

# A Note on Proton Stability in the Standard Model

Seth Koren 

Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA; sethk@uchicago.edu

**Abstract:** In this short note, we describe the symmetry responsible for absolute, nonperturbative proton stability in the Standard Model. The SM with  $N_c$  colors and  $N_g$  generations has an exact, anomaly-free, generation-independent, global symmetry group  $U(1)_{B-N_c L} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L$ , which contains a subgroup of baryon plus lepton number of order  $2N_c N_g$ . This disallows proton decay for  $N_g > 1$ . Many well-studied models beyond the SM explicitly break this global symmetry, and the alternative deserves further attention.

**Keywords:** proton decay; Standard Model symmetries; discrete anomalies

Everything not forbidden is compulsory. Therefore, which symmetry forbids proton decay in the Standard Model? It is the lightest baryon, but baryon number is anomalous and not a symmetry of the quantum SM. The difference between baryon and lepton number is anomaly-free, but allows, e.g.,  $p^+ \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$ . In fact, there is a discrete subgroup of baryon plus lepton number, which is anomaly-free by virtue of the SM having more than one generation. This symmetry imposes the selection rule  $\Delta B = N_c N_g, \Delta L = N_g$  on the SM with  $N_c$  colors and  $N_g$  generations. In the following, we briefly review the topic of mixed anomalies in the SM selectively aimed toward evincing the anomaly-free discrete global symmetries. The field theoretic calculations we have omitted can be found in standard QFT textbooks or in Bertlmann’s monograph [1].

The Standard Model of particle physics is defined as the gauge theory of the non-Abelian symmetry group  $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  with three ‘generations’ (or ‘families’) of left-handed Weyl fermions in the representations shown in Table 1. There is additionally a scalar electroweak Higgs doublet which has Yukawa couplings providing masses to the electrically-charged fermions in the broken phase.

**Table 1.** Representations of the SM Weyl fermions under the classical symmetries of the SM. We normalize each  $U(1)$  so the least-charged particle has unit charge  $B \equiv 3B_{\text{usual}}, Y \equiv 6Y_{\text{usual}}$ , and  $L \equiv L_{\text{usual}}$ .

	$Q$	$\bar{u}$	$\bar{d}$	$L$	$\bar{e}$
$SU(3)_C$	3	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{3}$	–	–
$SU(2)_L$	2	–	–	2	–
$U(1)_Y$	+1	–4	+2	–3	+6
$U(1)_B$	+1	–1	–1	–	–
$U(1)_L$	–	–	–	+1	–1

The SM so-defined contains additional ‘accidental’ generation-independent exact classical global symmetries corresponding to baryon and lepton number, whose charges are also listed in Table 1. These are accidental in that the most general renormalizable Lagrangian one may write down automatically preserves them. However, the Lagrangian is a classical object, and a good classical global symmetry  $U(1)_X$  may be broken by the path integral measure upon quantization if the fermions charged under  $U(1)_X$  are in a chiral representation of a gauge group  $G$  [2].



**Citation:** Koren, S. A Note on Proton Stability in the Standard Model. *Universe* **2022**, *8*, 308. <https://doi.org/10.3390/universe8060308>

Academic Editors: Sunny Vagnozzi, Eleonora Di Valentino, Alessandro Melchiorri, Olga Mena, Luca Visinelli

Received: 2 May 2022

Accepted: 28 May 2022

Published: 30 May 2022

**Publisher’s Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

One may check whether the classical global symmetry survives quantization by examining the ‘anomaly conditions’, which in four dimensions consist essentially of evaluating the three-point correlator of the symmetry currents at one loop and checking if the Ward–Takahashi identity is satisfied. Our case of interest will have one global symmetry current and two gauge symmetry currents, such that the condition for global current conservation in the quantum theory is

$$\partial_\mu \langle J_X^\mu J_G^\nu J_G^\rho \rangle = 0. \tag{1}$$

If this condition is satisfied, then the symmetry  $U(1)_X$  is ‘anomaly-free’ and the classical current conservation  $\partial_\mu J_X^\mu = 0$  may be upgraded to a Ward identity in the full quantum theory. If this condition is violated, then nonperturbative  $G$  gauge theory effects inevitably lead to  $U(1)_X$  symmetry violation, and furthermore,  $U(1)_X$  cannot itself be consistently gauged.

