effects of exercise on inflammatory cytokines in CKD adults (\geq 18 years). Exercise was considered an intervention usually prescribed to improve or maintain fitness and/or health. We included aerobic, resistance, and combined (aerobic and resistance exercise) interventions. Inflammatory cytokines were considered a type of protein that is made by certain immune and non-immune cells and influences the immune system. Due to the enormous number of inflammatory cytokines, we have chosen the most commonly used [1,24]: CRP, high sensitivity (hs)-CRP, IL-6, IL-10, and TNF- α . The following exclusion criteria were considered: animal studies, dietary and/or pharmacological interventions, conference abstracts, thesis, letters to the editor, and case reports.

2.3. Search Strategy

A systematic search was performed for two independent authors (V.A.C. and V.M.B) at MEDLINE, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, and LILACS from inception until January 2021. A search strategy was developed for each database using a combination of free text and controlled vocabulary terms (Table S1). We used search terms related to CKD, exercise, and inflammatory cytokines. No language and date restrictions were set. The reference list of the final selected articles was consulted to find possible additional studies. An update search was conducted in January 2022.

2.4. Study Selection

Two independent authors (V.A.C. and V.M.B) screened titles and abstracts to identify potential studies and judged those to be included after a full-text reading. Disagreements

and conflicts were resolved by consensus, and if necessary, a third reviewer was consulted (H.S.R). The duplicate items identified after searching the databases were removed. We performed all selection steps using the Start software (v. 3.4. Beta 03, UFSCar, São Carlos, Brazil).

2.5. Data Extraction

The main reviewer (V.A.C) performed data extraction of the selected studies and a double-check was conducted by a second reviewer (V.M.B). The following information was extracted: country, age, sample size, CKD stage, kidney replacement therapy modalities (if applicable), setting, types of exercise, intervention duration and frequency, and inflammatory markers evaluated. The continuous outcome data (i.e., inflammatory cytokines) were extracted to perform the quantitative synthesis. All data were entered into a database on an Excel spreadsheet.

2.6. Methodological Assessment

2.6.1. PEDro

The methodological quality of the included studies was scored using the PEDro scale to assess the risk of bias by two independent authors (V.A.C. and V.M.B). PEDro rates clinical trials from 0 (low quality) to 10 (high quality). A score \geq 6 was classified as high-quality, while trials with a score <6 were classified as low-quality [25].

2.6.2. Quality of Evidence

The quality of evidence was evaluated according to the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) criteria [26] and the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [23]. For each outcome, the quality of evidence was based on five factors: (1) risk of bias; (2) consistency; (3) directness; (4) precision; and (5) publication bias. The levels of evidence were characterized as high, moderate, low, or very low.

2.7. Data Analysis

We conducted meta-analyses to determine pooled-effect changes in inflammatory markers pre and post intervention by calculating the standardized mean difference (SMD) between the exercise group and usual care control groups with a 95% of confidence interval (CI). The random effects were considered for the meta-analyses due to the variations in the CKD stages, types of exercises, intervention parameters, and settings. The random effects may incorporate better each study variability and minimize the likelihood of type I errors [27]. Subgroup meta-analyses were conducted for resistance, aerobic, and combined exercise interventions. The heterogeneity was assessed by the I² statistic [23]. An I² statistic of 0% to 40% might not be important, 30% to 60% may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90% may represent substantial heterogeneity, and 75% to 100% considerable heterogeneity [23]. In addition, meta-regression subgroup analyses were performed for identifying the source of heterogeneity. When there were enough studies to pool, dialysis was compared to non-dialysis individuals, intervention length <16 (median value) versus \geq 16 weeks, and sample size \geq 41 (median value) versus <41 individuals. Funnel plots were built for visual inspection to assess possible publication bias. All meta-analyses were performed using Review Manager (version 5.4, the Cochrane Collaboration, 2020), and additional statistical analyses were performed with Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 26.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection

The search strategy retrieved 1728 potentially eligible studies (Figure 1). After eligibility criteria, 30 studies [4,13,14,18–21,28–50] were included and one study did not show enough data to be included in the meta-analysis [44].



Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart.

3.2. Characteristics of the Included Studies

3.2.1. Participants

The studies included in the review were mainly from America (56.7%), followed by Asia (23.3%). All studies represented a total of 1471 individuals with CKD. Hemodialysis was the most prevalent dialysis modality (22 studies: 73.3%). The number of individuals with CKD ranged from 11 to 170, the mean age ranged from 39 to 67 years, and the length of follow-up ranged from 8 to 96 weeks (Table S2).

3.2.2. Intervention

Resistance (11 studies, 36.7%) and aerobic interventions (12 studies, 40.0%) were the most performed, followed by combined (seven studies, 23.3%). Information about the intensity, frequency, and setting of the exercise interventions may be seen in Table S2. The exercise intensities were monitored in different ways. Aerobic and resistance interventions were mainly monitored by the Borg scale and the percentage of maximum repetitions, respectively. Regarding the exercise frequency, 90.0% performed \leq 3 days per week (25 studies). The most prevalent setting was intradialytic (*n* = 19, 63.3%).

3.2.3. Methodological Quality

Based on PEDro scale, six studies were classified as having a high-quality methodology. Furthermore, 14 studies had a sample loss lower than 85% (Table S3).

3.2.4. Quality of Evidence

According to the GRADE criteria, the quality of evidence for the outcomes ranged from very low to moderate and can be seen in Supporting Tables S4–S7.

3.2.5. Publication Bias

The funnel plots for each outcome did not display overt asymmetries by visual inspection, except for the IL-10 outcome (Supporting Figures S1–S4). CRP and hs-CRP were evaluated in 70.0% (932 individuals), IL-6 in 56.7% (715 individuals), IL-10 in 39.0% (629 individuals), and TNF- α in 33.3% of the studies (558 individuals) (Table S2).

3.3. Interventions Effects

Table 1 shows the meta-analyses performed through the random-effects model investigating the effects of exercise interventions on CRP, hs-CRP, IL-6, IL-10, and TNF- α levels in individuals with CKD. In addition, Table S8 presents the meta-analyses performed with the fixed-effects model.

Table 1. Meta-analyses performed in the review on the effects of exercise on CRP, IL-6, IL-10, and TNF- α levels.

