



Article

# Projected Subgradient Algorithms for Pseudomonotone Equilibrium Problems and Fixed Points of Pseudocontractive Operators

Yonghong Yao 1,2, Naseer Shahzad 3,\* and Jen-Chih Yao 4,0

- School of Mathematical Sciences, Tiangong University, Tianjin 300387, China; yyhtgu@hotmail.com or yaoyonghong@aliyun.com
- The Key Laboratory of Intelligent Information and Big Data Processing of NingXia Province, North Minzu University, Yinchuan 750021, China
- Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P. O. B. 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia
- Center for General Education, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan; yaojc@mail.cmu.edu.tw
- Correspondence: nshahzad@kau.edu.sa

Received: 12 December 2019; Accepted: 19 March 2020; Published: 25 March 2020



Abstract: The projected subgradient algorithms can be considered as an improvement of the projected algorithms and the subgradient algorithms for the equilibrium problems of the class of monotone and Lipschitz continuous operators. In this paper, we present and analyze an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the fixed point of pseudocontractive operators and the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem in Hilbert spaces. The suggested iterative algorithm is based on the projected method and subgradient method with a linearsearch technique. We show the strong convergence result for the iterative sequence generated by this algorithm. Some applications are also included. Our result improves and extends some existing results in the literature.

**Keywords:** equilibrium problem; pseudomonotone; fixed point; pseudocontractive operators; subgradient

#### 1. Introduction

Throughout, let H be a real Hilbert space endowed with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and induced norm  $\|\cdot\|(\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}, \forall x \in H)$ . Let  $\emptyset \neq C \subset H$  be a closed and convex set. Let  $f: C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bifunction. Recall that f is said to be monotone if

$$f(u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger}) + f(v^{\dagger}, u^{\dagger}) \le 0, \ \forall u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger} \in C.$$
 (1)

f is said to be pseudomonotone if

$$f(u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger}) \ge 0 \text{ implies } f(v^{\dagger}, u^{\dagger}) \le 0, \forall u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger} \in C.$$
 (2)

Clearly, we have the inclusion relation: *Equation* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  *Equation* (2).

In this paper, our research is associated with the equilibrium problem [1] of seeking an element  $\tilde{u} \in C$  such that

$$f(\tilde{u}, u) \ge 0, \ \forall u \in C. \tag{3}$$

The solution set of the equilibrium problem in Equation (3) is denoted by EP(f,C).

Equilibrium problems have been studied extensively in the literature (see, e.g., [2–5]). Many problems, such as variational inequalities [6–15], fixed point problems [16–21], and Nash equilibrium in noncooperative games theory [1,22], can be formulated in the form of Equation (3).

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 2 of 15

An important method for solving Equation (3) is the proximal point method, which was originally introduced by Martinet [23] and further developed by Rockafellar [24] for finding a zero of maximal monotone operators. In 2000, Konnov [25] extended the proximal point method to the monotone equilibrium problem. However, the proximal point method cannot be applied for solving the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem [26].

Another basis algorithm for solving the equilibrium problem is the projection algorithm [27]. However, the projection algorithm may fail to converge for the pseudomonotone monotone equilibrium problem. To overcome this disadvantage, the extragradient algorithm [4] can be applied to solve the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem. More precisely, the extragradient algorithm generates a sequence  $\{x^k\}$  iteratively as follows

$$\begin{cases} y^{k} = \arg\min_{y^{\dagger} \in C} \{ \lambda f(x^{k}, y^{\dagger}) + \frac{1}{2} \|x^{k} - y^{\dagger}\|^{2} \}, \\ x^{k+1} = \arg\min_{y^{\dagger} \in C} \{ \lambda f(y^{k}, y^{\dagger}) + \frac{1}{2} \|x^{k} - y^{\dagger}\|^{2} \}. \end{cases}$$

$$(4)$$

However, the main difficulty of the extragradient algorithm in Equation (4) is that, at each iterative step, it requires to solve two strongly convex programs. Consequently, the subgradient algorithm [28,29] has been proposed and developed for solving a large class of equilibrium problems that solves only one strongly convex program rather than two as in the extragradient algorithm, and the convergence results show the efficiency of the algorithms.

At the same time, to solve the equilibrium problem in Equation (3), the bifunction f is always to be assumed to possess the following Lipschitz-type condition [30]:

$$f(u,v) + f(v,w) \ge f(u,w) - \zeta_1 \|u - v\|^2 - \zeta_2 \|v - w\|^2, \forall u, v, w \in C,$$
(5)

where  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta$  are two positive constants.

It should be pointed out that the condition in Equation (5), in general, is not satisfied. Moreover, even if the condition in Equation (5) holds, finding the constants  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  is not an easy task. To avoid this difficulty, one can merge in the algorithm, a linesearch procedure into the iterative step. The current study continues developing subgradient algorithms without Lipschitz-type condition for solving the equilibrium problem.

Another problem of interest is the fixed point problem of nonlinear operators. Recall that an operator  $S: C \to C$  is said to be pseudocontractive if

$$||Su - Su^{\dagger}||^2 \le ||u - u^{\dagger}||^2 + ||(I - S)u - (I - S)u^{\dagger}||^2$$

and *S* is called *L*-Lipschitz if

$$||Su - Su^{\dagger}|| \le L||u - u^{\dagger}||$$

for some L > 0 and for all  $u, u^{\dagger} \in C$ . If L = 1, then S is said to be nonexpansive.

It is easy to see that the class of pseudocontractive operators includes the class of nonexpansive operators. The interest in pseudocontractive operators [2,31] is due mainly to their connection with the important class of nonlinear monotone (accretive) operators.

The fixed point problem has numerous applications in science and engineering, and it includes the optimization problem [32], the convex feasibility problem [2], the variational inequality problem [33], and so on. The fixed point problem can be solved by using iterative methods, such as the Mann method [34], the Halpern method [35], and the hybrid method [36].

In this paper, we devote to study iterative algorithms for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of a wide class of nonlinear operators. The main motivation for considering such a common problem is due to its possible applications in network resource allocation, signal processing, and image recovery [28,37]. Recently, iterative algorithms for solving a common problem of the equilibrium problem and the fixed point problem have been investigated by many researchers [28,38–40]. Especially, Nguyen, Strodiot, and Nguyen [41]

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 3 of 15

(Algorithm 3) presented the following hybrid self-adaptive method, for solving the equilibrium and the fixed point problem:

Let  $\alpha \in (0,2)$  and  $\gamma \in (0,1)$ . Let  $x^0 \in H$  and  $C_1 = C$ . Let  $x^1 = P_{C_1}[x^0]$  and set k = 1.

Step 1. Compute  $y^k = \min_{y^{\dagger} \in C} \{\lambda_k f(x^k, y^{\dagger}) + \frac{1}{2} \|x^k - y^{\dagger}\|^2 \}$  and  $w^k = (1 - \gamma^m) x^k + \gamma^m y^k$  where m is the smallest nonnegative integer such that  $f(w^k, x^k) - f(w^k, y^k) \ge \frac{\alpha}{2\lambda_k} \|x^k - y^k\|^2$ .

