

Article **Mechanistic Approach on Melatonin-Induced Hormesis of Photosystem II Function in the Medicinal Plant** *Mentha spicata* **†**

Michael Moustakas 1,* [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0480-9387) Ilektra Sperdouli ² [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8755-0421) Ioannis-Dimosthenis S. Adamakis ³ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9200-0862) Begüm ¸Sa¸s 1,4 , Sumrunaz ˙I¸sgören 1,5, Julietta Moustaka [6](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5770-9748) and Fermín Morales 7,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1834-4322)

- ¹ Department of Botany, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece; begum.sas99@gmail.com (B.Ş.); isgorensn@gmail.com (S.İ.)
- 2 Institute of Plant Breeding and Genetic Resources, Hellenic Agricultural Organisation-Demeter (ELGO-Demeter), 57001 Thessaloniki, Greece; ilektras@bio.auth.gr
- ³ Section of Botany, Department of Biology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 15784 Athens, Greece; iadamaki@biol.uoa.gr
- ⁴ School of Life Sciences, Faculty of Biotechnology, ITMO University, Kronverkskiy Prospekt 49, 19710 Saint-Petersburg, Russia
- ⁵ Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Istanbul Kültür University, Ataköy 7-8-9-10, 34158 Bakırköy, Turkey
- ⁶ Department of Food Science, Aarhus University, 8200 Aarhus, Denmark; julietta_moustaka@food.au.dk
- 7 Instituto de Agrobiotecnología (IdAB), CSIC-Gobierno de Navarra, Avda. de Pamplona 123, 31192 Mutilva, Navarra, Spain
- ***** Correspondence: moustak@bio.auth.gr (M.M.); fermin.morales@csic.es (F.M.)
- † This paper is dedicated to the memory of Professor Javier Abadía, an excellent scientist and a wonderful person.

Abstract: Melatonin (MT) is considered a new plant hormone having a universal distribution from prokaryotic bacteria to higher plants. It has been characterized as an antistress molecule playing a positive role in the acclimation of plants to stress conditions, but its impact on plants under nonstressed conditions is not well understood. In the current research, we evaluated the impact of MT application (10 and 100 μ M) on photosystem II (PSII) function, reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, and chlorophyll content on mint (*Mentha spicata* L.) plants in order to elucidate the molecular mechanism of MT action on the photosynthetic electron transport process that under non-stressed conditions is still unclear. Seventy-two hours after the foliar spray of mint plants with 100 µM MT, the improved chlorophyll content imported a higher amount of light energy capture, which caused a 6% increase in the quantum yield of PSII photochemistry (Φ*PSII*) and electron transport rate (ETR). Nevertheless, the spray with 100 µM MT reduced the efficiency of the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC), causing donor-side photoinhibition, with a simultaneous slight increase in ROS. Even so, the application of 100 µM MT decreased the excess excitation energy at PSII implying superior PSII efficiency. The decreased excitation pressure at PSII, after 100 μ M MT foliar spray, suggests that MT induced stomatal closure through ROS production. The response of Φ*PSII* to MT spray corresponds to a J-shaped hormetic curve, with Φ*PSII* enhancement by 100 µM MT. It is suggested that the hormetic stimulation of PSII functionality was triggered by the non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) mechanism that stimulated ROS production, which enhanced the photosynthetic function. It is concluded that MT molecules can be used under both stress and non-stressed conditions as photosynthetic biostimulants for enhancing crop yields.

Keywords: chlorophyll content; reactive oxygen species; electron transport rate; non-photochemical quenching; PSII photochemistry; reaction centers; excitation pressure; stomatal closure; excess excitation energy

Citation: Moustakas, M.; Sperdouli, I.; Adamakis, I.-D.S.; Şaş, B.; İşgören, S.; Moustaka, J.; Morales, F. Mechanistic Approach on Melatonin-Induced Hormesis of Photosystem II Function in the Medicinal Plant *Mentha spicata*. *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 4025. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12234025) doi.org/10.3390/plants12234025

Academic Editor: Carmen Arena

Received: 10 November 2023 Revised: 26 November 2023 Accepted: 27 November 2023 Published: 29 November 2023

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

1. Introduction

Photosynthesis is a fundamental process to plant growth and development, but the plant's capability to achieve high photosynthetic activity simply depends on the environmental conditions [\[1\]](#page-10-0). Enhancing photosynthetic efficiency and improving crop performance stand as crucial and highly significant research challenges [\[2](#page-10-1)[–4\]](#page-10-2). Improving the quantum yield of photosystem II (PSII) stands as a pathway toward achieving increased efficiency and productivity in photosynthesis [\[5\]](#page-10-3).

Photosystem II (PSII) uses solar energy to provide electrons by oxidizing water. At PSII in the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC), the oxidation of H_2O results in oxygen (O₂), protons (H⁺), and electrons (e⁻) [\[6\]](#page-10-4). The e⁻ are transferred to NADP⁺, and coupled with this transfer, the proton gradient that is established drives the synthesis of ATP [\[6,](#page-10-4)[7\]](#page-10-5). The activity of PSII is regularly censored by chlorophyll *a* fluorescence measurements [\[8](#page-10-6)[–11\]](#page-10-7). Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence analysis is used extensively for acquiring information regarding the amount of absorbed light energy used for photochemistry (Φ*PSII*), the amount of regulated non-photochemical energy loss in PSII (Φ*NPQ*), and the amount of nonregulated energy loss in PSII (Φ_{NO}) [\[12–](#page-10-8)[14\]](#page-10-9). The sum of $\Phi_{PSII} + \Phi_{NPO} + \Phi_{NO}$ is equal to 1 [\[12\]](#page-10-8).

During the conversion of the light energy to chemical energy, reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), superoxide anion radical (O_2 ^{•-}), and singletexcited oxygen $(^1O_2)$, are constantly produced [\[7](#page-10-5)[,15–](#page-10-10)[17\]](#page-10-11). However, they are scavenged by different antioxidant mechanisms [\[15–](#page-10-10)[20\]](#page-11-0). When ROS production is not well adjusted by the antioxidant mechanisms, photooxidative stress develops [\[21\]](#page-11-1).

Melatonin (MT) is an indole molecule (*N*-acetyl-5-methoxytryptamine) naturally appearing in roots, leaves, fruits, and seeds [\[22,](#page-11-2)[23\]](#page-11-3), which was first discovered in the animal kingdom [\[24\]](#page-11-4). Melatonin in plants, which is called also phytomelatonin [\[25\]](#page-11-5), was detected in 1995 by various research groups [\[22](#page-11-2)[,26–](#page-11-6)[28\]](#page-11-7). The MT molecule plays crucial roles in an extensive variety of physiological processes, e.g., germination, root and shoot growth, photosynthesis, stomatal closure, osmoregulation, secondary metabolism, leaf senescence, circadian cycle regulation, flowering, and fruit setting, and in the protection against biotic and abiotic factors [\[29–](#page-11-8)[33\]](#page-11-9). The identification in the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* of the first plant melatonin receptor, named PHYTOMELATONIN RECEPTOR 1 (AtPMTR1) [\[34\]](#page-11-10), unlocked the door to be considered a new plant hormone [\[29\]](#page-11-8). Melatonin has been shown to have a universal distribution from prokaryotic bacteria to higher plants, being a phylogenetically conserved molecule [\[35\]](#page-11-11). Melatonin activates or deactivates certain metabolic pathways, not merely by regulating gene and protein expression but also through posttranslational modifications of proteins [\[36\]](#page-11-12). It has been characterized as an antistress molecule playing a positive role in a number of environmental stresses, e.g., in low and high temperatures, salinity, drought, toxic chemicals, UV radiation, fungal diseases, and plant–pathogen interactions [\[37](#page-11-13)[,38\]](#page-11-14). Melatonin is related to plant hormones, e.g., abscisic acid (ABA), cytokinins (CTK), gibberellins (GAs), ethylene (ETH), indole acetic acid (IAA), jasmonic acid (JA), brassinosteroids (BR), salicylic acid (SA), and strigolactone (SL) [\[39](#page-11-15)[,40\]](#page-11-16). Plants have been found to possess much higher MT levels compared to animals, possibly as a compensatory response to their lack of mobility, to withstand harmful environmental conditions [\[40\]](#page-11-16). High MT concentrations have been measured in widespread beverages like tea, coffee, beer, and wine, and also in popular crops like wheat, rice, corn, oats, and barley [\[40\]](#page-11-16).

Exogenous application of MT can penetrate the plasma membranes increasing the endogenous MT levels [\[23,](#page-11-3)[41\]](#page-11-17). Endogenous MT is produced from tryptophan as an intermediate product of the shikimate pathway in the chloroplasts [\[42\]](#page-11-18). Melatonin under diverse stress conditions has a fundamental function in preserving the chlorophyll molecules and the photosynthetic function [\[43\]](#page-11-19). Additionally, MT interacts with other molecules like ROS, nitric oxide (NO), and Ca^{2+} to regulate the redox network [\[44](#page-11-20)[,45\]](#page-11-21). Melatonin and ROS signaling have been shown to be interrelated coordinately [\[30\]](#page-11-22). Melatonin-induced plant stress tolerance is linked with up-regulation of stress-induced transcription factors [\[46\]](#page-12-0).

