



Article A Network Model for Electroosmotic and Pressure-Driven Flow in Porous Microfluidic Channels

Gonzalo García-Ros ^{1,}*¹, Juan Francisco Sánchez-Pérez ², Julio Valenzuela ³, Manuel Conesa ² and Manuel Cánovas ³

- ¹ Civil and Mining Engineering Department, Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena (UPCT), 30202 Cartagena, Spain
- ² Department of Applied Physics and Naval Technology, Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena (UPCT), 30202 Cartagena, Spain; juanf.sanchez@upct.es (J.F.S.-P.); manuel.conesa@upct.es (M.C.)
- ³ Metallurgical and Mining Engineering Department, Universidad Católica del Norte,
- Antofagasta 1240000, Chile; jvalenzuela01@ucn.cl (J.V.); manuel.canovas@ucn.cl (M.C.)
- * Correspondence: gonzalo.garcia@upct.es

Abstract: In this work, the network simulation method is presented as a tool for the numerical resolution of the electroosmotic and pressure-driven flow problem in microchannels with rectangular and cylindrical geometries. Based on the Brinkman equation for steady flow and constant porosity, the network model is designed using spatial discretization. An equivalent electrical circuit is obtained by establishing an analogy between the physical variable fluid velocity and electric potential. The network model is solved quickly and easily employing an electrical circuit resolution code, providing solutions for the velocity profile in the channel cross-section and the total circulating flow. After simulating two practical cases, the suitability of the grid is discussed, relating the relative errors made in the variables of interest with the number of cells used. Finally, two other applications, one for rectangular geometries and the other for cylindrical channels, show the effects the main parameters controlling the flow in these types of channels have on velocities and total flow: the zeta potential of the soil pores, applied potential and pressure gradients, and the boundary condition modified by the zeta potential in the walls of the channel.

Keywords: electroosmotic flow; network simulation method; zeta potential; parallel plate channel; porous cylinder

MSC: 00A72; 35C99; 74S20

1. Introduction

Electroosmosis is an electrokinetic phenomenon that stimulates the flow of pore fluid through a porous medium when electrodes apply a direct current (DC) electrical field [1]. Under the influence of an applied electrical field, positively charged ions are electrically attracted toward the negative electrode [2]. The motion of these ions is explained by the double-layer charge, which is the surface charge on the particle and the corresponding counter-ion charge in the pore fluid [3]. The motion of the cations drags the pore fluid within the porous medium. As the particles move, momentum is transferred to the surrounding fluid molecules, generating an electroosmotic flow between the electrodes, generally from anode to cathode [4].

The electroosmotic flow caused by an electric field is much greater than that generated by a hydraulic field. Therefore, electroosmotic dewatering offers advantages over conventional treatments due to its accelerated dewatering processes [5,6]. Electroosmotic dewatering is preferable to traditional dewatering techniques when dealing with low-permeability materials, such as fine soils, sediments, and sludge [7]. The pioneering work conducted by Casagrande to accelerate dewatering in consolidated clay soils with low hydraulic conductivity [8] is



Citation: García-Ros, G.; Sánchez-Pérez, J.F.; Valenzuela, J.; Conesa, M.; Cánovas, M. A Network Model for Electroosmotic and Pressure-Driven Flow in Porous Microfluidic Channels. *Mathematics* 2022, 10, 2301. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/math10132301

Academic Editor: Antonio Lamura

Received: 25 May 2022 Accepted: 27 June 2022 Published: 1 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). a technique that has been successfully applied to industrial applications, including soil consolidation [9,10], remediation of contaminated soils [11–13], food engineering [14], the dewatering of sludge [15], residues from metallurgical processes [16–19], and the nuclear industry [20], among other applications.

Dewatered flow is generated by two gradients: (i) the hydraulic gradient explained by Darcy's law and (ii) the electrical gradient generated by the application of the DC electrical field. An electrical gradient is more effective than a hydraulic gradient for low-permeability soils. Thus, electroosmosis is effective for fine-particle soils [21].

The dewatered rate is a function of the double-layer charge, the porous medium, the pore fluid, and the electrical field [1]. Generally, electroosmotic flow is expressed through the electroosmotic permeability of the medium, which can be defined as the fluid flux per area of porous medium and the unit of electrical gradient. This coefficient depends on the zeta potential, fluid viscosity, soil porosity, and the electrical permeability of the soil [22,23]. According to the Smoluchowski theory, the zeta potential is the most important variable affecting electroosmotic flow. The zeta potential is a complex function of the interfacial chemistry between fluid and solid particles. When a solid particle moves in a fluid because of electroosmosis, a shear plane surrounding the solid particle is formed. The difference between the electrical potentials of the formed plane and the fluid is the zeta potential [24,25].

In this research, the network simulation method [26,27] is proposed as a numerical tool for solving these types of problems. The model analyzed is that of the flow induced in porous microchannels by applying both an electric field and a hydraulic potential gradient. It is defined by a type of Brinkman equation [28,29], in which steady flow and constant porosity are assumed. The network model and the process to be modeled are equivalent in that both are governed by the same equations, with a correspondence between the dependent variables in the mathematical model and the electrical variables in the equivalent circuit [30]. Once the network model design is completed, the equivalent circuit can be solved simply using an electrical circuit simulation code, such as NgSpice or PSpice [31–34]. Finally, after verifying the precision and reliability of our method with existing analytical solutions [35], two applications are presented (one for rectangular domains and the other for cylindrical ones). In these applications, the influence of the main physical parameters governing the problem on the variable fluid velocity and total circulating flow is analyzed.

The network simulation method has been successfully employed in numerous fields of applied engineering, such as ceramic coatings [36], dispersion of atmospheric pollutants [37], reinforced concrete corrosion [38], soil consolidation [39,40], seepage [41], heat transport [42–44], and many physical problems in engineering [30]. This technique makes use of the powerful algorithms of the circuit resolution codes [32,33] that are able to successfully cope with coupled and strong non-linear mathematical models, including Gear's fixed time methods [45], trapezoidal integration [31], and iterative methods, such as Runge– Kutta. Thanks to these algorithms, stability in the convergence of the numerical solution is considerably improved, and a significant reduction in the local truncation error is achieved, providing high efficiency and accuracy to the network simulation method. In transient problems, time discretization is ultimately implemented automatically by the circuit resolution code [33]. In other words, the user can set a value for the time step, but the code will make the divisions necessary to reach convergence. Therefore, this feature can be considered both an advantage (the stability and convergence of the transient calculation is guaranteed) and a disadvantage (we do not have absolute control over the time step). Finally, the network simulation method requires in-depth knowledge of the problem, including the governing equations and the boundary conditions, when designing and choosing the mesh. Too-fine meshes can hinder stability and convergence in the calculations or lead to excessively long computation times, while too-coarse meshes can lead to large errors in the solution or even make convergence unattainable.

