

Article

On Kudriasov Conditions for Univalence of Integral Operators Defined by Generalized Bessel Functions

Mohsan Raza ^{1,*}, Sarfraz Nawaz Malik ², Qin Xin ³, Muhey U. Din ¹ and Luminița-Ioana Cotîrlă ⁴

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Government College University Faisalabad, Faisalabad 38000, Pakistan; muheyudin@yahoo.com
- ² Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Wah Campus, Wah Cantt 47040, Pakistan; snmalik110@ciitwah.edu.pk or snmalik110@yahoo.com
- ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, University of the Faroe Islands, Vestarabryggja 15, FO 100 Torshavn, Faroe Islands, Denmark; qinx@setur.fo
- ⁴ Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, 400114 Cluj-Napoca, Romania; luminita.cotirla@math.utcluj.ro
- * Correspondence: mohsanraza@gcuf.edu.pk or mohsan976@yahoo.com

Abstract: In this article, we studied the necessary conditions for the univalence of integral operators that involve two functions: the generalized Bessel function and a function from the well-known class of normalized analytic functions in the open unit disk. The main tools for our discussions were the Kudriasov conditions for the univalency of functions, as well as functional inequalities for the generalized Bessel functions. We included the conditions for the univalency of integral operators that involve Bessel, modified Bessel and spherical Bessel functions as special cases. Furthermore, we provided sufficient conditions for the integral operators that involve trigonometric, as well as hyperbolic, functions as an application of our results.

Keywords: Bessel functions; modified Bessel functions; spherical Bessel functions; integral operators; Kudriasov conditions; univalence criteria

MSC: 30C45; 30C50



Citation: Raza, M.; Malik, S.N.; Xin, Q.; Din, M.U.; Cotîrlă, L.-I. On Kudriasov Conditions for Univalence of Integral Operators Defined by Generalized Bessel Functions. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 1361. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10091361>

Academic Editors: Emanuel Guariglia and Jay Jahangiri

Received: 7 March 2022

Accepted: 15 April 2022

Published: 19 April 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Special functions are functions that do not have a formal definition but are still widely used in mathematical analysis, physics, functional analysis and some other branches of applied science. Many elementary functions, such as trigonometric and hyperbolic functions, are also treated as special functions. The theory of special functions has earned the attention of many researchers throughout the nineteenth century and has been involved in many emerging fields. In particular, trigonometric functions have been used in astronomy due to their remarkable properties. In the twentieth century, the application of special functions enriched other branches of mathematics, such as topology, algebra, functional and real analysis and differential equations. Among the most popular and widely studied special functions, Bessel functions have a prominent position due to their applications and remarkable properties. Bessel functions and hypergeometric functions have been used in many emerging fields, such as probability, statistics, mathematics, applied physics and engineering science. Watson summarized all of the characteristics and applications of Bessel functions in his book [1]. This book is very important in the theory of special functions and is considered as a classical text on the asymptotic behavior of Bessel functions and their applications. We refer the reader to [2–6] for more information on generalized Bessel functions.

Special functions in general, and Bessel functions and hypergeometric functions in particular, have a wide variety of applications within the theory of analytic functions. Their

use in the proof of the landmark result “Bieberbach conjecture” in 1986, later known as “De Branges’ theorem” initiated their meaningful involvement in the study of the geometric characteristics of analytic functions. As well as the use of special functions to solve many problems in geometric function theory, the geometric properties of many special functions, such as Bessel functions [7], Mittag Leffler functions [8–10], Dini functions [11–13], Gauss hypergeometric functions [14,15], Struve functions [16,17], Wright functions [18,19] and others, have been studied extensively. In this article, we intended to study the criteria for the univalence of the integral operators that are defined by using Bessel, modified Bessel and spherical Bessel functions.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of analytic functions g in the form:

$$g(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m \zeta^m, \tag{1}$$

in the open unit disk $E = \{\zeta : |\zeta| < 1\}$, where $a_m = \frac{g^{(m)}(0)}{m!}$ is a complex constant, and let \mathcal{S} denote the collection of all univalent functions in \mathcal{A} . Assuming the following second-order differential equation, which is homogeneous:

$$\zeta^2 s''(\zeta) + c\zeta s'(\zeta) + (\eta\zeta^2 - \sigma^2 + (1 - c)\sigma)s(\zeta) = 0, \tag{2}$$

where $c, \eta, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ (see [7] for more details), the generalized Bessel function of the first kind and of order σ is defined as follows:

$$s_{\sigma,c,\eta}(\zeta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\eta)^m (\zeta/2)^{2m+\sigma}}{m! \Gamma(\sigma + m + (c + 1)/2)}, \tag{3}$$

where the notation $\Gamma(\cdot)$ represents the gamma function. The function $s_{\sigma,c,\eta}(\zeta)$ describes certain types of Bessel functions, as follows.

Special Cases

(i) For $c = 1, \eta = 1$, the function that was defined in (3) produces the Bessel function of the first kind and of order σ , which are given by:

$$J_{\sigma}(\zeta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (\zeta/2)^{2m+\sigma}}{m! \Gamma(\sigma + m + 1)}. \tag{4}$$

(ii) For $c = 1, \eta = -1$, the function that was defined in (3) produces the modified Bessel function of the first kind and of order σ , which are given by:

$$I_{\sigma}(\zeta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\zeta/2)^{2m+\sigma}}{m! \Gamma(\sigma + m + 1)}. \tag{5}$$

(iii) For $c = 2, \eta = 1$, the function that was defined in (3) produces the spherical Bessel function of the first kind and of order σ , which are given by:

$$j_{\sigma}(\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\zeta/2)^{2m+\sigma}}{m! \Gamma(\sigma + m + 3/2)}. \tag{6}$$

For more details about these functions, see [1,20].

