

Article

# Density Formula in Malliavin Calculus by Using Stein's Method and Diffusions

Hyun-Suk Park 

Division of Data Science, Data Science Convergence Research Center, Hallym University, Chuncheon 24252, Republic of Korea; hspark@hallym.ac.kr

**Abstract:** Let  $G$  be a random variable of functionals of an isonormal Gaussian process  $X$  defined on some probability space. Studies have been conducted to determine the exact form of the density function of the random variable  $G$ . In this paper, unlike previous studies, we will use the Stein's method for invariant measures of diffusions to obtain the density formula of  $G$ . By comparing the density function obtained in this paper with that of the diffusion invariant measure, we find that the diffusion coefficient of an Itô diffusion with an invariant measure having a density can be expressed as in terms of operators in Malliavin calculus.

**Keywords:** Malliavin calculus; Stein's method; density function; standard normal random variable; Itô diffusion

**MSC:** 60F17; 60F25; 60H07

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X = \{X(h), h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a real separable Hilbert space, be an isonormal Gaussian process defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and let  $G$  be a random variable of functionals of an isonormal Gaussian process  $X$ . The following formula on the density of a random variable  $G$  is a well-known fact of the Malliavin calculus: if  $\frac{DG}{\|DG\|_{\mathfrak{H}}}$  belongs to the domain of divergence operator  $\delta$ , then the law of  $G$  has a continuous and bounded density  $p_G$ , given by

$$p_G(x) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{G > x\}} \delta \left( \frac{DG}{\|DG\|_{\mathfrak{H}}} \right) \right] \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Several examples are detailed in the section titled "Malliavin Calculus" of Nualart's book [1] (or [2]). Nourdin and Viens (2009) prove a new general formula for  $p_G$  that does not refer to divergence operator  $\delta$ . For a random variable  $G \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$  with  $\mathbb{E}[G] = 0$ , where  $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$  is the domain of the Malliavin derivative operator  $D$  with respect to  $X$ , such that the Malliavin derivative  $DG$  of  $G$  is a random element belonging in  $\mathfrak{H}$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\|DG\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2] < \infty$ , we define the function  $g_G$  by

$$g_G(x) = \mathbb{E}[\langle DG, -DL^{-1}G \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} | G = x]. \quad (1)$$

The operator  $L$  appearing in (1) is the so-called generator of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup and  $L^{-1}$  is its pseudo-inverse. For details, see Section 2. It is well known that  $g_G$  is non-negative on the support of the law of  $G$  (see Proposition 3.9 in [3]).



Academic Editor: Mustafa Avci

Received: 29 November 2024

Revised: 8 January 2025

Accepted: 15 January 2025

Published: 20 January 2025

**Citation:** Park, H.-S. Density Formula in Malliavin Calculus by Using Stein's Method and Diffusions. *Mathematics* **2025**, *13*, 323. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math13020323>

**Copyright:** © 2025 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Under some general conditions on a random variable  $G$ , Nourdin and Viens (2009) obtained the new formula of the density  $p_G$  for the law of  $G$ , provided that it exists. A precise statement is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** ([Nourdin and Viens]). *The law of  $G$  admits a density (with respect to Lebesgue measure), say  $p_G$ , if and only if the random variable  $g_G(G)$  is almost surely strictly positive. In this case, the support of  $p_G$ , denoted by  $\text{supp}(p_G)$ , is a closed interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  containing zero and, for almost all  $x \in \text{supp}(p_G)$ ,*

$$p_G(x) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[|G|]}{2g_G(x)} \exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{y}{g_G(y)} dy\right). \tag{2}$$

Assume that the density  $p$  satisfies the following conditions: it is continuous and bounded, with  $\int_l^u x^2 p(x) dx < \infty$ . Let us set an interval  $I = (l, u)$  ( $-\infty \leq l < u \leq \infty$ ). Then,

$$\begin{cases} p(x) > 0 & \text{if } x \in I \\ p(x) = 0 & \text{if } x \in I^c \end{cases} .$$

We define a continuous function  $b$  on  $I$  such that there exists  $e \in (l, u)$ , satisfying

$$\begin{cases} b(x) > 0 & \text{if } x \in (l, e) \\ b(x) < 0 & \text{if } x \in (e, u) \end{cases} ,$$

where  $bp$  is bounded on  $I$  and

$$\int_l^u b(x)p(x)dx = 0.$$

Define

$$a(x) = \frac{2}{p(x)} \int_l^x b(y)p(y)dy. \tag{3}$$

Then, the diffusion with the invariant density  $p$  has the Stochastic Differential Equation (SDE) with the form

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sqrt{a(X_t)}dW_t, \tag{4}$$

where  $W$  is a standard Brownian motion. Equation (4) should be interpreted as an informal way of expressing the corresponding integral equation,

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s)ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{a(X_s)}dW_s. \tag{5}$$

The stochastic integral used in Equation (5) is of an Itô integral.

