

Review **Unlocking the Potential of Teff for Sustainable, Gluten-Free Diets and Unravelling Its Production Challenges to Address Global Food and Nutrition Security: A Review**

Mary Adepoju [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4877-3857) Carol Verheecke-Vaessen [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3470-9803) Laxmi Ravikumar Pillai, Heidi Phillips and Carla Cervini [*](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9420-2727)

Magan Centre of Applied Mycology, Cranfield University, Cranfield MK43 0AL, UK ***** Correspondence: carla.cervini@cranfield.ac.uk

Abstract: Sustainable diets, as defined by the Food and Agriculture Organisation, aim to be nutritionally adequate, safe, and healthy, while optimising natural and human resources. Teff *(Eragrostis tef)*, a gluten-free grain primarily grown in Ethiopia, has emerged as a key contender in this context. Widely regarded as a "supergrain", teff offers an outstanding nutrition profile, making it an excellent choice for people with gluten-related disorders. Rich with protein, essential amino acids, polyunsaturated fats, and fibre, and abundant in minerals like calcium and iron, teff rivals other popular grains like quinoa and durum wheat in promoting human health. Beyond its nutritional benefits, teff is a hardy crop that thrives in diverse climates, tolerating both drought and waterlogged conditions. Due to its resilience and rich nutrient content, teff holds the potential to address nine of the 17 United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), including SDG 1 (no poverty), SDG 2 (zero hunger), and SDG 3 (good health and wellbeing), which are tied to improving food and nutrition security. However, teff production in Ethiopia faces significant issues. Traditional farming practices, insufficient storage infrastructure, and food safety challenges, including adulteration, hinder teff's full potential. This review explores teff's dual role as a nutritious, sustainable food source and outlines the key challenges in its production to conclude on what needs to be done for its adoption as a golden crop to address global food and nutrition security.

Keywords: teff; gluten free; sustainable diet

1. Introduction

The need for alternative food options is increasing with the rise of gluten-related disorders (1.4%) and health-conscious consumers [\[1\]](#page-12-0). Such alternative solutions should be sustainable, be resilient to climate change, and promote biodiversity while being compatible with the gluten-free (GF) market's needs.

The GF market represented an economic value of USD 5.9 billion in 2021 with a predicted annual growth rate of 9.8% [\[2\]](#page-12-1). Despite the growth of the GF market, living with gluten allergy remains expensive [\[3\]](#page-12-2). Many convenience foods, breakfast cereals, and beverages are made primarily from wheat and barley, rye, and oats [\[4\]](#page-12-3). This challenge reduces the dietary options of patients, and threatens food security, especially in developing countries.

Several studies have been conducted to investigate the economic impact of living with a gluten-related disorder. For example, a study carried out in the USA [\[5\]](#page-12-4) reported an inconsistent availability of GF products across premium and low-cost stores, with the overall cost of these products being 183% higher than that of their gluten-containing counterparts. In a survey carried out in Poland, [\[6\]](#page-12-5) reported that some adult subjects could not observe strict adherence to gluten-free diets due to the higher cost of GF foods, limited availability, and a smaller selection of GF products when compared to conventional food products. A study carried out in Mexico by [\[7\]](#page-12-6) reported a less than 10% availability rate of gluten-free products out of the 16 supermarkets and 10 health food stores investigated.

Citation: Adepoju, M.; Verheecke-Vaessen, C.; Pillai, L.R.; Phillips, H.; Cervini, C. Unlocking the Potential of Teff for Sustainable, Gluten-Free Diets and Unravelling Its Production Challenges to Address Global Food and Nutrition Security: A Review. *Foods* **2024**, *13*, 3394. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13213394) doi.org/10.3390/foods13213394

Academic Editors: Rafael Guillén Bejarano, Jinshui Wang and Ying Liang

Received: 9 August 2024 Revised: 27 September 2024 Accepted: 23 October 2024 Published: 25 October 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

The cost ratio for these products was reported to be seven to ten times higher than that of their gluten-containing counterparts. Studies reported by [\[8\]](#page-13-0) for Moldova indicate that adherence to a GF diet among patients with gluten-related disorders varies from 44 to 90%. Several studies across the UK $[9-12]$ $[9-12]$ report the availability of convenient GF foods, such as breads, pasta, breakfast cereals, biscuits, cakes, etc., and their cost. These reports show the availability of GF foods but mostly in premium markets and that they are significantly 400% more expensive than their gluten-containing counterparts. The quality of GF products and their price are conclusively described as major issues globally. Most of these reports conclude by describing this overall situation as one which will continue to negatively impact poor socioeconomic groups' ability to afford as well as adherence to GF diets. The inability to strictly adhere to GF diets will consequently increase morbidity and healthcare costs.

Moreover, rapid climate change coupled with loss of biodiversity and reduced water availability are key drivers towards the adoption of new climate-resilient crops (CRCs) capable of withstanding environmental stress [\[13,](#page-13-3)[14\]](#page-13-4). CRCs are intended to maintain or enhance crop yields in challenging climate-related conditions (e.g., drought stress, higher average temperature) [\[15\]](#page-13-5). Despite such clear advantages, the adoption of CRCs among smallholder farmers has been slower than expected in certain cropping systems.

Teff (*Eragrostis tef)* is a nutrient-dense GF cereal grass that is grown and consumed in Ethiopia. The country produced 4.4 million tons of teff in 2020, on approximately 2.7 million hectares with an average yield of 1.6 metric tons per hectare [\[16\]](#page-13-6), being the world's largest producer of teff, contributing to approximately 85% of the global production [\[16\]](#page-13-6). Teff production in Ethiopia has gone up by 7.4% each year since 2010, mainly due to an expansion of cultivated farmland rather than improved technologies. The Oromia and Amhara regions are the largest teff-producing areas, accounting for about 87.8% of the national teff production volume [\[17\]](#page-13-7). Teff grows well in a wide range of agroclimatic conditions, being cultivated in mid-altitude and highlands regions (1500–3000 m), where annual rainfall is on average between 500 and 1200 mm, with temperatures ranging from 15 to 25 ◦C, and in vertisols and heavy clay soils with pH values from 6.2 to 8.5 [\[18](#page-13-8)[–23\]](#page-13-9). In Ethiopia, teff is produced by small-scale farmers, who grow one hectare per household and account for more than 90% of the overall average farm holding [\[24\]](#page-13-10). It is a major staple crop indispensable to the livelihoods of many Ethiopians. It is used in the making of the Ethiopian traditional sourdough flat bread [\[25\]](#page-13-11), called *injera,* and it has been used in the production of GF alternatives, such as pasta, composite bread, beverages [\[26\]](#page-13-12), infant formula [\[27\]](#page-13-13), composite complementary infant foods [\[28\]](#page-13-14), and several other value-added products either as composites or pure teff products [\[29\]](#page-13-15). Moreover, teff's by-products have useful applications in animal feed and construction works, confirming its sustainability and adaptability to the circular economy [\[30\]](#page-13-16). In recent years, its cultivation has spread to other parts of the world such as Australia, Cameroon, Canada, China, India, Kenya, the Netherlands, North America, South Africa, Sudan, the UK, and Uganda [\[28\]](#page-13-14). A recent study by [\[31\]](#page-13-17) has shown the potential of teff to be cultivated under Mediterranean climatic conditions.