Melatonin performs a key role in protein quality control in plants and thus functions as a pleiotropic molecule under both non-stress and stress conditions [\[46\]](#page-12-0). M has been extensively reported to the accuracy reported to the accuracy reported to μ

Melatonin (MT) has been extensively reported to contribute to the acclimation of $\frac{1}{2}$. metation (MT) has been extensively reported to contribute to the declinitation of plants to stress conditions [\[47\]](#page-12-1). The positive regulation of MT on photosynthetic efficiency parties to choice commutical party. The positive eigenfunctions are provided interesting and redox homeostasis under stress conditions has been frequently confirmed [\[48,](#page-12-2)[49\]](#page-12-3). Under saline-alkali stress conditions, exogenous MT increased the efficiency of light en-ergy capture and electron transport and improved soybean photosynthesis [\[50\]](#page-12-4). In rice plants under salt stress conditions, exogenous MT enhanced photosynthetic function by In proving antioxidant capacity, increasing the xanthophyll pool size, and enhancing pho-tosynthetic enzyme activities [\[47\]](#page-12-1). Furthermore, exogenous MT application increased strawberry fruit yield and quality under salinity stress [\[42\]](#page-11-18). During chilling stress, exogenous MT enhanced violaxanthin de-epoxidase activity accelerating the photoprotective heat dissipation of excitation energy, i.e., the non-photochemical quenching (NPQ), miti-gating photoinhibition [\[51\]](#page-12-5). In grafted Carya cathayensis plants under drought stress, MT regulated metabolic processes, including photosynthesis, antioxidant system, and gene expression [52]. Recently, Karumannil et al. [33] reviewed the molec[ular](#page-12-6) mechanisms of MT impact on photosynthetic function in different environmental conditions. However, the molecular mechanisms of the possible interaction between MT and photosynthetic function under non-stressed conditions have seldom been studied [\[53\]](#page-12-7).

In the current study, we evaluated the consequences of exogenous MT application on In the current study, we evaluated the consequences of exogenous MT application the PSII function of *Mentha spicata* plants, under non-stressed conditions. We also evaluated the impact of MT application on ROS generation, and chlorophyll content, in order to elucidate the molecular mechanism of MT action on photosynthetic electron transport that under non-stressed conditions is still unclear.

2. Results 2. Results

2.1. Melatonin Impact on Chlorophyll Content 2.1. Melatonin Impact on Chlorophyll Content

The chlorophyll content of mint plants, 72 h after the spray with 10 μ M melatonin
The chlorophyll content of mint plants, 72 h after the spray with 10 μ M melatonin (MT) did not differ from those that were sprayed with distilled water (dH₂O) (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). However, an 18% increase (*p* < 0.05) in chlorophyll content was observed in plants that However, an 18% increase (*p <* 0.05) in chlorophyll content was observed in plants that were sprayed with 100 µM MT compared to control plants (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). were sprayed with 100 μM MT compared to control plants (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Changes in the chlorophyll content of Mentha spicata leaves 72 h after the spray with 10 and and 100 μM MT, in comparison to control leaves (sprayed with distilled water). Different lowercase 100 µM MT, in comparison to control leaves (sprayed with distilled water). Different lowercase letters symbolize statistical differences ($p < 0.05$). The error bars in columns symbolize SD.

2.2. Changes in the Efficiency of the Oxygen Evolving Complex and the Maximum Efficiency of 2.2. Changes in the Efficiency of the Oxygen Evolving Complex and the Maximum Efficiency of PSII Photochemistry by Melatonin PSII Photochemistry by Melatonin

A malfunction of the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) was observed in mint plants, A malfunction of the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) was observed in mint plants, 72 h after the spray with MT, showing a decreased efficiency of 2.5% (*p <* 0.05) at 10 μM 72 h after the spray with MT, showing a decreased efficiency of 2.5% (*p* < 0.05) at 10 µM MT MT and of 6% (*p <* 0.05) at 100 μM MT, compared to control values (Figure 2a). An and of 6% (*p* < 0.05) at 100 µM MT, compared to control values (Figure [2a](#page-3-0)). An analogous analogous pattern was observed in the maximum efficiency of PSII photochemistry pattern was observed in the maximum efficiency of PSII photochemistry (F*v*/F*m*), with a decreased efficiency of 0.5% ($p < 0.05$) at 10 μ M MT and of 1% ($p < 0.05$) at 100 μ M MT, compared to plants sprayed with dH $_2$ O (Figure [2b](#page-3-0)).

Figure 2. Changes in the efficiency of the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) (F v /F o) (a), and the maximum efficiency of PSII photochemistry (Fv/Fm) (b), 72 h after the spray of Mentha spicata leaves with 10 and 100 µM MT, in comparison to control leaves (sprayed with distilled water). Different lowercase letters symbolize statistical differences (*p* < 0.05). The error bars in columns symbolize SD.

2.3. Partitioning of the Absorbed Light Energy after Foliar Application of Melatonin

To estimate the partitioning of the captured light energy at PSII, we assessed the effective quantum yield of PSII photochemistry (Φ_{PSII}), the quantum yield of regulated non-photochemical energy loss in PSII (Φ_{NPQ}), and the quantum yield of non-regulated energy loss in PSII (Φ_{NO}), with their sum (Φ_{PSII} + Φ_{NPQ} + Φ_{NO}) to be equal to 1 [\[12\]](#page-10-8).

The Φ_{PSII} of mint plants 72 h after the spray with 10 μ M MT did not differ from those th[at](#page-3-1) were sprayed with dH_2O (Figure 3a) at the growth light intensity (GL 200 µmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$) and at high light intensity (HL, intensity 1000 µmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$). In contrast, in mint plants, 72 h after the spray with 100 μ M MT, Φ_{PSII} increased ($p < 0.05$) by 6% at the GL intensity, but there was no difference at the HL intensity compared to h_{min} plants that were sprayed with dH_2O (Figure [3a](#page-3-1)).

Figure 3. Changes in the absorbed in the absorption of the absorbed discussed the absorbed energy; the effective quantum yield of PSIII energy; the effective quantum yield of PSIII energy; the effective quantum yield of photochemistry (\blacktriangleright *PSII*) (**a**), the quantum yield of regulated non-photochemical energy loss in (Φ*NPQ*) (**b**), the quantum yield of non-regulated energy dissipated in PSII (Φ*NO*) (**c**); and the photoprotective heat dissipation of excitation energy, i.e., the non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) (**d**); assessed all at the growth light intensity (GL, 200 μmol photons m[−]2 s−1), and at a high light intensity (HL, 1000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), 72 h after the spray of *Mentha spicata* leaves with 10 and 100 μM MT, compared to control leaves. Different lowercase or uppercase letters symbolize statistical differences ($p < 0.05$). The error bars in columns symbolize SD. Figure 3. Changes in the allocation of the absorbed light energy; the effective quantum yield of PSII photochemistry (Φ_{PSII}) (**a**), the quantum yield of regulated non-photochemical energy loss in PSII (Φ_{NPQ}) (b), the quantum yield of non-regulated energy dissipated in PSII (Φ_{NO}) (c); and the photoprotective heat dissipation of excitation energy, i.e., the non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) photoprotective heat dissipation of excitation energy, i.e., the non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) function that assigned a continuous margin intensity (GL, 200 mmol photons managements (GL) (d); assessed all at the growth light intensity (GL, 200 µmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$), and at a high light

 $Φ$ _{*NPQ*}, at both the GL intensity and the HL intensity, of mint plants sprayed with 10μ M MT did not differ from those that were sprayed with dH₂O (Figure [3b](#page-3-1)). However, in mint plants, 72 h after the spray with 100 μ M MT, Φ_{NPQ} decreased ($p < 0.05$) by 10% at the GL intensity, but it did not differ from those that were sprayed with dH_2O at the HL intensity (Figure [3b](#page-3-1)).

Φ*NPQ*, at both the GL intensity and the HL intensity, of mint plants sprayed with 10

MT treatment had no impact on the quantum yield of non-regulated energy loss in PSII (Φ_{*NO*}) at both the GL intensity and the HL intensity (Figure [3c](#page-3-1)).

2.4. Changes in Non-Photochemical Quenching by Melatonin Spray

The non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) of mint plants 72 h after the spray with 10 μ M MT did not differ from those that were sprayed with dH₂O at both the GL and the HL intensity (Figure 3d). In contrast, in mint plants, 72 h after the spray with 100 μ M MT, NPQ decreased ($p < 0.05$) by 7% at the GL intensity, but there was no difference at the HL intensity compared to plants that were sprayed with dH₂O (Figure [3d](#page-3-1)).

2.5. Melatonin Impact on PSII Reaction Centers and Their Efficiency z.s. incluining impact on I set reaction Centers and Their Efficiency

Photochemical quenching (qp) that represents the fraction of open PSII reaction centers, or in other words the redox state of quinone $A(Q_A)$, did not differ at both the GL intensity and the HL intensity, in mint plants sprayed with 10 μM MT compared to those that were sprayed with dH₂O (Figure [4a](#page-4-0)). However, in mint plants, 72 h after the spray with 100 μ M MT, qp increased ($p < 0.05$) by 6% at the GL intensity, but there was no difference at the HL intensity compared to plants that were sprayed with dH₂O (Figure [4a](#page-4-0)). The efficiency of open reaction centers (Fv'/Fm') in mint plants sprayed with 10 μ M MT decreased at the GL intensity compared to those that were sprayed with dH₂O but remained the same to $\frac{1}{2}$ controls at the HL intensity (Figure [4b](#page-4-0)). In contrast, in mint plants sprayed with 100 μ M
 $\frac{1}{2}$ MT, Fv'/Fm' remained the same as controls at the GL intensity (Figure [4b](#page-4-0)) but decreased at the HL intensity compared to plants that were sprayed with dH_2O (Figure [4b](#page-4-0)).

