



Article The Yamaguchi–Noshiro Type of Bi-Univalent Functions Connected with the Linear *q*-Convolution Operator

Daniel Breaz ^{1,†}, Sheza M. El-Deeb ^{2,3,†}, Seher Melike Aydoğan ^{4,†} and Fethiye Müge Sakar ^{5,*,†}

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, "1 Decembrie 1918" University of Alba-Iulia, 510009 Alba Iulia, Romania; dbreaz@uab.ro
- ² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta 34517, Egypt; s.eldeeb@qu.edu.sa
- ³ Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Arts, Al-Badaya, Qassim University, Buraidah 52571, Saudi Arabia
- ⁴ Department of Mathematics, Istanbul Technical University, 34485 Istanbul, Turkey; aydogansm@itu.edu.tr
- ⁵ Department of Management, Dicle University, 21280 Diyarbakir, Turkey
- * Correspondence: muge.sakar@dicle.edu.tr
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: In the present paper, the authors introduce and investigate two new subclasses of the function class \mathcal{B} of bi-univalent analytic functions in an open unit disk \mathcal{U} connected with a linear *q*-convolution operator. The bounds on the coefficients $|c_2|$, $|c_3|$ and $|c_4|$ for the functions in these new subclasses of \mathcal{B} are obtained. Relevant connections of the results presented here with those obtained in earlier work are also pointed out.

Keywords: univalent functions; fractional derivatives; convolution; analytic functions; bi-univalent functions; coefficient bounds

MSC: 30C45; 30C50



Citation: Breaz, D.; El-Deeb, S.M.; Aydoğan, S.M.; Sakar, F.M. The Yamaguchi-Noshiro Type of Bi-Univalent Functions Connected with the Linear *q*-Convolution Operator. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 3363. https://doi.org/10.3390/ math11153363

Academic Editor: Shanhe Wu

Received: 31 May 2023 Revised: 13 July 2023 Accepted: 21 July 2023 Published: 1 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of analytical functions in an open unit disk

$$\mathcal{U} := \{\xi : \xi \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |\xi| < 1\}$$

and assume that Ω is a family of functions $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the normalization conditions (see [1]):

$$\mathbb{F}(0) = \mathbb{F}'(0) - 1 = 0.$$

The functions in Ω are defined by

$$\mathbb{F}(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} c_r \xi^r \quad (\xi \in \mathcal{U}).$$
⁽¹⁾

Assume that Γ denotes the class of all functions in Ω which are univalent in \mathcal{U} . For the functions \mathbb{F} , $\mathbb{H} \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\mathbb{F}(\xi) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} c_r \xi^r$$
 and $\mathbb{H}(\xi) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} d_r \xi^r$ $(\xi \in \mathcal{U}),$

the convolution of $\mathbb F$ and $\mathbb H$ denoted by $\mathbb F*\mathbb H$ is

$$(\mathbb{F} * \mathbb{H})(\xi) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} c_r d_r \xi^r = (\mathbb{H} * \mathbb{F})(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathcal{U}).$$

To start with, we recall the following differential and integral operators.

For 0 < q < 1, El-Deeb et al. [2,3] defined the *q*-convolution operator (see also [4–7]) for $\mathbb{F} * \mathbb{H}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_{q}(\mathbb{F} * \mathbb{H})(\xi) := \mathcal{D}_{q}\left(\xi + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} c_{r} d_{r} \xi^{r}\right)$$
$$= \frac{(\mathbb{F} * \mathbb{H})(\xi) - (\mathbb{F} * \mathbb{H})(q\xi)}{\xi(1-q)} = 1 + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} [r]_{q} c_{r} d_{r} \xi^{r-1}, \ \xi \in \mathcal{U},$$

where

$$[r]_q := \frac{1 - q^r}{1 - q} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} q^j, \qquad [0]_q := 0.$$
⁽²⁾

We used the linear operator $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\delta,q} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ according to El-Deeb et al. [2] (see also [3]) for $\delta > -1$ and 0 < q < 1. If

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\delta,q}\mathbb{F}(\xi)*\mathcal{I}_{q}^{\delta+1}(\xi)=\xi\mathcal{D}_{q}(\mathbb{F}*\mathbb{H})(\xi),\ \xi\in\mathcal{U},$$

where $\mathcal{I}_q^{\delta+1}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_q^{\delta+1}(\xi) := \xi + \sum_{r=2}^\infty rac{[\delta+1]_{q,r-1}}{[r-1]_q!} \xi^r, \; \xi \in \mathcal{U},$$

then

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\delta,q}\mathbb{F}(\xi) := \xi + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{[r]_q!}{[\delta+1]_{q,r-1}} c_r d_r \,\xi^r \quad (\delta > -1, \, 0 < q < 1, \,\xi \in \mathcal{U}).$$
(3)

