

Article

# The Global Existence and Boundedness of Solutions to a Chemotaxis–Haptotaxis Model with Nonlinear Diffusion and Signal Production

Beibei Ai and Zhe Jia \*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Linyi University, Linyi 276005, China; bbaijz@126.com

\* Correspondence: jiazhe@lyu.edu.cn

**Abstract:** In this paper, we investigate the following chemotaxis–haptotaxis system (1) with nonlinear diffusion and signal production under homogenous Neumann boundary conditions in a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Under suitable conditions on the data we prove the following: (i) For  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{2}{n}$ , if  $\alpha > \gamma - k + 1$  and  $\beta > 1 - k$ , problem (1) admits a classical solution  $(u, v, w)$  which is globally bounded. (ii) For  $\frac{2}{n} < \gamma \leq 1$ , if  $\alpha > \gamma - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1$  and  $\beta > \max\{\frac{(n\gamma-2)(n\gamma+2k-2)}{2n} - k + 1, \frac{(n\gamma-2)(\gamma+\frac{1}{e})}{n} - k + 1\}$  or  $\alpha > \gamma - k + 1$  and  $\beta > \max\{\frac{(n\gamma-2)(n\gamma+2k-2)}{2n} - k + 1, \frac{(n\gamma-2)(\alpha+k-1)}{n} - k + 1\}$ , problem (1) admits a classical solution  $(u, v, w)$  which is globally bounded.

**Keywords:** boundedness; chemotaxis–haptotaxis; nonlinear diffusion; signal production

**MSC:** 35K55; 35K65; 35A07; 35B35

## 1. Introduction

In the present work, we consider the following chemotaxis–haptotaxis system with nonlinear diffusion and signal production:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (D(u)\nabla u) - \nabla \cdot (H(u)\nabla v) - \nabla \cdot (I(u)\nabla w) + u(a - \mu u^{k-1} - \lambda w), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ v_t = \Delta v - v + g(u), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ w_t = -vw, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ D(u)\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - H(u)\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} - I(u)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), v(x, 0) = v_0(x), w(x, 0) = w_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$  is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary, the function  $u = u(x, t)$  denotes the cancer cell density,  $v = v(x, t)$  represents the concentration of matrix-degrading enzymes, and  $w = w(x, t)$  represents the density of an extracellular matrix. We assume that  $D, H, I \in C^2([0, \infty))$  fulfils, for all  $s \geq 0$ ,

$$D(s) \geq K_D(s + 1)^{m-1}, \quad (2)$$

$$0 \leq H(s) \leq \chi s(s + 1)^{-\alpha} \text{ and } H(0) = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$0 \leq I(s) \leq \xi s(s + 1)^{-\beta} \text{ and } I(0) = 0, \quad (4)$$

with  $K_D, \chi, \xi > 0$  and  $\alpha, \beta, m \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, we assume  $g \in C^1([0, \infty))$  such that

$$0 \leq g(s) \leq K_g s^\gamma \text{ for all } s \geq 0, \quad (5)$$



**Citation:** Ai, B.; Jia, Z. The Global Existence and Boundedness of Solutions to a Chemotaxis–Haptotaxis Model with Nonlinear Diffusion and Signal Production. *Mathematics* **2024**, *12*, 2577. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math12162577>

Academic Editors: Zhouchao Wei and Liguao Yuan

Received: 15 July 2024

Revised: 14 August 2024

Accepted: 19 August 2024

Published: 21 August 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

where  $K_g, \gamma > 0$ . To this end, we assume that the initial data satisfy

$$\begin{cases} u_0, v_0, w_0 \in C^{2+\delta}(\bar{\Omega}), \delta \in (0, 1), \\ u_0, v_0, w_0 \geq 0, \\ \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \frac{\partial w_0}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial \Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

The model (1) is reduced to the chemotaxis system if  $w \equiv 0$ , which has been widely researched by many authors over the past several decades (see [1–15]). In the case of  $g(u) = u$ , Zheng [5] proved that all solutions are global and uniformly bounded if  $0 < 2 - \alpha - m < \max\{k - m, \frac{2}{N}\}$  or  $2 - \alpha = k$  and  $\mu$  is large enough. In the case of  $g(u) = u^\gamma$ , Tao et al. [6] considered problem (1), showing that if  $1 + \gamma - \alpha < k$  or  $1 + \gamma - \alpha = k$  and  $\mu$  is large enough, then the solutions of (1) are globally bounded. When cell growth is neglected and  $1 - \alpha - m + \gamma < \frac{2}{N}$ , they also proved that system (1) possesses a non-negative classical solution  $(u, v)$  which is globally bounded. Later, Ding et al. [7] provided a boundedness result under  $1 - \alpha - m + \gamma < \frac{2}{n}$  and proved the asymptotic stability when the damping effects of the logistic source are strong enough. Nowadays, there are more and more mathematical models used to describe complex natural phenomena, and the results are also very impressive (see [16–34]).

