


Article

New Inequalities of Weaving K -Frames in Subspaces

Zhong-Qi Xiang 

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shangrao Normal University, Shangrao 334001, China; lxsy20110927@163.com; Tel.: +86-793-815-9108

Received: 12 August 2019; Accepted: 16 September 2019; Published: 18 September 2019



Abstract: In the present paper, we obtain some new inequalities for weaving K -frames in subspaces based on the operator methods. The inequalities are associated with a sequence of bounded complex numbers and a parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We also give a double inequality for weaving K -frames with the help of two bounded linear operators induced by K -dual. Facts prove that our results cover those recently obtained on weaving frames due to Li and Leng, and Xiang.

Keywords: weaving frame; weaving K -frame; K -dual; pseudo-inverse

MSC: 42C15; 47B40

1. Introduction

This paper adopts the following notations: \mathbb{J} is a countable index set, \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{K} are complex Hilbert spaces, and $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and \mathbb{R} are used to denote respectively the identical operator on \mathbb{H} and the set of real numbers. As usual, we denote by $B(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{K})$ the set of all bounded linear operators on \mathbb{H} and, if $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{K}$, then $B(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{K})$ is abbreviated to $B(\mathbb{H})$.

Frames were introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [1] in their study of nonharmonic Fourier series, which have now been used widely not only in theoretical work [2,3], but also in many application areas such as quantum mechanics [4], sampling theory [5–7], acoustics [8], and signal processing [9]. As a generalization of frames, the notion of K -frames (also known as frames for operators) was proposed by L. Găvruta [10] when dealing with atomic decompositions for a bounded linear operator K . Please check the papers [11–17] for further information of K -frames.

Recall that a family $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \subset \mathbb{H}$ is called a K -frame for \mathbb{H} , if there exist two positive numbers A and B satisfying

$$A\|K^*f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} |\langle f, \psi_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}.$$

The constants A and B are called K -frame bounds. If $K = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}$, then a K -frame turns to be a frame. In addition, if only the right-hand inequality holds, then we call $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ a Bessel sequence.

Inspired by a question arising in distributed signal processing, Bemrose et al. [18] introduced the concept of weaving frames, which have interested many scholars because of their potential applications such as in wireless sensor networks and pre-processing of signals; see [19–24]. Later on, Deepshikha and Vashisht [25] applied the idea of L. Găvruta to the case of weaving frames and thus providing us the notion of weaving K -frames.

Balan et al. [26] obtained an interesting inequality when they further examined the remarkable identity for Parseval frames deriving from their work on signal reconstruction [27]. The inequality was then extended to alternate dual frames and general frames by P. Găvruta [28], the results in which have already been applied in quantum information theory [29]. Recently, those inequalities have been extended to some generalized versions of frames such as continuous g -frames [30], fusion frames and continuous fusion frames [31,32], Hilbert–Schmidt frames [33], and weaving frames [34,35].

Motivated by the above-mentioned works, in this paper, we establish several new inequalities for weaving K -frames in subspaces from the operator-theoretic point of view, and we show that our results can naturally lead to some corresponding results in [34,35].

One says that two frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} are woven, if there are universal constants C_Ψ and D_Ψ such that, for any $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$ is a frame for \mathbb{H} with bounds C_Ψ and D_Ψ . If $C_\Psi = D_\Psi = 1$, then we call Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 1-woven. Each family $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$ is said to be a waving frame, related to which there is an invertible operator $S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, called the frame operator, given by

$$S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}f = \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \psi_{1j} + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \psi_{2j}.$$

Recall also that a frame $\Psi_3 = \{\psi_{3j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is called an alternate dual frame of $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$, if for each $f \in \mathbb{H}$ we have

$$f = \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \psi_{3j} + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \psi_{3j}, \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Lemma 1. Suppose that P, Q , and K are bounded linear operators on \mathbb{H} and $P + Q = K$. Then, for each $f \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$\|Pf\|^2 + \operatorname{Re}\langle Qf, Kf \rangle \geq \frac{3}{4}\|Kf\|^2.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Pf\|^2 + \operatorname{Re}\langle Qf, Kf \rangle &= \langle (K - Q)f, (K - Q)f \rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\langle Qf, Kf \rangle + \langle Kf, Qf \rangle) \\ &= \langle (Q^*Q - (K^*Q + Q^*K) + \frac{1}{2}(K^*Q + Q^*K))f, f \rangle + \langle K^*Kf, f \rangle \\ &= \langle (Q - \frac{1}{2}K)^*(Q - \frac{1}{2}K)f, f \rangle + \frac{3}{4}\langle K^*Kf, f \rangle \geq \frac{3}{4}\|Kf\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $f \in \mathbb{H}$. \square

The next two lemmas are collected from the papers [36] and [32], respectively.