Using the operator $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\delta,q}$, we define a new operator as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,0}\mathbb{F}(\xi) &= \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\delta,q}\mathbb{F}(\xi) \\ \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,1}\mathbb{F}(\xi) &= \mu\xi^{3}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\delta,q}\mathbb{F}(\xi)\right)^{''} + (1+2\mu)\xi^{2}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\delta,q}\mathbb{F}(\xi)\right)^{''} + \xi\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\delta,q}\mathbb{F}(\xi)\right)^{'} \\ & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{F}(\xi) &= \mu\xi^{3}\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n-1}\mathbb{F}(\xi)\right)^{''} + (1+2\mu)\xi^{2}\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n-1}\mathbb{F}(\xi)\right)^{''} + \xi\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n-1}\mathbb{F}(\xi)\right)^{'} \\ &= \xi + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} r^{2n}(\mu(r-1)+1)^{n} \frac{[r]_{q}!}{[\delta+1]_{q,r-1}} c_{r}d_{r} \xi^{r} \\ &= \xi + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \Theta_{r} c_{r}\xi^{r} \\ &(\delta > -1, \ \mu > 0, \ 0 < q < 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \ \xi \in \mathcal{U}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Theta_r = r^{2n} (\mu(r-1) + 1)^n \frac{[r]_q!}{[\delta + 1]_{q,r-1}} d_r.$$
(5)

From the definition relation (3), we get

(i)
$$[\delta+1]_{q} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{F}(\xi) = [\delta]_{q} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta+1,q,n} \mathbb{F}(\xi) + q^{\delta} \xi \mathcal{D}_{q} \Big(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta+1,q,n} \mathbb{F}(\xi) \Big), \ \zeta \in \mathcal{U};$$
 (6)
(ii) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,n} \mathbb{F}(\xi) := \lim_{q \to 1^{-}} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{F}(\xi)$

$$=\xi + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} r^{2n} (\mu(r-1)+1)^n \frac{r!}{(\delta+1)_{r-1}} d_r c_r \xi^r, \ \xi \in \mathcal{U}.$$
 (7)

Remark 1. We find the following special cases for the operator $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}$ by considering several particular cases for the coefficients d_r and n:

(*i*) Putting $d_r = 1$ and n = 0 into this operator, we obtain the operator QTRcalB^{α}_a defined by Srivastava et al. [8];

(ii) Putting $d_r = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}\Gamma(\rho+1)}{4^{r-1}(r-1)!\Gamma(r+\rho)}$ ($\rho > 0$) and n = 0 in this operator, we obtain the operator $\mathcal{N}^{\mu}_{\rho,q}$ defined by El-Deeb and Bulboacă [9] and El-Deeb [10];

(iii) Putting $d_r = \left(\frac{\tau+1}{\tau+r}\right)^j$ $(j > 0, \tau \ge 0)$ and n = 0 in this operator, we obtain the

operator $\mathcal{M}_{\tau,q}^{\mu,j}$ defined by El-Deeb and Bulboacă [11] and Srivastava and El-Deeb [12]; (iv) Putting $d_r = \frac{\sigma^{r-1}}{(r-1)!}e^{-\sigma}$ ($\sigma > 0$) and n = 0 in this operator, we obtain the q-analogue of Poisson operator $\mathcal{I}_{q}^{\mu,\sigma}$ defined by El-Deeb et al. [2];

(v) Putting $d_r = 1$ in this operator, we obtain the operator QTRcal $B_{\mu}^{\delta,q,n}$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,n}_{\delta,q}\mathcal{F}(\varsigma) = \xi + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} r^{2n} (\delta(r-1)+1)^n \frac{[r]_q!}{[\alpha+1]_{q,r-1}} c_r \,\xi^r \,; \tag{8}$$

(vi) Putting $d_r = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}\Gamma(\rho+1)}{4^{r-1}(r-1)!\Gamma(r+\rho)}$ ($\rho > 0$) in this operator, we obtain the operator $\mathcal{N}^{\alpha,n}_{\delta,\rho,q}$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}^{\alpha,m}_{\delta,\rho,q}\mathcal{F}(\varsigma) = \xi + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} r^{2n} (\delta(r-1)+1)^n \frac{[r]_q!}{[\alpha+1]_{q,r-1}} \frac{(-1)^{r-1} \Gamma(\rho+1)}{4^{r-1}(r-1)! \Gamma(r+\rho)} c_r \xi^r$$

$$= \xi + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \phi_r c_r \xi^r , \qquad (9)$$

where

$$\phi_r = r^{2n} (\delta(r-1)+1)^n \frac{[r]_{q!}}{[\alpha+1]_{q,r-1}} \frac{(-1)^{r-1} \Gamma(\rho+1)}{4^{r-1} (r-1)! \Gamma(r+\rho)};$$
(10)

(vii) Putting $d_r = \left(\frac{\tau+1}{\tau+r}\right)^j$ $(j > 0, \tau \ge 0)$ in this operator, we obtain the operator $\mathcal{M}^{\alpha,n,j}_{\delta,\tau,q}$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}^{\alpha,n,j}_{\delta,\tau,q}\mathcal{F}(\varsigma) = \xi + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} r^{2n} (\delta(r-1)+1)^n \left(\frac{\tau+1}{\tau+r}\right)^j \frac{[r]_q!}{[\alpha+1]_{q,r-1}} c_r \xi^r; \tag{11}$$

(viii) Putting $d_r = \frac{\sigma^{r-1}}{(r-1)!}e^{-\sigma}$ ($\sigma > 0$) in this operator, we obtain the q-analogue of Poisson operator $\mathcal{I}^{\alpha,m}_{\delta,\sigma,q}$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{I}^{\alpha,n}_{\delta,\sigma,q}\mathcal{F}(\varsigma) = \xi + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} r^{2n} (\delta(r-1)+1)^n \frac{[r]_{q!}}{[\alpha+1]_{q,r-1}} \frac{\sigma^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} e^{-\sigma} c_r \xi^r.$$
(12)

