



Article MUC1-C Dependence for the Progression of Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors Identifies a Druggable Target for the Treatment of This Rare Cancer

Hiroki Ozawa¹, Naoki Haratake¹, Ayako Nakashoji¹, Tatsuaki Daimon^{1,†}, Atrayee Bhattacharya¹, Keyi Wang¹, Keisuke Shigeta¹, Atsushi Fushimi¹, Kazumasa Fukuda², Yohei Masugi³, Ryo Yamaguchi², Minoru Kitago², Hirofumi Kawakubo², Yuko Kitagawa² and Donald Kufe^{1,*}

- ¹ Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, 450 Brookline Avenue, D830, Boston, MA 02215, USA; hiroki_ozawa@dfci.harvard.edu (H.O.); naoki_haratake@dfci.harvard.edu (N.H.); ayako_nakashoji@dfci.harvard.edu (A.N.); tatsuaki_daimon@dfci.harvard.edu (T.D.); atrayee_bhattacharya@dfci.harvard.edu (A.B.); keyi_wang@dfci.harvard.edu (K.W.); keisuke_shigeta@dfci.harvard.edu (K.S.); atsushi_fushimi@dfci.harvard.edu (A.F.)
- ² Department of Surgery, Keio University School of Medicine, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160-8582, Japan; fukudak@z6.keio.jp (K.F.); gupiogupio@gmail.com (R.Y.); dragonpegasus@keio.jp (M.K.); hkawakubo@z3.keio.jp (H.K.); kitagawa@a3.keio.jp (Y.K.)
- Division of Diagnostic Pathology, Keio University School of Medicine, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160-8582, Japan; yohei_masugi@dfci.harvard.edu
- * Correspondence: donald_kufe@dfci.harvard.edu
- Current address: Department of Urology, Kawasaki Municipal Hospital, 12-1 Shinkawa street, Kawasaki-ku, Kawasaki City, Kanagawa 210-0013, Japan.

Abstract: Patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (pNETs) have limited access to effective targeted agents and invariably succumb to progressive disease. MUC1-C is a druggable oncogenic protein linked to driving pan-cancers. There is no known involvement of MUC1-C in pNET progression. The present work was performed to determine if MUC1-C represents a potential target for advancing pNET treatment. We demonstrate that the *MUC1* gene is upregulated in primary pNETs that progress with metastatic disease. In pNET cells, MUC1-C drives E2F- and MYC-signaling pathways necessary for survival. Targeting MUC1-C genetically and pharmacologically also inhibits self-renewal capacity and tumorigenicity. Studies of primary pNET tissues further demonstrate that MUC1-C expression is associated with (i) an advanced NET grade and pathological stage, (ii) metastatic disease, and (iii) decreased disease-free survival. These findings demonstrate that MUC1-C is necessary for pNET progression and is a novel target for treating these rare cancers with anti-MUC1-C agents under clinical development.

Keywords: MUC1-C; pNET; MYC; mTOR; NOTCH2; CSC

1. Introduction

Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) are a heterogeneous class of cancers that commonly originate in the pancreas [1]. The annual incidence of pancreatic NETs (pNETs) is approximately 1–5 in 100,000 [1–5]. Rare cancers are defined by the United States National Cancer Institute as those with an incidence fewer than 15 per 100,000 persons per year [6,7], placing pNETs in this category. Patients with pNETs have a mortality rate that has increased roughly fivefold over the past 30–40 years [1]. pNETs are categorized as functional tumors based on clinical symptoms manifested by tumor-secreted hormones [4]. According to the WHO 2019 classification, prognostic factors for gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms (GEP-NENs) include the mitotic count and Ki-67 index. The resection of primary functional well-differentiated NETs in patients with liver metastases may improve survival [8]. Specifically for pNETs, ~90% are non-functional tumors, which are often



Citation: Ozawa, H.; Haratake, N.; Nakashoji, A.; Daimon, T.; Bhattacharya, A.; Wang, K.; Shigeta, K.; Fushimi, A.; Fukuda, K.; Masugi, Y.; et al. MUC1-C Dependence for the Progression of Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors Identifies a Druggable Target for the Treatment of This Rare Cancer. *Biomedicines* **2024**, *12*, 1509. https://doi.org/10.3390/ biomedicines12071509

Academic Editor: Satoshi Wada

Received: 23 May 2024 Revised: 2 July 2024 Accepted: 4 July 2024 Published: 8 July 2024

Correction Statement: This article has been republished with a minor change. The change does not affect the scientific content of the article and further details are available within the backmatter of the website version of this article.



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). asymptomatic and therefore likely to remain undiagnosed until they are advanced and unresectable [9]. As a result, non-functional pNETs have a worse prognosis. At initial diagnosis, 60–70% of pNET patients have liver metastases, which, when resectable, have a high rate of recurrence [10–12]. The activation of the mammalian target of the rapamycin (mTOR) pathway in pNETs has been targeted with the mTOR inhibitor everolimus [13,14]. The multiple receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) inhibitor sunitinib has also been used for pNET treatment [13,14]. Nonetheless, the response to these agents is often limited to stable disease, and resistance invariably occurs with tumor progression [4,12]. Accordingly, the identification of targeted agents for pNET treatment represents an unmet need, which was the motivation for performing the present work.

The *MUC1* gene evolved in mammals to protect barrier tissues from biotic and abiotic insults that result in a loss of homeostasis [15–18]. *MUC1* encodes a C-terminal (MUC1-C) subunit which is activated by the loss of homeostasis and drives inflammatory, proliferative, and remodeling pathways associated with the wound-healing response [15–18]. As an adverse consequence of this protective function, the prolonged activation of MUC1-C in settings of chronic inflammation drives cancer progression [17,18]. MUC1-C thus contributes to lineage plasticity and the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) [16,18]. MUC1-C regulates the (i) Polycomb Repressive Complex 1 (PRC1) and PRC2 [19], (ii) SWI/SNF BAF and PBAF chromatin remodeling complexes [20–22], and (iii) COMPASS family of H3K4 methyltransferases [23]. In this way, MUC1-C regulates epigenetic reprogramming and chromatin accessibility across the genomes of cancer cells in driving the cancer stem cell (CSC) state [18]. A dependence on MUC1-C for CSC self-renewal capacity and tumorigenicity has been uncovered across pan-cancers, which are largely adenocarcinomas, as well as those with neuroendocrine (NE) dedifferentiation [16,18,24].

There is no reported involvement of MUC1-C in pNET progression. The present work was performed to determine if patients with these rare cancers are potential candidates for treatment with the anti-MUC1-C agents under development [16,18,24]. We show that *MUC1* expression is upregulated in primary pNETs that progress with metastatic disease. Of functional significance, silencing MUC1-C in pNET cells suppresses the E2F, MYC, and mTOR pathways, which have been associated with proliferative pNETs that have a poor prognosis [25]. In concert with driving the pNET CSC state, we demonstrate that MUC1-C is necessary for NOTCH2 expression, self-renewal capacity, and tumorigenicity. We also report that MUC1-C expression in primary pNET tissues is associated with aggressive disease and a poor prognosis. These findings demonstrate that MUC1-C is of importance in pNET progression and is a potential target for advancing pNET treatment.

2. Methods

2.1. Analysis of Human pNET Tumor Datasets

Data analysis was performed using the Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE178398) dataset [26].

