

Article

Acting Semicircular Elements Induced by Orthogonal Projections on Von-Neumann-Algebras

Ilwoo Cho

Department of Mathematics, Saint Ambrose University, 421 Ambrose Hall, 518 W. Locust St.,
Davenport, IA 52803, USA; choilwoo@sau.edu

Received: 18 September 2017; Accepted: 21 November 2017; Published: 6 December 2017

Abstract: In this paper, we construct a free semicircular family induced by $|\mathbb{Z}|$ -many mutually-orthogonal projections, and construct Banach $*$ -probability spaces containing the family, called the free filterizations. By acting a free filterization on fixed von Neumann algebras, we construct the corresponding Banach $*$ -probability spaces, called affiliated free filterizations. We study free-probabilistic properties on such new structures, determined by both semicircularity and free-distributional data on von Neumann algebras. In particular, we study how the freeness on free filterizations, and embedded freeness conditions on fixed von Neumann algebras affect free-distributional data on affiliated free filterizations.

Keywords: free probability; Banach $*$ -probability spaces; von Neumann algebras; projections; semicircular elements; free filterizations; affiliated free filterizations

JEL Classification: 11R56; 46L10; 46L40; 46L53; 46L54; 47L15; 47L30; 47L55

1. Introduction

There are different approaches to construct semicircular elements (e.g., [1–3]) in topological $*$ -probability spaces (e.g., C^* -probability spaces, or W^* -probability spaces, or Banach $*$ -probability spaces, etc.). In [4], we introduced how to construct *semicircular elements* in certain topological $*$ -probability spaces. The construction of [4] is highly motivated by that of *weighted-semicircular elements* in a Banach $*$ -probability space in the sense of [5,6]. In this paper, we put our semicircular elements on a fixed W^* -probability space, and then consider structure theorems of such Banach $*$ -probabilistic structures under our actions, and study free-distributional data from the structures.

1.1. Motivation and Background

The main purpose of this paper are (i) to construct (weighted-)semicircular elements from orthogonal projections, (ii) to act them to von Neumann algebras, and (iii) to study free-distributional data determined both by these (weighted-)semicircular elements, and free distributions on von Neumann algebras. In particular, the construction of our (weighted-)semicircular elements are highly motivated by the constructions of [5,6].

In [7], the author and Gillespie studied free-probabilistic models of certain embedded sub-structures of Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}(G_p)$ generated by the generalized linear groups $G_p = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over p -adic number fields \mathbb{Q}_p , for fixed primes p . In addition, such a free-probabilistic model is generalized in [8] fully on $\mathcal{H}(G_p)$. Motivated by [7,8], independently, the author mimicked the techniques and ideas to construct weighted-semicircular elements and corresponding semicircular elements induced by certain orthogonal projections on \mathbb{Q}_p in [6]. In [5], as an application of the main results of [6], we studied *free stochastic calculus* for the weighted-semicircular laws in the sense of [6].

Our constructions of weighted-semicircular, and semicircular elements in this paper is understood as a pure operator-theoretic version of those of [5,6].

1.2. Overview

Here, we generalize the free probability on *free filterizations* (which are Banach $*$ -probability spaces generated by the semicircular elements obtained in [4]). By using these free filterizations to arbitrarily fixed *von Neumann algebras* M , we consider *M-affiliated free filterizations*, and establish suitable free-probabilistic models on them.

In Section 2, we briefly mention background theories for our proceeding works.

In Section 3, we introduce fundamental free-probabilistic settings from given $|\mathbb{Z}|$ -many mutually orthogonal projections.

In Sections 4 and 5, we construct weighted-semicircular, and semicircular elements induced by given orthogonal projections.

In Section 5, from the ingredients of Sections 3, 4 and 5, we construct free filterizations as free product Banach $*$ -probability spaces, and consider fundamental free-distributional data on them.

In Section 7, we act an arbitrarily fixed free filterization to a given von Neumann algebra, and construct the corresponding von-Neumann-algebra-affiliated free filterizations, and study how our semicircular elements work on such structures.

In Section 8, from the free-distributional data obtained in Section 7, we construct-and-study weighted-semicircular, and semicircular elements in affiliated free filterizations. By doing that, one can see how the freeness on our free filterizations affects the free probability on affiliated structures.

In Section 9, by considering (embedded, or full) freeness conditions on given von Neumann algebras, free-distributional data on affiliated free filterizations are studied. We show how the freeness conditions on von Neumann algebras affect the affiliated structures.

In Section 10, an example for the main results of Sections 7, 8 and 9 will be considered. In particular, we are interested in the case where a fixed von Neumann algebra is given to be a *free group factor* $L(F_n)$ (e.g., [1]) generated by the free group F_n with n -generators.

2. Preliminaries

Readers can check fundamental analytic-and-combinatorial *free probability theory* from [2,3,9] (and cited papers therein). *Free probability* is understood as the noncommutative operator-algebraic version of classical *probability theory* and *statistics*. The classical *independence* is replaced by the *freeness*, by replacing *measures* on sets to *linear functionals* on (noncommutative algebraic, or topological $*$ -) algebras. It has various applications not only in pure mathematics (e.g., [1,2]), but also in related topics (e.g., [8] through [7]). In particular, we will use combinatorial free-probabilistic approach of *Speicher* (e.g., [9]). *Free moments* and *free cumulants* of operators (representing free-distributional data of operators), or *free probability spaces*, or *free product of algebras* will be considered without introducing detailed concepts.

3. Certain Banach $*$ -Algebras Induced by Orthogonal Projections

Let (A, ψ) be a topological $*$ -probability space (C^* -probability space, or W^* -probability space, or Banach $*$ -probability space, etc.) of a topological $*$ -algebra A (C^* -algebra, resp., von Neumann algebra, resp., Banach $*$ -algebra), and a bounded linear functional ψ on A .

An operator a of A is said to be a *free random variable* whenever it is regarded as an element of (A, ψ) . As usual, we say a is *self-adjoint* (as an operator in A), if $a^* = a$ in A , where a^* is the *adjoint* of a .

Definition 1. A self-adjoint free random variable a is said to be *weighted-semicircular* in (A, ψ) with its weight $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, (or, in short, t_0 -semicircular), if a satisfies the free-cumulant computation,

$$k_n^\psi(a, \dots, a) = \begin{cases} k_2^\psi(a, a) = t_0 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $k_n^\psi(\dots)$ is the free cumulant on A in terms of ψ , under the Möbius inversion of [9].

If $t_0 = 1$ in (3.1), the 1-semicircular element a is simply said to be *semicircular* in (A, ψ) ,

By definition, a free random variable a is semicircular in (A, ψ) , if a satisfies

$$k_n^\psi(a, \dots, a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By the *Möbius inversion* of [9], one can characterize the weighted-semicircularity (3.1) as follows: a is t_0 -semicircular in (A, ψ) , if and only if

$$\psi(a^n) = \omega_n \left(t_0^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} \right), \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\omega_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where c_k are the k -th Catalan numbers,

$$c_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k} = \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{(2k)!}{k!k!} = \frac{(2k)!}{k!(k+1)!},$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

By (3.2) and (3.3), a free random variable a is semicircular in (A, ψ) , if and only if

$$\psi(a^n) = \omega_n c_{\frac{n}{2}}, \tag{3.4}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where ω_n are in the sense of (3.3).

Thus, one can use the t_0 -semicircularity (3.1) (respectively, the semicircularity (3.2)), and its characterization (3.3) (respectively, (3.4)) alternatively.

Recall that, if a free random variable $x \in (A, \psi)$ is self-adjoint, then the sequences

$$(\psi(x^n))_{n=1}^\infty, \text{ and } (k_n^\psi(x, \dots, x))_{n=1}^\infty$$

provide equivalent free distributions of x .

Indeed, the *Möbius inversion* (of [9]) satisfies

$$\psi(a^n) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} k_{|V|}(a, \dots, a) \right),$$

and

$$k_n^\psi(a, \dots, a) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\prod_{V \in \theta} \psi(a^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n),$$

where $NC(n)$ is the lattice of all noncrossing partitions over $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and “ $V \in \pi$ ” means “ V is a block of π ,” and where μ is the Möbius functional in the incidence algebra over

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (NC(n) \times NC(n))$$

(see [9]).

Now, let A be a given C^* -algebra, and let $q_j \in A$ be a projection in the sense that:

$$q_j^* = q_j = q_j^2 \text{ in } A,$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, where \mathbb{Z} is the set of all integers. Moreover, assume that the projections $\{q_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are mutually orthogonal from each other in the sense that:

$$q_i q_j = \delta_{i,j} q_j \text{ in } A, \text{ for all } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.5}$$

where δ means the Kronecker delta.

Remark 1. Such mutually orthogonal $|\mathbb{Z}|$ -many projections $\{q_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ can be found in a C^* -algebra A , naturally, or artificially. One can find such projections naturally as in [3,4].

If $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^N$ is a finite family of mutually orthogonal projections in a certain C^* -algebra A_0 , for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then one can construct a C^* -algebra A ,

$$A = A_0^{\oplus |\mathbb{Z}|} = \dots \oplus A_0 \oplus A_0 \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots,$$

under product topology, and then we obtain the mutually orthogonal $|\mathbb{Z}|$ -many projections,

$$\{\dots, \{q_j\}_{j=1}^N, \{q_j\}_{j=1}^N, \{q_j\}_{j=1}^N, \dots\},$$

in A , artificially.

Similarly, if $N = \infty$, and $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ forms a family of mutually orthogonal projections in a certain C^* -algebra A_0 , then one can construct a C^* -algebra A ,

$$A = A_0 \oplus A_0,$$

with a family of mutually orthogonal $|\mathbb{Z}|$ -many projections,

$$\{\{\dots, q_3, q_2, q_1\}, \{q_1, q_2, q_2, \dots\}\},$$

in A , artificially.

Therefore, from below, we always assume a given C^* -algebra A has a family $\{q_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of mutually orthogonal $|\mathbb{Z}|$ -many projections.

Note that we are not interested in operator-algebraic structures or properties of A , but interested in induced weighted-semicircularity or semicircularity from projections in a C^* -algebra A .

Now, we fix a family $\{q_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of mutually orthogonal projections of a fixed C^* -algebra A , and we denote it by \mathbf{Q} ;

$$\mathbf{Q} = \{q_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ in } A, \tag{3.6}$$

satisfying (3.5).

In addition, let Q be the C^* -subalgebra of A generated by \mathbf{Q} of (3.6),

$$Q \stackrel{def}{=} C^*(\mathbf{Q}) \subseteq A. \tag{3.7}$$

Then, it is easy to get the following structure theorem.

Proposition 1. Let Q be a C^* -subalgebra (3.7) of a given C^* -algebra A , generated by the family \mathbf{Q} of (3.6). Then,

$$Q \stackrel{*iso}{=} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot q_j) \stackrel{*iso}{=} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus |\mathbb{Z}|}, \text{ in } A. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. The structure theorem (3.8) is proven by the mutual-orthogonality (3.5) of the generator set \mathbf{Q} of (3.6) in A . \square

Now, assume that we fix a bounded linear functional ψ on the C^* -algebra A , creating the corresponding C^* -probability space (A, ψ) . From this fixed C^* -probability space (A, ψ) , define now linear functionals ψ_j on Q by

$$\psi_j(q_i) = \delta_{ij} \psi(q_j), \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.9}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, where ψ , on the right-hand side of (3.9), is the restricted linear functional of ψ on the C^* -subalgebra Q of A . Remark that such linear functionals $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of (3.9) are well-defined on Q by (3.8).

Therefore, if $q \in Q$, then

$$q = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} t_j q_j \quad (\text{with } t_j \in \mathbb{C}),$$

and, hence,

$$\psi_j(q) = \psi_j(t_j q_j) = t_j \psi(q_j),$$

by the definition (3.9) of ψ_j , for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It shows that the system $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of the linear functionals (3.9) filterize, or sectionize Q free-probabilistically.