In this paper, a new numerical tool for solving problems of electroosmotic and pressuredriven flow in microporous channels is presented, based on the analogy with electrical circuits. The relative simplicity of programming this powerful and accurate tool (which requires knowledge of a few rules in electrical circuit implementation) has allowed its application to microfluidic channels with rectangular and cylindrical geometries formed by materials with different properties. This has simplified the way to identify and analyze the effects that the different variables of interest have on water flow through porous fine-particle soils. These variables are: the zeta potential of the pores, applied potential gradient, applied pressure gradient, and modified zeta potential in the channel walls.

2. Mathematical Models

The physical–mathematical model addressed here is that of electroosmotic and pressuredriven flow in porous material microchannels. The model is defined by a type of Brinkman equation [28,29], in which the flow is assumed to be stationary, and the soil has constant porosity, Equation (1). This expression, which governs the average volume flow (with units of velocity, m/s) through a porous medium, derives directly from the Navier–Stokes equations. It includes viscous forces, which allow the effects of the porosity of the soil and variations in the zeta potential near the channel walls to be considered. The last variable, which describes the intensity of the double-layer static electrical field in the limit between the soil grains and the fluid, is defined by the linearized Poisson–Boltzmann [46], Equation (7). This governs the potential distribution in the porous medium due to a zeta potential in the channel walls.

The equations presented below have been explained in detail in the work of Scales and Tait [35], but, as they form the base of our network models design, the equations are summarized here for the convenience of the reader.

2.1. Governing Equation for a Two-Parallel-Plate Channel

For this first case, which approximates the flow in channels of rectangular geometry, the Brinkman equation for volume-averaged velocity (u) due to potential $(\nabla \phi_z)$ and pressure (∇P_z) gradients is expressed by:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \lambda^2 u = \frac{n}{\mu} (\nabla P_z + (\rho_{\text{eff}} + \rho_k) \nabla \phi_z), \tag{1}$$

where u (or also u_z) represents the velocity profile with which the fluid passes through the porous medium and which is a function of position y within the channel (distance perpendicular to the walls). This is: $u = u_z(y)$.

In Equation (1), coefficient λ , known as inverse Brinkman screening length (representing a kind of double-layer thickness measure), is defined as:

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{n\sqrt{\tau}}{K}} \frac{\eta_e}{\eta}$$
(2)

where τ is the tortuosity (a pore sinuosity factor), defined as:

$$\tau = \left(\frac{1}{L}\right)^2 \tag{3}$$

K is the intrinsic permeability of the medium, which has the expression:

$$K = \frac{nm^2}{k_o\sqrt{\tau}} \tag{4}$$

where m, the average hydraulic radius of the pores in the case of tortuous cylinders, is expressed as:

$$m = \frac{a_p}{2} \tag{5}$$

Continuing with the coefficients of Equation (1), ρ_{eff} is the effective charge density for the electroosmotic flow due to the zeta potential of the porous material and, in the case of cylindrical pores, takes the following expression:

$$\rho_{\rm eff} = \frac{8\varepsilon_{\rm w}\psi_{\rm o}}{a_{\rm p}^2} \left(\frac{2\kappa a_{\rm p}-1}{\left(\kappa a_{\rm p}\right)^2} - 1\right) \tag{6}$$

Finally, ρ_k is the charge density in the porous medium for the electroosmotic flow due to the zeta potential of the walls. Its value is obtained after solving the linearized Poisson–Boltzmann Equation (7), which governs the potential distribution in the channel, ψ , due to the modified zeta potential of channel walls ψ_w .

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} = \tau k^2 \psi \tag{7}$$

With

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = -\varepsilon_{\mathbf{w}}\kappa^{2}\psi \tag{8}$$

where ρ_k is a function of the position y within the channel (since ψ is as well), $\rho_k(y) = -\varepsilon_w \kappa^2 \psi(y)$. The parameter κ is the inverse Debye screening length [47], very similar to that of the inverse Brinkman screening length (λ).

Boundary Conditions

In general, we will assume the non-slip condition for the channel walls, which results in zero velocities for these contours.

$$u_{w1} = u_{w2} = 0 (9)$$

Regarding the modified zeta potential in the channel walls, the values of ψ_{w1} and ψ_{w2} will always take a constant value, which will be zero in the cases of uncharged walls.

Furthermore, in cases that consist of two different porous materials, continuity will be assumed for velocity at the interface that separates the two regions, that is:

$$u_{int1} = u_{int2} \tag{10}$$

In addition, the viscous shear along this interface must also be constant, with:

$$\eta_{e1} \frac{\partial u_{int1}}{\partial y} = \eta_{e2} \frac{\partial u_{int2}}{\partial y} \tag{11}$$

2.2. Porous Cylinder-Governing Equation and Boundary Conditions

For the case of radial geometries, the Brinkman Equation [28,29] for flow in a porous cylinder has the following expression:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} - \lambda^2 u = \frac{n}{\mu} (\nabla P_z + (\rho_{\text{eff}} + \rho_k) \nabla \phi_z)$$
(12)

where u (or also u_z) represents the velocity profile with which the fluid passes through the porous medium and which is a function of position r within the channel (radial distance to cylinder axis). This is: $u = u_z(r)$.

The definition of the parameters and coefficients in Equation (12) is the same as for those in Equation (1). However, to obtain parameter ρ_k , Equation (8), it is necessary to solve the equation for the potential distribution in the channel (ψ) due to the modified zeta potential of channel walls ψ_w in radial coordinates. This is:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} = \tau k^2 \psi$$
(13)

Regarding the boundary conditions, symmetry in the cylinder axis (r = 0) will be assumed. In the outer wall, we will consider the non-slip condition ($u_{wRa} = 0$) for velocity, while the modified zeta potential will take any constant value (for cases with an uncharged wall, we will have $\psi_{wRa} = 0$).

3. Network Models

The network simulation method [26,27] is a numerical technique to study and simulate physical processes that can be defined using a mathematical model or a complete set of equations. Based on this model, the procedure consists of two well-defined stages: firstly, developing a network model (or electrical circuit) equivalent to the process (by spatial discretization of the governing equations) and, secondly, simulating this model using a program for solving electrical circuits, such as NgSpice or PSpice [31–34]. The network model and the physical process are formally equivalent in that both are governed by the same differential equations in finite spatial differences in terms of the elementary volume (or cell) and boundary conditions. Thanks to this equivalence and the reliability of existing circuit resolution programs (capable of obtaining their exact solution), errors in the simulation will only be associated with the choice of mesh size [48] (division of the spatial domain of the problem in n cells), as we will see in the Verification and Applications section. To achieve stability and solution convergence, circuit resolution codes, such as NgSpice [33,49], use the Newton–Raphson method to solve the non-linear equations that describe the circuit. This is an interactive algorithm that terminates once the following two conditions are met between the last iteration (k) and the current one (k + 1): (i) the currents in the non-linear branches converge to within a tolerance of 0.1% or 10^{-12} A, and (ii) the node voltages converge to within a tolerance of 0.1% or 10^{-6} V.