The well-known Koebe one-quarter theorem (see [1]) states that any univalent function $\mathbb{F} \in \Omega$ includes a disk with a radius of $\frac{1}{4}$ in its image of \mathcal{U} . For a result, the inverse of \mathbb{F} is a univalent analytic function on the disk with the notation $\mathcal{U}_{\rho} := \{\xi : \xi \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and }$ $|\xi| < \rho; \ \rho \ge \frac{1}{4}$. As a result, there is an inverse function $\mathbb{F}^{-1}(\varpi)$ of $\mathbb{F}(\zeta)$ defined for each function $\mathbb{F}(\xi) = \varpi \in \sigma$ $\mathbb{F}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}(\zeta)) = \zeta \quad (\zeta \in \mathcal{U})$

and

$$\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{F}^{-1}(\varpi)) = \varpi \quad (\varpi \in \mathcal{U}_{\rho})$$

where

$$\mathbb{F}^{-1}(\varpi) = \varpi - c_2 \varpi^2 + (2c_2^2 - c_3) \varpi^3 - (5c_2^3 - 5c_2c_3 + c_4) \varpi^4 + \dots$$
(13)

When both \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}^{-1} are univalent in \mathcal{U} , a function \mathbb{F} is said to be bi-univalent in \mathcal{U} . Let \mathcal{B} denote the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathcal{U} given by (1). The concept of bi-univalent analytic functions was introduced by Lewin [13] in 1967 and he showed that $|c_2| < 1.51$. Subsequently, Brannan and Clunie [14] conjectured that $|c_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$. Netanyahu [15], on the other hand, showed that $\max_{\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{B}} |c_2| = \frac{4}{3}$. The coefficient estimate problem for each of the following Taylor–Maclaurin coefficients:

$$|c_r|$$
 $(r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1,2\})$

is presumably still an open problem.

In [16] (see also [2,10,17–26]), certain subclasses of the bi-univalent analytic functions class \mathcal{B} were introduced and non-sharp estimates on the first two coefficients $|c_2|$ and $|c_3|$ were found. The object of the present paper is to introduce two new subclasses as in Definitions 1 and 2 of the function class \mathcal{B} using the linear *q*-convolution operator and determine estimates of the coefficients $|c_2|$, $|c_3|$ and $|c_4|$ for the functions in these new subclasses of the function class \mathcal{B} .

Definition 1. A function $\mathbb{F}(\xi)$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{H},\mu,m}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \left| \arg \left((1-m) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{F}(\xi)}{\varsigma} + m \left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{F}(\xi) \right)' \right) \right| < \frac{\kappa \pi}{2}$$
(14)

and

$$\left| \arg\left((1-m) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{G}(\varpi)}{\varpi} + m \left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{G}(\varpi) \right)' \right) \right| < \frac{\kappa \pi}{2}$$
(15)

where the function \mathbb{G} is the inverse of \mathbb{F} given in (13), where $0 < \kappa \leq 1, m \geq 1, \xi, \omega \in \mathcal{U}$.

Definition 2. A function \mathbb{F} given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{H},\mu,m}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \Re\left[(1-m) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{F}(\xi)}{\xi} + m \left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{F}(\xi) \right)' \right] > \kappa$$
(16)

and

$$\Re\left[(1-m)\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{G}(\varpi)}{\varpi} + m\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{G}(\varpi)\right)'\right] > \kappa$$
(17)

where the function \mathbb{G} is the inverse of \mathbb{F} given in (13), where $0 < \kappa \leq 1, m \geq 1, \xi, \omega \in \mathcal{U}$.

By fixing m = 1, we define a new subclass of \mathcal{B} due to Noshiro [27].

Definition 3. A function \mathbb{F} given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \left| \arg \left(\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{F}(\xi) \right)' \right) \right| < \frac{\kappa \pi}{2} \quad and \quad \left| \arg \left(\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{G}(\omega) \right)' \right) \right| < \frac{\kappa \pi}{2} \quad (18)$$

where the function \mathbb{G} is the inverse of \mathbb{F} given in (13), where $0 < \kappa \leq 1, m \geq 1, \xi, \omega \in \mathcal{U}$.

Definition 4. A function \mathbb{F} given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{R}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \Re\left[\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{F}(\xi)\right)'\right] > \kappa \quad and \quad \Re\left[\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{G}(\varpi)\right)'\right] > \kappa \tag{19}$$

where the function \mathbb{G} is the inverse of \mathbb{F} given in (13), where $0 < \kappa \leq 1, m \geq 1, \xi, \omega \in \mathcal{U}$.

By fixing m = 0, we define a new subclass of \mathcal{B} due to Yamaguchi [28].

Definition 5. A function \mathbb{F} given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \left| \arg \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{F}(\xi)}{\zeta} \right) \right| < \frac{\kappa \pi}{2} \quad and \quad \left| \arg \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n} \mathbb{G}(\varpi)}{\varpi} \right) \right| < \frac{\kappa \pi}{2} \tag{20}$$

where the function \mathbb{G} is the inverse of \mathbb{F} given in (13), where $0 < \kappa \leq 1, m \geq 1, \xi, \omega \in \mathcal{U}$.