Definition 2. The C^* -probability spaces (Q, ψ_j) are called the j -th C^* -probability spaces of Q in (A, ψ) , where Q is the C^* -subalgebra (3.7) of A , and ψ_j are in the sense of (3.9), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now, let us define bounded linear transformations c and a “acting on the C^* -algebra Q ” by

$$c(q_j) = q_{j+1}, \text{ and } a(q_j) = q_{j-1}, \tag{3.10}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, c and a are indeed well-defined bounded linear operators “on Q ,” understood as elements of the operator space $B(Q)$, consisting of all bounded linear transformations on Q (e.g., [10]). Without loss of generality, one can regard c and a of (3.10) as Banach-space operators on a Banach space Q .

Definition 3. We call these Banach-space operators c and a of (3.10), the creation, respectively, the annihilation on Q .

Define now a new Banach-space operator l in the operator space $B(Q)$ by

$$l = c + a \text{ on } Q, \tag{3.11}$$

where c and a are the creation, respectively, the annihilation on Q .

Definition 4. We call the Banach-space operator l of (3.11), the radial operator on Q .

By the definition (3.11), one has

$$l\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} t_j q_j\right) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} t_j (q_{j+1} + q_{j-1}).$$

Now, define a Banach subspace

$$\mathfrak{L} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\mathbb{C}\{l\}}^{\|\cdot\|}, \tag{3.12}$$

of $B(Q)$, generated by the radial operator l , equipped with the operator norm,

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tq\|_Q : \|q\|_Q = 1\},$$

on $B(Q)$, where $\|\cdot\|_Q$ is the C^* -norm on Q , where $\overline{X}^{\|\cdot\|}$ mean the operator-norm closures of subsets X in $B(Q)$. By the definition (3.12), it is not difficult to see that this Banach-subspace \mathfrak{L} forms a Banach algebra inside $B(Q)$.

On the Banach algebra \mathfrak{L} of (3.12), define a unary operation $(*)$ by

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n l^n\right)^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{t_n} l^n \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}, \tag{3.13}$$

where \bar{z} are the conjugates of z in \mathbb{C} .

Then, the operation (3.13) is a well-defined adjoint on \mathfrak{L} , and hence, all elements of \mathfrak{L} are adjointable (in the sense of [10]) in $B(Q)$. Thus, the Banach $*$ -algebra \mathfrak{L} of (3.12) forms a Banach $*$ -algebra.

Definition 5. We call the Banach $*$ -algebra \mathfrak{L} of (3.12), the radial (Banach $*$ -)algebra on Q .

Now, let \mathfrak{L} be the radial algebra on Q . Construct now the tensor product Banach $*$ -algebra,

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q = \mathfrak{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} Q. \tag{3.14}$$

Definition 6. We call the tensor product Banach $*$ -algebra \mathfrak{L}_Q of (3.14), the radial projection (Banach $*$ -)algebra on Q .

4. Weighted-Semicircular Elements Induced by Q

Throughout this section, we fix the settings of Section 3, and construct weighted-semicircular elements induced by the family Q of mutually orthogonal $|\mathbb{Z}|$ -many projections in a fixed C^* -probability space (A, ψ) . Let (Q, ψ_j) be j -th C^* -probability space of Q in (A, ψ) , where ψ_j are the linear functionals (3.9), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let \mathfrak{L}_Q be the radial projection algebra (3.14) on Q .

Remark that, if

$$u_j = l \otimes q_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4.1}$$

then

$$u_j^n = (l \otimes q_j)^n = l^n \otimes q_j, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

since $q_j^n = q_j$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then, one can construct a linear functional φ_j on the radial projection algebra \mathfrak{L}_Q by a linear morphism satisfying that

$$\varphi_j((l \otimes q_i)^n) = \varphi_j(l^n \otimes q_i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi_j(l^n(q_i)), \tag{4.2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that such linear functionals φ_j of (4.2) are well-defined by (3.8) and (3.14).

Definition 7. We call the Banach $*$ -probability spaces,

$$(\mathfrak{L}_Q, \varphi_j), \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4.3}$$

the j -th (Banach- $*$ -)probability spaces on Q .

Now, consider the elements $l^n(q_i)$ in Q , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Observe first that, if c and a are the creation, respectively, the annihilation on Q in the sense of (3.10), then

$$ca = 1_Q = ac, \tag{4.4}$$

where 1_Q is the identity operator on Q in the operator space $B(Q)$, satisfying

$$1_Q(q) = q, \text{ for all } q \in Q.$$

Indeed, for any $q_j \in Q$ in Q ,

$$ca(q_j) = c(a(q_j)) = c(q_{j-1}) = q_{j-1+1} = q_j,$$

and

$$ac(q_j) = a(c(q_j)) = a(q_{j+1}) = q_{j+1-1} = q_j,$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By (4.4), one can get that

$$c^n a^n = 1_Q = a^n c^n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{4.4}'$$

and

$$c^{n_1} a^{n_2} = a^{n_2} c^{n_1}, \text{ for all } n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Furthermore, since the radial algebra \mathfrak{L} , which is a tensor-factor of \mathfrak{L}_Q , is generated by a single generator l , one has

$$l^n = (c + a)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} c^k a^{n-k}, \tag{4.5}$$

in \mathfrak{L} , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (4.4) and (4.4)', where

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}, \text{ for all } k \leq n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Note that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$l^{2n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{k} c^k a^{2n-1-k}, \tag{4.6}$$

by (4.5). Therefore, the formula (4.6) does not contain 1_Q -terms by (4.4)'.
 Note also that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} l^{2n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} c^k a^{2n-k} \\ &= \binom{2n}{n} c^n a^n + [\text{Rest terms}], \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

by (4.5).

Proposition 2. *Let l be the radial operator generating the radial algebra \mathfrak{L} on Q . Then,*

$$l^{2n-1} \text{ does not contain } 1_Q\text{-terms in } \mathfrak{L}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$l^{2n} \text{ contains } \binom{2n}{n} \cdot 1_Q \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. The statement (4.8) (resp., (4.9)) is proven by (4.6) (resp., (4.7)) with help of (4.4), (4.4)' and (4.5). \square

By (4.1) and (4.2), one can obtain that

$$\varphi_j \left(u_j^{2n-1} \right) = \psi_j \left(l^{2n-1} (q_j) \right) = 0, \tag{4.10}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (3.9) and (4.8). Indeed, $l^{2n-1} (q_j)$ does not contain q_j -terms by (4.8). Therefore, the formula (4.10) holds.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j \left(u_j^{2n} \right) &= \psi_j \left(l^{2n} (q_j) \right) = \psi_j \left(\left(\binom{2n}{n} q_j + [\text{Rest teimrs}] (q_j) \right) \right) \\ &= \binom{2n}{n} \psi_j (q_j) = \binom{2n}{n} \psi (q_j), \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

by (3.9), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1. Fix $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $u_j = l \otimes q_j$ be the corresponding generating operator of the j -th probability space $(\mathfrak{L}_Q, \varphi_j)$. Then,

$$\varphi_j \left(u_j^n \right) = \omega_n \left(\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \psi \left(q_j \right) \right) c_{\frac{n}{2}}, \tag{4.12}$$

where ω_n are in the sense of (3.3), and $c_{\frac{n}{2}}$ are the $\left(\frac{n}{2} \right)$ -th Catalan numbers, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\varphi_j \left(u_j^{2n-1} \right) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

by (4.10). In addition, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j \left(u_j^{2n} \right) &= \binom{2n}{n} \psi \left(q_j \right) = \binom{\frac{n+1}{n+1}}{\frac{n+1}{n+1}} \binom{2n}{n} \psi \left(q_j \right) \\ &= \left((n+1) \psi \left(q_j \right) \right) \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \right) \\ &= \left((n+1) \psi \left(q_j \right) \right) c_n, \end{aligned}$$

by (4.11), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Motivated by the free-distributional data (4.12) of the generating operator $u_j = l \otimes q_j$ of the radial projection algebra \mathfrak{L}_Q of (3.14), we define the following morphism

$$E_{j,Q} : \mathfrak{L}_Q \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_Q$$

by a linear transformation satisfying that

$$E_{j,Q} \left(u_i^n \right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \frac{\psi \left(q_j \right)^{n-1}}{\left(\left[\frac{n}{2} \right] + 1 \right)} u_j^n & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0_{\mathfrak{L}_Q}, \text{ the zero operator of } \mathfrak{L}_Q & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\left[\frac{n}{2} \right]$ means the *minimal integer* greater than or equal to $\frac{n}{2}$, for example,

$$\left[\frac{3}{2} \right] = 2 = \left[\frac{4}{2} \right].$$

The linear transformations $E_{j,Q}$ of (4.13) are well-defined linear transformations on \mathfrak{L}_Q because of the construction (3.14) of $\mathfrak{L}_Q = \mathfrak{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} Q$, and by the structure theorem (3.8) of the radial algebra \mathfrak{L} .

Define now a new linear functional τ_j on \mathfrak{L}_Q by

$$\tau_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varphi_j \circ E_{j,Q} \text{ on } \mathfrak{L}_Q, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4.14}$$

where φ_j are in the sense of (4.2).

By the linearity of φ_j and $E_{j,Q}$, the above morphisms τ_j are indeed well-defined linear functionals on \mathfrak{L}_Q , for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 8. The well-defined Banach $*$ -probability spaces

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q(j) \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} \left(\mathfrak{L}_Q, \tau_j \right) \tag{4.15}$$

are called the j -th filtered (Banach-*)-probability spaces of the radial projection algebra \mathfrak{L}_Q on Q , for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

On the j -th filtered probability space $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$ of (4.15), one can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j(u_j^n) &= \varphi_j(E_{j,Q}(u_j^n)) \\ &= \varphi_j\left(\frac{\psi(q_j)^{n-1}}{\binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}}(u_j^n)\right) = \frac{\psi(q_j)^{n-1}}{\binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}} \varphi_j(u_j^n) \\ &= \frac{\psi(q_j)^{n-1}}{\binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}} \omega_n \left(\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) \psi(q_j)\right) c_{\frac{n}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., we can get that

$$\tau_j(u_j^n) = \omega_n \psi(q_j)^n c_{\frac{n}{2}} \tag{4.16}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, by (4.12).

Theorem 2. Let $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q, \tau_j)$ be the j -th filtered probability space of the radial projection algebra \mathfrak{L}_Q on Q , for an arbitrarily fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then,

$$\tau_j(u_i^n) = \delta_{i,j} \left(\omega_n \psi(q_j)^n c_{\frac{n}{2}}\right), \tag{4.17}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where ω_n are in the sense of (3.3).

Proof. If $i = j$ in \mathbb{Z} , then the free momental data (4.17) holds true by (4.16), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $i \neq j$ in \mathbb{Z} , then, by the very definition (4.13) of the j -th filterization $E_{j,Q}$, and also by the definition (4.2) of φ_j ,

$$\tau_j(u_i^n) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, the above formula (4.17) holds, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

The following corollary is a direct consequence of the above free distribution (4.17).

Corollary 1. Let $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$ be the j -th filtered probability space of \mathfrak{L}_Q , for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $u_j = l \otimes q_j$ be the j -th generating operator of \mathfrak{L}_Q . Then, u_j is $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$.