Figure 4. Changes in the fraction of open PSII reaction centers (qp) , a measure of the redox state of quinone A (QA) (**a**), and the efficiency of excitation energy capture by the open PSII reaction centers quinone A (QA) (**a**), and the efficiency of excitation energy capture by the open PSII reaction centers (Fv'/Fm') (b); assessed all at the growth light intensity (GL, 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), and at a high light intensity (HL, 1000 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), 72 h after the spray of Mentha spicata leaves with 10 and 100 μM MT, in comparison to control leaves (sprayed with distilled water). Different or upper case is the employee of the error bars in columns symbolize statistical differences (*p* μ lowercase or uppercase letters symbolize statistical differences ($p < 0.05$). The error bars in columns symbolize SD.

2.6. Changes in the Electron Transport Rate and the Excess Excitation Energy by Melatonin Spray

The electron transport rate (ETR) of mint plants 72 h after the spray with 10 μ M MT did not differ from those that were sprayed with dH_2O at both the GL intensity and the HL intensity (Figure [5a](#page-5-0)). In contrast, in mint plants, 72 h after the spray with 100 μ M MT, ETR increased ($p < 0.05$) by 6% at the GL intensity, but there was no difference at the HL intensity compared to plants that were sprayed with dH_2O (Figure [5a](#page-5-0)).

Figure 5. Changes in the electron transport rate (ETR) (a), and the relative excess excitation energy at PSII (EXC) (**b**); assessed all at the growth light intensity (GL, 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and a high light intensity (HL, 1000 µmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$), 72 h after the spray of Mentha spicata leaves μ in the property of the process in the state of the space of or up the two pay m_1 , in comparison to comformates (*sprayed* while difference water). Different lowercase or uppercase letters symbolize statistical differences ($p < 0.05$). The error bars in columns symbolize SD. light intensity (HL, 1000 μmol photons m[−]2 s−1), 72 h after the spray of *Mentha spicata* leaves with 10

The excess excitation energy at PSII (EXC) in mint plants, 72 h after the spray with 100 μ M MT, decreased ($p < 0.05$) by 12% at the GL intensity, but there was no difference at the HL intensity compared to plants that were sprayed with dH₂O (Figure 5b). In mint at the TH intensity compared to plants that were sprayed with $\frac{dH_2O}{dt}$ (Figure 89). In finite plants sprayed with 10 μ M MT, EXC did not differ from those sprayed with dH_2O at both GL and HL intensity (Figure 5b). The excess excitation energy at PSH (EAC) in mint plants, $/2$ h after the spray with α *2.7. Melatonin Impact on PSII Excitation Pressure*

2.7. Melatonin Impact on PSII Excitation Pressure

GL and HL intensity (Figure 5b).

The excitation pressure at PSII, based on the "lake" model for the photosynthetic unit The excitation pressure at PSII, based on the "lake" model for the photosynthetic unit (1-*qL*) in mint plants, 72 h after the spray with 100 µM MT, decreased (*p* < 0.05) by 11% and (1-*qL*) in mint plants, 72 h after the spray with 100 μM MT, decreased (*p <* 0.05) by 11% 4% , at the GL and the HL intensity, respectively, compared to plants that were sprayed with d[H](#page-5-1)₂O (Figure 6). In mint plants sprayed with 10 μ M MT, excitation pressure did not differ from those sprayed with dH₂O at both GL and [H](#page-5-1)L intensity (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Changes in the excitation pressure at PSII (based on the "lake" model for the **Figure 6.** Changes in the excitation pressure at PSII (based on the "lake" model for the photosynthetic unit), assessed at the growth light intensity (GL, 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), and at a high light high light intensity (HL, 1000 μmol photons m–2 s–1), 72 h after the spray of *Mentha spicata* leaves intensity (HL, 1000 µmol photons m−² s −1), 72 h after the spray of *Mentha spicata* leaves with 10 and 100 μM MT, in comparison to control leaves (sprayed with distilled water). Different lowercase or uppercase letters symbolize statistical differences (*p* < 0.05). The error bars in columns symbolize SD.

2.8. Melatonin Impact on Reactive Oxygen Species Generation

Low MT foliar spray concentration (10 μ M) did not seem to induce any reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation (Figure [7b](#page-6-0)), compared to plants that were sprayed with $dH₂O$ (Figure [7a](#page-6-0)). However, foliar spray with 100 μ M MT induced a slight increase in ROS generation, especially on the leaf's midvein (arrows, Figure [7c](#page-6-0)).

Figure 7. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production 72 h after the spray of Mentha spicata leaves with distilled water (d_{H2}O) (*a*), with 10 μM MT (*b*), and 100 μM MT (*c*). The slight light green color with distilled water (dH₂O) (a), with 10 μ M MT (b), and 100 μ M MT (c). The slight light green color denotes a slight ROS generation, arrows point to the midvein. Scale bar: 200 µm. denotes a slight ROS generation, arrows point to the midvein. Scale bar: 200 μm.

2.9. Melatonin-Induced Hormetic Responses of Photosystem II 2.9. Melatonin-Induced Hormetic Responses of Photosystem II 2.9. Melatonin-Induced Hormetic Responses of Photosystem II

There was a decline in the effective quantum yield of PSII photochemistry (Φ_{PSII}) in $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ a 8a). This effect changed after the spray with 100 μM MT, with Φ*PSII* increasing above the This effect changed after the spray with 100 μ M MT, with Φ_{PSII} increasing above the control level at both GL a[nd](#page-6-1) HL intensity (Figure 8a). This pattern of hormesis corresponds to a J-shaped ho[rm](#page-6-1)etic response curve (Figure 8a).

Figure 8. A J-shaped hormetic response curve of Φ_{PSII} (a), and an inverted J-shaped hormetic response curve of $\Phi_{\rm NPQ}$ (b), 72 h after the spray of *Mentha spicata* leaves with distilled water (control m^{−2} s^{−1}), or at a high light intensity (1000 μmol photons m^{−2} s^{−1}). 0 μM MT) or with 10 and 100 μM MT, assessed either at the growth light intensity (200 μmol photons 0 µM MT) or with 10 and 100 µM MT, assessed either at the growth light intensity (200 µmol photons

photochemical energy loss in PSII (Φ*NPQ*), 72 h after the spray with 10 μM MT at both the In contrast to Φ_{PSII} , the photoprotective quantum yield of regulated non-photochemical energy loss in PSII (Φ_{NPQ}), 72 h after the spray with 10 μ M MT at both the GL and HL intensity, increased, while it decreased with 100 μM MT (Figure [8b](#page-6-1)), showing an inverted J-shaped hormetic response pattern (Figure [8b](#page-6-1)).

3. Discussion

Chlorophyll molecules serve as the principal pigments for absorbing light energy and transferring it to the reaction centers (RCs). Melatonin, which, in plants, is synthesized in mitochondria and chloroplasts through two paths that both are based on tryptophan [\[33\]](#page-11-9), has revealed exceptional protective effects on chlorophyll molecules [\[53\]](#page-12-7), controlling both the degradation and synthesis of chlorophyll molecules and protecting photosynthetic

proteins [\[53\]](#page-12-7). A higher chlorophyll content, as we observed after the spray with 100 μ M MT (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0), can lead to the formation of larger light-harvesting complexes (LHCs), resulting in an increased capture of light energy and consequently enhancing Φ*PSII* and ETR [\[54–](#page-12-8)[58\]](#page-12-9), as it was detected (Figures [3a](#page-3-1) and [5a](#page-5-0)). The observed improvement in photosynthetic function, at the GL following the spray with 100 μ M MT, can be attributed to the enhanced light absorption. However, MT spray resulted in the malfunction of the OEC (Figure [2a](#page-3-0)) that caused donor-side photoinhibition [\[55](#page-12-10)[,59–](#page-12-11)[61\]](#page-12-12), reflected in the reduced F*v*/F*m* (Figure [2b](#page-3-0)). When the OEC fails to efficiently reduce the chlorophyll molecule at the PSII RC, it results in damaging oxidations in PSII [\[59\]](#page-12-11). Consequently, donor-side photoinhibition is often associated with the production of ROS [\[55,](#page-12-10)[62](#page-12-13)[–64\]](#page-12-14). The minor increase in ROS generation that we observed (Figure [7c](#page-6-0)), as a result of donor-side photoinhibition (Figure [2b](#page-3-0)), can be attributed to a malfunction of the OEC (Figure [2a](#page-3-0)).