Deniz et al. [21] studied the generalized Bessel functions that were defined in (3) by discussing the specific geometric properties of the following function:

$$\psi_{\sigma,c,\eta}(\zeta) = 2^{\sigma} \Gamma\left(\sigma + \frac{c+1}{2}\right) \zeta^{1-\sigma/2} w_{\sigma,c,\eta}\left(\zeta^{1/2}\right),$$

where $c, \eta, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$. With the help of the renowned Pochhammer symbol, which is defined as:

$$(\beta)_m = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + m)}{\Gamma(\beta)} = \begin{cases} 1, & m = 0, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \beta(\beta + 1) \dots (\beta + m - 1), & m \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \end{cases}$$

we obtain the following series form of $\psi_{\sigma,c,\eta}(\zeta)$:

$$\psi_{\sigma,c,\eta}(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\eta)^m}{4^m(l)_m m!} \zeta^{m+1}, \tag{7}$$

where $l = \sigma + (c + 1)/2 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$.

For the univalence, convexity, functional inequalities, starlikeness, integral representations, uniform convexity and some other geometric characteristics of $\psi_{\sigma,c,\eta}$, we refer the readers to [7,20,22–28].

A significant area within function theory is the study of integral operators in the class of analytic functions ([29]). Alexander was the first mathematician to introduce and study an integral operator in a family of univalent functions within this area of research. R. Libera, S. Bernardi, S. S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, M. O. Reade, R. Singh, N. N. Pascu and D. Breaz are among the major contributors to the study of the univalence criteria for integral operators. Nowadays, new frontiers for integral operators are designed to stimulate interest among young researchers within the field of geometric function theory. For more information on the integral operators of analytic functions, see references [30–34].

Baricz and Frasin [35] first used a special function (the Bessel function) to introduce a single family integral operator and studied its univalence conditions. This operator was further studied by Frasin [36], Ularu [37] and Arif and Raza [38]. By using generalized Bessel functions, Deniz et al. and Deniz [21,39] studied the univalence and convexity properties of the following integral operators:

$$G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(t)}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} dt \right\}^{1/\beta}, \tag{8}$$

$$G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, m, \mu}(\zeta) = \left\{ (m\mu + 1) \int_0^\zeta \prod_{i=1}^m (\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(t))^\mu dt \right\}^{1/(m\mu+1)}, \tag{9}$$

$$H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m, \mu}(\zeta) = \left\{ \mu \int_0^\zeta t^{\mu-1} \prod_{i=1}^m (\psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(t))^{\delta_i} dt \right\}^{1/\mu}, \tag{10}$$

$$Q_{\sigma, c, \eta, \lambda}(\zeta) = \left\{ \lambda \int_0^\zeta t^{\lambda-1} e^{(\psi_{\sigma, c, \eta}(t))^\lambda} dt \right\}^{1/\lambda}. \tag{11}$$

Recently, some authors have studied the families of one parameter integral operator using certain special functions, such as Mittag–Leffler functions [40], Struve functions [41], Lommel functions [42] and Dini functions [43]. The geometric properties of these one parameter families of integral operators have been extensively studied by various authors. For details, we refer the reader to [44–50].

The main aim of this article is to introduce and study the univalence criteria for integral operators that involve two functions: the generalized Bessel function and the function of normalized analytic functions. These integral operators are defined as follows:

$$G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(t)}{g_i(t)} \right)^{\mu_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta}, \tag{12}$$

$$H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(t)}{g'_i(t)} \right)^{\mu_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta}, \tag{13}$$

and

$$I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(t)}{t} \right)^{\mu_i} (g'_i(t))^{\delta_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta}. \tag{14}$$

To proceed further, we need the following results, which are also helpful in proving our consequent results:

Lemma 1. [51] Let $g \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$\left(\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \right) \left| \frac{\zeta g''(\zeta)}{g'(\zeta)} \right| \leq 1, \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0.$$

Then, for every complex number β , $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v)$ produces the function:

$$G_\beta(\zeta) = \left(\beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} g'(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Lemma 2. [52] Let g be a regular function in E and $g(\zeta) = \zeta + a_2\zeta^2 + \dots$. When:

$$\left| \frac{g''(\zeta)}{g'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \xi, \zeta \in E$$

where $\xi \simeq 3.05$, then g is univalent.

Remark 1. The constant ξ is the solution for:

$$8[y(y-2)^3]^{1/2} - 3(4-y)^2 - 12 = 0.$$

The approximated solution of the above equation is 3.03902118847875. Kudriasov used 3.05 as this approximated value.

Lemma 3. [21] Let $\sigma, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ be restricted so that $l > \max\{0, (|\eta| - 2)/4\}$. Then, the function $\psi_{\sigma, c, \eta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by (6) such that:

$$(i) \quad \left| \frac{\zeta \psi'_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{8(l+1)|\eta|}{32l(l+1) - 8(2l+1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2}, \zeta \in E,$$

$$(ii) \quad \left| \frac{\zeta \psi''_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi'_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right| \leq \frac{4(l+1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2}{8l(l+1) - 2(3l+2)|\eta|}, \zeta \in E.$$

2. Main Results

Our first main result provided sufficient univalence conditions for the integral operators of the type in (12) when the function $g_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and the generalized Bessel function $\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}$ involved some parameters. We used Lemma 3, the univalence criteria for integral operators due to Pascu [51] and the Kudriasov conditions for the univalence of the normalized analytic functions.

Theorem 1. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c \in \mathbb{R}, \mu_i, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $l_i > (|\eta| - 2)/4$ where $l_i = \sigma_i + (c + 1)/2, i = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by:

$$\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta) = 2^{\sigma_i} \Gamma\left(\sigma_i + \frac{c + 1}{2}\right) \zeta^{1 - \sigma_i/2} \psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\sqrt{\zeta}).$$

Suppose $l = \min\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m\}$ and when $g_i \in \mathcal{A}$ with:

$$\left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E,$$

where $\zeta \simeq 3.05$, such that:

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left(5 + \frac{8(l + 1)|\eta|}{32l(l + 1) - 8(2l + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1, \tag{15}$$

when $0 < \operatorname{Re}(v) < 1$ and:

$$\left[\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left(1 + \frac{8(l + 1)|\eta|}{32l(l + 1) - 8(2l + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2} \right) + 4 \right] \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1 \tag{16}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(v) \geq 1$, then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by (12) is in \mathcal{S} .