Lemma 2. If $\Phi \in B(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{K})$ has a closed range, then there is the pseudo-inverse $\Phi^\dagger \in B(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{H})$ of Φ such that

$$\Phi\Phi^\dagger\Phi = \Phi, \quad \Phi^\dagger\Phi\Phi^\dagger = \Phi^\dagger, \quad (\Phi\Phi^\dagger)^* = \Phi\Phi^\dagger, \quad (\Phi^\dagger\Phi)^* = \Phi^\dagger\Phi.$$

Lemma 3. If P and Q in $B(\mathbb{H})$ satisfy $P + Q = \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}$, then, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$P^*P + \lambda(Q^* + Q) = Q^*Q + (1 - \lambda)(P^* + P) + (2\lambda - 1)\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{H}} \geq (2\lambda - \lambda^2)\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

2. Main Results

We start with the definition on weaving K -frames due to Deepshikha and Vashisht [25].

Definition 1. Two K -frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} are said to be K -woven, if there are universal constants C_Ψ and D_Ψ such that, for any $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, the family $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$ is a K -frame for \mathbb{H} with K -frame bounds C_Ψ and D_Ψ . In this case, the family $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$ is called a weaving K -frame.

Given a weaving K -frame $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$ for \mathbb{H} , recall that a Bessel sequence $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ for \mathbb{H} is said to be a K -dual of $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$, if

$$Kf = \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j, \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Let $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ be a given K -frame for \mathbb{H} . For any $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, we can define a positive operator $S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma$ in the following way:

$$S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}, \quad S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f = \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \psi_{1j}.$$

In the following, we show that, for given two K -woven frames, we can get some inequalities under the condition that K has a closed range, which are related to a sequence of bounded complex numbers, the corresponding K -dual and a parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $K \in B(\mathbb{H})$ has a closed range and K -frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} are K -woven. Then,

(i) for any $f \in \text{Range}(K)$, for all $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{J})$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right) \\ & = \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right) \\ & \geq (\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + (1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K -dual of $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$.

(ii) for any $f \in \text{Range}(K^*)$, for all $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{J})$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \psi_{1j} + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \psi_{2j} \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, f \rangle \right) \\ & = \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \psi_{1j} + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \psi_{2j} \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, f \rangle \right) \\ & \geq (2\lambda - \lambda^2) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, f \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + (1 - \lambda^2) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle (K^*)^\dagger f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, f \rangle \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K -dual of $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$.

Proof. We define two bounded linear operators P_1 and P_2 on \mathbb{H} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 f &= \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j, \\ P_2 f &= \sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then, clearly, $P_1 f + P_2 f = K f$ for each $f \in \mathbb{H}$ and thus $P_1 + P_2 = K$. Since K has a closed range, by Lemma 2, we have

$$P_1 K^\dagger + P_2 K^\dagger = K K^\dagger = P_{\text{Range}(K)},$$

where $P_{\text{Range}(K)}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\text{Range}(K)$. Thus,

$$P_1 K^\dagger |_{\text{Range}(K)} + P_2 K^\dagger |_{\text{Range}(K)} = \text{Id}_{\text{Range}(K)}.$$