The non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) mechanism, by dissipating surplus light energy, serves as a protective measure for the photosynthetic apparatus against the detrimental impacts of ROS [\[7,](#page-10-5)[56](#page-12-15)[,65\]](#page-12-16). While a minimal level of ROS is necessary for maintaining life, a slight increase in ROS levels triggers molecular tolerance mechanisms, which are generally considered beneficial. Nevertheless, elevated levels of ROS are recognized as detrimental to plants [\[7,](#page-10-5)[66–](#page-12-17)[71\]](#page-12-18). NPQ functions as a photoprotective mechanism that in-hibits the formation of ROS [\[72](#page-12-19)[–76\]](#page-13-0). The reduction of excitation energy dissipation as heat through NPQ by 7%, 72 h after the spray with 100 µM MT (Figure [3d](#page-3-1)), can explain the slight increase in ROS generation (Figure [7c](#page-6-0)). However, this slight increase in ROS production can be considered as favorable for triggering defense stress responses [\[66,](#page-12-17)[77,](#page-13-1)[78\]](#page-13-2). The surplus light energy dissipated as heat by NPQ reduces the efficiency of PSII photochemistry (down-regulation of PSII) [\[20,](#page-11-0)[21,](#page-11-1)[74\]](#page-12-20). The increased excitation energy dissipation as heat through NPQ, 72 h after the spray with 10 μ M MT compared to the spray with 100 μ M MT (Figure [3d](#page-3-1)), decreased Φ*PSII* (Figure [3a](#page-3-1)). An increased NPQ, as was observed in mint plants sprayed with 10 µM MT, compared to plants sprayed with 100 µM MT (Figure [3d](#page-3-1)), decreases the ETR (Figure [5a](#page-5-0)), preventing the ROS formation (see Figure [7b](#page-6-0)), which occurs during photoinhibition (Figure [2b](#page-3-0)) [\[79\]](#page-13-3).

The increased ETR of mint plants at the GL, following the spray with 100 μ M MT, (Figure [5a](#page-5-0)), could be due to a decreased NPQ (Figure [3d](#page-3-1)) [\[79](#page-13-3)[,80\]](#page-13-4). The observed donor-side photoinhibition, reflected by the reduced F*v*/F*m* (Figure [2b](#page-3-0)), decreased NPQ (Figure [3d](#page-3-1)), enhancing the ETR (Figure [5a](#page-5-0)) [\[63,](#page-12-21)[81\]](#page-13-5). The increased effective quantum yield of PSII photochemistry (Φ*PSII*), 72 h after the spray with 100 µM MT at the GL intensity (Figure [3a](#page-3-1)), resulted in increased values of ETR (Figure [5a](#page-5-0)). Simultaneously, there was a reduction in excess excitation energy at PSII (Figure [5b](#page-5-0)), indicating enhanced efficiency of PSII. Enhancing photosynthesis is a critical challenge faced by plant scientists, especially in light of the ever-increasing global demand for food [\[2](#page-10-1)[,82](#page-13-6)[,83\]](#page-13-7). The ultimate goal of improving photosynthetic efficiency can be accomplished by optimizing the allocation of absorbed light energy [\[84,](#page-13-8)[85\]](#page-13-9).

As a result of the increased Φ*PSII* with 100 µM MT at the GL intensity (Figure [3a](#page-3-1)), the controlled non-photochemical energy loss in PSII (Φ*NPQ*) decreased by 10% (*p* < 0.05) (Figure [3b](#page-3-1)), while the unregulated energy loss in PSII (Φ*NO*) remained unchanged (Figure [3c](#page-3-1)). An increased Φ_{PSII} can be attributed either to an increased efficiency of RCs (Fv'/Fm') or/and to an increased number of open RCs (q*p*) [\[86\]](#page-13-10). The increased Φ*PSII*, with 100 µM MT at the GL intensity (Figure [3a](#page-3-1)), was rather due to the increased fraction of open PSII RCs (q*p*) (Figure [4a](#page-4-0)) than due to increased efficiency of the RCs (Fv'/Fm') (Figure [4b](#page-4-0)). In *Chara australis* application of 10 µM MT to the artificial pond water, increased Φ*PSII* by 34% was attributed to an increased fraction of open PSII RCs, rather than increased efficiency of each RC [\[87\]](#page-13-11). More open RCs reflect higher photosynthetic efficiency [\[87\]](#page-13-11).

The excitation pressure at PSII, based on the "lake" model for the photosynthetic unit (1 − q*L*) [\[12\]](#page-10-8), in mint plants sprayed with 100 µM MT, decreased at both the GL and the HL intensity (Figure [6\)](#page-5-1), which corresponds to diminished stomatal opening [\[88\]](#page-13-12). It seems that $100 \mu M$ MT could have induced the stomatal closure of mint plants through ROS production [\[34\]](#page-11-10). MT-induced stomatal closure is possibly regulated by H_2O_2 production and Ca²⁺ influx [\[34\]](#page-11-10). Fluctuations in the parameter $1 - q_L$ reflect alterations in the redox state of Q_A [\[12\]](#page-10-8), which act as a signal to the stomatal guard cells [\[89\]](#page-13-13). Consistent with this hypothesis, the parameter $1 - q_L$ was linearly correlated to the stomatal conductance in tobacco plants [\[90\]](#page-13-14). It seems that stomatal movement is not controlled by the Calvin– Benson cycle but instead by the redox state (Q_A) [\[91\]](#page-13-15). As stomatal closure is a recognized process used by plants to restrict the penetration of pathogens, also known as stomatal immunity [\[92\]](#page-13-16), MT is now acquiring consideration for its ability to prevent pathogen invasion and induce responses to biotic stress in plants [\[34,](#page-11-10)[93–](#page-13-17)[95\]](#page-13-18).

Hormesis can commonly be exploited as an assessable measure of biological plasticity through adaptive responses under disruption of homeostasis [\[70](#page-12-22)[,96](#page-13-19)[–98\]](#page-13-20). These adaptive responses, which can be triggered by exposing plants to a low level of a factor that causes disruption of homeostasis, can result in protecting plants through the stimulation of cellular defence mechanisms [\[66](#page-12-17)[,96,](#page-13-19)[97\]](#page-13-21). Elucidating the molecular mechanisms that trigger hormesis in plants aims to accomplish higher crop productivity [\[55](#page-12-10)[,97\]](#page-13-21). Higher crop productivity can be achieved by more efficient utilization of the absorbed light energy [\[5,](#page-10-3)[99,](#page-13-22)[100\]](#page-13-23).

Hormetic–biphasic dose–response relationships were commonly observed in plants [\[55](#page-12-10)[,96,](#page-13-19)[101,](#page-13-24)[102\]](#page-13-25). Melatonin has been shown to induce biphasic dose–response relationships in a series of studies including plants and animals [\[102\]](#page-13-25). In mint plants, MT induced a biphasic dose–response of Φ*PSII* with a J-shaped hormetic response curve to be enhanced by 100 µM MT (Figure [8a](#page-6-1)). Hormetic stimulation of PSII functionality can be triggered by NPQ, which can stimulate ROS production [\[55,](#page-12-10)[96,](#page-13-19)[103\]](#page-13-26). The process of NPQ dissipates in a harmless way the excess excitation energy (EXC) and decreases ETR to avoid ROS creation, thus NPQ can control a range of the level of ROS [\[96](#page-13-19)[,103](#page-13-26)[–105\]](#page-14-0). The slight increase in ROS level, 72 h after the spray with 100 μ M MT (Figure [3d](#page-3-1)), is suggested to trigger the molecular mechanisms that are considered favorable for enhancing photosynthetic function [\[98](#page-13-20)[,103\]](#page-13-26). ROS are considered as signaling hormetic molecules, which result in a biphasic dose–response effect on physiological end-points, such as photosynthesis [\[104](#page-14-1)[,105\]](#page-14-0). ROS signaling can be favorable and essential for acclimation, regulating different pathways [\[106](#page-14-2)[,107\]](#page-14-3). ROS play essential roles in the acclimation process of plants to environmental stress conditions as signal transduction molecules. Hormesis relies highly on the choice of dose range, duration of exposure, and experimental design [\[55](#page-12-10)[,70,](#page-12-22)[96,](#page-13-19)[103,](#page-13-26)[108–](#page-14-4)[114\]](#page-14-5). Consequently, PSII hormetic responses can be observed only in appropriate planned studies [\[55](#page-12-10)[,96\]](#page-13-19).

Under non-stressed conditions, exogenous MT application in *Chara australis* increased the number of open RCs of PSII, thus improving Φ*PSII* [\[87\]](#page-13-11), as we also observed in *Mentha spicata* plants. In contrast to our results, in which 100 μ M MT reduced Fv/Fm due to donor-side photoinhibition, Yang et al. [\[115\]](#page-14-6) suggested that the application of MT might alleviate PSII inhibition and partially display a direct antioxidant effect. They concluded that the application of 200 µM MT in the tea plant (*Camellia sinensis* (L.) Kuntze) stimulated photosynthesis and the expression of genes related to chlorophyll metabolism in a dosedependent manner [\[115\]](#page-14-6). A dose-dependent increase in chlorophyll content was also noticed in our experiments (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0), and enriched chlorophyll content by MT priming under high-temperature stress was observed in the tall fescue [\[116\]](#page-14-7). In agreement with our results, MT priming under high-temperature stress increased Φ_{PSII} by increasing the fraction of RCs and decreased NPQ and the excessive excitation energy [\[116\]](#page-14-7). Exogenously applied MT in different crops improved not only crop yield but also quality by active regulation of several traits of plant development and growth, under either stressed or non-stressed conditions [\[31,](#page-11-23)[53,](#page-12-7)[117](#page-14-8)[–121\]](#page-14-9).

