


# Additive Results of Group Inverses in Banach Algebras

Dayong Liu <sup>1</sup>  and Huanyin Chen <sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> College of Computer and Mathematics, Central South University of Forestry and Technology, Changsha 410004, China; liudy@csuft.edu.cn

<sup>2</sup> School of Big Data, Fuzhou University of International Studies and Trade, Fuzhou 350202, China

\* Correspondence: huanyinchenfz@163.com

**Abstract:** In this paper, we present new presentations of group inverses for the sum of two group invertible elements in a Banach algebra. We then apply these results to block complex matrices. The group invertibility of certain block complex matrices is thereby obtained.

**Keywords:** group inverse; block complex matrix; Banach algebra; Banach space

**MSC:** 15A09; 47L10

## 1. Introduction

A Banach algebra is an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , with an identity  $e$ , which has a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , making it into a Banach space and satisfying  $\|e\| = 1$ ,  $\|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|$ , where  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $X$  be a Banach space, then  $L(X)$ , the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$ , is a Banach algebra with respect to the usual operator norm. The identity operator  $I$  is its unit elements.  $L(X)$  is noncommutative when  $\dim(X) > 1$ . An element  $a$  in a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  has a group inverse, provided that there exists  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $a = aba, b = bab$  and  $ab = ba$ . Such a  $b$  is unique if exists, denoted by  $a^\#$ , and is called the group inverse of  $a$ . In view of  $(aa^\#)^2 = (aa^\#)a^\# = aa^\#, aa^\#$  is an idempotent of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The symbol  $\mathcal{A}^\#$  denotes the set of all group invertible elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For some examples related to the group inverse in Banach algebras see [1]. As is well known, a square complex matrix  $A$  has a group inverse if and only if  $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^2)$ . The group invertibility in a ring is attractive. It has interesting applications of resistance distances to the bipartiteness of graphs (see [2,3]). Recently, the group inverse in a Banach algebra or a ring was extensively studied by many authors, e.g., [4–10]. In [11], Theorem 2.3, Liu et al. presented the group inverse of the combinations of two group invertible complex matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  under the condition  $PQQ^\# = QPP^\#$ . In Theorem 3.1 of [12], Zhou et al. investigated the group inverse of  $a + b$  under the condition  $abb^\# = baa^\#$  in a Dedekind finite ring in which 2 is invertible. Group inverse is very useful, for example, in solving singular differential and difference equations formulated over a Banach space  $X$  [13]. In fact, since the structure of the Banach space is mainly considered, we can regard the operators on Banach space  $X$  as an element of the Banach algebra  $L(X)$  of all bounded linear operators on a complex Banach space  $X$ . The motivation of this paper is to extend the preceding results to a general setting for Banach algebras.

In Section 2, we present the group inverse for the sum of two group invertible elements in a Banach algebra. Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^\#$ . If  $abb^\# = \lambda baa^\#$ , then  $a + b \in \mathcal{A}^\#$ . The representation of its group inverse is also given. In Section 3, we apply our results and investigate the group inverse of a block complex matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . This problem is quite complicated, and was extensively studied by many authors. As applications, the group invertibility of



**Citation:** Liu D.; Chen H. Additive Results of Group Inverses in Banach Algebras. *Mathematics* **2024**, *12*, 2042. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math12132042>

Received: 28 April 2024

Revised: 22 June 2024

Accepted: 26 June 2024

Published: 30 June 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

certain block complex matrices  $M$  is thereby obtained. Additionally, this paper extends the results obtained in Theorem 2.3 of [11], and Theorem 3.1 of [12].

Throughout the paper, all Banach algebras are complex with an identity. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the Banach algebra. We use  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$  to denote the set of all invertible elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\lambda$  always stands for a complex number.  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  stand for the set of all complex  $m \times n$  matrices.