Proof. First, remark that the j -th generating operator u_j of $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$ is self-adjoint in \mathfrak{L}_Q because

$$u_j^* = (l \otimes q_j)^* = l^* \otimes q_j^* = l \otimes q_j = u_j.$$

The $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircularity of u_j is proven by the above self-adjointness, the free-moment computation (4.17), and the weighted-semicircularity characterization (3.3). \square

Readers can check that the j -th generating operator u_j satisfies the free-cumulant formula

$$k_n^j(u_j, \dots, u_j) = \begin{cases} \psi(q_j)^2 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the Möbius inversion of [9], where $k_n^j(\dots)$ is the free cumulant on \mathfrak{L}_Q in terms of τ_j , for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, by the definition (3.1), the free random variables u_j are $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular in the j -th filtered probability spaces $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q, \tau_j)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark that, the k -th generating operators u_k of the j -th filtered probability space $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$ have zero-free distributions, whenever $k \neq j$ in \mathbb{Z} , also, by (4.17). Therefore, in summary, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $u_k = l \otimes q_k$ be the generating operators of the j -th filtered probability space $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then,

$$\text{the } j\text{-th generating operator } u_j \text{ is } \psi(q_j)^2\text{-semicircular in } \mathfrak{L}_Q(j), \tag{4.19}$$

$$\text{the } k\text{-th generating operators } u_k \text{ have zero-free distributions, for all } k \neq j \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.20}$$

Proof. The proof of the statement (4.19) is done by (4.17) and (4.18). The statement (4.20) is also shown by (4.17). Indeed, if $k \neq j$ in \mathbb{Z} , then

$$\tau_j(u_k^n) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

by (4.17). Thus, the free distributions of these self-adjoint operators u_k of $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, where $k \neq j$ in \mathbb{Z} , are characterized by the following free-moment sequences:

$$(\tau_j(u_k^n))_{n=1}^\infty = (0, 0, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Therefore, the free distributions of u_k are the zero-free distribution in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, whenever $k \neq j$ in \mathbb{Z} . \square

The above two statements (4.19) and (4.20) fully characterize the free distributions of all generating operators u_k of the j -th filtered probability spaces $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, for all $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5. Semicircular Elements Induced by Q

As in Section 4, we keep working on the j -th filtered probability spaces, $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q, \tau_j)$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The main results of Section 4 show that, for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the j -th generating operator $u_j = l \otimes q_j$ of \mathfrak{L}_Q is $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, by (4.19) (and (4.20)), satisfying that

$$\tau_j(u_j^n) = \omega_n \psi(q_j)^n c_{\frac{n}{2}}, \tag{5.1}$$

equivalently,

$$k_n^j(u_j, \dots, u_j) = \begin{cases} \psi(q_j)^2 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall now that we assumed for convenience that

$$\psi(q_j) \in \mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

in Section 3.

Under our assumption, the generating operators u_k of the projection-radial algebra \mathfrak{L}_Q induce the operators U_k ,

$$U_k = \frac{1}{\psi(q_k)} u_k \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j), \tag{5.2}$$

for all $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 4. Let $U_k = \frac{1}{\psi(q_k)} u_k$ be free random variables (5.2) of the j -th filtered probability space $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\text{If } \psi(q_j) \in \mathbb{R}^\times = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \text{ in } \mathbb{C}, \text{ then } U_j \text{ is semicircular in } \mathfrak{L}_Q(j). \tag{5.3}$$

$$\text{The operators } U_k \text{ have zero-free distributions in } \mathfrak{L}_Q(j), \text{ whenever } k \neq j \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. Note first that the k -th generating operators u_k have zero-free distributions in the j -th filtered probability space $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, whenever $k \neq j$ in \mathbb{Z} , by (4.20). Since the corresponding operators U_k of (5.2) are the scalar-multiplies of u_k , if $k \neq j$ in \mathbb{Z} , then the operators U_k also have zero-free distributions in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$. It shows that the statement (5.4) holds.

Assume now that U_j is in the sense of (5.2) in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and suppose $\psi(q_j) \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ in \mathbb{C} . Since $\psi(q_j) \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, the corresponding operator U_j is not only well-defined in \mathfrak{L}_Q , but also self-adjoint in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$ by the self-adjointness of u_j . Therefore, this operator U_j is self-adjoint in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, if $\psi(q_j) \in \mathbb{R}^\times$.

Under self-adjointness of U_j , observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j \left(U_j^n \right) &= \tau_j \left(\frac{1}{\psi(q_j)^n} u_j^n \right) = \frac{1}{\psi(q_j)^n} \tau_j(u_j^n) \\ &= \frac{1}{\psi(q_j)^n} \left(\omega_n \psi(q_j)^n c_{\frac{n}{2}} \right) = \omega_n c_{\frac{n}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

by the $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircularity (5.1) of u_j , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, by the semicircularity characterization (3.4), this operator U_j is semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, whenever $\psi(q_j) \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. Therefore, the statement (5.3) holds. \square

The above theorem shows that the operators U_j of (5.2), generated by our $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular elements u_j , are semicircular in the j -th filtered probability spaces $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, whenever $\psi(q_j) \in \mathbb{R}^\times$.

Assumption 5.1 (in short, **A 5.1**, from below) If there is no confusion, then we automatically assume

$$\psi(q_j) \in \mathbb{R}^\times \text{ in } \mathbb{C}, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for all $q_j \in \mathbf{Q}$. \square

The above assumption, **A 5.1**, will guarantee that, if we have the $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular elements u_j in the j -th filtered probability space $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, we also have the corresponding semicircular element $U_j = \frac{1}{\psi(q_j)} u_j$ in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

6. The Free Product Banach \star -Probability Space $\star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$

A family $\{a_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}$ in an arbitrary (topological or pure-algebraic) free probability space (B, φ) is said to be a *free family*, if all elements a_n of the family are mutually free from each other in (B, φ) , where Λ is a countable (finite or infinite) index set. For such a free family $\{a_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}$, if every element a_n is weighted-semicircular (or semicircular), then we call the free family, *free weighted-semicircular* (respectively, *semicircular*) *family in (B, φ)* .

Recall that, for a fixed C^* -probability space (A, ψ) , if there exists a mutually-orthogonal projections $\{q_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, then one can construct $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular elements $u_j = l \otimes q_j$ in the j -th filtered probability spaces $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q, \tau_j)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, with

$$\tau_j \left(u_j^n \right) = \omega_n \left(\psi(q_j) \right)^n c_{\frac{n}{2}}, \tag{6.1}$$

where ω_n are in the sense of (3.3), equivalently,

$$k_n^j(u_j, \dots, u_j) = \begin{cases} \psi(q_j)^2 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, by (4.16) and (4.17).

Moreover, one can construct corresponding semicircular elements

$$U_j = \frac{1}{\psi(q_j)} u_j \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_Q(j), \tag{6.2}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, by (5.3), under A 5.1.

Now, we will construct the free product Banach \star -probability space $(\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \tau)$, by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \tau) &\stackrel{def}{=} \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j) \\ &= \left(\star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_Q, \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_j \right), \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

satisfying

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) = \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_Q \stackrel{*iso}{=} (\mathfrak{L}_Q)^{\star|\mathbb{Z}|}, \text{ and } \tau = \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_j,$$

where (\star) means free product (over \mathbb{C}) in the sense of [3,9].

Note that the free product of [3,9] is different from a pure-algebraic free product. It is totally depending on given linear functionals.

Definition 9. The free product Banach \star -probability space $(\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \tau)$ of (6.3) is called the free filterization of Q . Sometimes, the Banach \star -algebra $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ is also said to be the free filterization of Q .

By the very construction (6.3) of the free filterization $(\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \tau)$ of Q , we obtain the following proposition immediately.

Proposition 3. Let $(\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \tau)$ be the free filterization (6.3), and let u_j and U_j be in the sense of (6.1) and (6.2), respectively, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\text{The family } \{u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ is a free weighted-semicircular family in } \mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}). \tag{6.4}$$

$$\text{The family } \{U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ is a free semicircular family in } \mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \text{ under A 5.1.} \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. By the very definition (6.3) of free filterizations, u_j s are free from each other in $(\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \tau)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, each u_j is taken from the free block $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$ of $(\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \tau)$. Therefore, the family $\{u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ forms a free family in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$. Since each u_j is $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, it is $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular in $(\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \tau)$ because

$$\tau(u_j^n) = \tau_j(u_j^n) = \omega_n \psi(q_j)^n c_{\frac{n}{2}},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, this family is a free weighted-semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, statement (6.4) holds.

Similarly, one can conclude the family $\{U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a free semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$, showing that the statement (6.5) holds. \square

7. Weighted-Semicircularity on Affiliated Free Filterizations

Let (A, ψ) be a fixed C^* -probability space, and let $Q = \{q_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a family in A , consisting of all mutually orthogonal projections. Let \mathfrak{Q} be the C^* -subalgebra $C^*(Q)$ of A generated by Q , and \mathfrak{L}_Q , the corresponding radial projection algebra on Q , inducing the corresponding j -th filtered probability spaces $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q, \tau_j)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Remember that, by A 5.1,

$$\psi(q_j) \in \mathbb{R}^\times \text{ in } \mathbb{C}, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} (\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \tau) \text{ of } \mathcal{Q},$$

be the free filterization (6.3), and let

$$\mathcal{W}_S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \tag{7.1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u_j = \frac{1}{\psi(q_j)} u_j \in \mathbb{Z}_Q(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

be the free weighted-semicircular family (6.4), respectively, the free semicircular family (6.5).

Now, we fix $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and focus on the free block $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q, \tau_j)$ of the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$. In addition, consider the compressed C^* -subalgebra A_j ,

$$A_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q_j A q_j, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{7.2}$$

be C^* -subalgebras of A .

Remark 2. Remark that if the C^* -algebra A is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q} = C^*(\mathcal{Q})$, then each C^* -subalgebra A_j of (7.2) is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \cdot q_j$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is not so interesting. However, if A is $*$ -isomorphic to $M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Q}$, for a certain non-trivial C^* -algebra M , then every C^* -subalgebra A_j of (7.2) is $*$ -isomorphic to $M \cdot q_j = M$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, which are interested.

Motivated by the above remark, we now fix an arbitrary unital tracial W^* -probability space (M, tr) , consisting of the von Neumann algebra M , and a bounded linear functional tr on M ; i.e.,

$$tr(\mathbf{1}_M) = \mathbf{1}, \text{ for the identity operator } \mathbf{1}_M \text{ of } M,$$

and

$$tr(m_1 m_2) = tr(m_2 m_1), \text{ for all } m_1, m_2 \in M.$$

Remark 3. There are no typical reasons why we take a unital tracial W^* -probability space (M, tr) . One may / can regard (M, tr) as a unital tracial C^* -probability space. However, on the von Neumann algebra M , trace-depending operator theory, and operator algebra theory work well, and have been widely studied (as in II_1 , II_∞ , III_λ -factor theories, etc.), and such structures have lots of interesting applications not only in operator theory but also in related science fields like quantum physics (under W^* -topological settings).

One of the possible reasons would be from the main results of [1]. We want to mimic the constructions, and apply the main results of [1] here, as applications of our results in Sections 4–7. In addition, we want to allow a variety of topological settings in our Banach $*$ -probability structures, as generalizations of the results in previous sections.

Now, for our j -th filtered probability space $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q, \tau_j)$, a free block of the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, construct the tensor product Banach $*$ -algebra,

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q, \tag{7.3}$$

and define a linear functional τ_j^M on \mathfrak{L}_Q^M , by a linear morphism,

$$\tau_j^M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} tr \otimes \tau_j \text{ on } \mathfrak{L}_Q^M, \tag{7.4}$$

in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j^M (m \otimes u_j^n) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau_j (\text{tr}(m) u_j^n) \\ &= \text{tr}(m) \tau_j (u_j^n), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, for all $m \in (M, \text{tr})$, for all generators $u_j = l \otimes q_j$ of the radial projection algebra \mathfrak{L}_Q .

Then, one has well-defined Banach $*$ -probability spaces $(\mathfrak{L}_Q^M, \tau_j^M)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 10. The Banach $*$ -algebra \mathfrak{L}_Q^M of (7.3) is called the M -(-affiliated)-radial projection algebra. The Banach $*$ -probability spaces $(\mathfrak{L}_Q^M, \tau_j^M)$ of the M -radial projection algebra \mathfrak{L}_Q^M , and the linear functionals τ_j^M of (7.4) are said to be the j -th M -(-affiliated)-filtered probability spaces, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. For convenience, we denote our j -th M -filtered probability spaces $(\mathfrak{L}_Q^M, \tau_j^M)$ by $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} (\mathfrak{L}_Q^M, \tau_j^M), \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{7.5}$$

Now, let $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q^M, \tau_j^M)$ be our j -th M -filtered probability space (7.5), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Construct the free-product Banach $*$ -probability space $(\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}), \tau^M)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}) &\stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} (\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}), \tau^M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) \\ &= \left(\star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_Q^M, \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_j^M \right). \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

Definition 11. The free-product Banach $*$ -probability space

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}), \tau^M)$$

of (7.6) is called the M -(-affiliated)-free filterization of $Q = W^*(Q)$.

It is not difficult to check that the elements

$$\mathbf{1}_M \otimes u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}), \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

are $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular elements in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}), \tau^M)$.

Proposition 4. Let $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ be an M -free filterization (7.6) of Q , where (M, tr) is a fixed unital tracial W^* -probability space.

