



Article Closeness Centrality of Asymmetric Trees and Triangular Numbers

Nytha Ramanathan, Eduardo Ramirez 💿, Dorothy Suzuki-Burke and Darren A. Narayan *

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY 14623, USA; nar8505@g.rit.edu (N.R.); er1410@g.rit.edu (E.R.); dxs2421@g.rit.edu (D.S.-B.) * Correspondence: dansma@rit.edu

Abstract: The combinatorial problem in this paper is motivated by a variant of the famous traveling salesman problem where the salesman must return to the starting point after each delivery. The total length of a delivery route is related to a metric known as closeness centrality. The closeness centrality of a vertex v in a graph G was defined in 1950 by Bavelas to be $CC(v) = \frac{|V(G)|-1}{SD(v)}$, where SD(v) is the sum of the distances from v to each of the other vertices (which is one-half of the total distance in the delivery route). We provide a real-world example involving the Metro Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority rail network and identify stations whose SD values are nearly identical, meaning they have a similar proximity to other stations in the network. We then consider theoretical aspects involving asymmetric trees. For integer values of k, we considered the asymmetric tree with paths of lengths $k, 2k, \ldots, nk$ that are incident to a center vertex. We investigated trees with different values of k, and for k = 1 and k = 2, we established necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of two vertices with identical SD values, which has a surprising connection to the triangular numbers. Additionally, we investigated asymmetric trees with paths incident to two vertices and found a sufficient condition for vertices to have equal SD values. This leads to new combinatorial proofs of identities arising from Pascal's triangle.

Keywords: graph distance; closeness centrality

MSC: 05C12; 05A10

1. Introduction

Consider a scenario where a person starts at a location and delivers packages one at a time to different locations. A requirement is that they must return to the starting location after each delivery. One question that arises is, are there different starting locations that have the same total distance for the deliveries? This problem is tied to the metric of closeness centrality, which is prominent in the social and biological network analysis literature [1–3].

Given a graph *G*, the distance between two vertices is the number of edges in a shortest path connecting them. We consider the problem of finding the sum of the distances from a given vertex to all other nodes. The sum of the distances will be denoted by SD(v) (which is one-half of the total distance of the delivery tour). The closeness centrality of a vertex in a graph is defined to be $CC(v) = \frac{|V(G)|-1}{SD(v)}$. Closeness centrality has been used in the analysis of social and biological networks, but some attention has also been given to the mathematical properties [4]. In this paper, we investigate the sum of the distances (which can then easily be converted to values for closeness centrality). We note that the *SD* values (and hence values for closeness centrality) can be computed for all vertices in a graph for most $O(n^3)$ times using the Floyd–Warshall algorithm [5,6] and then summing the rows or columns in the final matrix. However, the general problem of identifying vertices in asymmetric graphs that have identical *SD* values is a much more challenging problem.

We next provide some motivation based on a real-world application. The Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority (MARTA) subway network has 38 stations, which can be modeled as a tree with 38 vertices. A map is shown in Figure 1.



Citation: Ramanathan, N.; Ramirez, E.; Suzuki-Burke, D.; Narayan, D.A. Closeness Centrality of Asymmetric Trees and Triangular Numbers. *Mathematics* **2024**, *12*, 2994. https:// doi.org/10.3390/math12192994

Academic Editor: Andrea Scozzari

Received: 12 August 2024 Revised: 20 September 2024 Accepted: 24 September 2024 Published: 26 September 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).



Figure 1. MARTA rail map (source: itsmarta.com, accessed on 26 July 2024).

Using rail distances provided to us directly from MARTA, we computed the *SD* value for each station. Our goal is to identify multiple stations with equal or nearly equal *SD* values, which would indicate that these stations have similar levels of centrality within the network.

Surprisingly, despite their differing locations within the MARTA network, Oakland City, Lindbergh Center, and Bankhead have *SD* values of 298, 299.7, and 300.4, respectively, and are within 1% of one another. The same holds for the *SD* values of the following stations: Midtown (229.6), Vine City (229), and King Memorial (230.8). In addition, Georgia State (215.4), Civic Center (216.6), Garnett (217), and GWCC/CNN Center (217.8) are all within 1.2% of each other.

The MARTA network is an asymmetric tree, since it is connected with no cycles, and the automorphism group on the set of vertices is trivial. Asymmetric trees were first studied by Erdős and Rényi [7]. It is clear that if there exists a non-trivial automorphism for a tree, then there are multiple vertices with the same SD value. However, the converse is not true. If the tree is asymmetric, then there could be either multiple vertices with the same SD value or no vertices with the same SD value. It is for this reason that we focus on asymmetric trees. Erdős and Rényi identified the smallest asymmetric tree, which has a vertex of degree 3, that is incident to paths of lengths 1, 2, and 3 [7]. This presents a natural extension to a vertex of degree *n* that is incident to paths of lengths 1, 2, ..., *n*. We will

first focus on this family of asymmetric trees and then change the lengths of the paths to k, 2k, ..., kn.

In Section 2, we investigate *SD* values for asymmetric trees that have pendant paths incident to a 'central' vertex. These pendant paths will be referred to as branches. Among our results, we show in the case where the paths have lengths 1, 2, 3, ..., n or 2, 4, 6, ..., 2nwhich trees have pairs of vertices with the same *SD* values and which do not. In the cases that have these pairs, we precisely determine these vertices and establish them with a surprising connection to the triangular numbers. In Section 3, we investigate trees with two vertices, v_1 and v_2 , with pendant paths incident to each of these vertices. We investigate sufficient conditions for $SD(v_1) = SD(v_2)$. This leads to combinatorial identities tied to Pascal's triangle.