Definition 6. A function \mathbb{F} given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \Re\left[\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{F}(\xi)}{\xi}\right] > \kappa \quad and \quad \Re\left[\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{G}(\varpi)}{\varpi}\right] > \kappa \tag{21}$$

where the function \mathbb{G} is the inverse of \mathbb{F} given in (13), where $0 < \kappa \leq 1, m \geq 1, \xi, \omega \in \mathcal{U}$.

2. Coefficient Bounds

We state and prove our main results. We need the following lemma for our investigation.

Lemma 1 (see [1], p. 41). *Let* \mathcal{P} *be the class of all analytic functions* $\psi(\xi)$ *which has a form as follows*

$$\psi(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} b_r \xi^r$$

satisfying $\Re(\psi(\xi)) > 0$ ($\xi \in U$) and $\psi(0) = 1$, then

$$|b_r| \leq 2 \ (r = 1, 2, 3, ...).$$

This inequality is sharp. In particular, this equality holds for all r for the function

$$\psi(\xi) = \frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi} = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} 2\xi^r.$$

Theorem 1. Let \mathbb{F} given by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{H},\mu,m}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$. Then,

$$|c_2| \le \frac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{2\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_3 + (1-\kappa)(1+m)^2\Theta_2^2}},$$
(22)

$$|c_3| \le \frac{2\kappa}{(1+2m)\Theta_3},\tag{23}$$

and

$$|c_{4}| \leq \frac{2\kappa}{(1+3m)\Theta_{4}} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\kappa)(1+m)\Theta_{2}\{6\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_{3} + (1-2\kappa)(1+m)^{2}\Theta_{2}^{2}\}}{3\{2\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_{3} + (1-\kappa)(1+m)^{2}\Theta_{2}^{2}\}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right],$$

$$where \Theta_{r} \ (r = 2, 3, 4) \text{ is given in (5).}$$

$$(24)$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{H},\mu,m}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$. Hence, by Definition 1, there exist two functions $\varphi(\xi)$ and $\psi(\varpi) \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 1 such that

$$(1-m)\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{F}(\xi)}{\xi} + m\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{F}(\xi)\right)' = [\varphi(\xi)]^{\kappa}$$
(25)

and

$$(1-m)\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{G}(\varpi)}{\varpi} + m\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{G}(\varpi)\right)' = [\psi(\varpi)]^{\kappa}.$$
(26)

Assume that

$$p(\xi) = 1 + x_1 \xi + x_2 \xi^2 + x_3 \xi^3 + \dots$$
(27)

and

$$\psi(\omega) = 1 + y_1 \omega + y_2 \omega^2 + y_3 \omega^3 + \dots$$
 (28)

Equating the coefficients in (25) and (26), we get

$$(1+m)\Theta_2 c_2 = \kappa x_1 \tag{29}$$

$$(1+2m)\Theta_3 c_3 = \kappa x_2 + \frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)}{2} x_1^2$$
(30)

$$(1+3m)\Theta_4 c_4 = \kappa x_3 + \kappa(\kappa-1)x_1x_2 + \frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)(\kappa-2)}{6}x_1^3$$
(31)

and

$$-(1+m)\Theta_2 c_2 = \kappa y_1 \tag{32}$$

$$(1+2m)\Theta_3(2c_2^2-c_3) = \kappa y_2 + \frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)}{2}y_1^2$$
(33)

$$-(1+3m)\Theta_4(5c_2^3-5c_2c_3+c_4) = \kappa y_3 + \kappa(\kappa-1)y_1y_2 + \frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)(\kappa-2)}{6}y_1^3.$$
 (34)

From (29) and (32), we get

$$c_2 = \frac{\kappa x_1}{(1+m)\Theta_2} = -\frac{\kappa y_1}{(1+m)\Theta_2}$$
(35)

which implies

$$x_1 = -y_1$$

Squaring and adding (29) and (32), we get

$$c_2^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{(1+m)^2 \Theta_2^2} (x_1^2 + y_1^2)$$
(36)

Adding (30) and (33), we obtain

$$2(1+2m)\Theta_3 c_2^2 = \kappa(x_2+y_2) + \frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)}{2}(x_1^2+y_1^2).$$
(37)

Substitute the value of c_2 from (35) in (37) and noting that $x_1^2 = y_1^2$, we observe that

$$x_1^2 = \frac{(1+m)^2 \Theta_2^2 (x_2 + y_2)}{2\kappa (1+2m)\Theta_3 + (1-\kappa)(1+m)^2 \Theta_2^2}.$$
(38)

By application of the triangle inequality and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$|x_1| \le \frac{2(1+m)\Theta_2}{\sqrt{2\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_3 + (1-\kappa)(1+m)^2\Theta_2^2}}.$$
(39)

Then, (35) gives

$$|c_2| \le \frac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{2\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_3 + (1-\kappa)(1+m)^2\Theta_2^2}}.$$
 (40)

In order to find the bound on $|c_3|$, subtracting (33) from (30) with $x_1 = -y_1$ gives

$$2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}c_{3} = 2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}c_{2}^{2} + \kappa(x_{2}-y_{2})$$

$$c_{3} = c_{2}^{2} + \frac{\kappa(x_{2}-y_{2})}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}.$$
(41)

