



Article Nitrogen Fertilization Modified the Responses of *Schima* superba Seedlings to Elevated CO₂ in Subtropical China

Zhan Chen, Siyuan Ye, Jixin Cao and He Shang *

Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Environment of National Forestry and Grassland Administration, Research Institute of Forest Ecology, Environment and Protection, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing 100091, China; chenzhan0508@caf.ac.cn (Z.C.); ysy1994@126.com (S.Y.); caojix@163.com (J.C.) * Correspondence: shanghechina@caf.ac.cn; Tel.: +86-10-62888632

Abstract: There are very few studies about the effects of relatively higher CO₂ concentration (e.g., 1000 μ mol·mol⁻¹) or plus N fertilization on woody plants. In this study, *Schima superba* seedings were exposed to ambient or eCO₂ (550, 750, and 1000 μ mol·mol⁻¹) and N fertilization (0 and 10 g·m⁻²·yr⁻¹, hereafter: low N, high N, respectively) for one growth season to explore the potential responses in a subtropical site with low soil N availability. N fertilization strongly increased leaf mass-based N by 118.38%, 116.68%, 106.78%, and 138.95%, respectively, in different CO₂ treatments and decreased starch, with a half reduction in leaf C:N ratio. Leaf N was significantly decreased by eCO₂ in both low N and high N treatments, and N fertilization stimulated the decrease of leaf N and mitigated the increase of leaf C:N by eCO₂. In low N treatments, photosynthetic rate (Pn) was maximized at 733 μ mol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ in August and September, while, in high N treatments, Pn was continuously increased with elevation of CO₂. N fertilization significantly increased plant biomass especially at highly elevated CO₂, although no response of biomass to eCO₂ alone. These findings indicated that N fertilization would modify the response of *S. superba* to eCO₂.

Keywords: elevated CO₂; N fertilization; leaf N; photosynthesis; biomass

1. Introduction

Elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration (eCO₂) and N deposition are always important issues in the research of global change. Until today, amounts of previous studies reported the effects of eCO_2 alone or eCO_2 combined with N deposition on plants [1–6]. While, according to the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) Fifth Evaluation Report, the CO₂ concentrations will be between 430–480, 580–720, 720–1000 μ mol·mol⁻¹, and more than 1000 μ mol·mol⁻¹ in 2100 without additional mitigation efforts, respectively, in the four levels of Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs), RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, and RCP8.5 [7]. RCPs are used for making projections based on these factors, described four different 21st century pathways of greenhouse gas emissions and atmospheric concentrations, air pollutant emissions, and land use in this report [7]. N₂O emission will also increase with increasing CO_2 concentration, and will reach up to more than 20 T g yr⁻¹ until 2100 for scenario RCP8.5 [7]. And total reactive-N emissions were predicted to reach 83 and 114 Tg N yr⁻¹ by 2100 under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, respectively [8]. A model analysis over the globe indicated that large increases have occurred for all soluble reactive N fractions and will continuously occur mainly in Asia in the future, and NO_v deposition will be increased only in Asia [9]. Across all forms of deposition, rates of N deposition in Eastern Asia are among the highest in the world, in which China is a hotspot of N deposition with the greatest number of urban sites mentioned in 174 publications focusing on N deposition over the world [10].

Most of the previous experiments studied the effects of double ambient CO_2 concentration (about 700 μ mol·mol⁻¹) on plants, which could not predict the potential responses of the plants to the future CO_2 concentration based on RCP6.0 and RCP8.5. Although a few



Citation: Chen, Z.; Ye, S.; Cao, J.; Shang, H. Nitrogen Fertilization Modified the Responses of *Schima superba* Seedlings to Elevated CO₂ in Subtropical China. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, 383. https://doi.org/10.3390/ plants10020383

Received: 23 December 2020 Accepted: 9 February 2021 Published: 17 February 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). reports studied the effects of the higher CO₂ concentration like 1000 μ mol·mol⁻¹ on the crops [11–17], to the best of our knowledge, there have been no studies on the effects of about 1000 μ mol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ combined with N fertilization on woody plants.