Proof. Consider:

$$G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta) = \int_0^\zeta \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(t)}{g_i(t)} \right)^{\mu_i} dt. \tag{17}$$

It is clear that $G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(0) = G'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m} - 1 = 0$. So, it follows easily that:

$$\frac{G''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{G'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \left\{ \frac{\psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} - \frac{g'_i(\zeta)}{g_i(\zeta)} \right\}.$$

Therefore, we obtain:

$$\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta G''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{G'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| \leq \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left\{ \left| \frac{\psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right| + \left| \frac{\zeta g'_i(\zeta)}{g_i(\zeta)} \right| \right\}. \tag{18}$$

Now, using Lemma 2, we have $g_i \in \mathcal{S}, i = 1 \dots m$, and:

$$\left| \frac{\zeta g'_i(\zeta)}{g_i(\zeta)} \right| \leq \frac{1 + |\zeta|}{1 - |\zeta|}. \tag{19}$$

By virtue of (18) and (19), we find that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta G''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{G'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| &\leq \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left\{ \left| \frac{\zeta \psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right| + \frac{1 + |\zeta|}{1 - |\zeta|} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left| \frac{\zeta \psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{2}{1 - |\zeta|} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i|. \end{aligned}$$

First, we consider:

$$\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left| \frac{\zeta \psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right|.$$

This implies that:

$$\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left| \frac{\zeta \psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left| \frac{\zeta \psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right|.$$

Using Lemma 3 (i), we have:

$$\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left| \frac{\zeta \psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left\{ 1 + \frac{8(l_i + 1)|\eta|}{32l_i(2l_i + 1) - 8(2l_i + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2} \right\}.$$

Now, we take the function $u : \left(\frac{|\eta|-2}{4}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $u(y) = \frac{8|\eta|(y+1)}{8y(y+1) - 32|\eta|(2y+1) + |\eta|^2}$. It is a decreasing function, therefore:

$$\frac{8(l_i + 1)|\eta|}{32l_i(2l_i + 1) - 8(2l_i + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2} \leq \frac{8(l + 1)|\eta|}{32l(l + 1) - 8(2l + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2}.$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left| \frac{\zeta \psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right| \leq \\ & \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{8(l + 1)|\eta|}{32l(l + 1) - 8(2l + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i|. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Consider:

$$\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{2}{1 - |\zeta|} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i|.$$

For this, we have the following cases:

(1) For $0 < \operatorname{Re}(v) < 1$, the function $\sigma : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by:

$$\sigma(y) = 1 - a^{2y}, \quad y = \operatorname{Re}(v), \quad |\zeta| = a$$

is increasing and:

$$1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)} \leq 1 - |\zeta|^2,$$

therefore:

$$\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{2}{1 - |\zeta|} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \leq \frac{4}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i|. \tag{21}$$

From (20) and (21) and for $0 < \operatorname{Re}(v) < 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta G''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{G'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| \leq \\ & \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left(5 + \frac{8(l + 1)|\eta|}{32l(l + 1) - 8(2l + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i|. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

(2) For $\operatorname{Re}(v) \geq 1$, we define the function $w : [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $w(y) = \frac{1 - a^{2y}}{y}$, $y = \operatorname{Re}(v)$ and $|\zeta| = a$ as a decreasing function and

$$\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \leq 1 - |\zeta|^2,$$

therefore:

$$\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{2}{1 - |\zeta|} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i|. \tag{23}$$

Combining (22) and (23) for $\operatorname{Re}(v) \geq 1$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \right) \left| \frac{\zeta G''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{G'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left(1 + 4\operatorname{Re}(v) + \frac{8(l+1)|\eta|}{32l(l+1) - 8(2l+1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i|. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

From (15), (22), (16) and (24), we obtain:

$$\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta G''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{G'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| < 1.$$

Now, from (17), we have $G'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta) = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(t)}{g_i(t)} \right)^{\mu_i}$. Therefore, using Lemma 1, we can obtain the required result. \square

Our second main result provided sufficient univalence conditions for the integral operators of the type in (13) when the function $g_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and the generalized Bessel function $\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}$ involved some parameters. We used Lemma 3, the univalence criteria for integral operators due to Pascu [51] and the Kudriasov conditions for the univalence of the normalized analytic functions.

Theorem 2. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c \in \mathbb{R}, \mu_i, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $l_i > (|\eta| - 2)/4$ where $l_i = \sigma_i + (c + 1)/2, i = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by:

$$\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta) = 2^{\sigma_i} \Gamma\left(\sigma_i + \frac{c+1}{2}\right) \zeta^{1-\sigma_i/2} \psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\sqrt{\zeta}).$$

Suppose $l = \min\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m\}$ and when $g_i \in A$ with:

$$\left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \xi,$$

for all $\zeta \in E$, where $\xi \simeq 3.05$ and these numbers satisfy the relation:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{4(l+1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2}{8l(l+1) - 2(3l+2)|\eta|} + \frac{2\xi}{(2\operatorname{Re}(v) + 1)^{(2\operatorname{Re}(v)+1)/2\operatorname{Re}(v)}} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1. \tag{25}$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v)$, the function $H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by (13) is in \mathcal{S} .