Step 2. Calculate  $z^k = P_C[x^k - \sigma^k g^k]$ , where  $g^k \in \partial_2 f(z^k, x^k)$  and  $\sigma^k = \frac{f(w^k, x^k)}{\|g^k\|^2}$  if  $y^k \neq x^k$  and  $\sigma^k = 0$  otherwise.

Step 3. Calculate  $t^k = \alpha_k z^k + (1 - \alpha_k) T_n z^k$ , where  $T_n : C \to C$  is nonexpansive.

Step 4. Compute  $x^{k+1} = P_{C_{k+1}}[x^0]$ , where  $C_{k+1} = \{z \in C_k | ||t^k - z||^2 \le ||x^k - z||^2 - (1 - \alpha_k)\alpha_k||z^k - T_nz^k||^2\}$ .

Step 5. Set k := k + 1 and return to Step 1.

We observe that, in the above algorithm, f is assumed to be monotone, the involved operator  $T_n$  is nonexpansive, and the construction of half-space  $C_{k+1}$  is complicated.

The purpose of this paper is to improve and extend the main result in [41] to a general case: (i) We consider the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem, that is, f is assumed to be pseudomonotone. (ii) We extend  $T_n$  from the nonexpansive operator to the pseudocontractive operator which includes the nonexpansive operator as a special case. (iii) We adapt the half-space  $C_{k+1}$  to a simple form. We propose an iterative algorithm for seeking a common solution of the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem and fixed point of pseudocontractive operators. The suggested iterative algorithm is based on the projected method and subgradient method with a linearsearch technique. We show the strong convergence result for the iterative sequence generated by this algorithm.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect several notations and lemmas that are used in the paper. In Section 3, we adapt and suggest an iterative algorithm and prove its convergence. In Section 4, we give some applications. Finally, a concluding remark is included.

#### 2. Notations and Lemmas

Throughout, we assume that  $\emptyset \neq C$  is a convex and closed subset of a real Hilbert space H. The following symbols are needed in the paper.

- $p^k \rightharpoonup p^\dagger$  indicates the weak convergence of  $p^k$  to  $p^\dagger$  as  $k \to \infty$ .
- $p^k \to p^{\dagger}$  implies the strong convergence of  $p^k$  to  $p^{\dagger}$  as  $k \to \infty$ .
- Fix(S) means the set of fixed points of S.
- $\omega_w(p^k) = \{p^{\dagger} : \exists \{p^{k_i}\} \subset \{p^k\} \text{ such that } p^{k_i} \rightharpoonup p^{\dagger}(i \to \infty)\}.$

Let  $g: C \to (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a function.

- g is said to be proper if  $\{u^{\dagger} \in C : g(u^{\dagger}) < +\infty\} \neq \emptyset$ .
- g is said to be lower semicontinuous if  $\{x \in C : g(x) \le r\}$  is closed for each  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- g is said to be convex if  $g(\alpha u^{\dagger} + (1 \alpha)v^{\dagger}) \leq \alpha g(u^{\dagger}) + (1 \alpha)g(v^{\dagger})$  for every  $u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger} \in C$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .
- g is said to be  $\rho$ -strongly convex  $(\rho > 0)$  if  $g(\alpha u^{\dagger} + (1 \alpha)v^{\dagger}) + \frac{\rho}{2}\alpha(1 \alpha)\|u^{\dagger} v^{\dagger}\|^2 \le \alpha g(u^{\dagger}) + (1 \alpha)g(v^{\dagger})$  for every  $u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger} \in C$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Let  $g: C \to (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a proper, lower semicontinuous, and convex function. Then, the subdifferential  $\partial g$  of g is defined by

$$\partial g(u) := \{ v^{\dagger} \in H : g(u) + \langle v^{\dagger}, u^{\dagger} - u \rangle \le g(u^{\dagger}), \forall u^{\dagger} \in C \}$$
 (6)

for each  $u \in C$ .

It is known that  $\partial g$  possesses the following properties:

(i)  $\partial g$  is a set-valued maximal monotone operator.

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 4 of 15

(ii) If g is  $\rho$ -strongly convex ( $\rho > 0$ ), then  $\partial g$  is  $\rho$ -strongly monotone (i.e.,  $\langle \partial g(\tilde{u}) - \partial g(\tilde{v}), \tilde{u} - \tilde{v} \rangle \ge \rho \|\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}\|^2$ ).

(iii)  $u^{\dagger}$  is a solution to the optimization problem  $\min_{u \in C} \{g(u)\}$  if and only if  $0 \in \partial g(u^{\dagger}) + N_C(u^{\dagger})$ , where  $N_C(u^{\dagger})$  means the normal cone of C at  $u^{\dagger}$  defined by

$$N_C(u^{\dagger}) = \{ \omega \in H : \langle \omega, u - u^{\dagger} \rangle \le 0, \forall u \in C \}.$$

Let  $f: C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bi-function satisfying the following assumptions:

- (f1):  $f(z^{\dagger}, z^{\dagger}) = 0$  for all  $z^{\dagger} \in C$ ;
- (f2): f is pseudomonotone on EP(f, C);
- (f3): f is jointly sequently weakly continuous on  $\Delta \times \Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is an open convex set containing C (recall that f is called jointly sequently weakly continuous on  $E \times E$ , if  $x^k \rightharpoonup x^\dagger$  and  $y^k \rightharpoonup y^\dagger$ , then  $f(x^k, y^k) \rightarrow f(x^\dagger, y^\dagger)$ ); and
- (f4):  $f(z^{\dagger}, \cdot)$  is convex and subdifferentiable for all  $z^{\dagger} \in C$ .

For each  $z^{\dagger}$ ,  $x \in C$ , we use  $\partial_2 f(z^{\dagger}, \cdot)$  to denote the subdifferential of  $f(z^{\dagger}, \cdot)$  at x.

Recall that the metric projection  $P_C: H \to C$  is an orthographic projection from H onto C, which possesses the following characteristic: for given  $x \in H$ ,

$$\langle x - P_C[x], y - P_C[x] \rangle \le 0, \forall y \in C.$$
 (7)

The following lemmas are used in the next section.

**Lemma 1** ([42]). *In a Hilbert space H, we have* 

$$\|\kappa u + (1 - \kappa)u^{\dagger}\|^{2} = \kappa \|u\|^{2} + (1 - \kappa)\|u^{\dagger}\|^{2} - \kappa (1 - \kappa)\|u - u^{\dagger}\|^{2}$$

 $\forall u, u^{\dagger} \in H \text{ and } \forall \kappa \in [0, 1].$ 

**Lemma 2** ([31]). Assume that the operator  $S: C \to C$  is L-Lipschitz pseudocontractive. Then, for all  $\tilde{u} \in C$  and  $u^{\dagger} \in Fix(S)$ , we have

$$\|u^{\dagger} - S((1-\eta)\tilde{u} + \eta S\tilde{u})\|^2 < \|\tilde{u} - u^{\dagger}\|^2 + (1-\eta)\|\tilde{u} - S((1-\eta)\tilde{u} + \eta S\tilde{u})\|^2$$

where  $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L^2+1}}$ .