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Material, Growth Conditions, and Treatments

Mint (*Mentha spicata* L.) plants were obtained from a plant nursery and transferred to a growth chamber with 16 h light and 8 h dark cycles, 210 \pm 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity, $21 \pm 1/18 \pm 1$ °C day/night temperature, and relative humidity $55 \pm 5/60 \pm 5$ % day/night.

Melatonin (N-acetyl-5-methoxytryptamine) (MT) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and dissolved in ethanol (20 mg mL⁻¹), before being further diluted with ultra-pure water [\[42](#page-11-18)[,122\]](#page-14-10). Mint plants were foliar-sprayed until full wetting (15 mL plant⁻¹), with 10 µM MT, 100 µM MT, or distilled water (dH₂O) (control). Control plants were sprayed with dH2O with an equal amount of ethanol to that in MT-sprayed plants. To prevent MT from dropping into the soil, the surface of the soil was shielded by an aluminum foil that was detached after the spray. Since MT may be photo-responsive, the plants were sprayed during the dark cycle [\[123\]](#page-14-11).

Leaf samples from *M. spicata* were taken 72 h after the spray from 4 to 5 plants with 3 independent biological replicates ($n = 12-15$) for the following measurements.

4.2. Chlorophyll Content

Relative chlorophyll content was measured in *Mentha spicata* leaves 72 h after the foliar spray with distilled water (control), 10 μ M MT, and 100 μ M MT, using a portable Chlorophyll Content Meter (Model Cl-01, Hansatech Instruments Ltd., Norfolk, UK). Values were expressed in relative units [\[63](#page-12-21)[,124\]](#page-14-12).

4.3. Chlorophyll Fluorescence Measurements

Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence was measured in *Mentha spicata* plants using a chlorophyll fluorometer imaging-PAM M-Series (Heinz Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany), as described in detail previously [\[125\]](#page-14-13). Fluorescence was excited by blue LED in dark-adapted leaves with saturating pulses (SPs) of 6000 µmol photons m−² s −1 . Measurements on *M. spicata* leaves were conducted 72 h after the foliar spray with distilled water (control), 10 µM MT, and 100 µM MT. The actinic light (AL) used was 200 µmol photons m $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ corresponding to the growth light (GL) or 1000 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ corresponding to a high light (HL) intensity. The chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, described in Table S1, were estimated using Win V2.41a software (Heinz Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany). For each treatment, 12–15 leaves of the same developmental age were measured.

4.4. Reactive Oxygen Species Detection

In vivo imaging of ROS in mint leaves was performed 72 h after the foliar spray with distilled water (control), 10 μ M MT, and 100 μ M MT as described previously [\[126\]](#page-14-14). Thirty min after incubation of the leaves in the dark with 25 μ M 2', 7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCF-DA, Sigma Aldrich, Chemie GmbH, Schnelldorf, Germany), they were observed with a Zeiss AxioImager Z2 epi-fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH, Göttingen, Germany) that was equipped with an AxioCam MRc5 digital camera (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH, Göttingen, Germany).

4.5. Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean values \pm SD and were tested for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test and for homogeneity of variance using Levene's test. The population of variances was not equal, so significant differences between the three treatments were determined using Welch ANOVA followed by a post hoc analysis with the Games–Howell test. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 28.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows. Values were considered significantly different at *p* < 0.05.

5. Conclusions

We observed a hormetic response of Φ*PSII*, which was probably triggered by NPQ that stimulated ROS production at 100 μ M MT. The application of 100 μ M MT in mint plants increased the chlorophyll content, possibly resulting in increased LHCs and increased light energy capture that enhanced ETR. In addition, $100 \mu M MT$ decreased the excess excitation energy at PSII and the excitation pressure at PSII, indicating an improved PSII efficiency. Improving photosynthetic function is of great importance for improving plant productivity and grain yield. Therefore, MT can potentially be used as a photosynthetic biostimulant