Using (35) and (38) in (41), we have

$$2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}c_{3} = \frac{2\kappa^{2}\Theta_{3}(1+2m)}{2\xi(1+2m)\Theta_{3}+(1+n)^{2}\Theta_{2}^{2}(1-\xi)}(x_{2}+y_{2})+\kappa(x_{2}-y_{2})$$

$$= \left[\frac{2\kappa^{2}\Theta_{3}(1+2m)}{2\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_{3}+(1+m)^{2}\Theta_{2}^{2}(1-\kappa)}+\kappa\right]x_{2}$$

$$+ \left[\frac{2\kappa^{2}\Theta_{3}(1+2m)}{2\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_{3}+(1-\kappa)(1+m)^{2}\Theta_{2}^{2}}-\kappa\right]y_{2}$$

$$= \frac{\kappa[\{4\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_{3}+(1-\kappa)(1+m)^{2}\Theta_{2}^{2}\}x_{2}-(1-\kappa)(1+m)^{2}\Theta_{2}^{2}y_{2}]}{2\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_{3}+(1-\kappa)(1+m)^{2}\Theta_{2}^{2}}.$$
(42)

Application of the triangle inequality to (42) gives

$$|c_3| \leq \frac{\kappa \left[\left\{ 4\kappa (1+2m)\Theta_3 + (1-\kappa)(1+m)^2 \Theta_2^2 \right\} x_2 - (1-\kappa)(1+m)^2 \Theta_2^2 y_2 \right]}{2(1+2m)\Theta_3 \left\{ 2\kappa (1+2m)\Theta_3 + (1-\kappa)(1+m)^2 \Theta_2^2 \right\}}.$$

Applying Lemma 1 for the coefficients x_2 and y_2 , we obtain

$$|c_3| \leq \frac{2\kappa}{(1+2m)\Theta_3}$$

To determine the bound on $|c_4|$, by adding (31) and (34) with $x_1 = -y_1$, we obtain

$$-5(1+3m)\Theta_4c_2^3 + 5(1+3m)\Theta_4c_2c_3 = \kappa(x_3+y_3) + \kappa(\kappa-1)x_1(x_2-y_2).$$
(43)

Substitute the values of c_2 and c_3 from (35) and (41) in (43) and simplify, then we obtain

$$x_1(x_2 - y_2) = \frac{2(1 + 2m)(1 + m)\Theta_2\Theta_3}{5\kappa(1 + 3m)\Theta_4 + 2(1 - \kappa)(1 + 2m)(1 + m)\Theta_2\Theta_3}(x_3 + y_3),$$
(44)

subtracting (34) from (31) and using (38), (39), (43) and (44) in the result, we get

$$2c_{4}(1+3m)\Theta_{4} = -5(1+3m)\Theta_{4}c_{2}^{3} + 5(1+3m)c_{2}c_{3}\Theta_{4} + \kappa(x_{3}-y_{3}) + \kappa(\kappa-1)x_{1}(x_{2}+y_{2}) + \frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)(\kappa-2)}{3}x_{1}^{3} = \kappa(x_{3}+y_{3}) + \kappa(\kappa-1)x_{1}(x_{2}-y_{2}) + \kappa(x_{3}-y_{3}) + \kappa(\kappa-1)x_{1}(x_{2}+y_{2}) + \frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)(\kappa-2)}{3}x_{1}^{3} = 2\kappa x_{3} + \frac{2\kappa(\kappa-1)(1+2m)(1+m)\Theta_{2}\Theta_{3}}{5\kappa(1+3m)\Theta_{4} + 2(1-\kappa)(1+2n)(1+n)\Theta_{2}\Theta_{3}}(x_{3}+y_{3})$$
(45)
+ $\kappa(\kappa-1)x_{1}(x_{2}+y_{2}) + \frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)(\kappa-2)(1+m)^{2}\Theta_{2}^{2}}{3\{2\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_{3} + (1-\kappa)(1+m)^{2}\Theta_{2}^{2}\}} = \frac{10\kappa^{2}(1+3m)\Theta_{4} + 2\kappa(1-\kappa)(1+2m)(1+m)\Theta_{2}\Theta_{3}}{5\kappa(1+3m)\Theta_{4} + 2(1-\kappa)(1+2m)(1+m)\Theta_{2}\Theta_{3}}x_{3} - \frac{2\kappa(1-\kappa)(1+2m)(1+m)\Theta_{2}\Theta_{3}}{5(1+3m)\kappa\Theta_{4} + 2(1-\kappa)(1+2m)(1+m)\Theta_{2}\Theta_{3}}y_{3} -\kappa(1-\kappa) \left[\frac{6\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_{3} + (1-\kappa)(1+2m)(1+m)\Theta_{2}\Theta_{3}}{6\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_{3} + (1-\kappa)(1+m)^{2}\Theta_{2}^{2}}\right]x_{1}(x_{2}+y_{2}).$

Applying Lemma 1 with the triangle inequality in (45), we obtain

$$|c_4| \le \frac{2\kappa}{(1+3m)\Theta_4} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\kappa)(1+m)\Theta_2 \left\{ 6\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_3 + (1-2\kappa)(1+m)^2\Theta_2^2 \right\}}{3 \left\{ 2\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_3 + (1-\kappa)(1+m)^2\Theta_2^2 \right\}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right],$$

this completes the proof of Theorem 1. \Box

Putting $q \to 1^-$, $\delta = 1$, n = 0 and $\mathbb{H}(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{1-\xi}$ in Theorem 1.

