

## Article

# Thermal Casimir Effect in the Einstein Universe with a Spherical Boundary

Herondy F. S. Mota <sup>1,\*</sup>, Celio R. Muniz <sup>2</sup> and Valdir B. Bezerra <sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup> Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Caixa Postal, João Pessoa 58050-585, PB, Brazil<sup>2</sup> Faculdade de Educação, Ciências e Letras de Iguatu, Universidade Estadual do Ceará, Av. Dário Rabelo, Iguatu 63500-000, CE, Brazil

\* Correspondence: hmota@fisica.ufpb.br

**Abstract:** In the present paper, we investigate thermal fluctuation corrections to the vacuum energy at zero temperature of a conformally coupled massless scalar field, whose modes propagate in the Einstein universe with a spherical boundary, characterized by both Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. Thus, we generalize the results found in the literature in this scenario, which has considered only the vacuum energy at zero temperature. To do this, we use the generalized zeta function method plus Abel-Plana formula and calculate the renormalized Casimir free energy as well as other thermodynamics quantities, namely, internal energy and entropy. For each one of them, we also investigate the limits of high and low temperatures. At high temperatures, we found that the renormalized Casimir free energy presents classical contributions, along with a logarithmic term. Also in this limit, the internal energy presents a classical contribution and the entropy a logarithmic term, in addition to a classical contribution as well. Conversely, at low temperatures, it is demonstrated that both the renormalized Casimir free energy and internal energy are dominated by the vacuum energy at zero temperature. It is also demonstrated that the entropy obeys the third law of thermodynamics.

**Keywords:** Einstein universe; spherical boundary; thermal correction; Casimir energy



**Citation:** Mota, H.F.S.; Muniz, C.R.; Bezerra, V.B. Thermal Casimir Effect in the Einstein Universe with a Spherical Boundary. *Universe* **2022**, *8*, 597. <https://doi.org/10.3390/universe8110597>

Academic Editor: Gerald B. Cleaver

Received: 15 October 2022

Accepted: 8 November 2022

Published: 12 November 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

The Einstein universe is a particular solution of the general relativity equations, which originally describes a spatially closed universe with constant positive curvature and uniform distribution of matter on the largest scales. It is in fact the Friedman-Robertson-Walker (FRW) spacetime that characterizes a universe under the assumption of the cosmological principle, but with a constant scale factor [1–7]. Hence, the Einstein universe is a model describing a closed static universe.

Such a cosmological model is certainly idealized, considering the recent observations related to the current universe geometry, which appears to be flat in its overall scale [8]. However, more realistic cosmological scenarios where closed static universes play a central role are described by emergent models, which consider the Einstein universe as the suited geometry to characterize the stage preceding the inflationary epoch. During this age, close to the Planck era, the non-negligible vacuum fluctuations associated with quantum relativistic fields may provide robust contributions to determine parameters for those models [9,10]. Furthermore, modified theories that point towards the quantum gravity have also been investigated, taking into consideration the Einstein universe geometry [11–15].

The Casimir effect is a phenomenon associated with vacuum fluctuations of quantum-relativistic fields, and was originally thought of as caused by modifications, in the Minkowski spacetime, of the zero-point oscillations of the electromagnetic field due to the presence of two ideal parallel conducting plates, at zero temperature [16]. The present status of the phenomenon is that it can also occur due to fields such as the scalar, spinor, gravitational, as well as unusual fields such as the ‘Elko’ one—a 1/2 spin neutral fermion field with

mass dimension one in  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional spacetime [17]. One can also take into account in the phenomenon of the thermal influences and nontrivial topologies associated with different geometries of the spacetime (for reviews, see [18,19]). As an iconic example, we cite wormholes [20–25], in which exotic negative energies, such as the Casimir one, would be even more necessary in order to stabilize them.

The Casimir effect arising as a consequence of the nontrivial topology of the spacetime has been considered, for instance, in Refs. [26–28]. In the static Einstein universe (as well as in the FRW one), the effect has been investigated in a variety of works [1–7,29–34], including the thermal correction analysis. The Casimir effect in the Einstein universe was also investigated in the presence of a spherical boundary [6,7], as well as in a  $D$ -dimensional spacetime [5,34]. In general, the role of the quantum vacuum energy in the primordial universe is highlighted in such scenarios. Therefore, as the early stages of the universe are known to have been extremely hot, an investigation of thermal corrections to the vacuum energy at zero temperature in the Einstein universe becomes of great interest. The Casimir effect is also important to be explored in curved spacetimes, such as the one intended here, from the fundamental point of view, with the aim to investigate the role played by the spacetime nontrivial topology. In this work, we investigate thermal fluctuation corrections to the vacuum energy at zero temperature of a conformally coupled massless scalar field, whose modes propagate in the Einstein universe with a spherical boundary. The latter is characterized by both Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. We, thus, generalized the results found in Refs. [6,7] where the authors considered the vacuum energy at zero temperature by adopting the cutoff method. We demonstrate that there exists remarkable differences between thermal Casimir quantities obtained in these two cases, unlike the scenario of zero temperature analysed in Refs. [6,7], where the vacuum energy is the same for both boundary conditions mentioned.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we present the Einstein universe spacetime with the spherical boundary and the zeta function method to calculate the temperature corrections. In Section 3, we obtain finite temperature contributions that must be subtracted in order to define the renormalized Casimir free energy. In Section 4, we calculate the renormalized thermodynamic quantities and the corresponding asymptotic limits. Finally, in Section 5, we present our conclusions.