that can be applied to plants exogenously to enhance crop yields while reducing the use of chemical fertilizers, also under non-stressed conditions.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: [https:](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/plants12234025/s1) [//www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/plants12234025/s1,](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/plants12234025/s1) Table S1: Definitions of the chlorophyll fluorescence parameters used in the experiments.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.M.; methodology, M.M., I.S., I.-D.S.A., J.M. and F.M.; software, B. S., S.İ. and J.M.; validation, M.M.; formal analysis, M.M., I.S., B. S., S.İ. and J.M.; investigation, I.S., B.S. and S.I.; resources, M.M. and F.M.; data curation, M.M., I.S., I.-D.S.A., B.S., S.I., J.M. and F.M.; writing—original draft preparation, M.M. and J.M.; writing—review and editing, M.M., I.S., I.-D.S.A., B.S., S.İ., J.M. and F.M.; visualization, M.M., I.S. and J.M.; supervision, M.M.; project administration, M.M.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available in this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Sharma, A.; Kumar, V.; Shahzad, B.; Ramakrishnan, M.; Singh Sidhu, G.P.; Bali, A.S.; Handa, N.; Kapoor, D.; Yadav, P.; Khanna, K.; et al. Photosynthetic response of plants under different abiotic stresses: A review. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* **2020**, *39*, 509531. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-019-10018-x)
- 2. Ort, D.R.; Merchant, S.S.; Alric, J.; Barkan, A.; Blankenship, R.E.; Bock, R.; Croce, R.; Hanson, M.R.; Hibberd, J.M.; Long, S.P.; et al. Redesigning photosynthesis to sustainably meet global food and bioenergy demand. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2015**, *112*, 8529–8536. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1424031112) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26124102)
- 3. Long, S.P.; Zhu, X.G.; Naidu, S.L.; Ort, D.R. Can improvement in photosynthesis increase crop yields? *Plant Cell Environ.* **2006**, *29*, 315–330. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01493.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17080588)
- 4. Zhu, X.G.; Long, S.P.; Ort, D.R. Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater yield. *Ann. Rev. Plant Biol.* **2010**, *61*, 235–261. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112206) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20192734)
- 5. Ort, D.R. When there is too much light. *Plant Physiol.* **2001**, *125*, 29–32. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.125.1.29) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11154289)
- 6. Niyogi, K.K.; Wolosiuk, R.A.; Malkin, R. Photosynthesis. In *Biochemistry & Molecular Biology of Plants*, 2nd ed.; Buchanan, B.B., Gruissem, W., Jones, R.L., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2015; pp. 508–566.
- 7. Moustakas, M. Plant photochemistry, reactive oxygen species, and photoprotection. *Photochem* **2022**, *2*, 5–8. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/photochem2010002)
- 8. Maxwell, K.; Johnson, G.N. Chlorophyll fluorescence—A practical guide. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2000**, *51*, 659–668. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jexbot/51.345.659)
- 9. Murchie, E.H.; Lawson, T. Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis: A guide to good practice and understanding some new applications. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2013**, *64*, 3983–3998. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert208)
- 10. Moustakas, M.; Guidi, L.; Calatayud, A. Editorial: Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis in biotic and abiotic stress, volume II. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 1066865. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.1066865)
- 11. McAusland, L.; Atkinson, J.A.; Lawson, T.; Murchie, E.H. High throughput procedure utilising chlorophyll fluorescence imaging to phenotype dynamic photosynthesis and photoprotection in leaves under controlled gaseous conditions. *Plant Methods* **2019**, *15*, 109. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-019-0485-x)
- 12. Kramer, D.M.; Johnson, G.; Kiirats, O.; Edwards, G.E. New fluorescence parameters for the determination of QA redox state and excitation energy fluxes. *Photosynth. Res.* **2004**, *79*, 209–218. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:PRES.0000015391.99477.0d) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16228395)
- 13. Moustakas, M.; Sperdouli, I.; Moustaka, J. Early drought stress warning in plants: Color pictures of photosystem II photochemistry. *Climate* **2022**, *10*, 179. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/cli10110179)
- 14. Moustaka, J.; Moustakas, M. Early-stage detection of biotic and abiotic stress on plants by chlorophyll fluorescence imaging analysis. *Biosensors* **2023**, *13*, 796. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/bios13080796) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37622882)
- 15. Asada, K. The water-water cycle in chloroplasts: Scavenging of active oxygens and dissipation of excess photons. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **1999**, *50*, 601–639. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.50.1.601) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15012221)
- 16. Apel, K.; Hirt, H. Reactive oxygen species: Metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **2004**, *55*, 373–399. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.55.031903.141701)
- 17. Moustakas, M.; Sperdouli, I.; Adamakis, I.D.S. Editorial: Reactive oxygen species in chloroplasts and chloroplast antioxidants under abiotic stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 1208247. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1208247) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37304709)
- 18. Noctor, G.; Foyer, C.H. Ascorbate and glutathione: Keeping active oxygen under control. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **1998**, *49*, 249–279. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.49.1.249)
- 19. Gill, S.S.; Tuteja, N. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2010**, *48*, 909–930. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.08.016)
- 20. Moustaka, J.; Moustakas, M. Photoprotective mechanism of the non-target organism *Arabidopsis thaliana* to paraquat exposure. *Pest. Biochem. Physiol.* **2014**, *111*, 1–6. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2014.04.006)
- 21. Moustaka, J.; Tanou, G.; Adamakis, I.D.; Eleftheriou, E.P.; Moustakas, M. Leaf age dependent photoprotective and antioxidative mechanisms to paraquat-induced oxidative stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2015**, *16*, 13989–14006. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms160613989)
- 22. Hattori, A.; Migitaka, H.; Iigo, M.; Itoh, M.; Yamamoto, K.; Ohtani-Kaneko, R.; Hara, M.; Suzuki, T.; Reiter, R.J. Identification of melatonin in plants and its effects on plasma melatonin levels and binding to melatonin receptors in vertebrates. *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Int.* **1995**, *35*, 627–634. [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7773197)
- 23. Zhang, H.J.; Zhang, N.; Yang, R.C.; Wang, L.; Sun, Q.Q.; Li, D.B.; Cao, Y.Y.; Weeda, S.; Zhao, B.; Ren, S.; et al. Melatonin promotes seed germination under high salinity by regulating antioxidant systems, ABA and GA⁴ interaction in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *J. Pineal Res.* **2014**, *57*, 269–279. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/jpi.12167) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25112973)
- 24. Lerner, A.B.; Case, J.D.; Takahashi, Y.; Lee, T.H.; Mori, W. Isolation of melatonin, the pineal gland factor that lightens melanocytes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80*, 2587. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01543a060)
- 25. Chen, Q.; Arnao, M.B. Phytomelatonin: An emerging new hormone in plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2022**, *73*, 5773–5778. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erac307) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36178429)
- 26. Dubbels, R.; Reiter, R.; Klenke, E.; Goebel, A.; Schnakenberg, E.; Ehlers, C.; Schiwara, H.; Schloot, W. Melatonin in edible plants identified by radioimmunoassay and by high performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J. Pineal Res.* **1995**, *18*, 28–31. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-079X.1995.tb00136.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7776176)
- 27. Kolar, J.; Machackova, I.; Illnerova, H.; Prinsen, E.; van Dongen, W.; Van Onckelen, H. Melatonin in higher plant determined by radioimmunoassay and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Biol. Rhythm Res.* **1995**, *26*, 406–409.
- 28. Van Tassel, D.; Roberts, N.; Oenill, S.; O'Neill, S.D. Melatonin from higher plants: Isolation and identification of N-acetyl 5-methoxytryptamine. *Plant Physiol.* **1995**, *108S*, 101.
- 29. Arnao, M.B.; Hernández-Ruiz, J. Melatonin: A new plant hormone and/or a plant master regulator? *Trends Plant Sci.* **2019**, *24*, 38–48. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2018.10.010)
- 30. Li, D.; Wei, J.; Peng, Z.; Ma, W.; Yang, Q.; Song, Z.; Sun, W.; Yang, W.; Yuan, L.; Xu, X.; et al. Daily rhythms of phytomelatonin signaling modulate diurnal stomatal closure via regulating reactive oxygen species dynamics in *Arabidopsis*. *J. Pineal Res.* **2020**, *68*, e12640. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/jpi.12640)
- 31. Wang, K.; Xing, Q.; Ahammed, G.J.; Zhou, J. Functions and prospects of melatonin in plant growth, yield, and quality. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2022**, *73*, 5928–5946. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erac233)
- 32. Khan, D.; Cai, N.; Zhu, W.; Li, L.; Guan, M.; Pu, X.; Chen, Q. The role of phytomelatonin receptor 1-mediated signaling in plant growth and stress response. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 1142753. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1142753) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36968396)
- 33. Karumannil, S.; Khan, T.A.; Kappachery, S.; Gururani, M.A. Impact of exogenous melatonin application on photosynthetic machinery under abiotic stress conditions. *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 2948. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12162948) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37631160)
- 34. Wei, J.; Li, D.X.; Zhang, J.R.; Shan, C.; Rengel, Z.; Song, Z.B.; Chen, Q. Phytomelatonin receptor PMTR1-mediated signaling regulates stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J. Pineal Res.* **2018**, *65*, e12500. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/jpi.12500) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29702752)
- 35. Kanwar, M.K.; Yu, J.; Zhou, J. Phytomelatonin: Recent advances and future prospects. *J. Pineal Res.* **2018**, *65*, e12526. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/jpi.12526) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30256447)
- 36. Corpas, F.J.; Rodríguez-Ruiz, M.; Muñoz-Vargas, M.A.; González-Gordo, S.; Reiter, R.J.; Palma, J.M. Interactions of melatonin, reactive oxygen species, and nitric oxide during fruit ripening: An update and prospective view. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2022**, *73*, 5947–5960. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erac128) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35325926)
- 37. Arnao, M.B.; Hernãndez-Ruiz, J. Functions of melatonin in plants: A review. *J. Pineal Res.* **2015**, *59*, 133–150. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/jpi.12253) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26094813)
- 38. Wang, Y.; Reiter, R.J.; Chan, Z. Phytomelatonin: A universal abiotic stress regulator. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2018**, *69*, 963–974. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx473)
- 39. Arnao, M.B.; Hernãndez-Ruiz, J. Melatonin and its relationship to plant hormones. *Ann. Bot.* **2018**, *121*, 195–207. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcx114)
- 40. Tan, D.X.; Hardeland, R.; Manchester, L.C.; Korkmaz, A.; Ma, S.; Rosales-Corral, S.; Reiter, R.J. Functional roles of melatonin in plants, and perspectives in nutritional and agricultural science. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2012**, *63*, 577–597. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err256)
- 41. Sanie Khatam, A.; Rastegar, S.; Aboutalebi Jahromi, A.; Hassanzadeh Khankahdani, H.; Akbar Bagherian, S.A. Biochemical and physiological mechanism induced by melatonin in Mexican lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle) plants: Cold and freezing stress. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* **2023**, *45*, 98. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-023-03579-8)