To design the network models, we established an analogy between fluid velocity (the physical variable of the problem) and electric potential. The different electrical devices, which represent the governing equation terms (or addends), are placed between the different nodes of the elementary cell, which consists of a central node (position i) and two ends (positions i + Δ and i - Δ) in 1D domains, as can be seen in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Nomenclature and network model of the volume element for variable u. Rectangular domains.

It is important to note that the network simulation method would also be suitable to simply deal with the non-stationary state (if necessary) since there is a direct analogy between the addends of the governing equations with time derivatives (typical of the nonsteady state) and the capacitors of an electrical circuit. Capacitors are devices that allow storage (charge or discharge) and perfectly reproduce transient phenomena. Circuit resolution codes [32,33] also incorporate different analysis modes, such as the transient mode, that is implemented using the TRAN sentence [49]. Recently, the network simulation method has been successfully used in non-stationary problems, including soil consolidation [39,40], ceramic coatings [36], and catalytic oxidation processes [50].

3.1. Discretization of the Governing Equation and Implementation of the Electric Circuit in Rectangular Domains

For rectangular domains, Equation (1), expressed in spatial finite differences according to the nomenclature in Figure 1, is as follows:

$$\left\lfloor \frac{u_{i+\Delta} - u_i}{\frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2}} - \frac{u_i - u_{i-\Delta}}{\frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2}} \right\rfloor - \lambda^2 u_i = \frac{n}{\mu} (\nabla P_z + (\rho_{eff} + \rho_k) \nabla \phi_z)$$
(14)

It is important take into account that in the network simulation method, $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)$ is approximated in first derivative between the ends of the cell ($\partial y \cong \Delta y$), while the second derivative is approximated between the central node and each one of the end nodes, with two addends with half lengths ($\partial y \cong \frac{\Delta y}{2}$).

According to the network simulation method, each of the addends in Equation (14) can be considered electric currents

$$J_{R+\Delta} = \frac{u_{i+\Delta} - u_i}{\frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2}}, J_{R-\Delta} = \frac{u_i - u_{i-\Delta}}{\frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2}}, J_{G,\lambda} = \lambda^2 u_i, \quad J_{G,\nabla} = \frac{n}{\mu} (\nabla P_z + (\rho_{\text{eff}} + \rho_k) \nabla \phi_z)$$
(15)

which are balanced at the central node of the elementary cell, so that:

$$J_{R+\Delta} - J_{R-\Delta} - J_{G,\lambda} = J_{G,\nabla}$$
⁽¹⁶⁾

The terms $J_{R+\Delta}$ and $J_{R-\Delta}$ are linear and can, therefore, be implemented using individual resistors. Since I = V/R, according to Ohm's law, the values of these devices are defined as:

$$R_{i+\Delta} = \frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2}, R_{i-\Delta} = \frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2}$$
 (17)

These devices are placed between the nodes where the potential drop occurs (in the physical analogy, velocity), as reflected in Figure 1.

The terms $J_{G,\lambda}$ and $J_{G,\nabla}$ are non-linear, so they must be implemented in the circuit as voltage-controlled current sources. In these elements, the current is specified directly through the following expressions:

$$G_{\lambda} = \lambda^2 u_i, \ G_{\nabla} = \frac{n}{\mu} (\nabla P_z + (\rho_{eff} + \rho_k) \nabla \phi_z)$$
(18)

where u_i is directly read in the central node of corresponding cell i. These devices must be implemented between the central node of the cell and the common ground node.

According to Equation (8), parameter ρ_k is a variable that depends on the potential distribution in the channel, ψ , with which it will be necessary to solve this variable in an additional circuit (we will call it secondary), independent of the circuit that simulates the evolution of velocity u (we will call it main). In other words: since variable ψ is independent of velocity u, the secondary circuit can be solved separately in every cell, while the main circuit has to read variable ψ in the secondary circuit. In this way, the expression of generator G_{∇} would be:

$$G_{\nabla} = \frac{n}{\mu} \Big(\nabla P_z + \Big(\rho_{\text{eff}} - \epsilon_w \kappa^2 \psi_i \Big) \nabla \phi_z \Big)$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

The value of ψ_i will, therefore, be obtained from the reading of this variable at node i (corresponding to the same cell) of the secondary circuit, which is defined from the

expression in spatial finite differences in Equations (7) and (20). Figure 2 shows the nomenclature and the network model for this variable.



Figure 2. Nomenclature and network model of the ψ secondary circuit. Rectangular domains.

As before, the addends in Equation (20) can be assumed to be different electric currents that balance at the central node of the volume element, so that:

$$J_{R+\Delta,\psi} = \frac{\psi_{i+\Delta} - \psi_i}{\frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2}}, \ J_{R-\Delta,\psi} = \frac{\psi_i - \psi_{i-\Delta}}{\frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2}}, \ J_{G,k} = \tau k^2 \psi_i$$
(21)

$$J_{R+\Delta,\psi} - J_{R-\Delta,\psi} = J_{G,k}$$
⁽²²⁾

The terms $J_{R+\Delta\psi}$ and $J_{R-\Delta,\psi}$ are linear, so they are implemented as resistors, while $J_{G,\psi}$ is non-linear and is made through a voltage-controlled current source.

$$R_{i+\Delta,\psi} = \frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2}, R_{i-\Delta,\psi} = \frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2}, G_k = \tau k^2 \psi_i$$
 (23)

where ψ_i is directly read in the central node of corresponding cell i.

Boundary Conditions

As mentioned in Section 2.1, the values of the velocity and the modified zeta potential in the channel walls $(u_{w1}, u_{w2}, \psi_{w1}, \text{ and } \psi_{w2})$ are always constant. This means that these boundary conditions can be implemented in electrical circuits through voltage sources, which are connected between the boundary node and the common ground node. In this way, the following elements are defined for the main circuit:

$$V_{uw1} = u_{w1} = 0$$
 Between the lower boundary node and the ground node, (24)

 $V_{uw2} = u_{w2} = 0$ Between the upper boundary node and the ground node. (25)

For the secondary circuit, the specifications of elements $V_{\psi w1}$ and $V_{\psi w2}$ will be, respectively, values ψ_{w1} and ψ_{w2} .

The schematic for these boundary conditions is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Elements associated with the boundary conditions in rectangular domains.