Exercise Intervention	Studies	Individuals	Std. Mean Difference (95% CI)	Heterogeneity (i2, %)
Pooled exercise interventi	ons			
CRP	21	932	-0.26 (-0.45 to -0.08)	44
IL-6	16	715	-0.29 (-0.50 to -0.07)	44
IL-10	11	629	0.52 (0.04 to 1.00)	87
TNF-α	11	558	-0.48 (-0.84 to -0.12)	74
Aerobic interventions				
CRP	10	383	-0.23 (-0.74 to 0.01)	65
IL-6	7	296	-0.20 (-0.48 to 0.08)	28
IL-10	5	239	0.28 (-0.31 to 0.87)	78
TNF-α	3	122	-0.34 (-0.84 to 0.16)	55
Resistance				
interventions				
CRP	7	269	-0.39 (-0.69 to -0.09)	27
IL-6	6	361	-0.39 (-0.78 to 0.01)	66
IL-10	6	390	0.69 (0.00 to 1.37)	89
TNF-α	5	359	-0.72 (-1.20 to -0.23)	77
Combined interventions				
CRP	6	280	-0.05 (-0.29 to 0.18)	0
IL-6	3	58	-0.16 (-0.68 to 0.36)	0
IL-10	0	0	-	-
TNF-α	2	37	0.16 (-0.49 to 0.81)	0

IL = interleukin; TNF- α = Tumor necrosis factor-alpha; CRP = C reactive protein; CI = confidence interval; SMD: standard mean difference.

3.3.1. Exercise Effects on C-Reactive Protein

CRP and hs-CRP were merged for analysis. Figure 2 shows a significant CRP reduction after exercise interventions (21 studies; SMD: -0.26; 95% CI: -0.45 to -0.08; I² = 44%; very low evidence quality). A significant CRP reduction was also seen after resistance interventions (seven studies; SMD: -0.39; 95% CI: -0.69 to -0.09; low evidence quality). There was no significant change after aerobic and combined exercise interventions (Figure 2).

Subgroup analyses indicated a significant reduction in CRP levels among hemodialysis patients compared to control groups (15 studies; SMD: -0.30; 95% CI: -0.54 to -0.05; p = 0.55), but the same was not found in non-dialysis individuals (six studies; SMD: -0.18; 95% CI: -0.45 to 0.08; p = 0.55). Exercise interventions that lasted <16 weeks showed a significant reduction (eight studies; SMD: -0.55; 95% CI: -0.94 to -0.17; p = 0.03) compared to control groups, whereas exercise interventions ≥ 16 weeks did not show a significant change (13 studies; SMD: -0.09; 95% CI: -0.27 to 0.09; p = 0.03). Interventions with a larger sample size (≥ 41 versus <41 individuals) did not show a significant effect in CRP (21 studies; SMD: -0.14; 95% CI: -0.35 to 0.07; p = 0.91).

	Experimental			Control				Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 Aerobic intervention	s									
Leehey et al. (2009)	0.34	0.92	7	-0.53	0.71	4	1.7%	0.93 [-0.39, 2.25]	2009	
Wilund et al. (2010)	-0.2	0.67	8	-0.3	0.69	9	2.8%	0.14 [-0.81, 1.09]	2010	
Afshar et al. (2010)	-4.57	0.59	7	0.06	3.87	7	1.9%	-1.57 [-2.82, -0.31]	2010	
Afshar et al. (2011)	-4.62	0.66	14	0.05	3.9	14	3.2%	-1.62 [-2.49, -0.75]	2011	
Viana et al. (2014)	-0.9	2.5	13	0.6	2.4	11	3.5%	-0.59 [-1.41, 0.23]	2014	
Headley et al. (2014)	-0.1	4.5	25	-0.2	3.3	21	5.3%	0.02 [-0.56, 0.60]	2014	
Liao et al. (2016)	-0.47	0.83	20	-0.01	0.21	20	4.8%	-0.74 [-1.39, -0.10]	2016	
Silva et al. (2019)	-0.1	0.2	15	0.3	0.89	15	4.1%	-0.60 [-1.34, 0.13]	2019	
Suhardjono et al. (2019)	-0.12	59.79	42	-0.27	122.59	39	6.8%	0.00 [-0.43, 0.44]	2019	
March et al. (2022)	1.83	33.3	46	0.7	18.39	46	7.2%	0.04 [-0.37, 0.45]	2022	
Subtotal (95% CI)			197			186	41.2 %	-0.37 [-0.74, 0.01]		◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.21	; Chi ² = :	25.39, d1	f = 9 (F	= 0.00	3); I² = 65	%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	.92 (P =	0.05)								
1.1.2 Resistance intervent	tions									
Castaneda et al. (2004)	-1.7	6	14	1.5	6	12	3.7%	-0.52 [-1.30, 0.27]	2004	
Cheema et al. (2007)	-0.08	0.37	24	0.24	0.37	25	5.2%	-0.85 [-1.44, -0.26]	2007	
Afshar et al. (2010)	-4.8	1.79	7	0.06	3.87	7	1.9%	-1.51 [-2.75, -0.27]	2010	
Pellizzaro et al. (2013)	-0.73	6.07	14	0.23	4.4	14	4.0%	-0.18 [-0.92, 0.57]	2013	
Abreu et al. (2017)	-1.9	4.4	25	-0.14	7.5	19	5.1%	-0.29 [-0.89, 0.31]	2017	
Cheng et al. (2019)	-0.03	0.36	16	-0.01	0.27	51	5.5%	-0.07 [-0.63, 0.49]	2019	
Dong et al. (2019)	-0.07	1.73	21	0.19	3.81	20	5.0%	-0.09 [-0.70, 0.53]	2019	
Subtotal (95% CI)			121			148	30.5 %	-0.39 [-0.69, -0.09]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04	; Chi² = l	8.23, df=	= 6 (P :	= 0.22);	I ² = 27%					
Test for overall effect: Z = 2	.54 (P =	0.01)								
4 4 2 Combined intervention										
1.1.3 Complined Interventio	ons									
Kopple et al. (2007)	1.2	2.1	12	0.7	0.8	14	3.8%	0.31 [-0.46, 1.09]	2007	
Oliveros et al. (2011)	0	1.48	5	-0.72	43.3	6	2.0%	0.02 [-1.17, 1.21]	2011	
Headley et al. (2012)	-0.16	1.85	10	-0.18	3.69	11	3.3%	0.01 [-0.85, 0.86]	2012	
Frih et al. (2017)	0	1.2	21	-0.1	1.4	20	5.0%	0.08 [-0.54, 0.69]	2017	
Barcellos et al. (2018)	-0.53	4.07	56	2.2	15.7	47	7.4%	-0.25 [-0.64, 0.14]	2018	
Suhardjono et al. (2019) Subtotal (95% CI)	-1	38.44	39 143	-0.27	122.59	39 137	6.7% 28.3 %	-0.01 [-0.45, 0.44] - 0.05 [-0.29, 0.18]	2019	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00	; Chi ^z = :	2.05, df=	= 5 (P =	= 0.84);	I ² = 0%					
Test for overall effect: Z = 0	.42 (P =	0.67)								
Total (95% CI)			461			471	100.0%	-0.26 [-0.45, -0.08]		◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.08; Chi ² = 39.19, df = 22 (P = 0.01); l ² = 44%										
Test for overall effect: Z = 2	.76 (P =	0.006)								Favours (experimental) Favours (control)
Test for subgroup difference	es: Chi ^a	²= 3.82,	df = 2	(P = 0.1)	5), l ² = 43	7.6%				faither

Figure 2. Forest plot of the difference in C-reactive protein (CRP) between exercise interventions and controls.