**2. Main Results**

Let  $S = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be a complete set of idempotents in  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e.,  $e_i e_j = 0 (i \neq j)$ ,  $e_i^2 = e_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i = 1$ . Then, we have  $a = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_i a e_j$ . We write  $a$  as the matrix form  $a = (a_{ij})_S$ , where  $a_{ij} = e_i a e_j \in e_i \mathcal{A} e_j$ , and call it the Peirce matrix of  $a$  relatively to  $S$ . We shall use this new technique with relative Peirce matrices and generalize Theorem 2.3 of [11] and Theorem 3.1 of [12] as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^\#, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $abb^\# = \lambda baa^\#$ ; then,  $a + b \in \mathcal{A}^\#$ . In this case,*

$$(a + b)^\# = \begin{cases} (a + b)(a^\# + b^\#)^2 & , \lambda = -1, \\ \frac{1}{1+\lambda}[a^\# + b^\# - a^\# b b^\#] + \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}[b^\# a^\# + a^\# b^\#] & , \lambda \neq -1. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let  $p = aa^\#$ . Write

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}_p, bb^\# = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}_p.$$

Then,

$$abb^\# = \begin{pmatrix} ax_1 & ax_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_p, baa^\# = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ b_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_p.$$

Since  $abb^\# = \lambda baa^\#$ , we have

$$ax_1 = \lambda b_1, x_2 = 0, b_3 = 0.$$

Then,

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}_p.$$

Moreover, we have

$$b^\# = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^\# & z \\ 0 & b_4^\# \end{pmatrix}_p$$

for some  $z \in \mathcal{A}$ . This implies that

$$x_1 = b_1 b_1^\#, x_3 = 0, x_4 = b_4 b_4^\#.$$

Therefore,

$$bb^\# = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 b_1^\# & 0 \\ 0 & b_4 b_4^\# \end{pmatrix}_p.$$

Since  $b = (bb^\#)b = b(bb^\#)$ , we see that

$$b_2 = (b_1 b_1^\#)b_2 = b_2(b_4 b_4^\#).$$

Then,

$$b_2 = (b_1 b_1^\#)b_2(b_4 b_4^\#).$$

Let  $e_1 = b_1b_1^\#, e_2 = aa^\# - b_1b_1^\#, e_3 = b_4b_4^\#, e_4 = a^\pi - b_4b_4^\#$ . Since  $a, e_1 \in aa^\#Aaa^\#$ , we write

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}_{e_1} \in aa^\#Aaa^\#.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= x_1ax_1 = \lambda x_1b_1 = \lambda b_1b_1^\#b_1 = \lambda b_1, \\ a_3 &= (aa^\# - e_1)ax_1 = ax_1 - \lambda b_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we see that

$$a_1, a_4 \in (aa^\#Aaa^\#)^{-1}.$$

Since  $S = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  is a complete set of idempotents in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have two Peirce matrices of  $a$  and  $b$  relative to  $S$ :

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S,$$

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S.$$

Then,

$$a + b = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \lambda)b_1 & a_2 & b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S.$$

One directly checks that

$$a^\# = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{-1} & -a_1^{-1}a_2a_4^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_4^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S,$$

$$b^\# = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{-1} & 0 & -b_1^{-1}b_2b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S.$$

Then,

$$aa^\# = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S, \quad bb^\# = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S.$$

Case 1.  $\lambda = -1$ . Then,

$$a + b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2 & b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S,$$

$$(a + b)^\# = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2(a_4)^{-2} & b_2(b_4)^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & (a_4)^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (b_4)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S.$$

Since  $a_1^{-1} + b_1^{-1} = a^{-1}(b_1 + a_1)b_1^{-1} = a^{-1}(1 + \lambda)b_1b_1^{-1} = 0$ , we see that

$$a^\# + b^\# = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_1^{-1}a_2a_4^{-1} & -b_1^{-1}b_2b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_4^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + b)(a^\# + b^\#)^2 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2 & b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_1^{-1}a_2a_4^{-1} & -b_1^{-1}b_2b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_4^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S^2 \\ &= (a + b)^\#, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Case 2.  $\lambda \neq -1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + b)^\# \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \lambda)^{-1}b_1^{-1} & -(1 + \lambda)^{-1}b_1^{-1}a_2a_4^{-1} & -(1 + \lambda)^{-1}b_1^{-1}b_2b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_4^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S \\ &= (1 + \lambda)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{-1} & -b_1^{-1}a_2a_4^{-1} & -b_1^{-1}b_2b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_4^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S. \end{aligned}$$

We compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a^\# + b^\# - a^\#bb^\# \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{-1} & -a_1^{-1}a_2a_4^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_4^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S + \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{-1} & 0 & -b_1^{-1}b_2b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S \\
 &\quad - \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{-1} & -a_1^{-1}a_2a_4^{-1} & -b_1^{-1}b_2b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_4^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S, \\
 & b^\pi a^\# = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_4^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S, \quad a^\pi b^\# = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{1+\lambda}[a^\# + b^\# - a^\#bb^\#] + \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}[b^\pi a^\# + a^\pi b^\#] \\
 &= (1+\lambda)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{-1} & -b_1^{-1}a_2a_4^{-1} & -b_1^{-1}b_2b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S \\
 &\quad + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_4^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(a + b)^\# = \frac{1}{1+\lambda}[a^\# + b^\# - a^\#bb^\#] + \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}[b^\pi a^\# + a^\pi b^\#],$$

as asserted.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^\#, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $aa^\#b = \lambda bb^\#a$ , then  $a + b \in \mathcal{A}^\#$ . In this case,

$$(a + b)^\# = \begin{cases} (a^\# + b^\#)^2(a + b) & , \quad \lambda = -1, \\ \frac{1}{1+\lambda}[a^\# + b^\# - aa^\#b^\#] + \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}[a^\#b^\pi + b^\#a^\pi] & , \quad \lambda \neq -1. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let  $(R, *)$  be the opposite ring of  $R$ . That is, it is a ring with the multiplication  $a * b = b \cdot a$ . Applying Theorem 1 to the opposite ring  $(R, *)$  of  $R$ , we obtain the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  be idempotents, and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $ab = \lambda ba$ , then  $a + b \in \mathcal{A}^\#$ . In this case,

$$(a + b)^\# = \begin{cases} (a + b)^3 & , \lambda = -1, \\ a + b - \frac{2 + \lambda}{1 + \lambda} ab & , \lambda \neq -1. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Since  $a$  and  $b$  are idempotents, we have

$$aa^\#b = ab = \lambda ba = \lambda bb^\#a.$$

Therefore, we establish the result by Corollary 2.2 [8].  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^\#, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $abb^\# = \lambda b(\lambda \neq -1)$ , then  $a + b \in \mathcal{A}^\#$ . In this case,

$$(a + b)^\# = (1 + \lambda)^{-1}b^\# + b^\pi a^\# b^\pi + \lambda(1 + \lambda)^{-2}b^\#aa^\#b^\pi - (1 + \lambda)^{-1}b^\#ab^\pi a^\#b^\pi.$$

**Proof.** Let  $p = bb^\#$ . Write  $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}_p, b = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_p$ . Then,  $a_1 = bb^\#abb^\# = \lambda bb^\#b = \lambda b$  and  $a_3 = (1 - bb^\#)abb^\# = \lambda(1 - bb^\#)b = 0$ . Hence,

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda b & a_2 \\ 0 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}_p, \quad a + b = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \lambda)b & a_2 \\ 0 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}_p.$$

Obviously,  $a_4 = (1 - bb^\#)a(1 - bb^\#) = b^\pi a$ . We easily check that  $a_4^\# = b^\pi a^\# b^\pi$  and  $a_4^\pi = 1 - b^\pi ab^\pi a^\# b^\pi = 1 - b^\pi aa^\# b^\pi$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(1 + \lambda)b]^\pi a_2 a_4^\pi &= b^\pi b b^\# a_4^\# \\ &= b^\pi b b^\# b^\pi a^\# b^\pi \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 2.1 of [8],  $a + b \in \mathcal{A}^\#$ . Further, we have

$$(a + b)^\# = \begin{pmatrix} [(1 + \lambda)b]^\# & z \\ 0 & a_4^\# \end{pmatrix}_p,$$

where  $z = [(1 + \lambda)^{-1}b^\#]^2 a_2 a_4^\pi - (1 + \lambda)^{-1}b^\# a_2 a_4^\#$ . We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 a_4^\pi &= bb^\# ab^\pi [1 - b^\pi aa^\# b^\pi] \\ &= bb^\# ab^\pi a^\pi b^\pi \\ &= bb^\# abb^\# a^\pi b^\pi \\ &= \lambda ba a^\# b^\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b)^\# &= (1 + \lambda)^{-1}b^\# + b^\pi a^\# b^\pi \\ &\quad + [(1 + \lambda)^{-1}b^\#]^2 a_2 a_4^\pi - (1 + \lambda)^{-1}b^\# a_2 a_4^\# \\ &= (1 + \lambda)^{-1}b^\# + b^\pi a^\# b^\pi \\ &\quad + \lambda(1 + \lambda)^{-2}b^\#aa^\#b^\pi - (1 + \lambda)^{-1}b^\#ab^\pi a^\#b^\pi, \end{aligned}$$