This type of anomaly is sometimes referred to as a ‘mixed anomaly’ between  $U(1)_X$  and  $G$  or an ‘ABJ anomaly’ after its discovery by Adler–Bell–Jackiw [3,4] as the micro-physical explanation for the decay of the neutral pion  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ . In that application, one relates the failure of the Ward identity in the three-point correlator to a three-point amplitude using the LSZ formula. The pion, as a pseudo-Goldstone of the axial symmetry  $U(1)_A$ , has nonzero overlap with its global symmetry current  $J_A^\mu$ , and the photons have nonzero overlap with the  $U(1)_Q$  gauge symmetry current  $J_Q^\mu$ , so this amplitude provides for pion decay.

When such an anomaly is present, a global rotation of the charged fermions does not leave the action invariant but rather changes the effective  $\theta$ -term for the  $G$  gauge field. If we perform a rotation of the fermions charged under the global  $U(1)_X$  by an angle  $\alpha$ , the action is shifted as

$$\psi_i \rightarrow \psi_i e^{iq_i \alpha} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta S = \alpha \mathcal{A} \int \frac{F\tilde{F}}{16\pi^2}, \tag{2}$$

where  $\psi_i$  are left-handed Weyl fermions with charge  $q_i$  under  $U(1)_X$ ,  $F$  is the field strength of the gauge group  $G$  and  $\tilde{F}$  is its Hodge dual. If this transformation changes the partition function of the quantum theory, then it is no longer a symmetry.

The integrand may be recognized as the Chern–Pontryagin density of the gauge field configuration, and its integral is an integer topological invariant which measures the winding of the gauge field around the sphere at Euclidean spacetime infinity.  $\mathcal{A}$  is also an integer which is the sum of the ‘anomaly coefficients’ of all left-handed Weyl fermions

$$\mathcal{A} \delta^{ab} = \sum_i \text{Tr} \left[ q_i T_{R_i}^a T_{R_i}^b \right], \tag{3}$$

where  $T_{R_i}^a$  are the generators of the representation  $R_i$  of  $G$  and for a non-Abelian group the normalization is such that in the fundamental representation  $F$ ,  $\text{Tr} \left[ T_F^a T_F^b \right] = \delta^{ab}$ . That is, a  $G$  fundamental with unit  $X$  charge contributes  $\mathcal{A} = 1$ . For an Abelian  $G$ , there is only one generator and  $\delta^{ab} \mapsto 1$ . The integral nature of the anomaly derives from the number of zero modes of fermions in the background spacetime—these are counted by the index of the Dirac operator, which is directly related to the anomaly by a theorem of Atiyah and Singer [5,6].

If  $G$  is non-Abelian and the only nontrivial representations of  $G$  are fundamentals, as for example with  $SU(2)_L$  in the SM, then we have simply

$$\mathcal{A}_{XSU(2)_L} = \sum_{\text{Fund } i} q_i, \tag{4}$$

while if  $G$  is Abelian, as for example with  $U(1)_Y$  in the SM, we have simply a trilinear in charges

$$\mathcal{A}_{XU(1)_Y} = \sum_i q_i Y_i^2. \tag{5}$$

If the fermions charged under  $U(1)_X$  are in a vector-like representation of  $G$ , as all the SM fermions are with  $SU(3)_C$  (or electromagnetism), then for each left-handed Weyl fermion  $\psi_i$  there is another right-handed Weyl fermion  $\psi_i^\dagger$  with the same quantum numbers, such that they pair up into a Dirac spinor. Then,  $\psi_i$  and  $\psi_i^\dagger$  are in complex conjugate representations of the gauge group, and their contributions to the anomaly coefficient are related by three negative signs and cancel out.