In the previous studies in this field (see [1,2,4]), the density function was obtained using the *integration-by-parts formula* (see Lemma 1 below) in Malliavin calculus. On the other hand, in this paper, we derive the new density formula of a random variable  $G$ , satisfying appropriate conditions related to Malliavin calculus, from the following equation obtained by using Stein’s method: for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(G \leq z) - \mathbb{P}(F \leq z) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \tilde{h}'_z(G) \left( \frac{1}{2}a(G) + \langle -DL^{-1}b(G), DG \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[b(G)]\mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}_z(G)], \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where  $F$  is a random variable with the invariant density  $p$  and  $\tilde{h}_z$  is a solution to the *Stein’s equation* (for a detailed explanation of Stein’s method, see [5–7]).

The density function obtained in this paper provides a surprising method for solving an existing problem (see Theorem 2 in [8]) linked to diffusions with an invariant density. As an application of our results, we will show that the diffusion coefficient  $a$  of SDE (4) can be written in an explicit form, like (1), if the random variable  $G$  in (6), with its value on  $I$ , has a density  $p$  and satisfies  $b(G) \in L^2(\Omega)$ . The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews some basic notations, and the contents of Malliavin calculus. In Section 3, we will briefly discuss the construction of a diffusion process with an invariant density  $p$ , and then describe our main results. Finally, as an application of our main results, in Section 4, we give some examples.

## 2. Preliminaries

### Malliavin Calculus

In this section, we present some basic facts about Malliavin operators defined on spaces of random elements that are functionals of possibly infinite-dimensional Gaussian fields. For a more detailed explanation, see [1,9]. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a real separable Hilbert space with a scalar product denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$ . Let  $X = \{X(h), h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$  be an isonormal Gaussian process, which is a centered Gaussian family of random variables such that  $\mathbb{E}[X(h)X(g)] = \langle h, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$ . For every  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\mathbb{H}_n$  be the  $n$ th Wiener chaos of  $X$ , which is the closed linear subspace of  $L^2(\Omega)$  generated by  $\{H_n(X(h)) : h \in \mathfrak{H}, \|h\|_{\mathfrak{H}} = 1\}$ , where  $H_n$  is the  $n$ th Hermite polynomial. We define a linear isometric mapping  $I_n : \mathfrak{H}^{\odot n} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_n$  by  $I_n(h^{\otimes n}) = n!H_n(X(h))$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot n}$  is the symmetric tensor product. It is well known that any square integrable random variable  $F \in L^2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$  ( $\mathfrak{F}$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $X$ ) can be expanded into a series of multiple stochastic integrals,

$$F = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_q),$$

where  $f_0 = \mathbb{E}[F]$ , the series converges in  $L^2$ , and the functions  $f_q \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot q}$  are uniquely determined by  $F$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the class of smooth and cylindrical random variables  $F$  of the form

$$F = f(X(\varphi_1), \dots, X(\varphi_n)), \tag{7}$$

where  $n \geq 1$ ,  $f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi_i \in \mathfrak{H}, i = 1, \dots, n$ . The Malliavin derivative of  $F$  with respect to  $X$  is the element of  $L^2(\Omega, \mathfrak{H})$  defined by

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X(\varphi_1), \dots, X(\varphi_n))\varphi_i. \tag{8}$$

We denote by  $\mathbb{D}^{l,p}$  the closure of its associated smooth random variable class with respect to the norm

$$\|F\|_{l,p}^p = \mathbb{E}(|F|^p) + \sum_{k=1}^l \mathbb{E}(\|D^k F\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes k}}^p).$$

We denote by  $\delta$  the adjoint of the operator  $D$ , also called the *divergence operator*. The domain of  $\delta$ , denoted by  $\text{Dom}(\delta)$ , is an element  $u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathfrak{H})$ , such that

$$|\mathbb{E}(\langle D^l F, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes l}})| \leq C(\mathbb{E}|F|^2)^{1/2} \text{ for all } F \in \mathbb{D}^{l,2}.$$

If  $u \in \text{Dom}(\delta)$ , then  $\delta(u)$  is the element of  $L^2(\Omega)$  defined by the duality relationship,

$$\mathbb{E}[F\delta(u)] = \mathbb{E}[\langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}] \text{ for every } F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}.$$

Recall that  $F \in L^2(\Omega)$  can be expanded as  $F = \mathbb{E}[F] + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} P_q F$ , where  $p_q$  is the projection operator  $L^2(\Omega)$  to the  $q$ th Wiener chaos  $\mathbb{H}_q$ . The operator  $L$  is defined through the projection operator  $P_q, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , as  $L = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} -qP_q$ , and is called the *infinitesimal generator of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup*. The relationship between the operator  $D, \delta$ , and  $L$  is given as follows:  $\delta DF = -LF$ , i.e., for  $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ , the statement  $F \in \text{Dom}(L)$  is equivalent to  $F \in \text{Dom}(\delta D)$  (i.e.,  $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$  and  $DF \in \text{Dom}(\delta)$ ), and in this case,  $\delta DF = -LF$ . For any  $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we define the operator  $L^{-1}$ , which is the *pseudo-inverse* of  $L$ , as  $L^{-1}F = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q} P_q F$ . Note that  $L^{-1}$  is an operator with values in  $\mathbb{D}^{2,2}$  and  $LL^{-1}F = F - \mathbb{E}[F]$  for all  $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

### 3. Diffusion Process with Invariant Measures and Main Results

In this section, we will give the construction of a diffusion process with an invariant measure, and present our main results in this paper.