3.3.2. Exercise Effects on Interleukin 6

The meta-analysis in Figure 3 showed a significant IL-6 reduction in pooled exercise interventions (16 studies; SMD: -0.29; 95% CI: -0.50 to -0.07; I² = 44% low evidence quality). However, in the subgroup analyses, there were no significant changes after aerobic, resistance, and combined exercise interventions. In subgroup analyses, non-dialysis individuals who performed exercise showed a significant reduction (five studies; SMD: -0.64; 95% CI: -1.01 to -0.27; p = 0.02) compared to control groups, but the same was not found in those dialysis individuals (10 studies; SMD: 0.01; 95% CI: -0.39 to 0.41; p = 0.02).

Exercise interventions ≥ 16 weeks showed a significant IL-6 reduction (ten studies; SMD: -0.33; 95% CI: -0.58 to -0.07; p = 0.81) compared to control groups, whereas exercise interventions <16 weeks did not show a significant change (six studies; SMD: -0.28; 95% CI: -0.62 to 0.07; p = 0.81). Studies with a sample size of <41 individuals showed a significant IL-6 reduction after the exercise interventions (ten studies; SMD: -0.31; 95% CI: -0.55 to -0.06; p = 0.95) compared to control groups, while those with ≥ 41 individuals did not show a significant change (six studies; SMD: -0.29; 95% CI: -0.65 to 0.06; p = 0.95).

	Expe	erimental			Control		Std. Mean Difference			Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
2.1.1 Aerobic interventions											
Wilund et al. (2010)	-0.4	0.66	8	-0.4	0.44	9	3.8%	0.00 [-0.95, 0.95]	2010		
Viana et al. (2014)	-2.2	4.3	13	0.4	4.1	11	4.7%	-0.60 [-1.42, 0.23]	2014		
Liao et al. (2016)	-0.75	2.95	20	0.33	2.14	20	6.6%	-0.41 [-1.04, 0.22]	2016		
Alp Ikizler et al. (2018)	-0.2	1.6	27	0.23	2.6	26	7.8%	-0.20 [-0.74, 0.34]	2018		
Cruz et al. (2018)	-3.77	3.4	15	0.29	5.55	15	5.3%	-0.86 [-1.61, -0.11]	2018	_	
Highton et al. (2021)	1,204,541	4,135,767	20	82,996	956,521	20	6.6%	0.37 [-0.26, 0.99]	2021	+	
March et al. (2022)	0.05	2.73	46	0.09	2.31	46	9.8%	-0.02 [-0.42, 0.39]	2022		
Subtotal (95% CI)			149			147	44.6 %	-0.20 [-0.48, 0.08]		•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04	4; Chi² = 8.31	, df = 6 (P =	0.22);1	≈ = 28%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	1.37 (P = 0.1)	7)									
2.1.2 Resistance interver	ntions										
Castaneda et al. (2004)	-4.4	6.5	14	2.3	9.8	12	4.8%	-0.79 [-1.60, 0.01]	2004		
Cheema et al. (2011)	0.1	10.22	13	-0.13	66.96	18	5.7%	0.00 [-0.71, 0.72]	2011		
Dong et al. (2019)	0.57	4.57	21	0.82	4.22	20	6.8%	-0.06 [-0.67, 0.56]	2019		
Lopes et al. (2019)	0.1	0.8	16	-0.1	0.7	20	6.2%	0.26 [-0.40, 0.92]	2019	_ _	
Moura et al. (2020)	-0.49	0.87	81	-0.02	0.99	76	11.5%	-0.50 [-0.82, -0.18]	2020		
Correa et al. (2021)	-2.93	3.68	35	0.88	3.21	35	8.3%	-1.09 [-1.60, -0.59]	2021	_ -	
Subtotal (95% CI)			180			181	43.3%	-0.39 [-0.78, 0.01]		◆	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.15	5; Chi ² = 14.6	3, df = 5 (P :	= 0.01);	I ² = 66%							
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.91 (P = 0.0	6)									
2.1.3 Combined intervent	ions										
Kopple et al. (2007)	-0.2	0.5	12	-0.2	0.8	14	51%	0 00 [-0 77 0 77]	2007		
Oliveros et al. (2011)	0.39	1.87	5	0.37	12.55	6	2.7%	0.00[-1.18_1.19]	2011		
Headley et al. (2012)	-0.53	2.01	10	0.37	1.84	11	4.3%	-0.45[-1.32_0.42]	2012		
Subtotal (95% CI)			27			31	12.1%	-0.16 [-0.68, 0.36]		+	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00: Chi ² = 0.66, df = 2 (P = 0.72); l ² = 0%											
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.60 (P = 0.55)											
Total (95% CI)			356			359	100.0%	-0.29 [-0.50, -0.07]		•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.08; Chi ² = 26.95, df = 15 (P = 0.03); I ² = 44%											
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.62 (P = 0.009)									-4 -2 U Z 4 Eavours (experimental) Eavours (control)		
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 0.71. df = 2 (P = 0.70). I ² = 0%											

Figure 3. Forest plot of the difference in interleukin-6 (IL-6) between exercise interventions and controls.

3.3.3. Exercise Effects on Interleukin 10

The meta-analysis in Figure 4 showed a significant increase in IL-10 after exercise interventions (11 studies; SMD: 0.52, 95% CI: 0.04 to 1.00; $I^2 = 87\%$; very low evidence quality). In the subgroup analyses, there was a significant increase after resistance interventions (SMD: 0.69, 95% CI: 0.00 to 1.37; very low evidence quality). However, aerobic interventions did not show a significant change (SMD: 0.28, 95% CI: -0.31 to 0.87; very low evidence quality). There was not enough evidence for combined interventions (Figure 4).