Proof. Consider the function:

$$H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta) = \int_0^\zeta \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(t)}{g'_i(t)} \right)^{\mu_i} dt.$$

Clearly, $H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m} \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e., $H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(0) = H'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m} - 1 = 0$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that:

$$\frac{H''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{H'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \left\{ \frac{\psi''_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} - \frac{g''_i(\zeta)}{g'_i(\zeta)} \right\}$$

Therefore, we obtain:

$$\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2Re(v)}}{Re(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta H''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{H'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| \leq \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2Re(v)}}{Re(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left\{ \left| \frac{\psi''_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right| + |\zeta| \left| \frac{g''_i(\zeta)}{g'_i(\zeta)} \right| \right\}. \tag{26}$$

This implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2Re(v)}}{Re(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta H''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{H'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| &\leq \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2Re(v)}}{Re(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left| \frac{\zeta \psi''_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2Re(v)}}{Re(v)} |\zeta| \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left| \frac{g''_i(\zeta)}{g'_i(\zeta)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Lemmas 2 and 3 (ii), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2Re(v)}}{Re(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta H''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{H'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| &\leq \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2Re(v)}}{Re(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \frac{4(l_i + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2}{8l_i(l_i + 1) - 2(3l_i + 2)|\eta|} \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2Re(v)}}{Re(v)} |\zeta| \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Define $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j(y) = y(1 - y^{2a})/a$, $y = |\zeta|$, $a = Re(v)$. Then:

$$\max_{y \in [0, 1]} h(y) = \frac{2}{(2a + 1)^{(2a+1)/2a}}.$$

Additionally, define $l : \left(\frac{|\eta|-2}{4}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $l(y) = \frac{4|\eta|(y+1)+|\eta|^2}{8y(y+1)-2|\eta|(3y+2)}$. The function l is decreasing, therefore:

$$\frac{4(l_i + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2}{8l_i(1 + l_i) - 2|\eta|(2 + 3l_i)} \leq \frac{4|\eta|(l + 1) + |\eta|^2}{8l(1 + l) - 2|\eta|(2 + 3l)}.$$

This implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2Re(v)}}{Re(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta H''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{H'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{Re(v)} \frac{4(l + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2}{8l(1 + l) - 2|\eta|(2 + 3l)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \\ &\quad + \frac{2\zeta}{(2Re(v) + 1)^{(2Re(v)+1)/2Re(v)}} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (25) and Lemma 1, we can obtain the required result. \square

Our third main result provided sufficient univalence conditions for the integral operators of the type in (14) when the function $g_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and the generalized Bessel function $\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}$ involved some parameters. We used Lemma 3, the univalence criteria for integral operators due to Pascu [51] and the Kudriasov conditions for the univalence of the normalized analytic functions.

Theorem 3. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu_i, \delta_i, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $l_i > (|\eta| - 2)/4$ where $l_i = \sigma_i + (c + 1)/2$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given as:

$$\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta) = 2^{\sigma_i} \Gamma\left(\sigma_i + \frac{c + 1}{2}\right) \zeta^{1 - \sigma_i/2} \psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\sqrt{\zeta}).$$

Suppose $l = \min\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m\}$ and when $g_i \in A$ with:

$$\left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E$$

where $\zeta \simeq 3.05$, such that:

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{8(l + 1)|\eta|}{32l(l + 1) - 8(2l + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| + \frac{2\zeta}{(1 + 2\operatorname{Re}(v))^{(1 + 2\operatorname{Re}(v))/2\operatorname{Re}(v)}} \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i| < 1. \tag{27}$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by (14) is in \mathcal{S} .

Proof. Consider the function:

$$I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta}(\zeta) = \int_0^\zeta \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(t)}{t} \right)^{\mu_i} (g_i'(t))^{\delta_i} dt. \tag{28}$$

Clearly, $I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta} \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e., $I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta}(0) = I'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta} - 1 = 0$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that:

$$\frac{\zeta I''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{I'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \left(\frac{\psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} - 1 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i \left\{ \frac{\zeta g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right\}.$$

This implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta I''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{I'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ |\mu_i| \left| \frac{\psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} - 1 \right| + |\zeta| |\delta_i| \left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right| \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta I''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{I'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| & \leq \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \left| \frac{\psi'_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(\zeta)} - 1 \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} |\zeta| \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i| \left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Lemmas 2 and 3 (i), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta I''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{I'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| \leq \\ & \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \frac{8(l_i + 1)|\eta|}{32l_i(2l_i + 1) - 8(2l_i + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2} + \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} |\zeta| \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i|. \end{aligned}$$

We also see that:

$$\max_{x \in [0, 1]} h(x) = \frac{2}{(2a + 1)^{(2a + 1)/2a}}$$

and

$$\frac{8(l_i + 1)|\eta|}{32l_i(2l_i + 1) - 8(2l_i + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2} \leq \frac{8(l + 1)|\eta|}{32l(l + 1) - 8(2l + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2}.$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta I''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{I'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{8(l + 1)|\eta|}{32l(l + 1) - 8(2l + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| \\ &+ \frac{2\zeta}{(2\operatorname{Re}(v) + 1)^{(2\operatorname{Re}(v) + 1)/2\operatorname{Re}(v)}} \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i|. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Using (27) and (30), we obtain:

$$\frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{\zeta I''_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)}{I'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(\zeta)} \right| < 1.$$

Now, from (28), it is clear that $I'_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, c, \eta, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta}(\zeta) = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\psi_{\sigma_i, c, \eta}(t)}{t} \right)^{\mu_i} (g'_i(t))^{\delta_i}$. The result can be obtained using Lemma 1. □

3. Applications

Now, we provide some applications for our results in terms of the univalence of integral operators that involve Bessel, modified Bessel and spherical Bessel functions. We also present particular examples for trigonometric and hyperbolic functions.

3.1. Bessel Functions

By choosing $c = 1$ and $\eta = 1$ in (2) and (3), we obtain the Bessel functions of the first kind and of order σ that are defined by (4). Further, we have $\mathcal{J}_{3/2}(\zeta) = \frac{3 \sin \sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{\zeta}} - 3 \cos \sqrt{\zeta}$, $\mathcal{J}_{1/2}(\zeta) = \sqrt{\zeta} \sin \sqrt{\zeta}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{-1/2}(\zeta) = \sqrt{\zeta} \cos \sqrt{\zeta}$.