The chemotaxis–haptotaxis model was first proposed by Chalain and Lolas [35]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \chi \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) - \zeta \nabla \cdot (u \nabla w) + u(a - \mu u^{k-1} - \lambda w), \\ v_t = \Delta v - v + u, \\ w_t = -vw. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

and described the process of cancer cells invading surrounding healthy tissue. In the absence of reconstruction mechanisms, problem (7), with  $\eta = 0$ , has been studied by many authors. For instance, Tao Wang [36–38] proved the global solvability and uniform boundedness for  $n = 1, 2$ . For the case of  $n = 3$ , the global existence and boundedness was proved for  $\frac{\mu}{\chi}$  and is sufficiently large (see [36,39]). Later, Tao and Winkler [40] researched how, under the fully explicit condition  $\mu > \frac{\chi^2}{8}$ , the solution  $(u, v, w)$  exponentially stabilizes to a constant stationary solution  $(1, 1, 0)$ . When  $\mu u(1 - u - w)$  was replaced by  $u(a - \mu u^{k-1} - \lambda w)$ , Zheng and Ke [41] proved that model (7) possesses a global classical solution which is bounded for  $k > 2$  or  $k = 2$ , with  $\mu$  being sufficiently large. And they demonstrated that if  $\mu$  is large enough, the corresponding solution of (7) exponentially decays to  $((\frac{a}{\mu})^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, (\frac{a}{\mu})^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, 0)$ .

In recent years, many authors have begun to study the chemotaxis–haptotaxis model with nonlinear diffusion, that is

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (D(u) \nabla u) - \chi \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) - \zeta \nabla \cdot (u \nabla w) + \mu u(1 - u - w), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ v_t = \Delta v - v + u, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ w_t = -vw, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where  $D(u) \geq C_D(u + 1)^{m-1}$  for all  $u > 0$ . Tao and Winkler [42] showed the global existence of solutions to (8) if  $m > \frac{2n^2+4n-4}{n(n+4)}$  for  $n \leq 8$  or  $m > \frac{2n^2+3n+2-\sqrt{8n(n+1)}}{n(n+2)}$  for  $n \geq 9$ . Further, Li Wang et al. [43,44] proved the boundedness of solutions for  $m > 2 - \frac{2}{n}$ , and Wang and Zheng et al. [45,46] extended the results to  $m > \frac{2n}{n+2}$ . Later, Jin [47] obtained similar results for any  $m > 0$ , under a smallness assumption on  $\frac{\chi}{\mu}$ .

Next, we consider problem (1) with  $g(u) = u, k = 2, a = \lambda = \mu$ . Liu et al. [48] proved the global existence and boundedness of solutions for  $n = 2$  if  $\max\{1 - \alpha, 1 - \beta\} < m + \frac{2}{n} - 1$  or for  $n \geq 3$  if  $\max\{1 - \alpha, 1 - \beta\} < m + \frac{2}{n} - 1$  with either  $m > 2 - \frac{2}{n}$  or  $m \leq 1$ . Afterwards, Xu et al. [49] proved that if  $m > 0, \alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0$  for  $n = 3$ , problem (1) possesses a globally bounded weak solution. Subsequently, they discussed the large time behavior of

solutions and showed that when  $0 < m \leq 1$ , for appropriately large  $\mu$ ,  $(u, v, w) \rightarrow (1, 1, 0)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Later, Jia et al. [50] extended the boundedness result of [49], which deals with the global boundedness of solutions with  $\alpha > 0, \beta > -\frac{1}{6}$ . This paper is devoted to researching the boundedness of the solution of (1) with nonlinear diffusion and signal production in the case of  $n \geq 2$ .

Now, we present the primary result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$  be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary and  $(u_0, v_0, w_0)$  that satisfies (6). Suppose that  $D, H, I$  and  $g$  fulfill (2)–(5). Then,*

(i) *For  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{2}{n}$ , if  $\alpha > \gamma - k + 1$  and  $\beta > 1 - k$ , problem (1) possesses a classical solution  $(u, v, w)$  which is globally bounded.*

(ii) *For  $\frac{2}{n} < \gamma \leq 1$ , if  $\alpha > \gamma - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1$  and  $\beta > \max\{\frac{(n\gamma-2)(n\gamma+2k-2)}{2n} - k + 1, \frac{(n\gamma-2)(\gamma+\frac{1}{e})}{n} - k + 1\}$  or  $\alpha > \gamma - k + 1$  and  $\beta > \max\{\frac{(n\gamma-2)(n\gamma+2k-2)}{2n} - k + 1, \frac{(n\gamma-2)(\alpha+k-1)}{n} - k + 1\}$ , problem (1) possesses a classical solution  $(u, v, w)$  which is globally bounded.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the local existence of classical solutions to system (1) and recall some preliminaries. In Section 3, we establish the global existence and boundedness of solutions to system (1).

### 2. Preliminaries

We first state the local existence result of classical solutions to (1) as follows. In fact, by a fixed point argument similar to [13,51], it can be proved.

**Lemma 1.** *Assume that  $u_0, v_0, w_0$  satisfy (6) and  $D, H, I$  and  $g$  fulfill (2)–(5). Then, there exists  $T_{\max} \in (0, \infty]$  such that the system (1) admits a classical solution  $(u, v, w) \in C^{2+\delta, 1+\frac{\delta}{2}}(\Omega \times (0, T_{\max}))$  with*

$$u \geq 0, v \geq 0, w \geq 0 \text{ for all } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T_{\max}) \tag{9}$$

such that either  $T_{\max} = \infty$ , or

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \sup(\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}) = \infty.$$

Then, we will give a useful lemma referred to as a variation of maximal Sobolev regularity, as obtained in (Lemma 4 [52]).