The next lemma plays a critical role which can be considered as an infinite-dimensional version of Theorem 24.5 in [43]. The proof can be found in [44].

**Lemma 3.** Assume that the bi-function  $f: \Delta \times \Delta \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies Assumptions (f3) and (f4). For given two points  $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \Delta$  and two sequences  $\{u^k\} \subset \Delta$  and  $\{v^k\} \subset \Delta$ , if  $u^k \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$  and  $v^k \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ , respectively, then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\eta > 0$  and  $N_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  verifying

$$\partial_2 f(v^k, u^k) \subset \partial_2 f(\bar{v}, \bar{u}) + \frac{\epsilon}{\eta} B$$

for every  $k \ge N_{\epsilon}$ , where  $B := \{b \in H | ||b|| \le 1\}$ .

The following lemma is the demi-closed principle of the pseudocontractive operator.

**Lemma 4** ([45]). *If the operator*  $S: C \to C$  *is continuous pseudocontractive, then:* 

(i) the fixed point set  $Fix(S) \subset C$  is closed and convex; and

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 5 of 15

(ii) S satisfies demi-closedness, i.e.,  $t^k \rightharpoonup \tilde{z}$  and  $St^k \to z^{\dagger}$  as  $k \to \infty$  imply that  $S\tilde{z} = z^{\dagger}$ .

**Lemma 5** ([46]). For given a sequence  $\{q^k\} \subset H$  and  $q \in H$ , if  $\omega_w(q^k) \subset C$  and  $\|q^k - q\| \leq \|q - P_C[q]\|$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $q^k \to P_C[q]$ .

#### 3. Main Results

In this section, we first present our algorithm to solve the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem and fixed point problem and, consequently, we prove the convergence of the suggested algorithm, see Algorithm 1. Next, we state several assumptions on the underlying spaces, the involved operators, and the control parameters.

#### **Assumptions:**

- (A1):  $\emptyset \neq C \subset H$  is closed convex and  $\Delta$  is a given open set which contains C;
- (A2): the function  $f: \Delta \times \Delta \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies Assumptions (f1)–(f4) stated in Section 2 (under this condition EP(f,C) is closed and convex [3]);
- (A3): the operator  $S: C \to C$  is Lipschitz pseudocontractive with Lipschitz constant L > 0;
- (A4): the intersection  $EP(f,C) \cap Fix(S) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (C1): the sequence  $\{\lambda_k\}$  satisfies:  $\lambda_k \in [\rho, 1]$  with  $0 < \rho \le 1$  for all  $k \ge 0$ ;
- (C2): the sequences  $\{\delta_k\}$  and  $\{\sigma_k\}$  satisfy:  $0 < \underline{\delta} < \delta_k < \overline{\delta} < \sigma_k < \overline{\sigma} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L^2}+1}, \forall k \ge 0$ ; and
- (C3):  $\gamma \in (0,2)$  and  $\mu \in (0,1)$  are two constants.

### **Algorithm 1:** Let $x^0 \in H$ be an initial guess.

Step 1. Set  $C_1 = C$  and compute  $x^1 = P_{C_1}[x^0]$ . Set k = 0.

Step 2. Assume that the current sequence  $\{x^k\}$  has been given and then calculate

$$y^{k} = \arg\min_{y^{\dagger} \in C} \left\{ f(x^{k}, y^{\dagger}) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{k}} \|x^{k} - y^{\dagger}\|^{2} \right\}.$$
 (8)

Step 3. Compute  $\{z^k\}$  by the following manner

$$z^{k} = (1 - \mu^{m_{k}})x^{k} + \mu^{m_{k}}y^{k}, \tag{9}$$

where  $m_k$  takes the smallest nonnegative integer verifying

$$f(z^k, x^k) - f(z^k, y^k) \ge \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda_k} ||x^k - y^k||^2.$$
 (10)

Step 4. Calculate the sequence  $\{u^k\}$  via

$$u^{k} = \begin{cases} x^{k}, & \text{if } z^{k} = x^{k}, \\ P_{C} \left[ x^{k} - \frac{f(z^{k}, x^{k})g^{k}}{\|g^{k}\|^{2}} \right], & \text{where } g^{k} \in \partial_{2} f(z^{k}, x^{k}), & \text{if } z^{k} \neq x^{k}. \end{cases}$$
(11)

Step 5. Calculate the next iterate  $\{x^{k+1}\}$  by the following form

$$\begin{cases}
v^{k} = (1 - \delta_{k})u^{k} + \delta_{k}S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}], \\
C_{k+1} = \{u^{\dagger} \in C_{k} : ||v^{k} - u^{\dagger}|| \le ||x^{k} - u^{\dagger}||\}, \\
x^{k+1} = P_{C_{k+1}}[x^{0}].
\end{cases} (12)$$

Step 6. Set k := k + 1 and return to Step 2.

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 6 of 15

**Proposition 1.** For each  $z^{\dagger} \in C$ , we have

$$f(x^k, z^{\dagger}) \ge f(x^k, y^k) + \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \langle x^k - y^k, z^{\dagger} - y^k \rangle. \tag{13}$$

**Proof.** According to Equation (8), by the definition of  $y^k$ , we have

$$0 \in \partial_2 \left\{ f(x^k, y^{\dagger}) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_k} \|x^k - y^{\dagger}\|^2 \right\} \Big|_{y^{\dagger} = y^k} + N_C(y^k). \tag{14}$$

It follows from Equation (14) that there exists  $p^k \in \partial_2 f(x^k, y^k)$  verifying  $\frac{1}{\lambda_k}(x^k - y^k) - p^k \in N_C(y^k)$ , it yields that

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_k} (x^k - y^k) - p^k, z^{\dagger} - y^k \right\rangle \le 0, \ \forall z^{\dagger} \in C.$$
 (15)

By the definition of subgradient of  $f(x^k, \cdot)$  at  $y^k$ , we obtain

$$f(x^k, z^{\dagger}) \ge f(x^k, y^k) + \langle p^k, z^{\dagger} - y^k \rangle, \ \forall z^{\dagger} \in C.$$
 (16)

Combine Equations (15) and (16) to conclude the desired result.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 1.** The search rule in Equation (10) is well-defined, i.e., there exists  $m_k$  such that Equation (10) holds.

**Proof.** Case 1.  $x^k = y^k$ . In this case,  $z^k = x^k$ . Consequently,  $f(z^k, x^k) = f(z^k, y^k) = 0$  because of (f1). Thus, Equation (10) holds and  $m_k = 0$ .