This study aimed to explore the responses of native tree species to highly elevated CO₂ and N fertilization. S. superba is a representative tree species of subtropical forests in China and widely used in urban landscaping. Previous studies showed that photosynthesis, N:P ratio, biomass accumulation and allocation, and soil respiration of *S. superba* were affected by eCO₂ (about 700 μ mol·mol⁻¹) and N deposition [18–20]. Photosynthetic rate of S. superba seedlings was reduced, while height growth was enhanced by eCO_2 , after the exposure of 6 months [20]. Although eCO2 did not affect photosynthetic rate in high N treatment, S. superba grown in ambient N treatment had 23% and 47% greater photosynthate rate (Pn) in ambient CO₂ than those in eCO₂ after exposure of 20 and 31 months, and leaf N was also reduced by eCO₂ in both low and high N treatment [21]. If this species similarly responds to high CO₂ concentration as to about 700 μ mol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ in previous studies, how would it respond to high CO₂ and N fertilization? In this present study, we tried to explore the responses of gas exchange, carbohydrates and biomass to different levels of CO₂ concentration and N fertilization. We hypothesized that: 1) Pn, leaf N would be reduced much more at 1000 μ mol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ than at 700 μ mol·mol⁻¹ CO₂; and 2) nitrogen fertilization would affect the response of *S. superba* to eCO₂.

2. Results

2.1. Leaf N, C:N Ratio, and Carbohydrates

Leaf N was decreased with CO₂ concentration increasing at both low N and high N treatments (Figure 1, $R^2 = 0.9968$, p = 0.003 and $R^2 = 0.8798$, p = 0.034, respectively). High N significantly improved leaf N ($p \le 0.05$) (Figure 1) by 118.38%, 116.68%, 106.78%, and 138.95% compared to low N, respectively, at ambient air, 550, 750, and 1000 µmol·mol⁻¹ CO². Although soluble sugar content was not significantly affected by elevated CO₂ at low N, while it was linearly decreased with CO₂ enrich at high N (Figure 1, $R^2 = 0.8580$, p = 0.016). The starch content was linearly increased by eCO₂ under both low N and high N, while the increment at high N was slower than that at low N (Figure 1). N fertilization decreased the starch contents by 31.52%, 49.36%, 39.32%, and 52.00%, respectively, at ambient, 550, 750, and 1000 µmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂. Simultaneously, the accumulation of leaf N and reduction of sugar and starch by high N leaded to reduction in plant C:N ratio by 51.64%, 54.79%, 50.92%, and 59.67%, respectively, at ambient and elevated CO₂ concentration under N fertilization (Figure 1). Although leaf C:N was both increased with CO₂ concentration increasing at low and high N, the slope of the regression equation at high N was lower than that at low N.

2.2. Gas Exchange

In general, Pn, and g_s both decreased from July to September (Figures 2 and 3). In July, Pn was increased with CO₂ enrich at both low N and high N, with greater slope at high N (Figure 2). Pn was also linearly increased by eCO₂ at high N in August and September (p < 0.001), while, at low N, Pn was increased to the maximum at 733 µmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ and then decreased when CO₂ concentration continuously increased (Figure 2). Pn from plants exposed to 1000 µmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ at high N was increased of 73.68%, 119.90%, and 183.46%, respectively, measured in July, August, and September compared to ambient CO₂ at low N. CO₂ concentration, N fertilization and measuring time all had significant effects on Pn (p < 0.001), and there were significant interactions between CO₂ and N, CO₂ and measuring time, N and measuring time, while no significant impacts of CO₂, N and measuring time on Pn. No matter under low N or high N, g_s was significantly negatively correlated with eCO₂ in all measuring time (p < 0.001 at both low N and high N in July and August; p = 0.009 and 0.015, respectively, at low N and high N with almost equal slopes (0.02259 and 0.02300, respectively, at low N and high N), while, in August and September, g_s decreased



Figure 1. The different effects of elevated CO_2 on leaf N, carbohydrates and leaf C:N between low N and high N treatments. The results of two-factor analysis were also shown. NS: not significant. Leaf N, soluble sugar, and starch were all calculated by dry mass. Values are means \pm SE (n = 6).



Figure 2. The different responses of photosynthate rate to elevated CO₂ at low N and high N treatments. Pn, photosynthate rate. CO₂: different CO₂ concentrations; N: N fertilization; Date: measuring time; ***, **: significant at 0.001 and 0.01 level; NS: not significant; Jul: July; Aug: August; Sep: September.



Figure 3. The effects of elevated CO₂ and N fertilization on stomatal conductance. g_s, stomatal conductance. CO₂: different CO₂ concentrations; N: N fertilization; Date: measuring date; ***: significant at 0.001 level; NS: not significant. Jul: July; Aug: August; Sep: September.

2.3. Plant Biomass

Elevated CO₂ alone even up to 1000 µmol·mol⁻¹ had no effects on plant biomass, including different issue and total biomass, whether at low N or high N. While N fertilization impacted the biomass at eCO₂. In ambient CO₂ treatments, N fertilization had no effect on biomass. High N significantly increased leaf biomass and total biomass compared to plants in low N treatments at 550 µmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂. When plants exposed to 750 µmol·mol⁻¹, N fertilization increased root and total biomass. Notably, N fertilization significantly increased the biomass of each issue and also the total biomass when plants exposed to 1000 µmol·mol⁻¹. The results of two-way ANOVA indicated that eCO₂ had not any significant effects on plant biomass, while N fertilization significantly affected biomass (p < 0.001), without interaction between CO₂ and N fertilization (Table 1).