3.2. Network Model Design in Radial Domains

_

Given the similarities between Equations (1) and (12), the network model for radial domains is similar to that of rectangular geometry, with the addition of one more term, Figure 4. Thus, the expression in spatial finite differences of governing Equation (12) remains:

$$\left[\frac{u_{i+\Delta}-u_i}{\frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2}}-\frac{u_i-u_{i-\Delta}}{\frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2}}\right]+\frac{u_{i+\Delta}-u_{i-\Delta}}{r_i\Delta r}-\lambda^2 u_i=\frac{n}{\mu}(\nabla P_z+(\rho_{eff}+\rho_k)\nabla\varphi_z)$$
(26)

Each of the addends of Equation (26) can be considered an electric current, which balances with the others at the central node of the elementary cell (Equation (28)).

$$J_{R+\Delta} = \frac{u_{i+\Delta} - u_i}{\frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2}}, J_{R-\Delta} = \frac{u_i - u_{i-\Delta}}{\frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2}}, J_{G,ur} = \frac{u_{i+\Delta} - u_{i-\Delta}}{r_i \Delta r}$$

$$J_{G,\lambda} = \lambda^2 u_i, \ J_{G,\nabla} = \frac{\pi}{\mu} (\nabla P_z + (\rho_{eff} + \rho_k) \nabla \phi_z)$$
(27)

$$J_{R+\Delta} - J_{R-\Delta} + J_{G,ur} - J_{G,\lambda} = J_{G,\nabla}$$
⁽²⁸⁾

The new term that appears with respect to the rectangular domains (J_r) is non-linear, so it is implemented using a voltage-controlled current source. Thus, the elements that make up the elementary cell network model for a radial domain (Figure 4) have the following specifications:

$$R_{i+\Delta} = R_{i-\Delta} = \frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2}, \ G_{ur} = \frac{u_{i+\Delta} - u_{i-\Delta}}{r_i \Delta r}, \ G_{\lambda} = \lambda^2 u_i, \ G_{\nabla} = \frac{n}{\mu} (\nabla P_z + (\rho_{eff} + \rho_k) \nabla \phi_z)$$
(29)

where u_i is directly read in the central node of the corresponding cell i.



Figure 4. Nomenclature and network model of the volume element for variable u. Radial domains.

Again, the ρ_k parameter depends on the potential distribution in the channel, ψ , Equation (8), which makes it necessary to solve this variable in a secondary circuit so that the main circuit of u obtains the value of ρ_k from readings of variable ψ in this additional circuit. Being radial coordinates, we express Equation (13) in spatial finite differences as follows:

$$\frac{\psi_{i+\Delta} - \psi_i}{\frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2}} - \frac{\psi_i - \psi_{i-\Delta}}{\frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2}} \right] + \frac{\psi_{i+\Delta} - \psi_{i-\Delta}}{r_i \Delta r} = \tau k^2 \psi_i$$
(30)

Figure 5 shows the nomenclature and the network model for this variable.



Figure 5. Nomenclature and network model of the ψ secondary circuit. Radial domains.

The electric currents that form Equation (30) are expressed as

$$J_{R+\Delta,\psi} = \frac{\psi_{i+\Delta} - \psi_i}{\frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2}}, \ J_{R-\Delta,\psi} = \frac{\psi_i - \psi_{i-\Delta}}{\frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2}}, \ J_{G,\psi r} = \frac{\psi_{i+\Delta} - \psi_{i-\Delta}}{r_i \Delta r}, \ J_{G,k} = \tau k^2 \psi_i \qquad (31)$$

and they are balanced at the central node of the elementary cell as follows:

$$J_{R+\Delta,\psi} - J_{R-\Delta,\psi} + J_{G,\psi r} = J_{G,k}$$
(32)

The terms $J_{R+\Delta\psi}$ and $J_{R-\Delta,\psi}$ are linear, so they are implemented as resistors, while $J_{G,\psi}$ and $J_{G,\psi}$ are non-linear and are made by both voltage-controlled current sources.

$$R_{i+\Delta,\psi} = R_{i-\Delta,\psi} = \frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2}, \ G_{\psi r} = \frac{\psi_{i+\Delta} - \psi_{i-\Delta}}{r_i \Delta r}, \ G_k = \tau k^2 \psi_i$$
(33)

where ψ_i is directly read in the central node of the corresponding cell i.

Boundary Conditions

According to what was expressed in Section 2.2, the values of the velocity and the modified zeta potential in the outer wall of the channel (u_{wRa}, ψ_{wRa}) are always constant, so these boundary conditions are implemented through voltage sources, which are connected between the boundary node and the common ground node.

However, for the cylinder axis (r = 0), symmetry is assumed for variable u and variable ψ . This condition can be implemented in the network simulation method by placing an infinite value resistor to prevent the flow of electrical current (adiabatic condition).

In this way, the following elements are defined for the main circuit:

 $V_{uwRa} = u_{wRa} = 0$ Between the outer boundary node and the ground node (34)

$$R_{uax} = \infty$$
 Between the axial node and the ground node. (35)

For the secondary circuit, the specifications of elements $V_{\psi wRa}$ and $R_{\psi ax}$ will be, respectively, the values ψ_{wRa} and ∞ .

The schematic for these boundary conditions is shown in Figure 6.



main u circuit

secondary ψ circuit



Figure 6. Elements associated with the boundary conditions in radial domains.

4. Verification and Applications

In this section, we will begin by verifying the solutions obtained using our numerical tool with the analytical solutions proposed by Scales and Tait [35] for rectangular geometries. Subsequently, and once the precision of the network simulation method has been tested, two applications will be presented (one for a rectangular channel and the other for a porous cylinder) for heterogeneous media composed of two materials with different properties.

The Network Simulation method has also been verified with other numerical techniques, such as the Finite Element Method [30], demonstrating that their numerical solutions have the same precision.

4.1. Verification of the Numerical Solution for the Network Simulation Method

The purpose of this verification is to quantify the deviations between our numerical solution and the analytical theoretical one and to determine how much we have to refine our grid (using parameter n_c , which is the number of cells into which we divide the width (*c*) of our domain) to correctly reproduce the effects of having the medium and the walls of the channel alternately charged or uncharged.

4.1.1. Charged Medium Case with Uncharged Walls

For the case of a parallel plate channel with a single charged medium ($\psi_0 \neq 0$ V) and uncharged walls ($\psi_w = 0$ V), we consider a soil that has the following characteristics:

$$\begin{split} n &= 0.7, \, \tau = 1.4, \, a_p = 8 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}, \, k_o = 2, \, \eta_e = \eta = 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}, \, \mu = 10^{-3} \text{ N} \cdot \text{s}/\text{m}^2, \\ \nabla P_z &= 2 \times 10^4 \text{ N/m}^3, \, \varepsilon_w = 4.51 \times 10^{-10} \text{ F/m}, \, \psi_o = 0.02 \text{ V}, \, \kappa = 10^8 \text{ m}^{-1}, \, \psi_{w1} = \psi_{w2} = 0 \text{ V}, \\ \nabla \varphi_z &= -4.92 \times 10^4 \text{ V/m}, \, c = 10^{-5} \text{ m}, \, n_c = [10, 100]. \end{split}$$

Figure 7a,b show the results for 10, 15, 25, and 100 cells, together with the analytical solution (black line). As can be seen, the network simulation method obtains satisfactory solutions with a very low number of cells. With 100 cells, the maximum relative error made in estimating velocity u does not exceed 0.37% (Table 1). For the quantification of the flow per unit section U (obtained for this geometry as the mean of velocity u), the relative error falls to 0.24%. For this mesh, the computation time was about only 5 s on an Intel Core i7-6700 CPU 4.00 GHz computer, which demonstrates the suitability and strengths of the network simulation method for these types of problems.