as asserted.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^\#, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $aa^\#b = \lambda a(\lambda \neq -1)$ , then  $a + b \in \mathcal{A}^\#$ . In this case,

$$(a + b)^\# = (1 + \lambda)^{-1}a^\# + a^\pi b^\# a^\pi + \lambda(1 + \lambda)^{-2}a^\pi b b^\# a^\# - (1 + \lambda)^{-1}a^\pi b^\# a^\pi b a^\#.$$

**Proof.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, *)$  be the opposite algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . By applying Theorem 2 to elements  $b, a$  in this opposite ring, we obtain the result.  $\square$

We demonstrate Theorem 2 by the following numerical example.

**Example 1.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ . Then,  $A$  and  $B$  have group inverses and  $ABB^\# = -2B$ . Since  $A^\# = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B^\# = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . By using Theorem 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (A + B)^\# &= -B^\# + B^\pi A^\# B^\pi - 2B^\# A A^\# B^\pi + B^\# A B^\pi A^\# B^\pi \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Applications

The aim of this section is to present the group invertibility of the block matrix  $M$  by using our main results. We are ready to prove the following.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $A$  and  $D$  have group inverses. If  $A^\pi B = 0, D^\pi C = 0, ACD^\# = \lambda C$  and  $BCD^\# = \lambda D$ , then  $M$  has a group inverse.

**Proof.** Write  $M = P + Q$ , where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $A^\pi B = 0, D^\pi C = 0$ , it follows by Theorem 3.4 of [4] that  $P$  and  $Q$  have group inverses. Moreover, we obtain

$$Q^\# = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C(D^\#)^2 \\ 0 & D^\# \end{pmatrix}.$$

We easily check that

$$\begin{aligned} PQQ^\# &= \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C(D^\#)^2 \\ 0 & D^\# \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & CD^\# \\ 0 & D^\# \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ACD^\# \\ 0 & BCD^\# \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \lambda Q. \end{aligned}$$

In light of Theorem 2,  $M = P + Q$  has a group inverse, as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** Let  $A$  and  $D$  have group inverses. If  $CD^\pi = 0, BA^\pi = 0, A^\#BD = \lambda B$  and  $A^\#BC = \lambda A$ , then  $M$  has a group inverse.

**Proof.** Applying Theorem 3 to the block matrix

$$M^T = \begin{pmatrix} D^T & B^T \\ C^T & A^T \end{pmatrix},$$

we prove that  $M^T$  has a group inverse. Therefore, we easily check that  $M = (M^T)^T$  has a group inverse, as asserted.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $A$  and  $D$  have group inverses. If  $A^\pi C = 0, D^\pi B = 0, A^\#AB = \lambda A$  and  $A^\#AD = \lambda C$ , then  $M$  has a group inverse.

**Proof.** Write  $M = P + Q$ , where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $A^\pi C = 0, D^\pi B = 0$ , by using Theorem 3.4 of [4], we see that  $P$  and  $Q$  have group inverses. Moreover, we obtain

$$P^\# = \begin{pmatrix} A^\# & (A^\#)^2 A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} PP^\#Q &= \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^\# & (A^\#)^2 A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & D \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} AA^\# & A^\#A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & D \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A^\#AB & A^\#AD \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \lambda \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \lambda P. \end{aligned}$$

In light of Corollary 3,  $M = P + Q$  has a group inverse, as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** Let  $A$  and  $D$  have group inverses. If  $BD^\pi = 0, CA^\pi = 0, CDD^\# = \lambda D$  and  $ADD^\# = \lambda B$ , then  $M$  has a group inverse.

**Proof.** Applying Theorem 4 to the block matrix

$$M^T = \begin{pmatrix} D^T & B^T \\ C^T & A^T \end{pmatrix},$$

we easily obtain the result as in Corollary 4.  $\square$

It is convenient at this stage to prove the following.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be idempotents and  $\text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(C) = \text{rank}(BC) = \text{rank}(CB)$ . If  $AD = \lambda AC, A(I - CB) = 0$  and  $DBA^\pi C = 0$ , then  $M$  has a group inverse.