#### 3.1. Diffusion Process with Invariant Measures

In this section, we will briefly describe the construction of a diffusion process with an invariant measure  $\mu$  having a density  $p$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure (for more details, see [8,10]). Let  $F$  be a random variable with a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $I = (l, u)$  ( $-\infty \leq l < u \leq \infty$ ) with a density  $p$ , which is continuous, bounded, strictly positive on  $I$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[F^2] < \infty$ . Let  $b$  be a continuous function on  $I$  such that there exists  $e \in (l, u)$  that satisfies  $b(x) > 0$  for  $e \in (l, u)$  and  $b(x) < 0$  for  $e \in (l, u)$ . Moreover, the function  $bp$  is bounded on  $I$ , and

$$\mathbb{E}[b(F)] = 0. \tag{9}$$

For  $x \in I$ , define

$$a(x) = \frac{2}{p(x)} \int_l^x b(y)p(y)dy. \tag{10}$$

Then, the diffusion coefficient  $a$  in (10) is strictly positive for all  $x \in (l, u)$ , and also satisfies  $\mathbb{E}[a(F)] < \infty$ . Equation (10) implies that, for some  $c \in I$ ,

$$p(x)a(x) = p(c)a(c) \exp\left(\int_c^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right). \tag{11}$$

Then, the following SDE:

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sqrt{a(X_t)}dB_t, \tag{12}$$

has a unique ergodic Markovian weak solution with the invariant density  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_0(I) = \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is continuous on } I \text{ vanishing at the boundary of } I\}$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(I)$ , define

$$h_f(x) = \int_0^x \tilde{h}_f(y)dy,$$

where

$$\tilde{h}_f(x) = \frac{2 \int_l^x (f(y) - \mathbb{E}[f(F)])p(y)dy}{a(x)p_F(x)}.$$

Then,  $h_f$  satisfies Stein's equation,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - \mathbb{E}[f(F)] &= b(x)h'_f(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)h''_f(x) \\ &= b(x)\tilde{h}_f(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)\tilde{h}'_f(x), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where  $F$  is a random variable with a probability measure  $\mu$  as its law.

### 3.2. Main Results

Before describing our main result in this paper, we begin with the following simple result, given in Theorem 2.9.1 in [9].

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $F, G \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ , and let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously differentiable with bounded derivative (or when  $g$  is only almost everywhere differentiable, one needs  $G$  to have an absolutely continuous). Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}[Fg(G)] = \mathbb{E}[F]\mathbb{E}[g(G)] + \mathbb{E}[g'(G)\langle DF, -DL^{-1}G \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}]. \tag{14}$$

Let us set

$$g_{b(G)}(x) = \mathbb{E}[\langle -DL^{-1}(b(G) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]), DG \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} | G = x]. \tag{15}$$

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.9 in [3], we will show that  $g_{b(G)}(x)$  is non-negative almost everywhere with respect to the law of  $G$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $G \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ . Then, we have that  $g_{b(G)}(x) \geq 0$  for almost everywhere with respect to the law of  $G$ ; say,  $H_G(x) = \mathbb{P}(G \leq x)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $q$  be a smooth non-negative real function. Define

$$Q(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{\beta}^x q(y)dy & \text{if } x \geq \beta \\ -\int_x^{\beta} q(y)dy & \text{if } x < \beta, \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant that satisfies  $b(x) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)] > 0$  for  $\beta \in (l, u)$  and  $b(x) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)] < 0$  for  $\beta \in (l, u)$ . Since  $Q(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \geq \beta$  and  $Q(x) < 0$  for  $x < \beta$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[(b(G) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)])Q(G)] \geq 0$ . An application of Lemma 14 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(b(G) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)])Q(G)] &= \mathbb{E}[\langle -DL^{-1}(b(G) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]), DG \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{b(G)}(x)q(x)dH_G(x) \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By an approximation of the function  $q$ , we can show that, for all Borel measurable sets  $B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\int_B g_{b(G)}(x)q(x)dH_G(x) \geq 0.$$

This obviously implies that  $g_{b(G)}(x) \geq 0$  for almost everywhere with respect to the law of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *If the random variable  $g_{b(G)}(G)$  is almost surely strictly positive, then the law of  $G$  has a density with respect to Lebesgue measure; say,  $p_G$ .*