Example 1. Let \mathbb{F} given by (1) be in the class $\lim_{q \to 1^-} \mathcal{N}^{1,q,0,\kappa}_{\frac{\zeta}{1-\zeta},\mu,m}$, then

$$|c_2^*| \le rac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{2\kappa(1+2m)+(1-\kappa)(1+m)^2}}$$

 $|c_3^*| \le rac{2\kappa}{(1+2m)'}$

and

$$|c_4^*| \le \frac{2\kappa}{(1+3m)} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\kappa)(1+m)\{6\kappa(1+2m) + (1-2\kappa)(1+m)^2\}}{3\{2\kappa(1+2m) + (1-\kappa)(1+m)^2\}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right].$$

Theorem 2. Let \mathbb{F} given by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{H},\mu,m}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$. Then,

$$|c_2| \le \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+2m)\Theta_3}},$$
 (46)

$$|c_3| \le \frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+2m)\Theta_3}$$
(47)

and

$$|c_4| \le \frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+3m)\Theta_4}.$$
 (48)

Proof. Let $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{H},\mu,m}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$, there are two functions $\varphi(\xi)$ and $\psi(\omega) \in \mathcal{P}$ that satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1 such that

$$(1-m)\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{F}(\xi)}{\xi} + m\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{F}(\xi)\right)' = \kappa + (1-\kappa)\varphi(\xi)$$
(49)

and

$$(1-m)\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{G}(\varpi)}{\varpi} + m\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H},\mu}^{\delta,q,n}\mathbb{G}(\varpi)\right)' = \kappa + (1-\kappa)\psi(\varpi),\tag{50}$$

where $\varphi(\xi)$ and $\psi(\omega)$ have the form (27) and (28), respectively. Equating the coefficients in (49) and (50) gives $(1 + w)\Theta_{2} c_{2} = (1 - v)v_{2}$ (51)

$$(1+m)\Theta_2 c_2 = (1-\kappa)x_1$$
(51)

$$(1+2m)\Theta_3 c_3 = (1-\kappa)x_2$$
(52)

$$(1+3m)\Theta_4 c_4 = (1-\kappa)x_3,\tag{53}$$

and

$$-(1+m)\Theta_2 c_2 = (1-\kappa)y_1$$
(54)
$$(1+2m)\Theta_2 (2c_2^2 - c_2) = (1-\kappa)y_2$$
(55)

$$(1+2m)\Theta_3(2c_2^2-c_3) = (1-\kappa)y_2$$
(55)

$$-(1+3m)\Theta_4(5c_2^3-5c_2c_3+c_4) = (1-\kappa)y_3.$$
(56)

From (51) and (54), we obtain

$$c_2 = \frac{1-\kappa}{(1+m)\Theta_2} x_1 = -\frac{1-\kappa}{(1+m)\Theta_2} y_1$$
(57)

which implies

$$x_1 = -y_1.$$

Adding (52) and (55), we obtain

$$2(1+2m)\Theta_3 c_2^2 = (1-\kappa)(x_2+y_2)$$
(58)

$$c_2^2 = \frac{(1-\kappa)}{2(1+2m)\Theta_3}(x_2+y_2).$$
 (59)

Using (57) in (58), we have

$$x_1^2 = \frac{(1+m)^2 \Theta_2^2}{2(1+2m)(1-\kappa)\Theta_3} (x_2 + y_2).$$
(60)

Application of the triangle inequality and Lemma 1 in (60) yields

$$|x_1| \le (1+m)\Theta_2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{(1+2m)(1-\kappa)\Theta_3}}.$$
 (61)

Using (61) in (57) gives

$$|c_2| \le \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+2m)\Theta_3}}.$$
 (62)

Now, subtracting (55) from (52) and using (58), we obtain

$$|c_3| \le \frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+2m)\Theta_3},$$
(63)

which is the direct consequence of (52).

In order to obtain the bounds on $|c_4|$, we proceed as follows:

$$c_4| = \left| \frac{(1-\kappa)x_3}{(1+3m)\Theta_4} \right| \le \frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+3m)\Theta_4}.$$
(64)

On the other hand, subtracting (56) from (53) and using (57), we get

$$c_4 = \frac{1}{2(1+3m)\Theta_4} \left[\frac{-5(1+3m)(1-\kappa)^3\Theta_4}{(1+m)^3\Theta_2^3} x_1^3 + \frac{5(1+3m)(1-\kappa)\Theta_4}{(1+m)\Theta_2} c_3 x_1 + (1-\kappa)(x_3-y_3) \right].$$
(65)

Applying the triangle inequality in (65), we have

$$|c_4| \le \frac{1}{2(1+3m)\Theta_4} \left[\frac{5(1+3m)(1-\kappa)^3\Theta_4}{(1+m)^3\Theta_2^3} |x_1|^3 + \frac{5(1+3m)(1-\kappa)\Theta_4}{(1+m)\Theta_2} |c_3| |x_1| + (1-\kappa)(|x_3|+|y_3|) \right].$$
(66)

Using (61), (63) and Lemma 1 in (66), and after simplification, yields

$$|c_4| \le \frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+3m)\Theta_4} \left[1 + \frac{5(1+3m)}{(1+2m)\Theta_3} \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+2m)\Theta_3}} \right].$$
 (67)

From (64) and (67), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |c_4| &\leq \min\left[\frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+3m)\Theta_4}, \frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+3m)\Theta_4}\left\{1 + \frac{5(1+3m)}{(1+2m)\Theta_3}\sqrt{\frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+2m)\Theta_3}}\right\}\right] \\ &= \frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+3m)\Theta_4}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \Box