Table 1. Effects of elevated CO_2 and N fertilization on plant biomass. *p*-Values (in the brackets) indicate significant differences between mean values of low N and high N at the same CO_2 concentration. For the main effect of either CO2 or N fertilization, and the interaction between CO_2 and N fertilization, *p*-values indicate significant effects of that factor on these biomass variables; "NS" indicate no significant effects of a factor on biomass. dw, dry weight; Root, root biomass; Stem, stem biomass; Leaf, leaf biomass; Total, total biomass. CO_2 , different CO_2 concentration treatments; N, different N fertilization treatments; CO₂ × N, the interaction of CO_2 concentration and N fertilization.

CO (umpl mpl-1)	Biomass (g dw)					
$CO_2 (\mu mol mol^{-1})$		Root	Stem	Leaf	Total	
ambient	Low N	6.77 ± 0.89	5.37 ± 0.87	6.17 ± 1.08	18.30 ± 2.73	
	High N	$7.55 \pm 0.66 \\ (0.519)$	8.63 ± 1.52 (0.135)	$9.55 \pm 1.52 \ (0.144)$	25.73 ± 3.60 (0.176)	
550	Low N	5.50 ± 1.05	5.18 ± 0.45	5.30 ± 1.15	15.98 ± 2.60	
	High N	9.38 ± 1.75 (0.130)	7.10 ± 0.40 (0.063)	$10.13 \pm 0.52 \ (0.019)$	$26.62 \pm 2.83 \ (0.05)$	
750	Low N	5.42 ± 0.83	5.85 ± 0.81	5.53 ± 0.49	16.80 ± 1.35	
	High N	$\begin{array}{c} 11.70 \pm 1.70 \\ (0.30) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.42 \pm 2.65 \\ (0.077) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.17 \pm 2.44 \\ (0.056) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 36.28 \pm 6.51 \\ (0.043) \end{array}$	

			Biomass (g dw)		
$CO_2 (\mu mol \cdot mol^{-1})$		Root	Stem	Leaf	Total
1000	Low N	6.98 ± 1.02	5.95 ± 1.2	6.12 ± 0.91	19.05 ± 2.96
	High N	13.60 ± 1.94 (0.039)	12.45 ± 0.60 (0.008)	13.27 ± 0.15 (0.014)	39.32 ± 1.32 (0.003)
CO ₂		NS	NS	NS	NS
Ň		< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
$\text{CO}_2 imes \text{N}$		NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 1. Cont.

3. Discussion

Many studies have shown that eCO_2 caused a reduced N concentration, a decrease in g_s and an increase of starch accumulation [22,23], all of which were all confirmed by this present study. In this study, eCO_2 had a significant negative effect on leaf N in both low and high N treatments, and high N markedly increased leaf N compared to low N in all CO₂ treatments, which partially confirmed our first hypothesis that the reduction of leaf N would be much greater at 1000 μ mol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ than at 700 μ mol·mol⁻¹ CO₂. The reduction rate of leaf N induced by eCO₂ under high N treatments was quicker and the slope was larger than that under low N treatments (Figure 1). The possible reasons for leaf N decline in low N treatments include less N available [24] and CO₂ inhibition of nitrate assimilation [25]. While the rapid reduction of leaf N by eCO_2 in high N treatments could be interpreted as a dilution effect [26,27], because high N highly stimulated plant biomass (Figure 4). The study conducted in tropical China showed that eCO_2 increased leaf N of S. superba, while N fertilization had no effect leaf N [28], which was contrasted with our results that N fertilization affected the response of leaf N to eCO_2 . The different responses of S. superba to eCO₂ and N fertilization between the two studies were attributed to N availability. At tropical site in China, N was not a limiting factor due to high ambient N deposition [28], while, in our experimental site, plants were constrained by N and P [29].



Figure 4. The actual CO₂ concentration at different treatments during the growth season.

The reduction of leaf N caused by eCO_2 led to imbalance of C and N, and the C:N ratio increased with the increase of CO_2 concentration. While, it is pivotal to maintain the C:N ratio for various growth and development processes in plants productivity [22].

