

Online Supplementary S2

Proof for Proposition 2

The definition of R_p tells us that Eq (7) holds only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr \left(\bigcup_{n > m} \left\{ \sum_{l \in \frac{M_n}{N_n}} I(R(y_l, \omega_l) < R_p) < F(R(y, \omega) < R_p) \cdot \left| \frac{M_n}{N_n} \right| \right\} \right) = 0$$

A sufficient large m makes $\frac{|BM_n|}{\left| \frac{M_n}{N_n} \right|} \sim O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$, where BM_n represents the total number of new savings customers in the n th period ($n > m$). For each n , of all the $\left| \frac{M_n}{N_{n-1}} \right|$ customers who have not received a loan, only those with the top $|BM_n|$ expected returns may receive a loan. The event $\sum_{l \in \frac{M_n}{N_n}} I(R(y_l, \omega_l) < R_p) < F(R(y, \omega) < R_p) \cdot \left| \frac{M_n}{N_n} \right|$ occurring at this point requires that the risk of at least one lending customer whose retained interest rate satisfies the condition of $R(y, \omega) < R_p$ is misjudged to the extent that its expected return can be ranked in top $|BM_n|$, which occurs with a probability of occurrence less than $\alpha n \tau^n$ where $0 < \alpha, \tau < 1$. Therefore,

$$Pr \left(\bigcup_{n > m} \left\{ \sum_{l \in \frac{M_n}{N_n}} I(R(y_l, \omega_l) < R_p) < F(R(y, \omega) < R_p) \cdot \left| \frac{M_n}{N_n} \right| \right\} \right) \leq \sum_{n > m} (n - m)n \cdot \alpha \cdot \tau^n$$

holds and converges to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. \square