

Article



Essential Oil Composition and Anti-Cholinesterase Properties of *Cryptomeria japonica* Foliage Harvested in São Miguel Island (Azores) in Two Different Seasons

Tânia Rodrigues ^{1,2}, Ana Lima ^{1,3}, Tanner Wortham ⁴, Filipe Arruda ^{1,2}, Alexandre Janeiro ^{1,3}, José Baptista ^{1,3} and Elisabete Lima ^{1,3,*}

- ¹ Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Research and Technology (IITAA), University of the Azores, 9700-042 Angra do Heroísmo, Portugal; tanyamsrod@gmail.com (T.R.); ana.pr.lima@uac.pt (A.L.); filipe.mp.arruda@uac.pt (F.A.); alex-19961917@hotmail.com (A.J.); jose.ab.baptista@uac.pt (J.B.)
- ² Department of Biology (DB), Faculty of Science and Technology, University of the Azores, 9500-321 Ponta Delgada, Portugal
- ³ Department of Physics, Chemistry and Engineering (DCFQE), Faculty of Science and Technology, University of the Azores, 9500-321 Ponta Delgada, Portugal
- ⁴ The Perfumery, 621 Park East Blvd., New Albany, IN 47150, USA; twortham@theperfumery.com
- * Correspondence: elisabete.mc.lima@uac.pt

Abstract: The Azorean Cryptomeria japonica forest operations and wood industry generate considerable foliage biomass residues that are used for local essential oil (EO) production. However, research on seasonal variation of C. japonica EO remains scarce. In this study, the EOs from fresh Azorean C. japonica foliage (Az–CJF) collected in autumn (Aut) and spring (Spr) were obtained via hydrodistillation and investigated for their physical properties, yield, chemical composition, and bioactivities. Both EOs presented a strong odor, a yellowish color, a density around 0.9 g mL⁻¹, and similar yields (approximately 1% v/w, dry matter). Nevertheless, the GC–MS analyses showed a decrease in monoterpene hydrocarbons (MH) and an increase in oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS) contents in Spr-EO compared with Aut-EO (16% vs. 35% for MH and 45% vs. 31% for OS, respectively). In addition, the predominant components were kaur-16-ene (23%) for Spr-EO and phyllocladene (19%) for Aut-EO, revealing that both EOs were rich in diterpene hydrocarbons (29% vs. 26%). Concerning its toxicity against brine shrimp, a low mortality (0-38%) was observed at a concentration range of 100–180 μ g·mL⁻¹. Regarding the anti-cholinesterase properties, both EOs were inactive against acetylcholinesterase but showed anti-butyrylcholinesterase activity superior to (–)- α -pinene, a major compound of Az–CJF EO (IC₅₀ values: 84, 148, and 648 μ g·mL⁻¹ for Spr–EO, Aut–EO, and α -pinene, respectively). Overall, the results indicate the potential benefit of both seasonal EOs in Alzheimer's disease treatment. In conclusion, this study demonstrated that season strongly influences the Az–CJF EO quantitative composition and thus its bioactivity, aiding in the selection of the most high-quality raw materials for use in Azorean C. japonica EO aromatherapy industry.

Keywords: anti-cholinesterase activity; forest biomass valorization; brine shrimp lethality; essential oils; GC–MS analysis; seasonal variation; sustainable circular economy

1. Introduction

Conifers, the dominant group of gymnosperms, are spread worldwide in diverse ecosystems, especially in the boreal and temperate forests of North America and Eurasia [1]. In fact, they have evolved intricate anatomical and chemical defense strategies against adverse abiotic conditions and against pest and pathogen attacks over a million years of co-evolution. In particular, conifers biosynthesize oleoresin (a complex terpenoid mixture of resinous and volatile compounds), typically stored in resin ducts, acting as a strong defense system [2–6], and possessing a great potential for commercial applications as well [1,5–9].



Citation: Rodrigues, T.; Lima, A.; Wortham, T.; Arruda, F.; Janeiro, A.; Baptista, J.; Lima, E. Essential Oil Composition and Anti-Cholinesterase Properties of *Cryptomeria japonica* Foliage Harvested in São Miguel Island (Azores) in Two Different Seasons. *Plants* **2024**, *13*, 3277. https://doi.org/10.3390/ plants13233277

Academic Editors: Katarina Šavikin, Dušanka Kitić and Milica Randjelović

Received: 7 October 2024 Revised: 16 November 2024 Accepted: 20 November 2024 Published: 22 November 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). It is also noteworthy that conifer forests are major sources of biogenic volatile terpene emissions, playing an important role in atmospheric chemistry [4]. Thus, for instance, human contact with forest atmosphere (Shinrin-yoku/forest bathing) may provide a promising alternative therapy for enhancing mental health. In this context, Tsunetsugu et al. [10] reported that sensing of a weak smell of α -pinene, a major phytoncide in coniferous forests, induces a relaxed physiological state in humans.

Furthermore, the high timber yield generated from conifer forests, coupled with their high industrial value, constitutes a determining factor for these forests' broad exploitation [1,6]. One of this group's representative species is *Cryptomeria japonica* (Thunb. ex L.f.) D.Don (Japanese cedar or *sugi*), the target species of the present study. This monoecious and very large evergreen tree has a reddish-brown bark, spirally arranged leaves (needle-like) of 0.5–1 cm long, and two types of reproductive organs: female or seed cones, which retain the seeds until their maturation and ripening (by autumn period), and male or pollen cones, responsible for pollen dispersion (during spring season) [11,12].

Cryptomeria japonica belongs to the Cupressaceae family, which, among conifers, is one of the most economically important producers of essential oils (EOs), with interest in various industries, including complementary medicine, due to their valuable terpenoid compounds [13,14]. Currently, three main potential varieties of *C. japonica* are recognized, namely (i) var. *japonica (omote-sugi)*, mostly found on the Pacific Ocean side of Japan; (ii) var. *radicans (ura-sugi)*, which grows mostly on Japan's Seaside; and (iii) var. *sinensis* (Liushan), widely distributed in several southeast China provinces (e.g., Fujian, Zhejiang, Jiangxi, and Hunan) [15]. *Cryptomeria*, as an important monotypic genus, is a challenging and stimulating plant group that deserves special attention from both taxonomists and molecular biologists, ultimately benefiting their conservation [15,16], as well as from other researchers interested in unveiling their bioactive potential for applications in the medical and biotechnology fields [17–19].

Cryptomeria japonica has been widely introduced into other temperate areas outside Japan and China, such as the Azores, a Portuguese archipelago composed of nine islands distributed across three groups (western, central, and eastern). Azorean forests are associated with subtropical conditions that can only be found in a few regions worldwide, which include islands with a temperate climate. In the Azores, *C. japonica*, locally known as 'criptoméria', was introduced from Japan in the mid-19th century and has since become the most important forestry tree, not only because its stands are a prominent component of the Azorean landscape (Figure 1A), but also due to its high economic value and role in erosion control. Currently, *C. japonica* represents over 17% of the total regional forest area and nearly 60% of the total wood-producing forest section, with major plantations being located in São Miguel Island (Figure 1B), where it occupies nearly 50% of the forest area [20,21]. As a result of a routine tree cutting cycle [20], foliage (Figure 1C) and bark are among major *C. japonica* biomass residues (CJBR) [18], which, if left unattended, can pose several environmental risks.



Figure 1. Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica*: (**A**) woodland; (**B**) current distribution (shown in red) across the three island groups of the Azores archipelago: western (Flores and Corvo), central (Faial, Pico, São Jorge, Graciosa, and Terceira), and eastern (São Miguel and Santa Maria) [22]; and (**C**) fresh foliage, the plant part utilized in this study, after removal of attached cones.

However, CJBR have a huge potential as sources of value-added products, as well documented over the last decades [17,18], including EOs, their fractions, and/or their individual components (EOCs) [17]. An EO, generally recognized as a safe (GRAS) product, is typically characterized as a complex liquid mixture of lipophilic and odoriferous low-molecularweight compounds (mainly mono-, sesqui-, and diterpene hydrocarbons and their oxygenated derivatives). The EOs can be obtained by distinct extraction processes [23–26], including the traditional distillation methods, such as hydrodistillation (HD) and steam distillation (SD), frequently used at a laboratory and industrial scale, respectively [23,24]. It should be highlighted that the structural diversity of the plant terpenes/terpenoids group across the plant kingdom is mainly due to the ample catalytic diversification of plant terpene synthases (TPSs), the primary enzymes in terpenoid biosynthesis, which occurs through the mevalonate (MVA) and methylerythritol phosphate (MEP) pathways [5,8,23]. Thus, as expected, the chemical profile and yield of an EO can be highly variable, which influences its respective quality (e.g., bioactive potential) and, consequently, its specific industrial applications and price. Besides both the plant species and the extraction processes used, the major endogenous and/or exogenous factors affecting the EO chemical profile and yield of a same plant species include (i) its individual genetic variability and age; (ii) plant part and its developmental stage; (iii) surrounding abiotic and biotic environmental conditions (e.g., light, temperature, precipitation, soil nature, and microbial behavior), dependent on geographical region, climate, and seasonal variation; and (iv) management practices (e.g., daily harvest period) [3,27,28]. It should also be noted that exogenous factors, over a long period of time, might affect some of the genes responsible for volatile formation, leading to ecotypes or chemotypes in the same plant species [27]. In this context, in our recent review [17], relatively to the major EOCs of C. japonica foliage (CJF) EO from different geographical origins, three distinct EO chemotypes have been registered. Among these chemotypes, the α -pinene type was more frequent in Japan and European regions, such as Corsica Island and the Azores archipelago [17]. Nevertheless, although their bioactive potential may vary, all these CJF EO chemotypes have been well documented for their broad-spectrum antimicrobial [17,29] and pesticidal (e.g., anti-termite and anti-mosquito) activities [17]. Other important CJF EO bioactivities might include antioxidant [29,30]; anti-inflammatory [31]; cancer chemopreventive [32]; neuropharmacological properties

(e.g., anxiolytic, analgesic [33], sedative [33,34], and relaxing [35,36] effects); and acetyl-cholinesterase (AChE) inhibition [37].

Currently, increasing applications and market demands for EOs [23,24,38-41] could bring unique opportunities for the sustainable management of the underutilized CJBR. Thus, studies on CJBR EO valorization remain imperative, particularly on EOs from CJF, one of the most abundant CJBR. Our previous phytochemical and bioactivity studies on EOs from Azorean CJBR (foliage, leaves, male cones, female cones, bark, and sawdust) [19,29,42–44] revealed that these possess interesting broad-spectrum bioactivities, including antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. Therefore, the evaluation of their neuroprotective potential is of great interest in the context of Alzheimer's disease (AD), the most common form of age-related dementia worldwide, without a cure yet, and thus, the subject of major ongoing research. In fact, EOs and/or EOCs, as multi-target directed ligands (MTDLs), i.e., act on multiple targets simultaneously, coupled with their ability to cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB), may be promising candidates to combat AD [45,46]. On the other hand, some EOs can also be potentially hazardous to the public and ecosystem health [47], so evaluation of the general toxicity of CJBR EO is required. Furthermore, it is also important to highlight the scarcity of studies on the influence of seasonality over the Azorean CJBR EO chemical composition and associated biological activities.

Therefore, the present study focuses on the comparison of the chemical composition and other parameters (color, odor, density, yield, acetyl- and butyrylcholinesterase inhibition, and brine shrimp lethality) of EOs, obtained via HD from fresh Azorean *C. japonica* foliage (Az–CJF) collected from one site in São Miguel Island (Azores) in two different periods: mid-November 2022 and mid-April 2023, hereafter referred to as autumn (aut) and spring (spr), respectively. The results will aim to add more value to the *C. japonica* EO industry and, consequently, to contribute to the local circular economy through the exploitation of biomass residues generated from the typical wood processing procedures.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

A standard mixture of C7–C33 *n*-alkanes was acquired from Restek (Bellefonte, PA, USA). (–)- α -Pinene (\geq 97%), anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄), sodium phosphate dibasic (Na₂HPO₄), sodium phosphate monobasic (NaH₂PO₄), acetylthiocholine iodide (ATChI), butyrylthiocholine iodide (BTChI), acetone, AChE from *Electrophorus electricus L*. (electric eel), and butyrylcholinesterase (BChE) from equine serum were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Donepezil was obtained through Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). 5,5'-Dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) or Ellman's reagent (DTNB) was bought from TCI (Tokyo, Japan). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was purchased from Riedel-de Häen (Aktiengesellschaft, Seelze, Germany). Deionized water was used for all experiments.

2.2. Study Area and Climatic Conditions of Season Collecting Samples

The Azores is a volcanic archipelago located in the North Atlantic Ocean, about 1500 km from the Portugal mainland, stretched along 600 km in a NW–SE direction, between latitudes 36°55′43″ N and 39°43′23″ N and longitudes 24°46′15″ W and 31°16′24″ W, with a total area equivalent of 2333 km² [48]. The selected island, São Miguel, belongs to the eastern Azorean group, as illustrated in Figure 1B. The oceanic temperate climate of the Azores islands is characterized by mild temperatures all year round, high relative humidity, and constant winds. During most of the year (autumn, winter, and early spring), the Azores region is frequently crossed by the North Atlantic storm track, the main path of rain-producing weather systems. On the other hand, during late spring and summer, the anticyclone influences the Azores climate, diminishing local precipitation levels [49].

The average temperature and rainfall levels during the season of samples colleting ranged from 17.9 to 18.9 °C and from 79.7 to 96 mm for spring and autumn, respectively, as detailed in Figure 2. The global solar radiation (GSR) ranged from 34% to 54% and from



40% to 58% for autumn and spring, respectively. In particular, the GSR ranged from 3001.7 to 13,156.6 kJ·m⁻² (average of 7243.6 kJ·m⁻²) and from 16,844.4 to 23,954.3 kJ·m⁻² (average of 21,407.5 kJ·m⁻²) in November 2022 and April 2023, respectively [50].

Figure 2. Means of the air temperature and precipitation levels by month in São Miguel Island, Azores, from September 2022 to August 2023 [50].

2.3. Collection and Preparation of C. japonica Foliage Samples

The foliage of *C. japonica* was collected early morning from the same 15–20-year-old tree population located in Vila Franca do Campo (latitude $37^{\circ} 44'38.347''$ N, longitude $25^{\circ} 21'56.263''$ W, altitude 400 m), São Miguel Island (Azores archipelago, Portugal), in two seasonal periods: on 18 November 2022 (autumn; seed dispersal stage) and 13 April 2023 (spring; pollen release stage). The plant material (mature foliage from mature branchlets, or twigs, up to a maximum diameter of 10 mm) of each seasonal period was randomly taken from branch ends of ten selected *C. japonica* healthy individuals to obtain a representative foliage sample within the tree population. The collected sample was immediately brought to a laboratory at the University of the Azores and stored at -20 °C after removal of attached strobili (cones). A portion of both the fresh autumn and spring CJF samples was air-desiccated in the shade at room temperature (20 °C) until a constant weight was obtained for water content determination, revealing similar and relatively low values (55.84% and 56%, respectively).

Two voucher specimens (number AZB 4545 for autumn foliage and number AZB 4582 for spring foliage) were deposited in the Herbarium AZB–Ruy Telles Palhinha, located in the same university.

2.4. Extraction of Essential Oils via Hydrodistillation Process

Before HD, the CJF samples were defrosted and cut into small pieces (2–3 cm in length). The EOs were isolated using a Clevenger-type apparatus, as recommended by the European Pharmacopoeia [51]. The ratio of fresh CJF sample to water was 1:10 g·mL⁻¹, and the duration of the HD was 3 h after collecting the first drop of distillate. The obtained EOs samples were dehydrated with anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and then stored in amber vials at 4 °C until further analysis. Each HD was performed in triplicate.

