



# Article Analysis of Auxin-Encoding Gene Family in Vigna radiata and It's Cross-Species Expression Modulating Waterlogging Tolerance in Wild Vigna umbellata

Chandra Mohan Singh <sup>1,†</sup>, Shalini Purwar <sup>2,†</sup>, Akhilesh Kumar Singh <sup>3</sup>, Bhupendra Kumar Singh <sup>4</sup>, Mukul Kumar <sup>1</sup>, Hitesh Kumar <sup>1</sup>, Aditya Pratap <sup>5</sup>, Awdhesh Kumar Mishra <sup>6,\*</sup> and Kwang-Hyun Baek <sup>6,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda 210 001, India; cmsingh@buat.edu.in (C.M.S.); mukulkumar@buat.edu.in (M.K.); hiteshkumar@buat.edu.in (H.K.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Basic and Social Sciences, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda 210 001, India; shalinipurwar@buat.edu.in
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Plant Protection, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda 210 001, India; akhileshkumarsingh@buat.edu.in
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Entomology, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda 210 001, India; bksingh@buat.edu.in
- <sup>5</sup> Crop Improvement Division, ICAR-Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur 208 024, India; aditya.pratap@icar.gov.in
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Yeungnam University, Gyeongsan 38541, Republic of Korea
- Correspondence: awdhesh@ynu.ac.kr (A.K.M.); khbaek@ynu.ac.kr (K.-H.B.);
- Tel.: +82-53-810-3029 (A.K.M. & K.-H.B.); Fax: +82-53-810-4769 (A.K.M. & K.-H.B.)
- These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Mungbean is known to be susceptible to waterlogging (WL) stress. Some of the wild species have the potential to tolerate this through various physiological and molecular mechanisms. Auxin Response Factor (ARF) and Auxin/Indole Acetic Acid (AUX/IAA), an early responsive gene family, has multiple functions in growth, development, and stress tolerance. Here, we report the first comprehensive analysis of the ARF and AUX/IAA gene family in mungbean. A total of 26 ARF and 19 AUX/IAA genes were identified from the mungbean genome. The ARF and AUX/IAA candidates were clearly grouped into two major clades. Further, the subgrouping within the major clades indicated the presence of significant diversity. The gene structure, motif analysis, and protein characterization provided the clue for further fundamental research. Out of the10 selected candidate genes, VrARF-5, VrARF-11, VrARF-25, and VrAUX/IAA-9 were found to significantly multiple-fold gene expression in the hypocotyl region of WL-tolerant wild relatives (PRR 2008-2) provides new insight into a role in the induction of lateral root formation under WL stress. The analysis provides an insight into the structural diversity of ARF and AUX/IAA genes in mungbean. These results increase our understanding of ARF and AUX/IAA genes and therefore offer robust information for functional investigations, which can be taken up in the future and will form a foundation for improving tolerance against waterlogging stress.

Keywords: seedling waterlogging; crop wild relatives; ARF; auxin-encoding genes; Vigna umbellata

# 1. Introduction

The genus *Vigna* is known as a diverse group of crops [1]; among them, mungbean is an important warm-season crop. It is a short-duration contingent crop that has high nutritious value including protein and other micro-nutrients. It also improves soil health due to a symbiotic association that improves soil fertility. Considering the acknowledged importance of this crop, its productivity is hampered by various biotic and abiotic stresses [2,3]. However, it is the main crop of the rainy season; therefore, crop growth and productivity are affected by high moisture and waterlogging stress. Waterlogging creates an anaerobic situation and



Citation: Singh, C.M.; Purwar, S.; Singh, A.K.; Singh, B.K.; Kumar, M.; Kumar, H.; Pratap, A.; Mishra, A.K.; Baek, K.-H. Analysis of Auxin-Encoding Gene Family in *Vigna radiata* and It's Cross-Species Expression Modulating Waterlogging Tolerance in Wild *Vigna umbellata*. *Plants* 2023, *12*, 3858. https:// doi.org/10.3390/plants12223858

Academic Editor: Alex Troitsky

Received: 20 September 2023 Revised: 6 November 2023 Accepted: 7 November 2023 Published: 15 November 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). inhibits root development and establishment, which leads to a reduction in the biomass of the plant. The induction of lateral roots is one of the basic adaptive mechanisms to cope with the effect of waterlogging [4]. Auxin has long been recognized as an important phytohormone, which has a diverse role in plant growth and development and stress responses [5,6]. It is widely distributed in higher plants. It has been suggested by earlier findings that the interaction of Aux/IAA with auxin response factor (ARF) has an important function in auxin signaling. The activity of ARF protein is inhibited by dimerization with Aux/IAA under low auxin concentration [7,8]. Enhanced auxin concentration leads to the release of ARF from a repressor heterodimer by promoting the degradation of Aux/IAA proteins through the TIR1 (ubiquitin-proteasome protein) pathway [9]. The ARF, in turn, binds to auxin response elements (AuxREs) on the promoters of these genes and regulates the expression of other primary/early auxin response genes [10]. A typical ARF protein comprises a conserved "N-terminal B3-like DNA-binding domain (DBD)" that regulates the expression of auxin-responsive candidates, a "C-terminal dimerization domain (CTD)" resembling domains III and IV of Aux/IAA proteins, and a variable middle region (MR) [11]. Aux/IAA proteins have been reported to generally have four functional domains, viz., I, II, III, and IV [12]. Domain I, an "N-terminal RD" represented by an "LxLxL" motif [13], can interact with the TOPLESS co-repressor. Domains III and IV, the C-terminal domains, repress the function of ARF and subsequently repress auxin signaling transduction through the dimerization of ARF [14–16]. Functional identification and characterization of ARF genes revealed that these genes have important functions in plant developmental stages. In Arabidopsis, leaf senescence and floral organ abscission were reported to be regulated by AtARF1 and AtARF2 [17], whereas AtARF2 was reported to act as a transcriptional repressor involved in the auxin-mediated control of leaf longevity [18]. Another gene, *IAA28*, was reported as a promoter of lateral root initiation in response to auxin signals as a transcription repressor [19]. Furthermore, two genes, NPH4/ARF7 and ARF19, were involved in leaf expansion and lateral root formation by auxin induction [20]. In rice, OsARF12 regulates root elongation; in tomato, three ARF genes including SIARF4, SIARF7, and SIARF10, and an Aux/ IAA gene, i.e., SIIAA9, were found to be involved in different functions of fruit development [21–23]. The above reports indicated that ARF genes are involved in multiple functions related to growth, development, and stress response. The genome-wide analysis indicated that in crop plants such as Zea mays, Arabidopsis thaliana, Sorghum bicolor, Oryza sativa, Glycine max, Brassica rapa, Vitis vinifera, Medicago truncatula, Populus trichocarpa, Gossypium raimondii, etc., the ARF and AUX/ IAA are encoded by relatively large gene families [16,24–31]. However, the systematic analysis of auxin-encoding genes in mungbean is still lagging. In light of these facts, the present study was conducted with the objective of the comprehensive analysis of the ARF and AUX/IAA gene family in mungbean and their expression in root tissues under waterlogging stress.