- 42. Zahedi, S.M.; Hosseini, M.S.; Abadía, J.; Marjani, M. Melatonin foliar sprays elicit salinity stress tolerance and enhance fruit yield and quality in strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.). *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2020**, *149*, 313–323. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.02.021) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32135480)
- 43. Bose, S.K.; Howlader, P. Melatonin plays multifunctional role in horticultural crops against environmental stresses: A review. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2020**, *176*, 104063. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104063)
- 44. Ahmad, I.; Song, X.; Hussein Ibrahim, M.E.; Jamal, Y.; Younas, M.U.; Zhu, G.; Zhou, G.; Adam Ali, A.Y. The role of melatonin in plant growth and metabolism, and its interplay with nitric oxide and auxin in plants under different types of abiotic stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 1108507. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1108507) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36866369)
- 45. Khan, M.S.S.; Ahmed, S.; Ikram, A.U.; Hannan, F.; Yasin, M.U.; Wang, J.; Zhao, B.; Islam, F.; Chen, J. Phytomelatonin: A key regulator of redox and phytohormones signaling against biotic/abiotic stresses. *Redox Biol.* **2023**, *64*, 102805. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2023.102805) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37406579)
- 46. Lee, H.Y.; Hwang, O.J.; Back, K. Phytomelatonin as a signaling molecule for protein quality control via chaperone, autophagy, and ubiquitin–proteasome systems in plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2022**, *73*, 5863–5873. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erac002) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35246975)
- 47. Yan, F.; Zhang, J.; Li, W.; Ding, Y.; Zhong, Q.; Xu, X.; Wei, H.; Li, G. Exogenous melatonin alleviates salt stress by improving leaf photosynthesis in rice seedlings. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2021**, *163*, 367–375. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.03.058) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33930628)
- 48. Wang, L.Y.; Liu, J.L.; Wang, W.X.; Sun, Y. Exogenous melatonin improves growth and photosynthetic capacity of cucumber under salinity-induced stress. *Photosynthetica* **2016**, *54*, 19–27. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-015-0140-3)
- 49. Bakyani, M.R.F.; Alinia, M.; Kazemeini, S.A.; Abadía, J.; Dadkhodaie, A. Foliar application of melatonin improves the salt tolerance, ion and redox homeostasis and seed oil fatty acid profile in *Camelina sativa*. *Plants* **2022**, *11*, 3113. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11223113)
- 50. Zhao, Q.; Chen, S.; Wang, G.; Du, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Yu, G.; Ren, C.; Zhang, Y.; Du, J. Exogenous melatonin enhances soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) seedling tolerance to saline-alkali stress by regulating antioxidant response and DNA damage repair. *Physiol. Plant.* **2022**, *174*, e13731. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13731)
- 51. Ding, F.; Wang, M.; Liu, B.; Zhang, S. Exogenous melatonin mitigates photoinhibition by accelerating non-photochemical quenching in tomato seedlings exposed to moderate light during chilling. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 244. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00244)
- 52. Sharma, A.; Wang, J.F.; Xu, D.B.; Tao, S.C.; Chong, S.L.; Yan, D.L.; Li, Z.; Yuan, H.W.; Zheng, B.S. Melatonin regulates the functional components of photosynthesis, antioxidant system, gene expression, and metabolic pathways to induce drought resistance in grafted *Carya cathayensis* plants. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *713*, 136675. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136675) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32019031)
- 53. Yang, S.; Zhao, Y.; Qin, X.; Ding, C.; Chen, Y.; Tang, Z.; Huang, Y.; Reiter, R.J.; Yuan, S.; Yuan, M. New insights into the role of melatonin in photosynthesis. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2022**, *73*, 5918–5927. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erac230) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35665805)
- 54. Ort, D.R.; Zhu, X.; Melis, A. Optimizing antenna size to maximize photosynthetic efficiency. *Plant Physiol.* **2011**, *155*, 79–85. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.165886) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21078863)
- 55. Moustakas, M.; Dobrikova, A.; Sperdouli, I.; Hanć, A.; Adamakis, I.-D.S.; Moustaka, J.; Apostolova, E. A hormetic spatiotemporal photosystem II response mechanism of salvia to excess zinc exposure. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 11232. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231911232) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36232535)
- 56. Murchie, E.H.; Niyogi, K.K. Manipulation of photoprotection to improve plant photosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.* **2011**, *155*, 86–92. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.168831) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21084435)
- 57. Ruban, A.V. Light harvesting control in plants. *FEBS Lett.* **2018**, *592*, 3030–3039. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.13111)
- 58. Nelson, N.; Junge, W. Structure and energy transfer in photosystems of oxygenic photosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2015**, *84*, 659–683. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-092914-041942)
- 59. Anderson, J.M.; Park, Y.I.; Chow, W.S. Unifying model for the photoinactivation of photosystem II in vivo: A hypothesis. *Photosynth. Res.* **1998**, *56*, 1–13. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005946808488)
- 60. Sarvikas, P.; Hakala, M.; Pätsikkä, E.; Tyystjärvi, T.; Tyystjärvi, E. Action spectrum of photoinhibition in leaves of wild type and npq1-2 and npq4-1 mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **2006**, *47*, 391–400. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcj006)
- 61. Széles, E.; Kuntam, S.; Vidal-Meireles, A.; Nagy, V.; Nagy, K.; Ábrahám, Á.; Kovács, L.; Tóth, S.Z. Single-cell microfluidics in combination with chlorophyll a fluorescence measurements to assess the lifetime of the *Chlamydomonas* PSBO protein. *Photosynthetica* **2023**, *61*, 13–20. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.32615/ps.2023.028)
- 62. Hamdani, S.; Khan, N.; Perveen, S.; Qu, M.; Jiang, J.; Govindjee; Zhu, X.G. Changes in the photosynthesis properties and photoprotection capacity in rice (*Oryza sativa*) grown under red, blue, or white light. *Photosynth. Res.* **2019**, *139*, 107–121. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-018-0589-6) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30456488)
- 63. Tryfon, P.; Sperdouli, I.; Adamakis, I.-D.S.; Mourdikoudis, S.; Moustakas, M.; Dendrinou-Samara, C. Impact of coated zinc oxide nanoparticles on photosystem II of tomato plants. *Materials* **2023**, *16*, 5846. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16175846) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37687539)
- 64. Tryfon, P.; Sperdouli, I.; Adamakis, I.-D.S.; Mourdikoudis, S.; Dendrinou-Samara, C.; Moustakas, M. Modification of tomato photosystem II photochemistry with engineered zinc oxide nanorods. *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 3502. [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37836242)
- 65. Müller, P.; Li, X.P.; Niyogi, K.K. Non-photochemical quenching. A response to excess light energy. *Plant Physiol.* **2001**, *125*, 1558–1566. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.125.4.1558) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11299337)
- 66. Sperdouli, I.; Ouzounidou, G.; Moustakas, M. Hormesis responses of photosystem II in *Arabidopsis thaliana* under water deficit stress. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 9573. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24119573) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37298524)
- 67. Foyer, C.H. Reactive oxygen species, oxidative signaling and the regulation of photosynthesis. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2018**, *154*, 134–142. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2018.05.003) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30283160)
- 68. Mittler, R. ROS are good. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2017**, *22*, 11–19. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2016.08.002)
- 69. Noctor, G.; Foyer, C.H. Intracellular redox compartmentation and ROS-related communication in regulation and signaling. *Plant Physiol.* **2016**, *171*, 1581–1592. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00346)
- 70. Agathokleous, E.; Kitao, M.; Calabrese, E.J. Hormesis: A compelling platform for sophisticated plant science. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2019**, *24*, 318–327. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2019.01.004)
- 71. Schieber, M.; Chandel, N.S. ROS function in redox signaling and oxidative stress. *Curr. Biol.* **2014**, *24*, R453–R462. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.03.034)
- 72. Ruban, A.V. Evolution under the sun: Optimizing light harvesting in photosynthesis. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2015**, *66*, 7–23. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru400) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25336689)
- 73. Niyogi, K.K. Safety valves for photosynthesis. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **2000**, *3*, 455–460. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(00)00113-8) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11074375)
- 74. Ruban, A.V. Nonphotochemical chlorophyll fluorescence quenching: Mechanism and effectiveness in protecting plants from photodamage. *Plant Physiol.* **2016**, *170*, 1903–1916. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01935) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26864015)
- 75. Sachdev, S.; Ansari, S.A.; Ansari, M.I.; Fujita, M.; Hasanuzzaman, M. Abiotic stress and reactive oxygen species: Generation, signaling, and defense mechanisms. *Antioxidants* **2021**, *10*, 277. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10020277) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33670123)
- 76. Asada, K. Production and scavenging of reactive oxygen species in chloroplasts and their functions. *Plant Physiol.* **2006**, *141*, 391–396. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.082040) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16760493)
- 77. Mittler, R.; Zandalinas, S.I.; Fichman, Y.; Van Breusegem, F. Reactive oxygen species signalling in plant stress responses. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2022**, *23*, 663–679. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-022-00499-2) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35760900)
- 78. Fichman, Y.; Mittler, R. A systemic whole-plant change in redox levels accompanies the rapid systemic response to wounding. *Plant Physiol.* **2021**, *186*, 4–8. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab022)
- 79. Roach, T.; Na, C.S.; Stöggl, W.; Krieger-Liszkay, A. The non-photochemical quenching protein LHCSR3 prevents oxygendependent photoinhibition in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2020**, *71*, 2650–2660. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa022)
- 80. Lawlor, D.W.; Tezara, W. Causes of decreased photosynthetic rate and metabolic capacity in water-deficient leaf cells: A critical evaluation of mechanisms and integration of processes. *Ann. Bot.* **2009**, *103*, 561–579. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcn244)
- 81. Gunell, S.; Lempiäinen, T.; Rintamäki, E.; Aro, E.M.; Tikkanen, M. Enhanced function of non-photoinhibited photosystem II complexes upon PSII photoinhibition. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Bioenerg.* **2023**, *1864*, 148978. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2023.148978)
- 82. Paul, M.J. Improving photosynthetic metabolism for crop yields: What is going to work? *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 743862. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.743862) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34621287)
- 83. Long, S.P.; Ainsworth, E.A.; Leakey, A.D.B.; Nosberger, J.; Ort, D.R. Food for thought: Lower-than-expected crop yield stimulation with rising CO² concentrations. *Science* **2006**, *312*, 1918–1921. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1114722) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16809532)