The EO content is expressed in mL per 100 g of dry matter (DM) or fresh matter (FM), and the EO density (ρ) was calculated through Equation (1), in which EO_m is the weight of EO and EO_v is the volume of EO.

Essential oil density,
$$\rho \left(g/cm^3 \right) = \frac{EO_m}{EO_v}$$
 (1)

2.5. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Analysis of Essential Oils

The EOs were analyzed using a Shimadzu GCMS-QP2010 Ultra gas chromatographmass spectrometer (Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan). An aliquot of 0.1 µL of sample solution (0.1 g EO dissolved in 1 mL methylene chloride) was injected in a split mode at a 24.4:1 ratio. The carrier gas (helium) flow rate was set to 36.3 cm \cdot s⁻¹. The EOCs were separated using a ZB–5MSPlus capillary column (60 m length \times 0.25 mm i.d., and film thickness of 0.25 µm) coated with 5% phenyl, 95% methyl siloxane (Phenomenex Inc., Torrance, CA, USA). The oven temperature was programmed to increase from 50 $^{\circ}$ C to 260 $^{\circ}$ C at a rate of 2 °C.min⁻¹ and kept constant for 5 min at the final temperature, completing the total running time of 110 min. Both the injector and detector temperatures were 260 °C. The transfer line and ion source temperatures were 300 °C and 260 °C, respectively. The MS operated in electron ionization mode at 70 eV with a scan speed of 0.3 s and a mass range of 40-400 m/z. The identification of the EOCs was assigned as described in Arruda et al. [29] by comparing (i) their retention indices (RI), calculated according to ISO 7609 [52], relative to a homologous series of n-alkanes (C7–C33), and (ii) their recorded mass spectra with those from two MS databases: an in-house library (created with commercially available standards and components of reference EOs) and commercial libraries (FFNSC4.0, NIST11, and Wiley10). The relative percentage of each EOC was calculated by integrating the total ion current (TIC) chromatogram peaks without correction factors as the mean values of, at least, three injections from each EO sample.

2.6. Brine Shrimp Lethality Activity (BSLA) Assay

To assess the potential toxicity of EOs by an in vivo assay, brine shrimp lethality was used as a pre-screening method. The BSLA assay was performed according to the Solis et al. [53] method, with some modifications. Brine shrimp cysts (JBL GmbH & Co. KG, Neuhofen, Germany), purchased from a local supplier, were hatched within a period of 48 h in a container with artificial seawater at 25 °C, under constant aeration. Hatched nauplii in the second larval stage (metanauplii) were used in the bioassays. A stock solution of each EO was prepared at a concentration of 100 mg·mL⁻¹ by dissolving them in DMSO. Then, this solution was diluted to $1 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ in water and sonicated. Stock solution dilutions (100, 120, 140, and 180 μ g·mL⁻¹) were made in 100 μ L artificial seawater placed in the 96-well microplates. Control samples of artificial seawater and DMSO were also prepared to correct values with the natural mortality rate. Afterward, a 100 μ L suspension of metanauplii containing 10–15 organisms was added to each well, and the covered microplate was incubated at 25 °C for 24 h. All the experiments were performed in triplicate. After 24 h, the mortality was recorded (non-motile metanauplii were considered dead) under a stereomicroscope (\times 12.5). Mortality data recorded were corrected according to Abbott's [54] formula (Equation (2)):

Mortality (%) =
$$\left[\frac{\left(L_{control} - L_{sample}\right)}{L_{control}}\right] \times 100$$
 (2)

where L is the living metanauplii.

2.7. In Vitro Anti-Cholinesterase Assays

The AChE and BChE inhibitory activities of EOs and α -*pinene samples* were measured in vitro according to the colorimetric method developed by Ellman et al. [55], with some

modifications [56]. In both assays, the tested samples were previously dissolved in DMSO and diluted in water until the desirable concentrations were achieved (concentration of DMSO in the final reaction mixtures was <0.2%). Donepezil (an approved Alzheimer medicine) was used as reference for both assays at a stock concentration of, approximately, 183 μ g·mL⁻¹. A control reaction was carried out using water instead of sample and considered a 100% activity standard. The absorbance (Abs) was read in a microplate reader (Thermo Scientific Multiskan FC, Waltham, MA, USA) at 405 nm. Each test was carried out in triplicate.

For anti-AChE assay, 120 μ L of EO or α -pinene solution (1.9–960 μ g·mL⁻¹) and 110 μ L of sodium phosphate buffer (pH 8; 0.1 M) were mixed in a microwell plate. Subsequently, 10 μ L of AChE enzyme solution (0.25 U·mL⁻¹) were added, and the reaction mixture was incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Finally, 10 μ L of a substrate mix solution (equal parts of ATChI 75 mM and DTNB 3 mM) were added, and the resulting Abs was read after 8 min of incubation.

Regarding the anti-BChE assay, 50 μ L of EO or α -pinene solution (1.8–909 μ g·mL⁻¹) and 150 μ L of the aforementioned buffer were mixed in a microwell plate. Afterward, 10 μ L of BChE enzyme solution (0.44 U·mL⁻¹) were added, and the resulting mixture was incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Finally, 10 μ L of a substrate mix solution (equal parts of BTChI 11 mM and DTNB 5 mM) were added, and the resulting Abs was read after 15 min of incubation.

The percentage inhibition of enzyme activity was calculated by comparison with the negative control using Equation (3), and the results are expressed as half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀). Lower IC₅₀ value (μ g·mL⁻¹) means a higher anti-cholinesterase activity.

Inhibition (%) =
$$1 - \left(\frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100$$
 (3)

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 28.0.1.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data are represented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of at least three independent experiments. Normal distribution of continuous variables was tested with a Shapiro–Wilk test. Paired-samples *t*-test was used for analysis of differences in the chemical and biological properties of EOs between autumn and spring seasons. Data that did not present a normal distribution were analyzed through non-parametric tests (Wilcoxon signed-rank test). Statistical significance of differences among mean values was established at *p* < 0.05.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Yield, Density, Color, and Odor of Essential Oils from Azorean C. japonica Foliage Harvested in Autumn and Spring

An approximate total of 900 g (divided into three distillations) of fresh Az–CJF collected from one site in São Miguel Island (Azores) in two selected seasonal periods, namely, autumn (November 2022) and spring (April 2023), which correspond to seed dispersal and pollen release plant stages, respectively, were hydrodistilled to isolate their EO.

Table 1 summarizes the results of the determination of the same parameters (color, odor, density, and yield) of the Aut–EO and Spr–EO samples. Both EOs were found to be yellow in color with a strong aromatic-fresh smell, which can be classified as a terpene-type odor [57]. In addition, both EOs are liquids with density values (around 0.9 g·mL⁻¹) lower than that of water. Similarly, the yield values (v/w) of Aut–EO and Spr–EO samples (1.37% vs. 1.06% for DM, or 0.6% vs. 0.47% for FM, respectively) were not influenced by seasonal period (t = 2.420; df = 3; p = 0.094). However, it should be noted that, when the EOs were cooled to 4 °C for, at least, 1 h, only the Aut–EO sample developed a solid deposit with a whitish color. Concerning the yield parameter, the values reported for several EOs, obtained via HD from foliage of *C. japonica* cultivated in different regions, varied,

converting them to w/w on a DM basis, from 0.5% to 4.7% [17,58–60]. Thus, the yield value of the studied EOs (1.22% and 0.96% w/w DM for Aut and Spr samples, respectively) is within this range. Nonetheless, it should be highlighted that comparison of data between studies is very difficult when different raw materials (e.g., growing location, plant age, and sampling time), extraction protocols (e.g., distillation time), and/or units of measurement were used, among other factors. Nevertheless, concerning the Az–CJF EOs, the yield data of a recent and systematic study of Figueiredo et al. [61] reported yield values within 0.1–0.4% (v/w, FM) for 115 EOs obtained via HD or SD from *C. japonica* aerial parts (branches and foliage with or without strobili), collected monthly for 2 years, in São Miguel, Terceira, and Pico Islands. These values were lower than those obtained in the studied seasonal periods (0.47–0.60, v/w, FM). This result may be explained, at least in part, by the different raw materials used (branches and foliage vs. foliage). In fact, previous studies reported that EO yield from CJF is higher than that of woody parts of the plant [17], such as branches. Overall, these diverse yield data indicate that Az–CJF is, typically, a good source of EO, possible to be obtained throughout the year.

Table 1. Color, odor, density, and yield of the essential oil extracted via hydrodistillation from fresh Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* foliage (Az–CJF) collected in autumn (Aut) and spring (Spr) in one site in São Miguel Island.

	Essential Oil					
Plant Material	6.1	0.1	Density	Yield (%, <i>v/w</i>) *		
	Color	Odor	$(g \cdot mL^{-1}) *$	DM	FM	
Az-CJF Aut	vellow	strong	$0.90\pm0.03~^{a}$	$1.37\pm0.19~^{\text{a}}$	$0.60\pm0.08~^a$	
Az–CJF Spr	yenew	aromatic-fresh smell	0.91 ± 0.01 a	$1.06\pm0.07~^{a}$	$0.47\pm0.03~^{a}$	

* Results are expressed as mean \pm SD (n = 3). Identical superscript letters in the same column indicate no statistically significant differences at p < 0.05. Legend: DM—dry matter; FM—fresh matter.

It is known that climatic conditions, such as precipitation, air temperature, and solar light pattern, can strongly influence not only plant growth and development but also EO production (yield and chemical composition as well) [27]. Moreover, the effect of both temperature and light factors can accumulate because high solar radiation exposure increases leaf temperature. Furthermore, in several conifer trees, the synthesis and accumulation of terpenes appear to be highly dependent on both light intensity and temperature [4,27]. Regarding the climatic conditions of São Miguel Island, the average temperature and rainfall during the months' colleting samples were 17.7 °C and 71.2 mm for November 2022 and 16.8 °C and 66.2 mm for April 2023, respectively (Figure 2) [50], revealing a slight decrease in temperature and precipitation in April 2023. However, in this month, the average GSR was higher when compared with November 2022 (21,407.5 and 7243.6 kJ·m⁻², respectively) [50], showing an opposite pattern to that of temperature levels. Therefore, these data may represent, at least in part, a potential explanation for the lack of statistically significant differences in the yield values of Az–CJF EOs between the considered seasons.

In fact, Azorean forests are connected to temperate humid conditions with low thermal amplitude all year round, along with mild and relatively wet summers [20]. These authors [20] carried out a dendrochronological study in the Azores to better understand the relationships between climate and *C. japonica* growth in the archipelago, and their findings showed that precipitation variables had a relatively limited effect on the trees' growth in comparison with temperature, which was the main and most consistent driver affecting radial growth, being this pattern similar or opposed to that of other studies (e.g., *C. japonica* from China and Japan, respectively). Thus, in a climatic change scenario where winter and summer temperatures become higher, which could lead to increased water stress, *C. japonica* may experience reduced growth rates [20]. In this context, our ongoing studies will involve the EO yield determination of CJF samples from the studied batch during different months of consecutive years, which will contribute to providing additional information for defining management approaches for *C. japonica* in the Azores.

3.2. Chemical Composition of Essential Oils from Azorean C. japonica Foliage Harvested in Autumn and Spring

Figure 3A,B shows the total ion current (TIC) chromatograms, determined by GC/MS, of the Aut–EO and Spr–EO samples, respectively. Their chemical composition profile, along with the percentage of their components, are presented in Table 2, where the EOCs are listed in order of their elution from the ZB–5MSPlus capillary column and grouped into six classes: monoterpene hydrocarbons (MH), oxygenated monoterpenes (OM), sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SH), oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS), diterpene hydrocarbons (DH), and oxygenated diterpenes (OD).



Figure 3. Total ion current (TIC) chromatogram on a ZB–5MSPlus capillary column of the essential oil extracted via hydrodistillation from fresh Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* foliage collected in one site in São Miguel Island (**A**) during autumn; (**B**) during spring. Legend: 1— α -Pinene; 2—Sabinene; 3—Limonene; 4—Elemol; 5— γ -Eudesmol; 6— β + α -Eudesmol; 7—Phyllocladene; 8—Kaur-16-ene.

As shown in Table 2, a total of 104 EOCs were identified in the Az–CJF EOs samples under study. Among these, 91 and 92 EOCs were present in the Aut–EO and Spr–EO samples each, accounting for 98.98% and 98.68% of the total EO composition, respectively. The results revealed that the chemical profiles of both EOs were qualitatively similar, sharing a total of 79 EOCs, whereas the relative content of some EOCs varied drastically, as discussed below.

Table 2. GC–MS composition of the essential oil (EO) extracted via hydrodistillation from fresh
Azorean Cryptomeria japonica foliage collected in autumn (Aut) and spring (Spr) in one site in São
Miguel Island.