#### 2. Results

#### 2.1. Identification and Characterization of Auxin-Responsive Proteins in Vigna radiata

A total of 45 auxin-encoding protein sequences, i.e., VrARF (26) and VrAUX/IAA (19), have been identified in the *Vigna radiata* genome. These sequences are designated as VrARF-1 to VrARF-26 and VrAUX/IAA-1 to VrAUX/IAA-19 (Table S1). The lengths of VrARF and VrAUX/IAA proteins exhibited a range from 283 amino acids (VrARF-7) to 1142 amino acids (VrARF-14) in the case of VrARF, and from 183 amino acids (VrAUX/IAA-4) to 880 amino acids (VrAUX/IAA-2) in the case of VrAUX/IAA. Correspondingly, their molecular weights spanned from 31.83 to 126.85 kDa for VrARF and 20.14 to 95.8 kDa for VrAUX/IAA. The isoelectric points (pI) ranged between 5.12 (VrARF-5) and 8.88 (VrARF-26) for VrARF, and from 5.23 (VrAUX/IAA-5) to 8.46 (VrAUX/IAA-8) for VrAUX/IAA. Analysis of the coding sequence (CDS) lengths showed substantial structural diversity, varying from 841 to 4328 bp in VrARF and from 429 to 2813 bp in VrAUX/IAA. Subcellular localization prediction using the WoLF PSORT program indicated that the majority of VrARF and VrAUX/IAA proteins

were primarily situated in the outer membrane, extracellular space, and periplasm, as detailed in Table S1.

## 2.2. Evolutionary Tree, Gene Structure, Domain Architectures, and Motif Analyses

To investigate the evolutionary relationship of *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* genes, a tree was constructed by including 65 protein sequences of *At\_ARF* and *At\_AUX/IAA* from *Arabidopsis* (*AtSBT*), as shown in Figure 1a. The evolutionary tree is divided into three major groups. Notably, all three groups contain a mixture of both ARF and ARF-IAA genes. In group 1, there are 26 genes including 4 *VrARF* genes, 9 *AtAUX/IAA* genes, and 13 *AtARF* genes. Group 2 comprises 14 *VrARF* genes, 5 *AtAUX/IAA* genes, and 7 *AtARF* genes. Group III primarily consists of 19 *VrAUX/IAA* genes, 26 *AtAUX/IAA* genes, 4 *VrARF* genes, and 3 *AtARF* genes. It is worth noting that *AtARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* genes are found in close association with each other, while *AtAUX/IAA* genes and *VrAUX/IAA* genes also tend to cluster together.



Figure 1. Cont.



**Figure 1.** (a) Evolutionary tree of *ARF* and *AUX/IAA* genes in *Vigna radiata* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* protein sequences from *Vigna radiata* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* were used to construct the tree using MEGA 11 with the ML method. Subgroups 1, 2, and 3 are indicated by red, green, and blue colors, respectively. (b) Evolutionary relationship of *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* protein sequences from *Vigna radiata* were used to construct the tree using MEGA 11 with the ML method. Subgroups 1, 1, 2, and 3 are indicated by red, green, and blue colors, respectively. (b) Evolutionary relationship of *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* genes. The *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* protein sequences from *Vigna radiata* were used to construct the tree using MEGA 11 with the ML method.

Further, the relationship between *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* genes was assessed by constructing an evolutionary tree using 45 protein sequences of both genes (Figure 1b). The *VrARF* genes were categorized into major two subgroups—subgroup-A and subgroup-B, where the VrARF were grouped in one subgroup, while the VrAUX/IAA were grouped into another subgroup. The subgroupings within the major clades were also noted as A1, A2, and A3. In contrast, the *VrAUX/IAA* were grouped into eight subgroups: B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, B7, and B8. The inter and group similarity is represented in Table S2.

Regarding gene structure, most of the VrARF and VrAUX/IAA genes consisted of a single exon, although there were exceptions in VrAUX/IAA 2 and VrAUX/IAA 14, which contained two and three exons, respectively. While the genes within the same subgroup exhibited similar gene structures and exon numbers, variability in intron length was observed. This conservation in gene structure within each VrARF and VrAUX/IAA group underscored their high sequence similarity (Figure 2). Analysis of the domain architectures (Figure 3) revealed that both VrARF and VrAUX/IAA shared the Auxin\_IAA, also known as the CTD superfamily domain, at the C-terminal. Additionally, VrARF carried supplementary domains such as the Auxin\_resp, known as an MR superfamily, at the middle region, and the *N*-terminal B3 domain superfamily known as DBD (Bfill\_c\_EcoRII\_N\_B3 superfamily). It shows that all VrARFs/VrAUX/IAA contained the Auxin\_response superfamily as an intermediate domain. All VrARF proteins contained a complete Auxin\_IAA structure except class II of VrARFs, like VrARF5, VrARF6, VrARF7, VrARF9, VrARF13, VrARF22, and *VrARF25*. Similarly, DBD existed in all VrARF proteins except *VrARF26* and *VrARF15*. The "B3 domain superfamily" is known as the housing DNA-binding domain found in various transcription factors (TFs) and regulatory proteins (RPs). These domains play pivotal roles in DNA binding and gene expression regulation controlling diverse cellular processes like development, stress responses, and signaling pathways. Notably, VrARF 4 featured the PAT1 domain, implicated in mRNA translation and degradation regulation, and often linked with cytoplasmic P-bodies involved in mRNA storage, degradation, and translational repression.

The basic units of protein structures are protein motifs that directly determine the function of given proteins (Figure 4). To explain the diversification of VrARF and VrAUX/IAA proteins, the conserved and diverged motifs were further identified. A typical *Aux/IAA* gene is composed of four structure motifs (I, II, III, and IV) [32]. Most of the *VrARF/VrAUX/IAA* members contained four motifs. Seven members (VrARF5, VrARF6, VrARF7, VrARF9, VrARF13, VrARF22, and VrARF25) contained three motifs, while two members (*VrARF26* and *VrARF15*) contained only two domains. In the *VrARF/VrAUX/IAA*, a total of 10 conserved motifs were identified. The B3 domain corresponded to motifs 1, 2, and 5; likewise, the *ARF* domain consisted of motifs 6, 7, and 9; and the CTD domain was formed by motifs 4 and 8. Furthermore, the B3 domain and the *ARF* domain constituted a conserved DBD structure. There were 17 *ARF* members (*VrARF 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 23*), which contained all of the three domains, whereas *VrARF 15* contained only the ARF domain, and the rest of the 19 *VrAUX/IAA* contained only the CTD domain.