2.2. Cell Culture

QGP-1 cells were maintained in Gibco RPMI 1640 Media containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS and 1% L-glutamine. BON-1 cells were maintained in Gibco Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium Nutrient Mixture F-12 (DMEM/F-12) containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS and 2% L-glutamine. The cells were cultured for 3–4 months. The authentication of the cells was performed by short tandem repeat analysis. The cells were monitored for mycoplasma contamination using the MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza, Rockland, ME, USA).

2.3. Gene Silencing and Rescue

MUC1shRNA (MISSION shRNA TRCN0000122938), MUC1shRNA#2 (MISSION shRNA TRCN0000430218), MYCshRNA (MISSION shRNA TRCN0000039642), and a control scrambled shRNA (CshRNA) (Millipore Sigma; Burlington, MA, USA) were inserted into pLKO.1puro (Plasmid #8453; Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA) or pLKO-tet-puro (Plasmid #21915; Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA) as described [27]. Single guide RNAs targeting NOTCH2 were inserted into the lentiCRISPR v2 hygro (Plasmid #98291; Addgene) as described [28]. The viral vectors were produced in 293T cells as described [27]. Flag-tagged MUC1-CD was inserted into pInducer20 (plasmid #44012, Addgene) as described [27]. Cells transduced with the vectors were selected for growth in 1–3 μ g/mL puromycin, 100 to 400 mg/mL hygromycin, or 200 to 500 μ g/mL geneticin. For tet-inducible vectors, the cells were treated with 0.1% DMSO as the vehicle control or 500 ng/mL doxycycline (DOX; Millipore Sigma).

2.4. Real-Time Quantitative Reverse-Transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). cDNAs were synthesized and amplified as described [27]. The primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

2.5. Immunoblot Analysis

The total lysates prepared from the subconfluent cells were subjected to immunoblot analysis using anti-MUC1-C (HM-1630-P1ABX, 1:1000 dilution; Thermo Fisher Scientific; Waltham, MA, USA), anti- β -actin (A5441, 1:5000 dilution; Sigma-Aldrich; Burlington, MA, USA), anti-MYC (ab32072, 1:1000 dilution; Abcam; Waltham, MA, USA), anti-CCNA2/Cyclin A2 (4656, 1:1000 dilution; Cell Signaling Technology (CST), Danvers, MA, USA), anti-Cyclin B1 (4138, 1:1000 dilution; CST), anti-p-mTOR (5536, 1:1000 dilution; CST), anti-mTOR (2983, 1:1000 dilution; CST), anti-NOTCH2 (5732, 1:1000 dilution; CST), anti-Jagged 1 (2620, 1:1000 dilution; CST), and anti-Histone H3 (ab1791, 1:5000 dilution; Abcam).

2.6. Cell Fractionation

A subcellular protein fractionation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 78840) was used to isolate chromatin fractions according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.7. Coimmunoprecipitation Studies

Coimmunoprecipitation was performed using the Pierce[™] Classic Magnetic IP/Co-IP Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with anti-MUC1-C (#MA5-11202; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.8. RNA-seq Analysis

The total RNA from the cells cultured in triplicate was isolated using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen; Beverly, MA, USA). TruSeq Stranded mRNA (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) was used for library preparation, as described [29]. Raw sequencing reads were aligned to the human genome (GRCh38.74) using STAR. Raw feature counts were normalized and subjected to differential expression analysis using DESeq2. The differential expression rank order was utilized for subsequent GSEA, performed using the fgsea (v1.8.0) package in R. The gene sets queried included those available through the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB).

2.9. Colony Formation Assays

The cells $(1-3 \times 10^4)$ were seeded in 24-well plates for 24 h and then treated with (i) 0.1% DMSO or 500 ng/mL DOX and (ii) PBS or GO-203. After 7–14 days, the cells were stained with 0.5% crystal violet (LabChem, Zelienople, PA, USA) in 25% methanol. Growth was quantified at 590 nm using a spectrophotometer and normalized to DMSO treatment.

2.10. Tumorsphere Formation Assays

The cells $(1-3 \times 10^4)$ were seeded per well in six-well ultra-low attachment culture plates (Corning Life Sciences) in DMEM/F12 50/50 medium (Corning Life Sciences; Tewksbury, MA, USA) with 20 ng/mL EGF (Millipore Sigma), 20 ng/mL bFGF (Millipore Sigma), and a 1% B27 supplement (Gibco), as described [27]. In certain studies, cells were (i) treated with a vehicle or 500 ng/mL DOX and (ii) left untreated or treated with GO-203. Tumorspheres were counted under an inverted microscope in triplicate wells.

2.11. Mouse Tumor Model Studies

Six-to-eight-week-old nude mice (The Jackson Laboratory; Bar Harbor, ME, USA) were injected subcutaneously in the flank with 5×10^6 QGP-1 cells in 100 µL of a 1:1 solution of the medium and Matrigel (BD Biosciences; Woburn, MA, USA). When the mean tumor volume reached 150–200 mm³, the mice were pair-matched into groups. The mice were treated intraperitoneally each day with PBS or GO-203 at a dose of 12 µg/g body weight. Unblinded tumor measurements and body weights were recorded twice each week. The mice were sacrificed when the tumors reached >2000 mm³, as calculated by the following formula: (width)² × length/2. These studies were conducted in accordance with the ethical regulations required for approval by the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Animal Care and Use Committee under protocol #03-029.

2.12. Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

We retrospectively examined tumor tissue samples from patients with pNETs who underwent surgical resection at the Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, Keio University from March 1986 to January 2023. The specimens were subjected to IHC with an anti-MUC1-C rabbit monoclonal antibody (16564, 1:1000 dilution; CST, heat-induced epitope retrieval, pH 6.0). The determinations were performed independently by three investigators (H.O., K.F., and Y.M.). If the independent assessments were not in agreement, the slides were reviewed together by the three investigators until they reached a consensus. The consensus judgments were adopted as the results. Three levels were defined according to the size of the area stained in one specimen (0% = 0; $0-\langle 25\% = 1$; $\rangle 25\% = 2$). The pancreatic ductal epithelium and acinar cells were used as internal positive controls for MUC1-C staining. All pathological materials available for tumor classification were reviewed by expert pathologists using the standard World Health Organization classifications. The disease status was staged according to the TNM staging system (UICC Ver. 8). The ethics committee of Keio University School of Medicine approved this study. Informed consent or a suitable substitute was obtained from the patients in the study. Disease-free survival was measured as the time between the date of operation to the date of recurrence or death from any cause or the date of the last clinical follow-up. Survival rates were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and the difference between curves was assessed using the log-rank test.

2.13. Statistical Analysis

Each experiment was performed at least three times. Unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests were used to assess differences between the mean \pm SD of two groups. *p*-values were considered significant at p < 0.05. Asterisks represent * $p \le 0.05$, ** $p \le 0.01$, *** $p \le 0.001$, and **** $p \le 0.001$ with CI = 95%.

2.14. Data Availability

The accession numbers for the RNA-seq data are GEO Submission GSE267722.