	-	Component	RT	RIL	RI _C	Relative Content (%)	
No.	Class					Aut-EO	Spr–EO
1	MH	Tricyclene	12.03	921	918	0.25 ± 0.03	0.24 ± 0.06
2	MH	α-Thujene	12.18	924	921	0.51 ± 0.13 *	0.11 ± 0.01 *
3	MH	α-Pinene	12.61	932	929	11.22 \pm 0.92 *	6.51 ± 0.67 *
4	MH	α-Fenchene	13.41	945	943	0.03 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.00
5	MH	Camphene	13.51	946	945	1.52 ± 0.18	1.58 ± 0.34
6	MH	Sabinene	14.80	969	967	8.12 ± 1.57 *	1.60 \pm 0.15 *
7	MH	β-Pinene	15.10	974	973	0.83 ± 0.04	0.46 ± 0.03
8	MH	β-Myrcene	15.73	988	984	2.43 ± 0.29 *	1.46 ± 0.20 *
9	MH	α-Phellandrene	16.81	1002	1003	0.03 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.00
10	MH	δ-3-Carene	16.95	1008	1005	0.34 ± 0.03 *	1.02 ± 0.13 *
11	MH	α-Terpinene	17.47	1014	1013	0.56 ± 0.22 *	0.14 ± 0.02 *
12	MH	<i>p</i> -Cymene	17.95	1020	1020	0.05 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.01
13	MH	Limonene	18.27	1024	1025	7.43 \pm 2.16 *	2.00 ± 0.30 *
14	MH	β-Phellandrene	18.36	1025	1026	0.29 ± 0.04 *	0.19 ± 0.03 *
15	MH	γ-Terpinene	20.14	1054	1053	0.90 ± 0.35 *	0.20 ± 0.07 *
16	OM	trans-Sabinene hydrate	20.92	1060	1065	0.05 ± 0.02	0.03 ± 0.00
17	MH	Terpinolene	21.96	1086	1080	0.47 ± 0.11 *	0.22 ± 0.06 *
18	OM	Linalool	22.90	1095	1095	0.19 ± 0.04	0.22 ± 0.09
19	OM	cis-Sabinene hydrate	23.00	1097	1096	0.04 ± 0.02	0.03 ± 0.02
20	OM	β-Thujone	24.17	1112	1113	0.03 ± 0.01	-
21	OM	cis-p-Menth-2-en-1-ol	24.61	1118	1119	0.09 ± 0.01 *	0.02 ± 0.00 *
22	OM	trans-p-Menth-2-en-1-ol	25.83	1136	1137	0.08 ± 0.02 *	0.02 ± 0.00 *
23	OM	Camphene hydrate	26.72	1145	1150	0.03 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.00
24	OM	Isoborneol	27.15	1155	1155	-	0.04 ± 0.01
25	OM	Borneol	27.88	1165	1166	0.02 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.00
26	OM	Terpinen-4-ol	28.50	1174	1175	2.01 ± 0.74 *	0.60 ± 0.09 *
27	OM	α-Terpineol	29.49	1186	1189	0.13 ± 0.02 *	0.09 ± 0.00 *
28	OM	cis-Piperitol	29.62	1195	1191	0.03 ± 0.01	-
29	OM	trans-Piperitol	30.45	1207	1203	0.03 ± 0.00	-
30	OM	endo-Fenchyl acetate	31.04	1218	1212	0.01 ± 0.00	0.02 ± 0.00
31	OM	Linalyl acetate	33.23	1253	1244	0.05 ± 0.00	-
32	OM	Bornyl acetate	35.58	1287	1278	1.58 ± 0.18 *	2.50 ± 0.16 *
33	OM	Isobornyl acetate	35.74	1283	1280	0.02 ± 0.00 *	0.14 ± 0.01 *
34	OM	trans-Sabinyl acetate	35.86	1289	1282	0.02 ± 0.00	-
35	OM	Methyl thujate	37.98	1318	1313	-	0.02 ± 0.00
36	SH	δ-Elemene	39.00	1335	1329	0.02 ± 0.00	0.02 ± 0.00
37	OM	α-Terpenyl acetate	39.72	1346	1340	0.09 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.00
38	SH	β-Elemene	42.54	1389	1383	0.08 ± 0.00	0.12 ± 0.01
39	SH	β-Caryophyllene	44.46	1417	1412	0.04 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.00
40	SH	γ -Elemene	45.08	1427	1422	0.02 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.00
41	SH	<i>trans</i> -β-Farnesene	46.54	1454	1446	0.03 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01
42	SH	α-Humulene	46.71	1452	1448	0.02 ± 0.00	0.02 ± 0.00
43	SH	cis-Muurola-4(14),5-diene	47.12	1465	1455	-	0.02 ± 0.00
44	SH	10-β-H-Cadina-1(6),4-diene	47.74	1461	1465	-	0.02 ± 0.00
45	SH	trans-Cadina-1(6),4-diene	47.92	1475	1468	0.03 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.00
46	SH	Germacrene D	48.30	1484	1474	0.13 ± 0.01	0.22 ± 0.09
47	SH	β-Selinene	48.81	1489	1482	0.03 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.01
48	SH	trans-Muurola-4(14),5-diene	48.97	1493	1484	0.02 ± 0.00	0.02 ± 0.00
49	SH	α-Selinene	49.20	1498	1488	0.04 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.00
50	SH	α-Muurolene	49.38	1500	1491	0.10 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.00
51	OS	<i>epi-</i> Cubebol	49.53	1493	1493	-	0.06 ± 0.00
52	OS	β-Dihydroagarofuran	49.78	1503	1497	0.02 ± 0.00	0.02 ± 0.00
53	SH	γ-Cadinene	50.26	1513	1505	0.11 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01