Let $u_j^o = \mathbf{1}_M \otimes u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathbf{1}_M$ is the identity element of M , and $u_j = l \otimes q_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, u_j^o are $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. (7.7)

Let $U_j^o = \mathbf{1}_M \otimes U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, where $U_j = \frac{1}{\psi(q_j)} u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$, under A 5.1, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, U_j^o are semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. (7.8)

Proof. Let $u_j^o = \mathbf{1}_M \otimes u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since u_j^o is contained in the j -th block $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ of the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau^M \left((u_j^o)^n \right) &= \tau^M \left(\mathbf{1}_M \otimes u_j^n \right) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{1}_M) \tau \left(u_j^n \right) \\
 &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{1}_M) \tau_j \left(u_j^n \right) \\
 &= \mathbf{1} \cdot \left(\omega_n \psi(q_j)^n c_{\frac{n}{2}} \right) = \omega_n \psi(q_j)^n c_{\frac{n}{2}},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{7.9}$$

by (7.5) and (7.6), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, by (7.9), (3.1) and (3.3), the free random variables u_j^o are $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., the statement (7.7) holds.

Similarly, since U_j^o is in the free block $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, one obtains that

$$\tau^M \left((U_j^o)^n \right) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{1}_M) \tau_j \left(U_j^n \right) = \omega_n c_{\frac{n}{2}},
 \tag{7.10}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, by (7.10), (3.2) and (3.4), the free random variables U_j^o are semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. \square

By (7.7) and (7.8), we obtain the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 2. Let $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ be M -free filterization (7.6) of Q and (M, tr) , and suppose $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ are the free blocks (7.5) of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The family $\{\mathbf{1}_M \otimes u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a free weighted-semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. $\tag{7.11}$

The family $\{\mathbf{1}_M \otimes U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a free semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. $\tag{7.12}$

Proof. By (7.7) and (7.8), the operators

$$u_j^o = \mathbf{1}_M \otimes u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$$

are $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, and the operators

$$U_j^o = \mathbf{1}_M \otimes U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$$

are semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$, respectively.

Moreover, since all elements u_j^o (or U_j^o) are contained in the mutually-distinct free blocks $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the free random variables u_j^o (resp., U_j^o) are mutually free from each other in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, the statements (7.11) and (7.12) hold. \square

Now, we take

$$\mathcal{Q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ u_j^o = \mathbf{1}_M \otimes u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}},
 \tag{7.13}$$

and

$$\mathcal{X} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ U_j^o = \mathbf{1}_M \otimes U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q and (M, tr) .

By (7.11) and (7.12), the family \mathcal{Q} (resp., \mathcal{X}) of (7.13) is a free weighted-semicircular (Respectively, semicircular) family in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

From the free families \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{X} of (7.13), let us construct families,

$$\{m_j \otimes u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}},
 \tag{7.14}$$

and

$$\{m_j \otimes U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

where m_j are elements of M , satisfying

$$m_j \neq 0_M, \text{ and } m_j \neq 1_M,$$

where 0_M means the zero element of M , for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that, by (7.6), the families (7.14) are free families in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. Now, consider certain type of free families (7.14).

Theorem 5. Let $m \in (M, \text{tr})$ be nonzero, and assume that (i) m is self-adjoint, and (ii) there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, such that

$$\text{tr}(m^n) = t_0^n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The family $\{m \otimes U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in the sense of (7.14) is a free t_0^2 -semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. (7.15)

The family $\{m \otimes u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in the sense of (7.14) is a free weighted-semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, each element $m \otimes u_j^o$ is $(t_0 \psi(q_j))^2$ -semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. (7.16)

Proof. For convenience, let us denote the two families of (7.15) and (7.16) by

$$m\mathcal{X}, \text{ respectively, } m\mathcal{Q},$$

where \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{X} are in the sense of (7.13), where $m \in (M, \text{tr})$ is given as above.

First of all, by the self-adjointness of $x \in \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{X}$, since m is assumed to be self-adjoint in M , all elements

$$m \otimes u_j, m \otimes U_j \in m\mathcal{X} \cup m\mathcal{Q}$$

are self-adjoint in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

All elements $m \otimes U_j^o \in m\mathcal{X}$ are contained in the mutually-distinct free blocks,

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q^M, \tau_j^M) = (M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q, \text{tr} \otimes \tau_j),$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, these operators $m \otimes U_j$ are mutually free from each other in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Observe now that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^M((m \otimes U_j)^n) &= \tau^M(m^n \otimes U_j^n) = \tau_j^M(m^n \otimes U_j^n) \\ &= \text{tr}(m^n) \tau_j^0(U_j^n) = t_0^n \omega_n c_{\frac{n}{2}} = \omega_n t_0^n c_{\frac{n}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the assumption that $\text{tr}(m^n) = t_0^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

It shows that the self-adjoint free random variables $m \otimes U_j \in m\mathcal{X}$ are t_0^2 -semicircular in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, by (3.3). Therefore, the family $m\mathcal{X}$ is a free t_0^2 -semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

Similarly, since $u_j^o = \psi(q_j)U_j^o \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the family $m\mathcal{Q}$ is a free family in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ because $m\mathcal{X}$ is. By A 5.1, and by the condition m is self-adjoint, all entries $m \otimes u_j$ of $m\mathcal{Q}$ are self-adjoint in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$.

In addition, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^M((m \otimes u_j)^n) &= \text{tr}(m^n) \tau_j(u_j^n) \\ &= t_0^n (\omega_n \psi(q_j)^n c_{\frac{n}{2}}) \\ &= \omega_n (t_0 \psi(q_j))^n c_{\frac{n}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, by (3.4), each entry $m \otimes u_j$ of the family is $(t_0 \psi(q_j))^2$ -semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and, hence, the family $m\mathcal{Q}$ is a free weighted-semicircular family in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. \square

Let's denote the families

$$\{m_j \otimes U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \text{ and } \{m_j \otimes u_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

of (7.14), by

$$\mathcal{X}_M, \text{ respectively, } \mathcal{Q}_M,$$

for $m_j \in (M, \text{tr})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Under A 5.1, every semicircular element U_j (which is a tensor-factor of $m_j \otimes U_j \in \mathcal{X}_M$) is well-defined as the scalar-product $\frac{1}{\psi(q_j)} u_j$ of the $\psi(q_j)^2$ -semicircular element u_j in the free block $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$ of the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q (which is a tensor-factor of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, one can understand u_j as $\psi(q_j)U_j$, and, hence,

$$\begin{aligned} m_j \otimes u_j &= m_j \otimes (\psi(q_j)U_j) \\ &= \psi(q_j) (m_j \otimes U_j) \\ &= (\psi(q_j)m_j) \otimes U_j, \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It means that the family \mathcal{Q}_M (or \mathcal{X}_M) is generated by the family \mathcal{X}_M (resp., \mathcal{Q}_M). Therefore, in the following, we concentrate on studying properties of the operators of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ induced by \mathcal{X}_M (covering the properties of those induced by \mathcal{Q}_M in the above senses).

8. Free Distributions on Affiliated Free Filterizations

In this section, we fix a M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}), \tau^M)$ in the sense of (7.6) for a fixed unital tracial W^* -probability space (M, tr) , and study free-distributional data of certain free random variables of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{1_M \otimes U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a free semicircular family of (7.12) in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ are the free blocks of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, we construct free random variables T of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ induced by M and \mathcal{X} ,

$$T = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} m_j \otimes U_j^{k_j}, \tag{8.1}$$

with $m_j \in (M, \text{tr})$, and $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$, where the summands of (8.1) satisfy

$$m_j \otimes U_j^{k_j} = (m_j \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})})(1_M \otimes U_j^{k_j}), \tag{8.1}'$$

where $1_M \otimes U_j \in \mathcal{X}$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For an operator T of (8.1), define the support of T , denoted by $\text{Supp}(T)$, by

$$\text{Supp}(T) = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : m_j \neq 0_M\} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}. \tag{8.2}$$

Proposition 5. Let T be a free random variable (8.1) in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. Then,

$$\tau^M(T) = \sum_{j \in \text{Supp}(T)} \omega_{k_j} \text{tr}(m_j) c_{\frac{k_j}{2}}, \tag{8.3}$$

where ω_k are in the sense of (3.3), for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\text{Supp}(T)$ is the support (8.2) of T in \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^M(T) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau^M(m_j \otimes U_j^{k_j}) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{tr}(m_j) \tau(U_j^{k_j}) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{tr}(m_j) \tau_j(U_j^{k_j}) \end{aligned}$$

by (8.1)

$$= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{tr}(m_j) \left(\omega_{k_j} c_{\frac{k_j}{2}} \right),$$

by (8.1)'

$$= \sum_{j \in \text{Supp}(T)} \text{tr}(m_j) \left(\omega_{k_j} c_{\frac{k_j}{2}} \right).$$

Therefore the formula (8.3) holds. \square

Observe first that if T is in the sense of (8.1) in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, then each summand $m_j \otimes U_j^{k_j}$, such that $m_j \neq 0_M$, equivalently, with $j \in \text{Supp}(T)$, are contained in a free block $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q^M, \tau_j^M)$, for all $j \in \text{Supp}(T)$, in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, one can conclude the following result.

Proposition 6. Let T be in the sense of (8.1) induced by a fixed W^* -probability space (M, tr) , and the free semicircular family \mathcal{X} of (7.12) in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. Then, all nonzero summands $m_j \otimes U_j^{k_j}$ of T are free from each other in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $j \in \text{Supp}(T)$. Equivalently, this operator T is a free sum in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward from the very construction (8.1) of the operator T in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, as we discussed in the very above paragraph. \square

Now, we concentrate on studying the free distribution of a free sums T of (8.1). Consider first that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^M(T^n) &= \tau^M \left(\left(\sum_{j \in \text{Supp}(T)} m_j \otimes U_j^{k_j} \right)^n \right) \\ &= \tau^M \left(\sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \text{Supp}(T)^n} \left(\prod_{l=1}^n (m_{j_l} \otimes U_{j_l}^{k_{j_l}}) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{Supp}(T)^n = \underbrace{\text{Supp}(T) \times \dots \times \text{Supp}(T)}_{n\text{-times}},$$

the Cartesian product of n -copies of $\text{Supp}(T)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \text{Supp}(T)^n} \tau^M \left(\prod_{l=1}^n (m_{j_l} \otimes U_{j_l}^{k_{j_l}}) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \text{Supp}(T)^n} \tau^M \left(\left(\prod_{l=1}^n m_{j_l} \right) \otimes \left(\prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_l}^{k_{j_l}} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

by (7.6),

$$= \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \text{Supp}(T)^n} \text{tr} \left(\prod_{l=1}^n m_{j_l} \right) \tau \left(\prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_l}^{k_{j_l}} \right). \tag{8.4}$$

Lemma 1. Let T be a free sum (8.1) in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. Then,

$$\tau^M(T^n) = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \text{Supp}(T)^n} \text{tr} \left(\prod_{l=1}^n m_{j_l} \right) \tau \left(\prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_l}^{k_{j_l}} \right), \tag{8.5}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where τ is the trace of (6.3) on the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q .

Proof. The proof of (8.5) is done by (8.4). \square

The above formula (8.5) shows that computing free moments of the free sum T of (8.1) is reduced to compute the joint free moments of semicircular elements

$$\{U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

of the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q .

Lemma 2. Let $\mathcal{X}_Q = \{U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the free semicircular family (6.5) in the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q , and let

$$U = \prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_l}^{k_l} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \text{ for } k_l \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{8.6}$$

where $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $j_1 = \dots = j_n = j$ in \mathbb{Z} , then the operator U of (8.6) satisfies that

$$\tau(U) = \tau(U^*) = \omega_N c_{\frac{N}{2}}, \text{ with } N = \sum_{l=1}^n k_l \text{ in } \mathbb{N}. \tag{8.7}$$

If the sequence (j_1, \dots, j_n) is alternating in \mathbb{Z} , in the sense that

$$j_1 \neq j_2, j_2 \neq j_3, \dots, j_{n-1} \neq j_n \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}, \tag{8.8}$$

then

$$\tau(U) = \tau(U^*) = \prod_{l=1}^n \omega_{n_l} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let U be an operator (8.6) in the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q . If $j_1 = \dots = j_n = j$ in \mathbb{Z} , then

$$\tau(U) = \tau(U_j^{k_1} \dots U_j^{k_n}) = \tau(U_j^N)$$

with $N = \sum_{l=1}^n k_l$ in \mathbb{N}

$$= \tau_j \left(U_j^N \right) = \omega_N c_{\frac{N}{2}},$$

by the semicircularity (6.5) of U_j in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$.