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $z_0 \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  and  $f \in L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ . Then, the following problem*

$$\begin{cases} z_t = \Delta z - z + f, \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu} = 0, \\ z(x, 0) = z_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

possesses a unique solution:  $z \in L^p_{loc}((0, +\infty); W^{2,p}(\Omega))$  and  $z_t \in L^p_{loc}((0, +\infty); L^p(\Omega))$ . If  $t_0 \in (0, T)$ , then

$$\int_{t_0}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{pt} |\Delta z|^p dx dt \leq C_p \int_{t_0}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{pt} |f|^p dx dt + C_p \|z(\cdot, t_0)\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}^p, \tag{11}$$

where  $C_p$  is a constant independent of  $t_0$ .

According to [38], we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *Assume  $(u, v, w)$  be the solution of model (1). Then,*

$$-\Delta w(x, t) \leq \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} v(x, t) + C \text{ for } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T_{\max}), \tag{12}$$

where

$$C := \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + 4\|\nabla\sqrt{w_0}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{e}. \tag{13}$$

In order to prove Theorem 1, following the ideas in [43], we firstly state the lemma.

**Lemma 4.** Assume that  $D, H, I$  and  $g$  fulfill (2)–(5) with  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , then we have

(i) There exists  $K > 0$  such that for all  $t \in (0, T_{\max})$

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq K\mu^{-\frac{1}{k-1}}. \tag{14}$$

(ii) For  $r \in [1, \frac{n}{(n\gamma-2)_+})$ , there exists  $K_r > 0$  such that for all  $t \in (0, T_{\max})$

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq K_r. \tag{15}$$

where  $(n\gamma - 2)_+ := \max\{n\gamma - 2, 0\}$ .

(iii) Assume that  $p > \max\{\frac{n\gamma}{2}, \gamma\}$  and  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K$ . Then, there exists  $K_p > 0$  such that for all  $t \in (0, T_{\max})$

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K_p. \tag{16}$$

(iv) Assume that  $q > n\gamma$  and  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq K$ . Then, there exists a positive constant  $K_q$  such that for all  $t \in (0, T_{\max})$

$$\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K_q. \tag{17}$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we deal with the global existence and boundedness of system (1). We firstly devote time to establishing the  $L^p$ -boundedness of  $u$ . For convenience, we denote  $T = T_{\max}$ .

**Lemma 5.** Assume that  $D, H, I$  and  $g$  fulfill (2)–(5) with  $\beta > 1 - k$ . Then,

(i) Let  $\alpha > \gamma - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1$  and  $p > \max\{1, \beta, \frac{n\gamma}{2} + 1 - k, \gamma - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1\}$ . If  $K_0 > 0$  fulfills for all  $t \in (0, T)$

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p+k-1}{\beta+k-1}}(\Omega)} \leq K_0, \tag{18}$$

then,

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K \text{ for } t \in (0, T), \tag{19}$$

where  $K > 0$  depends on  $K_0, \mu$ .

(ii) Let  $\alpha > \gamma - k + 1$  and  $p > \max\{1, \alpha, \beta\}$ . If there exists  $K_0 > 0$  fulfills for all  $t \in (0, T)$

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p+k-1}{\beta+k-1}}(\Omega)} \leq K_0, \tag{20}$$

then,

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K \text{ for } t \in (0, T), \tag{21}$$

where  $K > 0$  depends on  $K_0, \mu$ .

**Proof.** Multiplying the first equation in (1) with  $p(1 + u)^{p-1}$  and integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^p dx \\ & \leq -p(p - 1)K_D \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^{m+p-3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + p(p - 1) \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^{p-2} H(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ & \quad + p(p - 1) \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^{p-2} I(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla w dx + p \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^{p-1} u (a - \mu u^{k-1} - \lambda w) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Since  $(u + 1)^k \leq 2^{k-1}(u^k + 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^{p-1} u (a - \mu u^{k-1} - \lambda w) dx \\ & \leq |a| p \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^p dx - \frac{\mu p}{2^{k-1}} \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^{k+p-1} dx + \mu p \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^{p-1} dx \\ & \leq -\frac{5\mu}{6 \cdot 2^{k-1}} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} dx + C_1, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where  $C_1 = (3 \cdot 2^{k+1})^{\frac{p}{k-1}} (|a| p)^{\frac{p+k-1}{k-1}} |\Omega| \mu^{-\frac{p}{k-1}} + (3 \cdot 2^{k+1})^{\frac{p-1}{k}} |\Omega| \mu p^{\frac{k+p-1}{k}}$ . It follows from (22) and (23) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^p dx \\ & \leq p(p - 1) \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^{p-2} H(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx + p(p - 1) \int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^{p-2} I(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla w dx \\ & \quad - \frac{5\mu}{6 \cdot 2^{k-1}} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} dx + C_1. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Define

$$\varphi(u) := \int_0^u (1 + \sigma)^{p-2} H(\sigma) d\sigma \quad \text{for } u \geq 0.$$