By Lemma 3 (taking $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ instead of λ), we get

$$\|P_1 K^\dagger f\|^2 + \lambda \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle = \|P_2 K^\dagger f\|^2 + (2 - \lambda) \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle + (\lambda - 1) \|f\|^2,$$

for any $f \in \text{Range}(K)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_1 K^\dagger f\|^2 &= \|P_2 K^\dagger f\|^2 + 2 \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle - \lambda (\text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle + \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle) + (\lambda - 1) \|f\|^2 \\ &= \|P_2 K^\dagger f\|^2 + 2 \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle - \lambda \|f\|^2 + (\lambda - 1) \|f\|^2 \\ &= \|P_2 K^\dagger f\|^2 + 2 \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle - \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle - \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|P_1 K^\dagger f\|^2 + \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle = \|P_2 K^\dagger f\|^2 + \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle, \tag{3}$$

from which we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right) \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the inequality in Equation (1), we apply Lemma 3 again,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_1 K^\dagger f\|^2 &\geq \left(\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \|f\|^2 - \lambda \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle \\ &= \left(\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f + P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle - \lambda \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle \\ &= \left(\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Thus, for any $f \in \text{Range}(K)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ & + \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right) \\ & \geq \left(\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle \\ & = \left(\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right) \\ & + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The proof is similar to (i), so we omit the details. \square

Corollary 1. Suppose that two frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} are woven. Then, for any $f \in \mathbb{H}$, for all $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2 \\ & = \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle S_{\Psi_2}^{\sigma^c} f, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle S_{\Psi_2}^{\sigma^c} f, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2 \\ & \geq \left(\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Letting $K^\dagger = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and

$$\phi_j = \begin{cases} S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{1j}, & j \in \sigma, \\ S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{2j}, & j \in \sigma^c. \end{cases}$$

In addition, taking $S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{1j}$, $S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{2j}$ and $S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{1/2} f$ instead of ψ_{1j} , ψ_{2j} and f respectively in (i) of Theorem 1 leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{1j} + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{2j} \right\|^2 \\ & + \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, f \rangle \right) \\ & = \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{1j} + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{2j} \right\|^2 \\ & + \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, f \rangle \right) \\ & \geq \left(\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, f \rangle \right) \\ & + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, f \rangle \right). \tag{5} \end{aligned}$$

A direction calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{1j} \right\|^2 &= \left\| S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \psi_{1j} \right\|^2 = \| S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f \|^2 \\ &= \langle S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f \rangle = \langle S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2} S_{\Psi_1}^{-1} S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2} S_{\Psi_1}^{-1} S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} \langle S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and, similarly,

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{2j} \right\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle S_{\Psi_2}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle S_{\Psi_2}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1 \Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2. \tag{7}$$

Thus, the result follows if, in Equation (5), we take $a_j = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in \sigma, \\ 0, & j \in \sigma^c. \end{cases}$ \square

Corollary 2. Suppose that two frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} are woven. Then, for any $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \phi_j \rangle \psi_{1j} \right\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} \langle f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, f \rangle \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} \langle f, \phi_j \rangle \psi_{2j} \right\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, f \rangle \\ &\geq (2\lambda - \lambda^2) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, f \rangle + (1 - \lambda^2) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} \langle f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, f \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is an alternate dual of $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$.

Proof. The result follows immediately from (ii) in Theorem 1 when taking $K^\dagger = \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and

$$a_j = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in \sigma, \\ 0, & j \in \sigma^c. \end{cases}$$

\square

Suppose that two frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} are 1-woven. For any $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$ and any $j \in \mathbb{J}$, taking $\phi_j = \begin{cases} \psi_{1j}, & j \in \sigma, \\ \psi_{2j}, & j \in \sigma^c. \end{cases}$ Then, obviously, $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is an alternate dual of the frame $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$. Thus, Corollary 2 provides us a direct consequence as follows.

Corollary 3. Let the two frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} be 1-woven. Then, for any $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \psi_{1j} \right\|^2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \psi_{2j} \right\|^2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 \\ &\geq (2\lambda - \lambda^2) \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + (1 - \lambda^2) \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. Corollaries 1 and 2 are respectively Theorems 7 and 9 in [34], and Theorem 5 in [34] can be obtained if we put $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ in Corollary 3.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $K \in B(\mathbb{H})$ has a closed range and that K -frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} are K -woven. Then, for any $f \in \text{Range}(K)$, for all $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{J})$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ & \geq (2\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - 1) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + (1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K -dual of $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$.

Moreover, if $(P_1 K^\dagger)^* P_2 K^\dagger$ is a positive operator, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \leq \|f\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $f \in \text{Range}(K)$, where P_1 and P_2 are given in Equation (2).