Teff can be considered a CRC due to its ability to adapt to a wide range of environments, and its natural resistance to insect pests [\[32\]](#page-14-0). Teff seeds remain viable for years in the absence of moisture, and it is resistant to attacks by weevils and other storage pests, making it capable of being safely stored under traditional storage conditions with no chemical protection [\[33](#page-14-1)[,34\]](#page-14-2).

Though teff is still very much underutilised outside its country of origin, it is gradually gaining global prominence [\[35](#page-14-3)[,36\]](#page-14-4). It was predicted in 2015 that teff will emerge as a new super-crop with growing demand in the global market [\[37\]](#page-14-5), and *injera* was predicted to be the next super-food worldwide [\[17\]](#page-13-7). The commercial market demand for teff is predicted to increase by 304% by 2030 [\[38\]](#page-14-6). The prediction is swiftly becoming a reality because of teff's unique nutritional and agroclimatic attributes. With the call for a second Green Revolution, teff should be considered as a global staple crop along with the first Green Revolution's major staple crops we know today [\[39\]](#page-14-7). In response to the rising demand for alternative food options and the escalating global climate crisis, this review evaluates teff's potential as a sustainable crop to ensure food and nutrition security worldwide. The specific objectives included (i) analysing teff's nutritional properties and health benefits in comparison to more popular cereals, (ii) examining its sustainability in relation to the Sustainable Development Goals, (iii) assessing the current production chain, (iv) identifying food safety challenges with a focus on mycotoxins, and (v) evaluating opportunities in the international market.

2. Methodology

A systematic review was carried out using the Google Scholar and Science Direct searching engines. A total of 2243 papers, of which 875 were retrieved from Science Direct and 1368 from Google Scholar, were pooled on 6 September 2024. Sixteen search strings applicable to each of the objectives were used for the search: "teff", "teff gluten free", "teff quinoa", "teff durum wheat", teff nutrients", "teff nutrient", "teff sustainable", "teff sustainable development goals", "teff production", "teff production Ethiopia", "teff challenges", "teff food safety", and "teff mycotoxins". These were searched within the title, abstract, and keywords of the papers, from 2000 to 2024. After applying different criteria of inclusion (e.g., papers published in a scientific peer-reviewed journal, papers written in English) or exclusion (e.g., papers that are not accessible, papers duplicated within the search), a total of 116 papers fulfilling the inclusion criteria were considered for this study.

3. Teff Is a Supergrain

In recent years, teff has received increased interest due to its valuable nutritional properties and its use in GF food products. However, the nutritional qualities of teff and its benefits are still widely unknown. In this section, the macronutrient and micronutrient composition of teff is presented and discussed in relation to durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum),* its closest processed cereal grain with gluten, and quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*), a GF seed commonly referred to as a pseudo-grain owing to its shared similarities to common cereal grains.

3.1. Macronutrients

Carbohydrates support metabolic activities within the human body and are a major energy source [\[30\]](#page-13-16). Based on the degree of polymerisation, carbohydrates can be classified as sugars and complex carbohydrates (starches and non-starch polysaccharides). Starch is the main carbohydrate in cereal grains, and it is constituted of two glucose homopolymers (amylose and amylopectin) that differ in their chemical structure [\[30\]](#page-13-16). Amylose is the linear fraction, with a low polymerisation degree, whereas amylopectin is a highly branched fraction. Variation in the amylose/amylopectin ratio, usually 1:3, has a profound effect on the starch properties, which impacts the technological and nutritional properties [\[40\]](#page-14-8). In teff, starch makes up 73% of the grain (Table [1\)](#page-4-0), of which 83% is amylopectin and 25–30% is amylose [\[41,](#page-14-9)[42\]](#page-14-10). Durum wheat and teff share very similar starch compositions of about 71 g and similar ratios of amylose/amylopectin. Quinoa, on the other hand, has a lower starch content (58.1–64.2 g), of which 77.5% is made up of amylopectin [\[43\]](#page-14-11) The carbohydrate composition also determines the glycaemic index (GI), which represents the rate of carbohydrate digestion. The GI of a food depends on endogenous factors in the food matrix, such as susceptibility to α -amylase, protein, and lipid content [\[30\]](#page-13-16). Based on the GI, foods can be distinguished into having low (<55), intermediate (>55–70), and high (>70) GI content [\[44\]](#page-14-12). Consumption of low-GI foods is recommended, as it has been shown that they may help with weight loss and regulation of blood sugar levels, contributing towards reductions in conditions like type 2 diabetes and heart diseases [\[44\]](#page-14-12). Teff is reported to have a GI of 74, which is lower than that of wheat (100) but higher than quinoa (53). Such differences in the GI reflect on the different sizes of the starch granules of these crops. Indeed, teff starch granules are very small $(2-6 \mu m)$, smaller than those of wheat $(20-35 \mu m)$

but larger than quinoa's (0.5–3 μ m), suggesting that such granules could be hindered by the enzymatic attack of α -amylase, resulting in a lower GI [30.[43\]](#page-14-11).

Dietary fibre (DF) represents the non-starch polysaccharide fraction of complex carbohydrates. It comprises a group of different substances in plant foods which cannot be completely digested [\[45\]](#page-14-13). DF includes insoluble dietary fibre (IDF), represented by cellulose, water-insoluble hemicellulose, and lignin, and soluble dietary fibre (SDF), including oligosaccharides and non-cellulosic polysaccharides [\[46\]](#page-14-14). A high fibre intake is associated with reduced risk of different conditions/diseases such as constipation, type 2 diabetes, and colorectal cancer [\[35\]](#page-14-3). The percentage of IDF in teff is 3% (dry base), which is higher compared to those of quinoa $(2-2.2\%)$ and wheat (2%) [\[42](#page-14-10)[,43](#page-14-11)[,47\]](#page-14-15). Teff is consumed in the whole-grain form or as flour (bran and germ included), since it is impossible to perform any fractionation during the milling process. This greatly contributes to a higher intake of fibre compared to other GF foods made from refined flour where the outer layer of grain containing most of the fibre is removed. The total DF content of teff $(8 g/100 g)$ is slightly lower than that of other cereals, such as wheat $(9.5 g)$, but it is higher than that of pseudocereals like quinoa (7 g) [\[48\]](#page-14-16).