Similarly, by the self-adjointness of U_j , one can get that

$$U^* = \left(U_j^{k_1} \dots U_j^{k_n} \right)^* = U_j^{k_n} U_j^{k_{n-1}} \dots U_j^{k_1} \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}),$$

and, hence,

$$\tau(U^*) = \tau \left(U_j^{k_n} U_j^{k_{n-1}} \dots U_j^{k_1} \right) = \tau \left(U_j^N \right) = \omega_N c_{\frac{N}{2}},$$

with $N = \sum_{l=1}^n k_l$ as above in \mathbb{N} .

Thus, the statement (8.7) holds.

Assume now that the sequence (j_1, \dots, j_n) is alternating in \mathbb{Z} . Then, by the freeness (6.5) of the family \mathfrak{X}_Q in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$, one obtains that

$$\tau(U) = \tau \left(\prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_l}^{n_l} \right) = \prod_{l=1}^n \tau_{j_l} \left(U_{j_l}^{n_l} \right) = \prod_{l=1}^n \omega_{n_l} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}},$$

by the semicircularity on \mathfrak{X}_Q in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$. In addition, one can get that

$$\tau(U^*) = \tau \left(\prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_{n-l+1}}^{n_l-n+1} \right) = \prod_{l=1}^n \tau_{j_{n-l+1}} \left(U_{j_{n-l+1}}^{n_l-n+1} \right) = \tau(U).$$

Therefore, the statement (8.8) holds. \square

The above results (8.7) and (8.8) in fact characterize the free distributions of the product operators of $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ in \mathfrak{X}_Q because of the freeness on the free semicircular family \mathfrak{X}_Q . Indeed, every product T in \mathfrak{X}_Q has its unique form,

$$T = \prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_l}^{n_l} \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where (j_1, \dots, j_n) is alternating in \mathbb{Z} . The resulted unique forms under product are said to be the *free reduced words* of $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ in \mathfrak{X}_Q .

For instance, if X is a product,

$$X = U_{-1} U_{-1} U_0 U_{-1} U_4 U_4 U_4 \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ in } \mathfrak{X}_Q,$$

then it is in fact

$$X = U_{-1}^2 U_0 U_{-1} U_4^3 \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}),$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} U_{-1}^2 &\in \mathfrak{L}_Q(-1), U_0 \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(0), \\ U_{-1} &\in \mathfrak{L}_Q(-1), \text{ and } U_4^3 \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(4) \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$ are the j -th filtered probability spaces, the free blocks of $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$. In other words, this product operator X in the free family \mathfrak{X}_Q is the free reduced word $U_{-1}^2 U_0 U_{-1} U_4^3$ in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$.

Therefore, indeed, the above lemma characterizes the full free-distributional data obtained from the free semicircular family \mathfrak{X}_Q of (6.5) in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$.

However, more precisely, we may refine the above results as follows. First, observe that if (j_1, \dots, j_n) is an alternating n -tuple in \mathbb{Z} , and if there exists a unique partition of the n -tuple (j_1, \dots, j_n) with N -many noncrossing blocks

$$\left((j_1, \dots, j_{n_1}), (j_{n_1+1}, \dots, j_{n_1+n_2}), \dots, (j_{n_1+\dots+n_{N-1}+1}, \dots, j_{n_1+\dots+n_{N-1}+n_N}) \right),$$

where

$$j_1 = \dots = j_{n_1},$$

$$j_{n_1+1} = \dots = j_{n_1+n_2},$$

...

$$j_{n_1+\dots+n_{N-1}+1} = \dots = j_{n_1+\dots+n_N}$$

satisfying

$$j_1 \neq j_{n_1+1}, j_{n_1+1} \neq j_{n_1+n_2+1}, \dots, j_{n_1+\dots+n_{N-1}} \neq j_{n_1+\dots+n_{N-1}+1}$$

in \mathbb{Z} .

Then, we call such maximal partition of (j_1, \dots, j_n) , the *alternating partition*.

For example, in the very above product operator X , one can induce the corresponding integer-sequence,

$$(-1, -1, 0, -1, 4, 4, 4),$$

with its alternating partition,

$$((-1, -1), (0), (-1), (4, 4, 4)).$$

It is trivial that if an integer-sequence (j_1, \dots, j_n) is alternating in \mathbb{Z} , then its alternating partition is

$$((j_1), (j_2), (j_3), \dots, (j_n)).$$

Now, let $W = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ be a finite integer sequence regarded as its unique alternating partition,

$$W = ([j_{l_1}]_1, \dots, [j_{l_N}]_N),$$

where $[j_{l_1}], \dots, [j_{l_N}]$ are the blocks of the alternating partition of W , with $N \leq n$ in \mathbb{N} , satisfying

$$[j_{l_s}]_s = (j_{l_s}, j_{l_s}, \dots, j_{l_s}) \text{ in } W,$$

for all $s = 1, \dots, N$, with

$$[j_{l_1}]_1 = [j_1]_1.$$

We say that the cardinality N of blocks in W the *(alternating-)partition size of W* . One can define the following quantities $|[j_{l_s}]_s|$ for a fixed size- N alternating partition of the sequence W

$$|[j_{l_s}]_s| = \text{the cardinality of } [j_{l_s}]_s \text{ in } W$$

for all $l = 1, \dots, N$. We call these quantities $|[j_{l_s}]_s|$ the *block-sizes of W* , for all $s = 1, \dots, N$.

For example, if the product operator X is a free reduced word, $U_{-1}^2 U_0 U_{-1} U_4^3$ of $\mathcal{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ is as above inducing the size-4 alternating partition of its integer-sequence,

$$([-1]_1, [0]_2, [-1]_3, [4]_4) = ((-1, -1), (0), (-1), (4, 4, 4)),$$

then

$$|[-1]_1| = 2, |[0]_2| = 1 = |[-1]_3|,$$

and

$$|[4]_4| = 3.$$

We can realize that the block-sizes are identical to the powers of free-factors of X .

Example 1. Let $\mathcal{X}_Q = \{U_j \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the free semicircular family (6.5) in the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q , and let

$$X = U_{-1}U_{-1}U_0U_{-1}U_4U_4U_4 \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$$

be a product operator of $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ in \mathcal{X}_Q . Then, this operator X is identical to the free reduced word

$$X = U_{-1}^2U_0U_{-1}U_4^3 \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}),$$

inducing the size-4 alternating partition of the corresponding integer-sequence,

$$([-1]_1, [0]_2, [-1]_3, [4]_4),$$

with

$$[-1]_1 = (-1, -1), [0]_2 = (0), [-1]_3 = (-1),$$

and

$$[4]_4 = (4, 4, 4),$$

having the block-sizes

$$2, 1, 1, \text{ and } 3,$$

respectively.

Based on the above new concepts we discussed, let's refine the computations (8.7) and (8.8).

Lemma 3. Let $U = \prod_{i=1}^n U_{j_i}$ be a product operator of $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ in the free semicircular family \mathcal{X}_Q of (6.5), for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that U induces the size- N alternating-partition $([j_{I_1}]_1, \dots, [j_{I_N}]_N)$ of its integer-partition (j_1, \dots, j_n) , with the block-sizes

$$|[j_{I_s}]_s| = N_s, \text{ for all } s = 1, \dots, N,$$

with

$$n = N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_N \text{ in } \mathbb{N},$$

for some $N \leq n$ in \mathbb{N} . Then, this product U is the free reduced word,

$$U = \prod_{s=1}^N U_{j_{I_s}}^{N_s} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \tag{8.9}$$

satisfying

$$\tau(U) = \prod_{s=1}^N \left(\omega_{N_s} c_{\frac{N_s}{2}} \right) = \tau(U^*). \tag{8.10}$$

Proof. Let U be given as above in the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q . Then, by the very above discussion, this product operator U in \mathcal{X}_Q is the free reduced word $\prod_{s=1}^N U_{j_{I_s}}^{N_s}$ in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$, where N_s are the block-sizes of the size- N alternating partition of (j_1, \dots, j_n) in \mathbb{Z} . Thus, the product U is identified with the free reduced word of (8.9) in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$.

By (8.9), (8.7) and (8.8), the free-moment computation (8.10) holds. \square

By the above three lemmas, we obtain the following free-distributional data of the free sum T in the sense of (8.1) in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 6. Let $T = \sum_{j \in \text{Supp}(T)} (m_j \otimes U_j^{k_j})$ be the free sum (8.1) in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. Then,

$$\tau^M(T^n) = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \text{Supp}(T)^n} \text{tr} \left(\prod_{l=1}^n m_{j_l} \right) \tau \left(\prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_l}^{k_{j_l}} \right), \tag{8.11}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, for any fixed $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \text{Supp}(T)^n$, one has the corresponding integer-sequence

$$W_{j_1, \dots, j_n} = \left(\underbrace{j_1, \dots, j_1}_{k_{j_1}}, \underbrace{j_2, \dots, j_2}_{k_{j_2}}, \dots, \underbrace{j_n, \dots, j_n}_{k_{j_n}} \right).$$

Then, the integer-sequence W_{j_1, \dots, j_n} has its unique alternative-partition,

$$W_{j_1, \dots, j_n} = ([j_1]_1, \dots, [j_1]_N),$$

with

$$|[j_l]_s| = N_s, \text{ for all } s = 1, \dots, N,$$

such that $\sum_{s=1}^N N_s = \sum_{l=1}^n k_{j_l}$ in \mathbb{N} , inducing the free reduced word,

$$\prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_l}^{k_{j_l}} = \prod_{s=1}^N U_{j_s}^{N_s} \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z}), \tag{8.12}$$

satisfying

$$\tau \left(\prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_l}^{k_{j_l}} \right) = \prod_{s=1}^N \omega_{N_s} c_{\frac{N_s}{2}}.$$

Proof. The formula (8.11) is proven by (8.5). The computation (8.12) is shown by (8.9) and (8.10). \square

The following corollary is a direct consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 3. Let T be the free sum (8.1) in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. Then,

$$\tau^M((T^*)^n) = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \text{Supp}(T)^n} \text{tr} \left(\prod_{l=1}^n m_{j_l}^* \right) \tau \left(\prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_l}^{k_{j_l}} \right), \tag{8.13}$$

where $\tau \left(\prod_{l=1}^n U_{j_l}^{k_{j_l}} \right)$ satisfy (8.12), for all $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \text{Supp}(T)^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since $T = \sum_{j \in \text{Supp}(T)} (m_j \otimes U_j^{k_j})$ is a free sum in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, one can get that

$$T^* = \sum_{j \in \text{Supp}(T)} (m_j^* \otimes U_j^{k_j}) \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}),$$

by the self-adjointness of the semicircular elements U_j in the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ (under **A 5.1**), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, similar to (8.11) and (8.12), the formula (8.13) holds. \square

The following result is immediately obtained by (8.12) and (8.13).

Corollary 4. Let T be the free sum (8.1) in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. Assume that $m_j \in (M, tr)$ are self-adjoint in M , for all $j \in \text{Supp}(T)$ in \mathbb{Z} . Then, the free distribution of T is characterized by the free-moment sequence, $(\tau^M(T^n))_{n=1}^\infty$, whose entries are determined by (8.12).

Proof. Under hypothesis, the free sum T is self-adjoint in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ in the sense that $T^* = T$. Thus, by (8.12) and (8.13), this corollary is proven. \square

Now, we generalize the free-distributional data (8.12) and (8.13).