**Figure 2.** Intron–exon gene structure analysis of *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* genes. The yellow color shows the CDS region, the black line indicates introns, and the blue color indicates up and down regulatory sequences.



Figure 3. Characterization of VrARF and VrAUX-IAA protein structures by domain distribution.



Figure 4. Characterization of VrARF and VrAUX-IAA protein structures by protein motif distribution.

A total of 26 *VrARF* and 19 *VrAUX/IAA* genes were identified in the mungbean genome (Figure 5). Among these, seven *VrARF* genes were situated on specific scaffolds, while two scaffolds contained *VrAUX/IAA* genes. The remaining *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* genes were mapped onto different chromosomes. Notably, all the chromosomes carried *VrARF/VrAUX/IAA* genes except for chromosomes 4 and 6, which exclusively harbored *VrARF* genes, and chromosomes 9 and 11, which lacked VrARF genes. Chromosome 7 stood out with the highest number (7) of VrARF/VrAUX/IAA genes, whereas chromosome 1 had the fewest (2) genes.



**Figure 5.** Chromosomal distribution of *ARF* and *AUX/IAA* genes on mungbean (*V. radiata*) genome. The chromosome size is indicated by its relative length using the information from LIS. The scale (in megabase) on the left depicts the relative lengths of the different chromosomes in mungbean. The genes are depicted on the right side of each chromosome corresponding to the position of each gene. The linkage groups are given on the top. The figure was constructed using the MapChart program v 2.32.

To understand the synteny of VrARF/VrAUX/IAA genes across genomes, we conducted an analysis involving Arabidopsis thaliana, M. truncatula, and Vigna unguiculata (Figure 6 and Tables S3–S5). We compared the entire genomes of V. radiata and Arabidopsis thaliana, resulting in 34 gene pairs exhibiting synteny. Impressively, more than 60 gene pairs displayed collinear relationships between V. radiata and M. truncatula, and around 70 gene pairs were noted between V. radiata and Vigna unguiculata. The complexity of collinear relationships between V. radiata and V. unguiculata, as well as M. truncatula, surpassed that observed between M. truncatula and Arabidopsis thaliana. These findings collectively imply that V. radiata and Arabidopsis thaliana are relatively distantly related, while they show closer affinities with M. truncatula and V. unguiculata. Furthermore, within the same gene family, V. radiata genes share a closer relationship with those of V. unguiculata compared to Arabidopsis thaliana.



Vr. Vigna radiata At. Arabidopsis thaliana Mt. medicago truncatula Vu. Vigna unguiculata

**Figure 6.** Synteny analysis of IAA and ARF genes in the genomes of *Medicago truncatula, Arabidopsis thaliana*, and *V. ungiculata*. Orange, green, blue, and black marks represent the *V. radiata, Arabidopsis thaliana, Medicago trucatula*, and *Vigna ungiculata* chromosomes.

# 2.4. Prediction of VrARF and VrAUX/IAA Family Interaction Networks

Using the STRING (https://string-db.org/) software [33] (accessed on 5 September 2023), we predicted a protein-protein interaction network between VrARF and VrAUX/IAA. This network revealed interactions among 18 VrAUX/IAAs and 21 VrARFs forming a complex web of protein interactions (Figure 7). Our findings indicated that certain VrARF proteins had the ability to interact with multiple VrAUX/IAAs, and conversely, some VrAUX/IAAs exhibited interactions with multiple VrARFs. Notably, we observed that eight VrARF proteins (VrARF-1, VrARF-2, VrARF-7, VrARF-10, VrARF-14, VrARF-18, VrARF-25, and VrARF-26) which function as activators, displayed strong interactions with a majority of VrAUX/IAA proteins. Additionally, we found that VrAUX/IAA-11 interacted not only with VrAUX/IAA-14 but also with several VrARFs, indicating its central role in mediating interactions within this network. Moreover, we identified co-expression correlations among many VrARF genes, suggesting their involvement in shared regulatory pathways. For instance, VrAUX/IAA-9 displayed high co-expression levels with 15 VrARFs, as well as with VrAUX/IAA-2. Similarly, VrAUX/IAA-8 exhibited co-expression with 10 VrARFs. These findings suggest that VrAUX/IAA-9, VrAUX/IAA-2, and VrAUX/IAA-8 might serve as key regulators among the 45 VrARFs present in this network. This predictive protein-protein interaction network and the co-expression patterns uncovered in our study offer valuable insights into the intricate regulatory mechanisms involving VrAUX/IAA and VrARF proteins, shedding light on their potential roles in various cellular processes.



**Figure 7.** Predicted protein–protein interaction network of *VrARFs* and *VrAUX/IAA*. The network contains 39 nodes (18 *VrAUX/IAAs* and 21 *VrARFs*).

# 2.5. Expression Profiling

Five *VrARF* and five *VrAUX/IAA* genes selected based on the presence of different domains were used for expression profiling in the hypocotyl region of one waterlogging-susceptible (WS), IPM 2-3, and waterlogging-tolerant (WT), PRR 2008-2 (Figures 8 and 9). The *VrARF-1* exhibited a significant increase (about 3.2-fold) in the WT genotype 3 days after waterlogging stress (3-DAWS), whereas it was upregulated about 4.1-fold at 6 DAWS. In WS, it was upregulated but expression was very low, about 1.2–1.8-fold only. A similar pattern was noticed with the VrARF-5, 11, and 22, but the extent of expression was higher at 6 DAWS, at about 5.9-, 7.1-, and 3.9-fold, respectively. The candidate gene *VrARF-25* showed the maximum expression of about 83-fold at 3 DAWS in the WT genotype with very lower up-regulation in WS. The relative expression of *VrAUX/IAA-2* was found to decrease at all the day points under waterlogging stress in both the WS and WT genotypes. *VrAUX/IAA-7, VrAUX/IAA-9,* and *VrAUX/IAA-15* were found upregulated in WT genotypes upon waterlogging stress, whereas a significant decrease was noticed in the WS genotype upon waterlogging stress.