Proteins are vital for energy, growth, repair, and maintenance of our bodies, serving as structural units, biochemical catalysts, hormones, enzymes, and initiators of cellular death [\[49\]](#page-14-17). The protein content of teff is between 8.7 and 11%, slightly lower than that of wheat (15.47%) and quinoa (16.3%) [\[42,](#page-14-10)[43\]](#page-14-11). Teff seed storage protein is composed of glutelins (46.6%) and albumins (39.1%), followed by prolamins (12%) [\[30\]](#page-13-16). On the contrary, [\[50\]](#page-14-18) reported that prolamins are the major storage proteins in teff. Such different findings may be attributed to the different methods of protein extraction between these studies or the varieties of teff studied. Teff has an excellent balance of essential amino acids (EAAs). EAAs are those that cannot be synthesised and must therefore come from the diet. In general, teff surpasses durum wheat for all EAAs while having lower amounts of lysine (1.62-fold reduction), isoleucine (1.19-fold reduction), phenylalanine (1.21-fold reduction), and methionine (1.29-fold reduction) than quinoa (Table [1\)](#page-4-0) [\[30,](#page-13-16)[32,](#page-14-0)[42\]](#page-14-10). The overall EAA profile of teff makes it a very well-balanced food. Moreover, teff, like quinoa, has no gluten; therefore, it is a perfect grain to be consumed by people with gluten-related disorders.

Cereals can provide a significant quantity of fatty acids in our diet. Fatty acids, especially polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), are beneficial to growth, development, and long-term health [\[30\]](#page-13-16). PUFAs can be distinguished into omega-3 (ω -3) and omega-6 (ω -6). The main ω -3 is α -linolenic acid (ALA) and the main ω -6 is linoleic acid (LA). Although the human body cannot synthesise either of these, they can be used to synthesise other essential fatty acids [\[51\]](#page-14-19). Therefore, there has been increasing interest in making sure they are adequately represented within human diet. A healthy diet contains a balance of ω -3 and ω -6 fatty acids. For instance, ω -3 contribute to reducing the risk of cardiovascular disease, cancer, and inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, and some ω -6 fatty acids tend to promote inflammation [\[40\]](#page-14-8). Teff has a fat content of 2–2.38%, similar to durum wheat (2–2.5%) but lower than quinoa (4–7.6%) [\[32,](#page-14-0)[52\]](#page-14-20). Although a clear consensus has not been reached on the optimum ratio between LA and ALA fatty acids, there are nutritional recommendations that the ratio of LA:ALA in formula for infants needs to be between 5 and 15 [\[30](#page-13-16)[,53\]](#page-14-21). In this regard, despite this ratio being lower in teff (5.3) compared to durum wheat (6.9) and quinoa (10.9), it is still considered very valuable and comparable to those of legumes, such as soybean, which are good sources of fatty acids [\[30\]](#page-13-16).

3.2. Micronutrients

Teff is also a valuable crop for its mineral content, which may vary depending on different factors such as climatic conditions and variety [\[54\]](#page-14-22). Iron, calcium, and magnesium are the main mineral deficiencies occurring in GF products [\[55\]](#page-14-23). Therefore, in this section particular attention will be given to these minerals. Teff is considered a good source of iron, especially the red variety $(15.7 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g})$, resulting in mineral content approximately two to three times that of wheat $(3.7 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g})$ and higher than that of quinoa

 $(13.2 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g})$ (Table [2\)](#page-5-0) [\[32,](#page-14-0)[43](#page-14-11)[,56\]](#page-14-24). However, iron's availability may be affected by saponins and phytic acid present in the seeds, which can act as anti-nutrient compounds capable of chelating bivalent minerals. The fermentation procedure used to prepare *injera* from teff seeds is reported to destroy phytic acid, contributing to the high iron availability in diets in Ethiopia [\[32,](#page-14-0)[43](#page-14-11)[,56\]](#page-14-24). This explains the low frequency of anaemia in the Ethiopian highlands, where teff is a staple crop. With respect to calcium, teff contains an excellent concentration (147 mg/100 g) of this mineral which is higher than that of wheat (39.5 mg/100 g) but similar to quinoa $(148 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g})$. This is significant for people with coeliac disease due to the well-known prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis among patients diagnosed with this disease. Consumption of teff can thus contribute to overcoming such secondary conditions related to gluten-associated disorders. The concentration of magnesium in teff (184 mg/100 g) is higher than that of wheat (103 mg/100 g) but half of that found in quinoa (362 mg/100 g) [\[32](#page-14-0)[,43](#page-14-11)[,56\]](#page-14-24). Magnesium is a co-factor in many enzymes regulating diverse biochemical reactions in the body, including protein synthesis and muscle and nerve function. Iron, calcium, and magnesium are found in good quantities in teff for a balanced human diet.

Teff is also a good source of B vitamins, which are important micronutrients that keep the nervous system healthy and help the body to release energy from food. Vitamin B1 (thiamine) content is very similar between teff $(0.39 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g})$, durum wheat $(0.35 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g})$, and quinoa (0.38 mg/g) . Vitamin B2 (riboflavin) content is reported to be about 0.27 mg/100 g, which is higher than that of durum wheat $(0.17 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g})$ but lower than quinoa's content (0.39 mg/100 g). With respect to vitamin B3 (niacin), teff $(3.36 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g})$ surpasses by 4.8-fold quinoa's content $(0.70 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g})$, but it is lower than that of durum wheat $(5.5 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g})$. Vitamin B6 (pyroxidine) content was found to be very similar between teff (0.48 mg/100 g) and quinoa (0.49 mg/100 g), and higher than that of durum wheat (0.41 mg/100 g), [\[32](#page-14-0)[,43](#page-14-11)[,56\]](#page-14-24).

Table 1. Nutritional composition of teff in comparison with durum wheat and quinoa. Values refer to 100 g of uncooked product.

Table 2. Micronutrient composition of teff in comparison with durum wheat and quinoa. Values refer to 100 g of uncooked product.

4. Teff's Nexus with the Sustainable Development Goals

In 2015, the United Nations released its Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) for 2030 [\[57\]](#page-14-25). $SDGs$ 1, 2, and 3 are the three $SDGs$ that are directly linked to food and nutrition security, with the three goals targeted at ending poverty, eliminating hunger, and improving health and wellbeing, respectively. Because sustainability is the nexus of the SDGs, and sustainability is hinged on three pillars, which are environmental viability, economic stability, and social equity, the SDGs in their entirety become a nexus. Teff can contribute directly to the achievement of five SDGs (SDGs 1, 2, 3, 12, and 13) and indirectly to four other (SDGs 5, 9, [1](#page-5-1)0, and 17) of the 17 SDGs defined by the United Nations. Figure 1 shows the nexus of teff with the SDGs. the nexus of teff with the SDGs. Γ (SDGs 5, 9, 10, and 17) of the 17 SDGs defined by the United Nations. Figure

Figure 1. The direct and indirect nexus of teff with nine Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), **Figure 1.** The direct and indirect nexus of teff with nine Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), emphasising the broader impact of teff on global sustainability. The direct links (blue arrows) include SDG 1 (no poverty), SDG 2 (zero hunger), SDG 3 (good health and wellbeing), SDG 12 rows) include $\frac{1}{2}$ (generation, $\frac{1}{2}$ generation), $\frac{1}{2}$ ($\frac{1}{2}$ GDG 10 (dinnets with $\frac{1}{2}$), the indirection (responsible consumption and production), and SDG 13 (climate action); the indirect links (green (reduced inequalities), and SDG 17 (partnerships for the goals). arrows) include SDG 5 (gender equality), SDG 9 (industry, innovation, and infrastructure), SDG 10