In Table 2 we give the ABJ anomalies of baryon and lepton number with the chiral factors of the SM gauge group.  $\mathcal{A} \neq 0$  indicates the presence of an anomaly, and the classical  $U(1)_X$  symmetry is broken, since the action is no longer invariant under the transformation as in Equation (2). As is familiar, while both baryon and lepton number have anomalies, we may form the anomaly-free current  $B - N_c L$  of baryon minus lepton number. This is the only anomaly-free, generation-independent continuous global symmetry of the SM. On the other hand, baryon plus lepton number is violated in nonperturbative processes involving the gauge fields given by the new term in the action Equation 2, which effects (see e.g., [7] for lucid discussion)

$$\langle \partial_\mu J_{B+N_c L}^\mu \rangle = 2N_c N_g \int \frac{W\tilde{W}}{16\pi^2}, \tag{6}$$

where the expectation value is taken in a given background gauge field,  $W$  is the  $SU(2)_L$  field strength in that configuration, and we have left off the similar  $U(1)_Y$  term as it has no effects in  $d = 4$  flat space for reasons of topology. This nonperturbative effect is central to electroweak baryogenesis [8,9] wherein the thermal configurations giving dynamical symmetry violation are called ‘sphalerons’ [10,11].

**Table 2.** Mixed anomalies of the classical accidental symmetries with the chiral gauge symmetries of the SM.  $N_c$  is the number of colors, and  $N_g$  is the number of generations.

	$U(1)_B$	$U(1)_L$
$SU(2)_L^2$	$N_c N_g$	$N_g$
$U(1)_Y^2$	$-18N_c N_g$	$-18N_g$

While we have exhausted the anomaly-free continuous global symmetries, let us now relax our symmetry of interest from the full  $U(1)_X$  of rotations by arbitrary angles to the subgroup of transformations by  $\alpha = 2\pi k/N$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}, k = 0, \dots, N - 1$ . If we choose  $N = \mathcal{A}$ , then under any rotation the action changes by a multiple of  $2\pi i$  in Equation (2) and the partition function is invariant. This  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  subgroup of  $U(1)_X$  then remains a good symmetry of the quantum theory.

In the case of the SM, this means that there is an additional discrete, anomaly-free  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}$  worth of symmetries for the SM with  $N_g$  generations of fermions. Of course, there is some freedom to describe the additional generator, since any addition of  $Y$  or  $B - N_c L$  would work just as well. However, we may non-redundantly identify this as the  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L$  subgroup of lepton number  $U(1)_L$ , which is manifestly independent of both, whereas there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_c}$  subgroup of  $B - N_c L$  in which the leptons transform trivially and the transformation is equivalent to one of  $U(1)_B$ .

Consequently, the anomaly-free, generation-independent, global symmetry group of the SM is  $U(1)_{B-N_c L} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L$ . A few more remarks are in order on the structure of this symmetry group. Firstly, we note that baryon plus lepton number  $U(1)_{B+N_c L}$  intersects this group in a  $\mathbb{Z}_{2N_c N_g}$  subgroup generated by  $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2N_c N_g}^{B-N_c L} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L$ .

This  $\mathbb{Z}_{2N_c N_g}$  is the maximal anomaly-free subgroup of baryon plus lepton number in the SM. We see that the appearance of the anomaly coefficient in Equation (6) expressing current nonconservation dynamically enforces the  $\Delta L = N_g, \Delta B = N_c N_g$  selection rule imposed by the existence of this exact discrete symmetry. Indeed, SM sphaleron processes all respect this selection rule.

Further within this, we note that there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{2N_c}$  subgroup in which  $U(1)_{B+N_cL}$  intersects  $U(1)_{B-N_cL}$  directly, since leptons and antileptons have the same charge mod  $2N_c$ . Inside of this, fermion number can be realized as the order two subgroup of  $B \pm N_cL$  rotations by  $e^{i\pi F}$ , since the only fields charged under  $B$  or  $L$  in the SM have odd  $B$  or  $L$  charges and are fermions, and  $N_c$  is also odd. Summarizing these relationships, we have

$$U(1)_{B-N_cL} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L \supset \mathbb{Z}_{2N_cN_g}^{B+N_cL} \supset \mathbb{Z}_{2N_c}^{B\pm N_cL} \supset (-1)^F,$$

among anomaly-free global symmetries of the SM.