**Proof.** By a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [4], we have that, for any Borel set  $B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$  and any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[ (b(G)\mathbb{E}[b(G)]) \int_{-\infty}^G \mathbf{1}_{B \cap [-n,n]}(x)dx \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (b(G)\mathbb{E}[b(G)]) \mathbf{1}_{B \cap [-n,n]}(G) g_{b(G)}(G) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The same argument as for the case of  $b(G) = G$  in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [4] shows that the law of  $G$  has a density.  $\square$

An explicit formula for the density is the following statement:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $F$  be a random variable having the law  $\mu$ , and let  $G$  be a random variable in  $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$  with  $b(G) \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$ . Assume that the random variable  $g_{b(G)}(G)$  is almost surely strictly positive, and

$$\|b\tilde{h}_f\|_\infty \leq C\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in I} |f(x)| < \infty. \tag{18}$$

In this case, the support of  $p_G$ , denoted by  $\text{supp}(p_G)$ , is a closed interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  and, for almost all  $x \in \text{supp}(p_G)$ ,

$$p_G(x) = \frac{p_G(\beta)g_{b(G)}(\beta)}{g_{b(G)}(x)} \exp\left(-\int_\beta^x \frac{b(y) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{g_{b(G)}(y)} dy\right) \tag{19}$$

for some  $\beta \in \text{supp}(p_G)$ .

**Proof.** Obviously, using (11) shows that the function  $\tilde{h}_f$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_f(x) &= \frac{2}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \exp\left(-\int_\beta^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_I (f(y) - \mathbb{E}[f(F)])p_F(y)dy. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Let us set  $H_F(x) = \mathbb{P}(F \leq x)$ . If  $f(x) = \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, z]}(x)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , we write  $h_f = h_z$  and  $\tilde{h}_f = \tilde{h}_z$ . Then, the function  $\tilde{h}_z$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_z(x) &= \frac{2}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \exp\left(-\int_\beta^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \\ &\quad \times \begin{cases} H_F(z)[1 - H_F(x)] & \text{if } x \geq z \\ H_F(x)[1 - H_F(z)] & \text{if } x < z. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

From (21), it follows that, for  $x \geq z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}'_z(x) &= \frac{2}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \exp\left(-\int_\beta^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \left(-\frac{2b(x)}{a(x)}\right) H_F(z)[1 - H_F(x)] - p_F(x)H_F(z) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

For  $x < z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}'_z(x) &= \frac{2}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \exp\left(-\int_\beta^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \left(-\frac{2b(x)}{a(x)}\right) H_F(x)[1 - H_F(z)] + p_F(x)[1 - H_F(z)] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

If  $f(x) = \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, z]}(x)$  for  $x \in I$ , we take  $f_n \in \mathcal{C}_0(I)$  such that  $\{f_n\}$  is an increasing sequence and  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  for all  $x \in I$ . Obviously, by the dominated convergence theorem, we have that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\tilde{h}_{f_n}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{h}_z(x) \text{ and } \tilde{h}'_{f_n}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{h}'_z(x) \text{ for all } x \in I. \tag{24}$$

The bound of (18) yields that, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\|b\tilde{h}_{f_n}\|_\infty \leq C\|f_n\|_\infty \leq 1. \tag{25}$$

Combining (11) with the bound in (18), we also obtain, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\|a\tilde{h}'_{f_n}\|_\infty \leq C\|f_n\|_\infty \leq 1. \tag{26}$$

From (13), it follows that, for  $f_n \in \mathcal{C}_0(I)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[f_n(G)] - \mathbb{E}[f_n(F)] = \mathbb{E}[b(G)\tilde{h}_{f_n}(G)] + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}a(G)\tilde{h}'_{f_n}(G)\right]. \tag{27}$$

Due to the bounds of (25) and (26), the dominated convergence theorem can be applied to (27), which gives the following limit value:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(G \leq z) - \mathbb{P}(F \leq z) &= \mathbb{E}\left[(b(G) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)])\tilde{h}_z(G)\right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[b(G)]\mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}_z(G)] + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}a(G)\tilde{h}'_z(G)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Applying (14) in Lemma 1 to the first expectation in (28), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(G \leq z) - \mathbb{P}(F \leq z) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\langle -DL^{-1}(b(G) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]), DG \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \tilde{h}'_z(G)\right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}a(G)\tilde{h}'_z(G)\right] + \mathbb{E}[b(G)]\mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}_z(G)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{h}'_z(G)\mathbb{E}[\langle -DL^{-1}(b(G) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]), DG \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} | G]\right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}a(G)\tilde{h}'_z(G)\right] + \mathbb{E}[b(G)]\mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}_z(G)]. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Differentiating both sides in (29) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} p_G(z) - p_F(z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{h}'_z(x) \left\{ g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x) \right\} p_G(x) dx \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[b(G)] \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{h}_z(x) p_G(x) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Next, we concentrate on the computations of two integrals in (30). Using (22) and (23) gives that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{h}'_z(x) \left\{ g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x) \right\} p_G(x) dx := J_1(z) + J_2(z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{-\infty}^z \tilde{h}'_z(x) \left\{ g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x) \right\} p_G(x) dx \\ J_2(z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_z^{\infty} \tilde{h}'_z(x) \left\{ g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x) \right\} p_G(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we write  $J_1(z) = J_{11}(z) + J_{12}(z)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{11}(z) &= \tilde{h}'_z(z) \left\{ g_{b(G)}(z) + \frac{1}{2}a(z) \right\} p_G(z), \\
 J_{12}(z) &= \int_{-\infty}^z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tilde{h}'_z(x) \left\{ g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x) \right\} p_G(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $J_{12}$ , we first differentiate  $\tilde{h}'_z(x)$  with respect to  $z$ . For  $x < z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tilde{h}'_z(x) &= \frac{2}{p_F(c)a(c)} \exp \left( - \int_c^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \left( \frac{2b(x)}{a(x)} \right) H_F(x)p_F(z) - p_F(x)p_F(z) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