	Experimental			Control				Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl		
3.1.1 Aerobic intervention	ons											
Viana et al. (2014)	1.5	2.7	13	-0.5	1.5	11	8.0%	0.86 [0.02, 1.71]	2014			
Cruz et al. (2018)	1.55	1.58	15	-0.13	0.58	15	8.2%	1.37 [0.57, 2.18]	2018			
Alp Ikizler et al. (2018)	-0.37	1.38	27	-0.12	2.58	26	9.4%	-0.12 [-0.66, 0.42]	2018			
Highton et al. (2021)	22,734	100,563	20	5,572	634,929	20	9.1%	0.04 [-0.58, 0.66]	2021	+		
March et al. (2022)	-0.09	0.24	46	0.03	0.37	46	9.9%	-0.38 [-0.79, 0.03]	2022			
Subtotal (95% CI)			121			118	44.7%	0.28 [-0.31, 0.87]		◆		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.3	34; Chi ² =	18.47, df	= 4 (P =	= 0.0010	0); I² = 78%	6						
Test for overall effect: Z =	: 0.94 (P =	= 0.35)										
3.1.2 Resistance interve	entions											
Cheema et al. (2011)	0.03	13.41	13	0.07	2.44	18	8.7%	-0.00 [-0.72, 0.71]	2011			
Dong et al. (2019)	0.18	0.61	21	0.14	0.55	20	9.1%	0.07 [-0.55, 0.68]	2019			
Lopes et al. (2019)	-0.1	0.6	16	0	0.5	20	8.9%	-0.18 [-0.84, 0.48]	2019			
Correa et al. (2020)	3.06	2.7	30	0.17	2.58	25	9.3%	1.08 [0.51, 1.65]	2020			
Moura et al. (2020)	2.74	3.01	81	0.56	3.26	76	10.2%	0.69 [0.37, 1.01]	2020	+		
Correa et al. (2021)	3.62	1.75	35	-0.21	1.36	35	9.1%	2.42 [1.79, 3.04]	2021			
Subtotal (95% CI)			196			194	55.3%	0.69 [0.00, 1.37]		◆		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.8	63; Chi ² =	45.41, df	= 5 (P ·	< 0.0000	01); I² = 89	%						
Test for overall effect: Z =	: 1.97 (P =	= 0.05)										
Total (95% CI)			317			312	100.0%	0.52 [0.04, 1.00]		◆		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.5	56; Chi ² =	79.08, df	= 10 (F	< 0.000	001); I ² = 8	7%						
Test for overall effect: Z =	: 2.11 (P =	= 0.03)								-4 -2 U 2 4 Eavours (control) Eavours (evnerimental)		
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 0.77, df = 1 (P = 0.38), l ² = 0%										r avours (control) - r avours (experimental)		

7 of 14

Figure 4. Forest plot of the difference in interleukin-10 (IL-10) between exercise interventions and controls.

There were no significant differences in subgroup analyses according to dialysis and non-dialysis treatments (seven studies; SMD: 0.36; 95% CI: -0.12 to 0.84; p = 0.42); ≥ 16 and <16 weeks of exercise interventions (five studies; SMD: 0.46; 95% CI: -0.14 to 1.05; p = 0.82); and sample size of ≥ 41 and <41 individuals (four studies; SMD: 0.53; 95% CI: -0.12 to 1.18; p = 0.95).

3.3.4. Exercise Effects on Tumor Necrosis Factor-Alpha

Figure 5 showed a significant reduction after pooled exercise interventions (11 studies; SMD: -0.48, 95% CI: -0.84 to -0.12; I² = 74%; moderate evidence quality). A significant reduction in TNF- α after resistance interventions was also seen (SMD: -0.72, 95% CI: -1.20 to -0.23; moderate evidence quality), whereas aerobic interventions (SMD: -0.34, 95% CI: -0.84 to 0.16; very low evidence quality) and combined interventions (SMD: -0.02, 95% CI: -1.21 to 1.17; very low evidence quality) did not show significant changes.

	Experimental			(Control		9	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl		
4.1.1 Aerobic intervent	tions										
Cruz et al. (2018)	-2.08	2.57	15	1.26	3.87	15	8.8%	-0.99 [-1.75, -0.22]	_ 		
Highton et al. (2021)	2,085	2,367,877	20	-107,381	1,785,879	20	10.1%	0.05 [-0.57, 0.67]	- + -		
March et al. (2022)	-0.62	1.37	46	-0.2	2	46	12.2%	-0.24 [-0.65, 0.17]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			81			81	31.1%	-0.34 [-0.84, 0.16]	◆		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.11; Chi ² = 4.43, df = 2 (P = 0.11); I ² = 55%											
Test for overall effect: Z	(= 1.32	P = 0.19)									
4.1.2 Resistance inter	ventions	;									
Correa et al. (2020)	-2.87	4.78	30	1.37	6.25	25	10.8%	-0.76 [-1.31, -0.21]			
Correa et al. (2021)	-5.18	4.73	35	1.73	4.14	35	10.9%	-1.54 [-2.07, -1.00]			
Dong et al. (2019)	-0.03	6.26	21	1.28	8.77	20	10.2%	-0.17 [-0.78, 0.44]			
Lopes et al. (2019)	0.5	9.2	16	0.6	2.9	20	9.8%	-0.02 [-0.67, 0.64]			
Moura et al. (2020)	-5.42	5.7	81	0.35	6.45	76	12.9%	-0.95 [-1.28, -0.61]	-		
Subtotal (95% CI)			183			176	54.6%	-0.72 [-1.20, -0.23]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0).23; Chi	² = 17.53, df	'= 4 (P :	= 0.002); I ²	= 77%						
Test for overall effect: Z	:= 2.89 (P = 0.004)									
4.1.3 Combined interv	entions										
Kopple et al. (2007)	0.1	0.5	12	0	0.3	14	8.7%	0.24 (-0.53, 1.01)			
Oliveros et al. (2011)	-0.1	11.04	5	0.32	23.93	6	5.6%	-0.02 [-1.21, 1.17]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			17			20	14.3%	0.16 [-0.49, 0.81]	◆		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0).00; Chi	² = 0.13, df =	= 1 (P =	0.72); $l^2 = 0$	0%						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.49$ (P = 0.62)											
Total (95% CI)			281			277	100.0%	-0.48 [-0.84, -0.12]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0).23: Chi	² = 34.72. df	'= 9 (P	< 0.0001);	I² = 74%			- / -			
Test for overall effect $7 = 2.62 (P = 0.009)$											
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 4.55, df = 2 (P = 0.10), I ² = 56.1%											

Figure 5. Forest plot of the difference in tumoral necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) between exercise interventions and controls.

In subgroup analyses, both dialysis and non-dialysis subgroups did not show a significant effect in TNF- α after exercise interventions ≥ 16 weeks (six studies; SMD: -0.47; 95% CI: -1.01 to 0.06; p = 0.99). However, exercise interventions <16 weeks (four studies; SMD: -0.47; 95% CI: -0.97; 0.03; p = 0.03) showed a significant TNF- α reduction. In addition, studies with a sample size of ≥ 41 individuals showed a significant TNF- α reduction (six studies; SMD: -0.63; 95% CI: -1.07 to -0.20; p = 0.23) after the exercise interventions, while those with <41 individuals (four studies; SMD: -0.19; 95% CI: -0.76 to 0.38; p = 0.23) did not show a significant change between exercise interventions and control groups.

4. Discussion

4.1. Main Findings

The present systematic review and meta-analyses revealed that exercise interventions have important anti-inflammatory effects in the wide spectrum of CKD. In addition, resis-

tance interventions resulted in greater anti-inflammatory effects compared to other exercise modalities such as aerobic and combined interventions. Subgroup analyses according to the CKD stage and length of intervention revealed that CRP only decreased in dialysis individuals, IL-6 levels only decreased in studies with a larger sample size (\geq 41 individuals), and TNF-a levels only decreased in the exercise interventions with longer duration (\geq 16 weeks).