Table	2.	Cont.
-------	----	-------

No. Class Component R1 R1 R2 R4 R2 Au+EO Sp=FO 54 OS Cubebol 50.38 1514 1507 0.01 1 0.00 0.02 1 0.00 55 SH Zonarene 50.85 1526 1515 0.02 2 0.00 0.02 2 0.00 56 SH Zonarene 51.67 1537 1520 0.01 0.01 0.04 1.001 59 OS Elemol 52.99 1551 10.04 0.00 0.01 + 6.00 61 OS Germacrene B 52.98 1559 1551 0.04 + 0.01 0.05 + 6.01 62 OS Germacrene D-4.01 55.99 1607 1598 0.97 + 0.06 0.03 + 0.00 0.04 + 0.01 0.05 + 0.01 64 OS 5.0-01ept-s-Fudesmol 55.79 1607 1598 0.09 + 0.04 0.02 + 0.03 0.02 + 0.03 0.02 + 0.03 0.02 + 0.03 0.02 + 0.03 0.02 + 0.03 0.02 + 0.03 0.02 + 0.03 0.02 + 0.03 0.02 + 0.04 0.05 + 0.01	NT.	<u> </u>	Component	RT	RI _L	RI _C	Relative Content (%)	
54 OS Cubebol 50.38 1514 1507 0.01 + 0.00 0.02 + 0.00 55 SH Zonarnee 50.85 1526 1515 0.02 + 0.00 0.02 ± 0.05 56 SH Zonarnee 51.26 1333 1521 0.03 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.00 57 SH trans-Cadima-1, -4-lene 51.67 1337 1529 0.03 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.01 59 OS Elemol 52.39 1584 1511 16.00 ± 2.25* 20.50 1.62* 61 OS Germacrene P 51.02 1574 1553 0.04 ± 0.01 0.01 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.04 62 OS Germacrene 7.55 1607 1595 0.02 ± 0.04 0.31 ± 0.00 0.26 ± 0.03 64 OS F.0-ducenol 55.68 1607 1998 0.20 ± 0.02 0.05 ± 0.01 66 OS Ludem5-cm-11-01 55.98 1607 1039 ± 0.02 0.05 ± 0.01 67 OS Rotiolol 5	NO.	Class	Component				Aut-EO	Spr–EO
55 SH $5C$ canarene 50.57 1514 1510 0.93 ± 0.04 1.02 ± 0.05 56 SH $trans-Calina-1,4$ -diene 51.26 1533 1521 $ 0.02 \pm 0.00$ 57 SH $trans-Calina-1,4$ -diene 51.26 1531 1521 0.03 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.01 59 OS Hemol 52.39 1559 1501 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 60 SH Germacrene B 52.39 1551 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 61 OS Germacrene D-4-01 53.02 1574 1586 0.97 ± 0.60 1.33 ± 0.04 63 OS Guaid 55.7 1607 1595 0.09 ± 0.04 0.14 ± 0.02 64 OS Folgonone 55.79 1607 1598 0.90 ± 0.04 0.14 ± 0.02 66 OS Rudsem-5en-11-01 55.88 1520 1640 0.03 ± 0.02 0.03 ± 0.02 70 OS Rudsemol 57.23 <td>54</td> <td>OS</td> <td>Cubebol</td> <td>50.38</td> <td>1514</td> <td>1507</td> <td>0.01 ± 0.00</td> <td>0.02 ± 0.00</td>	54	OS	Cubebol	50.38	1514	1507	0.01 ± 0.00	0.02 ± 0.00
56 SH <i>Tonserve</i> 50.85 1526 1513 0.02 ± 0.00 0.02 ± 0.00 58 SH <i>ac</i> -Cadinene 51.67 1537 1529 0.03 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.01 59 CS Elemol 52.98 1554 1541 1600 ± 2.25* 20.80 ± 1.62 60 SH Germacrone D-4-ol 54.02 1574 1568 0.74 ± 0.00 0.11 ± 0.04 63 CS Guaiol 55.06 1577 1568 - 0.03 ± 0.00 64 CS <i>Guptopenone</i> 55.79 1607 1598 0.09 ± 0.01 0.03 ± 0.00 65 CS <i>Fulger-Eudosmol</i> 55.79 1607 1598 0.02 ± 0.00 - 0.03 ± 0.02 0.05 ± 0.01 66 CS <i>I_10-di-Firl-Cubenol</i> 56.28 1618 1607 0.03 ± 0.02 0.05 ± 0.02 - 0.50 ± 0.02 - 0.50 ± 0.02 0.50 ± 0.02 - 0.50 ± 0.02 - 0.50 ± 0.02 - 0.50 ± 0.02 0.22	55	SH	δ-Cadinene	50.57	1514	1510	0.93 ± 0.04	1.02 ± 0.05
57 SH trans-Cadina-1,4-diene 51.26 1533 1521 0.02 ± 0.00 58 SH ac-Cadinene 51.27 1539 0.03 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.01 59 OS Elenol 52.39 1539 1511 0.04 ± 0.00 0.11 ± 0.04 60 SH Germacrene B 52.39 1539 1600 22.27 20.80 ± 1.62* 61 OS Germacrene D-t-ol 53.02 1537 1560 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 + 0.01 63 OS Guaid 55.05 1597 1560 0.04 ± 0.01 0.03 ± 0.00 64 OS Eudesm-5-en-11-0 55.98 1500 1600 1.03 ± 0.00 .06 ± 0.03 ± 0.00 65 OS I.10-di-t2/-Cubenol 56.14 1600 1604 0.03 ± 0.00 0.05 ± 0.01 71 OS Valerianol 56.68 1622 1623 0.39 ± 0.02 0.02 ± 0.00 . 72 OS Agaraspirol 57.07 16	56	SH	Zonarene	50.85	1526	1515	0.02 ± 0.00	0.02 ± 0.00
58 SH $ac.Cadinene$ 51.67 1537 1529 0.03 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.01 59 OS Elemol 52.38 1541 16.00 ± 2.25* 20.80 ± 1.62* 60 SH Germacrene D-4-ol 54.02 1574 1588 0.74 ± 0.01 0.03 ± 0.01 62 OS Germacrene D-4-ol 54.02 1574 1586 - 0.35 ± 0.01 63 OS Guaid 55.09 1607 1995 0.99 ± 0.04 0.14 ± 0.02 64 OS 5.749*-refudermol 55.79 1607 1998 0.02 ± 0.06 0.32 ± 0.00 67 OS Rasifoliol 55.14 1600 10.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01 - 0.03 ± 0.01	57	SH	trans-Cadina-1,4-diene	51.26	1533	1521	-	0.02 ± 0.00
99 OS Elemol 52.39 1548 1541 16.00 2.27* 2.8.00 1.1 ± 0.04 61 OS (C)-Ncrolidol 33.09 1561 1533 0.04 ± 0.00 0.11 ± 0.04 62 OS Garmacrone D-4-01 54.02 1574 1586 0.97 ± 0.60 1.3.3 ± 0.04 63 OS Guaid 55.76 1597 1586 - 0.3.6 ± 0.00 64 OS Folgopenco 55.79 1607 1595 0.09 ± 0.04 0.014 ± 0.02 0.2.6 ± 0.03 65 OS Eudesm-5-en-11-01 55.88 1502 1618 1607 0.03 ± 0.02 0.05 ± 0.01 66 OS 1.10-di-f.pi-Cubenol 56.88 1622 1614 0.44 ± 0.04 0.70 ± 0.02 0.7 71 OS Agaraspirol 57.06 1618 1607 0.03 ± 0.02 0.04 ± 0.00 - 0.20 ± 0.00 - 72 OS Agaraspirol 57.79 1638 1633 <t< td=""><td>58</td><td>SH</td><td>α-Cadinene</td><td>51.67</td><td>1537</td><td>1529</td><td>0.03 ± 0.01</td><td>0.04 ± 0.01</td></t<>	58	SH	α-Cadinene	51.67	1537	1529	0.03 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.01
60 SH Germacrene B 52.98 1559 1551 0.04 + 0.00 0.11 \pm 0.04 61 OS Germacrene D-4-ol 54.02 1574 1568 0.97 ± 0.60 1.33 \pm 0.04 63 OS Gausiol 55.06 1597 1586 - 0.36 \pm 0.00 64 OS β -Oplopenone 55.59 1607 1598 0.02 \pm 0.04 0.14 \pm 0.02 65 OS 57-di-gris-E-ducemol 55.68 1600 - 0.03 \pm 0.03 66 OS 1.10-di-Epi-Cubenol 56.48 1618 1607 0.03 \pm 0.02 0.05 \pm 0.01 69 OS 1.10-di-Epi-Cubenol 56.68 1622 1614 0.44 ± 0.04 0.79 ± 0.02 70 OS Valerianol 56.92 1623 1618 0.24 ± 0.00 - - 72 OS Agaraspirol 57.00 1612 0.22 ± 0.00 - - 0.59 ± 0.02 - - 0.59 ± 0.02 - - 0.51 ±	59	OS	Elemol	52.39	1548	1541	16.00 \pm 2.25 *	20.80 \pm 1.62 *
61 OS (CP) -Nerolidol 53.09 1551 10.04 0.05 = 0.01 62 OS Gramacrene D-4-ol 54.02 1574 1586 - 0.36 ± 0.01 63 OS Guaiol 55.99 1607 1598 0.09 ± 0.04 0.14 ± 0.02 64 OS Fordagem-3-en-Il-ol 55.99 1607 1598 0.00 ± 0.06 0.26 ± 0.03 66 OS Eudesm-3-en-Il-ol 55.28 1618 1607 0.03 ± 0.03 - 67 OS Residoliol 56.41 1600 1604 0.03 ± 0.02 0.65 ± 0.01 69 OS 1- <i>Lepi</i> -Cubenol 56.68 1627 1616 0.03 ± 0.00 - 70 OS Agaraspirol 57.00 1619 - 0.59 ± 0.02 73 OS Eremoligenol isomer 57.23 1630 1623 3.89 ± 0.04 0.41 ± 0.04 76 OS r-Cadinol 57.79 1638 1633 0.29 ± 0.03 * <t< td=""><td>60</td><td>SH</td><td>Germacrene B</td><td>52.98</td><td>1559</td><td>1551</td><td>0.04 ± 0.00</td><td>0.11 ± 0.04</td></t<>	60	SH	Germacrene B	52.98	1559	1551	0.04 ± 0.00	0.11 ± 0.04
62 OS Germatere D-4-al 54.02 1574 1586 0.9 0.60 0.33 ± 0.04 63 OS Guaiol 55.06 1577 1586 - 0.35 ± 0.06 64 OS β -Oplopenone 55.59 1607 1595 0.09 ± 0.04 0.14 ± 0.02 65 OS 5.7-diepi-a-Eukernol 55.14 1600 - 0.03 ± 0.03 - 68 OS 1.10-di-Epi-Cubernol 56.28 1618 1607 0.03 ± 0.00 0.05 ± 0.01 69 OS 1.0-epi-p-Eudesmol 56.82 1627 1616 0.03 ± 0.00 0.05 ± 0.00 71 OS Valerianol 57.02 1622 0.22 ± 0.00 - - 0.50 ± 0.02 73 OS Ferenoligenol isomer 57.75 1630 1623 0.29 ± 0.03 0.42 ± 0.03 + 0.42 ± 0.07 + 0.42 ± 0.07 + 0.42 ± 0.07 + 0.42 ± 0.07 + 0.42 ± 0.07 + 0.42 ± 0.07 +	61	OS	(E)-Nerolidol	53.09	1561	1553	0.04 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.01
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	62	OS	Germacrene D-4-ol	54.02	1574	1568	0.97 ± 0.60	1.33 ± 0.04
64 OS β-Oplopenone 55.99 1607 1595 0.09 ± 0.04 0.14 ± 0.02 65 OS Eudesm-5en-11-01 55.98 1590 1600 - 0.03 ± 0.03 - 68 OS 1,10-di-Epi-Cubenol 56.28 1618 1607 0.03 ± 0.03 0.05 ± 0.01 69 OS 10-qpi-y-Eudesmol 56.68 1622 1614 0.04 ± 0.02 0.06 ± 0.00 70 OS Agaraspirol 57.00 1619 - 0.50 ± 0.02 71 OS Agaraspirol 57.00 1619 - 0.50 ± 0.02 73 OS Feremoligenol isomer 57.13 1632 0.32 ± 0.03 0.42 ± 0.00 - 74 OS Y=Eudesmol 57.67 1640 1631 0.101 ± 0.01 0.17 ± 0.01 75 OS F-Eudesmol 57.99 1638 1635 0.34 ± 0.04* 0.51 ± 0.06* 76 OS F-Eudesmol + a-Eudesmol 58.42 1647 -	63	OS	Guaiol	55.06	1597	1586	-	0.36 ± 0.00
65 OS 5./-dr- <i>pri-α</i> -Eudesmol 55.98 160/ 1998 0.20 ± 0.00 0.26 ± 0.03 66 OS Rosifoliol 55.98 1590 1600 - 0.03 ± 0.03 - 68 OS 1.0-dri- <i>pri-Cubenol</i> 56.28 1618 1607 0.03 ± 0.02 0.05 ± 0.01 69 OS 1.0- <i>pri-p</i> -Eudesmol 56.68 1622 1616 0.03 ± 0.00 0.06 ± 0.00 71 OS Agaraspirol 57.07 1618 0.24 ± 0.00 - 72 OS Agaraspirol 57.07 1623 1613 0.010 0.07 ± 0.01 74 OS <i>y</i> -Eudesmol 57.27 1638 1633 0.29 ± 0.03* 0.42 ± 0.05* 75 OS <i>r-Cadinol</i> 57.79 1638 1633 0.29 ± 0.03* 0.42 ± 0.05* 78 OS <i>epi-e-Cadinol</i> 58.42 1649/1652 1645 7.33* 0.51* 12.61 ± 0.81* 78 OS Selmonl 58.56	64	OS	β-Oplopenone	55.59	1607	1595	0.09 ± 0.04	0.14 ± 0.02
666 OS Endesmolent-lieid 55.98 1590 1600 i=0.00 - 0.005 ± 0.00 67 OS Rosifolial 56.14 1610 1604 0.03 ± 0.03 - - 68 OS 1,10-di-Epi-Cubenol 56.28 1618 1607 0.03 ± 0.03 0.06 ± 0.00 70 OS 1-Epi-Cubenol 56.88 1622 1614 0.44 ± 0.00 - 72 OS Agaraspirol 57.00 1619 - 0.50 ± 0.02 73 OS Fremoligenol isomer 57.13 1630 1623 3.89 ± 0.26* 6.04 ± 0.47* 75 OS Hinesol 57.67 1640 1631 0.10 ± 0.01 0.17 ± 0.01 76 OS ar-cadinol 57.99 1638 1635 0.34 ± 0.04* 0.51 ± 0.06* 78 OS Actinol 58.04 1664 - 0.02 ± 0.00 78 OS Intermedeol 58.42 1649/1652 1645	65	OS	$5,7$ -di-epi- α -Eudesmol	55.79	1607	1598	0.20 ± 0.06	0.26 ± 0.03
b) CS Kostroliol 56.4 [1618] [1607 0.03 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01 [0.epi)-Eudesnol 56.83 [1627 1616] 0.03 \pm 0.00 0.6 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02	66	OS	Eudesm-5-en-11-ol	55.98	1590	1600	-	0.03 ± 0.00
bbs CS 1,10-1,-pr-Cubenol 56.28 1618 1607 00.3 ± 0.01 0.03 ± 0.01 69 OS 10-epr-y-Eubenol 56.83 1622 1614 0.44 ± 0.04 .0.70 ± 0.02 70 OS Valerianol 56.92 1623 1618 0.24 ± 0.00 - 72 OS Agaraspirol 57.00 1619 - 0.50 ± 0.02 73 OS Eremoligenol isomer 57.15 1622 0.03 ± 0.03 * 0.04 - 74 OS y-Eudesmol 57.67 1640 1631 0.10 ± 0.01 0.17 ± 0.01 76 OS epi-acCadinol 57.90 1638 1633 0.29 ± 0.03* 0.42 ± 0.05* 77 OS epi-acCadinol 57.90 1638 1645 0.34 ± 0.04 * 0.51 ± 0.06* 78 OS β-Eudesmol - ac-Eudesmol 58.49 1645 1645 - 0.02 ± 0.00 81 OS Intermedeol 58.49 1658 1647 -<	67	05		56.14	1600	1604	0.03 ± 0.03	
bp OS 10.44 ± 0.04 0.044 ± 0.04 0.044 ± 0.04 0.044 ± 0.04 0.044 ± 0.04 71 OS Valerianol 56.83 1627 1616 0.034 ± 0.00 0.64 ± 0.00 71 OS Valerianol 55.92 1623 1618 0.02 ± 0.00 - 73 OS Eremoligenol isomer 57.15 1622 0.02 ± 0.00 - 74 OS Yudesmol 57.67 1640 1631 0.10 ± 0.01 0.17 ± 0.01 76 OS rf.accalinol 57.67 1638 1635 0.34 ± 0.04* 0.51 ± 0.06* 78 OS scCadinol 58.04 1644 1635 0.34 ± 0.04* 0.51 ± 0.05 ± 0.01 79/80 OS bEudesmol + crEudesmol 58.42 1649/1652 1646 - 0.02 ± 0.00 81 OS Intermedeol 58.49 1666 1646 - 0.02 ± 0.00 84 OS Bulnesol 59.09 1670 1656 0.03 ±	68	OS OS	1,10-di- <i>Epi</i> -Cubenol	56.28	1618	1607	0.03 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.01
71 OS Valeriand 36.83 1622 1618 0.03 ± 0.00 0.08 ± 0.00 72 OS Agaraspirol 57.00 1619 - 0.50 ± 0.02 73 OS Fremoligenol isomer 57.15 1622 0.22 ± 0.00 - 74 OS γ-Eudesmol 57.23 1630 1623 3.89 ± 0.26* 6.04 ± 0.47* 75 OS Hinesol 57.67 1640 1631 0.01 ± 0.01 0.17 ± 0.01 76 OS r-Cadinol 57.90 1638 1633 0.29 ± 0.03* 0.42 ± 0.05* 77 OS <i>epin-a</i> -Cadinol 58.04 1649/1652 1645 7.83 ± 0.51* 12.61 ± 0.81* 78 OS Actanical 58.49 1636 1646 - 0.02 ± 0.00 81 OS Intermedeol 58.49 1636 1647 - 0.02 ± 0.00 82 OS Scim11-n-4-x-0 58.85 1653 1647 - 0.02 ± 0.00 84 OS Bulnesol 59.09 1670 1656	69 70	05	10-epi-γ-Eudesmol	56.68 E6.82	1622	1614	0.44 ± 0.04	0.70 ± 0.02
71 O3 Valentiation 30.92 10.13 10.13 0.24 0.00 - 72 O5 Agaraspirol 57.05 1619 - 0.50 ±0.00 - 73 O5 Fremoligenol isomer 57.15 1622 3.89 0.26* 6.04 ±0.47* 75 OS Hinesol 57.67 1640 1631 0.10 0.17 ±0.01 76 OS r -Cadinol 57.79 1638 1635 0.34 0.42 ±0.05* 77 OS <i>epi</i> -a-Cadinol 58.04 1644 1648 0.10 0.01 0.17 ±0.04* 780 OS S-Cadinol 58.42 1649/1652 1645 7.63 ±0.51 ±0.64* 781 OS stentermedeol 58.42 1649/1652 1645 0.51 ±0.64* 0.02 ±0.00 82 OS Self=mulacetate 59.22 1659 0.05 ±0.01 - 0.02 ±0.00 84 OS Bulnesol 59.09 1660	70 71	05	I-Epi-Cubenoi Valerianal	56.03	1627	1610	0.03 ± 0.00 0.24 ± 0.00	0.06 ± 0.00
73 OS Framiligenol isomer 57.05 1622 0.02 ± 0.00 - 74 OS y-Eudesmol 57.23 1630 1622 0.02 ± 0.00 - 75 OS y-Eudesmol 57.23 1630 1631 0.10 ± 0.01 0.07 ± 0.01 76 OS r -Cadinol 57.79 1638 1633 0.29 ± 0.03 0.42 ± 0.05* 77 OS $epi-\alpha$ -Cadinol 57.90 1638 1633 0.33 ± 0.04* 0.51 ± 0.06* 78 OS δ -Cadinol 58.04 1644 1638 0.10 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.04* 79/80 OS β-Eudesmol + α -Eudesmol 58.42 1649/1652 1646 - 0.02 ± 0.00 81 OS Bulnesol 59.09 1670 1656 0.03 ± 0.01 - 82 OS Selinvision 58.85 1658 1647 - 0.02 ± 0.00 84 OS Bulnesol 59.09 1670 1656 0.03 ± 0.00 - 85 OS Elemyl acetate 59.22 16	71	05		50.92	1625	1610	0.24 ± 0.00	$-$ 0.50 \pm 0.02
74 OS refuebrisoner 57.23 1630 1622 10.22 10.00 refuebrisoner 75 OS Hinesol 57.67 1640 1631 0.10 ± 0.01 0.17 ± 0.01 76 OS r-Cadinol 57.67 1640 1633 0.29 ± 0.03* 0.42 ± 0.05* 77 OS epi-ac-Cadinol 57.97 1638 1633 0.29 ± 0.03* 0.42 ± 0.05* 78 OS b-Cadinol 57.90 1638 1635 0.34 ± 0.04* 0.51 ± 0.06* 78 OS b-Eudesmol + ac-Eudesmol 58.42 1649/1652 1645 7.83 ± 0.51* 12.61 ± 0.81* 81 OS Intermedeol 58.49 1636 1647 - 0.02 ± 0.00 82 OS Selin-11-en-4a-ol 58.55 1658 1647 - 0.02 ± 0.00 83 OS T-epi-ac-Eudesmol 58.86 1662 1652 0.03 ± 0.00 0.07 ± 0.01 84 OS Bulnesol 59.09 1670 1656 0.03 ± 0.00 - 0.08 ± 0.01 <	72	03	Eromoligonal isomor	57.00		1622	$-$ 0.22 \pm 0.00	0.50 ± 0.02
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	73	03	27-Fudesmol	57.15	1630	1622	0.22 ± 0.00 3.89 ± 0.26 *	$-6.01 \pm 0.17 *$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	75	OS	Hipesol	57.67	1640	1631	0.07 ± 0.20	0.04 ± 0.47 0.17 + 0.01
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	76	OS	τ -Cadinol	57.07	1638	1633	0.10 ± 0.01 $0.29 \pm 0.03 *$	0.17 ± 0.01 $0.42 \pm 0.05 *$
78 OS δ -Cadinol 58.04 1630 1630 0.01 ± 0.02 0.14 ± 0.04 7980 OS β -Eudesmol + α -Eudesmol 58.42 1649/1652 1645 7.83 ± 0.51 * 12.61 ± 0.81 * 81 OS Intermedeol 58.42 1649/1652 1645 7.83 ± 0.51 * 12.61 ± 0.81 * 82 OS Selin-11-en-4- α -ol 58.55 1568 1646 - 0.02 ± 0.00 83 OS 7-epi- α -Eudesmol 58.86 1662 1652 0.03 ± 0.00 0.07 ± 0.01 84 OS Bulnesol 59.09 1670 1656 0.03 ± 0.00 0.07 ± 0.01 85 OS Elemyl acetate 59.22 1659 0.09 ± 0.01 - 86 OS Oplopanonyl acetate 69.81 1855 0.02 ± 0.00 0.04 ± 0.00 - 87 OS Cryptomeridiol 67.04 1813 1891 0.03 ± 0.00 - 90 DH Isopimara-9(11).15-diene 71.66 1893 0.15 ± 0.02 0.17 ± 0.02 0.17 ± 0.02 0.17 ± 0.02 0.17	70	OS	eni-q-Cadipol	57.90	1638	1635	0.29 ± 0.03 0.34 + 0.04 *	0.42 ± 0.05 0.51 + 0.06 *
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	78	OS	δ-Cadinol	58.04	1644	1638	0.01 ± 0.01 0.10 ± 0.02	0.01 ± 0.00 0.14 ± 0.04
81 OS Intermedeol 58.49 1636 1646 - 0.02 ± 0.00 82 OS Selin-11-en-4-a-ol 58.55 1658 1647 - 0.02 ± 0.00 83 OS 7-epi-a-Eudesmol 58.86 1662 1652 0.05 ± 0.01 0.06 ± 0.01 84 OS Bulnesol 59.09 1670 1656 0.03 ± 0.00 0.07 ± 0.01 85 OS Elemyl acetate 59.22 1659 0.09 ± 0.01 - 86 OS Hedycaryol 59.80 1669 - 0.02 ± 0.00 88 OS Oplopanonyl acetate 69.81 1813 1801 - 0.02 ± 0.00 89 DH Sclarene 71.66 1891 0.03 ± 0.00 - - 90 DH Isopimara-9(11),15-diene 71.88 1897 0.04 ± 0.00 - - 0.22 ± 0.09* 0.03 ± 0.00* * 0.32 ± 0.07* 0.32 ± 0.07* 0.32 ± 0.07* 0.32 ± 0.07* 0.32 ± 0.07* 0.32 ± 0.06* - 0.28 ± 0.06* 0.04 ± 0.00 - 0.28 ± 0.06*	79/80	OS	β -Eudesmol + α -Eudesmol	58.42	1649/1652	1645	7.83 ± 0.51 *	12.61 ± 0.81 *
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	81	OS	Intermedeol	58.49	1636	1646	-	0.02 ± 0.00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	82	OS	Selin-11-en-4-α-ol	58.55	1658	1647	-	0.02 ± 0.00
84 OS Bulnesol 59.09 1670 1656 0.03 ± 0.00 0.07 ± 0.01 85 OS Elemyl acetate 59.22 1659 0.09 ± 0.01 - 86 OS Hedycaryol 59.80 1669 - 0.08 ± 0.01 87 OS Cryptomeridiol 67.04 1813 1801 - 0.02 ± 0.00 88 OS Oplopanonyl acetate 69.81 1855 0.02 ± 0.00 0.04 ± 0.00 - 90 DH Scharene 71.56 1891 0.03 ± 0.00 - 91 Dspimara-9(11),15-diene 71.88 1897 0.04 ± 0.00 - 92 DH Rosa-5,15-diene 73.06 1926 1921 $0.82 \pm 0.09^*$ $0.03 \pm 0.00^*$ 93 DH Kryptomeren 73.20 1924 $0.89 \pm 0.12^*$ $0.28 \pm 0.00^*$ 94 DH Pimaradiene 74.85 1966 1960 0.08 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.00 95	83	OS	7 <i>-epi</i> -α-Eudesmol	58.86	1662	1652	0.05 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01
85 OS Elemyl acetate 59.22 1659 0.09 ± 0.01 - 86 OS Hedycaryol 59.80 1669 - 0.08 ± 0.01 87 OS Cryptomeridiol 67.04 1813 1801 - 0.02 ± 0.00 88 OS Oplopanonyl acetate 69.81 1855 0.02 ± 0.00 0.04 ± 0.00 89 DH Sclarene 71.56 1891 0.03 ± 0.00 - 90 DH Isopimara-9(11).15-diene 71.69 1905 1893 0.15 ± 0.02 0.17 ± 0.02 91 DH Isopimara-9(11).15-diene 71.88 1897 0.04 ± 0.00 - 92 DH Rosa-5,15-diene 73.06 1924 0.82 ± 0.09 0.03 ± 0.00 - 93 DH Kryptomeren 73.20 1924 0.89 ± 0.12 0.28 ± 0.06 * 94 DH Sandaracopimara-8(14).15-diene 74.65 1968 1954 0.07 ± 0.09 0.04 ± 0.00 96 DH Isophyllocladene 77.43 2016 20	84	OS	Bulnesol	59.09	1670	1656	0.03 ± 0.00	0.07 ± 0.01