Corollary 1. Let $\mathcal{J}_\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be introduced as $\mathcal{J}_\sigma(\zeta) = 2^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma + 1) \zeta^{1-\sigma/2} J_\sigma(\sqrt{\zeta})$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m > -1.25$. Additionally consider that $\sigma = \min\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_m , as in Theorem 1, and when $g_i \in \mathcal{A}$ with:

$$\left| \frac{g''_i(\zeta)}{g'_i(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E.$$

These numbers satisfy the relations:

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left(5 + \frac{\sigma + 2}{4\sigma^2 + 10\sigma + 41/8} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1,$$

then, when $0 < \operatorname{Re}(v) < 1$ and for $\operatorname{Re}(v) \geq 1$:

$$\left[\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma + 2}{4\sigma^2 + 10\sigma + 41/8} \right) + 4 \right] \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1.$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\sigma_i}(\zeta)(t)}{g_i(t)} \right)^{\mu_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta},$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

1. In particular, for:

$$\frac{|\mu|}{Re(v)} \frac{960}{233} < 1,$$

when $0 < Re(v) < 1$ and for $Re(v) \geq 1$:

$$\left[\frac{1}{Re(v)} \left(\frac{261}{233} \right) + 4 \right] |\mu| < 1.$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $Re(\beta) \geq Re(v) > 0$, the function $G_{3/2,\mu,\beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$G_{3/2,\mu,\beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{3 \sin \sqrt{t} - 3\sqrt{t} \cos \sqrt{t}}{t\sqrt{t}} \right)^\mu dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

2. For:

$$\frac{|\mu|}{Re(v)} \frac{376}{89} < 1,$$

when $0 < Re(v) < 1$ and for $Re(v) \geq 1$:

$$\left[\frac{1}{Re(v)} \left(\frac{109}{89} \right) + 4 \right] |\mu| < 1.$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $Re(\beta) \geq Re(v) > 0$, the function $G_{1/2,\mu,\beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$G_{1/2,\mu,\beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t} \sin \sqrt{t}}{t} \right)^\mu dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

3. For:

$$\frac{|\mu|}{Re(v)} \frac{16}{3} < 1,$$

when $0 < Re(v) < 1$ and for $Re(v) \geq 1$:

$$\left[\frac{1}{Re(v)} \left(\frac{7}{3} \right) + 4 \right] |\mu| < 1.$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $Re(\beta) \geq Re(v) > 0$, the function $G_{-1/2,\mu,\beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$G_{-1/2,\mu,\beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t} \cos \sqrt{t}}{t} \right)^\mu dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

Corollary 2. Consider $\mathcal{J}_\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be defined as $\mathcal{J}_\sigma(\zeta) = 2^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma + 1) \zeta^{1-\sigma/2} J_\sigma(\sqrt{\zeta})$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m > -1.25$. Additionally consider that $\sigma = \min\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_m , as in Theorem 2, and when $g_i \in A$ with:

$$\left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E.$$

These numbers satisfy the relations:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{4\sigma + 9}{8\sigma^2 + 18\sigma + 6} + \frac{2\zeta}{(2\operatorname{Re}(v) + 1)^{(2\operatorname{Re}(v)+1)/2\operatorname{Re}(v)}} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1.$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}'_{\sigma_i}(t)}{g'_i(t)} \right)^{\mu_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta},$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

1. In particular, when $\frac{5|\mu|}{17\operatorname{Re}(v)} < 1$, then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $H_{3/2, \mu, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$H_{3/2, \mu, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{3t(t-1) \sin \sqrt{t} - 3t\sqrt{t} \cos \sqrt{t}}{2t^2 \sqrt{t}} \right)^\mu dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

2. When $\frac{11|\mu|}{17\operatorname{Re}(v)} < 1$, then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $H_{1/2, \mu, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$H_{1/2, \mu, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{\sin \sqrt{t}}{2\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\cos \sqrt{t}}{2} \right)^\mu dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

Corollary 3. Consider $\mathcal{J}_\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be defined as $\mathcal{J}_\sigma(\zeta) = 2^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma + 1) \zeta^{1-\sigma/2} J_\sigma(\sqrt{\zeta})$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m > -1.25$. Additionally consider that $\sigma = \min\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$, as in Theorem 3, and when $g_i \in \mathcal{A}$ with:

$$\left| \frac{g''_i(\zeta)}{g'_i(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E.$$

These numbers satisfy the relations:

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{\sigma + 2}{4\sigma^2 + 10\sigma + 41/8} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| + \frac{2\zeta}{(2\operatorname{Re}(v) + 1)^{(2\operatorname{Re}(v)+1)/2\operatorname{Re}(v)}} \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i| < 1.$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}'_{\sigma_i}(t)}{t} \right)^{\mu_i} (g'_i(t))^{\delta_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta},$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

1. In particular, when $g \in \mathcal{A}$ with:

$$\left| \frac{g''(\zeta)}{g'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E.$$

$$\frac{4}{3\operatorname{Re}(v)}|\mu| + \frac{2|\delta|\zeta}{(2\operatorname{Re}(v) + 1)^{(2\operatorname{Re}(v)+1)/2\operatorname{Re}(v)}} < 1.$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $I_{-1/2,\mu,\delta,\beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$I_{-1/2,\mu,\delta,\beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{(\sqrt{\zeta} \cos \sqrt{\zeta})'}{t} \right)^\mu (g'(t))^\delta dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

3.2. Modified Bessel Functions

By choosing $c = 1$ and $\eta = -1$ in (2) and (3), we obtain the Modified Bessel functions that are given by (5). We also see that $\mathcal{I}_{3/2}(\zeta) = 3 \cosh \sqrt{\zeta} - \frac{3 \sinh \sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{\zeta}}$, $\mathcal{I}_{1/2}(\zeta) = \sqrt{\zeta} \sinh \sqrt{\zeta}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{-1/2}(\zeta) = \sqrt{\zeta} \cosh \sqrt{\zeta}$.