By (23) and (31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{11}(z) &= \frac{2}{p_F(c)a(c)} \exp \left( - \int_c^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \left( - \frac{2b(z)}{a(z)} \right) H_F(z)[1 - H_F(z)] + p_F(z)[1 - H_F(z)] \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ g_{b(G)}(z) + \frac{1}{2}a(z) \right\} p_G(z),
 \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{12}(z) &= \frac{2}{p_F(c)a(c)} \int_{-\infty}^z \exp \left( - \int_c^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \left( \frac{2b(x)}{a(x)} \right) H_F(x)p_F(z) - p_F(x)p_F(z) \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x) \right\} p_G(x) dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

For  $x \geq z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tilde{h}'_z(x) &= \frac{2}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \exp \left( - \int_\beta^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \left( - \frac{2b(x)}{a(x)} \right) p_F(z)[1 - H_F(x)] - p_F(x)p_F(z) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

On the other hand, we write  $J_2(z) = J_{21}(z) + J_{22}(z)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{21}(z) &= -\tilde{h}'_z(z) \left\{ g_{b(G)}(z) + \frac{1}{2}a(z) \right\} p_G(z), \\
 J_{22}(z) &= \int_z^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tilde{h}'_z(x) \left\{ g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x) \right\} p_G(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (33), we have that



$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{21}(z) &= -\frac{2}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \exp\left(-\int_c^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \left(-\frac{2b(z)}{a(z)}\right) H_F(z)[1 - H_F(z)] - p_F(z)H_F(z) \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ g_{b(G)}(z) + \frac{1}{2}a(z) \right\} p_G(z),
 \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{22}(z) &= \frac{2}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \int_z^\infty \exp\left(-\int_\beta^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \left(-\frac{2b(x)}{a(x)}\right) [1 - H_F(x)]p_F(z) - p_F(x)p_F(z) \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x) \right\} p_G(x) dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

From (21), the differentiation of the second integral in (30) can be easily calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{E}[b(G)] \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \tilde{h}_z(x) p_G(x) dx \\
 = &\mathbb{E}[b(G)] \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{-\infty}^z \tilde{h}_z(x) p_G(x) dx \\
 &+ \mathbb{E}[b(G)] \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_z^\infty \tilde{h}_z(x) p_G(x) dx \\
 = &\frac{2\mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \left\{ -p_F(z) \int_{-\infty}^z \exp\left(-\int_\beta^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) H_F(x) p_G(x) dx \right. \\
 &\left. + (1 - H_F(z)) \exp\left(-\int_\beta^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) H_F(z) p_G(z) \right\} \\
 &+ \frac{2\mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \left\{ p_F(z) \int_z^\infty \exp\left(-\int_\beta^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) (1 - H_F(x)) p_G(x) dx \right. \\
 &\left. - H_F(z) \exp\left(-\int_\beta^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) (1 - H_F(z)) p_G(z) \right\} \\
 = &\frac{2p_F(z)\mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \left\{ -\int_{-\infty}^z \exp\left(-\int_\beta^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) H_F(x) p_G(x) dx \right. \\
 &\left. + \int_z^\infty \exp\left(-\int_\beta^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) (1 - H_F(x)) p_G(x) dx \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Combining (32), (33) and (35)–(37) yields that, for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_G(z) - p_F(z) &= \frac{2p_F(z)}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \left\{g_{b(G)}(z) + \frac{1}{2}a(z)\right\} p_G(z) \\
 &+ \frac{2p_F(z)}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^z \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \left(\frac{2b(x)}{a(x)}\right) H_F(x) \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left\{g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)\right\} p_G(x) dx \right. \\
 &\quad + \int_z^{\infty} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \left(-\frac{2b(x)}{a(x)}\right) [1 - H_F(x)] \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left\{g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)\right\} p_G(x) dx \right] \\
 &- \frac{2p_F(z)}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) p_F(x) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)\right\} p_G(x) dx \\
 &+ \frac{2p_F(z)\mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)} \left\{ -\int_{-\infty}^z \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) H_F(x) p_G(x) dx \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_z^{\infty} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) (1 - H_F(x)) p_G(x) dx \right\}. \tag{38}
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $p_F$  in (11) for  $p_F$  in the right-hand side of Equation (38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &p_G(z) - p_F(z) \\
 = &\frac{2}{a(z)} \left\{g_{b(G)}(z) + \frac{1}{2}a(z)\right\} p_G(z) \\
 &+ \frac{2}{a(z)} \exp\left(\int_{\beta}^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^z \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \left(\frac{2b(x)}{a(x)}\right) H_F(x) \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left\{g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)\right\} p_G(x) dx \right. \\
 &\quad + \int_z^{\infty} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \left(-\frac{2b(x)}{a(x)}\right) [1 - H_F(x)] \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left\{g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)\right\} p_G(x) dx \right] \\
 &- \frac{2}{a(z)} \exp\left(\int_{\beta}^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) p_F(x) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)\right\} p_G(x) dx \\
 &+ \frac{2\mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{a(z)} \exp\left(\int_{\beta}^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \left\{ -\int_{-\infty}^z \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) H_F(x) p_G(x) dx \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_z^{\infty} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) (1 - H_F(x)) p_G(x) dx \right\}. \tag{39}
 \end{aligned}$$