## 2. Einstein Universe and Generalized Zeta Function Method to Calculate Thermal Corrections

In this section, we present the spacetime we intend to work with, i.e., the Einstein universe with a spherical boundary, as well as go through some elements of the generalized zeta function method to obtain temperature corrections to the vacuum energy at zero temperature. This Einstein universe is different from the one considered in Refs. [3,4] in the sense that now it is viewed as a geometry inside a spherical boundary, as suggested by the authors in Ref. [6] (see also [5]). The topology of the spacetime is  $S^3 \times R^1$ , but with  $S^3$  equatorially bounded by  $S^2$  [7]. The spherical boundaries considered in Refs. [6,7] are the ones characterized by Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions satisfied by a conformally coupled massless scalar field, as we shall see below.

### 2.1. Einstein Universe with a Spherical Boundary

The line element describing the Einstein universe inside a spherical boundary, in accordance with the authors in Refs. [5,6], is given by

$$ds^2 = c^2 dt^2 - a^2 \left[ d\chi^2 + \sin^2 \chi \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \right) \right], \quad (1)$$

where  $-\infty < t < \infty$  and  $0 \leq \chi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$  are dimensionless coordinates on a three-space of constant curvature  $+1$ . Note that the coordinate  $\chi$  sweeps only half the range of the standard Einstein universe. The parameter  $a$  is the constant scale factor

characterizing the static closed metric and  $c$ , of course, is the speed of light. The finite spatial volume associated with the model considered here can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 V &= \int \sqrt{|g^{(3)}|} d\chi d\theta d\varphi \\
 &= a^3 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^2 \chi d\chi \int_0^\pi \sin \theta d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi = \pi^2 a^3,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2}$$

where  $g^{(3)}$  is the determinant of the spatial part of the metric related to the line element (1). Note that, in the absence of the spherical boundary, the spatial volume of the Einstein universe is given by  $2\pi a^3$  [3,4,30,31], that is, twice the one in Equation (2), as it should be.

We wish to consider a real massless quantum scalar field,  $\phi$ , conformally coupled to the geometry codified in the metric present in the line element (1). The massless scalar field, hence, obeys the equation

$$\square\phi + \zeta R\phi = 0,
 \tag{3}$$

where  $\square$  is the d'Alembertian operator in curved spacetime [35],  $R = 6a^{-2}$  is the scalar curvature for the Einstein universe geometry and  $\zeta$  is the curvature coupling. As has been said, a conformally invariant theory is to be considered in the present work, in which case  $\zeta = \frac{1}{6}$ . The solution of the Klein-Gordon Equation (3) for a conformally coupled massless scalar field is given in terms of Gegenbauer functions and spherical harmonics. This has been obtained previously, for instance, in Refs. [1,2,6]. We are in fact interested in the eigenfrequencies associated with such a solution when submitted to Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions at  $\chi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , characterizing spherical boundaries [5–7]. The eigenfrequencies in this case, along with the degeneracy  $g_n$ , are

$$\omega_n = \frac{nc}{a} \qquad g_n = \frac{1}{2}n(n \pm 1) \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,
 \tag{4}$$

where the minus sign stands for Dirichlet and the plus sign for Neumann boundary conditions. The expression above will be useful to calculate temperature corrections to the vacuum energy at zero temperature already obtained in Refs. [5–7].

### 2.2. Zeta Function Method and Temperature Corrections

Let us now briefly point out some necessary elements of the zeta function method to implement temperature corrections. In this spirit, an operator  $\hat{A}_4$ , in a four-dimensional Euclidean spacetime<sup>1</sup>, with eigenvalues  $\lambda_\sigma$ , has an associated generalized zeta function written as [36–38]

$$\zeta_4(s) = \sum_\sigma \lambda_\sigma^{-s},
 \tag{5}$$

where  $\sigma$  stands for the spectrum of eigenvalues<sup>2</sup> of  $\hat{A}_4$ , which may not always be discrete. Note that the expression above converges, in four dimensions, for  $\text{Re}(s) > 2$  and it is regular at  $s = 0$ . It can also be analytically extended to a function of  $s$ , with poles at  $s = 2$  and  $s = 1$  [37].

The analytic extension of the generalized zeta function defined in Equation (5) carrying temperature terms has already been known and is written as [36–38]

$$\zeta_4(s) = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{4\pi}\Gamma(s)} \left\{ \Gamma(s - 1/2)\zeta_3(s - 1/2) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty \eta^{s-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{(n\beta)^2}{4\eta}} \text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\eta\hat{A}_3} \right] d\eta \right\},
 \tag{6}$$

where  $\hat{A}_3$  is a differential operator defined as the spatial part of  $\hat{A}_4$ ,  $\zeta_3(s - 1/2)$  is the generalized zeta function written in terms of the eigenvalues of  $\hat{A}_3$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$ , with  $k_B$  being the Boltzmann constant and  $T$  the temperature.

The connection of a physical quantum system with thermodynamics is realized by means of the partition function, which can be shown to be given by [36–38]

$$Z = \left[ \det \left( \frac{4}{\pi\mu^2} \hat{A}_4 \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{7}$$

where  $\mu$  is a constant with a dimension of mass, and the four-dimensional Euclidean operator is identified in our case as the d'Alembertian  $\hat{A}_4 = \square_E$ . Hence, in natural units, the latter has dimension of mass squared so that Equation (7) is dimensionless, as it should be. Furthermore, the partition function above can also be written in terms of the zeta function (6) and its derivative with respect to  $s$ , both calculated at  $s = 0$ , as follows

$$\ln Z = \frac{1}{2} \zeta_4'(0) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\pi\mu^2}{4} \right) \zeta_4(0), \tag{8}$$

where we have made use of the identity  $e^{-\zeta_4'(0)} = \det(\hat{A}_4)$  (see [38] for more details). Therefore, only the first term in the r.h.s of the above expression contributes to the free energy (9) since  $\zeta_4(0) \rightarrow 0$ , from Equation (6). As the physical result should not depend on the parameter  $\mu$ , this is expected.