**Figure 8.** qRT-PCR analysis of selected *VrARF* candidate genes (**A**) *VrARF-4*, (**B**) *VrARF-5*, (**C**) *VrARF-11*, (**D**) *VrARF-22*, (**E**) *VrARF-25* on WT-*V*. *umbellata* (PRR 2008-2) and WS-.*V*. *radiata* (IPM 2-3) under waterlogging stress. The same letter shows non-significant differences, whereas different letters show significant differences.



**Figure 9.** qRT-PCR analysis of selected *VrAUX/IAA* candidate genes (**A**) *VrAUX/IAA-2*, (**B**) *VrAUX/IAA-7*, (**C**) *VrAUX/IAA-9*, (**D**) *VrUX/IAA-13*, (**E**) *VrAUX/IAA-15* on WT-*V*. *umbellata* (PRR 2008-2) and WS-.*V. radiata* (IPM 2-3) under waterlogging stress. The same letter shows non-significant differences, whereas different letters show significant differences.

# 3. Discussion

Auxin, a plant hormone, is crucial for controlling different aspects of growth and development in plants. Two important components in auxin signaling are IAA and ARF, which play important roles in regulating downstream processes [34]. The quantities of IAA and ARF components vary among different plant species. For instance, Arabidopsis thaliana contains 29 AtIAAs and 23 AtARFs, rice contains 31 AtIAAs and 25 AtARFs, M. truncatula contains 25 MtIAAs and 40 MtARFs, and soybean contains 63 GmIAAs and 55 *GmARFs* [16,35–39]. In the present study, we focused on *V. radiata* and identified 26 VrARF genes and 19 VrAUX/IAA genes using updated genome data. These genes exhibited variations in their predicted molecular weight (MW) and isoelectric point (pI), similar to observations in rice IAAs and *Brachypodium distachyon* ARF [16,40]. These variations suggest that the diverse VrARF/VrAUX/IAA proteins might serve different functions based on their microenvironment. During the evolutionary processes of genes, changes have been made in their structure, which has led to the addition or reduction of exons/introns. In addition, it was reported that the induction speed of a gene is influenced by the intron number, and genes with fewer introns might be quickly induced [41–43]. Interestingly, all VrARF and VrAUX/IAA genes consist of a single exon. This is distinct from some other plants like *Brachypodium distachyon, Oryza sativa,* and *Prunus mume,* which have multiple exons. In cases where genes within the same subgroup have a similar number of exons and introns, it often suggests similar functions. However, exceptions have been noted in Arabidopsis, chickpeas, rice, and tobacco, where the number of exons and introns differs despite being in the same subfamily [37,44]. This variation in gene structure within a subfamily may arise from the genes evolving diverse functions over time. An Aux/IAA protein usually comprises four specific sections named I, II, III, and IV, known as domains [9,45]. Among the total of 26 VrARF and 19 VrAUX/IAA proteins, most contained all four domains. However, some proteins, such as VrARF5, VrARF6, VrARF7, VrARF9, VrARF13, VrARF22, and VrARF25, were missing at least one domain. The absence of domain I in proteins like 7 suggested a possible loss in their ability to engage TOPLESS (TPL) co-repressors. Consequently, they might lose their function as repressors in auxin signaling. Moreover, proteins like VrARF26 and VrARF15, along with their counterparts in Arabidopsis thaliana [34], lacked domain II. This indicated that these proteins wouldn't degrade under higher levels of auxin. Recent research has revealed that, instead of TIR1/AFB-mediated degradation, non-canonical Aux/IAA proteins are stabilized by auxin-triggered phosphorylation via upstream protein kinases. This stabilization plays a role in gene expression through ARF transcription factors, which in turn influences differential growth during certain developmental processes. It is also possible that some of the deduced protein sequences could be pseudogenes or have low expression levels. The expression of genes without domain II was notably low in root tissues, which aligned with findings in other plant species like *Brassica napus*. Similarly, certain VrIAA genes, such as VrAUX/IAA26 and VrAUX/IAA15, had lost both domains I and II. As observed in other plants, various truncated Aux/IAA proteins exist, each associated with distinct functions within the auxin signaling pathway. To put it simply, Aux/IAA proteins are composed of four segments. Some VrARF and VrAUX/IAA proteins lack certain segments, affecting their role in auxin signaling. These variations in segments are linked to the different functions that Aux/IAA proteins perform in the auxin signaling process across various plants.

An ARF protein typically consists of three distinct sections: DBD, MR, and CTD [46]. These components serve specific functions within the protein. The DBD is responsible for binding to auxin response elements located in the promoters of genes responsive to auxin signals [47]. The MR region's amino acid composition determines whether it acts as an activator or repressor [26]. On the other hand, the CTD takes part in interactions between different ARF proteins [48]. Among the VrARF proteins, 15 have complete domains, whereas *VrARF15* is missing both the DBD and CTD domains. The rest of the VrARF proteins lack only the CTD domain. This observation suggests that some VrARF proteins may operate in a manner not dependent on auxin signals. Similar to this, *AtARF3*, which

is without the CTD domain, doesn't interact with elements of the standard TIR1/AFB signaling pathway. Instead, it functions independently of the TIR1/AFB receptor [49]. We conducted a synteny analysis of IAA and ARF genes in various plant species including *V. radiata*, *M. truncatula*, *A. thaliana*, and *Vigna unguiculata* using the TBtools software (https://github.com/CJ-Chen/TBtools/releases accessed on 5 September 2023). This analysis revealed a total of 34 instances of shared *VrARF/VrAUX/IAA-AtARF/IAA* genes within the Aux/IAA family. Furthermore, we identified 60 pairs of *VrARF/VrAUX/IAA* and *MtARF/IAA* genes, as well as 73 pairs of *VrARF/VrAUX/IAA* and *VuARF/IAA* genes within the ARF family. These findings strengthen the notion that *V. radiata* is more closely related to *V. unguiculata* and *Medicago truncatula ARF/AUX/IAA* genes than to *Arabidopsis thaliana* in terms of evolutionary relationships.