From the formula of  $p_F$  in (11) and (39), we obtain that, for some  $\beta \in \text{supp}(p_G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -g_{b(G)}(z)p_G(z) \\
 = & \frac{p_F(\beta)a(\beta)}{2} \exp\left(\int_{\beta}^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \\
 & + \exp\left(\int_{\beta}^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^z \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \left(\frac{2b(x)}{a(x)}\right) H_F(x) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left\{g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)\right\} p_G(x) dx \\
 & \quad + \int_z^{\infty} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \left(-\frac{2b(x)}{a(x)}\right) [1 - H_F(x)] \\
 & \quad \times \left\{g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)\right\} p_G(x) dx \Big\} \\
 & - \exp\left(\int_{\beta}^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) p_F(x) \\
 & \quad \times \left\{g_{b(G)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)\right\} p_G(x) dx \\
 & + \mathbb{E}[b(G)] \exp\left(\int_{\beta}^z \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \left\{ -\int_{-\infty}^z \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) \right. \\
 & \quad \times H_F(x) p_G(x) dx \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_z^{\infty} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right) (1 - H_F(x)) p_G(x) dx \right\}. \tag{40}
 \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating Equation (40) with respect to  $z$  proves that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g_{b(G)}(z)p_G(z) &= \frac{2b(z)}{a(z)} g_{b(G)}(z)p_G(z) \\
 &\quad - \left(\frac{2b(z)}{a(z)}\right) \left\{g_{b(G)}(z) + \frac{1}{2}a(z)\right\} p_G(z) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]p_G(z) \\
 &= -(b(z) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)])p_G(z). \tag{41}
 \end{aligned}$$

This Equation (41) proves that, for almost all  $z \in \text{supp}(p_G)$ ,

$$g_{b(G)}(z)p_G(z) = -\int_{-\infty}^z (b(x) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)])p_G(x) dx. \tag{42}$$

From (41) and (42), it follows that, for almost all  $z \in \text{supp}(p_G)$ ,

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dz}(g_{b(G)}(z)p_G(z))}{g_{b(G)}(z)p_G(z)} = -\frac{b(z) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{g_{b(G)}(z)} \tag{43}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dz} \log(g_{b(G)}(z)p_G(z)) = -\frac{b(z) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{g_{b(G)}(z)} \tag{44}$$

By integrating both sides of (44) from  $\beta \in \text{supp}(p_G)$  to  $z$ , we have

$$\log(g_{b(G)}(z)p_G(z)) = \log(g_{b(G)}(\beta)p_G(\beta)) - \int_{\beta}^z \frac{b(x) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{g_{b(G)}(x)} dx. \tag{45}$$

Equation (45) proves that, for almost all  $z \in \text{supp}(p_G)$ ,

$$p_G(z) = \frac{g_{b(G)}(\beta)p_G(\beta)}{g_{b(G)}(z)} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^z \frac{b(x) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{g_{b(G)}(x)} dx\right). \tag{46}$$

□

When a random variable  $G$  is general, it is not easy to find an explicit computation of  $g_{b(G)}(x)$ . In particular, when  $\langle -DL^{-1}(b(G) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]), DG \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  is not measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $G$ , there are cases where it is impossible to compute the expectation. Using the above Theorem 2, we derive the explicit form of  $g_{b(G)}(x)$ . The following theorem corresponds to Theorem 2 in [8].