2. k-Multiple Trees

We define a *k*-multiple tree $T_{n,k}$ to be an asymmetric tree with a vertex *v* of degree *n* incident to pendant paths of lengths k, 2k, ..., nk. An example is shown in Figure 2 with the *SD* values for each of the vertices. The pairs of vertices with equal *SD* values are colored red and blue.



Figure 2. The tree $T_{5,1}$ with *SD* values for each vertex, with the two pairs of vertices with equal *SD* values colored in red and blue.

We use (0,0) to denote the vertex of degree *n* and (i, j) to denote the vertex on the i - th shortest pendant path that is distance *j* from (0,0). We will refer to vertices with the same *j* values as a 'row'.

Theorem 1. Consider $T_{n,k}$, where $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le ik$. Then, SD(i, j) equals

$$\frac{1}{8}k^{2}\binom{2n+2}{3} + \frac{1}{2}k\binom{n+1}{2} + j^{2} - 2kij + kj\binom{n+1}{2}.$$
(1)

Proof. We first calculate the *SD* value for the vertex with degree n. SD(0,0) =

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{ki} j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{ki+1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k^2 i^2 + ki = \frac{1}{2} k^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 + \frac{1}{2} k \sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{1}{8} k^2 \binom{2n+2}{3} + \frac{1}{2} k \binom{n+1}{2}$$

To find the sum of distances for the vertex (i, j), we separate the tree into three sections: 'above' the vertex on same branch (lower *j* values), 'below' the vertex on the same branch (higher *j* values), and vertices on other branches. We can then subtract the distances between the root and the vertices on the specific branch and add back in the distances between the vertex and the vertices on its branch. Finally, we shift the vertices on separate branches by *j*.

$$\begin{split} SD(i,j) &= SD(0,0) - \binom{ki+1}{2} + \binom{j+1}{2} + \binom{ki-j+1}{2} + j(k+2k+\cdots+kn-ki) \\ &= SD(0,0) - \frac{(ki+1)(ki)}{2} + \frac{(j+1)(j)}{2} + \frac{(ki-j)(ki-j+1)}{2} + j(k(1+2+\cdots+n)-ki) \\ &= SD(0,0) + \frac{-k^2i^2 - ki+j^2 + j+k^2i^2 - 2kij+ki+j^2 - j}{2} + kj\binom{n+1}{2} - kij \\ &= SD(0,0) + \frac{2j^2 - 2kij}{2} + kj\binom{n+1}{2} - kij \\ &= SD(0,0) + j^2 - 2kij + kj\binom{n+1}{2}. \end{split}$$

We first consider the case where k = 1 and give a necessary and sufficient condition when there are pairs of vertices with identical *SD* values.

Theorem 2. $T_{n,1}$ has two pairs of vertices with the same SD values if and only if $n = a^2 + 1$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \ge 2$. Furthermore, when $n = a^2 + 1$, SD(i, i) = SD(n, i + 1), where $i = \binom{a}{2}$ or $\binom{a+1}{2}$.

The proof will follow at the end of this section.

Next, we use Equation (1) to show that vertices on the same branch have different *SD* values.

Using the formula to find the difference between two adjacent vertices on the same row, (i, j) and (i + 1, j), we obtain the following:

$$SD(i+1,j) - SD(i,j) = (j-j)\left(k\binom{n+1}{2} + 2j\right) - 2k(j(i+1) - ij) = -2kj.$$

For the difference between two adjacent vertices on the same branch, (i, j) and (i, j + 1), we obtain the following:

$$SD(i, j+1) - SD(i, j) = (j+1-j)\left(k\binom{n+1}{2} + 2j+1\right) - 2k(i(j+1)-ij)$$
$$= \frac{kn^2 + kn}{2} + 2j + 1 - 2ki.$$

Since *i* is at most *n*, we have $\frac{kn^2+kn}{2} + 2j + 1 - 2ki \ge \frac{kn^2+kn}{2} + 1 - 2kn = \frac{kn(n-3)}{2} + 1 > 0$ for $n \ge 3$. Therefore, SD(i, j) > SD(i+1, j) and SD(i, j+1) > SD(i, j).

We will proceed with a series of lemmas that will be instrumental in the proof of Theorem 2 and also later in this paper. Unless stated otherwise, in all of these lemmas, $G = T_{n,k}$.

Lemma 1. For even k, in order for SD(i, j) = SD(l, m), |j - m| is even.

Proof. Let k = 2b.

$$SD(i,j) - SD(l,m) = j^2 - m^2 - 4b(ij - lm) + 2b\binom{n+1}{2}(j-m)$$

We will use a proof by contradiction to show that if SD(i, j) = SD(l, m), then j and m must both be even or odd. If j is odd and m is even, then $j^2 - m^2$ is odd, so SD(i, j) - SD(l, m) is odd. A similar case holds when m is odd and j is even. Therefore, in order for SD(i, j) = SD(l, m), j and m must either both be even or odd. \Box

Lemma 2. For $T_{n,1}$, if SD(i, j) = SD(l, m), |j - m| = 1.