Figure 7. (a) Dimensionless velocity in a parallel plate channel. Single material. Charged medium with uncharged walls. (b) Dimensionless velocity in a parallel plate channel. Single material. Charged medium with uncharged walls. Detail in the vicinity of the wall.

Number of Cells	>100	25	15	10
Max. fluid velocity (u) error (%)	0.37	13.62	24.50	41.50
Flow per unit section (U) error (%)	0.24	5.95	9.56	13.95

Table 1. Fluid velocity and flow per unit section relative errors as a function of the number of cells. Parallel plate channel. Single material. Charged medium with uncharged walls.

4.1.2. Uncharged Medium Case with Charged Walls

For a parallel plate channel with a single uncharged medium ($\psi_0 = 0 \text{ V}, \nabla P_z = 0 \text{ N/m}^3$) and charged walls ($\psi_{w1} \neq 0 \text{ V}, \psi_{w2} \neq 0 \text{ V}$), we consider a soil that has the following characteristics:

$$\begin{split} n &= 0.7, \, \tau = 1.4, \, a_p = 1.6 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{m}, \, k_o = 2, \, \eta_e = \eta = 10^{-6} \, \text{m}^2/\text{s}, \, \mu = 10^{-3} \, \text{N} \cdot \text{s}/\text{m}^2, \\ \nabla P_z &= 0 \, \text{N/m}^3, \, \varepsilon_w = 4.51 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{F/m}, \, \psi_o = 0 \, \text{V}, \, \kappa = 10^7 \, \text{m}^{-1}, \, \psi_{w1} = 0.03 \, \text{V}, \, \psi_{w2} = 0.01 \, \text{V}, \\ \nabla \varphi_z &= -4.92 \times 10^4 \, \text{V/m}, \, c = 10^{-5} \, \text{m}, \, n_c = [10, 500]. \end{split}$$

Figure 8a–c show the results for 10, 15, 25, 100, and 500 cells, together with the analytical solution (black line). In this case, the network simulation method obtains satisfactory solutions with meshes greater than 25 cells. With 500 cells, the maximum relative error made in estimating velocity u does not exceed 0.16% (Table 2). For the quantification of flow per unit section U (obtained as the mean of velocity u), the relative error falls to 0.11%. In this case, the computation time was approximately 15 s, longer than the simulated cases with 100 cells but still considered very short for these types of problems.



Figure 8. Cont.



Figure 8. (a) Dimensionless velocity in a parallel plate channel. Single material. Uncharged medium with charged walls. (b) Dimensionless velocity in a parallel plate channel. Single material. Uncharged medium with charged walls. Detail in the vicinity of the charged wall ($\psi_{w1} = 0.03$ V). (c) Dimensionless velocity in a parallel plate channel. Single material. Uncharged walls. Detail in the vicinity of the charged medium with charged walls. Detail in the vicinity of the charged medium with charged walls. Detail in the vicinity of the charged medium with charged walls. Detail in the vicinity of the charged medium with charged walls.

Table 2. Fluid velocity and flow per unit section relative errors as a function of the number of cells. Parallel plate channel. Single material. Uncharged medium with charged walls.

Number of Cells	500	100	25	15	10
Max. fluid velocity (u) error (%)	0.16	2.03	31.20	64.80	90.40
Flow per unit section (U) error (%)	0.11	0.50	3.81	16.59	32.91

4.2. Applications

4.2.1. Two-Parallel-Plate Channel Composed of Two Porous Materials

In this subsection, eight different cases will be presented corresponding to a rectangular channel composed of two soils with different properties. The geometry, problem parameters, and characteristics of the materials that form the channel in the first case, of uncharged walls and that will serve as a reference, are the following:

 $\begin{array}{l} n_1 = 0.8, \, \tau_1 = 1.4, \, a_{p1} = 10^{-6} \, \text{m}, \, n_2 = 0.4, \, \tau_2 = 1.8, \, a_{p2} = 4 \times 10^{-7} \, \text{m}, \, k_o = 2, \\ \eta_{e1} = \eta_{e2} = \eta = 10^{-6} \, \text{m}^2/\text{s}, \, \mu = 10^{-3} \, \text{N} \cdot \text{s}/\text{m}^2, \, \nabla P_z = 10^4 \, \text{N/m}^3, \, \varepsilon_w = 4.43 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{F/m}, \\ \psi_o = 0.03 \, \text{V}, \, \kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 10^8 \, \text{m}^{-1}, \, \psi_{w1} = \psi_{w2} = 0 \, \text{V}, \, \nabla \varphi_z = -2 \times 10^4 \, \text{V/m}, \, c = 10^{-5} \, \text{m}, \\ c_1/c = 0.4, \, c_2/c = 0.6, \, n_c = 500. \end{array}$

Successive cases 2 to 8 have the same geometry and material characteristics making up the channel. While certain parameters of the problem vary from the reference case (Table 3), the rest remain the same.

Table 3. Parameters that are different from the reference case. Two-parallel-plate channel composed of two porous materials.

Case	Parameters
2	$\psi_{o} = 0.06 \text{ V}$
3	$ abla \phi_z = -6 imes 10^4 \ \mathrm{V/m}$
4	$\psi_{w1} = \psi_{w2} = 0.03 \text{ V}$
5	$\psi_{w1}=\psi_{w2}=0.05~V$
6	$\psi_{w1}=\psi_{w2}=0.07~V$
7	$ abla \mathrm{P_z} = 3 imes 10^5 \ \mathrm{N/m^3}$
8	$ abla P_z = 10^6 \mathrm{N/m^3}$

Figure 9 shows the results for the eight cases addressed, which were solved using a grid of 500 cells. As can be seen, both in case 2 (where ψ_0 has doubled) and case 3 (where $\nabla \phi_z$ has tripled), the increase in velocity profiles u and flow per unit section U (Table 4) is proportional to these changes (same dimensionless velocity profile $u/[\psi_0 \varepsilon_w \nabla \phi_z/\mu]$). We can see how the effect of the charged walls (cases 4 to 6) considerably increases the velocity u of the fluid in the vicinity of these contours. However, the effect is not as considerable for the flow total U that circulates throughout the total section. Finally, the increase in the applied pressure gradient ∇P_z (cases 7 and 8) has the same qualitative effect as increasing ψ_0 or $\nabla \phi_z$, although for quantitative purposes, its effect is much smaller, since to increase the total flow U 31.7%, it was necessary to raise ∇P_z 100 times.



Figure 9. Dimensionless velocity in a parallel-plate channel. Double material.