We infer from (3) that

$$0 \leq \varphi(u) \leq \chi \int_0^u (1 + \sigma)^{p-\alpha-1} d\sigma.$$

This implies for  $u \geq 0$

$$\varphi(u) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\chi}{|p-\alpha|}, & \text{for } p < \alpha, \\ \chi \ln(1 + u), & \text{for } p = \alpha, \\ \frac{\chi}{p-\alpha} (1 + u)^{p-\alpha}, & \text{for } p > \alpha. \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

Integrating by parts the first term of (24), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & p(p - 1) \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p-2} H(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ & = p(p - 1) \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi(u) \cdot \nabla v dx \\ & \leq p(p - 1) \int_{\Omega} \varphi(u) |\Delta v| dx. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

**Case (i).** Combining (25) with (26) yields, for  $\gamma - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1 < p < \alpha$  and  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & p(p - 1) \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p-2} H(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \leq \frac{2\chi p(p-1)}{|p-\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v| dx \\ & \leq \frac{2\chi p(p-1)}{|p-\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^{\frac{n}{2}} dx + C_2, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where  $C_2 = \frac{2\chi p(p-1)|\Omega|}{|p-\alpha|}$ . For  $p > \alpha$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & p(p - 1) \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p-2} H(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ & \leq \frac{\chi p(p-1)}{p-\alpha} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p-\alpha} |\Delta v| dx, \\ & \leq \frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} + C_3 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^{\frac{p+k-1}{k+\alpha-1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where  $C_3 = (3 \cdot 2^k)^{\frac{p-\alpha}{\alpha+k-1}} (\frac{\chi p(p-1)}{p-\alpha})^{\frac{p+k-1}{\alpha+k-1}} \mu^{-\frac{p-\alpha}{\alpha+k-1}}$ . For  $p = \alpha$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & p(p - 1) \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p-2} H(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ & \leq p(p - 1) \chi \int_{\Omega} \ln(1 + u) |\Delta v| dx, \\ & \leq p(p - 1) \chi \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{\frac{1}{e}} |\Delta v| dx, \\ & \leq \frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} + C_4 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^{\frac{e(p+k-1)}{e(p+k-1)-1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where  $C_4 = (3 \cdot 2^k)^{\frac{1}{e(p+k-1)-1}} (\chi(p-1))^{\frac{e(p+k-1)}{e(p+k-1)-1}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{e(p+k-1)-1}}$ .

Denote  $\psi(u) = \int_0^u (1 + \sigma)^{p-2} I(\sigma) d\sigma$  for all  $u \geq 0$ . We infer from (4) and  $p > \beta$  that

$$0 \leq \psi(u) \leq \frac{\xi}{p-\beta} (1+u)^{p-\beta}, \tag{30}$$

for  $u \geq 0$ . This, together with Lemma 3 and  $\beta > 1 - k$ , means that

$$\begin{aligned} & p(p-1) \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-2} I(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla w dx \\ &= -p(p-1) \int_{\Omega} \psi(u) \cdot \Delta w dx \\ &\leq p(p-1) \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} v \psi(u) dx + p(p-1) C \int_{\Omega} \psi(u) dx \\ &\leq \frac{\xi p(p-1)}{p-\beta} \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-\beta} v dx + \frac{\xi C p(p-1)}{p-\beta} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-\beta} dx \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p+k-1} dx + C_5 \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{p+k-1}{\beta+k-1}} dx + C_6, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_5 &= (3 \cdot 2^k)^{\frac{p-\beta}{\beta+k-1}} \left(\frac{\xi p(p-1)}{p-\beta} \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{p+k-1}{\beta+k-1}} \mu^{-\frac{p-\beta}{\beta+k-1}}, \\ C_6 &= (3 \cdot 2^k)^{\frac{p-\beta}{\beta+k-1}} \left(\frac{\xi C p(p-1)}{p-\beta}\right)^{\frac{p+k-1}{\beta+k-1}} |\Omega| \mu^{-\frac{p-\beta}{\beta+k-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\gamma - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1 < p < \alpha$ , we infer from (18), (24), (27) and (31) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p \leq -\frac{\mu}{2^k} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p+k-1} dx + \frac{2\chi p(p-1)}{|p-\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^{\frac{n}{2}} dx + C_7, \tag{32}$$

where  $C_7 = C_5 K_0^{\frac{p+k-1}{\beta+k-1}} + C_1 + C_2 + C_6$ . Since

$$\frac{n}{2} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p dx \leq \frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p+k-1} dx + C_8, \tag{33}$$