3. Fekete-Szegö Inequalities

In this section, we obtain Fekete–Szegö inequalities results [29] (also see Zaprawa [30]) for $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{H},\mu,m}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$ and $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{H},\mu,m}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$,

Theorem 3. For $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, let \mathbb{F} be given by (1) and $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{H},\mu,m}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$, then

$$\left|c_{3}-\rho c_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\kappa}{(1+2m)\Theta_{3}} & ;0 \leq |T(\rho)| \leq \frac{\kappa}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}\\ 4|T(\rho)| & ;|T(\rho)| \geq \frac{\kappa}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}} \end{cases}$$

where

$$T(\rho) = \frac{2\kappa^2(1-\rho)}{4\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_3 - (\kappa-1)(1+m)^2\Theta_2^2}$$

Proof. From (41), we have

$$c_3 - \rho c_2^2 = \frac{\kappa (x_2 - y_2)}{2(1 + 2m)\Theta_3} + (1 - \rho)c_2^2$$
(68)

$$= \frac{\kappa(x_2 - y_2)}{2(1 + 2m)\Theta_3} + \frac{2\kappa^2(1 - \rho)(x_2 + y_2)}{4\kappa(1 + 2m)\Theta_3 - (\kappa - 1)(1 + m)^2\Theta_2^2}$$
(69)

By simple computation, we have

$$c_{3} - \rho c_{2}^{2} = \left(T(\rho) + \frac{\kappa}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}\right)x_{2} + \left(T(\rho) - \frac{\kappa}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}\right)y_{2},$$

where

$$T(\rho) = \frac{2\kappa^2(1-\rho)}{4\kappa(1+2m)\Theta_3 - (\kappa-1)(1+m)^2\Theta_2^2}$$

Thus, by taking the modulus of $c_3 - \rho c_2^2$, we get

$$\left|c_{3}-\rho c_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\kappa}{(1+2m)\Theta_{3}} & ;0 \leq |T(\rho)| \leq \frac{\kappa}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}},\\ 4|T(\rho)| & ;|T(\rho)| \geq \frac{\kappa}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4. For $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, let \mathbb{F} be given by (1) and $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{H},\mu,m}^{\delta,q,n,\kappa}$, then

$$\left|c_{3}-\rho c_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{(1-\kappa)|2-\rho|}{(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}\left[1+\frac{\rho}{|2-\rho|}\right].$$

Proof. Subtracting (55) from (52), we obtain

$$c_3 = \frac{(1-\kappa)(x_2 - y_2)}{2(1+2m)\Theta_3} + c_2^2,$$
(70)

and using (59), we get

$$c_{3} - \rho c_{2}^{2} = \frac{(1-\kappa)(x_{2}-y_{2})}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}} + (1-\rho)c_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{(1-\kappa)(x_{2}-y_{2})}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}} + \frac{(1-\kappa)(1-\rho)}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}(x_{2}+y_{2}).$$
(71)

Then,

$$c_{3} - \rho c_{2}^{2} = \left(\frac{(1-\kappa)(1-\rho)}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}} + \frac{1-\kappa}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}\right)x_{2} + \left(\frac{(1-\kappa)(1-\rho)}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}} - \frac{1-\kappa}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}\right)y_{2},$$

$$= \frac{(1-\kappa)}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}((1-\rho)+1)x_{2} + \frac{(1-\kappa)}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}((1-\rho)-1)y_{2},$$

$$= \frac{(1-\kappa)}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}[(2-\rho)x_{2}-\rho y_{2}],$$

$$= \frac{(1-\kappa)(2-\rho)}{2(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}\left[x_{2} - \frac{\rho}{2-\rho}y_{2}\right].$$
(72)

By taking the modulus of (72), we have

$$\left|c_{3}-\rho c_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{(1-\kappa)|2-\rho|}{(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}\left[1+\frac{\rho}{|2-\rho|}\right]$$

In particular, $\rho = 1$, then we obtain

$$\left|c_{3}-c_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\kappa)}{(1+2m)\Theta_{3}}.$$