Let $\mathbb{Z}^N = \{(j_k)_{k=1}^N : j_k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Define a subset $Alt(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ of \mathbb{Z}^N by

$$Alt(\mathbb{Z}^N) \stackrel{def}{=} \left\{ (j_k)_{k=1}^N \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid (j_k)_{k=1}^N \text{ is alternating} \right\}, \tag{8.14}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

For an arbitrarily fixed N -tuple $W = (j_1, \dots, j_N)$ in \mathbb{Z}^N , let X be an ordered N -tuple

$$X = (m_{j_1}, \dots, m_{j_N}),$$

whose entries are from (M, tr) , i.e., $m_{j_k} \in (M, tr)$, for all $k = 1, \dots, N$.

In addition, for the N -tuple W , let

$$\eta = (n_{j_1}, \dots, n_{j_N})$$

be an N -tuple of natural numbers, $n_{j_k} \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $k = 1, \dots, N$, where j_1, \dots, j_N are the entries of W .

For such N -tuples W, X and η , define an operator $T_W^{X,\eta}$ by

$$T_W^{X,\eta} = \prod_{k=1}^N (m_k \otimes U_{j_k}^{n_k}) = \prod_{j \in W} (m_j \otimes U_j^{n_j}), \tag{8.15}$$

in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Now, let a fixed N -tuple W is taken from $Alt(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ of (8.14), and let

$$T_W^{X,\eta} = \prod_{j \in W} (m_j \otimes U_j^{n_j}), \tag{8.16}$$

be in the sense of (8.15), contained in the Banach $*$ -subalgebra

$$\otimes_{j \in W} \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) \text{ of } \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$$

(again, see [2,3,9]).

Remark 4. If W is not an alternating N -tuple in \mathbb{Z}^N , for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, equivalently, if

$$W \in \mathbb{Z}^N \setminus Alt(\mathbb{Z}^N),$$

then one can decide the maximal partition of W , whose blocks consist only of same integers. For instance, if

$$W = (-1, -1, 2, 3, 3, -1) \in \mathbb{Z}^6 \setminus W \notin Alt(\mathbb{Z}^6),$$

then one has the partitioned sequence,

$$W_0 = ((-1, -1), (2), (3, 3), (-1)),$$

and give reduction on (8.8) for each block of W_0 . i.e.,

$$T_W^{X,\eta} \stackrel{equi}{=} \left(m_{-1} \otimes U_{-1}^{n_{-1}} \right) \left(m'_{-1} \otimes U_{-1}^{n'_{-1}} \right) \otimes \left(m_2 \otimes U_2^{n_2} \right) \otimes \left(m_3 \otimes U_3^{n_3} \right) \left(m'_3 \otimes U_3^{n'_3} \right) \otimes \left(m''_{-1} \otimes U_{-1}^{n''_{-1}} \right),$$

and, hence,

$$T_W^{X,\eta} \stackrel{equi}{=} \left(m_{-1} m'_{-1} \otimes U_{-1}^{n_{-1}+n'_{-1}} \right) \otimes \left(m_2 \otimes U_2^{n_2} \right) \otimes \left(m_3 m'_3 \otimes U_3^{n_3+n'_3} \right) \otimes \left(m''_{-1} \otimes U_{-1}^{n''_{-1}} \right)$$

in the free block

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(-1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(3) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(-1),$$

in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, which is identified with

$$T_W^{X,\eta} = \left(m_{-1} m'_{-1} \otimes U_{-1}^{n_{-1}+n'_{-1}} \right) \left(m_2 \otimes U_2^{n_2} \right) \cdot \left(m_3 m'_3 \otimes U_3^{n_3+n'_3} \right) \left(m''_{-1} \otimes U_{-1}^{n''_{-1}} \right)$$

in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

As we considered above, if $W \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, then there exists a unique $W' \in Alt(\mathbb{Z}^N)$, such that

$$T_W^{X,\eta} = T_{W'}^{X',\eta'} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}),$$

as a free reduced word.

It shows that, without loss of generality, one can reduce his interests in alternating sequences (instead of all sequences in \mathbb{Z}^N) in $Alt(\mathbb{Z}^N)$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider free distributions of free random variables $T_W^{X,\eta}$ of (8.16), for $W \in Alt(\mathbb{Z}^N)$.

Theorem 7. Let $W \in Alt(\mathbb{Z}^N)$, for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $T_W^{X,\eta}$ be the operator (8.16) in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. Then,

$$\tau^M \left(T_W^{X,\eta} \right) = \prod_{j \in W} \omega_{n_j} c_{\frac{n_j}{2}} tr(m_j), \tag{8.17}$$

and

$$\tau^M \left(\left(T_W^{X,\eta} \right)^* \right) = \prod_{j \in W} \left(\omega_{n_j} c_{\frac{n_j}{2}} tr(m_j^*) \right).$$

Moreover, if the fixed alternating N -tuple $W = (j_1, \dots, j_N)$ satisfies

$$j_1 \neq j_N \text{ in } \mathbb{Z},$$

then

$$\tau^M \left(\left(T_W^{X,\eta} \right)^n \right) = \prod_{j \in W} \left(\omega_{n_j} c_{\frac{n_j}{2}} tr(m_j) \right)^n, \tag{8.18}$$

and

$$\tau^M \left(\left((T_W^{X,\eta})^* \right)^n \right) = \prod_{j \in W} \left(\omega_{n_j} c_{\frac{n_j}{2}} \text{tr}(m_j^*) \right)^n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By the alternating-ness of W , the operator $T_W^{X,\eta}$ forms a free reduced word in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, by (7.6). Therefore, one can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^M \left(T_W^{X,\eta} \right) &= \prod_{j \in W} \tau_j^M \left(m_j \otimes U_j^{n_j} \right) \\ &= \prod_{j \in W} \left(\text{tr}(m_j) \right) \left(\tau_j \left(U_j^{n_j} \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{j \in W} \omega_{n_j} c_{\frac{n_j}{2}} \text{tr} \left(m_j \right), \end{aligned}$$

by the semicircularity of U_j 's in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^M \left((T_W^{X,\eta})^* \right) &= \prod_{j \in W} \tau_j^M \left(m_j^* \otimes U_j^{n_j} \right) \\ &= \prod_{j \in W} \omega_{n_j} c_{\frac{n_j}{2}} \text{tr} \left(m_j^* \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the free-distributional data (8.17) are obtained.

Assume now that a fixed alternating N -tuple $W = (j_1, \dots, j_N)$ satisfies

$$j_1 \neq j_N \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}.$$

Under this additional condition, one can realize that the nN -tuples

$$W^n = \left(\underbrace{W, W, \dots, W}_{n\text{-times}} \right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{nN}$$

satisfy

$$W^n \in \text{Alt} \left(\mathbb{Z}^{nN} \right), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

i.e., W^n are alternating in \mathbb{Z} , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It guarantees that the operators $(T_W^{X,\eta})^n$ form free reduced words in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^M \left((T_W^{X,\eta})^n \right) &= \tau^M \left(\underbrace{T_W^{X,\eta} \dots T_W^{X,\eta}}_{n\text{-times}} \right) \\ &= \left(\tau^M \left(T_W^{X,\eta} \right) \right)^n = \left(\prod_{j \in W} \omega_{n_j} c_{\frac{n_j}{2}} \text{tr} \left(m_j \right) \right)^n, \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\tau^M \left(\left((T_W^{X,\eta})^* \right)^n \right) = \left(\prod_{j \in W} \omega_{n_j} c_{\frac{n_j}{2}} \text{tr} \left(m_j^* \right) \right)^n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (8.17).

Therefore, the free-probabilistic information (8.18) is obtained. \square

As we have seen above, our main results of Section 8, the free-distributional data induced by the free semicircular family \mathcal{X} , are affected by the freeness (6.3) on the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. In Section 9, let us consider freeness conditions and corresponding free-distributional information on $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ affected by the freeness on (M, tr) .

9. Certain Freeness Conditions on $(\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}), \tau^M)$

In this section, we consider freeness conditions on our M -free filterization

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}), \tau^M),$$

affected by the freeness on a fixed unital tracial W^* -probability space (M, tr) .

Since $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ is defined to be the free product of j -th filtered probability spaces $\{\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, the freeness (6.3) on the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q affects the free-distributional information on $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ canonically (see Section 8; e.g., (8.12), (8.13), (8.17) and (8.18)), and it affects the freeness on $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Section 7; e.g., (7.11), (7.12), (7.15) and (7.16)). Therefore, it is natural to ask how the freeness on the other tensor-factor (M, tr) affects the freeness on the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

Assume that a fixed W^* -probability space (M, tr) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (M, tr) &= (M_1 \star M_2, tr_1 \star tr_2) \\ &= (M_1, tr_1) \star (M_2, tr_2). \end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

Then, the free blocks $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ of the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfies that

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) \stackrel{def}{=} M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j),$$

where $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$ are the j -th filtered probability spaces, the free blocks of the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q

$$\begin{aligned} &= (M_1 \star M_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j) \\ \text{by (9.1)} \quad &= (M_1 \star M_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathfrak{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} Q) \end{aligned}$$

because, as a Banach $*$ -algebra, $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j) = \mathfrak{L}_Q$, the projection radial algebra (for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$), where \mathfrak{L} is the radial algebra (3.12), by (3.14)

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} (M_1 \star M_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathfrak{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|}) \\ \text{by (3.8)} \quad &\stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} (M_1 \star M_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathfrak{L}^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|}) \\ &\stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} ((M_1 \star M_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L})^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|} \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} \left((M_1 \star M_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{\mathbb{C}[\{I\}]^{\|\cdot\|}} \right)^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|} \\ \text{by (3.12)} \quad &\stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \left(\overline{(M_1 \star M_2)[\{I\}]} \right)^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M[\{I\}]$ means the polynomial-algebra in I with M -coefficients, and where $\overline{}$ means the norm-topology-closure under the product topology for the W^* -topology for $M_1 \star M_2$ (or that for M) and the Banach-topology for $\overline{\mathbb{C}[\{I\}]^{\|\cdot\|}}$ in the sense of (3.12)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \left(\overline{M_1[\{I\}] \star M_2[\{I\}]} \right)^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|} \\
 & = \left(\overline{M_1[\{I\}] \star M_2[\{I\}]} \right)^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|} \\
 & \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \left((M_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}) \star (M_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}) \right)^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|} \\
 & \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} (M_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L})^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|} \star (M_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L})^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|} \tag{9.2} \\
 & \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \left(M_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|} \right) \star \left(M_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}^{\oplus|\mathbb{Z}|} \right) \\
 & \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} (M_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q) \star (M_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q) \\
 & = (M_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)) \star (M_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)) \\
 & = \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_1}(j) \star \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_2}(j),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_l}(j)$ are in the sense of (7.3), equipped with their linear functionals

$$\tau_j^{M_l} = \text{tr}_l \otimes \tau_j \tag{9.3}$$

in the sense of (7.4), for all $l = 1, 2$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By (9.2) and (9.3), we obtain the following structure theorems.

Theorem 8. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ be arbitrarily fixed, and let $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ be the corresponding free block of the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q . Assume that a fixed unital tracial W^* -probability space (M, tr) satisfies the freeness (9.1). Then,

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) = \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_1 \star M_2}(j) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_1}(j) \star \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_2}(j), \tag{9.4}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_l}(j) = \left(\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_l}, \tau_j^{M_l} \right) = (M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q, \text{tr}_l \otimes \tau_j),$$

for all $l = 1, 2$.

Proof. The structure theorem (9.4) is proven by (9.2), where $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_l}(j)$ are the Banach $*$ -algebras $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_l}$ in the sense of (7.3) equipped with their linear functionals $\tau_j^{M_l}$ of (9.3) as in (7.4). \square

By (9.4), one can get the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 5. Suppose a given W^* -probability space (M, tr) is the free product W^* -probability space,

$$(M, \text{tr}) = \star_{s \in \Lambda} (M_s, \text{tr}_s), \tag{9.5}$$

for an countable (finite or infinite) index set Λ (under suitable product topology). Then, the free blocks $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ of the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q satisfy that

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \star_{s \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_s}(j), \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{9.6}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_s}(j) = \left(\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_s} = M_s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j), \tau_j^{M_s} = tr_s \otimes \tau_j \right),$$

for all $s \in \Lambda$.

Proof. The structure theorem (9.6) is obtained by the induction on (9.4), where (M, tr) satisfies the freeness (9.5). \square

By (9.4) and (9.6), one can get the following structure theorem for our M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ in terms of the freeness on (M, tr) .