**Proof.** Since  $r(B) = r(C) = r(BC) = r(CB)$ , it follows by Lemma 2.3 of [14] that  $BC$  and  $CB$  have group inverses. Let  $K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $K^2 = \begin{pmatrix} CB & 0 \\ 0 & BC \end{pmatrix}$ . By hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(K^2) &= \text{rank}(CB) + \text{rank}(BC) \\ &= \text{rank}(C) + \text{rank}(B) \\ &= \text{rank}(K). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $K$  has a group inverse.

Write  $Q := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^\pi C \\ D^\pi B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, we have

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} A^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & D^\pi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By hypothesis, we see that

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & D^\pi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,  $N$  has a group inverse, and

$$Q^\# = \begin{pmatrix} A^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & D^\pi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C(BC)^\# \\ B(CB)^\# & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $P = \begin{pmatrix} A & AC \\ DB & D \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $M = P + Q$ . Clearly,  $A^\#A(DB) = ADB = \lambda A$ ,  $A^\#AD = AD = \lambda AC$ ,  $A^\pi(AC) = 0$  and  $D^\pi(DB) = 0$ . In light of Theorem 4,  $P$  has a group inverse. Since  $ACD^\pi B = 0$ ,  $DBA^\pi C = 0$ , we check that

$$\begin{aligned} PQ &= \begin{pmatrix} A & AC \\ DB & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^\pi C \\ D^\pi B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 2.1 of [4],  $M$  has a group inverse, as asserted.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be idempotents and  $\text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(C) = \text{rank}(BC) = \text{rank}(CB)$ . If  $AD = \lambda BD$ ,  $(I - CB)D = 0$  and  $BD^\pi CA = 0$ , then  $M$  has a group inverse.

**Proof.** Applying Theorem 5 to the block matrix

$$M^T = \begin{pmatrix} D^T & B^T \\ C^T & A^T \end{pmatrix},$$

we complete the proof as in Corollary 5.  $\square$

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, D.L. and H.C.; writing—original draft preparation, D.L.; writing—review and editing, H.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was supported partially by Science Research Foundation of Education Department of Hunan Province grant number 21C0144.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data are contained within the article.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the anonymous referee for their careful reading and valuable comments that improved the presentation of this work.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Koliha, J.J. A generalized Drazin inverse. *Glasg. Math. J.* **1996**, *38*, 367–381. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Carmona, A.; Encinas, A.M.; Jimenez, M.J.; Mitjana, M. The group inverse of some circulant matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2021**, *64*, 415–436. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Sun, L.; Wang, W.; Zhou, J.; Bu, C. Some results on resistance distances and resistance matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **2015**, *63*, 523–533. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Benitez, J.; Liu, X.; Zhu, T. Additive results for the group inverse in an algebra with applications to block operators. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **2011**, *59*, 279–289. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Bu, C.; Zhou, X.; Ma, L.; Zhou, J. On the group inverse for the sum of matrices. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **2014**, *96*, 36–43. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Cao, C.; Zhang, H.; Ge, Y. Further results on the group inverse of some anti-triangular block matrices. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **2014**, *46*, 169–179. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Castro-González, N.; Robles, J.; Vélez-Cerrada, J.Y. The group inverse of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over a ring. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2013**, *438*, 3600–3609. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Mihajlović, N.; Djordjević, D.S. On group invertibility in rings. *Filomat* **2019**, *33*, 6141–6150. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Mihajlovic, N. Group inverse and core inverse in Banach and  $C^*$ -algebras. *Comm. Algebra* **2020**, *48*, 1803–1818. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Zhang, D.; Mosić, D.; Tam, T.Y. On the existence of group inverses of Peirce corner matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2019**, *582*, 482–498. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Liu, X.; Wu, L.; Benitez, J. On the group inverse of linear combinations of two group invertible matrices. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* **2011**, *22*, 490–503. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Zhou, M.; Chen, J.; Zhu, X. The group inverse and core inverse of sum of two elements in a ring. *Comm. Algebra* **2020**, *48*, 676–690. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Campbell, S.T. The Drazin inverse and systems of second order linear differential equations. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **1983**, *14*, 195–198. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Bu, C.; Zhao, J.; Zheng, J. Group inverse for a class of  $2 \times 2$  block matrices over skew fields. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2008**, *204*, 45–49. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.