Proof. Subtracting SD(n, i + 2) - SD(i, i), we obtain the following:

$$(i+2-i)\left(\binom{n+1}{2}+2i+2\right)-2(n(i+1)-i^2)$$

= $2i^2+(4-2n)i+(n(n+1)-4n+4).$

Finding the discriminant of the quadratic polynomial in *i*, we obtain the following:

$$\Delta_i = 4(-(n-1)^2 - 3).$$

This discriminant is always negative, and since SD(n, i + 2) - SD(i, i) is an upwardfacing parabola in i, SD(n, i + 2) > SD(i, i). Since SD(i, j) > SD(i + 1, j) and SD(i, j + 1) >SD(i, j), we also have SD(i + a, i - b) < SD(n - c, i + 2 + d) for all $a, b, c, d \ge 0$. For a visual example, we refer to Figure 3. Here, the *SD*s of the blue vertices are all less than or equal to the *SD*s of the orange vertex, and the *SD*s of the red vertices are all greater than or equal to the *SD*s of the green vertex, so the *SD*s of any blue vertex are greater than or equal to the *SD*s of any red vertex. By performing this comparison with every vertex (i, i) and (n, i + 2), we determine that all vertices with the same *SD* are at most one row apart, and since the *SD*s of two vertices on the same row cannot be equal, we have that |j - m| = 1 if SD(i, j) = SD(l, m). \Box



Figure 3. A tree showing SD(i + a, i - b) < SD(n - c, i + 2 + d).

Lemma 3. For $T_{n,2}$, if SD(i, j) = SD(l, m), |j - m| = 2.

Proof. (i) We proceed using a similar method to the previous lemma. SD(n, 2i + 3) - SD(i, 2i) equals the following:

$$2(2i+3-2i)\left(\binom{n+1}{2}+4i+3\right)-4(n(2i+3)-2i^2)$$

= $8i^2+(12-8n)i+(3n^2-9n+9).$

Finding the discriminant of the quadratic polynomial in *i*, we obtain the following:

$$\Delta_i = 16(-2n^2 - 18n - 9).$$

Since the discriminant is less than 0, and since SD(n, 2i + 3) - SD(i, 2i) is an upward-facing parabola in i, SD(n, 2i + 3) > SD(i, 2i). Based on the fact that SD(i, j) > SD(i + 1, j) and SD(i, j + 1) > SD(i, j), we have SD(i + a, 2i - b) < SD(n - c, 2i + 3 + d) for all $a, b, c, d \ge 0$.

(ii) Next, we find SD(n, 2i + 2) - SD(i, 2i - 1):

6 of 15

$$2(2i+2-(2i-1))\left(\binom{n+1}{2}+4i+1\right)-4(n(2i+2)-i(2i-1))$$

= $8i^2+i(20-8n)+(3n^2-5n+6)$

Finding the discriminant of the quadratic polynomial in *i*, we obtain the following:

$$\Delta_i = 16(-2n^2 - 10n + 13)$$

which is negative for n > 2, and since n > 2 in $T_{n,k}$, and SD(n, 2i + 2) - SD(i, 2i) is an upward-facing parabola in i, SD(n, 2i + 2) > SD(i, 2i - 1). Then, since SD(i, j) > SD(i + 1, j) and SD(i, j + 1) > SD(i, j), we have SD(i + e, 2i - 1 - f) < SD(n - g, 2i + 2 - h) for all $e, f, g, h \ge 0$. Combining this with the result in (i), we have that if SD(i, j) = SD(l, m), |j - m| < 3. Then, using Lemma 1, we have that |j - m| = 2. \Box

In our next lemma, we compare SD(i, i) and SD(n - 1, i + 1) in the tree $T_{n,1}$.

Lemma 4. Let k = 1. For i < n - 1, SD(i, i) < SD(l, i + 1) when $i + 1 \le l \le n - 1$.

Proof. Suppose that the vertices (i, i) and (n - 1, i + 1) have equal *SD* values for some *i*.

$$\begin{split} 0 &= SD(n-1,i+1) - SD(i,i) \\ &= \binom{n+1}{2}(i+1) - (i+1)^2 - 2(i+1)(n-1-(i+1)) - \binom{n+1}{2}i - i^2 - 2i(i-i) \\ &= \binom{n+1}{2} - (i^2 + 2i + 1) - 2(in - i^2 - 2i + n - i - 2) + i^2 \\ &= (n^2 + n)/2 - 2i - 1 - 2in + 2i^2 + 4i - 2n + 2i + 4 \\ &= n^2 + n - 4i - 4in + 4i^2 + 8i - 4n + 4i + 6 \\ &= 4i^2 + (8 - 4n)i + (n^2 - 3n + 6). \end{split}$$

Then, $i = \frac{(4n-8)\pm 4\sqrt{-n-2}}{8}$.

Note that $\sqrt{-n-2}$ is never real, so *i* has no integer solutions for SD(i, i) = SD(n-1, i+1). Since $SD(n-1, i+1) - SD(i, i) = 4i^2 + (8-4n)i + (n^2 - 3n + 6)$ is an upward-facing parabola with no real roots for all *n*, then SD(n-1, i+1) - SD(i, i) > 0 and SD(n-1, i+1) > SD(i, i). Since *SD* increases as *j* decreases, we have that $SD(i, i) < SD(n-c, i+1), c \ge 1$. \Box

Lemma 5. If $k \ge 1$ and $n = a^2 + 1$, SD(i, ki) = SD(n, ki + k).

Proof. Using Equation (1),

$$0 = SD(n, ki + k) - SD(i, ki)$$

= $(ki + k)^2 - (ki)^2 - 2k(n(ki + k) - i(ki)) + k(ki + k - ki)\binom{n+1}{2}$
= $k^2i^2 + 2k^2i + k^2 - k^2i^2 - 2k(nki + nk - ki^2) + \frac{k^2(n+1)(n)}{2}$
= $2k^2i + k^2 - 2k^2ni - 2k^2n + 2k^2i^2 + \frac{k^2n^2 + k^2n}{2}$
= $2k^2i^2 + (2k^2 - 2k^2n)i + k^2 - 2k^2n + \frac{k^2n^2 + k^2n}{2}$.