- 84. Sperdouli, I.; Moustakas, M. A better energy allocation of absorbed light in photosystem II and less photooxidative damage contribute to acclimation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* young leaves to water deficit. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2014**, *171*, 587–593. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2013.11.014) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24709149)
- 85. Yin, X.; Struik, P.C. Constraints to the potential efficiency of converting solar radiation into phytoenergy in annual crops: From leaf biochemistry to canopy physiology and crop ecology. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2015**, *66*, 6535–6549. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv371) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26224881)
- 86. Genty, B.; Briantais, J.M.; Baker, N.R. The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1989**, *990*, 87–92. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4165(89)80016-9)
- 87. Lazár, D.; Murch, S.J.; Beilby, M.J.; Al Khazaaly, S. Exogenous melatonin affects photosynthesis in characeae *Chara australis*. *Plant Signal. Behav.* **2013**, *8*, e23279. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.23279) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23299331)
- 88. Sperdouli, I.; Mellidou, I.; Moustakas, M. Harnessing chlorophyll fluorescence for phenotyping analysis of wild and cultivated tomato for high photochemical efficiency under water deficit for climate change resilience. *Climate* **2021**, *9*, 154. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/cli9110154)
- 89. Busch, F.A. Opinion: The red-light response of stomatal movement is sensed by the redox state of the photosynthetic electron transport chain. *Photosynth. Res.* **2014**, *119*, 131–140. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-013-9805-6)
- 90. Głowacka, K.; Kromdijk, J.; Kucera, K.; Xie, J.; Cavanagh, A.P.; Leonelli, L.; Leakey, A.D.B.; Ort, D.R.; Niyogi, K.K.; Long, S.P. Photosystem II Subunit S overexpression increases the efficiency of water use in a field-grown crop. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 868. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03231-x)
- 91. Kromdijk, J.; Głowacka, K.; Long, S.P. Predicting light-induced stomatal movements based on the redox state of plastoquinone: Theory and validation. *Photosynth. Res.* **2019**, *141*, 83–97. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-019-00632-x)
- 92. Melotto, M.; Underwood, W.; Koczan, J.; Nomura, K.; He, S.Y. Plant stomata function in innate immunity against bacterial invasion. *Cell* **2006**, *126*, 969–980. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.06.054) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16959575)
- 93. Moustafa-Farag, M.; Almoneafy, A.; Mahmoud, A.; Elkelish, A.; Arnao, M.B.; Li, L.; Ai, S. Melatonin and its protective role against biotic stress impacts in plants. *Biomolecules* **2020**, *10*, 54. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom10010054) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31905696)
- 94. Yang, Q.; Peng, Z.; Ma, W.; Zhang, S.; Hou, S.; Wei, J.; Dong, S.; Yu, X.; Song, Y.; Gao, W.; et al. Melatonin functions in priming of stomatal immunity in *Panax notoginseng* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Physiol.* **2021**, *187*, 2837–2851. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab419) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34618091)
- 95. Moreno, J.E.; Campos, M.L. Waking up for defense! Melatonin as a regulator of stomatal immunity in plants. *Plant Physiol.* **2022**, *188*, 14–15. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab481) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35051290)
- 96. Moustakas, M.; Moustaka, J.; Sperdouli, I. Hormesis in photosystem II: A mechanistic approach. *Curr. Opin. Toxicol.* **2022**, *29*, 57–64. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cotox.2022.02.003)
- 97. Jalal, A.; de Oliveira Junior, J.C.; Ribeiro, J.S.; Fernandes, G.C.; Mariano, G.G.; Trindade, V.D.R.; Reis, A.R.D. Hormesis in plants: Physiological and biochemical responses. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2021**, *207*, 111225. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111225) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32916526)
- 98. Adamakis, I.-D.S.; Sperdouli, I.; Hanć, A.; Dobrikova, A.; Apostolova, E.; Moustakas, M. Rapid hormetic responses of photosystem II photochemistry of clary sage to cadmium exposure. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 41. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22010041)
- 99. Li, Z.; Xing, F.; Xing, D. Characterization of target site of aluminum phytotoxicity in photosynthetic electron transport by fluorescence techniques in tobacco leaves. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **2012**, *53*, 1295–1309. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcs076) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22611177)
- 100. Zhu, X.G.; Long, S.P.; Ort, D.R. What is the maximum efficiency with which photosynthesis can convert solar energy into biomass? *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2008**, *19*, 153–159. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2008.02.004) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18374559)
- 101. Calabrese, E.J.; Agathokleous, E. Accumulator plants and hormesis. *Environ. Pollut.* **2021**, *274*, 116526. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116526)
- 102. Agathokleous, E.; Kitao, M.; Calabrese, E.J. New insights into the role of melatonin in plants and animals. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **2019**, *299*, 163–167. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2018.12.008) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30553720)
- 103. Stamelou, M.L.; Sperdouli, I.; Pyrri, I.; Adamakis, I.D.S.; Moustakas, M. Hormetic responses of photosystem II in tomato to *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, 521. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10030521) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33802218)
- 104. Erofeeva, E.A. Environmental hormesis of non-specific and specific adaptive mechanisms in plants. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2022**, *804*, 150059. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150059) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34508935)
- 105. Sonmez, M.C.; Ozgur, R.; Uzilday, B. Reactive oxygen species: Connecting eustress, hormesis, and allostasis in plants. *Plant Stress* **2023**, *8*, 100164. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stress.2023.100164)
- 106. Miller, G.; Suzuki, N.; Ciftci-Yilmaz, S.; Mittler, R. Reactive oxygen species homeostasis and signalling during drought and salinity stresses. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2010**, *33*, 453–467. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02041.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19712065)
- 107. Hasanuzzaman, M.; Bhuyan, M.H.M.B.; Zulfiqar, F.; Raza, A.; Mohsin, S.M.; Mahmud, J.A.; Fujita, M.; Fotopoulos, V. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant defense in plants under abiotic stress: Revisiting the crucial role of a universal defense regulator. *Antioxidants* **2020**, *9*, 681. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox9080681) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32751256)
- 108. Li, X.P.; Müller-Moulé, P.; Gilmore, A.M.; Niyogi, K.K. PsbS-dependent enhancement of feedback de-excitation protects photosystem II from photoinhibition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2002**, *99*, 15222–15227. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.232447699) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12417767)
- 109. Takahashi, S.; Badger, M.R. Photoprotection in plants: A new light on photosystem II damage. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2011**, *16*, 53–60. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.10.001)
- 110. Agathokleous, E. Environmental hormesis, a fundamental non-monotonic biological phenomenon with implications in ecotoxicology and environmental safety. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2018**, *148*, 1042–1053. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.12.003)
- 111. Calabrese, E.J.; Baldwin, L.A. Hormesis: The dose-response revolution. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **2003**, *43*, 175–197. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.43.100901.140223)
- 112. Agathokleous, E. The rise and fall of photosynthesis: Hormetic dose response in plants. *J. For. Res.* **2021**, *32*, 889–898. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-020-01252-1)
- 113. Erofeeva, E.A. Environmental hormesis: From cell to ecosystem. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health* **2022**, *29*, 100378. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2022.100378)
- 114. Agathokleous, E.; Calabrese, E.J. Editorial overview: Hormesis and dose-response. *Curr. Opin. Toxicol.* **2022**, *30*, 100343. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cotox.2022.03.004)
- 115. Yang, N.; Han, M.H.; Teng, R.M.; Yang, Y.Z.; Wang, Y.H.; Xiong, A.S.; Zhuang, J. Exogenous melatonin enhances photosynthetic capacity and related gene expression in a dose-dependent manner in the tea plant (*Camellia sinensis* (L.) Kuntze). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 6694. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23126694) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35743137)
- 116. Wang, G.; Xing, M.; Hu, T.; Ji, M.; Li, X.; Amombo, E.; Shao, A.; Xu, X.; Fu, J. Photosystem II photochemical adjustment of tall fescue against heat stress after melatonin priming. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2022**, *275*, 153758. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2022.153758) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35797828)
- 117. Kayaa, A.; Doganla, Z.B. Melatonin improves the multiple stress tolerance in pepper (*Capsicum annuum*). *Sci. Hortic.* **2019**, *256*, 108509. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.05.036)
- 118. Tiwari, R.K.; Lal, M.K.; Naga, K.C.; Kumar, R.; Chourasia, K.N.; Subhash, S. Emerging roles of melatonin in mitigating abiotic and biotic stresses of horticultural crops. *Sci. Hortic.* **2020**, *272*, 109592. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109592)
- 119. Khan, T.A.; Fariduddin, Q.; Nazir, F.; Saleem, M. Melatonin in business with abiotic stresses in plants. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* **2020**, *26*, 1931–1944. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-020-00878-z)
- 120. Chen, F.; Li, Y.; Zia-Ur-Rehman, M.; Hussain, S.M.; Qayyum, M.F.; Rizwan, M.; Alharby, H.F.; Alabdallah, N.M.; Alharbi, B.M.; Ali, S. Combined effects of zinc oxide nanoparticles and melatonin on wheat growth, chlorophyll contents, cadmium (Cd) and zinc uptake under Cd stress. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2023**, *864*, 161061. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161061)
- 121. Muhammad, H.M.D.; Naz, S.; Lal, M.K.; Tiwari, R.K.; Ahmad, R.; Nawaz, M.A.; Das, R.; Altaf, M.A. Melatonin in business with abiotic stresses in vegetable crops. *Sci. Hortic.* **2024**, *324*, 112594. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2023.112594)
- 122. Zahedi, S.M.; Hosseini, M.S.; Fahadi Hoveizeh, N.; Gholami, R.; Abdelrahman, M.; Tran, L.P. Exogenous melatonin mitigates salinity-induced damage in olive seedlings by modulating ion homeostasis, antioxidant defense, and phytohormone balance. *Physiol. Plant.* **2021**, *173*, 1682–1694. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13589)
- 123. Zhang, N.; Zhao, B.; Zhang, H.J.; Weeda, S.; Yang, C.; Yang, Z.C.; Ren, S.; Guo, Y.D. Melatonin promotes water-stress tolerance, lateral root formation, and seed germination in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *J. Pineal Res.* **2013**, *54*, 15–23. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-079X.2012.01015.x)
- 124. Borek, M.; Baczek-Kwinta, R.; Rapacz, M. Photosynthetic activity of variegated leaves of *Coleus* × *hybridus* hort. cultivars characterised by chlorophyll fluorescence techniques. *Photosynthetica* **2016**, *54*, 331–339. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-016-0225-7)
- 125. Moustaka, J.; Panteris, E.; Adamakis, I.D.S.; Tanou, G.; Giannakoula, A.; Eleftheriou, E.P.; Moustakas, M. High anthocyanin accumulation in poinsettia leaves is accompanied by thylakoid membrane unstacking, acting as a photoprotective mechanism, to prevent ROS formation. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2018**, *154*, 44–55. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2018.01.006)
- 126. Sperdouli, I.; Moustaka, J.; Antonoglou, O.; Adamakis, I.D.S.; Dendrinou-Samara, C.; Moustakas, M. Leaf age dependent effects of foliar-sprayed CuZn nanoparticles on photosynthetic efficiency and ROS generation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 2498. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12152498)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.