Corollary 4. Let $\mathcal{I}_\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by $\mathcal{I}_\sigma(\zeta) = 2^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma + 1) \zeta^{1-\sigma/2} I_\sigma(\sqrt{\zeta})$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m > -1.25$. Additionally consider that $\sigma = \min\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_m , as in Theorem 1, and when $g_i \in A$ such that:

$$\left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E.$$

Additionally:

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left(5 + \frac{\sigma + 2}{4\sigma^2 + 10\sigma + 41/8} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1,$$

when $0 < \operatorname{Re}(v) < 1$ and:

$$\left[\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma + 2}{4\sigma^2 + 10\sigma + 41/8} \right) + 4 \right] \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(v) \geq 1$. Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i}(t)}{g_i(t)} \right)^{\mu_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta},$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

1. In particular, for:

$$\frac{|\mu|}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{960}{233} < 1,$$

where $0 < \operatorname{Re}(v) < 1$ and for $\operatorname{Re}(v) \geq 1$:

$$\left[\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left(\frac{261}{233} \right) + 4 \right] |\mu| < 1.$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $G_{3/2,\mu,\beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$G_{3/2,\mu,\beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \left(3 \cosh \sqrt{t} - \frac{3 \sinh \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^\mu dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

Corollary 5. Let $\mathcal{I}_\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given as $\mathcal{I}_\sigma(\zeta) = 2^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma + 1) \zeta^{1-\sigma/2} I_\sigma(\sqrt{\zeta})$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m > -1.25$. Additionally consider that $\sigma = \min\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_m , as in Theorem 2, and when $g_i \in \mathcal{A}$ such that:

$$\left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E.$$

Additionally:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{4\sigma + 9}{8\sigma^2 + 18\sigma + 6} + \frac{2\zeta}{(2\operatorname{Re}(v) + 1)^{(2\operatorname{Re}(v)+1)/2\operatorname{Re}(v)}} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1.$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}'_{\sigma_i}(t)}{g_i'(t)} \right)^{\mu_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta},$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

1. In particular, when $\frac{11}{17\operatorname{Re}(v)} |\mu| < 1$, then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $H_{1/2, \mu, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$H_{1/2, \mu, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{\sinh \sqrt{t}}{2\sqrt{t}} + \frac{\cosh \sqrt{t}}{2} \right)^\mu dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

Corollary 6. Consider $\mathcal{J}_\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be defined as $\mathcal{J}_\sigma(\zeta) = 2^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma + 1) \zeta^{1-\sigma/2} J_\sigma(\sqrt{\zeta})$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m > -1.25$. Additionally consider that $\sigma = \min\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$, as in Theorem 3, and when $g_i \in \mathcal{A}$ with:

$$\left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E.$$

Let:

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{\sigma + 2}{4\sigma^2 + 10\sigma + 41/8} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| + \frac{2\zeta}{(1 + 2\operatorname{Re}(v))^{(1+2\operatorname{Re}(v))/2\operatorname{Re}(v)}} \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i| < 1.$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}'_{\sigma_i}(t)}{t} \right)^{\mu_i} (g_i'(t))^{\delta_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

1. In particular, when $\frac{4|\mu|}{3\operatorname{Re}(v)} < 1$, then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $I_{-1/2, \mu, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$I_{-1/2, \mu, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{\cosh \sqrt{t}}{2\sqrt{t}} + \frac{\sinh \sqrt{t}}{2} \right)^\mu dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

3.3. Spherical Bessel Functions

By choosing $c = 2$ and $\eta = 1$ in (2) and (3), we obtain the function $\sqrt{2}j_\sigma(\zeta)/\sqrt{\pi}$, where j_σ is the spherical Bessel function that is given by (6).

Corollary 7. Let $\mathcal{K}_\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be introduced as $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\zeta) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma + 3/2) \zeta^{1-\sigma/2} j_\sigma(\sqrt{\zeta})$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m > -2.25$. Additionally consider that $\sigma = \min\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_m , as in Theorem 1, and when $g_i \in A$ such that:

$$\left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E.$$

Additionally:

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left(5 + \frac{2\sigma + 5}{8\sigma^2 + 28\sigma + 89/4} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1,$$

when $0 < \operatorname{Re}(v) < 1$ and:

$$\left[\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left(1 + \frac{2\sigma + 5}{8\sigma^2 + 28\sigma + 89/4} \right) + 4 \right] \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1,$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(v) \geq 1$. Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$G_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}_{\sigma_i}(t)}{g_i(t)} \right)^{\mu_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

Corollary 8. Let $\mathcal{K}_\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be introduced as $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\zeta) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma + 3/2) \zeta^{1-\sigma/2} j_\sigma(\sqrt{\zeta})$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m > -2.25$. Additionally, $\sigma = \min\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_m are as in Theorem 2 and when $g_i \in A$ with:

$$\left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E.$$

Let:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \frac{4\sigma + 11}{8\sigma^2 + 26\sigma + 17} + \frac{2\zeta}{(1 + 2\operatorname{Re}(v))^{(1+2\operatorname{Re}(v))/2\operatorname{Re}(v)}} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| < 1$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, the function $H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$H_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}'_{\sigma_i}(t)}{g'_i(t)} \right)^{\mu_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

Corollary 9. Consider $\mathcal{K}_\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be defined as $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\zeta) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma + 3/2) \zeta^{1-\sigma/2} j_\sigma(\sqrt{\zeta})$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m > -2.25$. Additionally consider that $\sigma = \min\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$, as in Theorem 3, and when $g_i \in A$ with:

$$\left| \frac{g_i''(\zeta)}{g_i'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \zeta, \quad \zeta \in E.$$

These numbers satisfy the relations:

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\nu)} \frac{2\sigma + 5}{8\sigma^2 + 28\sigma + 89/4} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| + \frac{2\zeta}{(1 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\nu))^{(1+2\operatorname{Re}(\nu))/2\operatorname{Re}(\nu)}} \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i| < 1.$$

Then, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\nu) > 0$, the function $I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m, \beta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by:

$$I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m, \beta}(\zeta) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^\zeta t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}'_{\sigma_i}(t)}{t} \right)^{\mu_i} (g'_i(t))^{\delta_i} dt \right\}^{1/\beta}$$

is in \mathcal{S} .