Case 2.  $x^k \neq y^k$ . Suppose that the search rule in Equation (10) is not well-defined. Hence,  $m_k$  must violate the inequality in Equation (10), i.e., for every  $m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$f(z^k, x^k) - f(z^k, y^k) < \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda_k} ||x^k - y^k||^2.$$
 (17)

Noting that  $z^k = (1 - \mu^{m_k})x^k + \mu^{m_k}y^k$  and letting  $m_k \to \infty$ , we conclude that  $z^k \to x^k$  as  $m_k \to \infty$ . Thanks to Condition (f3), we deduce that  $f(z^k, x^k) \to 0$  and  $f(z^k, y^k) \to f(x^k, y^k)$ . This, together with Equation (17), implies that

$$-f(x^k, y^k) \le \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda_k} \|x^k - y^k\|^2. \tag{18}$$

Letting  $z^{\dagger} = x^k$  in Equation (13) and noting that  $f(x^k, x^k) = 0$ , we deduce

$$0 \ge f(x^k, y^k) + \frac{\|x^k - y^k\|^2}{\lambda_k}.$$

Combine the above inequality and Equation (18) to derive that  $0 \le (\frac{1}{\lambda_k} - \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda_k}) \|x^k - y^k\|^2 \le 0$ . Hence,  $x^k = y^k$ , which is incompatible with the assumption. Consequently, the search rule in Equation (10) is well-defined.  $\square$ 

**Remark 2.** If  $z^k \neq x^k$ , then  $0 \notin \partial_2 f(z^k, x^k)$  and thus  $g^k \neq 0$  and  $\{u^k\}$  is well-defined.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $0 \in \partial_2 f(z^k, x^k)$ . Since  $z^k \neq x^k$ , from Equation (9),  $z^k = (1 - \mu^{m_k})x^k + \mu^{m_k}y^k$ . By using the convexity of  $f(z^k, \cdot)$ , we have

$$0 = f(z^k, z^k) \le (1 - \mu^{m_k}) f(z^k, x^k) + \mu^{m_k} f(z^k, y^k).$$

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 7 of 15

Substituting Equation (10) into the last inequality, we get  $0 \le \frac{\gamma \mu^{m_k}}{2\lambda_k} \|x^k - y^k\|^2 \le f(z^k, x^k)$ . On the other hand, by the assumption and the definition of the subdifferential, we deduce  $f(z^k, u^\dagger) \ge f(z^k, x^k)$ ,  $\forall u^\dagger \in C$ . Hence,  $0 = f(z^k, z^k) \ge f(z^k, x^k)$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 2.** The sequence  $\{x^k\}$  generated by Equation (12) is well-defined.

**Proof.** Firstly, we prove by induction that  $EP(f,C) \cap Fix(S) \subset C_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .  $EP(f,C) \cap Fix(S) \subset C_1$  is obvious. Suppose that  $EP(f,C) \cap Fix(S) \subset C_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Pick up  $p \in EP(f,C) \cap Fix(S) \subset C_k$ . In the light of Equation (12) and Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain

$$\|v^{k} - p\|^{2} = \|(1 - \delta_{k})(u^{k} - p) + \delta_{k}(S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}] - p)\|^{2}$$

$$= (1 - \delta_{k})\|u^{k} - p\|^{2} - \delta_{k}(1 - \delta_{k})\|S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}] - u^{k}\|^{2}$$

$$+ \delta_{k}\|S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}] - p\|^{2}$$

$$\leq (1 - \delta_{k})\|u^{k} - p\|^{2} - \delta_{k}(1 - \delta_{k})\|S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}] - u^{k}\|^{2}$$

$$+ \delta_{k}(\|u^{k} - p\|^{2} + (1 - \sigma_{k})\|u^{k} - S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}]\|^{2})$$

$$= \|u^{k} - p\|^{2} - \delta_{k}(\sigma_{k} - \delta_{k})\|u^{k} - S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}]\|^{2}.$$

$$(19)$$

Since f is pseudomonotone and  $p \in EP(f,C)$ ,  $f(z^k,p) \le 0$ . According to  $g^k \in \partial_2 f(z^k,x^k)$ , by the subdifferential inequality, we have  $f(z^k,p) \ge f(z^k,x^k) + \langle g^k,p-x^k \rangle$ . It follows that  $\langle g^k,x^k-p \rangle \ge f(z^k,x^k) - f(z^k,p) \ge f(z^k,x^k)$ .

Case 1.  $z^k \neq x^k$ . In terms of Equation (11), we get

$$||u^{k} - p||^{2} = ||P_{C}\left[x^{k} - \frac{f(z^{k}, x^{k})g^{k}}{||g^{k}||^{2}}\right] - p||^{2}$$

$$\leq ||x^{k} - p - \frac{f(z^{k}, x^{k})g^{k}}{||g^{k}||^{2}}||^{2}$$

$$= ||x^{k} - p||^{2} - \frac{2f(z^{k}, x^{k})}{||g^{k}||^{2}}\langle g^{k}, x^{k} - p\rangle + \frac{f^{2}(z^{k}, x^{k})}{||g^{k}||^{2}}$$

$$\leq ||x^{k} - p||^{2} - \frac{2f^{2}(z^{k}, x^{k})}{||g^{k}||^{2}} + \frac{f^{2}(z^{k}, x^{k})}{||g^{k}||^{2}}$$

$$= ||x^{k} - p||^{2} - \frac{f^{2}(z^{k}, x^{k})}{||g^{k}||^{2}}.$$
(20)

Combining Equations (19) and (20), we obtain

$$||v^{k} - p||^{2} \le ||x^{k} - p||^{2} - \frac{f^{2}(z^{k}, x^{k})}{||g^{k}||^{2}} - \delta_{k}(\sigma_{k} - \delta_{k})||u^{k} - S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}]||^{2}$$

$$\le ||x^{k} - p||^{2},$$
(21)

and hence  $p \in C_{k+1}$ .

Case 2.  $z^k = x^k$ . In this case,  $u^k = x^k$  and  $||v^k - p|| \le ||x^k - p||$  is obvious. Thus,  $EP(f, C) \cap Fix(S) \subset C_k$  for all  $k \ge 1$ .

Secondly, we show that  $C_k$  is closed and convex for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is obvious that  $C_1 = C$  is closed and convex. Suppose that  $C_k$  is closed and convex for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $u^{\dagger} \in C_k$ , note that  $C_{k+1}$  is equivalent to  $C_{k+1} = \{u^{\dagger} \in C_k : \|v^k - x^k\|^2 + 2\langle v^k - x^k, x^k - u^{\dagger} \rangle \geq 0\}$ . It is obvious that  $C_{k+1}$  is nonempty, closed convex. Therefore, the sequence  $\{x^k\}$  is well-defined.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 3.** 
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{f(z^k, x^k)}{\|g^k\|} = 0$$
 and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|u^k - Su^k\| = 0$ .