Then, $i = \frac{n - 1 \pm \sqrt{n - 1}}{2}$.

We find that this pattern shows up for $n = a^2 + 1$ for an arbitrary k (because k cancels out). Then,

$$i = \frac{(a^2+1)-1\pm a}{2}$$
$$= \frac{a^2+a}{2} \text{ or } \frac{a^2-a}{2}$$
$$= \binom{a+1}{2} \text{ or } \binom{a}{2}.$$

Next, we provide the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. Combining Lemmas 2 and 4, we have that (i, i) and (n, i + 1) is the only pair of vertices that could have equal *SD* values for $T_{n,1}$. Furthermore, Lemma 5 shows that this pair only shows up for $n = a^2 + 1$ when $i = \binom{a}{2}$ or $\binom{a+1}{2}$. \Box

2.1. Asymmetric Trees with Even Path Lengths

We consider a tree $T_{n,k}$ where k is an even integer. The lengths of the paths incident to the 'center' vertex v have lengths k, 2k, ..., nk. The theorems in this subsection help identify trees with two or three vertices with the same *SD* value.

In addition to SD(i, i) = SD(n, i+1), when $i = \binom{a}{2}$ or $\binom{a+1}{2}$, we observed an additional pair of vertices with the same SD value for even values of k.

Theorem 3. For all positive, even values of k and $i = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, $SD(i, ki - \frac{k}{2}) = SD(n, ki + \frac{k}{2})$.

Proof. We set $SD(i, ki - \frac{k}{2}) = SD(n, ki + \frac{k}{2})$ and solve for *i*.

$$\begin{split} 0 &= SD\left(n,ki+\frac{k}{2}\right) - SD\left(i,ki-\frac{k}{2}\right) \\ &= \left(ki+\frac{k}{2}\right)^2 - \left(ki-\frac{k}{2}\right)^2 - 2k\left(n\left(ki+\frac{k}{2}\right) - i\left(ki-\frac{k}{2}\right)\right) + k\left(ki+\frac{k}{2}-ki+\frac{k}{2}\right)\binom{n+1}{2} \\ &= 2k^2i - 2k\left(kni+\frac{kn}{2}-ki^2+\frac{ki}{2}\right) + k^2\frac{n^2+n}{2} \\ &= 2k^2i - 2k^2ni - k^2n + 2k^2i^2 - k^2i + \frac{k^2n^2}{2} + \frac{k^2n}{2} \\ &= 2k^2i^2 + (k^2 - 2k^2n)i + \frac{k^2n^2}{2} - \frac{k^2n}{2}. \end{split}$$

Solving for *i*, we have the following:

$$i = \frac{(2k^2n - k^2) \pm \sqrt{(k^2 - 2k^2n)^2 - 4(2k^2)(\frac{k^2n^2}{2} - \frac{k^2n}{2})}}{4k^2}$$

= $\frac{(2k^2n - k^2) \pm \sqrt{k^4 - 4k^4n + 4k^4n^2 - 4k^4n^2 + 4k^4n}}{4k^2}$
= $\frac{(2k^2n - k^2) \pm k^2}{4k^2}$
= $\frac{2n - 1 \pm 1}{4}$
 $i = \frac{n}{2} \text{ or } \frac{n - 1}{2}.$

The pattern $SD(i, ki - \frac{k}{2}) = SD(n, ki + \frac{k}{2})$ has a solution for all *n*. Since $\frac{k}{2}$ must be an integer, we find that for even values of *k*, every tree has at least one set of two vertices with the same *SD* value.

Theorem 4. $T_{n,2}$ has a pair vertices with the same SD value, and in the case where $n = a^2 + 1$, there are three pairs of vertices with the same SD value.

Proof. First, Lemmas 1 and 3 tell us that for k = 2, equal vertices must be exactly two rows apart. Based on Theorem 3, we have that every $T_{n,2}$ has exactly one pair of equal vertices of the form (i, 2i - 1) and (n, 2i + 1). Lemma 5 gives two additional pairs of equal vertices when $n = a^2 + 1$.

To rule out other cases of vertices having the same *SD*, we start by looking at (i, 2i) and (n - 1, 2i + 2). If SD(i, 2i) = SD(n - 1, 2i + 2), we obtain the following:

$$0 = (2i + 2 - 2i)(n^2 + n + 4i + 2) - 4((n - 1)(2i + 2) - 2i^2)$$

$$8i^2 + (16 - 8n)i + (2n^2 - 6n + 12).$$

We find that the discriminant of this quadratic is negative, so they can never be equal. As a consequence, we also have SD(n - 1 - k, 2i + 2 + j) > SD(i, 2i) for all $k, j \ge 0$. We then look at (i + 1, 2i) and (n, 2i + 2). We set SD(i + 1, 2i) = SD(n, 2i + 2) and will show that there are no values of *i* and *n* that make this equation true.

$$0 = 2(n^{2} + n + 4i + 2) - 4(n(2i + 2) - 2i(i + 1))$$

= $2n^{2} + 2n + 8i + 4 - 8ni - 8n + 8i^{2} + 8i$
= $8i^{2} + (16 - 8n)i + (2n^{2} - 6n + 4).$

Finding the discriminant of this quadratic, we obtain the following:

$$\Delta = 64(2-n)^2 - 32(2n^2 - 6n + 4)$$

= 4 - 4n + n² - n² + 3n - 2
= 2 - n.