4.1. Direct Links with SDG 1, SDG 2, SDG 3, SDG 12, and SDG 13

In 2021, Ethiopia scored 47.5 out of 100 regarding its food availability within the Global Food Security Index [\[58\]](#page-14-26). Further investigation indicated that the prevalence of undernourished Ethiopians decreased by only 0.40% between 2020 and 2021, leading to 21.9% of the country population being undernourished [\[59,](#page-14-27)[60\]](#page-15-0). Food and nutrition insecurities are a critical concern throughout Ethiopia, with government officials aiming to address malnutrition via policies, programmes, and large-scale interventions [\[61\]](#page-15-1). In Ethiopia, teff is a major staple crop grown by 6.5 million smallholder farmers and it is also an important cash-crop, second to coffee, generating an income of USD 500 million per year for local farmers [\[36\]](#page-14-4). In 2015, its most consumed by-product *injera* was estimated to have an export value of approximately USD 10 million [\[36\]](#page-14-4). In 2013/2014, the commercial surplus of teff, representing the portion of production sold, was valued at USD 750 million, matching the combined value of all other cereals in the country [\[16\]](#page-13-6). Supporting Ethiopian producers and expanding the cultivation of teff out of its places of origin will make it a suitable grain for achieving SDGs 1 and 2, aiming at eradicating extreme poverty and combating hunger. Compared with other cereals, teff is highly tolerable and resilient to extreme conditions, making it a suitable crop for climate action (SDG 13). Teff has shown great ability to grow in a range of agroclimatic conditions. Indeed, it can grow from salty and drought-stressed to waterlogged soils, but it performs better in vertisoils than andosoils with relatively low nitrogen [\[31\]](#page-13-17). Moreover, teff requires relatively less water (260–317 mm in a semi-arid environment) than wheat and barley (375 mm) [\[62](#page-15-2)[,63\]](#page-15-3) and has a short growing season of around 12 weeks [\[64\]](#page-15-4). Its phenolic content, which forms a cross-linked cell wall, creates a barrier that makes it resistant to pests or insects, resulting in savings on fertilisers [\[65](#page-15-5)[,66\]](#page-15-6). Additionally, just one pound of teff can cover an entire acre in as little as 45 days, whether fertilised or not [\[67\]](#page-15-7). These features make it a valuable crop candidate to counteract climate change. Given that *injera* accounts for up to two-thirds of the food consumed in Ethiopia, any effects of global climate change on teff productivity pose significant risks to food security [\[68\]](#page-15-8). Recent fluctuations in climatic parameters have become major concerns, negatively impacting teff production and productivity [\[69](#page-15-9)[,70\]](#page-15-10), which suggests that adaptation strategies will be essential for coping with climate change, and that these may vary based on social and economic factors. Future development initiatives must focus on enhancing perceptions of and scaling up climate adaptation technologies, necessitating collaboration between public and private sectors. Improved policies and investments in extension services should encourage farmer participation in training for effective climate adaptation strategies; ref. [\[71\]](#page-15-11) found that climate factors like temperature and rainfall may significantly influence net revenue, while [\[72\]](#page-15-12) predicted an 8.3% increase in teff yields at high altitudes due to climate change, suggesting that higher temperatures may make these areas more suitable for teff cultivation in the short term. Thus, adapting to climate change is critical for Ethiopia's agricultural strategy and food security and it will require the development of predictive climate models to formulate appropriate adaptive strategies and policies to mitigate the adverse effects of climate change on teff production.

Such valuable agronomic attributes of teff qualify it for adoption as a sustainable crop to address global food and nutrition security while also ensuring sustainable consumption and food production (SDG 12).

Moreover, teff's outstanding nutritive attributes, discussed in Section [2,](#page-2-0) qualify it as a valuable crop for ensuring good health and wellbeing (SDG 3). The intake of teff has been shown to improve cardiovascular health, gastrointestinal function, premenstrual symptoms, and immunity due to its abundance of micronutrients and macronutrients [\[73\]](#page-15-13). Furthermore, teff is known for its anti-nutrient properties, including phenolic compounds and tannins, which can reduce glucose in the blood, lower the risk of cancer, and help to prevent kidney stones [\[74\]](#page-15-14). Nonetheless, these anti-nutrients can inhibit mineral absorption [\[75\]](#page-15-15). The processing of teff flour or *injera* can help reduce these anti-nutrient properties, which will increase absorption of nutrients in the body. However, it has been found that during the manufacturing of teff flour, lots of vitamins and minerals are lost [\[76\]](#page-15-16); however, for pregnant women, teff reduces the anaemia risk and improves lactation. It also contains vitamins that help in the development of foetuses and infants [\[77\]](#page-15-17). Teff grains possess

chemo-preventive properties that could repair gene mutations [\[78\]](#page-15-18). Despite some limitaencino preventive properties that collid repair gene inditations profit Bospite some limitations, such as bloating, gas, and nutrient absorption inhibition, teff remains a promising grain that can offer a plethora of health benefits to those who consume it [\[30\]](#page-13-16). promising grain that can offer a plethora of health benefits to those who consume it [30].

4.2. Indirect Links with SDG 5 and Beyond 4.2. Indirect Links with SDG 5 and Beyond

Teff has also the potential to meet SDG 5's objective of empowering women to achieve Teff has also the potential to meet SDG 5's objective of empowering women to achieve gender equality in a country where rural women represent approximately 70% of the gender equality in a country where rural women represent approximately 70% of the lalabour force. The authors of $[79,80]$ $[79,80]$ reported that Ethiopian women play a key role in teff farming activities, from field preparation to harvesting and selling, but they tend to lack education, training, and access to productive agricultural resources. Therefore, gender empowerment and equality should be positioned at the centre of policy makers' and institutional frameworks to ensure women's recognition in farming activities. The role of teff in meeting SDGs 1, 2, 3, and 5 would indirectly contribute to the achievement of SDG 10, aimed at reducing inequalities. Improving teff's value chain through production of food products such as pasta, breakfast cereals, and beverages can contribute to fostering food products such as pasta, breakfast cereals, and beverages can contribute to fostering innovation and promoting sustainable industrialisation (SDG 9). The achievement of these innovation and promoting sustainable industrialisation (SDG 9). The achievement of these SDGs requires concerted efforts by different parties including governments, private sectors, and policy makers to ensure no one is left behind, as stated in SDG 17 (partnership for the goals).

5. Current Teff Production in Ethiopia 5. Current Teff Production in Ethiopia

Teff's production in Ethiopia is constrained by its labour-intensive nature, the lack of Teff's production in Ethiopia is constrained by its labour-intensive nature, the lack of mechanisation, and the use of primitive agricultural techniques. The main stages of the teff mechanisation, and the use of primitive agricultural techniques. The main stages of the supply chain and the associated main agricultural constraints currently faced by Ethiopian farmers are highlighted in Figure [2.](#page-7-0) opian farmers are highlighted in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Current stages of teff's production chain, from pre-harvest to marketing and processing, highlighting key agricultural constraints.