Protein–protein interactions play a pivotal role in numerous biological processes, including signal transduction, as well as the regulation of gene expression. One critical aspect of these interactions involves the mediation of auxin responses through the interplay between ARF (Auxin Response Factor) and Aux/IAA proteins [13]. Therefore, investigating the interaction between IAA and ARF in V. radiata has significant importance. In our study, we constructed protein-protein interaction networks that unveiled 192 unique interaction combinations involving 18 VrAUX/IAA and 21 VrARFs. In contrast, we observed 161 specific interactions among 18 MtIAAs and 24 MtARFs in M. truncatula, whereas Arabidopsis thaliana displayed a large number (213) of specific interactions involving 19 ARFs and 29 Aux/IAAs. Remarkably, our data highlighted that up to 84% of ARFs interacted with Aux/IAA factors, a finding substantiated by the successful integration of the co-expression maps with the protein–protein interaction data [50]. Additionally, our observations illustrated that a single ARF protein in V. radiata could interact with multiple Aux/IAA proteins, and conversely, the reverse also held true. For instance, VrARF 1, 2, 14, 18, 25, and 26 exhibited interactions with 18 VrAUX/IAA. VrAUX/IAA-11 exhibited interactions not only with VrARF-16 but also with VrAUX/IAA-16 proteins. This pattern of interactions parallels the findings in tomato plants, where *SIARF2A* has been reported to interact with five *SIIAAs*, and *SIARF6A* with at least 11 SIIAAs [51]. Likewise, in M. truncatula, MtARF29 displayed interactions with MtIAA12 and MtIAA21, along with numerous MtARFs [32]. Similarly, key transcriptional activators such as AtARF5, AtARF6, AtARF7, AtARF8, and AtARF19 were observed to interact with almost all the Aux/IAA proteins in the case of *Arabidopsis thaliana* [50]. The predictive interaction networks, as well as the co-expression network generated through our study, offer valuable insights for further exploration into the regulation of VrAux/IAA-VrARF interactions and their influence on growth and development, in addition to an adaptation to environmental stresses in V. radiata.

Crop wild relatives (CWRs) are known for their potential for stress tolerance against various biotic and abiotic stresses [2,3,52,53]. Kumari et al. [54] explored the endemic wild *Vigna* species and identified some of the potential sources of resistance to yellow mosaic disease. Sahu et al. [55] screened the cultivated wild *Vigna* gene pool and identified potential sources of bruchid resistance. Purwar et al. [56] performed the expression of R genes in two wild *Vigna* species, and their comparative study with mungbean, and found the higher expression in wild species. Likewise, Tripathi et al. [57] noticed a higher basal and induced expression of four R gene wild two *Vigna* accessions. These indicated the potential of wild species. The expression analysis of WS and WT genotypes indicated that the *VrARF-5*, *VrARF-11*, *VrARF-25*, *VrAUX/IAA-9*, and *VrAUX/IAA-15* play an important role in waterlogging stress tolerance in the WT *Vigna* genotype, PRR 2008-2. Therefore, the results of the present study pave the way for further investigation on the functional characterization of ARF and AUX/IAA proteins and their further potential use toward genetic amelioration of mungbean for waterlogging stress tolerance.

# 4. Materials and Methods

## 4.1. Identification and Characterization of VrARF and VrAUX/IAA Protein Sequences

The Hidden Markov Model (HMM) of VrARF and VrAUX/IAA was obtained from the Pfam PF06507 and PF 02309 database as a query to search against the *Vigna radiata* genome database of the legume information system (https://www.legumeinfo.org/ (accessed on 1 June 2023). Further, each of the selected candidates was examined for the conserved structure domain using NCBI-CDD [58]. ExPAsy was used to estimate the molecular weight (MW) and isoelectric points (pI) of the *MaSBTs* [59]. The sub-cellular localization and signal peptide were predicted by using the online software CELLO life, WoLF PSort (http://cello.life.nctu.edu.tw/ (accessed on 5 September 2023), https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp/ (accessed on 5 September 2023)), and SignalP (https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/service. php?SignalP-5.0 (accessed on 5 September 2023), respectively [60–62].

#### 4.2. Evolutionary Tree, Domain, Gene Structure and Motif Analyses

The identified VrARF and VrAUX/IAA protein sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega with the default parameters. The evolutionary trees were prepared by using MEGA 11 using the maximum likelihood (ML) method based on the Jones–Taylor–Thornton (JTT) matrix-based model > 1000 replications bootstrap [63]. *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* genes were checked for intron and exon structure using GSDS software V. 2.0. The gene structure characteristics and exon–intron organizations of the VrARF and VrAUX/IAA were exhibited using the TBtools program, based on the comparison among the full-length genome sequences and the protein-coding sequences of the given genes [64]. The VrARF (26) and VrAUX/IAA (19) protein domains and active motif functions were analyzed in the Pfam database (pfan.xfam.org). The web-based motif identification servers, MEME-Suit (Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation, memesuite.org/meme/), were used to detect potential motifs with the following parameters: motif width < 50, motifs < 20, and e-value < 1 × 10<sup>-5</sup> [65].

## 4.3. Chromosomal Distribution and Synteny Analyses

The physical position of all the identified *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* genes were obtained from the legume information system (https://www.legumeinfo.org/ accessed on 5 September 2023) and genes from short arm to long arm were mapped on to their corresponding chromosomes in ascending order using MapChart 2.32 (http://www.joinmap.nl accessed on 5 September 2023). The syntenic blocks of *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA*, *AtARF* /*AUX/IAA*, *MtARF/IAA*, and *VuARF/IAA* were visualized by Mcscan [66].

#### 4.4. Plant Materials and Stress Treatment

The plant material for the present study comprised one waterlogging-susceptible (WS, IPM 2-3) and one waterlogging-tolerant (WT, PRR 2008-2) *Vigna* accessions belonging to *V. radiata* and *V. umbellata*. Two sets of experiments were conducted under a non-stressed environment (control) and a stressed environment (WL). Each set of experiments was laid out in a completely randomized block design (CRD) with three replications. Ten seeds from each accession were grown in seedling bags. The 7-day-old seedlings were subjected to WL (2 cm WL from the soil surface) for up to 6 days.

### 4.5. RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

The 100 mg of frozen hypocotyl samples in the liquid nitrogen were homogenized using tissue lyser-II (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). This was followed by RNA extraction using the plant RNA extraction kit (RNeasy Mini Kit, Qiagen) as per the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, the RNA was subjected to DNase treatment to remove the DNA contaminants, and 1  $\mu$ g of RNA was reverse-transcribed by using the Revert Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The quantification of cDNA was conducted on a micro-volume spectrophotometer (QIAExpert, Qiagen) and normalized by 100 ng/ $\mu$ L for qRT-PCR analysis.

## 4.6. Quantitative Real-Time PCR Analysis

Ten gene-specific primers were designed for expression analysis (Table S6). The PCR reactions comprised 10  $\mu$ L of 2 × SYBR green q-PCR master mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1  $\mu$ L of 10 pmol each of forward and reverse primers (Eurofins, India), 6  $\mu$ L of nuclease-free water, and 2  $\mu$ L of cDNA. The fast cycling approach was adopted, with 2 min initial denaturation at 96 °C, 40 cycles of 20 s denaturation at 96 °C, and 45 s annealing and extension at 60 °C. The *Actin* gene was used as an internal control. qRT-PCR analysis was carried out using a Rotor Gene Q-6000 RealTime PCR machine (Qiagen). Three biological replicates were taken, and two technical replicates were used for expression analysis. The relative expression levels of the genes were calculated via the delta-delta CT method [67].