This is negative for n > 2, so we have SD(n, 2i + 2) > SD(i + k, 2i - j) for all $k, j \ge 0$. We next consider (i, 2i - 1) and (n - 1, 2i + 1). If SD(i, 2i - 1) = SD(n - 1, 2i + 1), we obtain the following:

$$0 = 2(n^{2} + n + 4i) - 4((n - 1)(2i + 1) - 2i^{2} - i)$$

= $2n^{2} + 2n + 8i - 4(2ni + n - 2i - 1 - 2i^{2} - i)$
= $2n^{2} + 2n + 8i - 8ni - 4n + 8i + 4 + 8i^{2} + 4i$
= $2n^{2} - 2n + 20i - 8ni + 4 + 8i^{2}$
= $8i^{2} + (20 - 8n)i + (2n^{2} - 2n + 4).$

Finding the discriminant of this quadratic, we obtain the following:

$$\Delta = 16(5-2n)^2 - 32(2n^2 - 2n + 4)$$

= 25 - 20n + 4n^2 - 4n^2 + 4n - 8
= 17 - 16n.

This is negative for n > 1, so we have SD(n - 1 - k, 2i + 1 + j) > SD(i, 2i - 1) for all $k, j \ge 0$. Finally, we look at (i + 1, 2i - 1) and (n, 2i + 1).

Finding the discriminant of this quadratic, we obtain the following:

$$\Delta = 16(1-2n)^2 - 32(2n^2 - 2n + 4)$$

= 1 - 4n + 4n^2 - 4n^2 + 4n - 8
= -7.

Since the discriminant is negative, we have SD(n, 2i + 1) > SD(i + 1 + k, 2i - 1 - j) for all $k, j \ge 0$.

Combining the four previous results, the only possible solutions for even *k* have the form SD(i, 2i) = SD(n, 2i + 2), and SD(i, 2i - 1) = SD(n, 2i + 1). \Box

2.2. Triple Values

In the previous section, we identified asymmetric trees that have pairs of vertices with the same *SD* value. However, we found asymmetric trees that have a 'triple': three vertices with the same *SD* value. For example, when k = 3, n = 6, SD(2,5) = SD(6,8) = SD(5,7); when k = 6, n = 6, SD(2,10) = SD(5,14) = SD(6,16); and when k = 8, n = 4, SD(1,6) = SD(3,10) = SD(4,14). In this section, we will expand these to infinite families of graphs with three vertices that have the same *SD* value.

In Table 1, we see that triples occur for different values of *n* and *k*. Many of the even cases are verified in the theorems in this section. Other patterns were not as easily categorized.

Form of k . 4u and 12w .3u and 15w
. 4 <i>u</i> and 12 <i>w</i> 3 <i>u</i> and 15 <i>w</i>
<i>3u</i> and <i>15w</i>
. 8 <i>u</i>
. 5 <i>u</i>
\dots 12 <i>u</i> and 84 <i>w</i>
. 7 <i>u</i>
12 <i>u</i>
20u and 35w
36 <i>u</i>
28 <i>u</i>
44 <i>u</i> , 76 <i>w</i> , and 76 <i>z</i>

Table 1. Existence of triples for different *n* and *k* values.

We observe that when $\frac{n}{2}$ is even, a triple exists when *k* is a multiple of *n*. When $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd, *k* is a multiple of $\frac{n}{2}$. Some values of *k* also have a second set of triples.

Since the *j* values change depending on *k*, we divide the *j* values into *segments* and *locations*. Each segment is of length *k*, the first going from rows 1, 2, ..., k, the second from rows k + 1, k + 2, ..., 2k, continuing until the last segment from (n - 1)k + 1, (n - 1)k + 2, ..., nk. The location in the segment is numbered by how far from the top of the segment the vertex is.

For example, for the tree $T_{3,5}$ with branches of lengths 5, 10, and 15, the vertex (2, 7) is in the second segment at location 2. This is illustrated in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Segments and locations for $T_{3,5}$.

We can then represent *j* using segments and locations.

j = (segment - 1)k + location

We next explore trees where we scale *k* by a constant and see that the pairs of vertices with equal *SD* values scale accordingly.

Lemma 6. Let c > 1. If SD(i, j) = SD(l, m) for $T_{n,k}$, then SD(i, cj) = SD(l, cm) for $T_{n,ck}$.

Proof. We see that for $T_{n,k}$, using the *SD* formula,

$$(j-m)\left(k\binom{n+1}{2}+j+m\right)-2k(ij-lm)=0$$

Then, substituting *cj* for *j* and *cm* for *m*,

$$SD(i,cj) - SD(l,cm) = (cj - cm)\left(ck\binom{n+1}{2} + cj + cm\right) - 2ck(cij - clm)$$
$$= c^2(SD(i,j) - SD(l,m))$$
$$= c^2(0)$$
$$= 0$$

Previously, we found two main patterns for triples for even *n* values. The first occurred when $\frac{n}{2} \equiv 0 \mod 2$. Here, we note that there are three vertices with the same *SD* value when *k* is a multiple of *n*.

Observing this pattern in Table 2, for each *n* value, the *i* values of the matching vertices remain the same. For example, for n = 4, the *i* values are 1, 3, and 4. For n = 8, the *i* values are 3, 7, and 8. We will show in Theorem 5 that the *i* values are $\frac{n}{2} - 1$, n - 1, and *n*.