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 8 of 15

**Proof.** Since  $x^k = P_{C_k}[x^0]$ , by the property in Equation (7) of the metric projection, for any  $u \in C_k$ , we have

$$\langle x^0 - x^k, u - x^k \rangle \le 0. \tag{22}$$

Then,

$$||x^{k} - x^{0}||^{2} = \langle x^{0} - x^{k}, u - x^{k} \rangle + \langle x^{0} - x^{k}, x^{0} - u \rangle$$

$$\leq \langle x^{0} - x^{k}, x^{0} - u \rangle$$

$$\leq ||x^{0} - x^{k}|| ||x^{0} - u||.$$

It yields

$$||x^k - x^0|| \le ||x^0 - u||, \forall u \in C_k,$$
 (23)

which, by selecting  $u=p\in EP(f,C)\cap Fix(S)\subset C_k$ , implies that the sequence  $\{x^k\}$  is bounded. By terms of Equation (22), we have  $\langle x^0-x^k,x^{k+1}-x^k\rangle\leq 0$  due to  $x^{k+1}\in C_{k+1}\subset C_k$ . Thus,

$$||x^{k+1} - x^k||^2 = 2\langle x^0 - x^k, x^{k+1} - x^k \rangle + ||x^{k+1} - x^0||^2 - ||x^0 - x^k||^2$$

$$\leq ||x^{k+1} - x^0||^2 - ||x^k - x^0||^2.$$
(24)

From Equation (23), we deduce  $\|x^k - x^0\| \le \|x^0 - x^{k+1}\|$ . Thus, the limit  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|x^k - x^0\|$  exists, denoted by q. This, together with Equation (24), implies that  $\|x^{k+1} - x^k\| \to 0$ . Thanks to the definition of  $C_{k+1}$  and  $x^{k+1} \in C_k$ , we derive  $\|v^k - x^{k+1}\| \le \|x^k - x^{k+1}\| \to 0$ . Hence,

$$||v^k - x^k|| < ||v^k - x^{k+1}|| + ||x^{k+1} - x^k|| \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

By Equation (21), we obtain

$$0 \leq \frac{f^{2}(z^{k}, x^{k})}{\|g^{k}\|^{2}} + \delta_{k}(\sigma_{k} - \delta_{k})\|u^{k} - S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}]\|^{2}$$

$$\leq \|x^{k} - p\|^{2} - \|v^{k} - p\|^{2}$$

$$\leq \|x^{k} - v^{k}\|[\|x^{k} - p\| + \|v^{k} - p\|]$$

$$\to 0(k \to \infty).$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{f(z^k, x^k)}{\|g^k\|} = 0, \tag{25}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|u^k - S[(1 - \sigma_k)u^k + \sigma_k Su^k]\| = 0.$$
 (26)

On the other hand,

$$||u^{k} - Su^{k}|| \le ||u^{k} - S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}]|| + ||S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}] - Su^{k}||$$
  
$$\le ||u^{k} - S[(1 - \sigma_{k})u^{k} + \sigma_{k}Su^{k}]|| + L\sigma_{k}||u^{k} - Su^{k}||.$$

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 9 of 15

It follows from Equation (26) that

$$||u^k - Su^k|| \le \frac{1}{1 - I\sigma_k} ||u^k - S[(1 - \sigma_k)u^k + \sigma_k Su^k]|| \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$
 (27)

**Proposition 4.**  $\omega_w(x^k) \subset EP(f,C) \cap Fix(S)$ .

**Proof.** Selecting any  $x^{\dagger} \in \omega_w(x^k)$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x^{k_i}\} \subset \{x^k\}$  such that  $x^{k_i} \rightharpoonup x^{\dagger} \in C$ . Set  $F(y) = f(x^{k_i}, y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{k_i}} \|x^{k_i} - y\|^2$  for each  $y \in C$ . Noting that  $0 \in \partial F(y^{k_i}) + N_C(y^{k_i})$ , then there exists  $A(y^{k_i}) \in \partial F(y^{k_i})$  such that

$$\langle A(y^{k_i}), y - y^{k_i} \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall y \in C.$$
 (28)

Observe that  $\partial F(y)$  is  $\frac{1}{\lambda_{k_i}}$ -strongly monotone because F(y) is  $\frac{1}{\lambda_{k_i}}$ -strongly convex due to the convexity of  $f(x^{k_i}, y)$ . Thus, we have

$$\langle A(x^{k_i}) - A(y^{k_i}), x^{k_i} - y^{k_i} \rangle \ge \frac{1}{\lambda_{k_i}} \|x^{k_i} - y^{k_i}\|^2,$$
 (29)

where  $A(x^{k_i}) \in \partial F(x^{k_i})$ .

Taking into account Equations (28) and (29), we obtain

$$||A(x^{k_i})|| ||x^{k_i} - y^{k_i}|| \ge \langle A(x^{k_i}), x^{k_i} - y^{k_i} \rangle$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{\lambda_{k_i}} ||x^{k_i} - y^{k_i}||^2 + \langle A(y^{k_i}), x^{k_i} - y^{k_i} \rangle$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{\lambda_{k_i}} ||x^{k_i} - y^{k_i}||^2.$$

It follows that

$$\|x^{k_i} - y^{k_i}\| \le \lambda_{k_i} \|A(x^{k_i})\|, \ \forall A(x^{k_i}) \in \partial F(x^{k_i}) = \partial_2 f(x^{k_i}, x^{k_i}).$$
 (30)

Since  $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup x^{\dagger}$ , by Lemma 3, for any  $\epsilon_1 > 0$ , there exist  $\eta_1 > 0$  and  $n_{\epsilon_1} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\partial_2 f(x^{k_i}, x^{k_i}) \subset \partial_2 f(x^{\dagger}, x^{\dagger}) + \frac{\epsilon_1}{n_1} B, \ \forall i \geq n_{\epsilon_1}.$$

The above inclusion and Equation (30) yield that there exists M>0 such that  $\|x^{k_i}-y^{k_i}\| \leq M$  for all  $i\geq n_{\epsilon_1}$ . This indicates that the sequence  $\{y^{k_i}\}$  is bounded owing to the boundedness of  $\{x^{k_i}\}$ . Then, there exists a subsequence of  $\{y^{k_i}\}$ , again denoted by  $\{y^{k_i}\}$  such that  $y^{k_i}\rightharpoonup y^{\dagger}$ . Consequently, by the definition of  $\{z^{k_i}\}$ , it is also bounded. Thus, there exists a subsequence of  $\{z^{k_i}\}$ , without loss of generality, still denoted by  $\{z^{k_i}\}$  that converges to  $z\in C$ . Applying Lemma 3, for any  $\epsilon_2>0$ , there exist  $\eta_2>0$  and  $n_{\epsilon_2}\in\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\partial_2 f(z^{k_i}, x^{k_i}) \subset \partial_2 f(z, x^{\dagger}) + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\eta_2} B, \ \forall i \geq n_{\epsilon_2}.$$

Thus,  $\{g^{k_i}\}$  is bounded. This, together with Equation (25), implies

$$f(z^{k_i}, x^{k_i}) \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty.$$
 (31)

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 10 of 15

Next, we show  $x^{\dagger} \in EP(f,C)$ . We consider two cases. Case 1:  $y^{k_i} = x^{k_i}$ . According to Equation (13), we have

$$f(x^{k_i}, z^{\dagger}) \ge 0, \ \forall z^{\dagger} \in C. \tag{32}$$

Since  $f(\cdot, z^{\dagger})$  is sequently weakly continuous on the open set  $\Delta \supset C$ , letting  $i \to \infty$  in Equation (32), we deduce that  $f(x^{\dagger}, z^{\dagger}) \ge 0$ ,  $\forall z^{\dagger} \in C$ , i.e.,  $x^{\dagger} \in EP(f, C)$ .