#### 4.7. Predicted Protein Interaction Network and Co-Expression Network Construction

The interacting networks of VrARF and VrAUX/IAA proteins were integrated into the STRING [68] (https://www.string-db.org/ (accessed on 5 September 2023) software, followed by an export of the co-expression network data from STRING, which was further calculated using Microsoft Excel 2019.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/plants12223858/s1, Table S1. Characterization of *VrARF* and *VrAUX/IAA* candidates identified in mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) genome. Table S2: Estimates of average evolutionary divergence over sequence pairs within (intra) and between (inter) the groups. Table S3: Synteny analysis of VrAUX-IAA and VrARF genes with *Vigna radiata* genome. Table S4: Synteny analysis of VrAUX-IAA and VrARF genes with *Medicago truncatula*. Table S5: Synteny analysis of VrAUX-IAA and VrARF genes with *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Table S6: Primers used in the expression profiling.

**Author Contributions:** C.M.S., A.K.M. and B.K.S. conceived the idea and planned the work; A.K.S., S.P., M.K. and H.K. retrieved the data from the database; C.M.S. and S.P. analyzed the data; S.P. drafted the manuscript, A.P. and K.-H.B. edited the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The present work was financially supported by the Ministry of Agriculture, Agriculture Education and Research, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, under the projects "Center of Excellence in Dryland Agriculture (CEDA/2018-23)" and "Establishment of Central Laboratory Facility under RKVY (1132/12-3-2020-100(09)/2018TC)".

**Data Availability Statement:** All the data generated in this experiment were presented in the manuscript and its Supplementary Files.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Singh, C.M.; Pratap, A.; Kumar, H.; Singh, S.; Singh, B.K.; Prasad, D.; Dhaliwal, I.; Kumar, M. Recent advances in omics approaches for mungbean improvement. In *Technologies in Plant Biotechnology and Breeding of Field Crops*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2022; pp. 181–200.
- Singh, C.M.; Singh, P.; Tiwari, C.; Purwar, S.; Kumar, M.; Pratap, A.; Singh, S.; Chugh, V.; Mishra, A.K. Improving drought tolerance in Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek): Morpho-physiological, biochemical and molecular Perspectives. *Agronomy* 2021, 11, 1534. [CrossRef]
- 3. Singh, C.M.; Singh, P.; Pratap, A.; Pandey, R.; Purwar, S.; Douglas, C.A.; Baek, K.-H.; Mishra, A.K. Breeding for enhancing Legumovirus resistance in mungbean: Current understanding and future directions. *Agronomy* **2019**, *9*, 622. [CrossRef]
- Sreeratree, J.; Butsayawarapat, P.; Chaisan, T.; Somta, P.; Juntawong, P. RNA-Seq reveals waterlogging-triggered root plasticity in mungbean associated with ethylene and jasmonic acid signal integrators for root regeneration. *Plants* 2022, *11*, 930. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Singh, V.K.; Rajkumar, M.S.; Garg, R.; Jain, M. Genome-wide identification and co-expression network analysis provide insights into the roles of auxin response factor gene family in chickpea. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 10895. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, S.-B.; Xie, Z.-Z.; Hu, C.-G.; Zhang, J.-Z. A review of auxin response factors (ARFs) in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016, 7, 47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hagen, G.; Guilfoyle, T. Auxin-responsive gene expression: Genes, promoters and regulatory factors. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2002, 49, 373–385. [CrossRef]