**Theorem 3.** *A random variable  $G \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ , taking its value on  $I$ , has the distribution  $\mu$  and satisfies that  $\mathbb{E}[b(G)^2] < \infty$  if and only if  $\mathbb{E}[b(G)] = 0$  and*

$$g_{b(G)}(x) = -\frac{1}{2}a(x) \text{ for all } x \in I. \tag{47}$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{E}[b(G)] = 0$ , and Equation (47) holds true. Let  $p_F$  be a density of an invariant measure  $\mu$  corresponding to a solution of SDE (12). Then, substituting  $-\frac{1}{2}a(x)$  in (47) instead of  $g_{b(G)}(x)$  in (19) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} p_G(x) &= \frac{p_G(\beta)g_{b(G)}(\beta)}{g_{b(G)}(x)} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{b(y)}{g_{b(G)}(y)} dy\right) \\ &= \frac{p_G(\beta)a(\beta)}{a(x)} \exp\left(\int_{\beta}^x \frac{2b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Combining (11) and (48), we obtain

$$p_G(x) = \frac{p_G(\beta)}{p_F(\beta)} p_F(x). \tag{49}$$

This Equation (49) shows that  $\text{supp}(p_G) = \text{supp}(p_F)$ . Hence, integrating both sides of (49) over  $I = (l, u)$  yields that

$$\frac{p_G(\beta)}{p_F(\beta)} = 1,$$

which implies that  $p_G = p_F$  on  $I$ . If  $p_G = p_F$  on  $I$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[b(G)] = 0$ . From (10) and (42), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a(x) &= \frac{2 \int_l^x b(y)p_F(y)dy}{p_F(x)} \\ &= \frac{2 \int_l^x b(y)p_G(y)dy}{p_G(x)} \\ &= -2g_{b(G)}(z), \end{aligned}$$

which gives that (47) holds. □

### 4. Examples

In this section, two examples will be given where invariant measures have the standard Gaussian and uniform distribution.

4.1. The Standard Gaussian Distribution

When  $\mu$  is the standard Gaussian distribution, then the coefficients in (13) are given by  $a(x) = 2$  and  $b(x) = -x$ , and  $u = \infty$  and  $l = -\infty$ . Then, from (21), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_z &= e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^x [\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, z]}(y)) - \Phi(z)] e^{-x^2/2} dy \\
 &= \begin{cases} \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \Phi(x)(1 - \Phi(z)) & \text{if } x \leq z \\ \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \Phi(z)(1 - \Phi(x)) & \text{if } x > z, \end{cases} \tag{50}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi(z) = \mathbb{P}(Z \leq z)$ . From (22), we have that, for  $x > z$ , taking  $\beta = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{h}'_z(x) &= \sqrt{2\pi} x e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \Phi(z)(1 - \Phi(x)) \\
 &\quad - \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} p_F(x) \Phi(z) \\
 &= [\sqrt{2\pi} x e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} (1 - \Phi(x)) - 1] \Phi(z), \tag{51}
 \end{aligned}$$

and for  $x < z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{h}'_z(x) &= \sqrt{2\pi} x e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \Phi(x)[1 - \Phi(z)] \\
 &\quad + \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} p_F(x)[1 - \Phi(z)] \\
 &= [\sqrt{2\pi} x e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \Phi(x) + 1][1 - \Phi(z)]. \tag{52}
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $G \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$  and the random variable  $g_{-G}(G)$  is almost surely strictly positive, then the density  $p_G$  of  $G$  can be obtained, with  $\beta = 0$ , by

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_G(z) &= \frac{g_{-G}(0)p_G(0)}{g_{-G}(z)} \exp\left(\int_0^z \frac{x}{g_{-G}(x)} dx\right) \\
 &= \frac{g_G(0)p_G(0)}{g_G(z)} \exp\left(-\int_0^z \frac{x}{g_G(x)} dx\right). \tag{53}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbb{E}[G] = 0$ , from (42), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_G(0)p_G(0) &= -g_{-G}(0)p_G(0) \\
 &= -\int_l^0 x p_G(x) dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[|G|]. \tag{54}
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (54) into (53), we have

$$p_G(z) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[|G|]}{2g_G(z)} \exp\left(-\int_0^z \frac{x}{g_G(x)} dx\right),$$

which is the density (19) in Theorem 1. If  $g_G = (z) = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_G(z) &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[|G|]}{2} \exp\left(-\int_0^z x dx\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that Theorem 3 holds.

4.2. The Uniform Distribution

When  $\mu$  is the uniform distribution, i.e.,  $F \sim \mathcal{U}([0, 1])$ , then the coefficients in (13) are given by

$$a(x) = x(1 - x) \text{ and } b(x) = -\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \text{ for } x \in (0, 1).$$

From (21), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_z(x) &= \frac{2}{p_F(1/2)a(1/2)} \exp\left(\int_{1/2}^x \frac{(2y - 1)}{y(1 - y)} dy\right) \\ &\quad \times [(x \wedge z) - zx] \\ &= 8 \exp\left(\int_{1/2}^x \frac{(2y - 1)}{y(1 - y)} dy\right) \times [(x \wedge z) - zx] \\ &= \frac{2x}{1 - x} \times \begin{cases} z(1 - x) & \text{if } x \geq z \\ x(1 - z) & \text{if } x < z, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Then, the density of  $G$  is given by

$$p_G(x) = \frac{p_G(\beta)g_{b(G)}(\beta)}{g_{b(G)}(x)} \exp\left(-\int_{\beta}^x \frac{b(y) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{g_{b(G)}(y)} dy\right). \tag{56}$$