N fertilization alleviated the effects of eCO_2 on imbalance of C and N. Although eCO_2 could still increase leaf C:N under high N treatments, the slope of linear regression across CO_2 concentration was much smaller than that under low N treatments (Figure 1). The increment of C:N ratio could increase a large accumulation of carbohydrates [30–32] that cannot be used for structural growth, which was also confirmed in this present study that starch content was significantly accumulated under higher CO_2 concentration in both low N and high N treatments, and the slope of high N treatment was much lower than that of low N (Figure 1). Conversely, lower starch concentrations in high N seedlings than in low N seedlings imply that N fertilization mitigate the inhibition of growth by eCO_2 because starch accumulation is a passive response to decreased rates of growth [33]. This was confirmed by the plant biomass in our study, which was not affected by eCO_2 , and the plant biomass was greatly increased when N was applied at high CO_2 concentration (Figure 4).

Although there are exceptions to the general rule that g_s declines under eCO₂, in Free Air CO₂ Enrichment experiments, g_s was reduced by 22% on average at eCO₂ without any significant change in stomatal density, indicating that the change in stomatal aperture rather than density determines the response of g_s to eCO₂ [34]. Our study also confirmed that g_s was linearly decreased by eCO₂ in both low N and high N treatments. Compared with low N, high N increased the slope and intercept of the relationship between g_s and CO₂ concentration relationship, indicating that the responses of g_s of *S. superba* seedlings to eCO₂ was modified by N fertilization as expected in hypothesis 2.

Photosynthetic stimulation was maintained despite stomatal conductance was decreased especially in high N treatments, which is consistent with the previous finding [35]. The first hypothesis that Pn would be reduced much more at 1000 μ mol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ than at 700 µmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ was well verified in high N treatments, while, in low N treatments, Pn would decrease when CO₂ concentration exceeded 733 μ mol·mol⁻¹. Pn of S. superba showed downward trend in the whole measurement time, with September < August < July, which could be due to the change of environmental factors, such as the highest temperature in August and the lowest humidity in September in open top chambers (OTCs). Different from our findings that eCO₂ increased Pn in most treatments, Pn of S. superba was decreased by eCO_2 in both subtropical [20] and tropical sites [21]. The variation of Pn responses of the same species may be caused by the measurement conditions. In fact, in our experiment, Pn was measured in an OTC with the same CO_2 concentration corresponding to the treatment, whereas, in the previous studies, Pn was measured in ambient air without considering experimentally set CO_2 concentration [20,21]. When plants growing in e CO_2 were measured in ambient air, the change of background CO₂ concentration may lead to underestimation of Pn. In this study, Pn of low N treatments was linearly increased by eCO₂ only in July, while Pn of high N treatments increased linearly throughout July, August, and September, indicating that N modified the response of Pn to eCO_2 , which confirmed our second hypothesis. This was different to the findings of the previous study [28]; that is, N fertilization did not affect the effects of eCO_2 on photosynthesis of *S. superba* seedlings. This is due to N-limiting in our study site and not N-limiting in their study, which was clarified when discussing the different responses of leaf N of S. superba seedlings to eCO₂ and N fertilization above. In August and September, Pn increased and then decreased with elevation of CO₂ in low N treatments. Interestingly the maximum of Pn was both under 733 μ mol·mol⁻¹ CO₂, although the regression relationship was different (Figure 3), which may indicate that 733 μ mol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ was a certain threshold concentration for the growth of S. superba without N fertilization, while there was no threshold of CO₂ concentration for the positive linear correlation between CO₂ concentration and Pn when N was applied.

Although it is commonly reported that eCO_2 increase the rate of photosynthesis, low N availability could limit the enhancement of biomass accumulation [36]. In this study site, the soil total N content was 0.5%, which was relatively low. It was confirmed in this study that low N availability limited biomass accumulation and even eCO_2 reaching 1000 µmol·mol⁻¹ could not increase each organ and total biomass. Compared with plants

treated in low N, when plants exposed to eCO_2 , high N could alleviate low N availability and significantly increase total biomass. N fertilization also increased the biomass of root, stem and leaf when plants were exposed to 1000 µmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂. Other studies related to *S. superba* have also obtained similar results: eCO_2 had no effect on biomass, N fertilization promoted the growth [21] and biomass accumulation, and eCO_2 and N fertilization had a synergistic effect on biomass [37].

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Experimental Site and Design

The experiments were carried out in open top chambers (OTCs) of 2 m in diameter and 2.2 m in height, which was used to study the effects of elevated O₃ on plants in the past few years, and the details of the OTCs could be found in Chen et al. [38] and Yu et al. [39]. These field OTCs were set up in 2013, located in the Qianyanzhou ecological station of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (115°04′13″E, 26°44′48″N), with a subtropical monsoon climate. The mean annual temperature, mean annual precipitation, and mean relative humidity was 17.8 °C, 1471.2 mm, and 83%, respectively. Soil of the region, weathered from red sandstone and mudstone, is classified as Typic Dystrudepts Udepts Inceptisols using US soil taxonomy [40].