- 8. Ulmasov, T.; Hagen, G.; Guilfoyle, T.J. ARF1, a transcription factor that binds to auxin response elements. *Science* **1997**, 276, 1865–1868. [CrossRef]
- 9. Guilfoyle, T.J.; Hagen, G. Auxin response factors. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2007, 10, 453–460. [CrossRef]
- 10. Berleth, T.; Krogan, N.T.; Scarpella, E. Auxin signals—Turning genes on and turning cells around. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 2004, 7, 553–563. [CrossRef]
- Tiwari, S.B.; Wang, X.-J.; Hagen, G.; Guilfoyle, T.J. AUX/IAA proteins are active repressors, and their stability and activity are modulated by auxin. *Plant Cell* 2001, *13*, 2809–2822. [CrossRef]
- 12. Tiwari, S.B.; Hagen, G.; Guilfoyle, T.J. Aux/IAA proteins contain a potent transcriptional repression domain. *Plant Cell* **2004**, *16*, 533–543. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Szemenyei, H.; Hannon, M.; Long, J.A. TOPLESS mediates auxin-dependent transcriptional repression during *Arabidopsis* embryogenesis. *Science* **2008**, *319*, 1384–1386. [CrossRef]
- 14. Tiwari, S.B.; Hagen, G.; Guilfoyle, T. The roles of auxin response factor domains in auxin-responsive transcription. *Plant Cell* **2003**, *15*, 533–543. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Ouellet, F.; Overvoorde, P.J.; Theologis, A. IAA17/AXR3: Biochemical insight into an auxin mutant phenotype. *Plant Cell* **2001**, *13*, 829–841. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Jain, M.; Kaur, N.; Garg, R.; Thakur, J.K.; Tyagi, A.K.; Khurana, J.P. Structure and expression analysis of early auxin-responsive *Aux/IAA* gene family in rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Funct. Integr. Genom.* **2006**, *6*, 47–59. [CrossRef]
- 17. Lim, P.O.; Lee, I.C.; Kim, J.; Kim, H.J.; Ryu, J.S.; Woo, H.R.; Nam, H.G. Auxin response factor 2 (ARF2) plays a major role in regulating auxin-mediated leaf longevity. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2010, *61*, 1419–1430. [CrossRef]
- Rogg, L.E.; Lasswell, J.; Bartel, B. A gain-of-function mutation in IAA28 suppresses lateral root development. *Plant Cell* 2001, 13, 465–480. [CrossRef]
- 19. Qi, Y.; Wang, S.; Shen, C.; Zhang, S.; Chen, Y.; Xu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Wu, Y.; Jiang, D. OsARF12, a transcription activator on auxin response gene, regulates root elongation and affects iron accumulation in rice (*Oryza sativa*). *New Phytol.* **2012**, *193*, 109–120. [CrossRef]
- 20. Wilmoth, J.C.; Wang, S.; Tiwari, S.B.; Joshi, A.D.; Hagen, G.; Guilfoyle, T.J.; Alonso, J.M.; Ecker, J.R.; Reed, J.W. NPH4/ARF7 and ARF19 promote leaf expansion and auxin-induced lateral root formation. *Plant J.* **2005**, *43*, 118–130. [CrossRef]
- Guillon, F.; Philippe, S.; Bouchet, B.; Devaux, M.-F.; Frasse, P.; Jones, B.; Bouzayen, M.; Lahaye, M. Down-regulation of an Auxin Response Factor in the tomato induces modification of fine pectin structure and tissue architecture. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2008, *59*, 273–288. [CrossRef]
- De Jong, M.; Wolters-Arts, M.; Feron, R.; Mariani, C.; Vriezen, W.H. The Solanum lycopersicum auxin response factor 7 (SIARF7) regulates auxin signaling during tomato fruit set and development. Plant J. 2009, 57, 160–170. [CrossRef]
- Hendelman, A.; Buxdorf, K.; Stav, R.; Kravchik, M.; Arazi, T. Inhibition of lamina outgrowth following *Solanum lycopersicum* AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR 10 (SIARF10) derepression. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2012, 78, 561–576. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Kalluri, U.C.; DiFazio, S.P.; Brunner, A.M.; Tuskan, G.A. Genome-wide analysis of *Aux/IAA* and *ARF* gene families in *Populus trichocarpa*. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2007, 7, 59. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Deng, D.; Bian, Y.; Lv, Y.; Xie, Q. Genome-wide analysis of primary auxin-responsive *Aux/IAA* gene family in maize (*Zea mays.* L.). *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2010, 37, 3991–4001. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shen, C.; Yue, R.; Sun, T.; Zhang, L.; Xu, L.; Tie, S.; Wang, H.; Yang, Y. Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of auxin response factor gene family in *Medicago truncatula*. Front. Plant Sci. 2015, 6, 73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Wan, S.; Li, W.; Zhu, Y.; Liu, Z.; Huang, W.; Zhan, J. Genome-wide identification, characterization and expression analysis of the auxin response factor gene family in *Vitis vinifera*. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2014**, *33*, 1365–1375. [CrossRef]
- 28. Wu, J.; Wang, F.; Cheng, L.; Kong, F.; Peng, Z.; Liu, S.; Yu, X.; Lu, G. Identification, isolation and expression analysis of auxin response factor (ARF) genes in *Solanum lycopersicum*. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2011**, *30*, 2059–2073. [CrossRef]
- 29. Wu, J.; Peng, Z.; Liu, S.; He, Y.; Cheng, L.; Kong, F.; Wang, J.; Lu, G. Genome-wide analysis of *Aux/IAA* gene family in Solanaceae species using tomato as a model. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* **2012**, *287*, 295–311. [CrossRef]
- 30. Ellis, C.M.; Nagpal, P.; Young, J.C.; Hagen, G.; Guilfoyle, T.J.; Reed, J.W. AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR1 and AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR2 regulate senescence and floral organ abscission in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Development* **2005**, 132, 4563–4574. [CrossRef]
- Mun, J.-H.; Yu, H.-J.; Shin, J.Y.; Oh, M.; Hwang, H.-J.; Chung, H. Auxin response factor gene family in *Brassica rapa*: Genomic organization, divergence, expression, and evolution. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* 2012, 287, 765–784. [CrossRef]
- 32. Liu, R.; Guo, Z.; Lu, S. Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the Aux/IAA and auxin response factor gene family in *Medicago truncatula*. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 10494. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Szklarczyk, D.; Gable, A.L.; Lyon, D.; Junge, A.; Wyder, S.; Huerta-Cepas, J.; Simonovic, M.; Doncheva, N.T.; Morris, J.H.; Bork, P.; et al. STRING v11: Protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019, 47, D607–D613. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dreher, K.A.; Brown, J.; Saw, R.E.; Callis, J. The Arabidopsis Aux/IAA protein family has diversified in degradation and auxin responsiveness. *Plant Cell* 2006, 18, 699–714. [CrossRef]
- Cheng, W.; Zhang, M.; Cheng, T.; Wang, J.; Zhang, Q. Genome-wide identification of Aux/IAA gene family and their expression analysis in *Prunus mume*. Front. Genet. 2022, 13, 1013822. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Overvoorde, P.J.; Okushima, Y.; Alonso, J.M.; Chan, A.; Chang, C.; Ecker, J.R.; Hughes, B.; Liu, A.; Onodera, C.; Quach, H. Functional genomic analysis of the AUXIN/INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID gene family members in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* 2005, 17, 3282–3300. [CrossRef]
- Okushima, Y.; Overvoorde, P.J.; Arima, K.; Alonso, J.M.; Chan, A.; Chang, C.; Ecker, J.R.; Hughes, B.; Lui, A.; Nguyen, D. Functional genomic analysis of the AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR gene family members in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Unique and overlapping functions of ARF7 and ARF19. *Plant Cell* 2005, *17*, 444–463. [CrossRef]