We note also that the  $U(1)_B$  symmetry we have defined in the SM at high energies may more accurately be named ‘quark number’. It is in the confined phase that  $B_{\text{usual}} \equiv B/N_c$  really counts baryons, which must be constructed with the  $SU(N_c)$  invariant tensor  $\varepsilon_{i_1i_2\dots i_{N_c}}$  to be colorless. If we strictly work in an effective theory below nuclear energy scales, then there are no  $B - N_cL$  unit charges, and it is sensible to work with baryon minus lepton number as usually defined  $B/N_c - L$ . The above subgroup series is then modified to

$$U(1)_{B/N_c-L} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L \supset \mathbb{Z}_{2N_g}^{B/N_c+L} \supset (-1)^F.$$

The proton, with  $B/N_c = 1$  and as the lightest baryon in the broken phase, then cannot decay while satisfying both  $\Delta(B/N_c - L) = 0$  and  $\Delta(B/N_c + L) = 0 \pmod{2N_g}$ .

While many theories beyond the SM explicitly break these global symmetries, in the face of increasingly stringent constraints on the lifetime of the proton it may be worth reconsidering the prospects that it is absolutely stable. I, for one, would welcome the possibility of there being one fewer looming existential threat.

### Earlier Work

Work on anomalies of discrete symmetries began with [12,13]. A variety of authors have considered exotic *gauged*  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  symmetries to stabilize the proton in the context of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) and extensions thereof (e.g., [14–22]), where baryon and lepton number are no longer classical global symmetries. Other interesting related work includes [23–33]. I note especially that, while conducting extensive literature review, I found that [34] on the global structure of the SM gauge group noted the existence of a  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}$  anomaly-free subgroup of  $B/N_c + L$ , and [35] on discrete symmetries in the MSSM mentioned in their Footnote 7 that a  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}$  subgroup of  $B/N_c$  protects the proton in the SM. Soon after this work appeared, Ref. [36] explored the incompatibility of the  $B/N_c + L$  symmetry with a variety of grand unification schemes. I beg the pardon of any experts who know the facts explained in this manuscript already, and I hope the preceding dedicated discussion remains of use to the community.

**Funding:** This research was supported by an Oehme Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Enrico Fermi Institute at the University of Chicago.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** I am grateful to Clay Cordova, Carlos Wagner, Liantao Wang, and especially T. Daniel Brennan and Sungwoo Hong for helpful discussions.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

### References

1. Bertlmann, R.A. *Anomalies in Quantum Field Theory*; Oxford University Press Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1996.
2. Fujikawa, K. Path Integral Measure for Gauge Invariant Fermion Theories. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1979**, *42*, 1195–1198. [CrossRef]
3. Adler, S.L. Axial vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics. *Phys. Rev.* **1969**, *177*, 2426–2438. [CrossRef]
4. Bell, J.S.; Jackiw, R. A PCAC puzzle:  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  in the  $\sigma$  model. *Nuovo Cim. A* **1969**, *60*, 47–61. [CrossRef]
5. Atiyah, M.F.; Singer, I.M. The index of elliptic operators on compact manifolds. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **1963**, *69*, 422–433. [CrossRef]
6. Fujikawa, K. Path Integral for Gauge Theories with Fermions. *Phys. Rev. D* **1980**, *21*, 2848. [CrossRef]