Four different CO₂ treatments were set according to RCPs of IPCC, as ambient air (about 400 μ mol·mol⁻¹), 550 μ mol·mol⁻¹, 750 μ mol·mol⁻¹, and 1000 μ mol·mol⁻¹, with three respective OTCs for each, and in total 12 OTCs. The concentration of CO₂ inside the OTCs was monitored with an CO₂ analyzer (FGD2-C-CO₂, Shenzhen Xin Hairui Science and Technology Development Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, China). The actual CO₂ concentration was shown in Figure 4. In each OTC, there were two nitrogen fertilization treatments, no nitrogen fertilization (low N), and 10 g·m⁻²·yr⁻¹ (high N). In total, there were eight treatments, and, for each treatment, there were five replicated seedlings and a total of ten seedling in each OTC. The mean light intensity in OTCs was 25,050 Lux, and the mean air temperature and humidity was 26.56 °C and 83.6% in OTCs, during the experiments.

4.2. Plant Growth

One-year-old seedlings of *S. superba* were transplanted to flower pots (diameter: 30 cm) containing local soil under ambient air condition in April 2018. The baseline soil nutrients were analyzed before the experiment, and the soils contained organic matter content of 8.63 g·kg⁻¹, total nitrogen of 500 mg·kg⁻¹, available *p* of 1.58 mg·kg⁻¹, available K of 20.1 mg·kg⁻¹, and soil pH was 4.70. In the end of April, the seedlings with similar height and basal stem diameter were selected and moved in to OTCs. And seven days later, the plants were fumigated with ambient or eCO₂ air. In each OTC, five plants were selected to receive nitrogen fertilization, and, on June 15, July 20, August 24, and September 20, 2018, 150 mL of KNO₃ solution (6.8 g·L⁻¹) per pot was added. The same volume of tap water was applied to the control plants as low N treatment at each time. The seedlings were watered with tap water as needed during the experiment.

4.3. Sampling, Physiological and Biochemical Measurements

To investigate the responses of *S. superba* to eCO_2 and N fertilization, two last years' fully expanded leaves (third to fifth leaf position from the apex) of the main stem per seedling, and these two leaves were developed before treatments began. Two seedlings per treatment were randomly selected to measure gas exchange parameters. Gas exchange parameters were measured once a month from July to September. On 26 October 2018, about five last year's fully expanded leaves (third to eighth leaf position from the apex) of the main stem per seedling and three seedlings per treatment in each OTC were randomly selected to determine plant nutrient. Finally, two seedlings without sampling leaves were harvested, and different tissues were dried at 70 °C to constant weight for dry biomass determination.

4.3.1. Plant C, N, and Carbohydrates

Oven-dried samples of different tissues were grounded to powder through a 2-mm sieve for C, N, and carbohydrates analyses. Plant N was decided by an automated Kjeldahl apparatus (KD310, Opsis, Sweden). To extract soluble sugars, powdered leaf (0.5 g, dry weight (dw)) was added to 50 mL distilled water, and then high-pressure steamed for 2 h. Starch was extracted with 0.1 g (dw) powdered material added 10 mL distilled water and 1 mL hydrochloric acid (2:1), and then incubated at 100 °C in a water bath for 8 h. After chilling to room temperature, the mixture was adjusted to neutral pH with 40% NaOH solution. Both of the mixtures were filtrated and diluted to a constant volume at a room temperature. Carbohydrates were represented by water-soluble sugar and starch. Carbohydrates were determined by injection of 10-uL sample volume into a high-performance liquid chromatography system using a Sugar-Pak 1 chromatographic column and a refractive index detector (Waters HPLC 2695, Milford, MA, USA). The column temperature was 70 °C, and distilled water was used as mobile phase (flow rate 0.6 mL/min).

4.3.2. Gas Exchange Measurement

Gas exchange was determined by a LI-6400 portable photosynthesis system (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA). The system controlled photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) at light-saturating 1000 μ mol·m⁻² s⁻¹ using 6400-2B red/blue light-emitting diode (LED) light source. The block temperature was set to the ambient average (25–30 °C). Relative humidity was controlled at 50–65%. The parameters were measured in OTC with ambient or elevated CO₂ between 9:00 a.m. and 11:30 a.m. The air temperature was 29.2, 32.6, and 31.1 °C, and relative humidity was 81.4%, 71.7%, and 66.2%, respectively, in July, August, and September in OTCs before gas-exchange data collection. The mean light intensity was 26533, 28333, and 30,033 Lux in July, August, and September in OTCs before data collection. The gas exchange parameters included photosynthate rate (Pn, μ mol·m⁻² s⁻¹), stomatal conductance (g_s, μ mol·m⁻² s⁻¹).