where  $C_8 = \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{p+k-1}{k-1}} (3 \cdot 2^{k-1})^{\frac{p}{k-1}} |\Omega| \mu^{-\frac{p}{k-1}}$ . Combining (32) with (33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p dx + \frac{n}{2} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p dx \\ &\leq -\frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p+k-1} dx + \frac{2\chi p(p-1)}{|p-\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^{\frac{n}{2}} dx + C_9, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where  $C_9 = C_7 + C_8$ ; this, together with the variation-of-constants formula, shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p dx \\ &\leq -\frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t-s)} (1+u)^{p+k-1} dx ds + \frac{2\chi p(p-1)}{|p-\alpha|} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t-s)} |\Delta v|^{\frac{n}{2}} dx ds \\ &\quad + e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t-t_0)} \int_{\Omega} (1+u(\cdot, t_0))^p dx + C_9 \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t-s)} ds \\ &\leq -\frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t-s)} (1+u)^{p+k-1} dx ds + \frac{2\chi p(p-1)}{|p-\alpha|} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t-s)} |\Delta v|^{\frac{n}{2}} dx ds + M_0 + C_9, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where  $M_0 = \int_{\Omega} (1+u(\cdot, t_0))^p dx$  is a positive constant. Since  $p > \frac{n\gamma}{2} + 1 - k$ , we have from Lemma 2 and (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\chi p(p-1)}{|p-\alpha|} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t-s)} |\Delta v|^{\frac{n}{2}} dx ds \\ &\leq \frac{2\chi p(p-1) C_n}{|p-\alpha|} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t-s)} u^{\frac{n\gamma}{2}} dx ds + \frac{2\chi p(p-1) C_n}{|p-\alpha|} \|v(\cdot, t_0)\|_{W^{2, \frac{n}{2}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t-s)} (u+1)^{p+k-1} dx ds + C_{10}, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where  $C_n, C_{10}$  is a positive constant related to  $n$  and independent of  $t_0$ . From the combination of (35) and (36), we conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega} (u+1)^p dx \leq C_{11}. \tag{37}$$

where  $C_{11} = M_0 + C_9 + C_{10}$ .

For  $p > \alpha$ , we infer from (18), (24), (28) and (31) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^p dx \leq -\frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} dx + C_3 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^{\frac{p+k-1}{\alpha+k-1}} dx + C_{12}. \tag{38}$$

where  $C_{12} = C_5 K_0^{\frac{p+k-1}{\beta+k-1}} + C_1 + C_6$ . Define

$$m := \frac{p+k-1}{\alpha+k-1},$$

we have from (38) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^p dx + m \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^p dx \\ & \leq -\frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} dx + C_3 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^m dx + C_{13}, \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where  $C_{13} = (3 \cdot 2^k)^{\frac{p}{k-1}} m^{\frac{p+k-1}{k-1}} |\Omega| \mu^{-\frac{p}{k-1}} + C_{12}$ . Recalling Lemma 2, it can be obtained from (39) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^p dx \\ & \leq -\frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-m(t-s)} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} dx ds + C_3 \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-m(t-s)} |\Delta v|^m dx ds \\ & \quad + e^{-m(t-t_0)} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u(\cdot, t_0))^p dx + C_{13} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-m(t-s)} ds \\ & \leq -\frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-m(t-s)} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} dx ds + C_3 C_m \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-m(t-s)} (1 + u)^{m\gamma} dx ds \\ & \quad + C_3 C_m \|v(\cdot, t_0)\|_{W^{2,m}(\Omega)}^m + C_{14}, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where  $C_{14} = M_0 + C_{13}$  and  $C_m$  is a positive constant related to  $m$  and independent of  $t_0$ . Since  $\alpha > r - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1$ ,  $m\gamma < p + k - 1$ ; we have from Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & C_3 C_m \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-m(t-s)} (1 + u)^{m\gamma} dx ds \\ & \leq \frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-m(t-s)} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} dx ds + C_{15}, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where  $C_{15} = \frac{1}{m} (3 \cdot 2^k)^{\frac{m\gamma}{p+k-1-m\gamma}} (C_3 C_m)^{\frac{p+k-1}{p+k-1-m\gamma}} |\Omega| \mu^{-\frac{m\gamma}{p+k-1-m\gamma}}$ .

Inserting (41) into (40), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^p dx \leq C_{16}, \tag{42}$$

where  $C_{16} = C_3 C_m \|v(\cdot, t_0)\|_{W^{2,m}(\Omega)}^m + C_{14} + C_{15}$ .

For  $p = \alpha$ , we infer from (18), (24), (29) and (31) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^p dx \leq -\frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} dx + C_4 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^{\frac{e(p+k-1)}{e(p+k-1)-1}} dx + C_{17}, \tag{43}$$

where  $C_{17} = C_5 K_0^{\frac{p+k-1}{\beta+k-1}} + C_1 + C_6$ . Define

$$\tilde{m} := \frac{e(p+k-1)}{e(p+k-1)-1},$$

Similar to (40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^p dx \\ & \leq -\frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-\tilde{m}(t-s)} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} dx ds + C_4 C_{\tilde{m}} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-\tilde{m}(t-s)} (1 + u)^{\tilde{m}\gamma} dx ds \\ & \quad + C_4 C_{\tilde{m}} \|v(\cdot, t_0)\|_{W^{2,\tilde{m}}(\Omega)}^{\tilde{m}} + C_{18}, \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where  $C_{\tilde{m}}, C_{18}$  is a positive constant related to  $\tilde{m}$  and independent of  $t_0$ .