3. Results

3.1. pNET Cells Are Dependent on MUC1-C for Survival

An analysis of the GSE178398 dataset derived from 22 primary pNET lesions demonstrated that MUC1 mRNA levels are significantly higher in those that progress with metastatic disease (Figure 1A; Supplemental Figure S1A). We therefore analyzed MUC1 expression in the (i) QGP-1 pNET cell line isolated from the primary lesion of a patient with liver metastases [30] and (ii) BON-1 pNET cell line derived from a primary tumor with metastases to lymph nodes [31]. The oncogenic MUC1-C subunit is expressed as an N-glycosylated ~25 kDa glycoprotein and an unglycosylated 17 kDa protein [18,32]. An analysis of QGP-1 and BON-1 cells identified comparable levels of MUC1-C transcripts (Supplemental Figure S1B; Supplemental Table S1). An analysis of total cell lysates further demonstrated the predominant expression of the MUC1-C ~25 kDa glycoprotein (Figure 1B). To explore the potential involvement of MUC1-C, we established QGP-1 and BON-1 cells transfected with a control tet-CshRNA or a tet-MUC1shRNA. DOX treatment of QGP-1/tet-MUC1shRNA and BON-1/tet-MUC1shRNA cells downregulated MUC1-C mRNA (Figure 1C) and protein (Figure 1D) levels. By contrast, DOX treatment of QGP-1/tet-CshRNA and BON-1/tet-CshRNA cells had no apparent effect on MUC1-C expression (Supplemental Figure S1C). Of potential translational relevance, we found that silencing MUC1-C in QGP-1 (Figure 1E) and BON-1 (Supplemental Figure S1D) cells suppresses their capacity for clonogenic survival. As a confirmation of MUC1-C dependence, we rescued MUC1-C silencing with the DOX-inducible expression of a tet-Flag-MUC1-C cytoplasmic domain (tet-Flag-MUC1-CD) vector (Figure 1F; Supplemental Figure S1E), which reversed the loss of clonogenicity (Figure 1G; Supplemental Figure S1F). These findings demonstrate that pNET cells are dependent on MUC1-C for survival.



Figure 1. QGP-1 and BON-1 cells are dependent on MUC1-C for clonogenic survival. (**A**) Analysis of the GSE178398 pNET dataset demonstrating that MUC1 expression is significantly upregulated in primary tumors from patients with metastatic vs. localized disease. (**B**) Total cell lysates from QGP-1

and BON-1 cells were immunoblotted with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (**C**,**D**) QGP-1/tet-MUC1shRNA and BON-1/tet-MUC1shRNA cells treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days were analyzed for MUC1-C transcripts by qRT-PCR using the primers listed in Supplemental Table S1. The results (mean \pm SD of four determinations) represent relative levels compared to vehicle-treated cells (assigned a value of 1) (**C**). Lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins (**D**). (**E**) QGP-1/tet-MUC1shRNA cells treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days were analyzed for colony formation. Photomicrographs of representative stained colonies (left) are shown. The results (mean \pm SD of three determinations) are expressed as colony formation relative to that for vehicle-treated cells (assigned a value of 1) (right). (**F**) QGP-1 cells expressing the indicated vectors were treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days and then analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (**G**) QGP-1 cells expressing the indicated vectors were treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days and analyzed for colony formation. Photomicrographs of representative stained vectors were treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days and then analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (**G**) QGP-1 cells expressing the indicated vectors were treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days and analyzed for colony formation. Photomicrographs of representative stained colonies are shown. The results (mean \pm SD of three determinations) are expressing the indicated vectors were treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days and analyzed for colony formation. Photomicrographs of representative stained colonies are shown. The results (mean \pm SD of three determinations) are expressed as colony formation relative to that for vehicle-treated cells (assigned a value of 1).

3.2. MUC1-C Regulates pNET Cell Transcriptomes

RNA-seq performed on QGP-1 cells demonstrated that MUC1-C silencing results in the downregulation of 2679 genes and the upregulation of 2679 genes (Figure 2A). By comparison, silencing MUC1-C in BON-1 cells was associated with the downregulation and upregulation of 2369 and 2446 genes, respectively (Figure 2A). The GSEA of the QGP-1 and BON-1 datasets (Supplemental Figure S2A,B) demonstrated that silencing MUC1-C is significantly associated with the suppression of the HALLMARK E2F TARGETS signature (Figure 2B). E2F target genes regulate cancer cell proliferation, genomic integrity, and metabolism [33]. Among 27 common downregulated E2F target genes in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing (Figure 2C; Supplemental Table S2), we identified those encoding chromatin proteins: (i) HMGA1, a driver of the stem cells, inflammatory pathway, and cell cycle genes [34], and (ii) HMGB3, an effector of cell proliferation, self-renewal, and drug resistance (Figure 2D) [35]. Poor prognosis proliferation type pNETs have an enrichment of cell cycle-related gene sets [25]. Consistent with that enrichment, GSEA demonstrated that silencing MUC1-C in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells is significantly associated with the suppression of the BENPORATH CYCLING GENES signature (Figure 2E). Among these genes, we identified 66 that were downregulated in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing (Figure 2F; Supplemental Table S3), which included (i) aurora kinase B (AURKB), a regulator of mitotic cell cycle progression and a potential target for cancer treatment [36], (ii) BUB3, which regulates the mitotic spindle assembly checkpoint [37], and (iii) RAN GTPase, which functions in nucleocytoplasmic transport and cell cycle progression [38] (Figure 2G). These results collectively uncovered a role for MUC1-C in regulating E2F target genes involved in pNET cell cycle progression.



Figure 2. Silencing MUC1-C in pNET cells downregulates E2F and MYC target gene and cell cycle gene signatures. (A) RNA-seq was performed on biologic triplicates of QGP-1/tet-MUC1shRNA and BON-1/tet-MUC1shRNA cells treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days. Volcano plots depicting downregulated (left) and upregulated (right) genes with MUC1-C silencing. (B) GSEA of the QGP-1 and BON-1 RNA-seq datasets using the HALLMARK E2F TARGET gene signature. (C) Venn diagram of downregulated HALLMARK E2F TARGET genes in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing. (D) QGP-1 and BON-1 cells were analyzed for the indicated transcripts by qRT-PCR using the primers listed in Supplemental Table S1. The results (mean \pm SD of four determinations) are expressed as relative levels compared to those obtained for vehicle-treated cells (assigned a value of 1). (E) GSEA of the QGP-1 and BON-1 RNA-seq datasets using the BENPORATH CYCLING GENES in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing. (G) QGP-1 and BON-1 cells were analyzed for the indicated transcripts in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing. (G) QGP-1 and BON-1 cells were analyzed for the indicated transcripts by qRT-PCR using the primers listed in Supplemental Table S1. The results (mean \pm SD of the indicated transcripts by qRT-PCR using the primers listed in Supplemental BENPORATH CYCLING GENES in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing. (G) QGP-1 and BON-1 cells were analyzed for the indicated transcripts by qRT-PCR using the primers listed in Supplemental Table S1. The results (mean \pm SD of four determinations) are expressed as relative levels compared to those obtained for vehicle-treated cells (mean \pm SD of four determinations) are expressed as relative levels compared to those obtained for vehicle-treated transcripts by qRT-PCR using the primers listed in Supplemental Table S1. The results (mean \pm SD of four determinations) are expressed as relative levels compared to those obtained for vehicle-treated cells (assigned a value of 1).