4. Conclusions

Geometric function theory is one of the most exciting areas of research in complex analysis. We investigated a unified subclass of bi-univalent functions of the Yamaguchi–Noshiro type combined with the linear *q*-convolution operator. For the functions in this new class, we obtained nonsharp bounds for the initial coefficients and the Fekete–Szegö inequalities. We also considered several interesting corollaries and applications of the results by suitably fixing the parameters, as illustrated in Remark 1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.B., S.M.E.-D., F.M.S. and S.M.A.; methodology, S.M.E.-D. and F.M.S.; software, D.B.; validation, D.B.; formal analysis, S.M.E.-D., F.M.S. and S.M.A.; investigation, S.M.E.-D.; resources, S.M.A.; data curation, D.B.; writing—original draft, S.M.E.-D.; writing—review and editing, F.M.S.; supervision, F.M.S.; project administration, S.M.E.-D. and F.M.S.; funding acquisition, S.M.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Acknowledgments: The work presented here was supported by Istanbul Technical University Scientific Research Project Coordination Unit. Project Number: TGA-2022-44048.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Duren, P.L. Univalent Functions. In *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259*; Springer: New York, NY, USA; Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; Tokyo, Japan, 1983.
- 2. El-Deeb, S.M.; Bulboacă, T.; El-Matary, B.M. Maclaurin Coefficient estimates of bi-univalent functions connected with the *q*-derivative. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 418. [CrossRef]
- 3. Srivastava, H.M.; El-Deeb, S.M. The Faber polynomial expansion method and the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficient estimates of bi-close-to-convex functions connected with the *q*-convolution. *AIMS Math.* **2020**, *5*, 7087–7106. [CrossRef]
- 4. Hadi, S.H.; Darus, M.; Ghanim, F.; Lupaş, A.A. Sandwich-type theorems for a family of non-Bazilevič functions involving a *q*-analog integral operator. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 2479. [CrossRef]
- 5. Hadi, S.H.; Darus, M. A class of harmonic (*p*,*q*)-starlike functions involving a generalized (*p*,*q*)-Bernardi integral operator. *Probl. Anal. Issues Anal.* **2023**, *12*, 17–36. [CrossRef]
- 6. Jackson, F.H. On *q*-functions and a certain difference operator. *Trans. R. Soc. Edinb.* **1909**, *46*, 253–281. [CrossRef]
- 7. Jackson, F.H. On q-definite integrals. Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 1910, 41, 193–203.
- Srivastava, H.M.; Khan, S.; Ahmad, Q.Z.; Khan, N.; Hussain, S. The Faber polynomial expansion method and its application to the general coefficient problem for some subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with a certain *q*-integral operator. *Stud. Univ. Babeş -Bolyai Math.* 2018, 63, 419–436. [CrossRef]
- 9. El-Deeb, S.M.; Bulboacă, T. Fekete-Szegő, inequalities for certain class of analytic functions connected with *q* -anlogue of Bessel function. *J. Egypt. Math. Soc.* **2019**, *27*, 42. [CrossRef]
- 10. El-Deeb, S.M. Maclaurin coefficient estimates for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions connected with a *q*-analogue of Bessel function. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2020, 2020, 8368951. [CrossRef]
- 11. El-Deeb, S.M.; Bulboacă, T. Differential sandwich-type results for symmetric functions connected with a *q*-analog integral operator. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 1185. [CrossRef]
- 12. Srivastava, H.M.; El-Deeb, S.M. A certain class of analytic functions of complex order connected with a *q*-analogue of integral operators. *Miskolc Math. Notes* **2020**, *21*, 417–433. [CrossRef]
- 13. Lewin, M. On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 1967, 18, 63-68. [CrossRef]

- Brannan, D.A.; Clunie, J.G. Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis. In Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute Held at the University of Durham, Durham, UK, 1–20 July 1979; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA; London, UK, 1980.
- 15. Netanyahu, E. The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in |z| < 1. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **1969**, *32*, 100–112.
- 16. Brannan, D.A.; Clunie, J.; Kirwan, W.E. Coefficient estimates for a class of star-like functions. *Canad. J. Math.* **1970**, *22*, 476–485. [CrossRef]
- 17. Akgül, A.; Sakar, F.M. A certain subclass of bi-univalent analytic functions introduced by means of the q-analogue of Noor integral operator and Horadam polynomials. *Turk. J. Math.* **2019**, *43*, 2275–2286. [CrossRef]
- 18. Akgül, A.; Sakar, F.M. A new characterization of (P, Q)-Lucas polynomial coefficients of the bi-univalent function class associated with q-analogue of Noor integral operator. *Afr. Mat.* **2022**, *33*, 87. [CrossRef]
- 19. El-Deeb, S.M.; El-Matary, B.M. Subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with *q*-confluent hypergeometric distribution based upon the Horadam polynomials. *Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **2021**, *5*, 82–93.
- 20. Frasin, B.A.; Aouf, M.K. New subclasses of bi-univalent functions. Appl. Math. Lett. 2011, 24, 1569–1973. [CrossRef]
- 21. Hadi, S.H.; Darus, M.; Bulboacă, T. Bi-univalent functions of order *U3b6* connected with (*m*, *n*)-Lucas polynomials. *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* **2023**, *31*, 433–447. [CrossRef]
- 22. Lupaş, A.A.; El-Deeb, S.M. Subclasses of bi-univalent functions connected with integral operator based upon Lucas polynomial. Symmetry 2022, 14, 622. [CrossRef]
- 23. Magesh, N.; El-Deeb, S.M.; Themangani, R. Classes of bi-univalent functions defined by convolution. *South East Asian J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2020**, *16*, 1–15.
- 24. Srivastava, H.M.; Mishra, A.K.; Gochhayat, P. Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2010, 23, 1188–1192. [CrossRef]
- 25. Taha, T.S. Topics in Univalent Function Theory. Ph.D. Thesis, University of London, London, UK, 1981.
- Xu, Q.-H.; Gui, Y.-C.; Srivastava, H.M. Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2012, 25, 990–994. [CrossRef]
- 27. Noshiro, K. On the theory of schlicht functions. J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. 1934, 2, 129–155. [CrossRef]
- 28. Yamaguchi, K. On functions satisfying $\Re f(z)/z > 0$. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **1966**, 17, 588–591.
- 29. Fekete, M.; Szegö, G. Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Functionen. J. Lond. Math. Soc. 1933, 8, 85–89. [CrossRef]
- 30. Zaprawa, P. On the Fekete-Szegö problem for classes of bi-univalent functions. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* 2014, 21, 169–178. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.