The sharp bounds of the the above results can be obtained using the following results. These results were due to [39].

Lemma 4. When $\sigma, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $l > \max\{0, (|\eta|/8 - 1)\}$, then, the function $\psi_{\sigma, c, \eta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by (6) satisfies:

$$\left| \frac{\zeta \psi'_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{8(l + 1)|\eta| + |\eta|^2}{32l(l + 1) - 4(2l + 3)|\eta|}, \quad \zeta \in E,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\zeta \psi''_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi'_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\eta|}{2} \left[\frac{64(l + 1)^2 \{8(l + 2)|\eta|\} + 128(l + 1)(l + 2) - \{8(l + 2) + |\eta|\}|\eta|^2}{2l(l + 1)(8(l + 1) - |\eta|)\{16(l + 1)(2l - |\eta|) - (4l + |\eta|)|\eta|\}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequalities $(l)_m > l(l + \lambda_0)^{m-1}$ and $m! > (1 + \lambda_0)^{m-1}$ for $l > 0$ and $m > 3$. Here, $\lambda_0 \simeq 1.302775637$ is the largest solution for the equation $\lambda^2 + \lambda - 3 = 0$. These results were proved in [20]. By making use of these inequalities, Deniz [39] discussed the following results. Similar results can be proved using the following results:

Lemma 5. When $\sigma, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $l = \sigma_i + (c + 1)/2 > \max\{0, |\eta|/4(1 + \lambda_0) - \lambda_0\}$, then, the function $\psi_{\sigma, c, \eta} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is given by (6) satisfies:

$$\left| \frac{\zeta \psi'_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)} - 1 \right| < \frac{|\eta|(1 + \Phi(l + 1))}{4l(1 + \Phi(l))}, \quad \zeta \in E,$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\zeta \psi''_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)}{\psi'_{\sigma, c, \eta}(\zeta)} \right| \leq \frac{(|\eta|/2l)\{|\eta|/8(l + 1)[1 + \Phi(l + 2)] + 1 + \Phi(l + 1)\}}{(|\eta|/4l)[1 - \Phi(l + 1)] + 1 - \Phi(l)},$$

where:

$$\Phi(l) = -\frac{|\eta|^2}{16(1 + \lambda_0)l(l + \lambda_0)} + \frac{|\eta|^2}{32l(l + 1)} + \frac{|\eta|}{l} \left(\frac{(1 + \lambda_0)(l + \lambda_0)}{4(1 + \lambda_0)(l + \lambda_0) + |\eta|} \right).$$

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we studied the univalence of certain integral operators using generalized Bessel functions. We used the Kudriasov conditions for functions to be univalent in order to derive the univalence criteria for these integral operators. For particular parameters,

we obtained the univalence of the integral operators that are defined by Bessel, modified Bessel and spherical Bessel functions.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.R., M.U.D. and Q.X.; methodology, M.R., M.U.D. and Q.X.; software, S.N.M.; validation, S.N.M. and M.R.; formal analysis, M.R.; investigation, M.R., M.U.D. and Q.X.; resources, S.N.M. and L.-I.C.; data curation, M.R.; writing—original draft preparation, S.N.M. and M.R.; writing—review and editing, S.N.M.; visualization, M.U.D. and L.-I.C.; supervision, M.R.; project administration, M.R., L.-I.C. and S.N.M.; funding acquisition, M.R. and S.N.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge the heads of their institutes for their support and for providing the research facilities.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Watson, G.N. *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, 2nd ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge/London, UK; New York, NY, USA, 1944.
2. Bassuony, M.A.; Abd-Elhameed, W.M.; Doha, E.H.; Youssri, Y.H. A legendre-laguerre-galerkin method for uniform euler-Bernoulli beam equation. *East Asian J. Appl. Math.* **2020**, *8*, 280–295. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Doha, E.H.; Youssri, Y.H. On the connection coefficients and recurrence relations arising from expansions in series of modified generalized Laguerre polynomials: Applications on a semi-infinite domain. *Nonlinear Eng.* **2019**, *8*, 318–327. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Gorenflo, R.; Kilbas, A.A.; Mainardi, F.; Rogosin, S.V. *Mittag-Leffler Functions, Related Topics and Applications*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2014.
5. Paneva-Konovska, J. Convergence of series in three parametric Mittag-Leffler functions. *Math. Slovaca* **2014**, *64*, 73–84. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Shishkina, E.; Sitnik, S. *Transmutations, Singular and Fractional Differential Equations with Applications to Mathematical Physics*; Mathematics in Science and Engineering; Academic Press (Elsevier Science Publishers): New York, NY, USA; London, UK; Toronto, ON, Canada, 2020.
7. Baricz, Á. *Generalized Bessel Functions of the First Kind*; Lecture Notes in Mathematics; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2010; Volume 1994.
8. Bansal, D.; Prajapat, J.K. Certain geometric properties of the Mittag-Leffler functions. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **2016**, *61*, 338–350. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Noreen, S.; Raza, M.; Malik, S.N. Certain geometric properties of Mittag-Leffler functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2019**, 2019, 94. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Srivastava, H.M.; Kumar, A.; Das, S.; Mehrez, K. Geometric properties of a certain class of Mittag-Leffler-type functions. *Fractal Fract.* **2020**, *6*, 54. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Baricz, Á.; Deniz, E.; Yagmur, N. Close-to-convexity of normalized Dini functions. *Math. Nachr.* **2016**, *289*, 1721–1726. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Din, M.U.; Raza, M.; Hussain, S.; Darus, M. Certain geometric properties of generalized Dini Functions. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2018**, *2018*, 2684023. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Khan, B.; Khan, S.; Ro, J.-S.; Araci, S.; Khan, N.; Khan, N. Inclusion Relations for Dini Functions Involving Certain Conic Domains. *Fractal Fract.* **2022**, *6*, 118. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Ponnusamy, S.; Vuorinen, M. Univalence and convexity properties for Gaussian hypergeometric functions. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **2001**, *31*, 327–353. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Ponnusamy, S.; Vuorinen, M. Starlikeness of the Gaussian hypergeometric functions. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **2010**, *55*, 173–184.
16. Orhan, H.; Yagmur, N. Geometric Properties of Generalized Struve Functions. *Ann. Alexandru Ioan Cuza Univ. Math.* **2014**. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Raza, M.; Yagmur, N. Some properties of a class of analytic functions defined by generalized Struve functions. *Turkish J. Math.* **2015**, *39*, 931–944. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Prajapat, J. Certain geometric properties of the Wright function. *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.* **2015**, *26*, 203–212. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Raza, M.; Din, M.U.; Malik, S.N. Certain geometric properties of normalized Wright functions. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2016**, *2016*, 1896154. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Baricz, Á.; Ponnusamy, S. Starlikeness and convexity of generalized Bessel functions. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **2010**, *21*, 641–653. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Deniz, E. Convexity of integral operators involving generalized Bessel functions. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **2013**, *24*, 201–216. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