The free energy, as usual, is found to be [36–38]

$$\begin{aligned} F &= -\frac{\ln Z}{\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \zeta_3(-1/2) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \eta^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{(n\beta)^2}{4\eta}} \text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\eta \hat{A}_3} \right] d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Furthermore, if one assumes that  $\epsilon_j^2$  are the eigenvalues of the three-dimensional spatial operator,  $\hat{A}_3$ , then, the trace above is given by

$$\text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\eta \hat{A}_3} \right] = \sum_j e^{-\epsilon_j^2 \eta}, \tag{10}$$

where  $j$  stand for the quantum modes associated with the operator  $\hat{A}_3$ . Hence, by performing the integration in  $\eta$  present in Equation (9), and taking the sum in  $n$  afterwards, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\text{ren}} &= E_0^{\text{ren}} + F_T \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \zeta_3(-1/2) + \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_j \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\epsilon_j \beta} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where the superscript 'ren' stands for renormalized.

Note that, in our case,  $\epsilon_j = \hbar\omega_j$ , where  $\omega_j$  stands for the eigenfrequencies, (4), associated with the conformally coupled massless scalar field subjected to spherical boundary conditions and  $\hbar$  is the Planck's constant. The operator  $\hat{A}_3$  is the spatial part of Equation (3) plus the curvature coupling. Note also that the zeta function  $\zeta_3(s)$ , through the parameter  $s$ , already regularizes and consequently provides a renormalized vacuum energy at zero temperature given by the first term on the r.h.s. of Equation (11). The second term on the r.h.s., though, accounts for temperature corrections.

At high temperatures the correction  $F_T$ , obtained in a given restricted volume  $V$ , may contain terms of quantum nature with the following structure:

$$\alpha_0 \frac{(k_B T)^4}{(\hbar c)^3}, \quad \alpha_1 \frac{(k_B T)^3}{(\hbar c)^2}, \quad \alpha_2 \frac{(k_B T)^2}{\hbar c}, \tag{12}$$

where  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$  have, respectively, dimensions of  $\text{cm}^3, \text{cm}^2$  and  $\text{cm}$  and are given in terms of the heat kernel coefficients [3,4,39,40]. It has been argued that these terms should be subtracted from  $F_T$  in order to provide the dominant and correct classical limit at high temperatures, which is independent of Planck's constant. This is in accordance with investigations conducted for material bodies, within the framework of Lifshitz theory [3,4,39,41]. Thereby,  $F_T$  must go through a finite renormalization process. This subtraction is also motivated by the fact that the coefficients  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$  have the same geometric structure as in the corresponding infinite terms to be subtracted from the vacuum energy at zero temperature, as shown, for instance, in Ref. [39], in the case of rectangular boxes. In the case of the Einstein universe considering the conformally coupled massless scalar field investigated in Ref. [3], it has been demonstrated that the only contribution is the one for which  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ , that is,

$$\alpha_0 = -\frac{\pi^2}{90}V, \quad \alpha_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2 = 0. \tag{13}$$

However, as we shall see in the next section, in the case of a conformally coupled massless scalar field in the Einstein universe with spherical boundaries, there also exists an additional contribution for which  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ , besides the one in Equation (13), was associated with the black-body radiation. In this case

$$\alpha_0 = -\frac{\pi^2}{90}V, \quad \alpha_1 = \mp \frac{\zeta(3)a^2}{2}, \quad \alpha_2 = 0, \tag{14}$$

where we should emphasize that the up sign always stands for Neumann boundary condition. Therefore, in our case, it should be subtracted from  $F_T$  not only the black-body radiation contribution but also the contribution proportional to  $(k_B T)^3$ , with coefficient  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ , given above.

The free energy in Equation (11) allows us to calculate other thermodynamics quantities, such as the internal energy given by

$$U = -T^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left( \frac{F}{T} \right), \tag{15}$$

as well as the entropy

$$S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}. \tag{16}$$

Therefore, along with the free energy (11), the last two thermodynamics quantities complement our analysis. In the next section, we provide analytical expressions, for each one of them, suitable to investigate the limits of high and low temperatures.

### 3. Finite Renormalization Terms and Renormalized Casimir Free Energy

As it has been remarked in the previous section, the first term on the r.h.s of the free energy (11) provides the renormalized vacuum energy at zero temperature. Thus, by making use of the eigenfrequencies and their degeneracy in Equation (4), we are able to show that<sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} E_0^{\text{ren}} &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \zeta_3(-1/2) \\ &= \frac{\hbar c}{480a}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

This result has already been obtained previously by the authors in Ref. [6] by making use of the cutoff method. Here, we have demonstrated that the generalized zeta function method also provides the same result, as it should be. The result is the same for Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. This is because the term  $n$  in the degeneracy gives a

contribution that is  $\zeta(-2) = 0$ . Note that the vacuum energy given above is half of the one for the Einstein universe without the spherical boundary considered in Refs. [3,4,30,31].

As to temperature corrections, we need to investigate the second term on the r.h.s of the free energy (11), taking into account the eigenfrequencies in (4), that is,

$$F_T = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \ln(1 - e^{-\hbar\omega_n\beta}). \tag{18}$$

In order to perform the sum in  $n$  above, we can make use of the Abel-Plana formula [42]

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n) - \int_0^{\infty} \Phi(t)dt = -\frac{1}{2}\Phi(0) + i \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(it) - \Phi(-it)}{\exp(2\pi t) - 1} dt. \tag{19}$$

For our discussion, we use Equation (18) to make the following identification:

$$\Phi(n) = \frac{k_B T}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 \pm n) \ln\left(1 - e^{-\frac{\hbar cn}{ak_B T}}\right). \tag{20}$$

As a consequence,  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , and the integral in the l.h.s of the Abel-Plana formula (19) provides

$$\int_0^{\infty} \Phi(t) = -\frac{\pi^2}{90} V \frac{(k_B T)^4}{(\hbar c)^3} \mp \frac{\zeta(3)a^2}{2} \frac{(k_B T)^3}{(\hbar c)^2}, \tag{21}$$

where the spatial volume  $V$  is given by Equation (2). We can observe that the first term on the r.h.s is the black-body radiation contribution, also present for the Einstein universe case without a boundary, as analyzed in Ref. [3]. Here, as a consequence of the spherical boundaries, a second contribution comes about, given by the second term in the r.h.s. of Equation (21). As discussed in Ref. [3], and already mentioned in the previous section, these two contributions must be subtracted from (18), allowing us to adopt a finite renormalization process that provides the correct classical limit at high temperatures.