Corollary 6. Let $(M, tr) = \star_{s \in \Lambda} (M_s, tr_s)$, and let $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ be the M -free filterization of Q , where Λ is a (finite, or infinite) countable index set. Then,

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\star_{s \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_s}(j) \right) = \star_{s \in \Lambda} \left(\star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_s}(j) \right). \tag{9.7}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j) = \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\mathfrak{L}_Q^{\star_{s \in \Lambda} M_s}(j) \right) \\ &\stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\star_{s \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_s}(j) \right) = \star_{s \in \Lambda} \left(\star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_s}(j) \right), \end{aligned}$$

by (9.6). Thus, the $*$ -isomorphic relation (9.7) holds. \square

The above structure theorem (9.7) characterizes the freeness on the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ by the freeness on (M, tr) . In fact, it shows how the freeness both on (M, tr) and on the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q affect the free structure of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

In addition, the structure theorem (9.4), and its generalization (9.6) shows the following results as well.

Theorem 9. Let y_1, y_2 be free random variables in a fixed W^* -probability space (M, tr) , and suppose they are free in (M, tr) . Then, the corresponding operators

$$y_{1,j}^{n_1} = y_1 \otimes U_j^{n_1} \text{ and } y_{2,j}^{n_2} = y_2 \otimes U_j^{n_2} \tag{9.8}$$

are free in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q , for any arbitrarily fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. i.e., for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$y_1 \text{ and } y_2 \text{ are free in } (M, tr) \Rightarrow y_{1,j}^{n_1} \text{ and } y_{2,j}^{n_2} \text{ are free in } \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}). \tag{9.9}$$

Proof. Now, let $y_{l,j}^{n_l} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ be in the sense of (9.8), for all $l = 1, 2$, where the tensor-factors y_l of them are free in (M, tr) . Now, construct W^* -subalgebras M_{y_l} of M by

$$M_{y_l} = W^* (\{y_l, y_l^*\}), \text{ for all } l = 1, 2,$$

and consider the restricted linear functionals

$$tr_l = tr |_{M_{y_l}}, \text{ for all } l = 1, 2.$$

Then, it is not difficult to check that the W^* -subalgebra

$$M_{y_1, y_2} = W^* (\{y_1, y_1^*, y_2, y_2^*\})$$

satisfies

$$M_{y_1, y_2} = M_{y_1} \star M_{y_2} \text{ in } (M, tr)$$

with respect to the linear functional

$$tr_{1,2} = tr \upharpoonright_{M_{y_1, y_2}} \text{ on } M_{y_1, y_2},$$

satisfying

$$tr_{1,2} = tr_1 \star tr_2 \text{ on } M_{y_1, y_2}.$$

Now, we consider M_{y_1, y_2} -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_1, y_2}}(\mathbb{Z})$, as a Banach $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

Then, by (9.4) and (9.6), we obtain that the free blocks $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_1, y_2}}(j)$ of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_1, y_2}}(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfy

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_1, y_2}}(j) = \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_1} \star M_{y_2}}(j) = \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_1}}(j) \star \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_2}}(j), \tag{9.10}$$

in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_1, y_2}}(\mathbb{Z})$ (inside $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that

$$y_{l,j}^{n_l} (y_{l,j}^{n_l})^* \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_l}}(j) \subset \mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_1, y_2}}(j) \subset \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j), \tag{9.11}$$

in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $l = 1, 2$.

By (9.10) and (9.11), the subsets

$$\{y_{1,j}^{n_1} (y_{1,j}^{n_1})^*\} \text{ and } \{y_{2,j}^{n_2} (y_{2,j}^{n_2})^*\}$$

are contained in the distinct free blocks $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_1}}(j)$, respectively $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{M_{y_2}}(j)$, in the free block $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. It shows that these two subsets are free in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ (e.g., [9–11]), equivalently, the operators $y_{1,j}^{n_1}$ and $y_{2,j}^{n_2}$ of (9.8) are free in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

Therefore, the statement (9.9) holds. \square

The true statement (9.9) shows that the freeness conditions on (M, tr) implies the freeness on free blocks of the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q . Note that the freeness condition (9.9) is slightly different from the structure theorem (9.7). The above statement (9.9) shows that the “embedded” free structures in (M, tr) affects the freeness on $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$; meanwhile, the structure theorem (9.7) says the freeness on (M, tr) affects the freeness on $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$.

By (9.7), or by (9.9), we obtain the following free-distributional data.

Theorem 10. *Let y_1, y_2 be free random variables in a given W^* -probability space (M, tr) , and suppose that they are free in (M, tr) . Let $y_{l,j} = y_{l,j}^1$ is in the sense of (9.8) in the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q , for all $l = 1, 2$. Assume that*

$$(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{9.12}$$

is an alternating n -tuple in $\{1, 2\}$. Let

$$T = \prod_{s=1}^n y_{i_s, j} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}). \tag{9.13}$$

Then,

$$\tau^M(T) = \omega_n c_{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\prod_{s=1}^n tr(y_{i_s}) \right). \tag{9.14}$$

Moreover, if $i_1 \neq i_n$ in $\{1, 2\}$, where i_1 and i_n are the entries of the n -tuple of (9.12), then

$$\tau^M(T^N) = \left(\omega_n c_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^N \prod_{s=1}^n \left(\text{tr}(y_{l_{i_s}})\right)^N, \text{ for all } N \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{9.15}$$

Proof. Suppose T be in the sense of (9.13) in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ under the alternating condition (9.12). Then, this operator T is contained in the free block $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. By regarding T as an element in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$, it is regarded as a free reduced word in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$ by (9.9) and (9.10) (e.g., [2,3,9]). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^M(T) &= \tau_j^M\left(\prod_{s=1}^n y_{l_{i_s}, j}\right) = \tau_j^M\left(\prod_{s=1}^n (y_{l_{i_s}} \otimes U_j)\right) \\ &= \tau_j^M\left(\left(\prod_{s=1}^n y_{l_{i_s}}\right) \otimes U_j^n\right) = \text{tr}\left(\prod_{s=1}^n y_{l_{i_s}}\right) \left(\tau_j(U_j^n)\right) \\ &= \left(\omega_n c_{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \text{tr}\left(\prod_{s=1}^n y_{l_{i_s}}\right) \\ &= \left(\omega_n c_{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \left(\prod_{s=1}^n \text{tr}(y_{l_{i_s}})\right), \end{aligned}$$

by the alternating-ness of (i_1, \dots, i_n) in $\{1, 2\}$. Therefore, the free-distributional data (9.14) holds.

Now, assume that (i_1, \dots, i_n) is not only alternating in $\{1, 2\}$, but also

$$i_1 \neq i_n \text{ in } \{1, 2\}.$$

Under this assumption, the operators $T^N \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$ are understood as free reduced words in the free block $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(j)$, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, one obtains that

$$\tau^M(T^N) = \left(\tau^M(T)\right)^N, \text{ for all } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, the free-momental information (9.15) holds. \square

Remark 5. Free-distributional data (8.11), (8.12), (8.13), (8.17) and (8.18) shows how the freeness on the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q affect the free-distributional data on the M -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. In addition, the free-distributional data (9.14) and (9.15) illustrate how the freeness on (M, tr) affects the free-distributional information on $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$. By combining these results with (9.7), or with (9.9), one can characterize the free-probabilistic information of operators of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z})$, under freeness on (M, tr) , and that on $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$.

10. Application

Let (M, tr) be an arbitrarily fixed unital tracial W^* -probability space, and let $\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathfrak{L}_Q^M(\mathbb{Z}), \tau^M)$ be the M -free filterization of Q , where Q is the C^* -subalgebra of a C^* -probability space (A, ψ) generated by mutually-orthogonal $|\mathbb{Z}|$ -many projections in A .

Now, we will apply our main results of Sections 7, 8 and 9 to a special case, where a von Neumann algebra M is given to be a free group factor, i.e.,

$$M = L(F_n),$$

generated by the free group F_n of n -generators for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{\geq 1}$, where

$$\mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{\geq 1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}) \cup \{\infty\}$$

(e.g., [1,11]). For example, the free group factor $L(F_n)$ is a group von Neumann algebra generated by F_n , as a W^* -subalgebra of the operator algebra $B(l^2(F_n))$, where $l^2(F_n)$ is the l^2 -Hilbert space generated by the group F_n , satisfying the following factor-ness.

A von Neumann algebra M (contained in the operator algebra $B(H)$ of all operators on a Hilbert space H) is a *factor*, if

$$M \cap M' = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B(H)} \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \mathbb{C},$$

where M' is the *commutant* of M in $B(H)$,

$$M' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{T \in B(H) : Tm = mT, \forall m \in M\}.$$

Recall that every von Neumann algebra M is decomposed by factors of different types. For more about von Neumann algebras and factors, see [11]. Note also that the free group factors $L(F_n)$ are indeed well-determined factors (e.g., [1] because F_n is an i.c.c. group), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{>1}$.

By construction, all elements m of $L(F_n)$ are expressed by

$$m = \sum_{g \in F_n} t_g g, \text{ with } t_g \in \mathbb{C},$$

in $L(F_n)$ (as finite sums or infinite sums under limit), with their adjoint,

$$m^* = \sum_{g \in F_n} \overline{t_g} g^* = \sum_{g \in F_n} \overline{t_g} g^{-1},$$

where g^* is the adjoint of g (as an operator in $L(F_n)$), and g^{-1} is the group-inverse of g (as a group-element of F_n).

The free group factors $L(F_n)$ are equipped with their *canonical traces* tr_n on them, defined by

$$tr_n \left(\sum_{g \in F_n} t_g g \right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t_{e_n}, \tag{10.1}$$

where e_n are the group-identities of F_n , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{>1}$. i.e., if $m \in L(F_n)$, as a (possibly infinite) linear combination in F_n , then $tr_n(m)$ is regarded as the process taking the coefficient t_{e_n} of m , for the group identity e_n of F_n .

Therefore, every free group factor $L(F_n)$ is automatically understood as a W^* -probability space $(L(F_n), tr_n)$, where tr_n is the canonical trace (10.1) on $L(F_n)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{>1}$. From below, if we write $L(F_n)$, then it means either the free group factor, or the corresponding W^* -probability space $(L(F_n), tr_n)$.

It is not hard to check that $L(F_n)$ forms a unital tracial W^* -probability spaces, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{>1}$. Thus, under our settings, one can establish the corresponding $L(F_n)$ -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_q^{L(F_n)}(\mathbb{Z})$ of \mathcal{Q} .

Notation Denote the $L(F_n)$ -free filterizations $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{L(F_n)}(\mathbb{Z})$ simply by $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{>1}$. \square

It is well-known that, if $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{>1}$, and if $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, such that

$$n = n_1 + n_2 \text{ in } \mathbb{N}_{\infty},$$

then

$$L(F_n) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} L(F_{n_1}) \star L(F_{n_2}) \tag{10.2}$$

(e.g., see [1,3]), where “ $\stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=}$ ” means “being W^* -algebra-isomorphic”.

More generally, if $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = n$ in $\mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{>1}$, with $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$L(F_n) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \star_{l=1}^k L(F_{n_l}), \tag{10.3}$$

by the induction on (10.2). For instance, by (10.3),

$$L(F_n) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \underbrace{L(\mathbb{Z}) \star L(\mathbb{Z}) \star \dots \star L(\mathbb{Z})}_{n\text{-times}}, \tag{10.3}'$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{\geq 1}$, by regarding \mathbb{Z} as the infinite cyclic abelian group $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ (up to group-isomorphisms). Radulescu showed in [8] that either the statement (10.4) or (10.5) holds true, where

$$L(F_{n_1}) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} L(F_{n_2}), \text{ for all } n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{\geq 1}, \tag{10.4}$$

$$L(F_{n_1}) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{\neq} L(F_{n_2}), \text{ whenever } n_1 \neq n_2 \text{ in } \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{\geq 1}. \tag{10.5}$$

Unfortunately, we do not know which one holds yet.

By (10.3) and (9.7), we obtain the following structure theorem of $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{\geq 1}$.