5.1. Pre-Harvest

Teff seed planting starts once the temperature of the soil reaches 18 ◦C. Early planting might hinder the seed germination, allowing weeds to develop and scavenge the nutrients embedded in the soil [\[81\]](#page-15-21). Cultivation of teff is performed by traditional methods (broadcasting and row planting) which have several drawbacks, including high seed requirement and low productivity [\[17](#page-13-7)[,82\]](#page-15-22). Teff yields are significantly lower than those of the other most-produced grains in Ethiopia. The total national production of teff in 2022/2023 was 4.4 million metric tons, which was lower than maize (10.2 million metric tons) and wheat (5.7 million metric tons) but similar to sorghum (4.5 million metric tons). The average yield of teff in the same year was 1.6 metric tons per hectare, less than half the yield of maize (4.2 metric tons per hectare) [\[16](#page-13-6)[,83\]](#page-15-23). The low productivity is primarily attributed to inadequate crop management practices, poor soil fertility, and inefficient production systems characterised by traditional agricultural practices [\[84,](#page-15-24)[85\]](#page-15-25).

Many research programs have been initiated for breeding different varieties of teff to increase production and yield. As a result of such efforts, in 2012, 35% of teff farmers used enhanced teff seeds compared to 7% ten years before [\[17\]](#page-13-7). Even though the availability and affordability of the modified seeds have improved over the past decade, smallholder farmers still find it difficult to use the seeds due to limited access to them and unaffordable prices. White-coloured teff is the most cultivated type as a result of the introduction of the improved variety *Quncho* at a higher premium price [\[86\]](#page-16-0). However, white teff only grows in the Ethiopian highlands, it requires the most rigorous growing conditions, and it is the most expensive, representing a status symbol for the wealthiest families in Ethiopia.

5.2. Harvest

When the teff's vegetative portions change their colour from green to yellow to indicate maturity, it is harvested. Subject to environmental conditions, this may happen as soon as 45 days following the planting. The period of harvest is essential for quality control because a late harvest might result in grain breaking and colour fading [\[41\]](#page-14-9).

During harvesting, it is important to take precautions to prevent soil being mixed with the grain. Among small-scale farmers, harvesting is typically performed by hand with sickles, although some larger-scale growers employ harvesting machinery. Due to the weightlessness of individual grain kernels (an average thousand weighs 0.264 g), which makes them easily carried away by the wind, grain loss is typically considerable (25–30%). Ineffective tools and little mechanisation appear to be the main contributing factors to the great loss [\[5\]](#page-12-4).

5.3. Post-Harvest

The harvested teff stalks are stacked upon the ground or sheets of sack for a short time before being subjected to either pounding with sticks by hand or ox hoof crushing during the threshing process [\[54\]](#page-14-22). Threshing, which entails animal trampling, battering, and hammering, is time-consuming and labour-intensive, and significantly results in seed quantity and quality losses. Then, teff grains are separated from the dirt and chaff during the cleaning/winnowing stage by being thrown in the air with a wooden fork [\[87\]](#page-16-1).

Harvested grains are stored in "Gotera" or "Gota" and "Gumbi", sometimes referred to as "Togogo". When stored in "Gotera" within a typical storage environment, teff is suitable for long-term storage for up to 5 years. Research performed by [\[87\]](#page-16-1) highlighted that "Gumbi/Togogo" is made of specifically developed mud that is joined together with teff straw, while "Gotera" is constructed of bamboo with the interior section lacquered with cattle dung. These facilities have the benefit of being both locally produced and less expensive; however, they are vulnerable to damage from rats, floods, moisture, and fire. Then, teff is transported from the agricultural field to the storage area or the conventional warehouses by animals, usually donkeys. The authors of [\[87\]](#page-16-1) reported that mule carriages and trucks are also sometimes used. Poor road conditions and a traditional means of transport are also identified as causes of grain losses. Such findings highlight the need for

improved agricultural technologies and proper storage facilities to reduce human labour, avoid grain losses, and increase teff productivity.

6. Teff Food Safety Challenges

Teff is considered a relatively resistant crop to pest and insect infestation; therefore, the main food safety challenges are represented by chemical hazards. Chemical hazards refer to compounds that have either a low or high molecular weight, which can be produced either naturally or artificially for specific purposes. Based on their physiochemical features and their toxic characteristics, they may negatively impact human health [\[88\]](#page-16-2). The main chemical hazards reported in teff include mycotoxins, pesticide residues, and heavy metals. Another issue that has been reported in teff is adulteration, which will also be discussed.

6.1. Mycotoxins

Occurrence of fungal genera such as *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus* has been reported in teff during plantation and storage [\[89\]](#page-16-3). These fungi represent food safety concerns, especially as they can produce mycotoxins [\[90\]](#page-16-4). Mycotoxins, which are toxic secondary metabolites produced by fungi, undermine both food safety and economy due to non-compliance with international market regulations for export [\[91\]](#page-16-5). For example, storage of teff in traditional Ethiopian facilities, characterised by humid conditions, coupled with direct contact with soil, creates a conducive environment for fungal growth and mycotoxin contamination, leading to unavoidable food wastage [\[92\]](#page-16-6). Few studies exist on the occurrence of mycotoxins in Ethiopian teff, mainly on aflatoxin B_1 (AFB₁) and ochratoxin A (OTA). A summary of the findings is presented in Table [3.](#page-9-0) The teff samples, meant for human consumption, were collected from threshing yards, and some from traditional storage structures [\[93\]](#page-16-7). From the findings of the study, $AFB₁$ and OTA were detected in the teff samples. Results of a mycotoxin analysis carried out showed that 22.9% and 27.3% of samples were contaminated with AFB¹ and OTA, respectively. AFB1, produced mainly by *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* species, is a human carcinogen (class 1), while OTA, produced mainly by *Aspergillus* section *Nigri* and some *Penicillium* spp., is a possible human carcinogen (class 2B) [\[94\]](#page-16-8). Due to their negative effect on human health, maximum limits exist in Europe and in other countries to regulate their presence in foods. Mean values for $AFB₁$ in the tested samples were 3-fold higher than the acceptable limit for EU regulation $(2 \mu g/kg)$, while those for OTA were found to be 7-fold higher than the EU acceptable limit of $5 \mu g/kg$ [\[91](#page-16-5)[,95\]](#page-16-9). The high levels of these mycotoxins in teff raise concerns of their fitness for human consumption. In general, contamination by mycotoxins can be effectively reduced to acceptable levels by optimised management integrated along the value chain [\[82\]](#page-15-22). Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs), chemical control, biological control, Good Manufacturing Practices (GMPs), and Good Storage Practices (GSPs) are some of the approaches that are useful in preventing/controlling the risk of mycotoxin contamination [\[96\]](#page-16-10).

Table 3. Occurrence of mycotoxins in teff samples in Ethiopia.