3.3. MUC1-C Regulates MYC in pNET Cells

MYC regulates the induction of E2F target genes [39] and is commonly dysregulated in pNET tumors [25]. We found that silencing MUC1-C in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells decreases MYC mRNA (Figure 3A) and protein (Figure 3B) levels. The MUC1-C cytoplasmic domain (MUC1-CD) is an intrinsically disordered protein that integrates diverse signaling pathways (Figure 3C) [18]. MUC1-CD is a substrate for EGFR, FGFR3, and MET phosphorylation [18]. The MUC1-CD CQC motif, which is targeted by the GO-203 inhibitor, binds directly to TCF4 [18]. Additionally, the MUC1-CD SAGNGGSSLS region associates with beta-catenin, which, together with TCF4, induces MYC expression (Figure 3C) [18]. The MUC1-C CQC motif also binds directly to the MYC HLH-LZ domain in regulating MYC target genes [40]. We found that the rescue of MUC1-C silencing with MUC1-CD reverses the downregulation of MYC expression (Figure 3D). The GSEA of the QGP-1 and BON-1 gene sets further identified the involvement of MUC1-C in regulating the HALLMARK MYC TARGETS V1 signature (Figure 3E) and common sets of MYC target genes (Figure 3F; Supplemental Table S4). To extend these results, we established QGP-1 and BON-1 cells expressing a tet-MYCshRNA, which responded to DOX treatment with the downregulation of MYC, as well as MUC1-C, expression (Figure 3G; Supplemental Figure S3A). In support of a MUC1-C/MYC auto-regulatory pathway, (i) silencing MUC1-C with different MUC1shRNAs to exclude off-target effects (Supplemental Figure S3B,C) and (ii) silencing MYC (Supplemental Figure S3D) decreased the expression of the cyclin A2 and cyclin B1 proteins that regulate entry into mitosis. Collectively, these results indicate that the QGP-1 and BON-1 cells are dependent on MUC1-C for the activation of E2F and MYC target genes that drive proliferation.

3.4. MUC1-C/MYC Signaling Regulates the mTORC1 Pathway

The mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) promotes cancer cell growth and survival [41]. The dysregulation of mTOR has been identified in pNET tumors as a target for treatment with everolimus [25,42]. Here, we found that inducible and stable MUC1-C silencing in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells results in the downregulation of p-mTOR (Ser-2448) and mTOR levels (Figure 4A; Supplemental Figure S4A,B), which were rescued by MUC1-CD expression (Figure 4B). GSEA further demonstrated that silencing MUC1-C in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells is significantly associated with the suppression of the HALLMARK MTORC1 SIGNALING gene signature (Figure 4C). Common mTORC1 signaling genes in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing included those that regulate (i) glycolysis, such as fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (ALDOA), enolase 1 (ENO1), and lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA), and (ii) serine metabolism involving phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (PHGDH) and phosphoserine aminotransferase (PSAT1) (Figure 4D; Supplemental Table S5). mTORC1 is necessary for MYC-driven cancer cell survival [41,43–47]. Along these lines, we found that, like MUC1-C, silencing MYC decreases p-mTOR (Ser-2448) and mTOR expression (Figure 4E). In addition, as found for MUC1-C, silencing MYC suppressed QGP-1 (Figure 4F) and BON-1 (Figure 4G) colony formation, indicating that MUC1-C/MYC signaling regulates effectors of the mTORC1 metabolic pathway in association with driving survival.



Figure 3. MUC1-C regulates MYC expression in pNET cells. (A,B) QGP-1/tet-MUC1shRNA and BON-1/tet-MUC1shRNA cells were treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days and analyzed for MYC transcripts by qRT-PCR (A). The results (mean \pm SD of four determinations) are expressed as levels relative to those for vehicle-treated cells (assigned a value of 1). Lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins (B). (C) Amino acid sequence of the MUC1-C cytoplasmic domain highlighting (i) the direct binding of the CQC motif with MYC and TCF4 and (ii) the interaction of the serine-rich motif (SRM) with beta-catenin. In this way, the MUC1-C cytoplastic domain facilitates the formation of TCF4/beta-catenin complexes in activating the CCND1 and MYC genes. The MUC1-C cytoplasmic domain also includes a YHPM sequence that, when phosphorylated on tyrosine, conforms to a consensus sequence for the binding of the PI3K SH2 domain. (D) Lysates from QGP-1 cells expressing the indicated vectors treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days were immunoblotted with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (E) GSEA of the QGP-1 and BON-1 RNA-seq datasets using the HALLMARK MYC TARGETS V1 gene signature. (F) Venn diagram of downregulated HALLMARK MYC TARGETS V1 genes in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing. (G) Lysates from QGP-1/tet-MYCshRNA and BON-1/tet-MYCshRNA cells treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days were immunoblotted with antibodies against the indicated proteins.



Figure 4. MUC1-C/MYC signaling regulates the mTOR pathway. (A) QGP-1/tet-MUC1shRNA and BON-1/tet-MUC1shRNA cells were treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days and analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (B) QGP-1 cells expressing the indicated vectors were treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days and analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (C) GSEA of the QGP-1 and BON-1 RNA-seq datasets using the HALLMARK mTORC1 SIGNALING gene signature. (D) Venn diagram of downregulated HALLMARK mTORC1 SIGNALING genes in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing. (E) Lysates from QGP-1/tet-MYCshRNA and BON-1/tet-MYCshRNA cells treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days were immunoblotted with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (F,G) QGP-1/tet-MYCshRNA (F) and BON-1/tet-MYCshRNA (G) cells treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days were analyzed for colony formation. Photomicrographs of representative stained colonies (left) are shown. The results (mean \pm SD of three determinations) are expressed as the colony formation relative to that for vehicle-treated cells (assigned a value of 1) (right).

3.5. MUC1-C/MYC Signaling Integrates the NOTCH Pathway and Self-Renewal Capacity

In searching for other pathways regulated by MUC1-C in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells, we found that MUC1-C silencing is associated with the suppression of the REACTOME SIGNALING BY the NOTCH gene signature (Figure 5A). NOTCH signaling is conferred by the NOTCH1-4 TFs that drive stemness and the CSC state [48]. Silencing MUC1-C in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells decreased the expression of NOTCH2 and the downstream NOTCH pathway effector JAG1 that contributes to stemness (Figure 5B) [48]. Silencing MYC similarly downregulated NOTCH2 (Figure 5C), indicating that the MUC1-C/MYC pathway regulates NOTCH2 signaling. In addition, we confirmed that the downregulation of NOTCH2 expression by MUC1-C silencing is rescued with MUC1-CD (Figure 5D). In concert with the involvement of NOTCH2 signaling in contributing to the CSC state [48], silencing MUC1-C in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells suppressed self-renewal capacity, as determined by tumorsphere formation (Figure 5E; Supplemental Figure S5A). As confirmation of MUC1-C dependence, rescuing MUC1-C silencing with MUC1-CD restored the capacity for self-renewal (Figure 5E; Supplemental Figure S5A). Silencing MYC in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells also suppressed self-renewal capacity (Figure 5F; Supplemental Figure S5B). In extending these results, we found that targeting NOTCH2 decreases tumorsphere formation (Figure 5G; Supplemental Figure S5C), confirming that MUC1-C/MYC signaling regulates NOTCH2 and, with it, self-renewal capacity.