4.4. Statistics

 CO_2 treatment means were statistically compared, respectively, at low N and high N using the statistical package SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for leaf nutrition. The relationship between CO₂ concentration and means of leaf N, starch, soluble sugar, and C:N were analyzed using Pearson correlation, respectively, at low N and high N. Pearson correlation was also used to determine the relationship between CO₂ concentration and Pn and g_s . If there was significant correlation, the linear equation was generated by OriginPro 9.0. While, for Pn at low N in August and September, quadratic regression equation was used to explain the relationship between Pn and CO₂ concentration, biomass was analyzed by using one-way ANOVA, Tukey's HSD to determine the effects of CO₂ treatments, respectively, at low N and high N, and the effects of N fertilization were determined by *t*-test at each CO₂ level. The single and internal effects of eCO₂ and N fertilization on leaf nutrition and biomass, and eCO₂, N fertilization, and measuring date on Pn and g_s were determined by a multi-way ANOVA. All the figures were produced by OriginPro 9.0.

5. Conclusions

The responses of *S. superba* to eCO₂ are mediated by N fertilization. Although N fertilization increased leaf N of *S. superba*, leaf N was decreased by eCO₂ in both low N and high N, and N fertilization accelerated this reduction with larger slope of eCO₂ and leaf N relationship. High N decreased leaf C:N compared to low N, and the slope and intercept of leaf C:N and eCO₂ relationship were both decreased, indicating N fertilization also modified the responses of Pn and g_s to eCO₂. In low N treatments, Pn was improved with CO₂ concentration increasing in July, while, in August and September, Pn was increased and then decreased by eCO₂ with a threshold of 733 μ mol·mol⁻¹ CO₂, and, in high N

treatments, Pn was consistently increased by eCO_2 in all of July, August, and September. Through these ways, N fertilization application in this low N availability site significantly accumulated the biomass of the *S. superba* seedlings. Although this present study lasted only one growth season, the results indicated that global change with multi-factors, like both eCO_2 and N deposition, could have stronger effects on growth of woody plants, which should be paid continuous attention.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.S. and J.C.; methodology, Z.C. and S.Y.; software, Z.C.; Z.C.; investigation, Z. and S.Y.; data curation, Z.C., S.Y., and J.C.; writing—original draft preparation, Z.C.; writing—review and editing, Z.C. and H.S.; project administration, H.S.; funding acquisition, H.S. and J.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFD0600202-3) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (31800526).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study is available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: Thanks are due to the Qianyanzhou Ecological Station of the Chinese Academy of Sciences for assistance of experimental base. The authors really appreciate the reviewers for the kind and careful comments.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Maroco, J.P.; Breia, E.; Faria, T.; Pereira, J.S.; Chaves, M.M. Effects of long-term exposure to elevated CO₂ and n fertilization on the development of photosynthetic capacity and biomass accumulation in *Quercus suber* L. *Plant Cell Environ.* 2002, 25, 105–113. [CrossRef]
- De Kauwe, M.G.; Medlyn, B.E.; Zaehle, S.; Walker, A.P.; Dietze, M.C.; Hickler, T.; Jain, A.K.; Luo, Y.; Parton, W.J.; Prentice, I.C.; et al. Forest water use and water use efficiency at elevated CO₂: A model-data intercomparison at two contrasting temperate forest FACE sites. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2013, *19*, 1759–1779. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Newingham, B.A.; Vanier, C.H.; Charlet, T.N.; Ogle, K.; Smith, S.D.; Nowak, R.S. No cumulative effect of 10 years of elevated [CO₂] on perennial plant biomass components in the Mojave Desert. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **2013**, *19*, 2168–2181. [CrossRef]
- Taylor, B.N.; Strand, A.E.; Cooper, E.R.; Beidler, K.V.; Schönholz, M.; Pritchard, S.G. Root length, biomass, tissue chemistry and mycorrhizal colonization following 14 years of CO₂ enrichment and 6 years of N fertilization in a warm temperate forest. *Tree Physiol.* 2014, 34, 955–965. [CrossRef]
- 5. Terrer, C.; Vicca, S.; Stocker, B.D.; Hungate, B.A.; Phillips, R.P.; Reich, P.B.; Finzi, A.C.; Prentice, I.C. Ecosystem responses to elevated CO₂ governed by plant–soil interactions and the cost of nitrogen acquisition. *New Phytol.* **2018**, *217*, 507–522. [CrossRef]
- 6. Xu, H.; Xie, H.; Wu, S.; Wang, Z.; He, K. Effects of elevated CO₂ and increased N fertilization on plant secondary metabolites and chewing insect fitness. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2019**, *10*. [CrossRef]
- IPCC. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Core Writing Team, Pachauri, R.K., Meyer, L.A., Eds.; IPCC: Geneva, Switzerland, 2014; 151p.
- Wang, R.; Goll, D.; Balkanski, Y.; Hauglustaine, D.; Boucher, O.; Ciais, P.; Janssens, I.; Penuelas, J.; Guenet, B.; Sardans, J.; et al. Global forest carbon uptake due to nitrogen and phosphorus deposition from 1850 to 2100. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2017, 23, 4854–4872. [CrossRef]
- 9. Kanakidou, M.; Myriokefalitakis, S.; Daskalakis, N.; Fanourgakis, G.; Nenes, A.; Baker, A.R.; Tsigaridis, K.; Mihalopoulos, N. Past, present, and future atmospheric nitrogen deposition. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 2016, *73*, 2039–2047. [CrossRef]
- Decina, S.M.; Hutyra, L.R.; Templer, P.H. Hotspots of nitrogen deposition in the world's urban areas: A global data synthesis. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 2020, 18, 92–100. [CrossRef]
- 11. Aranjuelo, I.; Cabrerizo, P.M.; Arrese-Igor, C.; Aparicio-Tejo, P.M. Pea plant responsiveness under elevated [CO₂] is conditioned by the N source (N₂ fixation versus NO₃ fertilization). *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2013**, *95*, 34–40. [CrossRef]
- 12. Lambreva, M.; Christov, K.; Tsonev, T. Short-term effect of elevated CO₂ concentration and high irradiance on the antioxidant enzymes in bean plants. *Biol. Plant.* **2006**, *50*, 617–623. [CrossRef]
- Ryan, G.D.; Rasmussen, S.; Xue, H.; Parsons, A.J.; Newman, J.A. Metabolite analysis of the effects of elevated CO₂ and nitrogen fertilization on the association between tall fescue (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*) and its fungal symbiont *Neotyphodium coenophialum*. *Plant Cell Environ.* 2014, 37, 204–212. [CrossRef]