6.2. Pesticide Residues

A pesticide is a substance or mixture of substances that is used to prevent, destroy, repel, or mitigate any pest, ranging from insects (insecticides), rodents (rodenticides), and weeds (herbicides) to microorganisms (fungicides, algaecides, bactericides) [\[97\]](#page-16-11). Due to their tiny size, teff grains are not typically attacked by insect pests, so pesticides are rarely applied. Additionally, teff is a hardy crop that can tolerate various environmental

conditions, including waterlogging, therefore reducing the need for chemical treatments. However, teff can still become contaminated by pesticides from other environmental applications. In this regard, it has been reported by that only 1% of sprayed pesticides reach the target pest, while the remaining 99% pose a threat to human health or to the environment via drift, volatilisation, and leaching [\[98\]](#page-16-12). The presence of pesticide residues represents a concern for consumers, as they are known to have harmful effects. The major concern is that they are toxic and can interfere with reproductive systems and foetal development and can cause cancer [\[99\]](#page-16-13). Maximum residue levels (MRLs) have been set by the EU to ensure food safety, but not in Ethiopia.

Pesticide use in Ethiopian state farms is estimated at 7.76 kg/ha and less than 0.1 kg/ha in smallholder farms [\[99\]](#page-16-13). The pesticide Registration Council of Ethiopia has registered a total of 171 pesticides, of which 159 are currently in use and regulated by the Pesticide Registration and Control Proclamation No. 674/2010 [\[100\]](#page-16-14). A study by [\[101\]](#page-16-15) analysed the presence of pesticide residue in teff grains collected from a local market in the Jimma Zone in Ethiopia. They detected the presence of cypermethrin (0.351 mg/kg) , permethrin (0.282 mg/kg) , endosulfan (0.014 mg/kg) , and DDT (0.296 mg/kg) in teff grains exceeding by 1.16, 5.64, 1.4, and 2.96 times, respectively, the maximum residue limits set by the Codex Alimentarius for grains. In a more recent paper, [\[99\]](#page-16-13) evaluated the efficacy of household food processing (dough making and baking) in the reduction of pesticide residues in teff. The results suggested that dough making decreased the pesticide residues in the range of 59.9–86.4% and baking in the range of 63.2–90.2% compared to raw teff flour. Such findings highlight the need for strengthening regulations and food safety policies in Ethiopia to limit/avoid the use of certain pesticides (e.g., DDT, which is classified as a possible human carcinogen (class 2B) by the International Agency for Research on Cancer) and to set legislative limits to protect the health of both Ethiopian farmers and consumers.

6.3. Heavy Metals

Heavy metals are chemical contaminants that are poisonous or toxic to humans. They are naturally found in the soil or can potentially infiltrate the food chain through multiple pathways [\[102\]](#page-16-16). The most found heavy metals in teff grains in Ethiopia are cadmium (Cd) and lead (Pb). The contamination of teff with heavy metals is probably due to its small size and suggests increased contact with soil over a larger area, particularly enhanced by traditional agricultural methods of threshing the grain under the hooves of cattle [\[80\]](#page-15-20). Cadmium is highly toxic to humans and is a relatively rare element, released to the air, land, and water by human activities. The two major sources of contamination are the production and utilisation of Cd and the disposal of wastes containing Cd [\[103\]](#page-16-17). Lead is a chronic or cumulative poison. In humans, Pb can result in a wide range of biological effects depending upon the level and duration of exposure, including increased risk of high blood pressure, cardiovascular problems, and kidney damage [\[104\]](#page-16-18). In 1997, the government of Ethiopia established the Environmental Protection Authority (EEPA) for the overall protection of the environment against all physiochemical and heavy metal contaminations. The authors of [\[105\]](#page-16-19) collected teff grain from the largest teff-producing areas in Ethiopia (Bahir Dar, Debre Markos, and Bure); see Table [4.](#page-11-0) Lead was detected in the white and red teff samples, with an average concentration of 2.03 mg/kg, which was 10-fold more than the limit established by the European regulations (0.2 mg/kg) [\[106\]](#page-16-20). In the literature, teff samples were found on average to exceed by 12-fold the EU regulation limit of 0.1 mg/kg [\[105](#page-16-19)[,107\]](#page-16-21). According to [\[105\]](#page-16-19), heavy contamination may be due to various agricultural activities such as the usage of fertiliser, pesticides, and other industrial products. A way teff can easily be contaminated with heavy metals is through crop rotation and intercropping. Teff is usually subjected to crop rotation with onion, bean, chickpea, and lentil [\[108\]](#page-16-22). Onion is a crop that needs many inorganic fertilisers and pesticides for its growth. Therefore, residues of the fertiliser or pesticides used on this soil will be left when teff is planted. Farmers should avoid crop rotating or intercropping with crops that use many fertilisers or crops that are

not pest-resistant to avoid the accumulation of heavy metals in teff. Improvements in GAPs and GSPs are also some of the ways to prevent contamination by heavy metals [\[105\]](#page-16-19).

Table 4. Occurrence of heavy metals in teff samples in Ethiopia.

6.4. Adulteration

Teff is susceptible to adulteration within its supply chain. Such adulteration can pose serious health risks to consumers, especially in developing countries like Ethiopia where resources are limited [\[109](#page-16-23)[,110\]](#page-16-24). Adulteration has been encouraged by the rise of teff prices in Ethiopia due to the involvement of brokers in its distribution [\[111\]](#page-16-25). Several studies have identified common adulterants (e.g., water, sugar, starch) in food in Ethiopia [\[112](#page-16-26)[–114\]](#page-16-27). With respect to teff, adulterating of teff flour with saw dust (Jesso or "sagatura" in the local language) to make *injera* for sale has previously been reported [\[115\]](#page-17-0). Recently, ref. [\[116\]](#page-17-1) reported that it is a common practice to adulterate teff grains with low-cost materials throughout the supply chain. They identified chaff, soil and sand, and dukka (a combination of non-edible substances separated from teff grains) as the main adulterants, with average contamination levels of 1.17–8.07%, 1.29–7.23%, and 8.93–37.1%, respectively. They also found microbial contaminants (*Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella*, mould, and yeast) and a decrease in the nutritional value of the teff grains due to the adulteration process. These findings underscore the urgent need for collaborative efforts to prevent and control teff adulteration, ultimately ensuring quality and safety for consumers.