Case	1 (Ref)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Flow per unit section U $(m/s) \times 10^{-5}$	8.62	17.24	25.86	9.13	9.46	9.80	9.43	11.36
% related to reference case 1	100.0	200.0	300.0	105.9	109.7	113.6	109.3	131.7

Table 4. Flow per unit section in a double-material parallel-plate channel.

4.2.2. Porous Cylindrical Channel Composed of Two Different Materials

As in the previous subsection, eight different cases will be presented corresponding to a porous cylinder composed of two soils with different properties. The geometry, problem parameters, and characteristics of the materials that form the channel in the first case, which will serve as a reference, are the following:

 $\begin{array}{l} n_1=0.5, \tau_1=1.8, a_{p1}=3\times 10^{-7} \text{ m}, n_2=0.8, \tau_2=1.5, a_{p2}=6\cdot 10^{-7} \text{ m}, k_o=2, \\ \eta_{e1}=\eta_{e2}=5\times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}, \eta=10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}, \mu=10^{-3} \text{ N}\cdot\text{s}/\text{m}^2, \nabla P_z=-2\times 10^4 \text{ N}/\text{m}^3, \\ \varepsilon_w=4.51\times 10^{-10} \text{ F/m}, \psi_o=-0.02 \text{ V}, \kappa_1=\kappa_2=10^8 \text{ m}^{-1}, \psi_{wRa}=-0.02 \text{ V}, \nabla \varphi_z=-3\times 10^4 \text{ V/m}, \\ Ra=10^{-5} \text{ m}, Ra_1/Ra=0.8, Ra_2/Ra=0.2, n_c=500. \end{array}$

Successive cases 2 to 8 have the same geometry and material characteristics making up the cylinder. While certain parameters of the problem vary from the reference case (Table 5), the rest remain the same.

Case	Parameter
2	$\psi_o = -0.04 \text{ V}$
3	$ abla \phi_z = -1 imes 10^4 \ \mathrm{V/m}$
4	$\psi_{ m wRa}=-0.04~ m V$
5	$\psi_{ m wRa}=-0.08~ m V$
6	$\psi_{ m wRa}=-0.12~ m V$
7	$ abla P_z = -6 imes 10^5 \mathrm{N/m^3}$
8	$ abla P_z = -2 imes 10^6 \mathrm{N/m^3}$

Table 5. Parameters that are different from the reference case. Porous cylindrical channel composed of two different materials.

The results for the eight cases (using a 1000-cell grid) are shown in Figure 10. Analogously to rectangular domains, the variations in ψ_0 and $\nabla \phi_z$ (cases 2 and 3, respectively) result in increases of equal magnitude in the velocity profiles and total circulating flow (Table 6). Regarding the effect of the wall potential ψ_{wRa} (cases 4 to 6), the total circulating flow is not significantly affected either, despite the fact that in the vicinity of this contour, fluid velocity does increase considerably. Finally, the increase in the applied pressure gradient ∇P_z (cases 7 and 8) has an impact similar to that observed in rectangular cases, both qualitatively and quantitatively.



Figure 10. Velocity profiles in a porous cylindrical channel. Double material.

Case	1 (Ref)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Total volume flow Q $(m^3/s) \times 10^{-14}$	5.69	11.37	1.90	5.91	6.33	6.76	6.02	6.82
% related to reference case 1	100.0	200.0	33.3	103.8	111.2	118.7	105.8	119.7

Table 6. Flow per unit section in a double-material porous cylindrical channel.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

In this work, the network simulation method proved to be an effective tool for the numerical resolution of the physical–mathematical model of both electroosmotic and pressure-driven flow in porous microchannels. The technique, based on the analogy between the physical variables of the problem and electrical potential and intensity, allows us to solve an equivalent electrical circuit quickly (calculation times between 5 and 15 s) simply and effectively, obtaining solutions for the velocity profile and circulating flow for rectangular and radial geometries.

The method proved to be highly accurate with undemanding grids. Thus, with meshes of 100 cells, the relative errors are less than 0.4% in channels with a charged medium, although for scenarios with charged walls, the effect of the contours requires a somewhat finer crosslinking, registering relative errors of less than 0.2% with meshes of 500 cells.

In view of the applications presented, it is observed that both the increase in the zeta potential of the pores and the applied potential gradient have a similar effect, regardless of the geometry of the channel, varying, in the same proportion, the velocity profile of the fluid and, therefore, the total flow. When the applied pressure gradient is increased, the variations in velocities and circulating flow are much smaller, making it clear that the electroosmotic flow component can be much more important in porous fine-particle soils than the pressure-driven flow component.

We also found how the variation in the modified zeta potential in the channel walls has a limited effect on the velocity profiles, increasing them ostensibly in the contours but remaining without effect inside the channel. This means that the increase in total flow due to this boundary condition is much less than that achieved when we increase the zeta potential of the pores and applied potential gradient variables.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.G.-R., J.F.S.-P., J.V., M.C. (Manuel Conesa) and M.C. (Manuel Cánovas); methodology, G.G.-R. and J.F.S.-P.; software, G.G.-R. and J.F.S.-P.; validation, G.G.-R., J.F.S.-P., J.V., M.C. (Manuel Conesa) and M.C. (Manuel Cánovas); formal analysis, G.G.-R., J.F.S.-P., J.V., M.C. (Manuel Conesa) and M.C. (Manuel Cánovas); investigation, G.G.-R., J.F.S.-P. and M.C. (Manuel Cánovas); resources, G.G.-R., J.F.S.-P. and M.C. (Manuel Cánovas); data curation, G.G.-R., J.F.S.-P. and M.C. (Manuel Cánovas); writing—review and editing, G.G.-R., J.F.S.-P. and M.C. (Manuel Cánovas); writing—review and editing, G.G.-R., J.F.S.-P. and M.C. (Manuel Cánovas); visualization, G.G.-R., J.F.S.-P. and M.C. (Manuel Cánovas); supervision, G.G.-R., J.F.S.-P. and M.C. (Manuel Cánovas); project administration, G.G.-R., J.F.S.-P. and M.C. (Manuel Cánovas); funding acquisition, M.C. (Manuel Cánovas). All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by FONDECYT (Chile), Initiation Grant No. 11180329— Phenomenological aspects of electroosmotic drainage technique: application to copper leaching. The APC was funded by Manuel Cánovas.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Nomenclature

- a_p average pore size (m)
- c width of the porous channel (m)
- G_i electric current provided by source i (A)
- I electric current (A)
- J_E electric current flowing through device E (A)
- K intrinsic permeability of the medium (m²)
- k_o pore shape factor (dimensionless)
- l length of the hollow tortuous capillary (m)
- L length of the porous medium (m)
- m average hydraulic radius of the pores (m)
- n soil porosity (dimensionless)
- n_c number of cells (dimensionless)
- Q total volume flow (m^3/s)
- r radial spatial coordinate transverse to the water flow direction (m)