4.2. Interventions Effects

4.2.1. C-Reactive Protein

Our meta-analysis revealed a reduction in CRP after pooled exercise interventions, which is important because higher CRP values are associated with mortality risk in individuals with CKD [2,51]. However, the subgroup analysis revealed that only resistance exercise interventions were able to reduce CRP. According to the literature, resistance exercise interventions are more effective than aerobic exercise interventions to promote an increase in strength and muscle mass [52,53]. In addition, there is scientific evidence revealing a negative association of CRP with strength and muscle mass [54].

Also, the subgroup analysis showed a reduction in CRP levels only among dialysis. End-stage kidney disease leads to higher CRP levels due to chronic inflammation [55,56], suggesting that dialysis individuals may exhibit greater sensitivity to changes in CRP levels through exercise interventions. However, non-dialysis patients with lower CRP levels may cause less sensitivity to CRP variations. Corroborating with our findings, the systematic review of Wu et al. [57] revealed that exercise in non-dialysis individuals also did not reduce CRP values.

4.2.2. Interleukin 6

Our meta-analysis showed a significant reduction in IL-6 after pooled exercise interventions. IL-6 is considered an acute anti-inflammatory cytokine, whereas chronically, it may be pro-inflammatory [58]. Muscle mechanical stress generated by acute bouts of exercise increases IL-6 levels [10,17]; however, this is followed by an increase in anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1ra and IL-10 [17,59]. Previous evidence has shown that high IL-6 values are associated with the progression of coronary artery calcification and mortality in dialysis individuals [60]. Thus, we believe that the decrease in IL-6 values promoted by exercise interventions may lead to a chronic improvement in the inflammatory condition in individuals with CKD [10,61], as well as a protective effect on cardiovascular outcomes, such as coronary artery calcification.

Our subgroup analysis showed a significant IL-6 reduction only in non-dialysis individuals. Many factors may act to reinforce the inflammatory state in dialysis individuals (i.e., uremia and dialysis adequacy per se) [11,62]. In addition, according to the study by Dungey et al. [63], the uremia present in dialysis individuals inhibits the pathway for exercise-induced cytokines secretion, i.e., IL-6 myokine. Another important finding of the subgroup meta-regression was that only studies with longer periods of intervention reduced IL-6. So, we believe that individuals with CKD need more time to become adapted to the exercise interventions and experience their benefits.

In addition, our subgroup analysis also revealed that studies with <41 individuals reduced IL-6 more than studies with \geq 41 individuals, which may be explained by the fact that 70.0% of studies with \geq 41 individuals were performed with dialysis individuals, revealing a possible interference of dialysis in the effect of exercise on inflammatory markers.

4.2.3. Interleukin 10

The IL-10 values increased after pooled exercise interventions, which is a positive effect, as IL-10 is an anti-inflammatory marker that inhibits the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-1 α , IL-1 β , and TNF- α) [17,21,64]. In addition, according to the subgroup analysis, it seems that only resistance interventions were able to increase IL-10 values. The resistance interventions promote a higher hypertrophic effect than aerobic interventions

tions [52], which may induce a higher increase in IL-10 levels mediated by IL-6 myokine production [17,65].

4.2.4. Tumor Necrosis Factor-Alpha

Our results reveled a significant reduction in TNF- α after pooled exercise interventions. This finding may benefit non-dialysis individuals because high levels of TNF- α are inversely associated with kidney function [55]. Furthermore, the interventions subgroup analysis showed a reduction in TNF- α only in resistance interventions. The hypertrophic effect promoted by the resistance exercise interventions caused not only an increase in IL-10 as previously explained but also in the soluble TNF receptor, which inhibits the production of TNF- α [10,17,65]. Another important finding of our subgroup analysis was the fact that, regardless of the duration of the intervention, there was a decrease in TNF- α , revealing that even short periods of intervention may be effective on TNF- α modulation. Possibly, this change has a greater magnitude in the first weeks when the body is adapting to the new stimuli promoted by exercise and, after this initial period, there is an adaptation to the intervention and it therefore no longer brings significant variations, since according to the principle of progressive overload, the body needs training with loads greater than those to which it is adapted [66]. In addition, only studies with \geq 41 individuals showed a significant reduction in TNF.

4.3. Clinical Applicability

The findings from our systematic review evidence the important role of exercise interventions on inflammatory markers. As we have recently published [67], exercise is becoming part of the routine care of this population and our results here put light on very relevant clinical outcomes. We, therefore, highlight the importance of applying exercise training principles, especially the progression over time [67], to possibly optimize anti-inflammatory effects. Lastly, we believe that more studies are needed to explore and understand the effects of different exercise intensities and volumes on inflammatory markers in individuals with CKD, especially those non-dialysis-dependent and -transplanted.

4.4. Strengths and Limitations

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis that provides the effects of different types of exercise interventions on many inflammatory markers (CRP, IL-6, IL-10, and TNF- α) in different CKD stages. Our review had a large number of individuals (n = 1603) and described the details of each study included, followed a strict methodological standard, and showed the methodological quality of studies and the quality of evidence. Moreover, we explored the causes of heterogeneity by conducting multiple subgroup analyses.

Yet, some limitations in our study must be recognized, such as the high heterogeneity that may have been caused by the wide variation in exercise interventions, different settings and stages of CKD, and the variation among the studies in the methods to measure the inflammatory markers.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our findings reveal the potential anti-inflammatory effects of exercise interventions in individuals with CKD. Furthermore, resistance exercise may be more effective in reducing TNF- α and CRP levels and increasing IL-10 levels. Thus, resistance exercise interventions must be recognized as the primary exercise type to be prescribed when targeting anti-inflammatory effects in individuals with CKD.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/metabo13070795/s1. Table S1. Search strategy; Table S2. Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials; Table S3. PEDro scale; Table S4. GRADE analysis in comparison to the exercise intervention and usual care control groups; Table S5. GRADE analysis in comparison to the aerobic exercise intervention and usual care control groups; Table S6. GRADE analysis in comparison to the resistance exercise intervention and usual care control groups; Table S7. GRADE analysis in comparison to the resistance exercise intervention and usual care control groups; Table S7. GRADE analysis in comparison to the combined exercise intervention and usual care control groups; Table S8. Fixed-effects model meta-analyses performed in the review showing the effect of exercise on CRP, IL-6, IL-10, and TNF- α levels compared to control group; Figure S1. Funnel plot of the difference in C-Reactive Protein (CRP) between exercise intervention types; Figure S2. Funnel plot of the difference in Interleukin-6 (IL-6) between exercise intervention types; Figure S3. Funnel plot of the difference in Interleukin-10 (IL-10) between exercise intervention types; Figure S4. Funnel plot of the difference in Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF- α) between exercise intervention types; Figure S4. Funnel