Since  $\alpha = p > r - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1$ ,  $\tilde{m}\gamma < p + k - 1$ ; we have from Young’s inequality that

$$C_4 C_{\tilde{m}} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-\tilde{m}(t-s)} (1 + u)^{\tilde{m}\gamma} dx ds \leq \frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-\tilde{m}(t-s)} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} dx ds + C_{19}, \tag{45}$$

where  $C_{19} = \frac{1}{\tilde{m}} (3 \cdot 2^k)^{\frac{\tilde{m}\gamma}{p+k-1-\tilde{m}\gamma}} (C_4 C_{\tilde{m}})^{\frac{p+k-1}{p+k-1-\tilde{m}\gamma}} |\Omega| \mu^{-\frac{\tilde{m}\gamma}{p+k-1-\tilde{m}\gamma}}$ . Inserting (45) into (44), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^p dx \leq C_{20}, \tag{46}$$

where  $C_{20} = C_4 C_{\tilde{m}} \|v(\cdot, t_0)\|_{W^{2,\tilde{m}}(\Omega)}^{\tilde{m}} + C_{18} + C_{19}$ .

**Case (ii).** For  $p > \alpha$  and  $\alpha > \gamma - k + 1$ , define

$$m := \frac{p+k-1}{\alpha+k-1},$$

we have from (40) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^p dx \\ & \leq -\frac{\mu}{3 \cdot 2^k} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-m(t-s)} (1 + u)^{p+k-1} dx ds + C_3 C_m \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} e^{-m(t-s)} (1 + u)^{m\gamma} dx ds \\ & \quad + C_3 C_m \|v(\cdot, t_0)\|_{W^{2,m}(\Omega)}^m + C_{21}. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Since  $\alpha > r - k + 1$ , then  $m\gamma < p + k - 1$ . We infer from Young’s inequality and (47) that

$$\int_{\Omega} (u + 1)^p dx \leq C_{22}, \tag{48}$$

where  $C_{22}$  is a positive constant. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** Assume that  $D, H, I$  and  $g$  fulfill (2)–(5). Then,

(i) For  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{2}{n}$ , if  $\alpha > \gamma - k + 1$  and  $\beta > 1 - k$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$ .

(ii) For  $\frac{2}{n} < \gamma \leq 1$ , if  $\alpha > \gamma - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1$  and  $\beta > \max\{\frac{(n\gamma-2)(n\gamma+2k-2)}{2n} - k + 1, \frac{(n\gamma-2)(\gamma+\frac{1}{e})}{n} - k + 1\}$  or  $\alpha > \gamma - k + 1$  and  $\beta > \max\{\frac{(n\gamma-2)(n\gamma+2k-2)}{2n} - k + 1, \frac{(n\gamma-2)(\alpha+k-1)}{n} - k + 1\}$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$ .

**Proof.** **Case (i).** Since  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{2}{n}$ , we have

$$n\gamma - 2 \leq 0. \tag{49}$$

Lemma 4(ii) yields that

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^s(\Omega)} \leq C_{23} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \tag{50}$$

for any  $s \geq 1$ . Taking  $p_1 > \max\{\frac{n\gamma}{2}, 1, \alpha, \beta\}$ , this implies  $\frac{p_1+k-1}{\beta+k-1} > 1$ , and so we obtain

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p_1+k-1}{\beta+k-1}}(\Omega)} \leq C_{24} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \tag{51}$$

which, along with Lemma 5(ii), we have for all  $t \in (0, T)$

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{p_1}(\Omega)} \leq C_{25}. \tag{52}$$

Since  $p_1 > \frac{n\gamma}{2}$ , we infer from Lemma 4(iii) that

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_{26} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \tag{53}$$



By Lemma 5(ii), again, and letting  $p_2 > \max\{n\gamma, 1, \alpha, \beta\}$ , one can find

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{p_2}(\Omega)} \leq C_{27} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \tag{54}$$

From this, together with Lemma 4(iv), we obtain

$$\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_{28} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \tag{55}$$

This completes the proof of Case (i).

**Case (ii).** For  $\frac{2}{n} < \gamma \leq 1$ . Since  $\beta > \frac{(n\gamma-2)(n\gamma+2k-2)}{2n} - k + 1$  and  $\beta > \frac{(n\gamma-2)(\gamma+\frac{1}{e})}{n} - k + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n\gamma}{2} &< \frac{n}{nr-2}(\beta + k - 1) + 1 - k, \\ \gamma - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1 &< \frac{n}{n\gamma-2}(\beta + k - 1) + 1 - k, \\ \beta &< \frac{n}{nr-2}(\beta + k - 1) + 1 - k. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Taking  $\max\{\frac{n\gamma}{2}, \gamma - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1, \beta\} < p_3 < \frac{n}{nr-2}(\beta + k - 1) + 1 - k$ , then

$$\frac{p_3+k-1}{\beta+k-1} \in (1, \frac{n}{nr-2}). \tag{57}$$

By Lemma 4(ii), we obtain

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p_3+k-1}{\beta+k-1}}(\Omega)} \leq C_{29} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \tag{58}$$

from which, along with Lemma 5(i), we have

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{p_3}(\Omega)} \leq C_{30} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \tag{59}$$

Since  $p_3 > \frac{n\gamma}{2}$ , applying Lemma 4(iii), we obtain

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_{31} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \tag{60}$$

By Lemma 5(i), again, and letting  $p_4 > \max\{n\gamma, \gamma - k + \frac{1}{e} + 1, \beta\}$ , one can find

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{p_4}(\Omega)} \leq C_{32} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \tag{61}$$