3.6. Targeting MUC1-C with the GO-203 Inhibitor Suppresses MUC1-C/MYC Signaling, Self-Renewal, and Tumorigenicity

MUC1-CD is an intrinsically disordered 72 aa protein that includes a CQC motif necessary for the formation of MUC1-C homodimers and their import into the nucleus [16]. The cell-penetrating GO-203 peptide (D-amino acids: R9-CQCRRKN) targets the CQC motif with the selective dose-dependent inhibition of MUC1-C function in vitro and in vivo [16]. As found in other types of cancer cells, MUC1-C is expressed as 17 kDa and higher-order structures in chromatin from QGP-1 and BON-1 cells (Supplemental Figure S6A), which was decreased by GO-203 treatment (Supplemental Figure S6B) [49,50]. Consistent with the involvement of the MUC1-C CQC motif in direct binding to MYC [40], GO-203 abrogated the formation of MUC1-C/MYC heterodimers and the localization of MYC in chromatin (Supplemental Figure S6B–D). In this way, GO-203 (i) decreased the expression of MUC1-C/MYC target genes encoding cyclin A2, cyclin B1, mTOR, and NOTCH2 (Figure 6A), (ii) inhibited colony formation (Figure 6B,C), and (iii) suppressed tumorsphere formation (Figure 6D,E). Furthermore, GO-203 treatment of established QGP-1 xenografts in nude mice inhibited tumorigenicity in association with the suppression of MYC expression (Figure 6F,G). These results confirmed that QGP-1 and BON-1 cells are dependent on MUC1-C for the regulation of MYC and downstream effectors, which drive proliferation, survival, and self-renewal capacity.

3.7. Association of MUC1-C Expression in pNET Tumors with Adverse Clinical Outcomes

Based on the findings that pNET cell lines are MUC1-C-dependent, we analyzed the expression of MUC1-C by IHC in a cohort of surgically resected primary pNETs from 58 patients (Figure 7A; Supplemental Table S6). Consistent with the analysis of MUC1 mRNA levels in the GSE178398 dataset (Figure 1A), the incidence of MUC1-C positivity was significantly higher in primary tumors that progress with metastases than in those limited to localized disease (63% vs. 10%, p = 0.002) (Figure 7B; Table 1). MUC1-C expression was also significantly associated with the NET grade (G2-3, p = 0.004) and advanced pathological stage (II-IV, p = 0.001) (Table 1). Survival analyses by the Kaplan–Meier method further showed that patients with MUC1-C-positive tumors have a significantly shorter disease-free survival (p = 0.0022) (Figure 7C), indicating that primary pNETs expressing MUC1-C exhibit aggressive characteristics and a poor prognosis.



Figure 5. MUC1-C/MYC signaling integrates the NOTCH2 pathway and self-renewal capacity. (A) GSEA of the QGP-1 and BON-1 RNA-seq datasets using the REACTOME SIGNALING BY NOTCH gene signature. (B) QGP-1/tet-MUC1shRNA and BON-1/tet-MUC1shRNA cells were treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days and analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (C) QGP-1/tet-MYCshRNA and BON-1/tet-MYCshRNA cells were treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days and analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (D) Lysates from QGP-1 cells expressing the indicated vectors treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days were immunoblotted with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (E) Representative images of tumorspheres derived from the indicated QGP-1 cells treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days. The bar represents 100 microns. The results (mean \pm SD of three determinations) are expressed as the relative sphere formation compared to that for vehicle-treated cells (assigned a value of 1). (F) Representative images of tumorspheres derived from the QGP-1/tet-MYCshRNA cells treated with the vehicle or DOX for 7 days. The bar represents 100 microns. The results (mean \pm SD of three determinations) are expressed as the relative sphere formation compared to that for vehicle-treated cells (assigned a value of 1). (G) Representative images of tumorspheres derived from QGP-1/CsgRNA and QGP-1/NOTCH2sgRNA cells. The bar represents 100 microns. The results (mean \pm SD of three determinations) are expressed as the relative sphere formation compared to that for vehicle-treated cells (assigned a value of 1).



Figure 6. Targeting MUC1-C with the GO-203 inhibitor suppresses the MUC1-C/MYC pathway, self-renewal, and tumorigenicity. (A) Lysates from QGP-1 cells and BON-1 treated with 3 μ M GO-203 for 4 days were immunoblotted with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (B,C) QGP-1 (B) and BON-1 (C) cells treated with the vehicle or 5 μ M GO-203 for 7 days were analyzed for colony formation. Photomicrographs of representative stained colonies are shown. The results (mean \pm SD of three determinations) are expressed as colony formation relative to that for vehicle-treated cells (assigned a value of 1). (D,E) Representative images of tumorspheres derived from QGP-1 (D) and BON-1 (E) cells treated with the vehicle or 5 μ M GO-203 for 7 days. The bar represents 100 microns. The number of tumorspheres is expressed as the mean \pm SD of three determinations. (F,G) Six-week-old nude mice were injected subcutaneously in the flank with 5×10^6 QGP-1 cells. Mice were pair-matched into two groups when tumors reached 150–200 mm³ and treated intraperitoneally each day with PBS or GO-203 at a dose of 12 μ g/g body weight. Tumor volumes are expressed as the mean \pm SEM of six mice (F). Lysates from tumors harvested on day 27 were analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins (G).

Factors		Total n = 58	MUC1-C Negative n = 48	MUC1-C Positive n = 10	<i>p-</i> Value
Age, years	<70	38	32	6	0.724
	\geq 70	20	16	4	
Sex	Male	34	28	6	1.000
	Female	24	20	4	
Tumor size (mm)	<20	41	37	4	0.050
	>20	17	11	6	
Grade	G1	31	30	1	0.004
	G2/G3	27	18	9	
Stage (UICC8th)	Ι	44	41	3	0.001
	II, III, IV	14	7	7	
Metastasis		8	3	5	0.002

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients with pNET tumors stained for MUC1-C expression by IHC.



Figure 7. Association of MUC1-C expression in pNET tumors with adverse clinical outcomes. (A) Immunostaining of MUC1-C in patients with pNET. Representative immunostaining for MUC1-C scoring of 0, 1, and 2 in surgical specimens from patients with pNET are shown as defined according to the size of the stained area (0% = 0; 0–<25% = 1; >25% = 2). As an internal positive control, MUC1-C expression is shown in the insert for non-tumoral acinar cells (asterisks). The bar represents 50 microns. (B) Percent of MUC1-C-positive primary pNET tissues from patients with localized and metastatic disease. The asterisk (**) denotes a *p*-value \leq 0.01. (C) Kaplan–Meier curves of disease-free survival in patients with MUC1-C-negative and MUC1-C-positive primary pNET tissues. (D) Proposed pNET model based on the findings that MUC1-C (i) drives MYC expression in an auto-inductive pathway and (ii) forms complexes with MYC that regulate MYC target genes. MUC1-C/MYC signaling regulates E2F target genes, such as HMGA1 and HMGB3, that promote self-renewal and proliferation and effectors of cell cycle progression, including AURKB, BUB3, RAN, and cyclins A2/B1. MUC1-C/MYC signaling integrates the regulation of proliferation with the induction of (i) mTOR and survival and (ii) NOTCH2 and self-renewal capacity, which collectively contribute to the pNET CSC state.