Corollary 7. *Let $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be the $L(F_n)$ -free filterization of Q , for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{\geq 1}$, and assume that*

$$n = n_1 + \dots + n_k \text{ in } \mathbb{N}_{\infty}, \text{ for } n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}. \tag{10.6}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z}) &\stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \star_{l=1}^k \left(\star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (L(F_{n_l}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)) \right) \\ &\stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\star_{l=1}^k (L(F_{n_l}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{10.7}$$

where $\mathfrak{L}_Q(j)$ are the j -th filtered probability spaces, the free blocks of the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q . Furthermore, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z}) &\stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \star_{l=1}^n \left(\star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (L(\mathbb{Z})_l \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)) \right) \\ &\stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\star_{l=1}^n (L(\mathbb{Z})_l \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{10.8}$$

with

$$L(\mathbb{Z})_l = L(\mathbb{Z}), \text{ for all } l = 1, \dots, n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{\geq 1}$.

Proof. The structure theorem (10.7) are immediately obtained by (9.7) with help of (10.2) and (10.3), under the assumption (10.6). In addition, the structure theorem (10.8) is shown by (9.7) and (10.3)'. \square

The above isomorphism theorems (10.7) and (10.8) let us have the following corollary.

Corollary 8. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{\geq 1}$, and let $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be the $L(F_n)$ -free filterization of Q .*

If $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$ as in (10.6) in \mathbb{N}_{∞} , then

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \star_{l=1}^k (\mathfrak{L}_Q(n_l, \mathbb{Z})), \tag{10.9}$$

where $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n_l, \mathbb{Z})$ are the $L(F_{n_l})$ -free filterizations of Q , for all $l = 1, \dots, k$.

We have that

$$\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{*iso}{=} \star_{l=1}^n (\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbf{1}, \mathbb{Z})), \tag{10.10}$$

where $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbf{1}, \mathbb{Z})$ is the $L(\mathbb{Z})$ -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{L(\mathbb{Z})}(\mathbb{Z})$ of Q .

Proof. By (10.7), one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z}) &= \star_{l=1}^k \left(\star_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (L(F_{n_l}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{L}_Q(j)) \right) \\ &= \star_{l=1}^k \mathfrak{L}_Q(n_l, \mathbb{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

under (10.6). Therefore, the statement (10.9) holds.

In addition, the statement (10.10) holds as a special case of (10.9). \square

From below, let's fix $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{>1}$ and the corresponding $L(F_n)$ -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 9. Let $T_l = g_l \otimes U_{j_l}^{n_l} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$, where $g_l \in F_n$ (and hence, they are generating operators of $L(F_n)$), and $U_{j_l} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j_l)$ are semicircular elements in the free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$, and $n_l \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $l = 1, \dots, N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$T = \prod_{l=1}^N T_l \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z}). \tag{10.11}$$

Assume first that $N = 1$ in \mathbb{N} , and hence $T = g_1 \otimes U_{j_1}^{n_1} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Then,

$$\tau^{L(F_n)}(T^k) = \tau^{L(F_n)}((T^*)^k) = \begin{cases} \omega_{kn_1} c_{\frac{kn_1}{2}} & \text{if } g_1 = e_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } g_1 \neq e_n, \end{cases} \tag{10.12}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where e_n is the group-identity of F_n (and, hence, the identity element of $L(F_n)$).

Suppose $N > 1$ in \mathbb{N} , and assume that T is in the sense of (10.11) in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$, and the corresponding N -tuple (j_1, \dots, j_N) is alternating in \mathbb{Z} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{L(F_n)}(T) &= \begin{cases} \prod_{l=1}^N \omega_{n_l} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}} & \text{if } g_l = e_n, \forall l = 1, \dots, N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ &= \tau^{L(F_n)}(T^*). \end{aligned} \tag{10.13}$$

Under the same hypothesis of (10.13), assume further that $j_1 \neq j_N$ in \mathbb{Z} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{L(F_n)}(T^k) &= \begin{cases} \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \left(\omega_{n_l} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}} \right)^k \right) & \text{if } g_l = e_n, \forall l = 1, \dots, N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ &= \tau^{L(F_n)}((T^*)^k), \end{aligned} \tag{10.14}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $T = g_1 \otimes U_{j_1}^{n_1} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$, for $g_1 \in F_n$, $U_{j_1} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(j_1)$. Then, this operator T is contained in the free block $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{L(F_n)}(j_1)$ in the $L(F_n)$ -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus,

$$T^k = \left(g_1 \otimes U_{j_1}^{n_1} \right)^k = g_1^k \otimes U_{j_1}^{kn_1} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^{L(F_n)}(j_1),$$

in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$, and, hence,

$$(T^*)^k = g_1^{-k} \otimes U_{j_1}^{kn_1} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^{L(F_n)}(j_1),$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $g_1^{-k} = (g_1^{-1})^k$ in F_n (and, hence, it is identical to $(g_1^*)^k$ in $L(F_n)$). Therefore, one can get that

$$\tau^{L(F_n)}(T^k) = \left(\omega_{kn_1} c_{\frac{kn_1}{2}} \right) \text{tr}_n(g_1^k)$$

by (8.17) and (8.18)

$$= \left(\omega_{kn_1} c_{\frac{kn_1}{2}} \right) \delta_{g_1^k, e_n},$$

where δ is the Kronecker delta

$$= \begin{cases} \omega_{kn_1} c_{\frac{kn_1}{2}} & \text{if } g_1 = e_n \text{ in } F_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } g_1 \neq e_n \text{ in } F_n, \end{cases} \tag{10.15}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similarly, one obtains that

$$\tau^{L(F_n)}((T^*)^k) = \begin{cases} \omega_{kn_1} c_{\frac{kn_1}{2}} & \text{if } g_1^{-1} = e_n \text{ in } F_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } g_1^{-1} \neq e_n \text{ in } F_n, \end{cases} \tag{10.16}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that the conditions for (10.15) and (10.16) are obtained by the very construction of free groups. For example, the generators of free groups have no relations.

Therefore, by (10.15) and (10.16), the statement (10.12) holds true.

Now, let T be in the sense of (10.11), and suppose (j_1, \dots, j_N) is an alternating N -tuple in \mathbb{Z} . Then, this operator T forms a free reduced word in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, by (8.17) and (8.18), one can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{L(F_n)}(T) &= \prod_{l=1}^N \tau_{j_l}^{L(F_n)}(g_l \otimes U_{j_l}^{n_l}) \\ &= \prod_{l=1}^N \text{tr}_n(g_l) \left(\omega_{n_l} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}} \right) = \prod_{l=1}^N \delta_{g_l, e_n} \omega_{n_l} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{10.17}$$

Similarly,

$$\tau^{L(F_n)}(T^*) = \prod_{l=1}^N \delta_{g_l^{-1}, e_n} \omega_{n_l} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}}. \tag{10.18}$$

Therefore, by (10.17) and (10.18), the statement (10.13) also holds true.

Finally, a given operator T of (10.11) is a free reduced word of the $L(F_n)$ -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$, as in (10.13). Assume more now that

$$j_1 \neq j_N \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then, one can check that the operators T^k and $(T^*)^k$ are free reduced words in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$. It allows us to have

$$\tau^{L(F_n)}(T^k) = \left(\tau^{L(F_n)}(T) \right)^k,$$

and

$$\tau^{L(F_n)}((T^*)^k) = \left(\tau^{L(F_n)}(T^*) \right)^k,$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, by (10.13), the statement (10.14) holds true. \square

The above corollary characterizes how to compute free-distributional data. Different from the above corollary, we also obtain the following free-moment computations from (9.14) and (9.15).

Corollary 10. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed, and let $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be the given $L(F_n)$ -free filterization of Q . Let

$$y_l = g_l \otimes U_j^{n_l} \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z}), \text{ with } n_l \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $g_l \in L(F_n)$, for all $l = 1, \dots, N$, and let

$$T = \prod_{l=1}^N y_l \in \mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z}). \tag{10.19}$$

Then,

$$\tau^{L(F_n)}(T) = \tau^{L(F_n)}(T^*) = \delta_{g_T, e_n} \omega_{n_T} c_{\frac{n_T}{2}}, \tag{10.20}$$

with

$$g_T = \prod_{l=1}^N g_l \in F_n, \text{ and } n_T = \sum_{l=1}^N n_l \text{ in } \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, we have that:

$$\text{if } N = 1, \text{ then } \tau^{L(F_n)}(T) = \delta_{g_1, e_n} \omega_{n_1} c_{\frac{n_1}{2}}. \tag{10.21}$$

if $N > 1$ is odd in \mathbb{N} , and if

$$(g_1, \dots, g_N) \in F_n \times \dots \times F_n \tag{10.22}$$

contains either no identity element e_n as its entry, or even-many identity elements as its entries, then $\tau^{L(F_n)}(T) = 0$. if N is even in \mathbb{N} , and if there exists $x_1, \dots, x_{\frac{N}{2}} \in F_n$, such that

$$(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N) = \left(x_1, x_1^{-1}, x_2, x_2^{-1}, \dots, x_{\frac{N}{2}}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}^{-1} \right) \tag{10.23}$$

in $F_n \times \dots \times F_n$, then

$$\tau^{L(F_n)}(T) = \omega_{n_T} c_{\frac{n_T}{2}} = \tau^{L(F_n)}(T^*),$$

where n_T is in the sense of (10.20). For the fixed even number N ,

$$\tau^{L(F_n)}(T) = 0 = \tau^{L(F_n)}(T^*),$$

otherwise.

Proof. Suppose T is an operator (10.19) in $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$. From the very construction (10.19) of T , one can realize that this operator T is contained in the free block $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{L(F_n)}(j)$ of $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$, for the fixed integer j , since

$$T = \left(\prod_{l=1}^N g_l \right) \otimes U_j^{n_T}, \text{ with } n_T = \sum_{l=1}^N n_l \in \mathbb{N},$$

is contained in $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{L(F_n)}(j)$.

Therefore by (9.14) and (9.15), one has that

$$\tau^{L(F_n)}(T) = \omega_{n_T} c_{\frac{n_T}{2}} \text{tr}_n \left(\prod_{l=1}^N g_l \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\tau^{L(F_n)}(T^*) = \omega_{n_T} c_{\frac{n_T}{2}} \operatorname{tr}_n \left(\left(\prod_{l=1}^N g_l \right)^{-1} \right).$$

Therefore, the free-distributional data (10.20) holds.

The statements (10.21), (10.22) and (10.23) are nothing but a re-expression of (10.20). \square

The above free-distributional data (10.12), (10.13), (10.14) and (10.20) provide the general ways to compute free distributions of operators in the $L(F_n)$ -free filterization $\mathfrak{L}_Q(n, \mathbb{Z})$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{>1}$.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Radulescu, F. Random Matrices, Amalgamated Free Products and Subfactors of the C^* -Algebra of a Free Group of Nonsingular Index. *Invent. Math.* **1994**, *115*, 347–389.
2. Free Probability Theory. In *The Fields Institute Communication*; Voiculescu, D., Ed.; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 1997; Volume 12, ISBN 0-8218-0675-0.
3. Voiculescu, D.; Dykemma, K.; Nica, A. *Free Random Variables*; CRM Monograph Series; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 1992, Volume 1.
4. Cho, I. Semicircular-Like Laws and the Semicircular Law Induced by Orthogonal Projections. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **2015**, submitted.
5. Cho, I. p -Adic Free Stochastic Integrals for p -Adic Weighted-Semicircular Motions Determined by Primes p . *Lib. Math.* **2016**, *36*, 65–110.
6. Cho, I. Free Semicircular Families in Free Product Banach $*$ -Algebras Induced by p -Adic Number Fields over Primes p . *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **2017**, *11*, 507–565.
7. Cho, I.; Gillespie, T. Free Probability on the Hecke Algebra. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **2014**, doi:10.1007/s11785-014-0403-1.
8. Cho, I. Representations and Corresponding Operators Induced by Hecke Algebras. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **2016**, *10*, 437–477.
9. Speicher, R. Combinatorial Theory of the Free Product with Amalgamation and Operator-Valued Free Probability Theory. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **1998**, *132*, doi:10.1090/memo/0627.
10. Gilles, P. *Introduction to Operator Space Theory*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2003, ISBN 978-0-521-81165-1.
11. Blackadar, B. *Operator Algebras*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2013; ISBN 3-540-28486-9.



© 2017 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).