Finding the segments for the triple, we see that for n = 4, the leftmost vertex appears in the first segment, while the other two vertices occur in the second segment. For n = 8, the leftmost vertex appears in the third segment, and the others appear in the fourth. When $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd, a similar pattern appears.

Next, we checked the locations of the vertices. The vertex (1, 3) for n = 4, k = 4 is located in the first segment at location 3, the vertex (3, 5) is in segment 2 at location 1, and the vertex (4, 7) is in segment 2 at location 3. Note that in Table 3, for n = 4, k = 8, the locations are scalar multiples of the locations for n = 4, k = 4.

n	k	Vertices
4	4	(1, 3), (3, 5), and (4, 7)
4	8	(1, 6), (3, 10), and (4, 14)
4	12	(1, 9), (3, 15), and (4, 21)
8	8	(3, 21), (7, 27), and (8, 29)
8	16	(3, 42), (7, 54), and (8, 58)
12	12	(5, 55), (11, 65), and (12, 67)
16	16	(7, 105), (15, 119), and (16, 121)

Table 2. Existence of triples where both *n* and *k* are multiples of 4.

To generalize this, the segments in which the triples appear when *n* is even are $\frac{n}{2} - 1$, $\frac{n}{2}$, and $\frac{n}{2}$. Then, generalizing the locations for when $\frac{n}{2}$ is even, we have $\frac{n}{2} + 1$, $\frac{n}{2} - 1$, and $\frac{n}{2} + 1$.

Table 3. Existence of triples for different *n* and *k* values with segments and locations.

п	Segments	п	k	Locations
4	1, 2, and 2	4	4	3, 1, and 3
6	2, 3, and 3	4	8	6, 2, and 6
8	3, 4, and 4	8	8	5, 3, and 5
10	4, 5, and 5	12	12	7, 5, and 7
12	5, 6, and 6	16	16	9, 7, and 9
14	6, 7, and 7			
16	7, 8, and 8			

Theorem 5. When k = n = 2w, the vertices $(w - 1, 2w^2 - 3w + 1)$, $(2w - 1, 2w^2 - w - 1)$, and $(2w, 2w^2 - w + 1)$ have equal SD values.

Proof. Let Z = SD(0, 0).

Using Equation (1), we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} SD(w-1,2w^2-3w+1) &= Z + (2w^2-3w+1)^2 - 2(2w)(w-1)(2w^2-3w+1) + (2w)(2w^2-3w+1)\binom{2w+1}{2} \\ &= Z + 8w^5 - 12w^4 + 6w^3 - w^2 - 2w + 1. \\ SD(2w-1,2w^2-w-1) &= Z + (2w^2-w-1)^2 - 2(2w)(2w-1)(2w^2-w-1) + (2w)(2w^2-w-1)\binom{2w+1}{2} \\ &= Z + 8w^5 - 12w^4 + 6w^3 - w^2 - 2w + 1. \\ SD(2w,2w^2-w+1) &= Z + (2w^2-w+1)^2 - 2(2w)(2w)(2w^2-w+1) + (2w)(2w^2-w+1)\binom{2w+1}{2} \\ &= Z + 8w^5 - 12w^4 + 6w^3 - w^2 - 2w + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since these three pairs have the same *SD* value, there is a triple. \Box

The above proof works for n = 2w and k = 2w. Lemma 6 shows that if two vertices have equal *SD* values, then scaling *k* by a constant results in vertices with the same *SD* values with the same *i* values and *j* values scaled by the same constant as *k* in the new tree.

When n = 2w and k = 2wc, the vertices $(w - 1, 2w^2c - 3wc + c)$, $(2w - 1, 2w^2c - wc - c)$, and $(2w, 2w^2c - wc + c)$ have equal *SD* values.

Next, consider when $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd. When $\frac{n}{2} \equiv 1 \mod 2$, vertices with equal *SD* values appear when *k* is a multiple of $\frac{n}{2}$. The *i* values of the triple are $\frac{n}{2} - 1$, n - 1, and *n*. The *j* segments are $\frac{n}{2} - 1$, $\frac{n}{2}$, and $\frac{n}{2}$. We show triples for various values of *n* and *k* in Table 4.

п	k	Vertices	п	k	Locations
6	3	(2, 5), (5, 7), and (6, 8)	6	3	2, 1, and 2
6	6	(2, 10), (5, 14), and (6, 16)	10	5	3, 2, and 3
10	5	(4, 18), (9, 22), and (10, 23)	14	7	4, 3, and 4
10	10	(4, 36), (9, 44), and (10, 46) 18		9	5, 4, and 5
14	7	(6, 39), (13, 45), and (14, 46)			
18	9	(8, 68), (17, 76), and (18, 77)			

Table 4. Triples for different *n* and *k* values with segments and locations.

Let n = 2(2b + 1) and k = 2b + 1. Then, the *i* values can be represented as 2*b*, 4b+1, and 4*b* + 2. The *j* segments can be written as 2*b*, 2*b* + 1, and 2*b* + 1. Finally, the locations can be represented as b + 1, b, and b + 1.

Theorem 6. When n = 2(2b + 1) and k = 2b + 1, the three vertices $(2b, 4b^2 + b)$, $(4b + 1, 4b^2 + 3b)$, and $(4b + 2, 4b^2 + 3b + 1)$ have equal SD values.