4. Discussion

Rare cancers are defined in the United States and Europe as those with fewer than 6–15 cases per 100,000 people per year [7]. pNETs fall within this definition of a rare cancer, with an incidence of approximately 1 per 100,000 per year [5]. The identification of druggable targets for pNET treatment has been largely limited to mTOR and RTKs [13,14]. Unfortunately, targeting mTOR with everolimus and RTKs with sunitinib has had limited effectiveness in the treatment of metastatic pNETs [13,14], emphasizing a need for identifying other potential targets. Funding for the research and treatment of pNETs and other rare cancers is woefully limited as compared to that for common malignancies [51]. Moreover, clinical trials for patients with these rare cancers are often challenged by slower rates of accrual and a lack of access to targeted agents that might be appropriate for their treatment [51].

In considering these challenges for patients with advanced pNETs, the present work focused on the MUC1-C oncoprotein, which has been uncovered as a pan-cancer druggable target [18]. Research on MUC1-C initially focused on adenocarcinomas, and those findings were extended to cancers with NE dedifferentiation, including neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPC), small cell lung cancer (SCLC), and Merkel Cell Cancer (MCC) [24,28,29,52,53]. We found that MUC1 expression is upregulated in primary pNETs that progress with metastatic disease. Studies of the QGP-1 and BON-1 cell lines further demonstrated that MUC1-C is necessary for survival. Our previous work in NEPC, SCLC, and MCC cell models revealed that their addiction to MUC1-C is associated with the dysregulation of the E2F and MYC pathways [24,28,29,52,53]. By extension to pNET cells, we found that MUC1-C also regulates E2F and MYC signaling pathways (Figure 7D), which contribute to uncontrolled proliferation in cancer cells [33,39]. These findings, like those uncovered in other NE cancers [24,28,29,52,53], indicated that pNET cells are dependent on MUC1-C-driven oncogenic functions.

The dysregulation of MYC and mTORC1 has been widely identified in pNET tumors by mechanisms that have remained unclear [25]. Our studies demonstrate that MUC1-C/MYC signaling is necessary for mTOR expression in pNET cells and that targeting MUC1-C suppresses mTOR activation. The findings that pNET cells are dependent on MUC1-C for the activation of MYC and mTOR thus further supported their addiction to MUC1-C for clonogenic survival. MUC1-C localizes to chromatin [49], where it interacts with MYC and effectors of epigenetic reprogramming [19–23]. MUC1-C thereby regulates epigenetic reprogramming and chromatin accessibility in driving the CSC state [18]. Remarkably little is known about pNET CSCs and the underlying pathways that contribute to their selfrenewal. Along these lines, our results demonstrate that MUC1-C is necessary for pNET cell self-renewal and the CSC state (Figure 7D). Similar findings have been reported in other NE cancers [24,28,29,52,53], whereas the dependence on MUC1-C signaling identified here has uncovered a pathway responsible for the dysregulation of MYC and mTOR in pNET cells.

In further support of MUC1-C as a novel target for pNET treatment, we found that *MUC1* gene expression is upregulated in primary pNET tumors that progress with metastatic disease. We also found that MUC1-C (i) is upregulated in primary pNETs from patients with metastatic disease and (ii) is associated with more aggressive characteristics, including the NET grade and pathological grade, and poor clinical outcomes. Of translational relevance, targeting MUC1-C with the GO-203 inhibitor suppressed pNET cell survival, self-renewal capacity, and tumorigenicity (Figure 7D). Antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) have also been generated to target the MUC1-C cytoplasmic domain [54]. In addition, an antibody generated against the MUC1-C extracellular domain has been advanced for clinical evaluation as CAR-T cells (Poseida Pharmaceuticals) and is being developed as an antibody–drug conjugate by the NCI NExT Program for MUC1-C-expressing cancers [55].

In summary, our results demonstrate that pNETs are addicted to MUC1-C by the activation of pathways that promote the CSC state. These findings are of potential therapeutic importance in having identified MUC1-C as a novel target for pNET treatment with the anti-MUC1-C agents that are under clinical development.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/biomedicines12071509/s1, Figure S1: Dependence of pNET cells on MUC1-C for colony formation; Figure S2: MUC1-C regulates HALLMARK gene signatures in pNET cells; Figure S3: MUC1-C regulates MYC in pNET cells; Figure S4: MUC1-C/MYC signaling regulates the mTOR pathway; Figure S5: MUC1-C/MYC signaling integrates the NOTCH2 pathway and self-renewal capacity; Figure S6: Effects of treating pNET cells with the GO-203 inhibitor; Figure S7: Association of MUC1-C expression in pNET tumors with adverse clinical outcomes; Table S1: Primers used for qRT-PCR analyses; Table S2: Downregulated E2F target genes shared in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing; Table S3: Downregulated cell cycle genes shared in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing; Table S5: Downregulated MYC target genes shared in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing; Table S5: Downregulated MTORC1 signaling genes shared in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing; Table S5: Downregulated MTORC1 signaling genes shared in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing; Table S5: Downregulated MTORC1 signaling genes shared in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing; Table S5: Downregulated MTORC1 signaling genes shared in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing; Table S5: Downregulated MTORC1 signaling sens shared in QGP-1 and BON-1 cells with MUC1-C silencing; Table S6: Clinical characteristics of patients with pNET tumors.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: H.O. and D.K.; Resources: H.O., M.K., H.K. and D.K.; Data Curation: H.O., K.W., A.F., K.F. and R.Y.; Formal Analysis: H.O. and A.F.; Supervision: Y.K. and D.K.; Funding Acquisition: D.K.; Validation: H.O., N.H. and D.K.; Investigation: H.O., N.H., A.N., T.D., A.B., K.S. and Y.M.; Visualization: H.O. and D.K.; Methodology: H.O., N.H. and K.F.; Writing—Original Draft: D.K.; Project Administration: H.O. and D.K.; Writing—Review and Editing: H.O. and D.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The research reported in this publication was supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Grants CA097098, CA262091, and CA233084 awarded to D.K. The authors thank Michiru Sugimoto, a staff member at the Department of Surgery in Keio University School of Medicine, for her work on the immunostaining of patient samples and Chie Kikutake, a member of the Division of Bioinformatics, Medical Institute of Bioregulation, Kyushu University, for her work on analyzing publicly available data.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: This study was approved by the ethics committee of Keio University School of Medicine (Approval No. 20170086).

Data Availability Statement: The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: D.K. has equity interests in Genus Oncology and is a paid consultant to CanBas. The other authors declared no competing interests.