- 14. Yoder, C.K.; Vivin, P.; Defalco, L.A.; Seemann, J.R.; Nowak, R.S. Root growth and function of three Mojave Desert grasses in response to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *New Phytol.* **2000**, *145*, 245–256. [CrossRef]
- 15. Polley, H.W.; Johnson, H.B.; Mayeux, H.S. Leaf physiology, production, water use, and nitrogen dynamics of the grassland invader *Acacia smallii* at elevated CO₂ concentrations. *Tree Physiol.* **1997**, *17*, 89–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McDonald, E.P.; Erickson, J.E.; Kruger, E.L. Can decreased transpiration limit plant nitrogen acquisition in elevated CO₂? *Funct. Plant Biol.* 2002, 29, 1115–1120. [CrossRef]
- 17. Chang, Z.; Ma, Y.; Zhou, C. Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration on water use efficiency of *Tamarix ramosissima* in an extremely arid region. *Environ. Earth Sci.* **2016**, *75*, 1409.1–1409.6. [CrossRef]
- Deng, Q.; Zhou, G.; Liu, J.; Liu, S.; Duan, H.; Zhang, D. Responses of soil respiration to elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen addition in young subtropical forest ecosystems in China. *Biogeosciences* 2010, 7, 315–328. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.; Huang, W.; Zhou, G.; Zhang, D.; Liu, S.; Li, Y. Nitrogen to phosphorus ratios of tree species in response to elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen addition in subtropical forests. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2013, 19, 208–216. [CrossRef]
- 20. Sheu, B.H.; Lin, C.K. Photosynthetic response of seedlings of the sub-tropical tree *Schima superba* with exposure to elevated carbon dioxide and temperature. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **1999**, *41*, 57–65. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.; Zhou, G.; Xu, Z.; Duan, H.; Li, Y.; Zhang, D. Photosynthesis acclimation, leaf nitrogen concentration, and growth of four tree species over 3 years in response to elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen treatment in subtropical China. *J. Soils Sediments* 2011, *11*, 1155–1164. [CrossRef]
- Kant, S.; Seneweera, S.; Rodin, J.; Materne, M.; Burch, D.; Rothstein, S.J.; Spangenberg, G. Improving yield potential in crops under elevated CO₂: Integrating the photosynthetic and nitrogen utilization efficiencies. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2012, *3*, 162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Lotfiomran, N.; Köhl, M.; Fromm, J. Interaction effect between elevated CO₂ and fertilization on biomass, gas exchange and C/N ratio of European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.). *Plants* **2016**, *5*, 38. [CrossRef]
- 24. Taub, D.R.; Wang, X. Why are nitrogen concentrations in plant tissues lower under elevated CO₂? A critical examination of the hypotheses. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **2008**, *50*, 1365–1374. [CrossRef]
- 25. Bloom, A.J.; Burger, M.; Kimball, B.A.; Pinter, J.P., Jr. Nitrate assimilation is inhibited by elevated CO₂ in field-grown wheat. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **2014**, *4*, 477–480. [CrossRef]
- 26. Li, L.; Manning, W.; Wang, X. Elevated CO₂ increases root mass and leaf nitrogen resorption in red maple (*Acer rubrum* L.). *Forests* **2019**, *10*, 420. [CrossRef]