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.M.B., V.A.C. and H.S.R.; methodology, V.M.B., V.A.C., F.P.A., O.T.N. and H.S.R.; validation, J.L.V., O.T.N., M.P.D. and A.P.F.; formal analysis, V.M.B. and V.A.C.; data curation, V.M.B., V.A.C. and H.S.R.; writing—original draft preparation, V.M.B. and V.A.C.; writing—review and editing, F.P.A., M.P.D. and H.S.R.; visualization, J.L.V., O.T.N. and A.P.F.; supervision, J.L.V. and H.S.R.; project administration, O.T.N. and H.S.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available upon request due to privacy.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the Federal University of São Carlos, UFSCar, São Carlos, Brazil, for providing us access to the Start software (v. 3.4. Beta 03).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Akchurin, O.M.; Kaskel, F. Update on inflammation in chronic kidney disease. *Blood Purif.* 2015, 39, 84–92. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bazeley, J.; Bieber, B.; Li, Y.; Morgenstern, H.; de Sequera, P.; Combe, C.; Yamamoto, H.; Gallagher, M.; Port, F.K.; Robinson, B.M. C-Reactive Protein and Prediction of 1-Year Mortality in Prevalent Hemodialysis Patients. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2011, 6, 2452–2461. [CrossRef]
- Mihai, S.; Codrici, E.; Popescu, I.D.; Enciu, A.-M.; Albulescu, L.; Necula, L.G.; Mambet, C.; Anton, G.; Tanase, C. Inflammationrelated mechanisms in chronic kidney disease prediction, progression, and outcome. *J. Immunol. Res.* 2018, 2018, 2180373. [CrossRef]
- Moura, S.R.G.; Corrêa, H.L.; Neves, R.V.P.; Santos, C.A.R.; Neto, L.S.S.; Silva, V.L.; Souza, M.K.; Deus, L.A.; Reis, A.L.; Simões, H.G.; et al. Effects of resistance training on hepcidin levels and iron bioavailability in older individuals with end-stage renal disease: A randomized controlled trial. *Exp. Gerontol.* 2020, 139, 111017. [CrossRef]
- Leal, D.V.; Ferreira, A.; Watson, E.L.; Wilund, K.R.; Viana, J.L. Muscle-Bone Crosstalk in Chronic Kidney Disease: The Potential Modulatory Effects of Exercise. *Calcif. Tissue Int.* 2021, 108, 461–475. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Duarte, M.P.; Ribeiro, H.S.; Neri, S.G.R.; Almeida, L.S.; Oliveira, J.S.; Viana, J.L.; Lima, R.M. Prevalence of low bone mineral density (T-score ≤ -2.5) in the whole spectrum of chronic kidney disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoporos. Int.* 2023, 34, 467–477. [CrossRef]
- Vianna, H.R.; Soares, C.M.B.M.; Tavares, M.S.; Teixeira, M.M.; Silva, A.C.S.e. Inflamação na doença renal crônica: Papel de citocinas. J. Bras. Nefrol. 2011, 33, 351–364. [CrossRef]
- Andrade-Oliveira, V.; Foresto-Neto, O.; Watanabe, I.K.M.; Zatz, R.; Câmara, N.O.S. Inflammation in renal diseases: New and old players. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2019, 10, 1192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Pedersen, B.K. Muscles and their myokines. J. Exp. Biol. 2011, 214, 337–346. [CrossRef]
- 10. Gleeson, M.; Bishop, N.C.; Stensel, D.J.; Lindley, M.R.; Mastana, S.S.; Nimmo, M.A. The anti-inflammatory effects of exercise: Mechanisms and implications for the prevention and treatment of disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2011**, *11*, 607–610. [CrossRef]
- 11. Dai, L.; Golembiewska, E.; Lindholm, B.; Stenvinkel, P. End-Stage Renal Disease, Inflammation and Cardiovascular Outcomes. *Contrib. Nephrol.* **2017**, *191*, 32–43.
- Jesus, J.; Mahmut, C.; Yilmaz, I.; Lindholm, B.; Stenvinkel, P. Cytokine Dysregulation in Chronic Kidney Disease: How Can We Treat It? *Blood Purif.* 2008, 26, 291–299.