Proof. For any $f \in \text{Range}(K)$, for all $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{J})$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we know, by combining Equation (3) and Lemma 3, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ & = \|P_1 K^\dagger f\|^2 + \|P_2 K^\dagger f\|^2 = 2\|P_2 K^\dagger f\|^2 + \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle - \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle \\ & \geq (2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}) \|f\|^2 - (4 - 2\lambda) \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle + \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle - \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle \\ & = (2\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - 1) \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle + (1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}) \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle \\ & = (2\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - 1) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + (1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}) \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the ‘‘Moreover’’ part, we have for any $f \in \text{Range}(K)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_1 K^\dagger f\|^2 & = \|P_2 K^\dagger f\|^2 - \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle + \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle \\ & = \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, P_2 K^\dagger f \rangle - \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, f \rangle + \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle \\ & = -(\text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, P_1 K^\dagger f + P_2 K^\dagger f \rangle - \text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, P_2 K^\dagger f \rangle) + \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle \\ & = -\text{Re} \langle P_2 K^\dagger f, P_1 K^\dagger f \rangle + \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle \leq \text{Re} \langle P_1 K^\dagger f, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

With a similar discussion, we can show that $\|P_2K^\dagger f\|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re}\langle P_2K^\dagger f, f \rangle$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \operatorname{Re}\langle P_1K^\dagger f, f \rangle + \operatorname{Re}\langle P_2K^\dagger f, f \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle P_1K^\dagger f + P_2K^\dagger f, f \rangle = \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4. Suppose that two frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} are woven. Then, for any $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - 1) \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + (1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}) \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle S_{\Psi_2}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle S_{\Psi_2}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Proof. Letting $K^\dagger = \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and for any $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, taking

$$a_j = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in \sigma, \\ 0, & j \in \sigma^c, \end{cases} \quad \phi_j = \begin{cases} S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{1j}, & j \in \sigma, \\ S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{2j}, & j \in \sigma^c. \end{cases}$$

If, now, we replace ψ_{1j} , ψ_{2j} and f in the left-hand inequality of Theorem 2 respectively by $S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{1j}$, $S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{2j}$ and $S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{1/2} f$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{1j} \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1/2} \psi_{2j} \right\|^2 \\ & \geq (2\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - 1) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \psi_{1j}, f \rangle + (1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \psi_{2j}, f \rangle \\ & = (2\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - 1) \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + (1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}) \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This along with Equations (6) and (7) gives the left-hand inequality in Equation (8), and the proof of the right-hand inequality is similar and we omit the details. □

Theorem 3. Suppose that $K \in B(\mathbb{H})$ has a closed range and that K -frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} are K -woven. Then, for all $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, for any $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{J})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \operatorname{Range}(K)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right) - \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ & \leq (1 - \frac{\lambda}{2})^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K -dual of $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$.

Moreover, if $(P_1K^\dagger)^*P_2K^\dagger \geq 0$, then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle\right) - \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \geq 0$$

for any $f \in \operatorname{Range}(K)$, where P_1 and P_2 are given in Equation (2).

Proof. For all $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, for any $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{J})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \operatorname{Range}(K)$, we see from Equation (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle\right) - \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\langle P_1K^\dagger f, f \rangle - \|P_1K^\dagger f\|^2 \\ &\leq \operatorname{Re}\langle P_1K^\dagger f, f \rangle - \left(\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right)\operatorname{Re}\langle P_1K^\dagger f, f \rangle + \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\operatorname{Re}\langle P_2K^\dagger f, f \rangle \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle\right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $(P_1K^\dagger)^*P_2K^\dagger$ is a positive operator. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, f \rangle\right) - \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle K^\dagger f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\langle P_1K^\dagger f, f \rangle - \|P_1K^\dagger f\|^2 = \operatorname{Re}\langle P_1K^\dagger f, P_1K^\dagger f + P_2K^\dagger f \rangle - \operatorname{Re}\langle P_1K^\dagger f, P_1K^\dagger f \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\langle P_1K^\dagger f, P_2K^\dagger f \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle f, (P_1K^\dagger)^*P_2K^\dagger f \rangle \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5. Let the two frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} be woven. Then, for any $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle S_{\Psi_1}^\sigma f, S_{\Psi_1\Psi_2}^{-1} \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 \sum_{j \in \sigma} |\langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle|^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} |\langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Corollary 4 by using Theorem 3, so we omit it. □

Remark 2. Corollaries 4 and 5 are respectively Theorems 15 and 14 in [34].