86 OS Hedycaryol 59.80 1669 - 0.08 ± 0.01 87 OS Cryptomeridiol 67.04 1813 1801 - 0.02 ± 0.00 88 OS Oplopanonyl acetate 69.81 1855 0.02 ± 0.00 0.04 ± 0.00 89 DH Sclarene 71.56 1891 0.03 ± 0.00 - 90 DH Isopimara-9(11),15-diene isomer 71.88 1897 0.04 ± 0.00 - 91 DH Isopimara-9(11),15-diene isomer 71.88 1897 0.04 ± 0.00 - 92 DH Rosa-5,15-diene 73.06 1926 1921 0.82 ± 0.09 * 0.03 ± 0.00 * 93 DH Kryptomeren 73.20 1924 0.89 ± 0.12 * 0.28 ± 0.06 * 94 DH Pimaradiene 74.75 1948 1935 1.21 ± 0.17 * 0.32 ± 0.07 * 95 DH Sandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene 74.85 1966 1960 0.08 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.00	85	OS	Elemyl acetate	59.22		1659	0.09 ± 0.01	-
87 OS Cryptomeridiol 67.04 1813 1801 - 0.02 \pm 0.00 88 OS Oplopanonyl acetate 69.81 1855 0.02 \pm 0.00 0.04 \pm 0.00 89 DH Sclarene 71.56 1891 0.03 \pm 0.00 - 90 DH Isopimara-9(11),15-diene 71.69 1905 1893 0.15 \pm 0.02 0.17 \pm 0.02 91 DH Isopimara-9(11),15-diene isomer 71.88 1897 0.04 \pm 0.00 - 92 DH Rosa-5,15-diene 73.06 1926 1921 0.82 \pm 0.09 * 0.03 \pm 0.00 * 93 DH Kryptomeren 73.20 1924 0.89 \pm 0.12 * 0.28 \pm 0.06 * 94 DH Sandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene 74.65 1968 1955 0.01 0.04 \pm 0.00 95 DH Sandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene 74.65 1968 1954 0.57 \pm 0.09 0.30 \pm 0.04 96 DH Isophyllocladene 74.95 1966 1960 0.08 \pm 0.01 0.04 \pm 0.00 97 OD <	86	OS	Hedycaryol	59.80		1669	-	0.08 ± 0.01
88 OS Oplopanonyl acetate 69.81 1855 0.02 ± 0.00 0.04 ± 0.00 89 DH Sclarene 71.56 1891 0.03 ± 0.00 - 90 DH Isopimara-9(11),15-diene 71.69 1905 1893 0.15 ± 0.02 0.17 ± 0.02 91 DH Isopimara-9(11),15-diene isomer 71.88 1897 0.04 ± 0.00 - 92 DH Rosa-5,15-diene 73.06 1926 1921 $0.82 \pm 0.09 *$ $0.03 \pm 0.00 *$ 93 DH Kryptomeren 73.20 1924 $0.89 \pm 0.12 *$ $0.28 \pm 0.06 *$ 94 DH Pimaradiene 73.75 1948 1935 $1.21 \pm 0.17 *$ $0.32 \pm 0.07 *$ 95 DH Sandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene 74.65 1968 1954 0.57 ± 0.09 0.30 ± 0.00 96 DH Isophyllocladene 77.43 2016 2011 $18.92 \pm 2.00 *$ $5.08 \pm 0.62 *$ 99 DH Kaur-16-ene 78.48 2042 </td <td>87</td> <td>OS</td> <td>Cryptomeridiol</td> <td>67.04</td> <td>1813</td> <td>1801</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.02 ± 0.00</td>	87	OS	Cryptomeridiol	67.04	1813	1801	-	0.02 ± 0.00
89 DH Sclarene 71.56 1891 0.03 ± 0.00 - 90 DH Isopimara-9(11),15-diene 71.69 1905 1893 0.15 ± 0.02 0.17 ± 0.02 91 DH Isopimara-9(11),15-diene isomer 71.88 1897 $0.04 \pm 0.00^*$ - 92 DH Rosa-5,15-diene 73.06 1926 1921 $0.82 \pm 0.09^*$ $0.03 \pm 0.00^*$ 93 DH Kryptomeren 73.20 1924 $0.89 \pm 0.12^*$ $0.28 \pm 0.06^*$ 94 DH Pimaradiene 73.75 1948 1935 $1.21 \pm 0.17^*$ $0.32 \pm 0.07^*$ 95 DH Sandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene 74.65 1966 1960 0.08 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.00 97 OD Manool oxide 76.87 1987 1977 0.03 ± 0.00 0.03 ± 0.00 98 DH Phyllocladene 77.43 2016 2011 $18.92 \pm 0.22^*$ $23.00 \pm 2.07^*$ 100 DH Kaur-16-ene 78.48	88	OS	Oplopanonyl acetate	69.81		1855	0.02 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.00
90DHIsopimara-9(11),15-diene71.6919051893 0.15 ± 0.02 0.17 ± 0.02 91DHIsopimara-9(11),15-diene isomer71.881897 0.04 ± 0.00 -92DHRosa-5,15-diene73.0619261921 $0.82 \pm 0.09 *$ $0.03 \pm 0.00 *$ 93DHKryptomeren73.201924 $0.89 \pm 0.12 *$ $0.28 \pm 0.06 *$ 94DHPimaradiene73.7519481935 $1.21 \pm 0.17 *$ $0.32 \pm 0.07 *$ 95DHSandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene74.6519681954 0.57 ± 0.09 0.03 ± 0.00 96DHIsophyllocladene74.9519661960 0.08 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.00 97ODManool oxide76.8719871977 $0.03 \pm 0.00 *$ $0.03 \pm 0.00 *$ 98DHPhyllocladene77.4320162011 $18.92 \pm 2.00 *$ $5.08 \pm 0.62 *$ 99DHKaur-16-ene78.4820422034 $2.58 \pm 0.22 *$ $23.00 \pm 2.07 *$ 100DHAbietatriene78.8320552041 $0.26 \pm 0.04 *$ $0.12 \pm 0.02 *$ 101ODNezukol 82.45 21322120 1.58 ± 0.52 2.70 ± 0.37 102ODSandaracopimarinal 84.68 21842170 0.06 ± 0.04 0.08 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol85.9822092199 0.03 ± 0.00 $-$ Grouped components (%)4.45 ± 1.02 <td>89</td> <td>DH</td> <td>Sclarene</td> <td>71.56</td> <td></td> <td>1891</td> <td>0.03 ± 0.00</td> <td>-</td>	89	DH	Sclarene	71.56		1891	0.03 ± 0.00	-
91DHIsopimara-9(11),15-diene isomer71.881897 0.04 ± 0.00 $-$ 92DHRosa-5,15-diene73.0619261921 $0.82 \pm 0.09 *$ $0.03 \pm 0.00 *$ 93DHKryptomeren73.201924 $0.89 \pm 0.12 *$ $0.28 \pm 0.06 *$ 94DHPimaradiene73.7519481935 $1.21 \pm 0.17 *$ $0.32 \pm 0.07 *$ 95DHSandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene74.6519681954 0.57 ± 0.09 $0.30 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.00$ 96DHIsophyllocladene74.9519661960 0.08 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.00 97ODManool oxide76.8719871977 0.03 ± 0.00 0.03 ± 0.00 98DHPhyllocladene77.4320162011 $18.92 \pm 2.00 *$ $5.08 \pm 0.62 *$ 99DHKaur-16-ene78.4820422034 $2.58 \pm 0.22 *$ $23.00 \pm 2.07 *$ 100DHAbietatriene78.8320552041 $0.26 \pm 0.04 *$ $0.12 \pm 0.02 *$ 101ODNezukol82.4521322120 1.58 ± 0.52 2.70 ± 0.37 102ODSandaracopimarinal84.6821842170 0.06 ± 0.04 0.08 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol85.9822092199 0.03 ± 0.00 $-$ InterventionGrouped components (%)44.55 \pm 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sequiterpene hydrocarbons (SH)1.64 \pm 0.02 2.20 ± 0.23 <	90	DH	Isopimara-9(11),15-diene	71.69	1905	1893	0.15 ± 0.02	0.17 ± 0.02
92DHRosa-5,15-diene73.0619261921 $0.82 \pm 0.09 *$ $0.03 \pm 0.00 *$ 93DHKryptomeren73.201924 $0.89 \pm 0.12 *$ $0.28 \pm 0.06 *$ 94DHPimaradiene73.7519481935 $1.21 \pm 0.17 *$ $0.32 \pm 0.07 *$ 95DHSandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene74.6519681954 0.57 ± 0.09 0.30 ± 0.04 96DHIsophyllocladene74.9519661960 0.08 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.00 97ODManool oxide76.8719871977 0.03 ± 0.00 0.03 ± 0.00 98DHPhyllocladene77.4320162011 $18.92 \pm 2.00 *$ $5.08 \pm 0.62 *$ 99DHKaur-16-ene78.4820422034 $2.58 \pm 0.22 *$ $23.00 \pm 2.07 *$ 100DHAbietatriene78.8320552041 $0.26 \pm 0.04 *$ $0.12 \pm 0.02 *$ 101ODNezukol 82.45 21322120 1.58 ± 0.52 2.70 ± 0.37 102ODSandaracopimarinal 84.68 21842170 0.06 ± 0.04 0.08 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol85.9822092199 0.03 ± 0.00 $-$ Grouped components (%)Monoterpene hydrocarbons (MH) $34.95 \pm 6.07 *$ $15.83 \pm 2.08 *$ Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sequiterpene hydrocarbons (SH) 1.64 ± 0.02 2.20 ± 0.23 <	91	DH	Isopimara-9(11),15-diene isomer	71.88		1897	0.04 ± 0.00	-
93DHKryptomeren73.201924 $0.89 \pm 0.12^{*}$ $0.28 \pm 0.06^{*}$ 94DHPimaradiene73.7519481935 $1.21 \pm 0.17^{*}$ $0.32 \pm 0.07^{*}$ 95DHSandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene74.6519681954 0.57 ± 0.09 0.30 ± 0.04 96DHIsophyllocladene74.6519661960 0.08 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.00 97ODManool oxide76.8719871977 0.03 ± 0.00 0.03 ± 0.00 98DHPhyllocladene77.4320162011 $18.92 \pm 2.00^{*}$ $5.08 \pm 0.62^{*}$ 99DHKaur-16-ene78.4820422034 $2.58 \pm 0.22^{*}$ $23.00 \pm 2.07^{*}$ 100DHAbietatriene78.8320552041 $0.26 \pm 0.04^{*}$ $0.12 \pm 0.02^{*}$ 101ODNezukol 82.45 21322120 1.58 ± 0.52 2.70 ± 0.37 102ODSandaracopimarinal 84.68 21842170 0.06 ± 0.04 0.08 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol85.9822092199 0.03 ± 0.00 $-$ Grouped components (%)Ads 5 \pm 6.07 * $15.83 \pm 2.08^{*}$ Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Oxygenated sequiterpenes (OS) $30.76 \pm 3.95^{*}$ $44.58 \pm 3.24^{*}$	92	DH	Rosa-5,15-diene	73.06	1926	1921	0.82 ± 0.09 *	0.03 ± 0.00 *
94DHPimaradiene73.7519481935 1.21 ± 0.17 * 0.32 ± 0.07 *95DHSandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene74.6519681954 0.57 ± 0.09 0.30 ± 0.04 96DHIsophyllocladene74.9519661960 0.08 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.00 97ODManool oxide76.8719871977 0.03 ± 0.00 0.03 ± 0.00 98DHPhyllocladene77.4320162011 18.92 ± 2.00 * 5.08 ± 0.62 *99DHKaur-16-ene78.4820422034 2.58 ± 0.22 * 23.00 ± 2.07 *100DHAbietatriene78.8320552041 0.26 ± 0.04 * 0.12 ± 0.02 *101ODNezukol 82.45 21322120 1.58 ± 0.52 2.70 ± 0.37 102ODSandaracopimarinal 84.68 21842170 0.06 ± 0.04 0.08 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol 85.98 22092199 0.03 ± 0.00 $-$ Grouped components (%)Monoterpene hydrocarbons (MH) 34.95 ± 6.07 * 15.83 ± 2.08 *Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sequiterpene hydrocarbons (SH) 1.64 ± 0.02 2.20 ± 0.23 Oxygenated sequiterpenes (OS) 30.76 ± 3.95 * 44.58 ± 3.24 *	93	DH	Kryptomeren	73.20	10.10	1924	0.89 ± 0.12 *	0.28 ± 0.06 *
95DHSandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene74.6519681954 0.57 ± 0.09 0.30 ± 0.04 96DHIsophyllocladene74.9519661960 0.08 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.00 97ODManool oxide76.8719871977 0.03 ± 0.00 0.03 ± 0.00 98DHPhyllocladene77.4320162011 $18.92 \pm 2.00 *$ $5.08 \pm 0.62 *$ 99DHKaur-16-ene78.4820422034 $2.58 \pm 0.22 *$ $23.00 \pm 2.07 *$ 100DHAbietatriene78.8320552041 $0.26 \pm 0.04 *$ $0.12 \pm 0.02 *$ 101ODNezukol 82.45 21322120 1.58 ± 0.52 2.70 ± 0.37 102ODSandaracopimarinal 84.68 21842170 0.06 ± 0.04 0.08 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol85.9822092199 0.03 ± 0.02 0.03 ± 0.00 104ODtrans-Ferruginol90.2622972299 0.02 ± 0.00 -Grouped components (%)4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sequiterpene hydrocarbons (SH) 1.64 ± 0.02 2.20 ± 0.23 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS) $30.76 \pm 3.95 *$ $44.58 \pm 3.24 *$	94	DH	Pimaradiene	73.75	1948	1935	1.21 ± 0.17 *	0.32 ± 0.07 *
96DHIsophyliocladene74.9519661960 0.08 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.00 97ODManool oxide76.8719871977 0.03 ± 0.00 0.03 ± 0.00 98DHPhyllocladene77.4320162011 $18.92 \pm 2.00*$ $5.08 \pm 0.62*$ 99DHKaur-16-ene78.4820422034 $2.58 \pm 0.22*$ $23.00 \pm 2.07*$ 100DHAbietatriene78.8320552041 $0.26 \pm 0.04*$ $0.12 \pm 0.02*$ 101ODNezukol 82.45 21322120 1.58 ± 0.52 2.70 ± 0.37 102ODSandaracopimarinal 84.68 21842170 0.06 ± 0.04 0.08 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol 85.98 22092199 0.03 ± 0.02 0.03 ± 0.00 104ODtrans-Ferruginol 90.26 2297 2299 0.02 ± 0.00 $-$ Grouped components (%)Ads 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sequiterpene hydrocarbons (MH) $34.95 \pm 6.07*$ $15.83 \pm 2.08*$ Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Oxygenated sequiterpenes (OS) $30.76 \pm 3.95*$ $44.58 \pm 3.24*$	95	DH	Sandaracopimara-8(14),15-diene	74.65	1968	1954	0.57 ± 0.09	0.30 ± 0.04
97ODManool oxide78.8719671977 0.03 ± 0.00 0.03 ± 0.00 98DHPhyllocladene77.4320162011 $18.92 \pm 2.00*$ $5.08 \pm 0.62*$ 99DHKaur-16-ene78.4820422034 $2.58 \pm 0.22*$ $23.00 \pm 2.07*$ 100DHAbietatriene78.8320552041 $0.26 \pm 0.04*$ $0.12 \pm 0.02*$ 101ODNezukol 82.45 21322120 1.58 ± 0.52 2.70 ± 0.37 102ODSandaracopimarinal 84.68 21842170 0.06 ± 0.04 0.08 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol 85.98 22092199 0.03 ± 0.00 $-$ Grouped components (%)Grouped components (%) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sequiterpene hydrocarbons (MH) $34.95 \pm 6.07*$ $15.83 \pm 2.08*$ Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sequiterpene hydrocarbons (SH) 1.64 ± 0.02 2.20 ± 0.23 Oxygenated sequiterpenes (OS) $30.76 \pm 3.95*$ $44.58 \pm 3.24*$	96	DH	Isophyllocladene	74.95	1966	1960	0.08 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.00
96DHPhynocladene77.4.520162011 16.92 ± 2.00 3.08 ± 0.62 99DHKaur-16-ene 78.48 2042 2034 2.58 ± 0.22 * 23.00 ± 2.07 *100DHAbietatriene 78.83 2055 2041 0.26 ± 0.04 * 0.12 ± 0.02 *101ODNezukol 82.45 2132 2120 1.58 ± 0.52 2.70 ± 0.37 102ODSandaracopimarinal 84.68 2184 2170 0.06 ± 0.04 0.08 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol 85.98 2209 2199 0.03 ± 0.02 0.03 ± 0.00 104ODtrans-Ferruginol 90.26 2297 2299 0.02 ± 0.00 -Grouped components (%)4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (MH) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SH) 1.64 ± 0.02 2.20 ± 0.23 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS) 30.76 ± 3.95 * 4.58 ± 3.24 *	9/		Manool Oxide Phyllogladana	70.87	1987	2011	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00
99D11Radi-to-ene78.43 2042 2034 2.36 ± 0.22 23.00 ± 2.07 100DHAbietatriene78.83 2055 2041 0.26 ± 0.04 * 0.12 ± 0.02 *101ODNezukol 82.45 2132 2120 1.58 ± 0.52 2.70 ± 0.37 102ODSandaracopimarinal 84.68 2184 2170 0.06 ± 0.04 0.08 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol 85.98 2209 2199 0.03 ± 0.02 0.03 ± 0.00 104ODtrans-Ferruginol 90.26 2297 2299 0.02 ± 0.00 -Grouped components (%)4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sequiterpene hydrocarbons (MH) 0.445 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Oxygenated sequiterpenes (OS) 30.76 ± 3.95 * 44.58 ± 3.24 *	98		Kaur 16 ang	77.43	2016	2011	$18.92 \pm 2.00^{\circ}$	5.08 ± 0.02 *
100D11Abrelatitete70.0520052041 0.20 ± 0.04 0.12 ± 0.02 101ODNezukol 82.45 21322120 1.58 ± 0.52 2.70 ± 0.37 102ODSandaracopimarinal 84.68 21842170 0.06 ± 0.04 0.08 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol 85.98 22092199 0.03 ± 0.02 0.03 ± 0.00 104ODtrans-Ferruginol 90.26 22972299 0.02 ± 0.00 -Grouped components (%)4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SH) 1.64 ± 0.02 2.20 ± 0.23 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS) $30.76 \pm 3.95 *$ $44.58 \pm 3.24 *$	99 100	DH	Abietatriene	78.83	2042	2034	2.36 ± 0.22 0.26 ± 0.04 *	23.00 ± 2.07 0.12 + 0.02 *
1010DNCLUROI02.45210221201.50 \pm 0.522.70 \pm 0.57102ODSandaracopimarinal84.68218421700.06 \pm 0.040.08 \pm 0.02103ODPhyllocladanol85.98220921990.03 \pm 0.020.03 \pm 0.00104ODtrans-Ferruginol90.26229722990.02 \pm 0.00-Grouped components (%)4.45 \pm 1.0238.95 \pm 6.07 *15.83 \pm 2.08 *Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM)Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SH)1.64 \pm 0.022.20 \pm 0.23Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS)30.76 \pm 3.95 *44.58 \pm 3.24 *	100		Nezukol	82 45	2033	2041	0.20 ± 0.04 1.58 + 0.52	0.12 ± 0.02 2 70 + 0 37
102ODDarkatike primiting04.0021042170 0.00 ± 0.04 0.00 ± 0.02 103ODPhyllocladanol85.9822092199 0.03 ± 0.02 0.03 ± 0.00 104ODtrans-Ferruginol90.2622972299 0.02 ± 0.00 -Grouped components (%)4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM)A.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SH)Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS)30.76 ± 3.95 *44.58 ± 3.24 *	101		Sandaraconimarinal	84.68	2132	2120	1.30 ± 0.32 0.06 ± 0.04	2.70 ± 0.07 0.08 ± 0.02
100 111 y location 300.00 111 y location 000 \pm 0.00 \pm 104 OD trans-Ferruginol 90.26 2297 2299 0.02 \pm 0.00 \pm - Grouped components (%) Monoterpene hydrocarbons (MH) 34.95 \pm 6.07 * 15.83 \pm 2.08 * Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM) 4.45 \pm 1.02 3.89 \pm 0.38 Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SH) 1.64 \pm 0.02 2.20 \pm 0.23 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS) 30.76 \pm 3.95 * 44.58 \pm 3.24 *	102	OD	Phyllocladanol	85.98	2209	2199	0.00 ± 0.01 0.03 ± 0.02	0.00 ± 0.02 0.03 ± 0.00
Grouped components (%)Monoterpene hydrocarbons (MH) $34.95 \pm 6.07 *$ $15.83 \pm 2.08 *$ Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SH) 1.64 ± 0.02 2.20 ± 0.23 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS) $30.76 \pm 3.95 *$ $44.58 \pm 3.24 *$	104	OD	trans-Ferruginol	90.26	2297	2299	0.02 ± 0.02	-
			Grouped components (%)					
Oxygenated monoterpenes (OM) 4.45 ± 1.02 3.89 ± 0.38 Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SH) 1.64 ± 0.02 2.20 ± 0.23 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS) $30.76 \pm 3.95 *$ $44.58 \pm 3.24 *$	Monoterpene hydrocarbons (MH)					$34.95 \pm 6.07 *$	15.83 ± 2.08 *	
Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SH) 1.64 ± 0.02 2.20 ± 0.23 Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS) $30.76 \pm 3.95 *$ $44.58 \pm 3.24 *$			Oxygenated monoterpenes (O	M)			4.45 ± 1.02	3.89 ± 0.38
Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS) $30.76 \pm 3.95 *$ $44.58 \pm 3.24 *$			Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (S	SH)			1.64 ± 0.02	2.20 ± 0.23
	Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OS)						30.76 ± 3.95 *	44.58 ± 3.24 *