- Cheema, B.S.B.; Abas, H.; Smith, B.C.F.; O'sullivan, A.J.; Chan, M.; Patwardhan, A.; Kelly, J.; Gillin, A.; Pang, G.; Lloyd, B.; et al. Effect of resistance training during hemodialysis on circulating cytokines: A randomized controlled trial. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2011, 111, 1437–1445. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Cruz LG da Zanetti, H.R.; Andaki, A.C.R.; Mota GR da Barbosa Neto, O.; Mendes, E.L. Intradialytic aerobic training improves inflammatory markers in patients with chronic kidney disease: A randomized clinical trial. *Mot. Rev. Educ. Física.* 2018, 24, 1–5.
- 15. Mendes, S.; Leal, D.V.; Baker, L.A.; Ferreira, A.; Smith, A.C.; Viana, J.L. The Potential Modulatory Effects of Exercise on Skeletal Muscle Redox Status in Chronic Kidney Disease. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 6017. [CrossRef]
- 16. Bishop, N.C.; Burton, J.O.; Graham-Brown, M.P.M.; Stensel, D.J.; Viana, J.L.; Watson, E.L. Exercise and chronic kidney disease: Potential mechanisms underlying the physiological benefits. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* **2023**, *19*, 244–256. [CrossRef]
- 17. Petersen, A.M.W.; Pedersen, B.K. The anti-inflammatory effect of exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 2005, 98, 1154–1162. [CrossRef]
- 18. Barcellos, F.C.; Del Vecchio, F.B.; Reges, A.; Mielke, G.; Santos, I.S.; Umpierre, D.; Bohlke, M.; Hallal, P. Exercise in patients with hypertension and chronic kidney disease: A randomized controlled trial. *J. Hum. Hypertens.* **2018**, *32*, 397–407. [CrossRef]
- Oliveira ESilva, V.R.; Stringuetta Belik, F.; Hueb, J.C.; de Souza Gonçalves, R.; Costa Teixeira Caramori, J.; Perez Vogt, B.; Barretti, P.; Zanati Bazan, S.G.; De Stefano, G.M.M.F.; Martin, L.C.; et al. Aerobic Exercise Training and Nontraditional Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Hemodialysis Patients: Results from a Prospective Randomized Trial. *Cardiorenal Med.* 2019, *9*, 391–399. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Corrêa, H.L.; Moura, S.R.G.; Neves, R.V.P.; Tzanno-Martins, C.; Souza, M.K.; Haro, A.S.; Costa, F.; Silva, J.A.B.; Stone, W.; Honorato, F.S.; et al. Resistance training improves sleep quality, redox balance and inflammatory profile in maintenance hemodialysis patients: A randomized controlled trial. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*, 11708. [CrossRef]
- Viana, J.L.; Kosmadakis, G.C.; Watson, E.L.; Bevington, A.; Feehally, J.; Bishop, N.C.; Smith, A.C. Evidence for Anti-Inflammatory Effects of Exercise in CKD. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2014, 25, 2121–2130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liberati, A.; Altman, D.G.; Tetzlaff, J.; Mulrow, C.; Gøtzsche, P.C.; Ioannidis, J.P.A.; Clarke, M.; Devereaux, P.J.; Kleijnen, J.; Moher, D. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Med.* 2009, *6*, e1000100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Higgins, J.P.; Green, S.; Higgins, J.P. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions; Higgins, J.P., Green, S., Eds.; Cochrane Book Series; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, UK, 2008.
- 24. Dungey, M.; Hull, K.L.; Smith, A.C.; Burton, J.O.; Bishop, N.C. Inflammatory factors and exercise in chronic kidney disease. *Int. J. Endocrinol.* 2013, 2013, 569831. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Maher, C.G.; Sherrington, C.; Herbert, R.D.; Moseley, A.M.; Elkins, M. Reliability of the PEDro Scale for Rating Quality of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Phys Ther.* 2003, *83*, 713–721. [CrossRef]
- Schünemann, H.; Brożek, J.; Guyatt, G.; Oxman, A. (Eds.) GRADE handbook for grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. The GRADE Working Group, 2013. Available online: https://gdt.gradepro.org/app/handbook/handbook. html (accessed on 10 January 2022).
- Deeks, J.J.; Higgins, J.P.T.; Altman, D.G.; Cochrane Statistical Methods Group. Chapter 10: Analysing Data and Undertaking Meta-Analyses. In *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, UK, 2019; Volume 5.
- Pellizzaro, C.O.; Thomé, F.S.; Veronese, F.V. Effect of peripheral and respiratory muscle training on the functional capacity of hemodialysis patients. *Ren. Fail.* 2013, 35, 189–197. [CrossRef]
- Ikizler, T.A.; Robinson-Cohen, C.; Ellis, C.; Headley, S.A.; Tuttle, K.; Wood, R.J.; Evans, E.E.; Milch, C.M.; Moody, K.A.; Germain, M.; et al. Metabolic Effects of Diet and Exercise in Patients with Moderate to Severe CKD: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2018, *29*, 250–259. [CrossRef]
- 30. Dong, Z.J.; Zhang, H.L.; Yin, L.X. Effects of intradialytic resistance exercise on systemic inflammation in maintenance hemodialysis patients with sarcopenia: A randomized controlled trial. *Int. Urol. Nephrol.* **2019**, *51*, 1415–1424. [CrossRef]
- Lopes, L.C.C.; Mota, J.F.; Prestes, J.; Schincaglia, R.M.; Silva, D.M.; Queiroz, N.P.; Freitas, A.T.V.D.S.; Lira, F.D.S.; Peixoto, M.D.R.G. Intradialytic Resistance Training Improves Functional Capacity and Lean Mass Gain in Individuals on Hemodialysis: A Randomized Pilot Trial. *Arch Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2019, 100, 2151–2158. [CrossRef]
- Suhardjono Umami, V.; Tedjasukmana, D.; Setiati, S. The effect of intradialytic exercise twice a week on the physical capacity, inflammation, and nutritional status of dialysis patients: A randomized controlled trial. *Hemodial. Int.* 2019, 23, 486–493. [CrossRef]
- Headley, S.; Germain, M.; Milch, C.; Pescatello, L.; Coughlin, M.A.; Nindl, B.C.; Cornelius, A.; Sullivan, S.; Gregory, S.; Wood, R. Exercise Training Improves HR Responses and V[·]O2peak in Predialysis Kidney Patients. *Med. Sci. Sport Exerc.* 2012, 44, 2392–2399. [CrossRef]
- Headley, S.; Germain, M.; Wood, R.; Joubert, J.; Milch, C.; Evans, E.; Poindexter, A.; Cornelius, A.; Brewer, B.; Pescatello, L.S.; et al. Short-term aerobic exercise and vascular function in CKD stage 3: A randomized controlled trial. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2014, 64, 222–229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Abreu, C.; Cardozo, L.; Stockler-Pinto, M.; Esgalhado, M.; Barboza, J.; Frauches, R.; Mafra, D. Does resistance exercise performed during dialysis modulate Nrf2 and NF-κB in patients with chronic kidney disease? *Life Sci.* 2017, 188, 192–197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Liao, M.-T.; Liu, W.-C.; Lin, F.-H.; Huang, C.-F.; Chen, S.-Y.; Liu, C.-C.; Lin, S.-H.; Lu, K.-C.; Wu, C.-C. Intradialytic aerobic cycling exercise alleviates inflammation and improves endothelial progenitor cell count and bone density in hemodialysis patients. *Medicine* 2016, 95, e4134. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leehey, D.J.; Moinuddin, I.; Bast, J.P.; Qureshi, S.; Jelinek, C.S.; Cooper, C.; Edwards, L.C.; Smith, B.M.; Collins, E.G. Aerobic exercise in obese diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease: A randomized and controlled pilot study. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* 2009, *8*, 62–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Wilund, K.R.; Tomayko, E.J.; Wu, P.-T.; Chung, H.R.; Vallurupalli, S.; Lakshminarayanan, B.; Fernhall, B. Intradialytic exercise training reduces oxidative stress and epicardial fat: A pilot study. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* **2010**, *25*, 2695–2701. [CrossRef]