We conclude the paper with a double inequality for K -weaving frames stated as follows.

Theorem 4. Suppose that K -frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ in \mathbb{H} are K -woven. Then, for any $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, for all $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{J})$ and all $f \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{4} \|Kf\|^2 &\leq \left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, Kf \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, Kf \rangle \right) \\ &\leq \frac{3\|K\|^2 + \|P_1 - P_2\|^2}{4} \|f\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where P_1 and P_2 are given in Equation (2), and $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K -dual of $\{\psi_{1j}\}_{j \in \sigma} \cup \{\psi_{2j}\}_{j \in \sigma^c}$.

Proof. For any $\sigma \subset \mathbb{J}$, for all $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{J})$ and all $f \in \mathbb{H}$, it is easy to check that $P_1 + P_2 = K$. By Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, Kf \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, Kf \rangle \right) \\ &= \|P_1 f\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \langle P_2 f, Kf \rangle \geq \frac{3}{4} \|Kf\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \phi_j + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} a_j \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \phi_j \right\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{1j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, Kf \rangle + \sum_{j \in \sigma^c} (1 - a_j) \langle f, \psi_{2j} \rangle \langle \phi_j, Kf \rangle \right) \\ &= \langle P_1 f, P_1 f \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle P_2 f, Kf \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Kf, P_2 f \rangle \\ &= \langle P_1 f, P_1 f \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle (K - P_1) f, Kf \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Kf, (K - P_1) f \rangle \\ &= \langle Kf, Kf \rangle - \frac{1}{2} [\langle P_1 f, Kf \rangle - \langle P_1 f, P_1 f \rangle] - \frac{1}{2} [\langle Kf, P_1 f \rangle - \langle P_1 f, P_1 f \rangle] \\ &= \langle Kf, Kf \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle P_1 f, P_2 f \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle P_2 f, P_1 f \rangle \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \langle Kf, Kf \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle P_1 f + P_2 f, P_1 f + P_2 f \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle P_1 f, P_2 f \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle P_2 f, P_1 f \rangle \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \langle Kf, Kf \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle (P_1 - P_2) f, (P_1 - P_2) f \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4} \|K\|^2 \|f\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|P_1 - P_2\|^2 \|f\|^2 = \frac{3\|K\|^2 + \|P_1 - P_2\|^2}{4} \|f\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is over. \square

Remark 3. Theorem 3 in [35] can be obtained when taking $K = \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}$ in Theorem 4.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11761057 and 11561057.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Duffin, R.J.; Schaeffer, A.C. A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1952**, *72*, 341–366. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Dai, F. Characterizations of function spaces on the sphere using frames. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **2006**, *359*, 567–589. [[CrossRef](#)]