From this, together with Lemma 4(iv), we obtain for all  $t \in (0, T)$

$$\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_{33}. \tag{62}$$

Similarly, we infer from  $\beta > \max\{\frac{(n\gamma-2)(n\gamma+2k-2)}{2n} - k + 1, \frac{(n\gamma-2)(\alpha+k-1)}{n} - k + 1\}$  that there exists a positive constant  $p_5$  such that

$$\max\{\frac{n\gamma}{2}, \alpha, \beta\} < p_5 < \frac{n}{nr-2}(\beta + k - 1) + 1 - k, \tag{63}$$

thus  $\frac{p_5+k-1}{\beta+k-1} \in (1, \frac{n}{nr-2})$ . Combining Lemmas 4(iii) and 5(ii), we have  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{p_5}(\Omega)} \leq C_{34}$  and  $\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_{35}$ . Using Lemmas 5(ii) and 4(iv), we deduce that  $\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_{36}$ . This completes the proof of Case (ii).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** From Lemma 6 and the well-known Moser iteration (Lemma 3.6, [49]), we obtain the boundedness of  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is complete by Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Author Contributions:** Methodology, Z.J.; Validation, Z.J.; Writing—original draft, B.A.; Formal analysis, Z.J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12301251, 12271232); the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, China (Grant No. ZR2021QA038); and the Scientific Research Foundation of Linyi University, China (Grant No. LYDX2020BS014).

**Data Availability Statement:** The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Winkler, M. Does a 'volume-filling effect' always prevent chemotactic collapse? *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2010**, *33*, 12–24.
2. Tao, Y.; Winkler, M. Boundedness in a quasilinear parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel system with subcritical sensitivity. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, *252*, 692–715.
3. Ishida, S.; Seki, K.; Yokota, T. Boundedness in quasilinear Keller-Segel systems of parabolic-parabolic type on non-convex bounded domains. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2014**, *256*, 2993–3010.
4. CieřLak, T.; Stinner, C. New critical exponents in a fully parabolic quasilinear Keller-Segel and applications to volume filling models. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, *258*, 2080–2113.
5. Zheng, J. Boundedness of solutions to a quasilinear parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel system with a logistic source. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2015**, *431*, 867–888.
6. Tao, X.; Zhou, A.; Ding, M. Boundedness of solutions to a quasilinear parabolic-parabolic chemotaxis model with nonlinear signal production. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2019**, *474*, 733–747.
7. Ding, M.; Wang, W.; Zhou, S.; Zheng, S. Asymptotic stability in a fully parabolic quasilinear chemotaxis model with general logistic source and signal production. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, *268*, 6729–6777.
8. CieřLak, T.; Stinner, C. Finite-time blowup and global-in-time unbounded solutions to a parabolic-parabolic quasilinear Keller-Segel system in higher dimensions. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, *252*, 5832–5851.
9. CieřLak, T.; Winkler, M. Finite-time blow-up in a quasilinear system of chemotaxis. *Nonlinearity* **2008**, *21*, 1057–1076.
10. Jia, Z. Global boundedness of weak solutions for an attraction-repulsion chemotaxis system with p-Laplacian diffusion and nonlinear production. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **2023**, *28*, 4847–4863.
11. Wang, L.; Li, Y.; Mu, C. Boundedness in a parabolic-parabolic quasilinear chemotaxis system with logistic source. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A* **2014**, *34*, 789–802.
12. Wang, X.; Wang, Z.; Jia, Z. Global weak solutions for an attraction-repulsion chemotaxis system with p-Laplacian diffusion and logistic source. *Acta Math. Sci.* **2024**, *44*, 909–924.
13. Winkler, M. Chemotaxis with logistic source: Very weak global solutions and their boundedness properties. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2008**, *348*, 708–729.
14. Winkler, M. Blow-up in a higher-dimensional chemotaxis system despite logistic growth restriction. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2011**, *384*, 261–272.
15. Zhuang, M.; Wang, W.; Zheng, S. Boundedness in a fully parabolic chemotaxis system with logistic-type source and nonlinear production. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **2019**, *47*, 473–483.
16. Chen, T.; Li, F.; Yu, P. Nilpotent center conditions in cubic switching polynomial Linard systems by higher-order analysis. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2024**, *379*, 258–289.
17. Ding, X.; Lu, J.; Chen, A. Lyapunov-based stability of time-triggered impulsive logical dynamic networks. *Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst.* **2024**, *51*, 101417.
18. He, X.; Qiu, J.; Li, X.; Cao, J. A brief survey on stability and stabilization of impulsive systems with delayed impulses. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. S* **2022**, *15*, 1797–1821.
19. Jia, Z.; Yang, Z. Large time behavior to a chemotaxis-consumption model with singular sensitivity and logistic source. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *44*, 3630–3645.
20. Jiang, C.; Zhang, F.; Li, T. Synchronization and antisynchronization of N-coupled fractional-order complex chaotic systems with ring connection. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2018**, *41*, 2625–2638.
21. Lei, C.; Li, H.; Zhao, Y. Dynamical behavior of a reaction-diffusion SEIR epidemic model with mass action infection mechanism in a heterogeneous environment. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **2024**, *29*, 3163–3198.
22. Li, F.; Liu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Yu, P. Bi-center problem and bifurcation of limit cycles from nilpotent singular points in  $Z(2)$ -equivariant cubic vector fields. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2018**, *265*, 4965–4992.
23. Li, F.; Liu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Yu, P. Complex isochronous centers and linearization transformations for cubic  $Z(2)$ -equivariant planar systems. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, *268*, 3819–3847.
24. Li, F.; Liu, Y.; Yu, P.; Wang, L. Complex integrability and linearizability of cubic  $Z(2)$ -equivariant systems with two 1: Q resonant singular points. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2021**, *300*, 786–813.
25. Marciniak-Czochra, A.; Ptashnyk, M. Boundedness of solutions of a haptotaxis model. *Math. Mod. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2010**, *20*, 449–476.
26. Mi, L. Blow-up rates of large solutions for infinity Laplace equations. *Appl. Math. Comp.* **2017**, *298*, 36–44.