R	electric resistor (Ω or kg·m ² /s ³ ·A ²)
Ra	porous cylinder radius (m)
r;	radius value in element i (m)
R;	nominal value of resistor i (Ω or kg·m ² /s ³ ·A ²)
U	flow per unit section (m/s)
11.117	fluid velocity through a cross section of the porous material (m/s)
11:	fluid velocity at node i (m/s)
11im±1	lower-region fluid velocity at the boundary of separation with the upper region (m/s)
11::::::	upper-region fluid velocity at the boundary of separation with the lower region (m/s)
U ₁₁₁ 1	fluid velocity in the lower-boundary wall (m/s)
112	fluid velocity in the upper-boundary wall (m/s)
U _W P ₂	fluid velocity in the outer-boundary wall (m/s)
V	electric potential difference, voltage (V or kg·m ² /s ³ ·A)
V;	voltage source in boundary condition i (V or $kg \cdot m^2/s^3 \cdot A$)
V	cartesian spatial coordinate transverse to the water flow direction (m)
z	spatial coordinate in the fluid advance direction (m)
∇P_z	applied pressure gradient in the fluid advance direction (N/m^3)
$\nabla \phi_z$	applied potential gradient in the direction of the fluid advance (V/m or kg·m/s ³ ·A)
€₀	vacuum electrical permittivity (F/m or $A^2 \cdot s^4 / kg \cdot m^3$)
€w	fluid electrical permittivity (F/m or $A^2 \cdot s^4/kg \cdot m^3$)
ψ	potential distribution in the channel due to walls zeta potential (V or kg·m ² /s ³ ·A)
ψ_i	potential at node i (V or kg·m ² /s ³ ·A)
ψ	pores zeta potential (V or kg·m ² /s ³ ·A)
ψ_{w}	modified wall zeta potential (V or kg·m ² /s ³ ·A)
ψ_{w1}	modified zeta potential of the lower wall (V or kg·m ² /s ³ ·A)
ψ_{w2}	modified zeta potential of the upper wall (V or kg·m ² /s ³ ·A)
ψ_{wRa}	modified zeta potential of the outer wall (V or kg·m ² /s ³ ·A)
к	inverse Debye screening length; inverse of double layer thickness (m^{-1})
λ	inverse Brinkman screening length (m^{-1})
η	water kinematic viscosity (m^2/s)
η _e	effective viscosity of the fluid in the porous medium (m^2/s)
η_{e1}	effective viscosity of the fluid in the lower porous medium (m ² /s)
η_{e2}	effective viscosity of the fluid in the upper porous medium (m^2/s)
ρ_{eff}	effective charge density due to zeta potential of the porous material (s·A/m ³)
ρ_k	charge density in the porous medium due to the wall zeta potential (s·A/m ³)
μ	water viscosity coefficient; water dynamic viscosity (N·s/m ²)
τ	pore sinuosity factor: tortuosity (dimensionless)

References

- 1. Asadi, A.; Huat, B.B.K.; Nahazanan, H.; Keykhah, H.A. Theory of Electroosmosis in Soil. Int. J. Electrochem. Sci. 2013, 8, 1016–1025.
- Martin, L.; Alizadeh, V.; Meegoda, J. Electro-osmosis treatment techniques and their effect on dewatering of soils, sediments, and sludge: A review. Soils Found. 2019, 59, 407–418. Available online: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0038080
- 619300290 (accessed on 15 May 2021). [CrossRef]
 Acar, Y.B.; Gale, R.J.; Alshawabkeh, A.N.; Marks, R.E.; Puppala, S.; Bricka, M.; Parker, R. Electrokinetic remediation: Basics and technology status. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 1995, 40, 117–137. [CrossRef]
- 4. Alshawabkeh, A.N.; Acar, Y.B. Electrokinetic Remediation. II: Theoretical Model. J. Geotech. Eng. 1996, 122, 186–196. [CrossRef]
- 5. Yoshida, H. Practical aspects of dewatering enhanced by electro-osmosis. Dry. Technol. 1993, 11, 784–814. [CrossRef]
- 6. Mahmoud, A.; Olivier, J.; Vaxelaire, J.; Hoadley, A.F.A. Electrical field: A historical review of its application and contributions in wastewater sludge dewatering. *Water Res.* **2010**, *44*, 2381–2407. [CrossRef]
- Moghadam, M.J.; Moayedi, H.; Sadeghi, M.M.; Hajiannia, A. A review of combinations of electrokinetic applications. *Environ. Geochem. Health* 2016, 38, 1217–1227. [CrossRef]
- 8. Casagrande, A. Electro-osmotic stabilization of soils. Bost. Soc. Civ. Eng. J. 1952, 39, 51–83.
- Malekzadeh, M.; Lovisa, J.; Sivakugan, N.; Julie, M.M.; Sivakugan, L.N. An Overview of Electrokinetic Consolidation of Soils. Geotech. Geol. Eng. 2016, 34, 759–776. [CrossRef]
- Dizon, A.; Orazem, M.E. Advances and challenges of electrokinetic dewatering of clays and soils. *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* 2020, 22, 17–24. [CrossRef]
- 11. Cameselle, C.; Gouveia, S. Electrokinetic remediation for the removal of organic contaminants in soils. *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* **2018**, *11*, 41–47. [CrossRef]