Let us then define the renormalized temperature correction term by subtracting the contributions in Equation (21) from  $F_T$  in Equation (18), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} F_T^{\text{ren}} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n) - \int_0^{\infty} \Phi(t)dt \\ &= i \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(it) - \Phi(-it)}{\exp(2\pi t) - 1} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Now, by using the following series expansion for the logarithmic function,

$$\ln(1 - z) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n}, \tag{23}$$

we are able to obtain, by considering Equation (20), part of the integrand present in the r.h.s of (22), in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(it) - \Phi(-it) &= - ik_B T t^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin\left(\frac{\hbar cnt}{ak_B T}\right) \\ &\mp ik_B T t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \cos\left(\frac{\hbar cnt}{ak_B T}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Hence, the renormalized temperature correction, (22), can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_T^{\text{ren}} &= k_B T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^2 \sin\left(\frac{\hbar c n t}{a k_B T}\right)}{\exp(2\pi t) - 1} dt \\
 &\pm k_B T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t \cos\left(\frac{\hbar c n t}{a k_B T}\right)}{\exp(2\pi t) - 1} dt.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{25}$$

The result above, thus, allows us to obtain the renormalized Casimir free energy of the conformally coupled massless scalar field in the Einstein universe with spherical boundaries, as given by

$$F_C^{\text{ren}} = \frac{\hbar c}{480a} + F_T^{\text{ren}}.
 \tag{26}$$

This is in fact the expression in Equation (11) when the contributions in Equation (21) are subtracted from  $F_T$ . Note that we could straightforwardly solve the integrals in Equation (25), which would lead to an expression adequately convenient only to analyze the low-temperature limit of the renormalized Casimir free energy defined above. Instead, we wish to obtain a result that is able to provide us with appropriate expressions to consider the limits of both high and low temperatures, something that will be made in the next section.

#### 4. Renormalized Thermodynamic Quantities and Asymptotic Limits

In this section, we are interested in deriving analytic expressions for the renormalized Casimir free energy that will allow us more conveniently to investigate the limits of low and high temperatures. In order to do that, let us firstly consider the identity

$$\frac{1}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi \ell t}.
 \tag{27}$$

This makes possible to write Equation (25) alternatively as

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_T^{\text{ren}} &= k_B T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^2 \sin\left(\frac{\hbar c n t}{a k_B T}\right) e^{-2\pi \ell t} dt \\
 &\pm k_B T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t \cos\left(\frac{\hbar c n t}{a k_B T}\right) e^{-2\pi \ell t} dt.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{28}$$

Consequently, the integrals above can be performed and the result is given in terms of a double sum by

$$F_T^{\text{ren}} = \frac{2\hbar c}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{3(2\pi \ell)^2 - \left(\frac{\hbar c n}{a k_B T}\right)^2}{\left[(2\pi \ell)^2 + \left(\frac{\hbar c n}{a k_B T}\right)^2\right]^3} \pm k_B T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi \ell)^2 - \left(\frac{\hbar c n}{a k_B T}\right)^2}{\left[(2\pi \ell)^2 + \left(\frac{\hbar c n}{a k_B T}\right)^2\right]^2}.
 \tag{29}$$

This is an exact and convergent result. As we shall observe below, by performing first the sum in  $\ell$ , we obtain a convenient expression to investigate the low-temperature limit of (29). Conversely, by performing first the sum in  $n$ , we obtain a convenient expression to investigate the high-temperature limit. Note that the first term on the r.h.s has already been analyzed<sup>4</sup> in Ref. [3] and we do not intend to revisit this again. We will only quote the

results when necessary. We are in fact interested in investigating the effects of the second term in the r.h.s. of Equation (29), which from now on we denote as

$$\Delta F_T^{\text{ren}} = \pm k_B T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi\ell)^2 - \left(\frac{\hbar cn}{ak_B T}\right)^2}{\left[(2\pi\ell)^2 + \left(\frac{\hbar cn}{ak_B T}\right)^2\right]^2}. \tag{30}$$

This nonzero temperature contribution arises from the  $\frac{n}{2}$  part of the degeneracy in Equation (4), which as we have observed does not contribute to the vacuum energy at zero temperature but it is somewhat relevant for temperature corrections.

Let us firstly perform the summation in  $\ell$  now. For this purpose, we may re-write Equation (30) as

$$\Delta F_T^{\text{ren}} = \pm \frac{k_B T}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ell^2 - \frac{n^2}{(2\pi\nu)^2}}{\left[\ell^2 + \frac{n^2}{(2\pi\nu)^2}\right]^2}, \tag{31}$$

where we have defined the dimensionless parameter  $\nu \equiv \frac{ak_B T}{\hbar c}$ . Consequently, the summation in  $\ell$  can be performed by using the following formula:

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ell^2 - q^2}{(\ell^2 + q^2)^2} = \frac{1}{2q^2} \left[1 - q^2 \pi^2 \text{csch}^2(\pi q)\right], \tag{32}$$

where in our case  $q = \frac{n}{2\pi\nu}$ . Thus, using the result given by Equation (32) in Equation (31), we find

$$\Delta F_T^{\text{ren}} = \pm \frac{\zeta(3)a^2 (k_B T)^3}{2 (\hbar c)^2} \mp \frac{k_B T}{8} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{csch}^2\left(\frac{\hbar cn}{2ak_B T}\right), \tag{33}$$

where  $\zeta(s)$  is the Riemann zeta function, calculated at  $s = 3$  [37]. The corresponding renormalized internal energy associated with the temperature contribution in Equation (33) is obtained by making use of Equation (15), i.e.,

$$\Delta U^{\text{ren}} = \mp \zeta(3)a^2 \frac{(k_B T)^3}{(\hbar c)^2} \pm \frac{\hbar c}{8a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar cn}{2ak_B T}\right) \text{csch}^2\left(\frac{\hbar cn}{2ak_B T}\right). \tag{34}$$

In addition, from Equation (16), we can also calculate the corresponding renormalized entropy associated with (33). This is given by

$$\Delta S^{\text{ren}} = \mp \frac{3\zeta(3)k_B}{2} a^2 \frac{(k_B T)^2}{(\hbar c)^2} \pm \frac{k_B}{8} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{csch}^2\left(\frac{\hbar cn}{2ak_B T}\right) \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\hbar c}{ak_B T} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar cn}{2ak_B T}\right)\right]. \tag{35}$$

Let us consider again Equation (30), now written in the form

$$\Delta F_T^{\text{ren}} = \pm k_B T \nu^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{(2\pi\nu\ell)^2 - n^2}{[(2\pi\nu\ell)^2 + n^2]^2}. \tag{36}$$

Thereby, the summation in  $n$  is performed by using the formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{p^2 - n^2}{(p^2 + n^2)^2} = \frac{1}{2p^2} \left\{2\gamma_E + \psi(1 - ip) + \psi(1 + ip) + ip \left[\psi^{(1)}(1 - ip) - \psi^{(1)}(1 + ip)\right]\right\}, \tag{37}$$



where  $\gamma_E \simeq 0.577216$  is the Euler’s constant and  $\psi^{(k)}(z)$  are the polygamma functions, with  $\psi^0(z) = \psi(z)$  being the digamma function [43,44]. Thus, since in our case  $p = 2\pi\nu\ell$ , Equations (36) and (37) provide

$$\Delta F_T^{\text{ren}} = \pm \frac{\gamma_E}{24} k_B T \pm \frac{k_B T}{8\pi^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \{ \psi(1 - i2\pi\nu\ell) + \psi(1 + i2\pi\nu\ell) + i2\pi\nu\ell [ \psi^{(1)}(1 - i2\pi\nu\ell) - \psi^{(1)}(1 + i2\pi\nu\ell) ] \}. \tag{38}$$

We can note that there exists a classical contribution proportional to  $k_B T$  in the exact expression above, something that we would expect to appear only in the high-temperature limit. Moreover, the corresponding internal energy, from Equations (15) and (38), is found to be

$$\Delta U^{\text{ren}} = \mp \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k_B T)^3}{(\hbar c)^2} a^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} [ \psi^{(2)}(1 - i2\pi\nu\ell) + \psi^{(2)}(1 + i2\pi\nu\ell) ]. \tag{39}$$

Likewise, the associated entropy is obtained by considering Equations (16) and (38), which gives us the following result

$$\Delta S^{\text{ren}} = \mp \frac{k_B}{8\pi^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \{ 2\gamma_E + \psi(1 - i2\pi\nu\ell) + \psi(1 + i2\pi\nu\ell) + i2\pi\nu\ell [ \psi^{(1)}(1 - i2\pi\nu\ell) - \psi^{(1)}(1 + i2\pi\nu\ell) ] + (2\pi\nu\ell)^2 [ \psi^{(2)}(1 - i2\pi\nu\ell) + \psi^{(2)}(1 + i2\pi\nu\ell) ] \}. \tag{40}$$

It is important to keep in mind that the expressions (33) and (38) are equivalent, which is equally true for the corresponding expressions for the internal energy and entropy derived above. Nevertheless, the expression (33) is convenient to investigate the low-temperature limit, whilst the expression (38) to investigate the high-temperature limit. The results derived above, associated with the temperature contribution (30), are to be considered along with the results obtained in Ref. [3] for the first term on the r.h.s. of (29) to calculate the renormalized Casimir free energy (26). Due to its lengthy nature, we will not write the whole expression here. The analysis of the asymptotic limits at high and low temperatures is to be considered in the next (sub-) sections.

To end the discussion of the thermodynamics quantities, let us mention another one, namely, the pressure, calculated as minus the derivative of the renormalized Casimir free energy with respect to the volume of the spacetime. In analogy with what has been done in Refs. [3,4]; it is straightforward to show in the case we are considering that an equation of state is also satisfied, i.e.,

$$P_C = \frac{\epsilon^{\text{ren}}}{3}, \tag{41}$$

where  $\epsilon^{\text{ren}} = \frac{U_C^{\text{ren}}}{V}$  is the renormalized internal energy density, with  $V$  given by Equation (2).

#### 4.1. Low-Temperature Limit

The first limiting case to be considered is the one of low temperature, that is,  $k_B T \ll \frac{\hbar c}{a}$ . In this case, the most convenient expressions to perform this analysis are given in Equations (33)–(35). Hence, the mentioned asymptotic limit for the free energy temperature contribution in Equation (33) is written as

$$\Delta F_T^{\text{ren}} \simeq \pm \frac{\zeta(3) a^2}{2} \frac{(k_B T)^3}{(\hbar c)^2} \mp \frac{k_B T}{2} e^{-\frac{\hbar c}{ak_B T}}. \tag{42}$$