7. Morrissey, D.E.; Tait, T.M.P.; Wagner, C.E.M. Proton lifetime and baryon number violating signatures at the CERN LHC in gauge extended models. *Phys. Rev. D* **2005**, *72*, 095003. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Kuzmin, V.A.; Rubakov, V.A.; Shaposhnikov, M.E. On the Anomalous Electroweak Baryon Number Nonconservation in the Early Universe. *Phys. Lett. B* **1985**, *155*, 36. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Shaposhnikov, M.E. Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe in Standard Electroweak Theory. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1987**, *287*, 757–775. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Manton, N.S. Topology in the Weinberg-Salam Theory. *Phys. Rev. D* **1983**, *28*, 2019. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Klinkhamer, F.R.; Manton, N.S. A Saddle Point Solution in the Weinberg-Salam Theory. *Phys. Rev. D* **1984**, *30*, 2212. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Ibanez, L.E.; Ross, G.G. Discrete gauge symmetry anomalies. *Phys. Lett. B* **1991**, *260*, 291–295. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Ibanez, L.E.; Ross, G.G. Discrete gauge symmetries and the origin of baryon and lepton number conservation in supersymmetric versions of the standard model. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1992**, *368*, 3–37. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Ibanez, L.E. More about discrete gauge anomalies. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1993**, *398*, 301–318. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Hinchliffe, I.; Kaeding, T. B+L violating couplings in the minimal supersymmetric Standard Model. *Phys. Rev. D* **1993**, *47*, 279–284. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Kubo, J.; Suematsu, D. Suppressing the mu and neutrino masses by a superconformal force. *Phys. Rev. D* **2001**, *64*, 115014. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Dreiner, H.K.; Luhn, C.; Thormeier, M. What is the discrete gauge symmetry of the MSSM? *Phys. Rev. D* **2006**, *73*, 075007. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Dreiner, H.K.; Luhn, C.; Murayama, H.; Thormeier, M. Baryon triality and neutrino masses from an anomalous flavor U(1). *Nucl. Phys. B* **2007**, *774*, 127–167. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Lee, H.S.; Luhn, C.; Matchev, K.T. Discrete gauge symmetries and proton stability in the U(1)-prime—Extended MSSM. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2008**, *7*, 65.
20. Luhn, C.; Thormeier, M. Dirac neutrinos and anomaly-free discrete gauge symmetries. *Phys. Rev. D* **2008**, *77*, 056002. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Lee, H.S. Minimal gauge origin of baryon triality and flavorful signatures at the LHC. *Phys. Lett. B* **2011**, *704*, 316–321. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Anastopoulos, P.; Richter, R.; Schellekens, A.N. Discrete symmetries from hidden sectors. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2015**, *06*, 189. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Banks, T.; Dine, M. Note on discrete gauge anomalies. *Phys. Rev. D* **1992**, *45*, 1424–1427. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Preskill, J.; Trivedi, S.P.; Wilczek, F.; Wise, M.B. Cosmology and broken discrete symmetry. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1991**, *363*, 207–220. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Csaki, C.; Murayama, H. Discrete anomaly matching. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1998**, *515*, 114–162. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Dine, M. *Supersymmetry and String Theory: Beyond the Standard Model*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2016.
27. Araki, T.; Kobayashi, T.; Kubo, J.; Ramos-Sanchez, S.; Ratz, M.; Vaudrevange, P.K.S. (Non-)Abelian discrete anomalies. *Nucl. Phys. B* **2008**, *805*, 124–147. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Berasaluce-Gonzalez, M.; Ibanez, L.E.; Soler, P.; Uranga, A.M. Discrete gauge symmetries in D-brane models. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2011**, *12*, 113. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Evans, J.L.; Ibe, M.; Kehayias, J.; Yanagida, T.T. Nonanomalous Discrete R Symmetry Decreases Three Generations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *109*, 181801. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Tachikawa, Y. On gauging finite subgroups. *SciPost Phys.* **2020**, *8*, 15. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Kobayashi, T.; Uchida, H. Anomaly of non-Abelian discrete symmetries. *Phys. Rev. D* **2022**, *105*, 036018. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Anber, M.M.; Poppitz, E. Nonperturbative effects in the Standard Model with gauged 1-form symmetry. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2021**, *12*, 55. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Davighi, J.; Greljo, A.; Thomsen, A.E. Leptoquarks with Exactly Stable Protons. *arXiv* **2022**, arXiv:hep-ph/2202.05275.
34. Tong, D. Line Operators in the Standard Model. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2017**, *7*, 104. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Byakti, P.; Ghosh, D.; Sharma, T. Note on gauge and gravitational anomalies of discrete  $Z_N$  symmetries. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2018**, *1*, 15. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Wang, J.; Wan, Z.; You, Y.Z. Proton Stability: From the Standard Model to Ultra Unification. *arXiv* **2022**, arXiv:hep-ph/2204.08393.