7. Teff in the International Market

In 2006, the Ethiopian government imposed a ban on the international market to prevent exportation of unprocessed teff grain and flour [\[69\]](#page-15-9). The ban aimed to ensure food security within the country to ensure that the local teff price could be regulated to an affordable level for poor consumers and to discourage smallholder farmers from being driven out of business [\[21,](#page-13-18)[30\]](#page-13-16). *Injera* could still be exported and was mainly bought by Ethiopians living in northern Europe, the Middle East, and North America. However, the export ban failed to lower the local teff price due to the increasing demand linked to the continuing population increase, alleged smuggling, and an increase in the volume of exported *injera* [\[5](#page-12-4)[,30](#page-13-16)[,59](#page-14-27)[,70\]](#page-15-10). Rather than achieving its intended goal, the ban not only disrupted the trade advantage Ethiopia could have had in the international trade, but also discouraged teff producers and traders from putting in effort to improve its yield, production, market, and value chain [\[5](#page-12-4)[,17](#page-13-7)[,21\]](#page-13-18). However, following a 40% increase in yield due to investment into mechanisation and improved farming techniques by the Ethiopian government, the export ban was partially lifted in 2015 [\[71\]](#page-15-11). To ensure that the domestic production would not be minimised, the export licences have only been granted to 48 commercial farmers who had not cultivated the plant before. The increasing demand for and general interest in such a crop for its nutritional and agronomic features should encourage the country to speed up the adoption of modern agri-technologies and to boost research. Nowadays, *injera* is exported to various countries including the United States, Sweden, and Norway, with Ethiopian food companies making good profits (e.g., Mama Fresh made approximately USD 1.5 million in 2023) [\[72\]](#page-15-12). Because of its potential economic success, other regions, including the USA and Europe, are already cultivating teff and selling it in domestic markets.

8. Conclusions

Some of the key challenges with GF foods lie in their availability, cost, and often lower nutritional and sensory quality. Teff is a resilient food crop with promising nutritional properties like wheat, yet is naturally GF. It is rich in fibre and provides macro- and micronutrients, making it highly valuable in addressing nutritional needs.