- 12. Liu, L.; Li, W.; Song, W.; Guo, M. Remediation techniques for heavy metal-contaminated soils: Principles and applicability. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *633*, 206–219. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Ma, D.; Su, M.; Qian, J.; Wang, Q.; Meng, F.; Ge, X.; Ye, Y.; Song, C. Heavy metal removal from sewage sludge under citric acid and electroosmotic leaching processes. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2020**, *242*, 116822. [CrossRef]
- 14. Menon, A.; Mashyamombe, T.R.; Kaygen, E.; Nasiri, M.S.M.; Stojceska, V. Electro-osmosis dewatering as an energy efficient technique for drying food materials. *Energy Procedia* **2019**, *161*, 123–132. [CrossRef]
- 15. Iwata, M.; Tanaka, T.; Jami, M.S. Application of Electroosmosis for Sludge Dewatering-A Review. *Dry. Technol.* **2013**, *31*, 170–184. [CrossRef]
- 16. Lee, J.K.; Shang, J.Q.; Xu, Y. Electrokinetic Dewatering of Mine Tailings Using DSA Electrodes. *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci* 2016, 11, 4149–4160. [CrossRef]
- 17. Valenzuela, J.; Romero, L.; Acuña, C.; Cánovas, M. Electroosmotic drainage, a pilot application for extracting trapped capillary liquid in copper leaching. *Hydrometallurgy* **2016**, *163*, 148–155. [CrossRef]
- 18. Karaca, O.; Cameselle, C.; Reddy, K.R. Acid pond sediment and mine tailings contaminated with metals: Physicochemical characterization and electrokinetic remediation. *Environ. Earth Sci.* **2017**, *76*, 408. [CrossRef]
- Cánovas, M.; Valenzuela, J.; Romero, L.; González, P. Characterization of electroosmotic drainage: Application to mine tailings and solid residues from leaching. J. Mater. Res. Technol. 2020, 9, 2960–2968. [CrossRef]
- Lamont-Black, J.; Jones, C.J.F.P.; White, C. Electrokinetic geosynthetic dewatering of nuclear contaminated waste. *Geotext. Geomembr.* 2015, 43, 359–362. [CrossRef]
- 21. Page, M.M.; Page, C.L. Electroremediation of Contaminated Soils. J. Environ. Eng. 2002, 128, 208–219. [CrossRef]
- 22. Esrig, M.I. Pore Pressures, Consolidation, and Electrokinetics. J. Soil Mech. Found. Div. 1968, 94, 899–921. [CrossRef]
- 23. Herr, A.E.; Molho, J.I.; Santiago, J.G.; Mungal, M.G.; Kenny, T.W.; Garguilo, M.G. Electroosmotic capillary flow with nonuniform zeta potential. *Anal. Chem.* **2000**, *72*, 1053–1057. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Hunter, R. Zeta Potential in Colloid Science: Principles and Applications; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2013.
- 25. Bhattacharjee, S. DLS and zeta potential—What they are and what they are not? *J. Control. Release* **2016**, 235, 337–351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. González-Fernández, C.F. Applications of the network simulation method to transport precesses. In *Network Simulation Method*; Research Signpost: Trivandrum, India, 2002.
- 27. González-Fernández, C.F.; Alhama, F. Heat Transfer and the Network Simulation Method. In *Network Simulation Method*; Research Signpost: Trivandrum, India, 2002.
- 28. Brinkman, H.C. A calculation of the viscosity and the sedimentation constant for solutions of large chain molecules taking into account the hampered flow of the solvent through these molecules. *Physica* **1947**, *13*, 447–448. [CrossRef]
- 29. Brinkman, H.C. On the permeability of media consisting of closely packed porous particles. *Appl. Sci. Res.* **1949**, *1*, 81–86. [CrossRef]
- 30. Sánchez-Pérez, J.F.; Marín, F.; Morales, J.L.; Cánovas, M.; Alhama, F. Modeling and simulation of different and representative engineering problems using network simulation method. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0193828. [CrossRef]
- Nagel, L.W.; Pederson, D.O. SPICE (Simulation Program with Integrated Circuit Emphasis); EECS Department: Berkeley, CA, USA, 1973.
- 32. MicroSim Corporation Fairbanks. *PSPICE*, 6.0; MicroSim Corporation Fairbanks: Irvine, CA, USA, 1994. Available online: http://robustdesignconcepts.com/files/pspice/index.htm (accessed on 15 June 2022).
- 33. NgSpice. Sourceforge. Available online: http://ngspice.sourceforge.net/index.html (accessed on 15 June 2022).
- 34. Kielkowski, R. Inside Spice; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1998; Volume 2.
- 35. Scales, N.; Tait, R.N. Modeling electroosmotic and pressure-driven flows in porous microfluidic devices: Zeta potential and porosity changes near the channel walls. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *125*, 094714. [CrossRef]
- 36. Morales, N.G.; Sánchez-Pérez, J.F.; Nicolás, J.A.M.; Killinger, A. Modelling of alumina splat solidification on preheated steel substrate using the network simulation method. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 1568. [CrossRef]
- Sánchez-Pérez, J.F.; Mena-Requena, M.R.; Cánovas, M. Mathematical modeling and simulation of a gas emission source using the network simulation method. *Mathematics* 2020, 8, 1996. [CrossRef]
- Sánchez-Pérez, J.F.; Alhama, F.; Moreno, J.A.; Cánovas, M. Study of main parameters affecting pitting corrosion in a basic medium using the network method. *Results Phys.* 2019, 12, 1015–1025. [CrossRef]
- 39. García-Ros, G.; Alhama, I.; Morales, J.L. Numerical simulation of nonlinear consolidation problems by models based on the network method. *Appl. Math. Model.* **2019**, *69*, 604–620. [CrossRef]
- 40. García-Ros, G.; Alhama, I.; Cánovas, M. Powerful software to Simulate soil Consolidation problems with prefabricated Vertical Drains. *Water* **2018**, *10*, 242. [CrossRef]
- 41. Martínez-Moreno, E.; Garcia-Ros, G.; Alhama, I. A different approach to the network method: Continuity equation in flow through porous media under retaining structures. *Eng. Comput.* **2020**, *37*, 3269–3291. [CrossRef]
- Cánovas, M.; Alhama, I.; Trigueros, E.; Alhama, F. Numerical simulation of Nusselt-Rayleigh correlation in Bénard cells. A solution based on the network simulation method. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Heat Fluid Flow* 2015, 25, 986–997. [CrossRef]
- Cánovas, M.; Alhama, I.; García, G.; Trigueros, E.; Alhama, F. Numerical simulation of density-driven flow and heat transport processes in porous media using the network method. *Energies* 2017, 10, 1359. [CrossRef]

- 44. González Fernández, C.F.; Alhama, F.; López Sánchez, J.F.; Horno, J. Application of the network method to heat conduction processes with polynomial and potential-exponentially varying thermal properties. *Numer. Heat Transf. Part A Appl.* **1998**, *33*, 549–559. [CrossRef]
- 45. Gear, C.W. The automatic integration of ordinary differential equations. Commun. ACM 1971, 14, 176–179. [CrossRef]
- 46. Leroy, P.; Maineult, A. Exploring the electrical potential inside cylinders beyond the Debye-Hückel approximation: A computer code to solve the Poisson-Boltzmann equation for multivalent electrolytes. *Geophys. J. Int.* **2018**, *214*, 58–69. [CrossRef]
- Tadmor, R.; Hernández-Zapata, E.; Chen, N.; Pincus, P.; Israelachvili, J.N. Debye length and double-layer forces in polyelectrolyte solutions. *Macromolecules* 2002, 35, 2380–2388. [CrossRef]
- Alhama López, F. Estudio de Respuestas Termicas Transitorias en Procesos no Lineales de Conduccion de Calor Mediante el Metodo de Simulacion por Redes. 1999. Available online: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/tesis?codigo=260523&info= resumen&idioma=SPA (accessed on 14 May 2021).
- 49. Vogt, H.; Hendrix, M.; Nenzi, P. Ngspice User's Manual Version 32. 2020. Available online: http://ngspice.sourceforge.net/docs/ ngspice-32-manual.pdf (accessed on 15 June 2022).
- 50. Sánchez-Pérez, J.F.; Nicolas, J.A.M.; Alhama, F.; Canovas, M. Study of Transition Zones in the Carbon Monoxide Catalytic Oxidation on Platinum Using the Network Simulation Method. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 1413. [CrossRef]