- Calfapietra, C.; Tulva, I.; Eensalu, E.; Perez, M.; De Angelis, P.; Scarascia-Mugnozza, G.; Kull, O. Canopy profiles of photosynthetic parameters under elevated CO2 and N fertilization in a poplar plantation. *Environ. Pollut.* 2005, 137, 525–535. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Liu, J.; Zhang, D.; Zhou, G.; Duan, H. Changes in leaf nutrient traits and photosynthesis of four tree species: Effects of elevated [CO₂], N fertilization and canopy positions. *J. Plant Ecol.* **2012**, *5*, 376–390. [CrossRef]
- 29. Kou, L.; Guo, D.; Yang, H.; Gao, W.; Li, S. Growth, morphological traits and mycorrhizal colonization of fine roots respond differently to nitrogen addition in a slash pine plantation in subtropical China. *Plant Soil* **2015**, *391*, 207–218. [CrossRef]
- Wingler, A.; Purdy, S.; MacLean, J.A.; Pourtau, N. The role of sugars in integrating environmental signals during the regulation of leaf senescence. J. Exp. Bot. 2006, 57, 391–399. [CrossRef]
- Reddy, A.R.; Rasineni, G.K.; Raghavendra, A.S. The impact of global elevated CO₂ concentration on photosynthesis and plant productivity. *Curr. Sci.* 2010, 99, 46–57.
- 32. Kimball, B.A. Crop responses to elevated CO₂ and interactions with H₂O, N, and temperature. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **2016**, *31*, 36–43. [CrossRef]
- Vizoso, S.; Gerant, D.; Guehl, J.M.; Joffre, R.; Chalot, M.; Gross, P.; Maillard, P. Do elevation of CO₂ concentration and nitrogen fertilization alter storage and remobilization of carbon and nitrogen in pedunculate oak saplings? *Tree Physiol.* 2008, 28, 1729–1739. [CrossRef]
- 34. Ainsworth, E.A.; Rogers, A. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO₂]: Mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2007**, *30*, 258–270. [CrossRef]
- 35. Ainsworth, E.A.; Davey, P.A.; Hymus, G.J.; Osborne, C.P.; Rogers, A.; Blum, H.; Nösberger, J.; Long, S.P. Is stimulation of leaf photosynthesis by elevated carbon dioxide concentration maintained in the long term? A test with Lolium perenne grown for 10 years at two nitrogen fertilization levels under Free Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE). *Plant Cell Environ.* 2003, *26*, 705–714. [CrossRef]
- 36. Duval, B.D.; Blankinship, J.C.; Dijkstra, P.; Hungate, B.A. CO₂ effects on plant nutrient concentration depend on plant functional group and available nitrogen: A meta-analysis. *Plant Ecol.* **2012**, *213*, 505–521. [CrossRef]
- Duan, H.L.; Liu, J.X.; Deng, Q.; Chen, X.M.; Zhang, D.Q. Effects of elevated CO₂ and N deposition on plant biomass accumulation and allocation in subtropical forest ecosystems: A mesocosm study. *Chin. J. Plant Ecol.* 2009, 33, 570–579.
- 38. Chen, Z.; Maltz, M.R.; Cao, J.; Yu, H.; Shang, H.; Aronson, E. Elevated O₃ alters soil bacterial and fungal communities and the dynamics of carbon and nitrogen. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *677*, 272–280. [CrossRef]
- 39. Yu, H.; Cao, J.; Chen, Z.; Shang, H. Effects of elevated O₃ on physiological and biochemical responses in three kinds of trees native to subtropical forest in China during non-growing period. *Environ. Pollut.* **2018**, 234, 716–725. [CrossRef]
- 40. Wang, Y.; Wang, Z.L.; Wang, H.; Guo, C.; Bao, W. Rainfall pulse primarily drives litterfall respiration and its contribution to soil respiration in a young exotic pine plantation in subtropical China. *Can. J. For. Res.* **2012**, *42*, 657–666. [CrossRef]