Proof. Let Z = SD(0, 0). Using Equation (1), we have the following:

$$\begin{split} SD(2b,4b^2+b) &= Z + (4b^2+b)^2 - 2(2b+1)(2b)(4b^2+b) + (2b+1)(4b^2+b)\binom{4b+3}{2} \\ &= 64b^5 + 112b^4 + 76b^3 + 25b^2 + 3 \ b. \\ SD(4b+1,4b^2+3b) &= Z + (4b^2+3b)^2 - 2(2b+1)(4b+1)(4b^2+3b) + (2b+1)(4b^2+3b)\binom{4b+3}{2} \\ &= 64b^5 + 112b^4 + 76b^3 + 25b^2 + 3 \ b. \\ SD(4b+2,4b^2+3b+1) &= Z + (4b^2+3b+1)^2 - 2(2b+1)(4b+2)(4b^2+3b+1) + (2b+1)(4b^2+3b+1)\binom{4b+3}{2} \\ &= 64b^5 + 112b^4 + 76b^3 + 25b^2 + 3b. \end{split}$$

Since the *SD* values are the same for all three vertices, they form a triple. \Box

Based on Lemma 6, when n = 2(2b + 1) and k = (2b + 1)c, the vertices $(2b, 4b^2c + bc)$, $(4b + 1, 4b^2c + 3bc)$, and $(4b + 2, 4b^2c + 3bc + c)$ have equal *SD* values.

When $\frac{n}{2} \equiv 0 \mod 2$, there is a second pattern. We show these in Table 5.

Table 5.	More	patterns	for	triples.
----------	------	----------	-----	----------

n	k	Vertices	Segments	Locations
4	12	(2, 5), (4, 11), and (3, 7)	1, 1, 1	5, 11, 7
12	28	(6, 117), (10, 131), and (11, 135)	5, 5, 5	5, 19, 23
16	36	(8, 221), (13, 239), and (15, 247)	7,7,7	5, 23, 31
20	44	(10, 357), (16, 379), and (19, 291)	9, 9, 9	5, 27, 39
24	52	(12, 525), (19, 551), and (23, 567)	11, 11, 11	5, 31, 47

Let n = 4c. There is a second pattern of triples when k is a multiple of 8c + 4. The i values are 2c, 3c + 1, and 4c - 1. The j segments are 2c - 1, and the locations within the segment are 5, 4c + 7, and 8c - 1.

Theorem 7. When n = 4c and k = 8c + 4, $c \neq 2$, the vertices $(2c, 16c^2 - 8c - 3)$, $(3c + 1, 16c^2 - 4c - 1)$, and $(4c - 1, 16c^2 - 9)$ have equal SD values.

Proof. Let Z = SD(0,0). Using the *SD* formula for *k*-multiple trees, we obtain the following:

$$SD(2c, 16c^{2} - 8c - 3) = Z + (16c^{2} - 8c - 3)^{2} - 2(8c + 4)(2c)(16c^{2} - 8c - 3) + (8c + 4)(16c^{2} - 8c - 3)\binom{4c + 1}{2}$$
$$= Z + 1024c^{5} - 704c^{3} - 16c^{2} + 72c + 9.$$

$$SD(3c+1, 16c^{2} - 4c - 1) = Z + (16c^{2} - 4c - 1)^{2} - 2(8c + 4)(3c + 1)(16c^{2} - 4c - 1) + (8c + 4)(16c^{2} - 4c - 1)\binom{4c + 1}{2}$$
$$= Z + 1024c^{5} - 704c^{3} - 16c^{2} + 72c + 9.$$

$$SD(4c-1, 16c^2-9) = Z + (16c^2-9)^2 - 2(8c+4)(4c-1)(16c^2-9) + (8c+4)(16c^2-9)\binom{4c+1}{2}$$
$$= Z + 1024c^5 - 704c^3 - 16c^2 + 72c + 9.$$

Since these vertices have the same *SD* value, they form a triple.

Now, consider when c = 2. Then, n = 8, k = 20, and the three matching vertices are (4, 44), (7, 55), and (7, 55). Since the second and third vertices are the same, there is no triple for this pattern for n = 8. \Box

Based on Lemma 6, when n = 4c and k = (8c + 4)g, the vertices $(2c, 16c^2g - 8cg - 3g)$, $(3c + 1, 16c^2g - 4cg - g)$, and $(4c - 1, 16c^2g - 9g)$ form a triple.

3. Trees with a Pair of Vertices with the Same SD Value

In this section, we consider trees with a path connecting two vertices v_1 and v_2 with pendant paths incident to v_1 and v_2 . We investigate sufficient conditions for $SD(v_1) = SD(v_2)$ with a surprising connection to combinatorial identities involving Pascal's triangle.

Lemma 7. Let G be a tree with vertices v_1 and v_2 with a path with t vertices connecting them and with pendant paths incident to v_1 and v_2 . If there is an equal number of vertices on the two sides of the path with end-vertices v_1 and v_2 , then $SD(v_1) = SD(v_2)$.

Proof. We can proceed by induction on the number of vertices in the tree. For the base case, there are zero vertices on each side of the path, and $SD(v_1) = SD(v_2)$ by symmetry. Next, assume the property holds for graphs with k vertices on each side of the path connecting v_1 and v_2 . Then, consider a graph G where there are k + 1 vertices on each side of the path connecting v_1 and v_2 . Then, we remove vertex v_a from the side with v_1 distance a from v_1 and remove a vertex v_b from the side with v_2 distance b from v_2 . Then, $SD(v_1)$ and $SD(v_2)$ will each decrease by a + b + t. \Box

Example 1. Consider the asymmetric tree shown in Figure 5.