Therefore, the low-temperature limit for the renormalized Casimir free energy takes into consideration the renormalized free energy in Equation (29). In the latter, the low-

temperature limit for the first term in the r.h.s has been considered in Ref. [3]. Thus, the low-temperature limit for the renormalized Casimir free energy (26) is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_C^{\text{ren}} &\simeq \frac{\hbar c}{480a} + \frac{\pi^2}{90} V \frac{(k_B T)^4}{(\hbar c)^3} - \frac{k_B T}{2} e^{-\frac{\hbar c}{ak_B T}} \\
 &\pm \frac{\zeta(3)a^2}{2} \frac{(k_B T)^3}{(\hbar c)^2} \mp \frac{k_B T}{2} e^{-\frac{\hbar c}{ak_B T}}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{43}$$

Note, however, that the low-temperature limit of the first term in the r.h.s of Equation (29) has been considered in Ref. [3] without the 1/2 factor coming from the degeneracy  $g_n$  in Equation (4). Thus, the first line in Equation (43) is just the result from Ref. [3] multiplied by 1/2. Another important point to note is that, in the case of Dirichlet boundary condition, the exponentials above cancel out, surviving only the terms proportional to  $(k_B T)^4$  and  $(k_B T)^3$  as corrections to the vacuum energy at zero temperature, in the first term in the r.h.s. of Equation (43). Conversely, in the case of Neumann boundary condition, the exponentially suppressed terms sum up, giving the same order exponential correction as in Ref. [3].

The discussion above follows in a similar way for the Casimir internal energy obtained from Equations (15) and (29). Hence, by taking the low-temperature limit of Equation (34) and considering the result from Ref. [3], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_C^{\text{ren}} &\simeq \frac{\hbar c}{480a} - \frac{\pi^2}{30} V \frac{(k_B T)^4}{(\hbar c)^3} + \frac{\hbar c}{2a} e^{-\frac{\hbar c}{ak_B T}} \\
 &\mp \frac{\zeta(3)a^2}{2} \frac{(k_B T)^3}{(\hbar c)^2} \pm \frac{\hbar c}{2a} e^{-\frac{\hbar c}{ak_B T}},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{44}$$

which is also dominated by the vacuum energy at zero temperature, as we can observe.

Finally, to end our analysis of the low-temperature limit let us consider the Casimir entropy. This can be reached by making use of Equations (16) and (29). Thus, by taking into consideration the low-temperature limit of Equation (35) and considering the result from Ref. [3], we found

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_C^{\text{ren}} &\simeq -\frac{2\pi^2}{45} k_B \left( \frac{k_B T}{\hbar c} \right)^3 V + \frac{\hbar c}{2aT} e^{-\frac{\hbar c}{ak_B T}} \\
 &\mp \frac{\zeta(3)a^2}{2} \frac{(k_B T)^3}{(\hbar c)^2} \pm \frac{\hbar c}{2aT} e^{-\frac{\hbar c}{ak_B T}}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{45}$$

Again, for Dirichlet boundary condition the small exponential corrections cancel out, while for Neumann boundary condition they sum up. We can notice that in the limit  $T \rightarrow 0$  the Casimir entropy goes to zero and the third law of thermodynamics is satisfied, according to the Nernst heat theorem [3,45].

#### 4.2. High-Temperature Limit

We now turn to the high-temperature limit case, i.e.,  $k_B T \gg \frac{\hbar c}{a}$ . The most convenient expressions for this investigation are the ones in Equations (38)–(40). Thereby, the asymptotic limit for high temperatures of the renormalized free energy contribution in Equation (38) is given by the dominant terms

$$\Delta F_T^{\text{ren}} \simeq \mp \frac{k_B T}{24} (1 - 12 \ln A) \pm \frac{k_B T}{24} \ln \left( \frac{k_B T a}{\hbar c} \right) \pm \frac{1}{5760} \left( \frac{\hbar c}{a} \right)^2 \frac{1}{k_B T},
 \tag{46}$$

where  $A \simeq 1.28$  is the Glaisher’s constant, and the largest (small) correction to the linear and logarithmic terms has been written. Thus, the renormalized Casimir free energy is

obtained, from Equation (26), by making use of Equation (29), the result of Ref. [3] for high temperatures and the expression above. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_C^{\text{ren}} &\simeq \frac{k_B T}{8\pi^2} \zeta(3) + 2\pi^2 \left( \frac{ak_B T}{\hbar c} \right)^2 \frac{\hbar c}{a} e^{-4\pi^2 \frac{ak_B T}{\hbar c}} \\
 &\pm \frac{k_B T}{24} (12 \ln A - 1) \pm \frac{k_B T}{24} \ln \left( \frac{k_B Ta}{\hbar c} \right) \pm \frac{1}{5760} \left( \frac{\hbar c}{a} \right)^2 \frac{1}{k_B T}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{47}$$

Note that the first term in the r.h.s of Equation (26) has been canceled with the same contribution, but with opposite sign, coming from the high-temperature limit of the first term in the r.h.s. of (29) [3]. We can also notice that, compared with the results in Ref. [3] for the Einstein universe without boundaries, the asymptotic expression above brings one more classical term, as well as a logarithmic term similar to the electromagnetic case analyzed in Ref. [4]. Moreover, the largest correction to the linear and logarithmic terms is the one proportional to  $\frac{1}{k_B T}$ , not the exponential as it is the case in Ref. [3]. It is worth pointing out that, near the singularity ( $a \rightarrow 0$ ), the logarithmic term dominates over the other ones, with both thermal and quantum features of the primordial microscopic Universe competing with each other. In this case, the quantum features dominate, as expected.

The Casimir internal energy at high temperatures can be obtained by considering the result from Ref. [3] and by using Equations (15), (29) and (39). The complete expression is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_C^{\text{ren}} &\simeq 8\pi^4 \left( \frac{k_B Ta}{\hbar c} \right)^4 \frac{\hbar c}{a} e^{-4\pi^2 \frac{ak_B T}{\hbar c}} \\
 &\mp \frac{k_B T}{24} \pm \frac{1}{2880} \left( \frac{\hbar c}{a} \right)^2 \frac{1}{k_B T}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{48}$$

Thereby, the expression above brings a classical contribution and a correction that is proportional to  $\frac{1}{k_B T}$ , which is bigger than the exponential correction in the first line. Therefore, the Casimir internal energy is completely dominated by the second line of the expression (48). In the Einstein universe analyzed in Ref. [3], the Casimir internal energy is exponentially suppressed.