- 38. Shen, C.; Wang, S.; Bai, Y.; Wu, Y.; Zhang, S.; Chen, M.; Guilfoyle, T.J.; Wu, P.; Qi, Y. Functional analysis of the structural domain of ARF proteins in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Exp. Bot. 2010, 61, 3971–3981. [CrossRef]
- 39. Singh, V.K.; Jain, M. Genome-wide survey and comprehensive expression profiling of Aux/IAA gene family in chickpea and soybean. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2015, *6*, 918. [CrossRef]
- 40. Zhou, X.; Wu, X.; Li, T.; Jia, M.; Liu, X.; Zou, Y.; Liu, Z.; Wen, F. Identification, characterization, and expression analysis of auxin response factor (ARF) gene family in *Brachypodium distachyon*. *Funct. Integr. Genom.* **2018**, *18*, 709–724. [CrossRef]
- 41. Faraji, S.; Ahmadizadeh, M.; Heidari, P. Genome-wide comparative analysis of Mg transporter gene family between *Triticum turgidum* and *Camelina sativa*. *BioMetals* **2021**, *34*, 639–660. [CrossRef]
- 42. Yaghobi, M.; Heidari, P. Genome-wide analysis of aquaporin gene family in *Triticum turgidum* and its expression profile in response to salt stress. *Genes* **2023**, *14*, 202. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Hashemipetroudi, S.H.; Arab, M.; Heidari, P.; Kuhlmann, M. Genome-wide analysis of the laccase (LAC) gene family in *Aeluropus littoralis*: A focus on identification, evolution and expression patterns in response to abiotic stresses and ABA treatment. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 1112354. [CrossRef]
- 44. Wang, D.; Pei, K.; Fu, Y.; Sun, Z.; Li, S.; Liu, H.; Tang, K.; Han, B.; Tao, Y. Genome-wide analysis of the auxin response factors (ARF) gene family in rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Gene* **2007**, *394*, 13–24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, H.; Wang, B.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, J.; King, G.J.; Liu, K. Genome-wide analysis of the auxin/indoleacetic acid (Aux/IAA) gene family in allotetraploid rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *BMC Plant Biol.* 2017, 17, 204. [CrossRef]
- Le, B.; Nawaz, M.A.; Rehman, H.M.; Le, T.; Yang, S.H.; Golokhvast, K.S.; Son, E.; Chung, G. Genome-wide characterization and expression pattern of auxin response factor (ARF) gene family in soybean and common bean. *Genes Genom.* 2016, 38, 1165–1178. [CrossRef]
- 47. Chandler, J.W. Auxin response factors. Plant. Cell Environ. 2016, 39, 1014–1028. [CrossRef]
- Roosjen, M.; Paque, S.; Weijers, D. Auxin response factors: Output control in auxin biology. J. Exp. Bot. 2018, 69, 179–188. [CrossRef]
- 49. Kubeš, M.; Napier, R. Non-canonical auxin signalling: Fast and curious. J. Exp. Bot. 2019, 70, 2609–2614. [CrossRef]
- 50. Piya, S.; Shrestha, S.K.; Binder, B.; Stewart Jr, C.N.; Hewezi, T. Protein-protein interaction and gene co-expression maps of ARFs and Aux/IAAs in *Arabidopsis*. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 744. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, R.; Agarwal, P.; Pareek, A.; Tyagi, A.K.; Sharma, A.K. Genomic survey, gene expression, and interaction analysis suggest diverse roles of ARF and Aux/IAA proteins in Solanaceae. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2015, 33, 1552–1572. [CrossRef]
- 52. Pratap, A.; Douglas, C.; Prajapati, U.; Kumari, G.; War, A.R.; Tomar, R.; Pandey, A.K.; Dubey, S. *Breeding Progress and Future Challenges: Biotic Stresses*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; pp. 55–80. [CrossRef]
- Nair, R.M.; Pandey, A.K.; War, A.R.; Hanumantharao, B.; Shwe, T.; Alam, A.; Pratap, A.; Malik, S.R.; Karimi, R.; Mbeyagala, E.K. Biotic and abiotic constraints in mungbean production—Progress in genetic improvement. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2019, 10, 1340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kumari, G.; Pratap, A.; Lavanya, R.G.; Akram, M.; Rathore, M.; Madhavan, L.; Singh, Y.; Singh, N.P. Potential resistant donors for yellow mosaic disease identified from endemic wild *Vigna* species. *J. Food Legum.* 2021, 34, 10–16.
- Sahu, P.; Singh, M.; Pandey, R.; Mishra, M.K.; Singh, A.K.; Singh, B.K.; Singh, S.K.; Rai, A.; Chugh, V.; Shukla, G. Screening of Comprehensive Panel of Cultivated and Wild Vigna Species for Resistance to Pulse Beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. *Biology* 2023, 12, 781. [CrossRef]
- Purwar, S.; Singh, C.M.; Kumar, M.; Singh, A.K.; Pratap, A.; Singh, P.; Gore, P.G.; Singh, N.P. Genome-wide identification and analysis of NBS-LRR-encoding genes in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) and their expression in two wild non-progenitors reveal their role in *MYMIV* resistance. J. Plant Growth Regul. 2023, 42, 6667–6680. [CrossRef]
- 57. Tripathi, A.; Singh, C.M.; Kumar, M.; Purwar, S.; Mishra, A.; Kumar, D.; Singh, A.K.; Kumar, S.; Singh, S.; Singh, N.P. Identification of potential sources of mungbean yellow mosaic India virus resistance in black gram (*Vigna mungo*) and expression of antioxidants and R-genes modulating resistance response in cultivated and its two wild relatives. *Plant Breed.* 2023, 142, 668–681. [CrossRef]
- Marchler-Bauer, A.; Lu, S.; Anderson, J.B.; Chitsaz, F.; Derbyshire, M.K.; DeWeese-Scott, C.; Fong, J.H.; Geer, L.Y.; Geer, R.C.; Gonzales, N.R. CDD: A Conserved Domain Database for the functional annotation of proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2010, 39, D225–D229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wilkins, M.R.; Gasteiger, E.; Gooley, A.A.; Herbert, B.R.; Molloy, M.P.; Binz, P.-A.; Ou, K.; Sanchez, J.-C.; Bairoch, A.; Williams, K.L. High-throughput mass spectrometric discovery of protein post-translational modifications. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1999, 289, 645–657. [CrossRef]
- Horton, P.; Park, K.-J.; Obayashi, T.; Fujita, N.; Harada, H.; Adams-Collier, C.J.; Nakai, K. WoLF PSORT: Protein localization predictor. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2007, 35, W585–W587. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Teufel, F.; Almagro Armenteros, J.J.; Johansen, A.R.; Gíslason, M.H.; Pihl, S.I.; Tsirigos, K.D.; Winther, O.; Brunak, S.; von Heijne, G.; Nielsen, H. SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language models. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2022, 40, 1023–1025. [CrossRef]
- 62. Yu, C.; Chen, Y.; Lu, C.; Hwang, J. Prediction of protein subcellular localization. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinform.* **2006**, *64*, 643–651. [CrossRef]
- Tamura, K.; Stecher, G.; Kumar, S. MEGA11: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2021, 38, 3022–3027. [CrossRef]
- 64. Chen, C.; Chen, H.; Zhang, Y.; Thomas, H.R.; Frank, M.H.; He, Y.; Xia, R. TBtools: An integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. *Mol. Plant* 2020, *13*, 1194–1202. [CrossRef]
- 65. Granziol, D.; Ru, B.; Zohren, S.; Dong, X.; Osborne, M.; Roberts, S. MEMe: An accurate maximum entropy method for efficient approximations in large-scale machine learning. *Entropy* **2019**, *21*, 551. [CrossRef]
- 66. Krzywinski, M.; Schein, J.; Birol, I.; Connors, J.; Gascoyne, R.; Horsman, D.; Jones, S.J.; Marra, M.A. Circos: An information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res.* 2009, 19, 1639–1645. [CrossRef]
- Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2<sup>-ΔΔCT</sup> method. *Methods* 2001, 25, 402–408. [CrossRef]
- von Mering, C.; Jensen, L.J.; Snel, B.; Hooper, S.D.; Krupp, M.; Foglierini, M.; Jouffre, N.; Huynen, M.A.; Bork, P. STRING: Known and predicted protein–protein associations, integrated and transferred across organisms. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2005, 33, D433–D437. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.