27. Qiu, J.; Yang, X. Convergence of the two-species vlasov-poisson system to the pressureless euler equations. *Acta Appl. Math.* **2016**, *143*, 179–187.
28. Tong, X.; Jiang, H.; Chen, X.; Li, J.; Cao, Z. Deterministic and stochastic evolution of rumor propagation model with media coverage and classage-dependent education. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2023**, *46*, 7125–7139.
29. Xu, M.; Liu, S.; Lou, Y. Persistence and extinction in the anti-symmetric Lotka-Volterra systems. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2024**, *387*, 299–323.
30. Yan, D.; Pang, G.; Qiu, J.; Chen, X.; Zhang, A.; Liu, Y. Finite-time stability analysis of switched systems with actuator saturation based on event-triggered mechanism. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. S* **2023**, *16*, 1929–1943.
31. Yang, M.; Fu, Z.; Sun, J. Existence and large time behavior to coupled chemotaxis-fluid equations in Besov-Morrey spaces. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, *266*, 5867–5894.
32. You, L.; Yang, X.; Wu, S.; Li, X. Finite-time stabilization for uncertain nonlinear systems with impulsive disturbance via aperiodic intermittent control. *Appl. Math. Comp.* **2023**, *443*, 127782.
33. Zhang, D.; Chen, F. Global bifurcations and single-pulse homoclinic orbits of a plate subjected to the transverse and in-plane excitations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2017**, *40*, 4338–4349.
34. Zhang, X.; Liu, E.; Qiu, J.; Zhang, A.; Liu, Z. Output feedback finite-time stabilization of a class of large-scale high-order nonlinear stochastic feedforward systems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. S* **2023**, *16*, 1892–1908.
35. Chaplain, M.; Lolas, G. Mathematical modelling of cancer invasion of tissue: Dynamic heterogeneity. *Netw. Heterogen. Media* **2016**, *1*, 399–439.
36. Tao, Y.; Wang, M. Global solution for a chemotactic-haptotactic model of cancer invasion. *Nonlinearity* **2008**, *21*, 2221–2238.
37. Tao, Y. Global existence of classical solutions to a combined chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with logistic source. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2009**, *354*, 60–69.
38. Tao, Y. Boundedness in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-haptotaxis system. *J. Donghua Univ.* **2016**, *70*, 165–174.
39. Cao, X. Boundedness in a three-dimensional chemotaxis-haptotaxis model. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **2016**, *67*, 11.
40. Tao, Y.; Winkler, M. Large time behavior in a multidimensional chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with slow signal diffusion. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **2015**, *47*, 4229–4250.
41. Zheng, J.; Ke, Y. Large time behavior of solutions to a fully parabolic chemotaxis-haptotaxis model in  $N$  dimensions. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, *266*, 1969–2018.
42. Tao, Y.; Winkler, M. A chemotaxis-haptotaxis model: The roles of nonlinear diffusion and logistic source. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **2011**, *43*, 685–704.
43. Li, Y.; Lankeit, J. Boundedness in a chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with nonlinear diffusion. *Nonlinearity* **2016**, *29*, 1564–1595.
44. Wang, Y. Boundedness in the higher-dimensional chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with nonlinear diffusion. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, *260*, 1975–1989.
45. Wang, Y. Boundedness in a multi-dimensional chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with nonlinear diffusion. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2016**, *59*, 122–126.
46. Zheng, P.; Mu, C.; Song, X. On the boundedness and decay of solutions for a chemotaxis-haptotaxis system with nonlinear diffusion. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A* **2016**, *36*, 1737–1757.
47. Jin, C. Boundedness and global solvability to a chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with slow and fast diffusion. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **2018**, *23*, 1675–1688.
48. Liu, J.; Zheng, J.; Wang, Y. Boundedness in a quasilinear chemotaxis-haptotaxis system with logistic source. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **2016**, *67*, 21.
49. Xu, H.; Zhang, L.; Jin, C. Global solvability and large time behavior to a chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with nonlinear diffusion. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **2019**, *46*, 238–256.
50. Jia, Z.; Yang, Z. Global boundedness to a chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with nonlinear diffusion. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2020**, *103*, 106192.
51. Tao, Y.; Winkler, M. Energy-type estimates and global solvability in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with remodeling of non-diffusible attractant. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2014**, *257*, 784–815.
52. Jin, C. Global classical solution and boundedness to a chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with re-establishment mechanisms. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **2018**, *50*, 598–618.

**Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.