

## **Changes to the Systematic Review Protocol (PROSPERO CRD42023484499)**

### **Title:**

Knowledge, attitude and practice of pregnant women and hospital staff about umbilical cord blood banking: systematic review and meta-analysis.

### **Review question**

Changed patients to “pregnant patients” and healthcare workers” to “hospital staff”

### **Searches**

Cochrane CENTRAL was not searched due to absence of RCTs in the eligibility criteria.

### **Participants/population**

Non-pregnant women were excluded to avoid heterogeneity

### ***Measures of effect***

Effect size estimates only were used due to observational nature of studies

### **Risk of bias (quality) assessment**

ROBINS-I was used to Risk of bias assessment due to observational nature of studies

### **Strategy for data synthesis**

Due to observational nature of studies, a random-effects restricted maximum-likelihood model with Freeman-Tukey Double arcsine transformation meta-analysis was carried out to calculate pooled effect size estimates. MOOSE guidelines were followed. STATA 14.1 was used for statistical analysis.