3. Pesenson, I.Z. Paley-Wiener-Schwartz nearly Parseval frames on noncompact symmetric spaces. In *Commutative and Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis and Applications*; Mayeli, A., Iosevich, A., Jorgensen, P.E.T., Ólafsson, G., Eds.; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 2013; Volume 603, pp. 55–71, ISBN 978-0-8218-9493-4.
4. Gazeau, J.-P. *Coherent States in Quantum Physics*; Wiley-VCH: Berlin, Germany, 2009.
5. Feichtinger, H.G.; Gröchenig, K. Theory and practice of irregular sampling. In *Wavelets: Mathematics and Applications*; Benedetto, J., Frazier, M., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1994; pp. 305–363, ISBN 978-0849382710.
6. Poon, C. A consistent and stable approach to generalized sampling. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **2014**, *20*, 985–1019. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Sun, W. Asymptotic properties of Gabor frame operators as sampling density tends to infinity. *J. Funct. Anal.* **2010**, *258*, 913–932. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Balazs, P.; Holighaus, N.; Necciari, T.; Stoeva, D.T. Frame theory for signal processing in psychoacoustics. In *Excursions in Harmonic Analysis*; Balan, R., Benedetto, J., Czaja, W., Dellatorre, M., Okoudjou, K., Eds.; Birkhäuser: Cham, Switzerland, 2017; Volume 5, pp. 225–268, ISBN 978-3-319-54710-7.
9. Bölcskei, H.; Hlawatsch, F.; Feichtinger, H.G. Frame-theoretic analysis of oversampled filter banks. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* **1998**, *46*, 3256–3268. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Găvruta, L. Frames for operators. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **2012**, *32*, 139–144. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Guo, X.X. Canonical dual K -Bessel sequences and dual K -Bessel generators for unitary systems of Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2016**, *444*, 598–609. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Jia, M.; Zhu, Y.C. Some results about the operator perturbation of a K -frame. *Results Math.* **2018**, *73*, 138. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Johnson, P.S.; Ramu, G. Class of bounded operators associated with an atomic system. *Tamkang J. Math.* **2015**, *46*, 85–90. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Poumai, K.T.; Jahan, S. Atomic systems for operators. *Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process.* **2019**, *17*, 1850066. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Poumai, K.T.; Jahan, S. On K -Atomic Decompositions in Banach Spaces. *Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2018**, *6*, 183–197.
16. Xiang, Z.Q.; Li, Y.M. Frame sequences and dual frames for operators. *ScienceAsia* **2016**, *42*, 222–230. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Xiao, X.C.; Zhu, Y.C.; Găvruta, L. Some properties of K -frames in Hilbert spaces. *Results Math.* **2013**, *63*, 1243–1255. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Bemrose, T.; Casazza, P.G.; Gröchenig, K.; Lammers, M.C.; Lynch, R.G. Weaving frames. *Oper. Matrices* **2016**, *10*, 1093–1116. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Casazza, P.G.; Freeman, D.; Lynch, R.G. Weaving Schauder frames. *J. Approx. Theory* **2016**, *211*, 42–60. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Deepshikha; Vashisht, L.K. On weaving frames. *Houston J. Math.* **2018**, *44*, 887–915.
21. Khosravi, A.; Banyarani, J.S. Weaving g -frames and weaving fusion frames. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **2018**, *42*, 3111–3129. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Rahimi, A.; Samadzadeh, Z.; Daraby, B. Frame related operators for woven frames. *Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process.* **2019**, *17*, 1950010. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Vashisht, L.K.; Garg, S.; Deepshikha; Das, P.K. On generalized weaving frames in Hilbert spaces. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **2018**, *48*, 661–685. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Vashisht, L.K.; Deepshikha. Weaving properties of generalized continuous frames generated by an iterated function system. *J. Geom. Phys.* **2016**, *110*, 282–295. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Deepshikha; Vashisht, L.K. Weaving K -frames in Hilbert spaces. *Results Math.* **2018**, *73*, 81. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Balan, R.; Casazza, P.G.; Edidin, D.; Kutyniok, G. A new identity for Parseval frames. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **2007**, *135*, 1007–1015. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Balan, R.; Casazza, P.G.; Edidin, D. On signal reconstruction without phase. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **2006**, *20*, 345–356. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Găvruta, P. On some identities and inequalities for frames in Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2006**, *321*, 469–478. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Jivulescu, M.A.; Găvruta, P. Indices of sharpness for Parseval frames, quantum effects and observables. *Sci. Bull. Politeh. Univ. Timiș. Trans. Math. Phys.* **2015**, *60*, 17–29.

30. Fu, Y.L.; Zhang, W. Some new inequalities for dual continuous g-frames. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 662. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Li, D.W.; Leng, J.S. On some new inequalities for fusion frames in Hilbert spaces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2017**, *20*, 889–900. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Li, D.W.; Leng, J.S. On some new inequalities for continuous fusion frames in Hilbert spaces. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **2018**, *15*, 173. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Poria, A. Some identities and inequalities for Hilbert-Schmidt frames. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **2017**, *14*, 59. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Li, D.W.; Leng, J.S. New inequalities for weaving frames in Hilbert spaces. *arXiv* **2018**, arXiv:1809.00863
35. Xiang, Z.Q. More on inequalities for weaving frames in Hilbert spaces. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 141. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Christensen, O. *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*; Birkhäuser: Boston, MA, USA, 2000.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).