Case 2:  $y^{k_i} \neq x^{k_i}$ . By the convexity of  $f(z^{k_i}, \cdot)$ , we get

$$0 = f(z^{k_i}, z^{k_i}) = f(z^{k_i}, (1 - \mu^{m_{k_i}}) x^{k_i} + \mu^{m_{k_i}} y^{k_i})$$
  
$$\leq (1 - \mu^{m_{k_i}}) f(z^{k_i}, x^{k_i}) + \mu^{m_{k_i}} f(z^{k_i}, y^{k_i}),$$

which results that  $\mu^{m_{k_i}}[f(z^{k_i}, x^{k_i}) - f(z^{k_i}, y^{k_i})] \le f(z^{k_i}, x^{k_i})$ . Furthermore, from Equation (10), we have  $f(z^{k_i}, x^{k_i}) - f(z^{k_i}, y^{k_i}) \ge \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda_{k_i}} \|x^{k_i} - y^{k_i}\|^2$ . Hence,

$$f(z^{k_i}, x^{k_i}) \ge \frac{\gamma \mu^{m_{k_i}}}{2\lambda_{k_i}} \|x^{k_i} - y^{k_i}\|^2.$$
(33)

If  $\limsup_{i\to\infty}\mu^{m_{k_i}}>0$ , then there exists a subsequence of  $\{\mu^{m_{k_i}}\}$ , still denoted by  $\{\mu^{m_{k_i}}\}$ , such that  $\mu^{m_{k_i}}\to v>0$ . In the light of Equations (31) and (33), we conclude that  $\|y^{k_i}-x^{k_i}\|\to 0$ . In the case where  $\mu^{m_{k_i}}\to 0$  as  $i\to\infty$ , let  $\{l_i\}$  be the smallest positive integers such that, for each i,

$$f(z^{k_i}, x^{k_i}) - f(z^{k_i}, y^{k_i}) \ge \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda_{k_i}} \|x^{k_i} - y^{k_i}\|^2, \tag{34}$$

where  $z^{k_i} = (1 - \mu^{l_i})x^{k_i} + \mu^{l_i}y^{k_i}$ .

Consequently,  $l_i - 1$  must violate the above search rule in Equation (34), i.e.,

$$f(\bar{z}^{k_i}, x^{k_i}) - f(\bar{z}^{k_i}, y^{k_i}) < \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda_{k_i}} \|x^{k_i} - y^{k_i}\|^2, \tag{35}$$

where  $\bar{z}^{k_i} = (1 - \mu^{l_i - 1})x^{k_i} + \mu^{l_i - 1}y^{k_i}$ .

At the same time, by Equation (13), we obtain

$$0 \ge f(x^{k_i}, y^{k_i}) + \frac{1}{\lambda_{k_i}} \|x^{k_i} - y^{k_i}\|^2.$$
(36)

From Equations (35) and (36), we have

$$f(\bar{z}^{k_i}, x^{k_i}) - f(\bar{z}^{k_i}, y^{k_i}) < -\frac{\gamma}{2} f(x^{k_i}, y^{k_i}). \tag{37}$$

Letting  $i \to \infty$  in Equation (37) and noting that  $x^{k_i} \to x^{\dagger}$ ,  $y^{k_i} \to y^{\dagger}$  and  $\bar{z}^{k_i} \to x^{\dagger}$ , we deduce

$$-f(x^{\dagger},y^{\dagger}) \leq -\frac{\alpha}{2}f(x^{\dagger},y^{\dagger}).$$

It yields that  $f(x^{\dagger}, y^{\dagger}) \geq 0$ . This, together with Equation (36), implies that  $||x^{k_i} - y^{k_i}|| \to 0$ . Consequently,  $y^{k_i} \rightharpoonup x^{\dagger}$ . Again, applying Equation (13), we conclude that  $f(x^{\dagger}, z^{\dagger}) \geq 0$  for all  $z^{\dagger} \in C$ , i.e.,  $x^{\dagger} \in EP(f, C)$ .

Next, we show  $x^{\dagger} \in Fix(S)$ . Observe that  $||u^{k_i} - x^{k_i}|| \le \frac{|f(z^{k_i}, x^{k_i})|}{||g^{k_i}||} \to 0$  by Equation (25) and thus  $u^{k_i} \to x^{\dagger}(i \to \infty)$ . This, together with Lemma 4 and Equation (27), implies that  $x^{\dagger} \in Fix(S)$ . Thus,  $\omega_w(x^k) \subset EP(f,C) \cap Fix(S)$ .  $\square$ 

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 11 of 15

**Theorem 1.** The iterate  $\{x^k\}$  defined by Algorithm 1 converges strongly to  $P_{EP(f,C)\cap Fix(S)}[x^0]$ .

**Proof.** First, by Conditions (A2) and (A4) and Lemma 4,  $EP(f, C) \cap Fix(S)$  is nonempty, closed and convex. Hence,  $P_{EP(f,C) \cap Fix(S)}$  is well-defined. Thanks to Equation (23), we deduce

$$||x^k - x^0|| \le ||x^0 - P_{EP(f,C) \cap Fix(S)}[x^0]||.$$

By Proposition 4, we obtain  $\omega_w(x^k) \subset EP(f,C) \cap Fix(S)$ . Hence, all conditions of Lemma 5 are fulfilled. Consequently, we conclude that  $x^k \to P_{EP(f,C) \cap Fix(S)}[x^0]$  by the conclusion of Lemma 5.  $\square$ 

**Remark 3.** In Algorithm 1, if S is nonexpansive, then the conclusion still holds. The construction of half-space  $C_{k+1}$  in Algorithm 1 is simpler than that in [41]. Our result improves and extends the corresponding result in [41].