Taking  $\beta = \mathbb{E}[G]$ , then

$$p_G(x) = \frac{p_G(\mathbb{E}[G])g_{b(G)}(\mathbb{E}[G])}{g_{b(G)}(x)} \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{E}[G]}^x \frac{b(y) - \mathbb{E}[b(G)]}{g_{b(G)}(y)} dy\right). \tag{57}$$

The relation (42) gives that

$$p_G(\mathbb{E}[G])g_{b(G)}(\mathbb{E}[G]) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[|G - \mathbb{E}[G]|].$$

Hence, (57) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} p_G(x) &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[|G - \mathbb{E}[G]|]}{-2g_{-G}(x)} \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{E}[G]}^x \frac{y - \mathbb{E}[G]}{g_{-G}(y)} dy\right) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[|G - \mathbb{E}[G]|]}{2g_G(x)} \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{E}[G]}^x \frac{y - \mathbb{E}[G]}{g_G(y)} dy\right). \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Putting  $\mathbb{E}[G] = 0$ , we know, from (58), that the density  $p_G$  is identical to the density in Theorem 1. If  $g_G(x) = \frac{1}{2}x(1 - x)$  for  $x \in (0, 1)$ , a direct computation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} p_G(x) &= \frac{1}{4x(1 - x)} \exp\left(-\int_{1/2}^x \frac{y - \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}y(1 - y)} dy\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4x(1 - x)} \exp\left(-\int_{1/2}^x \left\{\frac{-2(1 - y)}{y(1 - y)} + \frac{1}{y(1 - y)}\right\} dy\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4x(1 - x)} \exp\left(\log x + \log(1 - x) + \log 4\right) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that Theorem 3 holds true.

## 5. Conclusions and Future Works

When a random variable  $F$  follows an invariant measure  $\mu$  that has a density  $p_F$ , and a random variable  $G \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$  also allows for density  $p_G$ , this paper find an explicit formula of the density  $p_G$  based on the coefficients in the diffusion associated with the density  $p_F$ . The significant feature of our works is that it shows that the density  $p_G$  can be obtained by connecting the diffusion with the invariant measure and the density formula obtained in this paper provides a new and very useful method for solving an existing problem related to an invariant density of diffusions. If  $g_{b(G)}$  is equal to the diffusion coefficient, Theorem 2 in [8] can be easily proven by using our result. A limitation of this study is that it is difficult for our method to directly prove that  $g_{b(G)} > 0$ .

Future works will be carried out in three directions: (1) Using the results worked in this paper, we plan to derive a density formula associated with an Edgeworth expansion with general terms given in [11]. (2) In the case when  $G$  is a random variable belonging to a fixed Wiener chaos, we will obtain a more rigorous formula than the formula obtained in the previous works. (3) We will devise new methods to overcome the limitation of this study mentioned above.

**Funding:** This research was supported by Hallym University Research Fund (HRF-202309-009).

**Data Availability Statement:** No new data were created or analyzed in this study.

**Acknowledgments:** We are very grateful to the anonymous referees for their suggestions and valuable comments.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Nualart, D. Malliavin calculus and related topics. In *Probability and Its Applications*, 2nd ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2006.
2. Nualart, D. *Malliavin Calculus and Its Applications*; Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Number 110; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 2008.
3. Nourdin, I.; Peccati, G. Stein's method on Wiener Chaos. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **2009**, *145*, 75–118. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Nourdin, I.; Viens, F.G. Density formula and concentration inequalities with Malliavin calculus. *Elec. J. Probabi.* **2009**, *14*, 2287–2309. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Chen, L.H.Y.; Goldstein, L.; Shao, Q.-M. *Normal Approximation by Stein's Method*; Probability and Its Applications (New York); Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2011.
6. Stein, C. A bound for the error in the normal approximation to the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Vol. II: Probability Theory*; University of California Press: Berkeley, CA, USA, 1972; pp. 583–602.
7. Stein, C. *Approximate Computation of Expectations*; MR882007; IMS: Hayward, CA, USA, 1986.
8. Kusuoka, S.; Tudor, C.A. Stein's method for invariant measures of diffusions via Malliavin calculus. *Stoch. Proc. Their Appl.* **2012**, *122*, 1627–1651. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Nourdin, I.; Peccati, G. *Normal Approximations with Malliavin Calculus: From Stein's Method to Universality*; Cambridge Tracts in Mathematica, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2012; Volume 192.
10. Bibby, B.M. Skovgaard, I.M.; Sorensen, M. Diffusion-type models with given marginals and auto-correlation function. *Bernoulli* **2003**, *11*, 191–220.
11. Kim, Y.T.; Park, H.S. An Edeworth expansion for functionals of Gaussian fields and its applications. *Stoch. Proc. Their Appl.* **2018**, *44*, 312–320.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.