	Class	Component	RT		RI _C	Relative Content (%)	
No.				κι _L		Aut-EO	Spr–EO
Diterpene hydrocarbons (DH) Oxygenated diterpenes (OD)					$\begin{array}{c} 25.49 \pm 2.16 \\ 1.69 \pm 0.62 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29.34 \pm 2.90 \\ 2.84 \pm 0.39 \end{array}$	
	Total identified components (%)				98.98 ± 0.40	98.68 ± 0.62	
Total terpenes (%) Total terpenoids (%) Ratio terpenes/terpenoids					$\begin{array}{c} 62.08 \pm 3.94 * \\ 36.90 \pm 3.54 * \\ 1.68 \pm 0.27 * \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 47.37 \pm 3.88 \ * \\ 51.31 \pm 2.19 \ * \\ 0.92 \pm 0.10 \ * \end{array}$	

Table 2. Cont.

Results are expressed as mean \pm SD (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) between samples. Components higher than 5% are highlighted in boldface. Legend: RI_L—Retention indices from the literature [62,63]; RI_C—Retention indices calculated on a ZB–5MSPlus capillary column; RT—Retention time (minutes) values on the same column.

Concerning the terpene class percentage, the Aut–EO sample was characterized by a high content of MH (35%), OS (30.8%), and DH (25.5%) and a low amount of OM (4.5%), OD (1.7%), and SH (1.6%), and, thus, its terpenes/terpenoids ratio is >1 (1.7). When compared with Aut–EO, the Spr–EO sample presented (i) the same major terpene classes, but their percentage decreased in a different order, such as OS (44.6%), DH (29.3%), and MH (15.8%); (ii) the same percentage order in the minor terpene classes, namely, OM (3.9%), OD (2.8%), and SH (2.2%); and (iii) an opposed terpenes/terpenoids ratio, i.e., <1 (0.92).

Therefore, the major EOCs (\geq 5%) in both EOs were MH, OS, and DH, namely phyllocladene (DH; 19%), elemol (OS; 16%), α -pinene (MH; 11.2%), sabinene (MH; 8.1%), α + β -eudesmol (OS; 7.8%), and limonene (MH; 7.4%) for Aut–EO, and kaur-16-ene (DH; 23%), elemol (20.8%), α + β -eudesmol (12.6%), α -pinene (6.5%), γ -eudesmol (OS; 6%), and phyllocladene (5.1%) for Spr–EO.

The results revealed that, with the arrival of spring, a remarkable decrease in MH content (mainly α -pinene, sabinene, and limonene) was found, namely a 2.2-fold decreased content when compared with Aut-EO. On the contrary, Spr-EO revealed an increase in OS content (mainly elemol and eudesmol isomers), namely a 1.4-fold increased content when compared with Aut-EO. In addition, a statistically significant different seasonal pattern was found in some major OM ($\geq 2\%$), namely, terpinen-4-ol (3.4 times higher in Aut–EO) and bornyl acetate (1.6 times higher in Spr-EO). Biosynthetically, the monoterpenes group is derived from the MEP pathway that occurs in plant plastids, while the sesquiterpenes group is produced through the MVA pathway, located in the cytosol, although few TPSs are also found inside peroxisomes and mitochondria. However, the two terpenoid biosynthetic processes are coupled and, in general, closely associated with the photosynthetic process [64]. As reported by Malik et al. [65], the emission of volatile terpene compounds can be driven by de novo biosynthesis and/or by release from storage pools. Previous investigations on C. japonica from other geographical origins have demonstrated a positive correlation between the monoterpene emission rate and several abiotic environmental factors, namely light intensity [66], ozone exposure [67], and CO_2 concentration [68]. A study by Matsunaga et al. [69] reported that C. japonica from Japan exhibited significant variation in monoterpene emission rates with seasonal period. Based on some of the above reported findings, we may hypothesize that the remarkable decrease in the amount of MH (highly volatile EOCs), observed in Spr–EO when compared with Aut–EO, might be associated with the highest GSR value in April, which could lead to the release of MH from CJF. However, to validate this hypothesis, the pattern emission regarding major EOCs of Az–CJF should be considered for further research. Another factor that may explain the decreased MH content in Spr–EO is related to the pollination period of C. japonica, occurring in the spring season, where the plant uses the greater part of the photosynthetic products, while a smaller amount is used for the biosynthesis of MH. Concerning the SH, it was found that season had no significant effect on their contents (Table 2). A previous study on the EO from Az–CJF collected during early winter in São Miguel Island [60], but

in a different local than that of the present research, revealed a similar SH profile. Thus, it appears that their synthesis could mainly depend on genetic factors.

The results also revealed that the predominant component in both seasonal EOs were diterpenes, namely kaur-16-ene (23% and 2.6%) and phyllocladene (5.1% and 19%) for Spr-EO and Aut-EO, respectively, which is an unusual pattern since, typically, the major component in Az–CJF EO is the monoterpene α -pinene [e.g., 58,60,61]. In fact, the recent study of Figueiredo et al. [61], already mentioned (item 3.1.), categorized the EOs from Azorean C. japonica aerial parts into five distinct clusters (IA–IE), where the cluster IA, which included 79% of the total studied samples, was α -pinene type (13–43%). Among the other clusters, the group IC (8% in total samples, mainly from São Miguel Island), characterized by the presence of whitish solid deposit in the grouped EO samples, was phyllocladene type (2–22%). Therefore, this profile appears to better fit that of the studied Aut–EO sample, which also presented the mentioned solid deposit. Considering the Spr-EO sample, however, the group IE (3% in total samples, all from Terceira Island), characterized by higher levels of kaur-16-ene (21–31%), appeared to possess a more fitting profile for this EO sample. The authors [61] highlighted the larger variability in the range of some DH present in Azorean C. japonica EOs, a pattern already noticed for the DH in CJF from other geographical origins [70–72]. For instance, Yasue et al. [71] found that Japanese individual trees with kaur-16-ene or phyllocladene types are dominant in the stands of *omote-sugi* and *ura-sugi*, respectively. In another study from Appleton et al. [72], they observed that CJF from the same seed source are not uniform in their kaur-16-ene and/or phyllocladene production. Biosynthetically, cyclization of the isopimarane and pimarane tricyclic diterpene classes leads to the formation of phyllocladane (e.g., phyllocladenes) and beyerane tetracyclic diterpene classes, respectively, with rearrangements of the beyerane cation producing three classes, including kauranes (e.g., kaurenes) [72]. Interestingly, the kaurene-type diterpenes class shows a wide distribution in conifers, including Cupressaceae [73,74]. Nonetheless, from the available literature [e.g., 72], it appears that the biosynthesis of DH in this conifer family is an issue that remains unclear.

Overall, there were quantitative variations in the chemical composition of EOs from Az–CJF with season, and, as mentioned, it may be suggested that these differences are related to the plants' growth and development, including protection from surrounding biotic and abiotic environmental stresses that can produce changes in nutrient metabolism, which can lead to variations in the plants' secondary metabolism.

However, it is essential to recognize the limitations of this research. For instance, for each of the studied seasons, a deep knowledge of the site's microclimatic data and soil properties is necessary, as well as of the possible interactions (positive and negative, direct and indirect) of the selected *C. japonica* batch with other surrounding organisms. In addition, to develop a more thorough knowledge of the influence of seasonal variation on the chemical composition of the Az–CJF EO under study, a broader analysis should be performed, involving the collection of CJF samples during different months of consecutive years, as already highlighted above (item 3.1).

Finally, it should be noted that the studied EOs, according to the major EOCs within the main terpene classes, i.e., DH, OS, and MH, can be classified as (i) kaur-16-ene plus phyllocladene type; (ii) elemol type; and (iii) α -pinene type, respectively, which is an unusual pattern in Az–CJF EO. Likewise, different morphological traits in foliage were observed between the two seasonal samples (data not shown). These factors sustain that further studies should be performed in order to confirm the existence of a different EO chemotype or different *C. japonica* varieties in the Azores. These studies include both needle morphological characteristics (length, curvature, and other features) and EO chemical composition analyses in individual trees from various areas of São Miguel Island, coupled with the application of molecular techniques such as Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis.

3.3. Brine Shrimp Lethality Activity (BSLA) of Essential Oils from Azorean C. japonica Foliage Harvested in Autumn and Spring

Previous studies (e.g., [75]) on plant extracts reported a good correlation between toxicity results using *Artemia salina* Leach (brine shrimp) and laboratory mice. In fact, there is a growing interest in the use of brine shrimp as a model organism in preliminary assessments of a product's toxicity to eukaryotic cells. This is due to several relevant factors, including its highly sensitivity to a variety of chemical substances. Thus, BSLA is widely used to screen a large number of extracts for drug discovery, obtained from medicinal and aromatic plants [76]. Furthermore, the great importance of this assay is related to the AChE enzyme, which has been reported as a target for *A. salina*, and, thus, the interactions between an EO and this organism may indicate a possible biological activity [77].