#### 4. Applications

In Equation (3), setting  $f(\tilde{u}, u) = \langle A\tilde{u}, u - \tilde{u} \rangle$ , the EP in Equation (3) reduces to the following variational inequality (VI) of seeking  $\tilde{u} \in C$  verifying

$$\langle A\tilde{u}, u - \tilde{u} \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall u \in C.$$
 (38)

The solution set of the variational inequality in Equation (38) is denoted by VI(A, C). In this case, solving strongly convex program

$$y^{k} = \arg\min_{y^{\dagger} \in C} \left\{ f(x^{k}, y^{\dagger}) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{k}} ||x^{k} - y^{\dagger}||^{2} \right\}$$

is converted to solve  $y^k = P_C(x^k - \lambda_k A x^k)$ . The Armijo-like assumption

$$f(z^k, x^k) - f(z^k, y^k) \ge \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda_k} ||x^k - y^k||^2$$

can be expressed as

$$\langle Az^k, x^k - y^k \rangle \ge \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda_k} ||x^k - y^k||^2.$$

Consequently, we obtain the following algorithm for solving a common problem of the VI and the FPP, see Algorithm 2.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\emptyset \neq C \subset H$  be a closed convex and  $\Delta$  be a given open set which contains C. Let  $A: \Delta \to H$  be a pseudomonotone and jointly sequently weakly continuous operator. Let the operator  $S: C \to C$  be Lipschitz pseudocontractive with Lipschitz constant L > 0. Suppose that the intersection  $VI(A,C) \cap Fix(S) \neq \emptyset$ . Assume that Conditions (C1)–(C3) are satisfied. Then, the iterate  $\{x^k\}$  defined by Algorithm 2 converges strongly to  $P_{VI(A,C) \cap Fix(S)}[x^0]$ .

In Algorithm 2, setting S=I, the identity operator, then L=1 and Condition (C2) reduces to Condition (C4):  $0<\underline{\delta}<\delta_k<\overline{\delta}<\sigma_k<\overline{\sigma}<\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}+1}, \forall k\geq 0$ . In this case, we have the following Algorithm 3 and corollary for solving the VI.

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 12 of 15

## **Algorithm 2:** Let $x^0 \in H$ be an initial guess.

Step 1. Set  $C_1 = C$  and compute  $x^1 = P_{C_1}[x^0]$ . Set k = 0.

Step 2. Assume that the current sequence  $\{x^k\}$  has been given and then calculate

$$y^k = P_C(x^k - \lambda_k A x^k).$$

Step 3. Compute  $\{z^k\}$  by the following manner  $z^k = (1 - \mu^{m_k})x^k + \mu^{m_k}y^k$ , where  $m_k$  takes the smallest nonnegative integer verifying  $\langle Az^k, x^k - y^k \rangle \ge \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda_k} \|x^k - y^k\|^2$ .

Step 4. Calculate the sequence  $\{u^k\}$  via

$$u^k = \begin{cases} x^k, & \text{if } z^k = x^k, \\ P_C \left[ x^k - \frac{\langle Az^k, x^k - z^k \rangle}{\|Az^k\|^2} \right], & \text{if } z^k \neq x^k. \end{cases}$$

Step 5. Calculate the next iterate  $\{x^{k+1}\}$  by the following form

$$\begin{cases} v^k = (1 - \delta_k)u^k + \delta_k S[(1 - \sigma_k)u^k + \sigma_k Su^k], \\ C_{k+1} = \{u^{\dagger} \in C_k : ||v^k - u^{\dagger}|| \le ||x^k - u^{\dagger}||\}, \\ x^{k+1} = P_{C_{k+1}}[x^0]. \end{cases}$$

Step 6. Set k := k + 1 and return to Step 2.

# **Algorithm 3:** Let $x^0 \in H$ be an initial guess.

Step 1. Set  $C_1 = C$  and compute  $x^1 = P_{C_1}[x^0]$ . Set k = 0.

Step 2. Assume that the current sequence  $\{x^k\}$  has been given and then calculate

$$y^k = P_C(x^k - \lambda_k A x^k).$$

Step 3. Compute  $\{z^k\}$  by the following manner  $z^k = (1 - \mu^{m_k})x^k + \mu^{m_k}y^k$ , where  $m_k$  takes the smallest nonnegative integer verifying  $\langle Az^k, x^k - y^k \rangle \geq \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda_k} \|x^k - y^k\|^2$ .

Step 4. Calculate the sequence  $\{u^k\}$  via

$$u^{k} = \begin{cases} x^{k}, & \text{if } z^{k} = x^{k}, \\ P_{C} \left[ x^{k} - \frac{\langle Az^{k}, x^{k} - z^{k} \rangle}{\|Az^{k}\|^{2}} \right], & \text{if } z^{k} \neq x^{k}. \end{cases}$$

Step 5. Calculate the next iterate  $\{x^{k+1}\}$  by the following form

$$\begin{cases} C_{k+1} = \{ u^{\dagger} \in C_k : ||u^k - u^{\dagger}|| \le ||x^k - u^{\dagger}|| \}, \\ x^{k+1} = P_{C_{k+1}}[x^0]. \end{cases}$$

Step 6. Set k := k + 1 and return to Step 2.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\emptyset \neq C \subset H$  be a closed convex and  $\Delta$  be a given open set which contains C. Let  $A: \Delta \to H$  be a pseudomonotone and jointly sequently weakly continuous operator. Suppose that  $VI(A,C) \neq \emptyset$ . Assume that Conditions (C1), (C3), and (C4) are satisfied. Then, the iterate  $\{x^k\}$  defined by Algorithm 3 converges strongly to  $P_{VI(A,C)}[x^0]$ .

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 13 of 15

#### 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigate pseudomonotone equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces. We present an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the fixed point of pseudocontractive operators and the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem without Lipschitz-type continuity. We prove the strong convergence of the suggested algorithm under some additional assumptions. Since, in our suggested Algorithm 1, the involved function f is assumed to be pseudomonotone, a natural problem arises: how to weaken this assumption to nonmonotone.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, Y.Y. and N.S.; Data curation, Y.Y.; Formal analysis, Y.Y. and J.-C.Y.; Funding acquisition, N.S.; Investigation, Y.Y., N.S. and J.-C.Y.; Methodology, Y.Y. and N.S.; Project administration, J.-C.Y.; Supervision, J.-C.Y. All the authors have contributed equally to this paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** Yonghong Yao was supported in part by the grant TD13-5033. Jen-Chih Yao was partially supported by the Grant MOST 106-2923-E-039-001-MY3.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Blum, E.; Oettli, W. From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems. *Math. Stud.* **1994**, *63*, 123–145.
- 2. Bauschke, H.H.; Combettes, P.L. *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2011.
- 3. Combettes, P.L.; Hirstoaga, S.A. Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **2005**, *6*, 117–136.
- 4. Quoc, T.D.; Muu, L.D.; Hien, N.V. Extragradient algorithms extended to equilibrium problems. *Optimization* **2008**, *57*, 749–776 [CrossRef]
- 5. Tada A.; Takahashi, W. Weak and strong convergence theorems for a nonexpansive mapping and an equilibrium problem. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2007**, 133, 359–370. [CrossRef]
- 6. Bello-Cruz, J.-Y.; Iusem, A.-N. Convergence of direct methods for paramonotone variational inequalities. *Comput. Optim. Appl.* **2010**, *46*, 247–263. [CrossRef]
- 7. Malitsky, Y. Proximal extrapolated gradient methods for variational inequalities. *Optim. Meth. Softw.* **2018**, 33, 140–164. [CrossRef]
- 8. Yao, Y.; Postolache, M.; Yao, J.-C. Strong convergence of an extragradient algorithm for variational inequality and fixed point problems. *UPB Politeh. Buch. Ser. A* **2020**, *83*, 3–12.
- 9. Hieu, D.V.; Anh, P.K.; Muu, L.D. Modified extragradient-like algorithms with new stepsizes for variational inequalities. *Comput. Optim. Appl.* **2019**, *73*, 913–932. [CrossRef]
- 10. Thong, D.V.; Gibali, A. Extragradient methods for solving non-Lipschitzian pseudo-monotone variational inequalities. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2019**, *21*, 20. [CrossRef]
- 11. Zhang, C.; Zhu, Z.; Yao, Y.; Liu, Q. Homotopy method for solving mathematical programs with bounded box-constrained variational inequalities. *Optimization* **2019**, *68*, 2293–2312. [CrossRef]
- 12. Yang, J.; Liu, H.W. A modified projected gradient method for monotone variational inequalities. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2018**, 179, 197–211. [CrossRef]
- 13. Yao, Y.; Postolache, M.; Liou, Y.-C.; Yao, Z.-S. Construction algorithms for a class of monotone variational inequalities. *Optim. Lett.* **2016**, *10*, 1519–1528. [CrossRef]
- 14. Thakur, B.S.; Postolache, M. Existence and approximation of solutions for generalized extended nonlinear variational inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, 2013, 590. [CrossRef]
- 15. Zhao, X.P.; Yao, J.C.; Yao, Y. A proximal algorithm for solving split monotone variational inclusions. *UPB Sci. Bull. Ser. A* **2020**, in press.
- 16. Zegeye, H.; Shahzad, N.; Yao, Y. Minimum-norm solution of variational inequality and fixed point problem in Banach spaces. *Optimization* **2015**, *64*, 453–471. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ceng, L.C.; Petrusel, A.; Yao, J.C.; Yao, Y. Systems of variational inequalities with hierarchical variational inequality constraints for Lipschitzian pseudocontractions. *Fixed Point Theory* **2019**, *20*, 113–134. [CrossRef]