Based on Lemma 7, $SD(v_1) = SD(v_2)$. Then, their corresponding algebraic expressions are equal. $SD(v_1) + 1 = SD(v_2) + 1$ implies $\binom{6}{2} + \binom{9}{2} + \binom{5}{2} + \binom{12}{2} = \binom{7}{2} + \binom{10}{2} + \binom{4}{2} + \binom{11}{2}$. We illustrate a connection to Pascal's triangle in Figure 5. We present the following result for

We illustrate a connection to Pascal's triangle in Figure 5. We present the following result for trees that have the same number of vertices on the two sides of the edge v_1v_2 .

Theorem 8. Suppose a_i and b_j are integers greater than or equal to 2 with $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le m$, and let ℓ be an integer such that $\ell \ge 2$. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j,$$

then

8

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{a_i}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \binom{b_j + \ell}{2} - m\binom{\ell+1}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{a_i + \ell}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \binom{b_j}{2} - n\binom{\ell+1}{2}.$$

Figure 5. A graph where $SD(v_1) = SD(v_2)$.

Proof. Suppose we have a path from v_1 to v_2 of length l. Then, suppose we have n branches connected to v_1 , each one being a pendant path of length $a_1 - 1, a_2 - 1, ..., a_n - 1$, and we have m branches connected to v_2 , each one being a pendant path of length $b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, ..., b_m - 1$. If the number of vertices on each side are the same, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j$, and we the sums of the distances are equal. For a path of length $a_i - 1$, $SD_{a_i-1}(v_1) = \binom{a_i}{2}$, and for a path of length $b_j - 1$, $SD_{b_j-1}(v_1) = \binom{b_j+l}{2}$. However, we are counting the path of length ℓ , m - 1 extra times. Therefore, we have

$$SD(v_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n {a_i \choose 2} + \sum_{j=1}^n {b_j + \ell \choose 2} - (m-1){\ell+1 \choose 2},$$

and similarly,

$$SD(v_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n {a_i + \ell \choose 2} + \sum_{j=1}^n {b_j + 1 \choose 2} - (n-1){\ell + 1 \choose 2}.$$

Since $SD(v_1) = SD(v_2)$, the proof is complete. \Box

Corollary 1. Let *a*, *b*, *c*, *d* be integers greater than or equal to 2, with a + b = c + d. Then, $\binom{a}{2} + \binom{b}{2} + \binom{c+1}{2} + \binom{d+1}{2} = \binom{a+1}{2} + \binom{b+1}{2} + \binom{c}{2} + \binom{d}{2}$.

We also note that as a consequence of Theorem 8, the squares and circles can be shifted to the left by 1 to illustrate 4 + 7 + 10 + 11 = 5 + 6 + 9 + 12 as shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. A pattern arising from the tree in Figure 5.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigated asymmetric trees including a 'center' vertex v with pendant paths of lengths k, 2k, ..., nk. We completely characterized when there are pairs of vertices with the same *SD* value for k = 1 and k = 2. *SD* values for asymmetric trees with a vertex v of degree n and pendant paths of lengths 1, 3, 5, ..., 2n - 1 were investigated as *SD* values for some asymmetric graphs [8]. It would be an interesting problem to extend the results to the case where $k \ge 3$. A more general problem would be to extend these results for all asymmetric trees. We identified some asymmetric trees where three vertices have the same *SD* value, but we did not encounter asymmetric trees with four or more vertices with the same *SD* value. As a possible future study, we pose the following question.

Question. In an asymmetric tree with *n* vertices, what is the maximum number of vertices with the same *SD* value?

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.A.N.; Methodology, N.R. and D.S.-B.; Software, E.R.; Validation, N.R., E.R. and D.S.-B.; Formal analysis, N.R., E.R., D.S.-B. and D.A.N.; Investigation, E.R. and D.S.-B.; Writing—original draft, N.R., E.R., D.S.-B. and D.A.N.; Writing—review & editing, D.A.N.; Project administration, D.A.N.; Funding acquisition, D.A.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by a National Science Foundation Research Experiences for Undergraduates Site at the Rochester Institute of Technology (Award No. 2243938).

Data Availability Statement: The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: We are thankful for the efforts of the five referees whose recommendations improved this paper. This project was supported by a National Science Foundation Research Experiences for Undergraduates Site at the Rochester Institute of Technology (Award No. 2243938).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Sporns, O. Networks of the Brain; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2010.
- 2. Bavelas, A. Communication Patterns in Task-Oriented Groups. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 1950, 22, 725–730. [CrossRef]
- Neudorf, J.; Ekstrand, C.; Kress, S.; Borowsky, R. Brain Structural Connectivity Predicts Brain Functional Complexity: Diffusion Tensor Imaging Derived Centrality Accounts for Variance in Fractal Properties of Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Signal. *Neuroscience* 2020, 438, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Eballe, R.; Cabahug, I. Closeness Centrality of Some Graph Families. *Closeness Cent. Some Graph Fam.* **2021**, *16*, 1000–1009. [CrossRef]
- 5. Floyd, R.W. Algorithm 97: Shortest path. Commun. ACM 1962, 5, 345. [CrossRef]
- 6. Warshall, S. A theorem on boolean matrices. Commun. ACM 1962, 9, 11–12. [CrossRef]
- 7. Erdős, P.; Rényi, A. Asymmetric graphs. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 1963, 14, 295–315. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ramanathan, N.; Ramirez, E.; Suzuki-Burke, D.; Narayan, D.A. *Closeness Centrality in Asymmetric Graphs*; AMS: Providence, RI, USA, 2024.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.