The potential toxicity of the studied EOs samples, estimated through a BSLA assay, is shown in Figure 4. The mortality rates varied from 0 to $27 \pm 8.37\%$ for Spr–EO and from 19 ± 7.58 to $37.5 \pm 5\%$ for Aut–EO, at a concentration range of $120-180 \ \mu g \cdot m L^{-1}$.



Figure 4. Toxicity of the essential oil (EO) extracted via hydrodistillation from fresh Azorean *Cryp*tomeria japonica foliage collected in autumn (Aut) and spring (Spr) in one site in São Miguel Island. There are no statistically significant differences between samples within the used concentration range.

The results indicated that both seasonal EOs did not display toxic activity (mortality rates < 50%) at the tested concentrations. However, Aut–EO was found to be more toxic, although in no statistically different manner, than the Spr–EO at all tested concentrations, particularly at 100 and 180 μ g·mL⁻¹ (19% vs. inactive and 37.5% vs. 21.3%, respectively). Interestingly, the limonene content of the Aut–EO sample was 3.7-fold higher than that of the Spr–EO, a factor that may, in part, explain its superior toxicity level against brine shrimp larvae. In fact, this MH has been well documented for its chemopreventive [78] and neuroprotective [79] potential.

Despite little existing research, previous studies on the BSLA of EOs from Azorean *C. japonica* samples collected in São Miguel Island, but in a different local than that of the present research, revealed interesting data. For instance, a mortality rate of 34.1% (at $100 \ \mu g \cdot mL^{-1}$) was reported for the EO from CJF collected during the winter season [19], which is in accordance with that of the studied Aut–EO. Another study on the toxicity level of EOs from female cones at different developmental stages, obtained from CJF harvested during early winter, revealed low mortality rates (25.8–28.3% at 100 $\mu g \cdot mL^{-1}$) [42]. Thus, it appears that EOs from CJF, with or without female cones, can be safe for use in medical fields, such as aromatherapy.

The inhibition of AChE and BChE enzymes, which hydrolyze preferably the neurotransmitters acetylcholine (ACh) and butyrylcholine (BCh), respectively, is among the major AD therapeutic strategies. It is believed that BChE is a dominant enzyme for degradation of ACh in later stages of the disease and, thus, its inhibition is an important target to combat AD [37,45,46].

The anti-cholinesterase activity (as IC_{50} values) of the tested seasonal EO samples, as well as of the (–)- α -pinene (a major compound of Az–CJF EO), is shown in Table 3 and compared with Donepezil (a positive control sample).

Table 3. Anti-cholinesterase activity of the essential oil (EO) extracted via hydrodistillation from fresh Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* foliage collected in autumn (Aut) and spring (Spr) in one site in São Miguel Island.

EQ and Compound	Anti-Cholinesterase Activity (IC ₅₀ , μ g·mL ⁻¹)					
EO and Compound	Anti-Acetylcholinesterase	Anti-Butyrylcholinesterase				
Aut–EO	NA	$148.33 \pm 44.06 \text{ c}$				
Spr–EO	NA	$83.67\pm21.22~\mathrm{b}$				
(–)-α-Pinene	$95.71\pm3.65~\mathrm{b}$	$648.35 \pm 22.42 \text{ d}$				
Donepezil	$0.01\pm0.003~\mathrm{a}$	$1.85\pm0.29~\mathrm{a}$				

Results are expressed as mean \pm SD (n = 3). Different superscript letters in the same column indicate statistically significant differences at p < 0.05. Legend: IC₅₀—half-maximal inhibitory concentration; NA—no activity.

Concerning the AChE inhibition, both EO samples were inactive up to the highest used concentration (0.96 mg·mL⁻¹), whereas (–)- α -pinene displayed anti-AChE activity (IC₅₀ = 95.7 µg·mL⁻¹ or 702.5 µM), despite moderate, according to the classification of natural AChE inhibitors, based on their IC₅₀ values: high potency, IC₅₀ < 20 µg·mL⁻¹; moderate potency, 20 < IC₅₀ < 200 µg·mL⁻¹; and low potency, 200 < IC₅₀ < 1000 µg·mL⁻¹ [80]. On the contrary, Murata et al. [37] found that Japanese CJF EO, obtained via SD, exhibited anti-AChE activity (64.8% inhibition at 100 µg·mL⁻¹), but was lower compared with galanthamine hydrobromide (60.4% inhibition at 10 µM). This discrepancy in the anti-AChE activity of CJF EOs could be due to the different raw material and/or extraction protocol used, among other factors. In fact, recent research on Az–CJF EO revealed that their quantitative composition is strongly influenced by the distillation method [58].

Relatively to the inhibition of BChE, interestingly, the results indicated that both seasonal EOs showed anti-BChE activity, with Spr–EO being significantly more active than Aut–EO (IC₅₀ values of 83.7 and 148.3 μ g·mL⁻¹, respectively). Furthermore, both EOs demonstrated a more efficient inhibition activity than (–)- α -pinene (IC₅₀ = 648.4 μ g·mL⁻¹). To the best of our knowledge, no prior studies on the anti-BChE activity of *C. japonica* EO have been reported. Murata et al. [37] reported that nezukol and kaur-16-ene showed anti-BChE activity (IC₅₀ values of 155 and >300 μ M, respectively). In the present study, both nezukol and kaur-16-ene contents were higher in Spr–EO than in Aut–EO (2.7% vs. 1.6% for nezukol and 23% vs. 2.6% for kaur-16-ene, respectively), which could contribute to the superior anti-BChE activity of Spr–EO.

Although further research is needed for the identification of the bioactive EOCs of the EOs under study, as well as for determination of their potential antioxidant and antiinflammatory properties, overall data from this research indicate that these nontoxic EOs, particularly the Spr–EO, may constitute suitable agents for AD therapy when administered repetitively through the nasal system as an aroma supplement.

4. Conclusions

Cryptomeria japonica is an EO-rich conifer widely used for industrial wood production in the Azores archipelago (Portugal). Thus, the increasing demand for EOs in the global mar-

ket brings new opportunities for the valorization of major biomass residues from Azorean *C. japonica*, such as foliage, that remain underutilized, despite its EOs rich in terpenes and terpenoids with neuroprotective properties, among other important biological activities.

However, the variability of EOs terpene composition in conifers is commonly associated with intricate factors, such as spatial and temporal changes in abiotic parameters (including precipitation, temperature, and light) and biotic interactions. In particular, seasons can have a strong impact on the chemical profile of conifer's EOs because some EOCs may be accumulated, degraded, or released at a particular period to respond to developmental and environmental stimuli, with effect on its bioactivities. In fact, the present study has shown, for the first time, that season, specifically autumn (seed dispersal stage) and spring (pollen release stage), can constitute a factor of influence over the studied Az–CJF EO bioactivities.

A high EO content (>10 mL.kg $^{-1}$ on a DM basis) was observed in both studied EOs, namely 13.7 and 10.6 mL.kg⁻¹ for Aut-EO and Spr-EO samples, respectively, revealing no significant differences between seasonal period. However, their overall chemical composition revealed some seasonal effect, mainly quantitative chemical variability. The Aut-EO demonstrated a 1.7-, 5.1-, 3.7-, and 3.4-fold increased content of α -pinene (MH), sabinene (MH), limonene (MH), and terpinen-4-ol (OM), respectively, compared with the Spr-EO. On the other hand, in Spr–EO, there is a higher accumulation of the OM bornyl acetate, along with the OS elemol and eudesmol isomers (α , β , and γ), namely, 1.6-, 1.3-, and 1.6-fold increased content when compared with Aut-EO. However, it was also observed that the studied seasonal Az-CJF EOs presented a DH as major compound (kaur-16-ene or phyllocladene for Spr-EO and Aut-EO, respectively), which is an unusual pattern since, typically, the major component in Az–CJF EO is the MH α -pinene. Thus, this chemical profile deserves further study in order to confirm the existence of different EO chemotypes or different C. japonica varieties in the Azores. In addition, further in-depth investigations should be performed, involving a broader collection of Az–CJF samples during different months of consecutive years.

Concerning the studied bioactivities, the results also revealed that, with the arrival of spring, the Az–CJF EO presented a slight decrease in the toxicity against brine shrimp and a significant increase in the anti-BChE activity. Nevertheless, both EOs were inactive against AChE, a factor that seems to correlate well with their weak toxic activity against brine shrimp. Thus, overall data from this research indicate that these nontoxic EOs, particularly the Spr–EO, could be considered as a potential safe neuroprotective agent for use in aromatherapy for treatment of AD-related dementia. Hence, further ongoing research will involve molecular docking studies to obtain insight into the binding affinities between the major EOCs and BChE enzyme.

On the other hand, determination of the terpene emission inventory for *C. japonica* is imperative. Therefore, further research in this area is needed to promote forest bathing therapy on the Azores archipelago and/or ecotourism.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.R., A.L. and E.L.; methodology, T.R., A.L., A.J., F.A. and T.W.; software, T.W.; writing—original draft preparation, T.R.; writing—review and editing, E.L., A.L., A.J., F.A., T.W. and J.B.; supervision, E.L., A.L. and J.B.; project administration, E.L.; funding acquisition, E.L. and F.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by Direção Regional da Ciência e Tecnologia (DRCT) funds, under the project ref: M1.1.C/PROJ.EXPLORATÓRIOS/003/2022—PotBioCJap. Filipe Arruda acknowledges his Ph.D. scholarship (ref. M3.1.a/F/008/2021) from Fundo Regional da Ciência e Tecnologia (FRCT).

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article.

Conflicts of Interest: Tanner Wortham was employed by the company The Perfumery. The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

ACh, acetylcholine; AChE, acetylcholinesterase; AD, Alzheimer's disease; Aut, autumn; Az–CJF, Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* foliage; BBB, blood-brain barrier; BCh, butyrylcholine; BChE, butyrylcholinesterase; BSLA, brine shrimp lethality activity; CJBR, *Cryptomeria japonica* biomass residues; CJF, *Cryptomeria japonica* foliage; DH, diterpene hydrocarbons; DM, dry matter; EO, essential oil; EOC, essential oil component; FM, fresh matter; GC–MS, gas chromatography–mass spectrometry; GSR, global solar radiation; HD, hydrodistillation; IC₅₀, half-maximal inhibitory concentration; MEP, methylerythritol phosphate; MH, monoterpene hydrocarbons; MTDLs, multi-target directed ligands; MVA, mevalonate; OD, oxygenated diterpenes; OM, oxygenated monoterpenes; OS, oxygenated sesquiterpenes; RI, retention indices; SD, steam distillation; SH, sesquiterpene hydrocarbons; Spr, spring; TIC, total ion current; TPSs, plant terpene synthases.

References

- 1. Rodríguez, S.M.; Ordás, R.J.; Alvarez, J.M. Conifer biotechnology: An overview. Forests 2022, 13, 1061. [CrossRef]
- Mageroy, M.H.; Nagy, N.E.; Steffenrem, A.; Krokene, P.; Hietala, A.M. Conifer defences against pathogens and pests: Mechanisms, breeding, and management. *Curr. For. Rep.* 2023, *9*, 429–443. [CrossRef]
- Yang, L.; Wen, K.-S.; Ruan, X.; Zhao, Y.-X.; Wei, F.; Wang, Q. Response of plant secondary metabolites to environmental factors. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 762. [CrossRef]
- 4. Kopaczyk, J.; Wargula, J.; Jelonek, T. The variability of terpenes in conifers under developmental and environmental stimuli. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2020**, *180*, 104197. [CrossRef]
- 5. Alicandri, E.; Paolacci, A.R.; Osadolor, S.; Sorgonà, A.; Badiani, M.; Ciaffi, M. On the evolution and functional diversity of terpene synthases in the *Pinus* species: A Review. *J. Mol. Evol.* **2020**, *88*, 253–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Celedon, J.M.; Whitehill, J.G.; Madilao, L.L.; Bohlmann, J. Gymnosperm glandular trichomes: Expanded dimensions of the conifer terpenoid defense system. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 12464. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Brahmkshatriya, P.P.; Brahmkshatriya, P.S. Terpenes: Chemistry, biological role, and therapeutic applications. In *Natural Products: Phytochemistry, Botany and Metabolism of Alkaloids, Phenolics and Terpenes*, 1st ed.; Ramawat, K.G., Mèrillon, J.-M., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; pp. 2665–2691.
- 8. Ninkuu, V.; Zhang, L.; Yan, J.; Fu, Z.; Yang, T.; Zeng, H. Biochemistry of terpenes and recent advances in plant protection. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 5710. [CrossRef]
- 9. Tetali, S.D. Terpenes and isoprenoids: A wealth of compounds for global use. Planta 2019, 249, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Tsunetsugu, Y.; Park, B.; Miyazaki, Y. Trends in research related to "Shinrin-yoku" (taking in the forest atmosphere or forest bathing) in Japan. *Environ. Health Prev. Med.* **2010**, *15*, 27–37. [CrossRef]
- 11. Mizushina, Y.; Kuriyama, I. Cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica*) oils. In *Essential Oils in Food Preservation, Flavor and Safety*, 1st ed.; Preedy, V., Ed.; Academic Press: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016; pp. 317–324.
- 12. Hosoo, Y. Development of pollen and female gametophytes in Cryptomeria japonica. Int. J. Plant Dev. Biol. 2007, 1, 116–121.
- Bhardwaj, K.; Silva, A.S.; Atanassova, M.; Sharma, R.; Nepovimova, E.; Musilek, K.; Sharma, R.; Alghuthaymi, M.A.; Dhanjal, D.S.; Nicoletti, M.; et al. Conifers phytochemicals: A valuable forest with therapeutic potential. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 3005. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Park, C.; Woo, H.; Park, M.-J. Development of Pinaceae and Cupressaceae essential oils from forest waste in South Korea. *Plants* 2023, 12, 3409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Li, X.; Dai, M.; Wang, M.; Wu, X.; Cai, M.; Tao, Y.; Huang, J.; Wen, Y. Geographical variation reveals strong genetic differentiation in *Cryptomeria japonica* var. *sinensis*. *Forests* **2023**, *14*, 1826. [CrossRef]
- 16. Tsumura, Y.; Uchiyama, K.; Moriguchi, Y.; Ueno, S.; Ihara-Ujino, T. Genome scanning for detecting adaptive genes along environmental gradients in the Japanese conifer, Cryptomeria Japonica. *Heredity* **2012**, *109*, 349–360. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Lima, A.; Arruda, F.; Medeiros, J.; Baptista, J.; Madruga, J.; Lima, E. Variations in essential oil chemical composition and biological activities of *Cryptomeria japonica* (Thunb. ex Lf) D. Don from different geographical origins: A critical review. *Appl. Sci.* 2021, *11*, 11097. [CrossRef]
- Lima, A.; Arruda, F.; Janeiro, A.; Medeiros, J.; Baptista, J.; Madruga, J.; Lima, E. Biological activities of organic extracts and specialized metabolites from different parts of *Cryptomeria japonica* (Cupressaceae): A critical review. *Phytochemistry* 2023, 206, 113520. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lima, A.; Arruda, F.; Janeiro, A.; Rodrigues, T.; Baptista, J.; Figueiredo, A.C.; Lima, E. Essential oils from different parts of Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* (Thunb. ex Lf) D. Don (Cupressaceae): Comparison of the yields, chemical compositions, and biological properties. *Appl. Sci.* **2023**, *13*, 8375. [CrossRef]
- Pavão, D.C.; Brunner, D.; Resendes, R.; Jevšenak, J.; Silva, L.B.; Silva, L. Climatic drivers and tree growth in a key production species: The case of *Cryptomeria japonica* (Thunb. Ex Lf) D. Don in the Azores archipelago. *Dendrochronologia* 2024, 85, 126204. [CrossRef]