References

- Xu, Z.; Wang, L.; Dai, S.; Chen, M.; Li, F.; Sun, J.; Luo, F. Epidemiologic trends of and factors associated with overall survival for patients with gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors in the United States. *JAMA Netw. Open* 2021, 4, e2124750. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Dasari, A.; Shen, C.; Halperin, D.; Zhao, B.; Zhou, S.; Xu, Y.; Shih, T.; Yao, J.C. Trends in the incidence, prevalence, and survival outcomes in patients with neuroendocrine tumors in the United States. *JAMA Oncol.* 2017, *3*, 1335–1342. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Das, S.; Dasari, A. Epidemiology, incidence, and prevalence of neuroendocrine neoplasms: Are there global differences? *Curr. Oncol. Rep.* **2021**, *23*, 43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Maharjan, C.K.; Ear, P.H.; Tran, C.G.; Howe, J.R.; Chandrasekharan, C.; Quelle, D.E. Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: Molecular mechanisms and therapeutic targets. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 5117. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Hopper, A.D.; Jalal, M.; Munir, A. Recent advances in the diagnosis and management of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. *Frontline Gastroenterol.* **2019**, *10*, 269–274. [CrossRef]
- 6. National Cancer Institute, U.N. Dictionary of Cancer Terms. 2021, Entry: Rare Cancer. Available online: https://www.cancer. gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-terms/def/rare-cancer (accessed on 6 July 2024).
- Sandler, A.; Reilly, K.; Widemann, B. Editorial: Special issue on rare cancers. *Curr. Probl. Cancer* 2021, 45, 100774. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Citterio, D.; Pusceddu, S.; Facciorusso, A.; Coppa, J.; Milione, M.; Buzzoni, R.; Bongini, M.; deBraud, F.; Mazzaferro, V. Primary tumour resection may improve survival in functional well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumours metastatic to the liver. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol.* 2017, 43, 380–387. [CrossRef]
- 9. Ney, A.; Canciani, G.; Hsuan, J.J.; Pereira, S.P. Modelling pancreatic neuroendocrine cancer: From bench side to clinic. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, 3170. [CrossRef]