22. Baricz, Á. Functional inequalities involving special functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2006**, *319*, 450–459. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Baricz, Á. Functional inequalities involving special functions II. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2007**, *327*, 1202–1213. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Baricz, Á. Geometric properties of generalized Bessel functions. *Publ. Math. Debr.* **2008**, *73*, 155–178.
25. Baricz, Á. Some inequalities involving generalized Bessel functions. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2007**, *10*, 827–842. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Selinger, V. Geometric properties of normalized Bessel functions. *Pure Math. Appl.* **1995**, *6*, 273–277.
27. Szász, R. About the starlikeness of Bessel functions. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **2014**, *25*, 750–755. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Szász, R.; Kupán, P. About the univalence of the Bessel functions. *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **2009**, *54*, 127–132.
29. Pescar, V.; Breaz, D. *The Univalence of Integral Operators*; Academic Publishing House: Sofia, Bulgaria, 2008.
30. Breaz, D.; Breaz, N. Two integral operator. *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. Cluj-Napoca* **2002**, *3*, 13–21.
31. Breaz, D.; Guney, H.Ö. The integral operator on the classes $S_{\alpha}^{*}(b)$ and $C_{\alpha}(b)$. *J. Math. Ineq.* **2008**, *2*, 97–100. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Breaz, D.; Owa, S.; Breaz, N. A new integral univalent operator. *Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform.* **2008**, *16*, 11–16.
33. Pescar, V. New univalence criteria for some integral operators. *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **2014**, *59*, 167–176.
34. Pescar, V.; Breaz, N. Kudriasov Type Univalence Criteria for Some Integral Operators. *Abstract Appl. Anal.* **2013**, *2013*, 721932. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Baricz, Á.; Frasin, B.A. Univalence of integral operators involving Bessel functions. *App. Math. Lett.* **2010**, *23*, 371–376. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Frasin, B.A. Sufficient conditions for integral operator defined by Bessel functions. *J. Math. Ineq.* **2010**, *4*, 301–306. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Ularu, N. The univalence of some integral operators using the Bessel functions. *Math. Vasinik* **2013**, *65*, 547–554.
38. Arif, M.; Raza, M. Some properties of an integral operator defined by Bessel functions. *Acta Univ. Apulensis* **2011**, *26*, 69–74.
39. Deniz, E.; Orhan, H.; Srivastava, H.M. Some sufficient conditions for univalence of certain families of integral operators involving generalized Bessel functions. *Taiwan. J. Math.* **2011**, *15*, 883–917.
40. Srivastava, H.M.; Frasin, B.A.; Pescar, V. Univalence of integral operators involving Mittag–Leffler functions. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **2017**, *11*, 635–641. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Din, M.; Srivastava, H.M.; Raza, M. Univalence of integral operators involving generalized Struve functions. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **2018**, *47*, 821–833.
42. Park, J.H.; Srivastava, H.M.; Cho, N.E. Univalence and convexity conditions for certain integral operators associated with the Lommel function of the first kind. *AIMS Math.* **2021**, *6*, 11380–11402. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Din, M.U.; Raza, M.; Deniz, E. Univalence criteria for general integral operators involving normalized Dini functions. *Filomat* **2020**, *34*, 2203–2216. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Raza, M.; Malik, S.N. Properties of multivalent functions associated with integral operator defined by hypergeometric function. *J. Inequ. Appl.* **2013**, *2013*, 458. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Mahmood, S.; Mahroz, S.; Rafiq, A.; Malik, S.N.; Raza, M. Convexity of certain integral operators defined by Struve functions. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2018**, *2018*, 6327132. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Mahmood, S.; Srivastava, H.M.; Malik, S.N.; Raza, M.; Shahzadi, N.; Zainab, S. A certain family of integral operators associated with the Struve Functions. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 463. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Malik, S.N.; Shahzadi, N.; Raza, M.; Ul Haq, W.; Zainab, S. On starlikeness and uniform convexity of certain integral operators defined by Struve functions. *Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *13*, 82–95.
48. Noreen, S.; Din, M.; Raza, M. Convexity of integral operators involving Dini functions. *Int. J. Anal. Appl.* **2018**, *16*, 462–471.
49. Khan, Q.; Arif, M.; Raza, M.; Srivastava, G.; Tang, H.; Rehman, S.U. Some applications of a new integral operator in q -analog for multivalent functions. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 1178. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. El-Deeb, S.M.; Bulboacă, T. differential sandwich-type results for symmetric functions connected with a q -analog integral operator. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 1185. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Pascu, N. An improvement of Becker’s univalence criterion. In *Proceedings of the Commemorative Session: Simion Stoilow (Brasov 1987)*; University of Brasov: Brasov, Romania, 1987; pp. 43–48.
52. Kudryashov, N.S. Onekotorih priznakah odnolistnosti analiticeshkih funktsii. *Mat. Zametki* **1973**, *13*, 359–366.