To end the analysis of the high-temperature limit, we consider the Casimir entropy. The latter can be obtained by using the result of Ref. [3], and Equations (16), (29) and (40). The Casimir entropy at high temperatures is, then, written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_C^{\text{ren}} &\simeq -\frac{k_B}{8\pi^2} \zeta(3) + 8\pi^4 k_B \left( \frac{ak_B T}{\hbar c} \right)^2 e^{-4\pi^2 \frac{ak_B T}{\hbar c}} \\
 &\mp \frac{k_B}{2} \ln A \mp \frac{k_B}{24} \ln \left( \frac{k_B Ta}{\hbar c} \right) \mp \frac{k_B}{5760} \left( \frac{\hbar c}{ak_B T} \right)^2,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{49}$$

where we can observe two constant classical terms, We can also see that the Casimir entropy is dominated by a logarithmic term of quantum origin, differently from Ref. [3], where the entropy is dominated by a constant classical term at high temperatures.

### 5. Conclusions

In this work, we have investigated temperature corrections to the vacuum energy at a zero temperature of a conformally coupled massless scalar field in the Einstein universe with a spherical boundary. The field is subjected to obey both Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. Hence, we have generalized the results found in Refs. [6,7] where the authors considered only the vacuum energy at zero temperature by adopting the cutoff method. We have then calculated the renormalized Casimir free energy, internal energy and entropy by adopting the generalized zeta function technique, as well as the Abel-Plana formula.

We have also studied the limits of low and high temperatures for all thermodynamics quantities considered. In this context, we have demonstrated that, at low temperatures, both the Casimir free energy and internal energy are dominated by the vacuum energy at zero temperature, and the entropy obeys the third law of thermodynamics in accordance with the Nernst heat theorem. Furthermore, at high temperatures, we have also demonstrated that the Casimir free energy exhibit both classical term contributions and logarithmic contributions with respect to the temperature. In this regard, the internal energy presents also a classical contribution and the entropy presents a constant classical contribution plus a logarithmic term with respect to the temperature. This is in contrast with Ref. [3], where the authors have demonstrated that, at high temperatures, the Casimir free energy presents only a classical term, the internal energy goes to zero and the entropy is dominated by a constant classical contribution.

As a future perspective, we intend to extend this analysis to a  $D$ -dimensional Einstein universe, with a hyper spherical boundary.

**Author Contributions:** H.F.S.M.: conceptualization, writing—original draft preparation, funding acquisition, methodology, supervision, investigation, formal analysis; C.R.M.: writing—review and editing, funding acquisition, software, investigation, formal analysis; V.B.B.: writing—review and editing, investigation, funding acquisition, formal analysis. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The authors are partially supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) under grants No. 311031/2020-0 (H.F.S.M.), 308268/2021-6 (C.R.M.) and 307211/2020-7 (V.B.B.).

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** We are grateful to the reviewers for the comments and suggestions.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Notes

- <sup>1</sup> In essence, a four-dimensional Euclidean spacetime is reached by making  $t = -i\tau$  in Equations (1) and (3).
- <sup>2</sup> The set of quantum modes in our case.
- <sup>3</sup> We call attention to the fact that  $\zeta_3(s)$  is defined by (5), with  $\lambda_j = \omega_j^2$  and  $j = n$ .
- <sup>4</sup> This term comes from the  $\frac{n^2}{2}$  part of the degeneracy in Equation (4). Apart from the factor  $1/2$ ,  $n^2$  is exactly the degeneracy of the vacuum energy in the Einstein universe considered in Ref. [3].

## References

1. Ford, L.H. Quantum Vacuum Energy in General Relativity. *Phys. Rev. D* **1975**, *11*, 3370–3377. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Ford, L.H. Quantum Vacuum Energy in a Closed Universe. *Phys. Rev. D* **1976**, *14*, 3304–3313. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Bezerra, V.B.; Klimchitskaya, G.L.; Mostepanenko, V.M.; Romero, C. Thermal Casimir effect in closed Friedmann universe revisited. *Phys. Rev. D* **2011**, *83*, 104042.
4. Bezerra, V.B.; Mostepanenko, V.M.; Mota, H.F.; Romero, C. Thermal Casimir effect for neutrino and electromagnetic fields in closed Friedmann cosmological model. *Phys. Rev. D* **2011**, *84*, 104025.
5. Ozcan, M. Green's function for a  $n$ -dimensional closed, static universe and with a spherical boundary. *arXiv* **2001**, arXiv:gr-qc/0106082.
6. Bayin, S.S.; Oezcan, M. Casimir effect in a 'half Einstein universe': An Exactly solvable case in curved background and with a spherical boundary. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **1993**, *10*, L115–L121.
7. Kennedy, G.; Unwin, S.D. Casimir Cancellations in Half an Einstein Universe. *J. Phys. A* **1980**, *13*, L253–L258.
8. Collaboration, P.; Aghanim, N.; Akrami, Y.; Ashdown, M.; Aumont, J.; Baccigalupi, C.; Ballardini, M.; Banday, A.J.; Barreiro, R.B.; Bartolo, N.; et al. Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2020**, *641*, A6; Erratum in *Astron. Astrophys.* **2021**, *652*, C4.
9. Ellis, G.F.R.; Maartens, R. The emergent universe: Inflationary cosmology with no singularity. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2004**, *21*, 223–232.
10. Ellis, G.F.R.; Murugan, J.; Tsagas, C.G. The Emergent universe: An Explicit construction. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2004**, *21*, 233–250.
11. Boehmer, C.G.; Lobo, F.S.N. Stability of the Einstein static universe in modified Gauss-Bonnet gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **2009**, *79*, 067504. [[CrossRef](#)]