- Secretaria Regional do Ambiente e Ação Climática, Direção Regional do Ambiente e Ação Climática. Relatório do Estado do Ambiente dos Açores. Portal do Estado do Ambiente dos Açores. Available online: https://rea.azores.gov.pt/UltimaEdicao.aspx (accessed on 18 July 2024).
- 22. Sociedade Portuguesa de Botânica (2024). Cryptomeria japonica (L.f.) D.Don: Mapa de Distribuição. Flora-On: Flora de Portugal Interactiva. Available online: http://acores.flora-on.pt/#wCryptomeria+japonica (accessed on 7 July 2024).
- 23. Bakkali, F.; Averbeck, S.; Averbeck, D.; Idaomar, M. Biological effects of essential oils: A review. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2008, 46, 446–475. [CrossRef]
- 24. Tongnuanchan, P.; Benjakul, S. Essential oils: Extraction, bioactivities, and their uses for food preservation. *J. Food Sci.* 2014, 79, R1231–R1249. [CrossRef]
- Azmir, J.; Zaidul, I.S.M.; Rahman, M.M.; Sharif, K.M.; Mohamed, A.; Sahena, F.; Jahurul, M.H.A.; Ghafoor, K.; Norulaini, N.A.N.; Omar, A.K.M. Techniques for extraction of bioactive compounds from plant materials: A review. *J. Food Eng.* 2013, 117, 426–436. [CrossRef]
- 26. Kant, R.; Kumar, A. Review on essential oil extraction from aromatic and medicinal plants: Techniques, performance and economic analysis. *Sustain. Chem. Pharm.* **2022**, *30*, 100829. [CrossRef]
- Barra, A. Factors affecting chemical variability of essential oils: A review of recent developments. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* 2009, 4, 1147–1154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, Y.; Kong, D.; Fu, Y.; Sussman, M.R.; Wu, H. The effect of developmental and environmental factors on secondary metabolites in medicinal plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2020, 148, 80–89. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Arruda, F.; Lima, A.; Wortham, T.; Janeiro, A.; Rodrigues, T.; Baptista, J.; Rosa, J.S.; Lima, E. Sequential separation of essential oil components during hydrodistillation of fresh foliage from Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* (Cupressaceae): Effects on antibacterial, antifungal, and free radical scavenging activities. *Plants* 2024, *13*, 1729. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kim, S.H.; Lee, S.Y.; Hong, C.Y.; Gwak, K.S.; Park, M.J.; Smith, D.; Choi, I.G. Whitening and antioxidant activities of bornyl acetate and nezukol fractionated from *Cryptomeria japonica* essential oil. *Int. J. Cosmet. Sci.* 2013, 35, 484–490. [CrossRef]
- Yoon, W.J.; Kim, S.S.; Oh, T.H.; Lee, N.H.; Hyun, C.G. Cryptomeria japonica essential oil inhibits the growth of drug-resistant skin pathogens and LPS-induced nitric oxide and pro-inflammatory cytokine production. Pol. J. Microbiol. 2009, 58, 61–68.
- 32. Cha, J.D.; Kim, J.Y. Essential oil from *Cryptomeria japonica* induces apoptosis in human oral epidermoid carcinoma cells via mitochondrial stress and activation of caspases. *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 3890–3901. [CrossRef]
- Cheng, W.W.; Lin, C.T.; Chu, F.H.; Chang, S.T.; Wang, S.Y. Neuropharmacological activities of phytoncide released from Cryptomeria japonica. J. Wood Sci. 2009, 55, 27–31. [CrossRef]
- 34. Ohira, T.; Park, B.J.; Kurosumi, Y.; Miyazaki, Y. Evaluation of dried-wood odors: Comparison between analytical and sensory data on odors from dried sugi (*Cryptomeria japonica*) wood. *J. Wood Sci.* **2009**, *55*, 144–148. [CrossRef]
- 35. Matsubara, E.; Kawai, S. Gender differences in the psychophysiological effects induced by VOCs emitted from Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica*). *Environ. Health Prev. Med.* **2018**, 23, 10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Matsubara, E.; Ohira, T. Inhalation of Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica*) wood odor causes psychological relaxation after monotonous work among female participants. *Biomed. Res.* 2018, 39, 241–249. [CrossRef]
- Murata, K.; Tanaka, K.; Akiyama, R.; Noro, I.; Nishio, A.; Nakagawa, S.; Matsumura, S.; Matsuda, H. Anti-cholinesterase activity of crude drugs selected from the ingredients of incense sticks and heartwood of *Chamaecyparis obtusa*. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* 2018, 13, 803–806. [CrossRef]
- Cox-Georgian, D.; Ramadoss, N.; Dona, C.; Basu, C. Therapeutic and medicinal uses of terpenes. In *Medicinal Plants: From Farm to Pharmacy*, 1st ed.; Joshee, N., Dhekney, S.A., Parajuli, P., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 333–359.
- Chakravarty, I.; Parmar, V.M.; Mandavgane, S.A. Current trends in essential oil (EO) production. *Biomass Conv. Bioref.* 2021, 13, 15311–15334. [CrossRef]
- 40. Bolouri, P.; Salami, R.; Kouhi, S.; Kordi, M.; Asgari Lajayer, B.; Hadian, J.; Astatkie, T. Applications of essential oils and plant extracts in different industries. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 8999. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bungau, A.F.; Radu, A.-F.; Bungau, S.G.; Vesa, C.M.; Tit, D.M.; Purza, A.L.; Endres, L.M. Emerging insights into the applicability of essential oils in the management of acne vulgaris. *Molecules* 2023, 28, 6395. [CrossRef]
- 42. Janeiro, A.; Lima, A.; Arruda, F.; Wortham, T.; Rodrigues, T.; Baptista, J.; Lima, E. Variations in essential oil biological activities of female cones at different developmental stages from Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* (Thunb. ex Lf) D. Don (Cupressaceae). *Separations* **2024**, *11*, 102. [CrossRef]
- Lima, A.; Arruda, F.; Wortham, T.; Janeiro, A.; Rodrigues, T.; Baptista, J.; Lima, E. Chemical compositions and in vitro antioxidant activities of the essential oils of sawdust and resin-rich bark from Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* (Cupressaceae). *Antioxidants* 2024, 13, 728. [CrossRef]
- 44. Lima, A.; Arruda, F.; Wortham, T.; Janeiro, A.; Rodrigues, T.; Baptista, J.; Lima, E. Chemical composition, in vitro antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of sawdust and resin-rich bark essential oils from Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* (Cupressaceae). In Proceedings of the 54th International Symposium on Essential Oils (ISEO), Balatonalmádi, Hungary, 8–11 September 2024.
- 45. Lima, E.; Medeiros, J. Terpenes as potential Anti-Alzheimer's disease agents. Appl. Sci. 2024, 14, 3898. [CrossRef]
- Pathak, C.; Kabra, U.D. A comprehensive review of multi-target directed ligands in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Bioorg. Chem.* 2024, 144, 107152. [CrossRef]

- 47. Ferraz, C.A.; Pastorinho, M.R.; Palmeira-de-Oliveira, A.; Sousa, A.C.A. Ecotoxicity of plant extracts and essential oils: A review. *Environ. Pollut.* **2022**, *292*, 118319. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Cruz, J.V.; Freire, P.; Costa, A. Mineral waters characterization in the Azores archipelago (Portugal). *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* **2010**, *190*, 353–364. [CrossRef]
- 49. Santos, F.D.; Valente, M.A.; Miranda, P.M.A.; Aguiar, A.; Azevedo, E.B.; Tomé, A.R.; Coelho, F. Climate change scenarios in the Azores and Madeira islands. *World Resour. Rev.* **2004**, *16*, 473–491.
- 50. Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera. Available online: www.ipma.pt (accessed on 18 July 2024).
- 51. Council of Europe. *European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines, in European Pharmacopoeia,* 7th ed.; Council of Europe: Strasbourg, France, 2010; p. 241.
- ISO 7609; Essential Oils: Analysis by Gas Chromatography on Capillary Columns—General Method. ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 1985.
- Solis, P.N.; Wright, C.W.; Anderson, M.M.; Gupta, M.P.; Phillipson, J.D. A microwell cytotoxicity assay using *Artemia salina* (brine shrimp). *Planta Med.* 1993, 59, 250–252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Abbott, W.S. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* **1987**, *3*, 302–303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Ellman, G.L.; Courtney, K.D.; Andres Jr, V.; Featherstone, R.M. A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1961**, *7*, 88–95. [CrossRef]
- 56. Majid, H.; Silva, F.V.M. Inhibition of enzymes important for Alzheimer's disease by antioxidant extracts prepared from 15 New Zealand medicinal trees and bushes. J. R. Soc. N. Z. 2020, 50, 538–551. [CrossRef]
- 57. Chen, J.; Zhang, N.; Pei, S.; Yao, L. Odor perception of aromatherapy essential oils with different chemical types: Influence of gender and two cultural characteristics. *Front. Psychol.* **2022**, *13*, 998612. [CrossRef]
- Arruda, F.; Rosa, J.S.; Rodrigues, A.; Oliveira, L.; Lima, A.; Barroso, J.G.; Lima, E. Essential oil variability of Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* leaves under different distillation methods, Part 1: Color, yield and chemical composition analysis. *Appl. Sci.* 2022, 12, 452. [CrossRef]
- 59. Moiteiro, C.; Esteves, T.; Ramalho, L.; Rojas, R.; Alvarez, S.; Zacchino, S.; Bragança, H. Essential oil characterization of two Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* populations and their biological evaluations. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2013**, *8*, 1785–1790. [CrossRef]
- Arruda, F.; Lima, A.; Wortham, T.; Janeiro, A.; Rodrigues, T.; Baptista, J.; Rosa, J.S.; Lima, E. Sequential separation of essential oil components during hydrodistillation of Azorean *Cryptomeria japonica* foliage: Effects on yield, physical properties, and chemical composition. *Separations* 2023, *10*, 483. [CrossRef]
- 61. Figueiredo, A.C.; Moiteiro, C.; Rodrigues, M.C.S.; Almeida, A.J. Essential oil composition from *Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don grown in Azores: Biomass valorization from forest management. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2021**, *16*, 1934578X211038431. [CrossRef]
- 62. Adams, R.P. Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry, 4th ed.; Allures Publishing Corporation: Carol Stream, IL, USA, 2007.
- NIST Chemistry WebBook, Edited by P. J. Linstrom and W. G. Mallard. Available online: https://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/ (accessed on 15 September 2023).
- 64. Singh, S.; Chhatwal, H.; Pandey, A. Deciphering the complexity of terpenoid biosynthesis and its multi-level regulatory mechanism in plants. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* **2024**, *43*, 3320–3336. [CrossRef]
- 65. Malik, T.G.; Sahu, L.K.; Gupta, M.; Mir, B.A.; Gajbhiye, T.; Dubey, R.; Clavijo McCormick, A.; Pandey, S.K. Environmental factors affecting monoterpene emissions from terrestrial vegetation. *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 3146. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Nishimura, H.; Shimadera, H.; Kondo, A.; Bao, H.; Shrestha, K.L.; Inoue, Y. Evaluation of light dependence of monoterpene emission and its effect on surface ozone concentration. *Atmos. Environ.* **2015**, *104*, 143–153. [CrossRef]
- 67. Miyama, T.; Tobita, H.; Uchiyama, K.; Yazaki, K.; Ueno, S.; Saito, T.; Matsumoto, A.; Kitao, M.; Izuta, T. Differences in monoterpene emission characteristics after ozone exposure between three clones representing major gene pools of *Cryptomeria japonica*. J. Agric. Meteorol. **2018**, 74, 102–108. [CrossRef]
- 68. Mochizuki, T.; Amagai, T.; Tani, A. Effects of soil water content and elevated CO₂ concentration on the monoterpene emission rate of *Cryptomeria japonica*. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *634*, 900–908. [CrossRef]
- 69. Matsunaga, S.N.; Niwa, S.; Mochizuki, T.; Tani, A.; Kusumoto, D.; Utsumi, Y.; Enoki, T.; Hiura, T. Seasonal variation in basal emission rates and composition of mono-and sesquiterpenes emitted from dominant conifers in Japan. *Atmos. Environ.* **2013**, *69*, 124–130. [CrossRef]
- 70. Yasue, M.; Ogiyama, K.; Saito, M. The diterpene hydrocarbons in the leaves of *Cryptomeria japonica*. J. Jpn. For. Soc. **1976**, 58, 285–290. [CrossRef]
- Yasue, M.; Ogiyama, K.; Suto, S.; Tsukahara, H.; Miyahara, F.; Ohba, K. Geographical differentiation of natural *Cryptomeria* stands analyzed by diterpene hydrocarbon constituents of individual trees. *J. Jpn. For. Soc.* 1987, 69, 152–156. [CrossRef]
- 72. Appleton, R.A.; McCrindle, R.; Overton, K.H. The diterpenes from the leaves of *Cryptomeria japonica*. *Phytochemistry* **1970**, *9*, 581–583. [CrossRef]
- 73. Otto, A.; Wilde, V. Sesqui-, di-, and triterpenoids as chemosystematic markers in extant conifers: A review. *Bot. Rev.* 2001, 67, 141–238. [CrossRef]
- 74. Zhang, Y.; Yang, L.; Yang, J.; Hu, H.; Wei, G.; Cui, J.; Xu, J. Transcriptome and metabolome analyses reveal differences in terpenoid and flavonoid biosynthesis in *Cryptomeria fortune* needles across different seasons. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2022, *13*, 862746. [CrossRef]

- 75. Logarto Parra, A.; Silva Yhebra, R.; Guerra Sardiñas, I.; Iglesias Buela, L. Comparative study of the assay of *Artemia salina* L. and the estimate of the medium lethal dose (LD₅₀ value) in mice, to determine oral acute toxicity of plant extracts. *Phytomedicine* 2001, *8*, 395–400. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Azra, M.N.; Noor, M.I.M.; Burlakovs, J.; Abdullah, M.F.; Abd Latif, Z.; Yik Sung, Y. Trends and new developments in *Artemia* research. *Animals* **2022**, 12, 2321. [CrossRef]
- 77. Lima, L.R.; Andrade, F.K.; Alves, D.R.; de Morais, S.M.; Vieira, R.S. Anti-acetylcholinesterase and toxicity against *Artemia salina* of chitosan microparticles loaded with essential oils of *Cymbopogon flexuosus*, *Pelargonium* x ssp and *Copaifera officinalis*. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2021, 167, 1361–1370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Araújo-Filho, H.G.; Dos Santos, J.F.; Carvalho, M.T.B.; Picot, L.; Fruitier-Arnaudin, I.; Groult, H.; Quintans-Júnior, L.J.; Quintans, J.S.S. Anticancer activity of limonene: A systematic review of target signaling pathways. *Phytother. Res.* 2021, 35, 4957–4970.
 [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Eddin, L.B.; Jha, N.K.; Meeran, M.F.N.; Kesari, K.K.; Beiram, R.; Ojha, S. Neuroprotective potential of limonene and limonene containing natural products. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 4535. [CrossRef]
- 80. Dos Santos, T.C.; Gomes, T.M.; Pinto, B.A.S.; Camara, A.L.; De Andrade, P.A.M. Naturally occurring acetylcholinesterase inhibitors and their potential use for Alzheimer's disease therapy. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *9*, 1192. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.