Mathematics 2020, 8, 461 14 of 15

18. Ceng, L.C.; Petrusel, A.; Yao, J.C.; Yao, Y. Hybrid viscosity extragradient method for systems of variational inequalities, fixed Points of nonexpansive mappings, zero points of accretive operators in Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory* **2018**, *19*, 487–502. [CrossRef]

- 19. Yao, Y.; Postolache, M.; Yao, J.-C. An iterative algorithm for solving the generalized variational inequalities and fixed points problems. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 61. [CrossRef]
- 20. Yao, Y.; Shahzad, N. Strong convergence of a proximal point algorithm with general errors. *Optim. Lett.* **2012**, *6*, 621–628. [CrossRef]
- 21. Yao, Y.; Leng, L.; Postolache, M.; Zheng, X. Mann-type iteration method for solving the split common fixed point problem. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **2017**, *18*, 875–882.
- 22. Muu, L.-D.; Oettli, W. Convergence of an adaptive penalty scheme for finding constrained equilibria. *Nonlinear Anal.* **1992**, *18*, 1159–1166. [CrossRef]
- 23. Martinet, B. Régularisation dínéquations variationelles par approximations successives. *Rev. Française Autom. Inform. Rech. Opér. Anal. Numér.* **1970**, *4*, 154–159.
- 24. Rockafellar, R.T. Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **1976**, 14, 877–898. [CrossRef]
- 25. Konnov, I.V. Combined Relaxation Methods for Variational Inequalities; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2001.
- 26. Dang, V.H. Convergence analysis of a new algorithm for strongly pseudomontone equilibrium problems. *Numer. Algorithms* **2018**, *77*, 983–1001.
- 27. Facchinei, F.; Pang, J.S. *Finite-Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2003.
- 28. Iiduka, H.; Yamada, I. A subgradient-type method for the equilibrium problem over the fixed point set and its applications. *Optimization* **2009**, *58*, 251–261. [CrossRef]
- 29. Santos, P.; Scheimberg, S. An inexact subgradient algorithm for equilibrium problems. *Comput. Appl. Math.* **2011**, *30*, 91–107.
- 30. Mastroeni, G. Gap functions for equilibrium problems. J. Glob. Optim. 2003, 27, 411–426. [CrossRef]
- 31. Yao, Y.; Liou, Y.C.; Yao, J.C. Split common fixed point problem for two quasi-pseudocontractive operators and its algorithm construction. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2015**, 2015, 27. [CrossRef]
- 32. Iiduka, H. Fixed point optimization algorithms for distributed optimization in networked systems. *SIAM J. Optim.* **2013**, 23, 1–26. [CrossRef]
- 33. Zhao, X.P.; Yao, Y.H. Modified extragradient algorithms for solving monotone variational inequalities and fixed point problems. *Optimization* **2020**, in press. [CrossRef]
- 34. Mann, W.R. Mean value methods in iteration. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 1953, 4, 506–510. [CrossRef]
- 35. Halpern, B. Fixed points of nonexpansive maps. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 1967, 73, 957–961. [CrossRef]
- 36. Nakajo, K.; Takahashi, W. Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and nonexpansive semigroups. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2003**, 279, 372–379. [CrossRef]
- 37. Iiduka, H.; Uchida, M. Fixed point optimization algorithms for network bandwidth allocation problems with compoundable constraints. *IEEE Commun. Lett.* **2011**, *15*, 596–598. [CrossRef]
- 38. Anh, P.K., Hieu, D.V. Parallel hybrid methods for variational inequalities, equilibrium problems and common fixed point problems. *Vietnam J. Math.* **2016**, *44*, 351–374. [CrossRef]
- 39. Hieu, D.V., Muu, L.D., Anh, P.K. Parallel hybrid extragradientmethods for pseudomonotone equilibrium problems and nonexpansive mappings. *Numer. Algorithms* **2016**, *73*, 197–217. [CrossRef]
- 40. Vuong, P.T.; Strodiot, J.J.; Nguyen, V.H. On extragradient-viscosity methods for solving equilibrium and fixed point problems in a Hilbert space. *Optimization* **2015**, *64*, 429–451. [CrossRef]
- 41. Nguyen, T.T.V.; Strodiot, J.J.; Nguyen, V.H. Hybrid methods for solving simultaneously an equilibrium problem and countably many fixed point problems in a Hilbert space. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2014**, *160*, 809–831. [CrossRef]
- 42. Dang, V.-H. An extension of hybrid method without extrapolation step to equilibrium problems. *JIMO* **2017**, 13, 1723–1741.
- 43. Rockafellar, R.T. Convex Analysis; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 1970.
- 44. Vuong, P.T.; Strodiot, J.J.; Nguyen, V.H. Extragradient methods and linesearch algorithms for solving Ky Fan inequalities and fixed point problems. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2012**, *155*, 605–627. [CrossRef]

*Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 461

45. Zhou, H. Strong convergence of an explicit iterative algorithm for continuous pseudocontractions in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2009**, *70*, 4039–4046. [CrossRef]

46. Martinez-Yanes, C.; Xu, H.K. Strong convergence of the CQ method for fixed point iteration processes. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2006**, *64*, 2400–2411. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).