12. Boehmer, C.G.; Hollenstein, L.; Lobo, F.S.N. Stability of the Einstein static universe in  $f(R)$  gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **2007**, *76*, 084005.
13. Li, J.-T.; Lee, C.-C.; Geng, C.-Q. Einstein Static Universe in Exponential  $f(T)$  Gravity. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2013**, *73*, 2315.
14. Bezerra, V.B.; Mota, H.F.; Muniz, C.R. Casimir Effect in the Rainbow Einstein's Universe. *EPL* **2017**, *120*, 10005. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Shabani, H.; Ziaie, A.H. Stability of the Einstein static universe in  $f(R, T)$  gravity. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2017**, *77*, 31. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Casimir, H.B.G. On the Attraction Between Two Perfectly Conducting Plates. *Indag. Math.* **1948**, *10*, 261–263.
17. Maluf, R.V.; Dantas, D.M.; Almeida, C.A.S. The Casimir effect for the scalar and Elko fields in a Lifshitz-like field theory. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2020**, *80*, 442.
18. Milton, K.A. *The Casimir Effect: Physical Manifestations of Zero-Point Energy*; World Scientific: River Edge, NJ, USA, 2001; 301p.
19. Bordag, M.; Klimchitskaya, G.L.; Mohideen, U.; Mostepanenko, V.M. *Advances in the Casimir Effect*; OUP Oxford: Oxford, UK, 2009.
20. Khabibullin, A.R.; Khusnutdinov, N.R.; Sushkov, S.V. Casimir effect in a wormhole spacetime. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2006**, *23*, 627–634. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Sorge, F. Casimir effect around an Ellis wormhole. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2019**, *29*, 2050002.
22. Garattini, R. Casimir Wormholes. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2019**, *79*, 951. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Santos, A.C.L.; Muniz, C.R.; Oliveira, L.T. Casimir Effect in a Schwarzschild-Like Wormhole Spacetime. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2021**, *30*, 2150032. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Santos, A.C.L.; Muniz, C.R.; Oliveira, L.T. Casimir effect nearby and through a cosmological wormhole. *EPL* **2021**, *135*, 19002. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Alencar, G.; Bezerra, V.B.; Muniz, C.R. Casimir wormholes in  $2 + 1$  dimensions with applications to the graphene. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2021**, *81*, 924. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Dowker, J.S.; Banach, R. Quantum Field Theory on Clifford-klein Space-times. The Effective Lagrangian and Vacuum Stress Energy Tensor. *J. Phys. A* **1978**, *11*, 2255.
27. DeWitt, B.S.; Hart, C.F.; Isham, C.J. Topology and quantum field theory. *Phys. A* **1979**, *96*, 197–211.
28. Lima, M.P.; Muller, D. Casimir effect in  $E^{*3}$  closed spaces. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2007**, *24*, 897–914.
29. Zhuk, A.; Kleinert, H. Casimir effect at nonzero temperatures in a closed Friedmann universe. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **1996**, *109*, 1483–1493.
30. Bezerra, V.B.; Mota, H.F.; Muniz, C.R. Thermal Casimir effect in closed cosmological models with a cosmic string. *Phys. Rev. D* **2014**, *89*, 024015. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Mota, H.F.; Bezerra, V.B. Topological thermal Casimir effect for spinor and electromagnetic fields. *Phys. Rev. D* **2015**, *92*, 124039.
32. Bezerra, V.B.; Mota, H.F.; Muniz, C.R. Remarks on a gravitational analogue of the Casimir effect. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2016**, *25*, 1641018.
33. Bezerra, V.B.; Mota, H.F.S.; Muniz, C.R.; Filho, C.A.R. Remarks on Some Results Related to the Thermal Casimir Effect in Einstein and Closed Friedmann Universes with a Cosmic String. *Universe* **2021**, *7*, 232. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Herdeiro, C.A.R.; Ribeiro, R.H.; Sampaio, M. Scalar Casimir effect on a D-dimensional Einstein static universe. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2008**, *25*, 165010.
35. Mota, H.F.; de Mello, E.R.B.; Bakke, K. Scalar Casimir effect in a high-dimensional cosmic dispiration spacetime. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2018**, *27*, 1850107. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Hawking, S.W. Zeta function regularization of path integrals in curved spacetime. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1977**, *55*, 133–148. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Elizalde, E.; Odintsov, S.D.; Romeo, A.; Bytsenko, A.A.; Zerbini, S. *Zeta Regularization Techniques with Applications*; World Scientific: Singapore, 1994.
38. Aleixo, G.; Mota, H.F.S. Thermal Casimir effect for the scalar field in flat spacetime under a helix boundary condition. *Phys. Rev. D* **2021**, *104*, 045012. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Geyer, B.; Klimchitskaya, G.L.; Mostepanenko, V.M. Thermal Casimir effect in ideal metal rectangular boxes. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2008**, *57*, 823–834. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Dowker, J.S.; Kennedy, G. Finite Temperature and Boundary Effects in Static Space-Times. *J. Phys. A* **1978**, *11*, 895. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Lifshitz, E.M. The theory of molecular attractive forces between solids. *Sov. Phys. JETP* **1956**, *2*, 73–83.
42. Saharian, A.A. The Generalized Abel-Plana Formula: Applications to Bessel Functions and Casimir Effect. Available online: <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0002239> (accessed on 14 October 2022).
43. Abramowitz, M.; Stegun, I. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*; National Bureau of Standards: Washington, DC, USA, 1964.
44. Gradshteyn, I.S.; Ryzhik, I.M. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*; Academic Press: Burlington, MA, USA, 1980.
45. Landau, L.D.; Lifshitz, E.M. *Statistical Physics, Part I*; Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK, 1980.