- 10. Halfdanarson, T.R.; Rabe, K.G.; Rubin, J.; Petersen, G.M. Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs): Incidence, prognosis and recent trend toward improved survival. *Ann. Oncol.* 2008, *19*, 1727–1733. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Mayo, S.C.; de Jong, M.C.; Pawlik, T.M. Surgical management and emerging therapies to prolong survival in metastatic neuroendocrine cancer. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2011, *18* (Suppl. S3), S220–S221, author reply S222–S223. [CrossRef]
- Pavel, M.; Baudin, E.; Couvelard, A.; Krenning, E.; Oberg, K.; Steinmuller, T.; Anlauf, M.; Wiedenmann, B.; Salazar, R.; Barcelona Consensus Conference, p. ENETS Consensus Guidelines for the management of patients with liver and other distant metastases from neuroendocrine neoplasms of foregut, midgut, hindgut, and unknown primary. *Neuroendocrinology* 2012, *95*, 157–176. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Modlin, I.M.; Oberg, K.; Chung, D.C.; Jensen, R.T.; de Herder, W.W.; Thakker, R.V.; Caplin, M.; Delle Fave, G.; Kaltsas, G.A.; Krenning, E.P.; et al. Gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. *Lancet Oncol.* **2008**, *9*, 61–72. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Ishida, H.; Lam, A.K. Pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms: Updates on genomic changes in inherited tumour syndromes and sporadic tumours based on WHO classification. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* **2022**, 172, 103648. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Kufe, D. Mucins in cancer: Function, prognosis and therapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2009, 9, 874–885. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Kufe, D. MUC1-C in chronic inflammation and carcinogenesis; emergence as a target for cancer treatment. *Carcinogenesis* **2020**, *41*, 1173–1183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Kufe, D. Chronic activation of MUC1-C in wound repair promotes progression to cancer stem cells. *J. Cancer Metastasis Treat.* **2022**, *8*, 12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Kufe, D. Emergence of MUC1 in mammals for adaptation of barrier epithelia. Cancers 2022, 14, 4805. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Rajabi, H.; Hiraki, M.; Kufe, D. MUC1-C activates polycomb repressive complexes and downregulates tumor suppressor genes in human cancer cells. *Oncogene* **2018**, *37*, 2079–2088. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Hagiwara, M.; Yasumizu, Y.; Yamashita, N.; Rajabi, H.; Fushimi, A.; Long, M.D.; Li, W.; Bhattacharya, A.; Ahmad, R.; Oya, M.; et al. MUC1-C activates the BAF (mSWI/SNF) complex in prostate cancer stem cells. *Cancer Res.* **2021**, *81*, 1111–1122. [CrossRef]
- 21. Hagiwara, M.; Fushimi, A.; Yamashita, N.; Battacharya, A.; Rajabi, H.; Long, M.; Yasumizu, Y.; Oya, M.; Liu, S.; Kufe, D. MUC1-C activates the PBAF chromatin remodeling complex in integrating redox balance with progression of human prostate cancer stem cells. *Oncogene* **2021**, *40*, 4930–4940. [CrossRef]
- Bhattacharya, A.; Fushimi, A.; Yamashita, N.; Hagiwara, M.; Morimoto, Y.; Rajabi, H.; Long, M.D.; Abdulla, M.; Ahmad, R.; Street, K.; et al. MUC1-C dictates JUN and BAF-mediated chromatin remodeling at enhancer signatures in cancer stem cells. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 2022, 20, 556–567. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bhattacharya, A.; Fushimi, A.; Wang, K.; Yamashita, N.; Morimoto, Y.; Ishikawa, S.; Daimon, T.; Liu, T.; Liu, S.; Long, M.; et al. MUC1-C intersects chronic inflammation with epigenetic reprogramming by regulating the SET1A compass complex in cancer progression. *Commun. Biol.* 2023, *6*, 1030. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Kufe, D. Dependence on MUC1-C in progression of neuroendocrine prostate cancer. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, 3719. [CrossRef]
- Yang, K.C.; Kalloger, S.E.; Aird, J.J.; Lee, M.K.C.; Rushton, C.; Mungall, K.L.; Mungall, A.J.; Gao, D.; Chow, C.; Xu, J.; et al. Proteotranscriptomic classification and characterization of pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms. *Cell Rep.* 2021, 37, 109817. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Greenberg, J.; Limberg, J.; Verma, A.; Kim, D.; Chen, X.; Lee, Y.J.; Moore, M.D.; Ullmann, T.M.; Thiesmeyer, J.W.; Loewenstein, Z.; et al. Metastatic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors feature elevated T cell infiltration. *JCI Insight* **2022**, *7*, e160130. [CrossRef]
- Yamashita, N.; Morimoto, Y.; Fushimi, A.; Ahmad, R.; Bhattacharya, A.; Daimon, T.; Haratake, N.; Inoue, Y.; Ishikawa, S.; Yamamoto, M.; et al. MUC1-C dictates PBRM1-mediated chronic induction of interferon signaling, DNA damage resistance and immunosuppression in triple-negative breast cancer. *Mol. Canc Res.* 2023, 21, 274–289. [CrossRef]
- Fushimi, A.; Morimoto, Y.; Ishikawa, S.; Yamashita, N.; Bhattacharya, A.; Daimon, T.; Rajabi, H.; Jin, C.; Hagiwara, M.; Yasumizu, Y.; et al. Dependence on the MUC1-C oncoprotein in classic, variant and non-neuroendocrine small cell lung cancer. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 2022, 20, 1379–1390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yasumizu, Y.; Rajabi, H.; Jin, C.; Hata, T.; Pitroda, S.; Long, M.D.; Hagiwara, M.; Li, W.; Hu, Q.; Liu, S.; et al. MUC1-C regulates lineage plasticity driving progression to neuroendocrine prostate cancer. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, *11*, 338. [CrossRef]
- Kaku, M.; Nishiyama, T.; Yagawa, K.; Abe, M. Establishment of a carcinoembryonic antigen-producing cell line from human pancreatic carcinoma. *Gan* 1980, 71, 596–601.
- Evers, B.M.; Townsend, C.M., Jr.; Upp, J.R.; Allen, E.; Hurlbut, S.C.; Kim, S.W.; Rajaraman, S.; Singh, P.; Reubi, J.C.; Thompson, J.C. Establishment and characterization of a human carcinoid in nude mice and effect of various agents on tumor growth. *Gastroenterology* 1991, 101, 303–311. [CrossRef]
- 32. Ramasamy, S.; Duraisamy, S.; Barbashov, S.; Kawano, T.; Kharbanda, S.; Kufe, D. The MUC1 and galectin-3 oncoproteins function in a microRNA-dependent regulatory loop. *Mol. Cell* **2007**, *27*, 992–1004. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Kent, L.N.; Leone, G. The broken cycle: E2F dysfunction in cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2019, 19, 326–338. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, Y.; Hu, L.; Zheng, Y.; Guo, L. HMGA1 in cancer: Cancer classification by location. J. Cell Mol. Med. 2019, 23, 2293–2302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Wen, B.; Wei, Y.T.; Zhao, K. The role of high mobility group protein B3 (HMGB3) in tumor proliferation and drug resistance. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* **2021**, 476, 1729–1739. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ahmed, A.; Shamsi, A.; Mohammad, T.; Hasan, G.M.; Islam, A.; Hassan, M.I. Aurora B kinase: A potential drug target for cancer therapy. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 2021, 147, 2187–2198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 37. Silva, P.M.A.; Bousbaa, H. BUB3, beyond the simple role of partner. *Pharmaceutics* 2022, 14, 1084. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. El-Tanani, M.; Nsairat, H.; Mishra, V.; Mishra, Y.; Aljabali, A.A.A.; Serrano-Aroca, A.; Tambuwala, M.M. Ran GTPase and its importance in cellular signaling and malignant phenotype. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 3065. [CrossRef]
- 39. Leung, J.Y.; Ehmann, G.L.; Giangrande, P.H.; Nevins, J.R. A role for Myc in facilitating transcription activation by E2F1. *Oncogene* 2008, 27, 4172–4179. [CrossRef]
- 40. Hata, T.; Rajabi, H.; Takahashi, H.; Yasumizu, Y.; Li, W.; Jin, C.; Long, M.; Hu, Q.; Liu, S.; Fushimi, A.; et al. MUC1-C activates the NuRD complex to drive dedifferentiation of triple-negative breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* **2019**, *79*, 5711–5722. [CrossRef]
- 41. Zou, Z.; Tao, T.; Li, H.; Zhu, X. mTOR signaling pathway and mTOR inhibitors in cancer: Progress and challenges. *Cell Biosci.* **2020**, *10*, 31. [CrossRef]
- 42. Vitali, E.; Valente, G.; Panzardi, A.; Laffi, A.; Zerbi, A.; Uccella, S.; Mazziotti, G.; Lania, A. Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor progression and resistance to everolimus: The crucial role of NF-kB and STAT3 interplay. *J. Endocrinol. Investig.* **2023**, 47, 1101–1117. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, P.; Ge, M.; Hu, J.; Li, X.; Che, L.; Sun, K.; Cheng, L.; Huang, Y.; Pilo, M.G.; Cigliano, A.; et al. A functional mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 signaling is indispensable for c-Myc-driven hepatocarcinogenesis. *Hepatology* 2017, 66, 167–181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pourdehnad, M.; Truitt, M.L.; Siddiqi, I.N.; Ducker, G.S.; Shokat, K.M.; Ruggero, D. Myc and mTOR converge on a common node in protein synthesis control that confers synthetic lethality in Myc-driven cancers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, 11988–11993. [CrossRef]
- Csibi, A.; Lee, G.; Yoon, S.O.; Tong, H.; Ilter, D.; Elia, I.; Fendt, S.M.; Roberts, T.M.; Blenis, J. The mTORC1/S6K1 pathway regulates glutamine metabolism through the eIF4B-dependent control of c-Myc translation. *Curr. Biol.* 2014, 24, 2274–2280. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhao, L.; Su, H.; Liu, X.; Wang, H.; Feng, Y.; Wang, Y.; Chen, H.; Dai, L.; Lai, S.; Xu, S.; et al. mTORC1-c-Myc pathway rewires methionine metabolism for HCC progression through suppressing SIRT4 mediated ADP ribosylation of MAT2A. *Cell Biosci.* 2022, 12, 183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Patterson, D.G.; Kania, A.K.; Price, M.J.; Rose, J.R.; Scharer, C.D.; Boss, J.M. An IRF4-MYC-mTORC1 integrated pathway controls cell growth and the proliferative capacity of activated B cells during B cell differentiation In Vivo. *J. Immunol.* 2021, 207, 1798–1811. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Anusewicz, D.; Orzechowska, M.; Bednarek, A.K. Notch signaling pathway in cancer-review with bioinformatic analysis. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 768. [CrossRef]
- Haratake, N.; Ozawa, H.; Morimoto, Y.; Yamashita, N.; Daimon, T.; Bhattacharya, A.; Wang, K.; Nakashoji, A.; Isozaki, H.; Shimokawa, M.; et al. MUC1-C is a common driver of acquired Osimertinib resistance in non-small cell lung cancer. *J. Thorac.* Oncol. 2023, 19, 434–450. [CrossRef]
- 50. Nakashoji, A.; Haratake, N.; Bhattacharya, A.; Mao, W.; Xu, K.; Wang, K.; Daimon, T.; Ozawa, H.; Shigeta, K.; Fushimi, A.; et al. Identification of MUC1-C as a target for suppressing progression of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Cancer Res. Commun.* **2024**, *4*, 1268–1281. [CrossRef]
- 51. Adashek, J.J.; Kurzrock, R. Home-run trials for rare cancers: Giving the right drug(s) to the right patients at the right time and in the right place. *NPJ Precis. Oncol.* 2023, *7*, 129. [CrossRef]
- 52. Luan, Z.; Morimoto, Y.; Fushimi, A.; Yamashita, N.; Suo, W.; Bhattacharya, A.; Hagiwara, M.; Jin, C.; Kufe, D. MUC1-C dictates neuroendocrine lineage specification in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas. *Carcinogenesis* **2021**, *43*, 67–76. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Morimoto, Y.; Yamashita, N.; Daimon, T.; Hirose, H.; Yamano, S.; Haratake, N.; Ishikawa, S.; Bhattacharya, A.; Fushimi, A.; Ahmad, R.; et al. MUC1-C is a master regulator of MICA/B NKG2D ligand and exosome secretion in human cancer cells. J. Immunother. Cancer 2023, 11, e006238. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Morimoto, Y.; Fushimi, A.; Yamashita, N.; Hagiwara, M.; Bhattacharya, A.; Cheng, J.; Frost, T.; Ahmad, R.; Daimon, T.; Huang, L.; et al. Addiction of Merkel cell carcinoma to MUC1-C identifies a potential new target for treatment. *Oncogene* 2022, 41, 3511–3523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Panchamoorthy, G.; Jin, C.; Raina, D.; Bharti, A.; Yamamoto, M.; Adeebge, D.; Zhao, Q.; Bronson, R.; Jiang, S.; Li, L.; et al. Targeting the human MUC1-C oncoprotein with an antibody-drug conjugate. *JCI Insight* **2018**, *3*, e99880. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.