- Cheema, B.; Abas, H.; Smith, B.; O'Sullivan, A.; Chan, M.; Patwardhan, A.; Kelly, J.; Gillin, A.; Pang, G.; Lloyd, B.; et al. Progressive exercise for anabolism in kidney disease (PEAK): A randomized, controlled trial of resistance training during hemodialysis. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2007, *18*, 1594–1601. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Frih, B.; Jaafar, H.; Mkacher, W.; Salah ZBen Hammami, M.; Frih, A. The effect of interdialytic combined resistance and aerobic exercise training on health related outcomes in chronic hemodialysis patients: The Tunisian randomized controlled study. *Front Physiol.* 2017, *8*, 288. [CrossRef]
- Cheng, Y.J.; Zhao, X.J.; Zeng, W.; Xu, M.C.; Ma, Y.C.; Wang, M. Effect of Intradialytic Exercise on Physical Performance and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Patients Receiving Maintenance Hemodialysis: A Pilot and Feasibility Study. *Blood Purif.* 2020, 49, 409–418. [CrossRef]
- Corrêa, H.L.; Neves, R.V.P.; Deus, L.A.; Souza, M.K.; Haro, A.S.; Costa, F.; Silva, V.L.; Santos, C.A.R.; Moraes, M.R.; Simões, H.G.; et al. Blood Flow Restriction Training Blunts Chronic Kidney Disease Progression in Humans. *Med. Sci. Sport Exerc.* 2021, 53, 249–257. [CrossRef]
- 43. Afshar, R.; Shegarfy, L.; Shavandi, N.; Sanavi, S. Effects of aerobic exercise and resistance training on lipid profiles and inflammation status in patients on maintenance hemodialysis. *Indian J. Nephrol.* **2010**, *20*, 185–189.
- Zhao, C.; Ma, H.; Yang, L.; Xiao, Y. Long-term bicycle riding ameliorates the depression of the patients undergoing hemodialysis by affecting the levels of interleukin-6 and interleukin-18. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat* 2016, 13, 91–100. [CrossRef]
- March, D.S.; Lai, K.-B.; Neal, T.; Graham-Brown, M.P.M.; Highton, P.J.; Churchward, D.R.; Young, H.M.L.; Dungey, M.; Stensel, D.J.; Smith, A.C.; et al. Circulating endotoxin and inflammation: Associations with fitness, physical activity and the effect of a 6-month programme of cycling exercise during haemodialysis. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2022, 37, 366–374. [CrossRef]
- Highton, P.J.; March, D.S.; Churchward, D.R.; Grantham, C.E.; Young, H.M.L.; Graham-Brown, M.P.M.; Estruel, S.; Martin, N.; Brunskill, N.J.; Smith, A.C.; et al. Intradialytic cycling does not exacerbate microparticles or circulating markers of systemic inflammation in haemodialysis patients. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2021, 122, 599–609. [CrossRef]
- Kopple, J.D.; Wang, H.; Casaburi, R.; Fournier, M.; Lewis, M.I.; Taylor, W.; Storer, T.W. Exercise in maintenance hemodialysis patients induces transcriptional changes in genes favoring anabolic muscle. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2007, *18*, 2975–2986. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Afshar, R.; Emany, A.; Saremi, A.; Shavandi, N.; Sanavi, S. Effects of intradialytic aerobic training on sleep quality in hemodialysis patients. *Iran J. Kidney Dis.* 2011, *5*, 119–123. [PubMed]
- 49. Castaneda, C.; Gordon, P.L.; Parker, R.C.; Uhlin, K.L.; Roubenoff, R.; Levey, A.S. Resistance Training to Reduce the Malnutrition-Inflammation Complex Syndrome of Chronic Kidney Disease. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* **2004**, *43*, 607–616. [CrossRef]
- Oliveros, M.S.; Avendaño, M.; Bunout Barnett, D.; Hirsch Birn, S.; Maza Cave, M.P.D.L.; Pedreros, C.; Müller, H. A pilot study on physical training of patients in Hemodialysis Estudio piloto sobre entrenamiento físico durante hemodiálisis. *Rev. Med. Chil.* 2011, 139, 1046–1053. [CrossRef]
- Li, W.-J.; Chen, X.-M.; Nie, X.-Y.; Zhang, J.; Cheng, Y.-J.; Lin, X.-X.; Wu, S.-H. Cardiac troponin and C-reactive protein for predicting all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with chronic kidney disease: A meta-analysis. *Clinics* 2015, 70, 301–311. [CrossRef]
- Grgic, J.; McIlvenna, L.C.; Fyfe, J.J.; Sabol, F.; Bishop, D.J.; Schoenfeld, B.J.; Pedisic, Z. Does Aerobic Training Promote the Same Skeletal Muscle Hypertrophy as Resistance Training? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sport Med.* 2019, 49, 233–254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nelson, M.E.; Rejeski, W.J.; Blair, S.N.; Duncan, P.W.; Judge, J.O.; King, A.C.; Macera, C.A.; Castaneda-Sceppa, C. Physical activity and public health in older adults: Recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2007, *116*, 1094–1105. [CrossRef]
- 54. Pearson, T.A.; Mensah, G.A.; Alexander, R.W.; Anderson, J.L.; Cannon RO 3rd Criqui, M.; Fadl, Y.Y.; Fortmann, S.P.; Hong, Y.; Myers, G.L.; Rifai, N.; et al. Markers of inflammation and cardiovascular disease: Application to clinical and public health practice: A statement for healthcare professionals from the centers for disease control and prevention and the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2003, 107, 499–511. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Gupta, J.; Mitra, N.; Kanetsky, P.A.; Devaney, J.; Wing, M.R.; Reilly, M.; Shah, V.O.; Balakrishnan, V.S.; Guzman, N.J.; Girndt, M.; et al. Association between albuminuria, kidney function, and inflammatory biomarker profile in CKD in CRIC. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **2012**, *7*, 1938–1946. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tonelli, M.; Sacks, F.; Pfeffer, M.; Jhangri, G.S.; Curhan, G. Biomarkers of inflammation and progression of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* 2005, 68, 237–245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 57. Wu, L.; Liu, Y.; Wu, L.; Yang, J.; Jiang, T.; Li, M. Effects of exercise on markers of inflammation and indicators of nutrition in patients with chronic kidney disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. Urol. Nephrol.* **2021**, *54*, 815–826. [CrossRef]
- Schaper, F.; Rose-John, S. Interleukin-6: Biology, signaling and strategies of blockade. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2015, 26, 475–487. [CrossRef]
- McKay, B.R.; De Lisio, M.; Johnston, A.P.; O'Reilly, C.E.; Phillips, S.M.; Tarnopolsky, M.A.; Parise, G. Association of interleukin-6 signalling with the muscle stem cell response following muscle-lengthening contractions in humans. *PLoS ONE* 2009, 4, e6027. [CrossRef]
- 60. Roy, N.; Rosas, S.E. IL-6 Is Associated with Progression of Coronary Artery Calcification and Mortality in Incident Dialysis Patients. *Am. J. Nephrol.* **2021**, *52*, 745–752. [CrossRef]
- 61. Petersen, A.M.W.; Pedersen, B.K. The role of IL-6 in mediating the anti-inflammatory effects of exercise. *J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **2006**, 57 (Suppl. 10), 43–51.
- Stenvinkel, P.; Ketteler, M.; Johnson, R.J.; Lindholm, B.; Pecoits-Filho, R.; Riella, M.; Heimbürger, O.; Cederholm, T.; Girndt, M. IL-10, IL-6, and TNF-α: Central factors in the altered cytokine network of uremia—The good, the bad, and the ugly. *Kidney Int.* 2005, 67, 1216–1233. [CrossRef]
- Dungey, M.; Bishop, N.C.; Young, H.M.L.; Burton, J.O.; Smith, A.C. The Impact of Exercising during Haemodialysis on Blood Pressure, Markers of Cardiac Injury and Systemic Inflammation–Preliminary Results of a Pilot Study. *Kidney Blood Press Res.* 2015, 40, 593–604. [CrossRef]
- 64. Opal, S.M.; DePalo, V.A. Anti-inflammatory cytokines. Chest 2000, 117, 1162–1172. [CrossRef]
- 65. Calle, M.C.; Fernandez, M.L. Effects of resistance training on the inflammatory response. *Nutr. Res. Pract.* 2010, *4*, 259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Todd, J.S.; Shurley, J.P.; Todd, T.C.; Thomas, L. DeLorme and the science of progressive resistance exercise. J. Strength Cond. Res. 2012, 26, 2913–2923. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Ribeiro, H.S.; Andrade, F.P.; Leal, D.V.; Oliveira, J.S.; Wilund, K.R.; Viana, J.L. How is exercise being prescribed for patients on hemodialysis? A scoping review. *J. Nephrol.* 2022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.