As a climate-resilient crop, teff offers an excellent opportunity to advance the United Nations SDGs by contributing to sustainable food systems. All these attributes qualify it as a potential crop for a sustainable GF diet, which is crucial in addressing food and nutrition security because of the strong link that has been established between compliance to gluten-free diets and food security in coeliac patients. However, challenges in teff's production chain, primarily due to its small grain size and limited agricultural technologies, need to be addressed to unlock its full potential. Initiatives such as the second Green Revolution are essential to promote mechanisation and upscaling, which would contribute to reducing post-harvest losses and enhancing overall yields. Additionally, governmental, research, and policy makers' initiatives (e.g., training for farmers, financial incentives) are needed to encourage farmers to adopt Good Agricultural Practices, as current practices can lead to contamination with dangerous chemical hazards and adulteration that could hinder consumers' health and challenge accessibility for lucrative international markets such as the EU or UK. A holistic research approach will be vital in supporting these efforts, encouraging the expansion of teff cultivation in other regions, promoting the development of new GF food alternatives, and reinforcing sustainable agricultural practices. This will help ensure a stable supply of this nutritious, gluten-free grain for consumers globally, ultimately contributing to food and nutrition security.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: C.C.; data curation: C.C.; writing—original draft: C.C., M.A., C.V.-V., H.P. and L.R.P.; writing—review and editing: C.C. and C.V.-V.; visualization: C.C.; supervision: C.C. and C.V.-V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was funded by NutriNuts (Innovate UK—Agritech 8, 2019–2023) and EWA-BELT (Horizon 2020, 862848).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analysed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Acknowledgments: The writers thank the EWA-BELT project and the Clean Cooling Network for their support in the delivery of this work. The authors would also like to thank Natalia Falagan for supporting this initiative as part of the Clean Cooling Network activities.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Singh, P.; Arora, A.S.; Strand, T.A.; Leffler, D.A.; Catassi, C.; Green, P.H.; Kelly, C.P.; Ahuja, V.; Makharia, G.K. Global Prevalence of Celiac Disease: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2018**, *16*, 823–836.e2. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2017.06.037) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29551598) 2. New Hope Network. 2023 Trends and Opportunities in Gluten-Free. Available online: [https://www.newhope.com/natural-](https://www.newhope.com/natural-product-trends/2023-trends-and-opportunities-in-gluten-free-article)
- [product-trends/2023-trends-and-opportunities-in-gluten-free-article](https://www.newhope.com/natural-product-trends/2023-trends-and-opportunities-in-gluten-free-article) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 3. Jones, A.L. The Gluten-Free Diet: Fad or Necessity? *Diabetes Spectr.* **2017**, *30*, 118–123. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2337/ds16-0022) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28588378)
- 4. Fong, A.T.; Ahlstedt, S.; Golding, M.A.; Protudjer, J.L.P. The Economic Burden of Food Allergy: What We Know and What We Need to Learn. *Curr. Treat. Options Allergy* **2022**, *9*, 169–186. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40521-022-00306-5) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35502316)
- 5. Lee, H. Teff, a Rising Global Crop: Current Status of Teff Production and Value Chain. *Open Agric. J.* **2018**, *12*, 185–193. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2174/1874331501812010185)
- 6. Kostecka, M.; Kostecka-Jarecka, J.; Iłowiecka, K.; Kostecka, J. An Evaluation of Nutritional Status and Problems with Dietary Compliance in Polish Patients with Celiac Disease. *Nutrients* **2020**, *14*, 2581. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14132581)
- 7. Arias-Gastelum, M.; Cabrera-Chávez, F.; Vergara-Jiménez, M.D.J.; Ontiveros, N. The Gluten-Free Diet: Access and Economic Aspects and Impact on Lifestyle. *Nutr. Dietary Suppl.* **2018**, *10*, 27–34. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2147/NDS.S143404)
- 8. Siminiuc, R.; Turcanu, D. Food Security of People with Celiac Disease in the Republic of Moldova through the Prism of Public Policies. *Front. Public Health* **2022**, *10*, 961827. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.961827)
- 9. Hanci, O.; Jeanes, Y. Are Gluten-Free Food Staples Accessible to All Patients with Coeliac Disease? *BMJ Front. Gastroenterol.* **2018**, *10*, 222–228. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1136/flgastro-2018-101088)
- 10. Sugavanam, T.; Crocker, H.; Violato, M.; Peters, M. The Financial Impact on People with Coeliac Disease of Withdrawing Gluten-Free Food from Prescriptions in England: Findings from a Cross-Sectional Survey. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* **2024**, *24*, 146. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-10600-4)
- 11. Vriesekoop, F.; Wright, E.; Swinyard, S.; Koning, W.D. Gluten-Free Products in the UK Retail Environment: Availability, Pricing, Consumer Opinions in a Longitudinal Study. *Int. J. Celiac Dis.* **2020**, *8*, 95–103. Available online: [https://pubs.sciepub.com/ijcd/](https://pubs.sciepub.com/ijcd/8/3/5/index.html) [8/3/5/index.html](https://pubs.sciepub.com/ijcd/8/3/5/index.html) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 12. Coeliac UK. *The Gluten-Free Diet: How Much Does It Cost and Why Does It Matter?* High Wycombe: Coeliac, UK, 2023. Available online: <https://www.coeliac.org.uk/document-library/7436-coeliac-uk-cost-of-living-report/coeliac-cost-of-living-report.pdf> (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 13. Carlisle, L.; Montenegro, D.W.M.; DeLonge, M.S.; Iles, A.; Calo, A.; Getz, C.; Ory, J.; Munden-Dixon, K.; Galt, R.; Melone, B.; et al. Transitioning to Sustainable Agriculture Requires Growing and Sustaining an Ecologically Skilled Workforce. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* **2019**, *3*, 96. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2019.00096)
- 14. Gélinas, P.; McKinnon, C. Gluten Weight in Ancient and Modern Wheat and the Reactivity of Epitopes Towards R5 and G12 Monoclonal Antibodies. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *51*, 1801–1810. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13151)
- 15. Acevedo, M.; Pixley, K.; Zinyengere, N.; Meng, S.; Tufan, H.; Cichy, K.; Bizikova, L.; Isaacs, K.; Ghezzi-Kopel, K.; Porciello, J. A Scoping Review of Adoption of Climate-Resilient Crops by Small-Scale Producers in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *Nat. Plants* **2020**, *6*, 1231–1241. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-00783-z) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33051616)
- 16. CSA (Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia). *Agricultural Sample Survey 2019/20; Report on Area and Production of Major Crops*; Central Statistical Agency: Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2020. Available online: [https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?](https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3158078) [referenceid=3158078](https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3158078) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 17. Fikadu, A.; Wedu, T.D.; Derseh, E. Review on Economics of Teff in Ethiopia. *Biostat. Bioinform.* **2019**, *2*, 1–8. Available online: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332555706_Review_on_Economics_of_Teff_in_Ethiopia (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 18. Adunya, T.; Benti, F.C. The Impacts of Climate-Induced Agricultural Drought on Four Cereal Crops: A Case Study in Bako Tibe District, Oromia National Regional State, Ethiopia. *Caraka Tani J. Sustain. Agric.* **2020**, *35*, 135. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.20961/carakatani.v35i1.35749)
- 19. Abewa, A.; Adgo, E.; Yitaferu, B.; Alemayehu, G.; Assefa, K.; Solomon, J.; Payne, W. Teff Grain Physical and Chemical Quality Responses to Soil Physicochemical Properties and the Environment. *Agronomy* **2019**, *9*, 283. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9060283)
- 20. Abate, T.M.; Mekie, T.M.; Dessie, A.B. Determinants of Market Outlet Choices by Smallholder Teff Farmers in Dera District, South Gondar Zone, Amhara National Regional State, Ethiopia: A Multivariate Probit Approach. *J. Econ. Struct.* **2019**, *8*, 1–14. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40008-019-0167-x)
- 21. Haileselassie, B.; Stomph, T.-J.; Hoffland, E. Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) Production Constraints on Vertisols in Ethiopia: Farmers' Perceptions and Evaluation of Low Soil Zinc as Yield-Limiting Factor. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* **2011**, *57*, 587–596. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2011.593482)
- 22. Belay, K.; Dawit, A.; Rashid, S.G. Factors Affecting Farmers' Crop Diversification: Evidence from SNNPR, Ethiopia. *Int. Sch. J.* **2013**. Available online: [https://www.internationalscholarsjournals.com/articles/factors-affecting-farmers-crops](https://www.internationalscholarsjournals.com/articles/factors-affecting-farmers-crops-diversificationevidence-from-snnpr-ethiopia.pdf)[diversificationevidence-from-snnpr-ethiopia.pdf](https://www.internationalscholarsjournals.com/articles/factors-affecting-farmers-crops-diversificationevidence-from-snnpr-ethiopia.pdf) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 23. Felix, M.T.; Tewodros, T.; Wales, S. Perceptions and Choices of Adaptation Measures for Climate Change among Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) Farmers of Southeast Tigray, Ethiopia. *J. Agric. Ext. Rural Dev.* **2018**, *10*, 11–19. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5897/JAERD2017.0929)
- 24. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. *World Food and Agriculture Statistical Pocketbook 2018*; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2018. Available online: <https://www.fao.org/3/ca1796en/CA1796EN.pdf> (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 25. Rishitha, P.; Vani, S.N. Nutritional Profile and Health Benefits of Teff: A Review. *Pharma Innov. J.* **2023**, *12*, 945–949.
- 26. Terazono, E. Healthy Appetites Drive Jump in Sales of Gluten-Free Foods. *Financial Times*. 2017. Available online: [https:](https://www.ft.com/content/4ec0f2f2-2c0a-11e7-9ec8-168383da43b7) [//www.ft.com/content/4ec0f2f2-2c0a-11e7-9ec8-168383da43b7](https://www.ft.com/content/4ec0f2f2-2c0a-11e7-9ec8-168383da43b7) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 27. Bazerghi, C.; McKay, F.H.; Dunn, M. The Role of Food Banks in Addressing Food Insecurity: A Systematic Review. *J. Community Health* **2016**, *41*, 732–740. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-015-0147-5) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26728281)
- 28. Abraham, R. Achieving Food Security in Ethiopia by Promoting Productivity of Future World Food Teff: A Review. *Adv. Plants Agric. Res.* **2015**, *2*, 86–95. Available online: [https://medcraveonline.com/APAR/achieving-food-security-in-ethiopia-by](https://medcraveonline.com/APAR/achieving-food-security-in-ethiopia-by-promoting-productivity-of-future-world-food-tef-a-review.html)[promoting-productivity-of-future-world-food-tef-a-review.html](https://medcraveonline.com/APAR/achieving-food-security-in-ethiopia-by-promoting-productivity-of-future-world-food-tef-a-review.html) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 29. FAO. *Analysis of Price Incentives for Teff in Ethiopia Technical Notes Series*; MAFAP; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2015. Available online: <https://www.fao.org/3/i4523e/i4523e.pdf> (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 30. Baye, K. *Teff: Nutrient Composition & Health Benefits*; ESSP Working Paper 67; IFPRI and EPRI: Washington, DC, USA; Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2014. Available online: [https://books.google.co.in/books?id=HYoZBQAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover#v=onepage&](https://books.google.co.in/books?id=HYoZBQAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover#v=onepage&q&f=false) [q&f=false](https://books.google.co.in/books?id=HYoZBQAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover#v=onepage&q&f=false) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 31. Ruggeri, R.; Rossini, F.; Ronchi, B.; Primi, R.; Stamigna, C.; Danieli, P.P. Potential of Teff as Alternative Crop for Mediterranean Farming Systems: Effect of Genotype and Mowing Time on Forage Yield and Quality. *J. Agric. Food Res.* **2024**, *17*, 101257. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2024.101257)
- 32. Gebremariam, M.M.; Zarnkow, M.; Becker, T. Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) as a Raw Material for Malting, Brewing, and Manufacturing of Gluten-Free Foods and Beverages: A Review. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *51*, 2881–2895. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-012-0745-5)
- 33. Gamboa, P.A.; Ekris, L.V. Teff: Survey on the Nutritional and Health Aspects of Teff (*Eragrostis tef*). *Food Nutr. Sci.* **2008**, *3*, 319–367. Available online: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=620921> (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 34. Ebba, T. *Teff (Eragrostis tef): The Cultivation, Usage and Some of the Known Diseases and Insect Pests*; Debre Zeit Agric. Exp. Station Bull. No. 66; Alemaya University of Agriculture: Dire Dawa, Ethiopia, 1969. Available online: [https://www.scirp.org/reference/](https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2858811) [referencespapers?referenceid=2858811](https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2858811) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 35. Araya, T.; Cornelis, W.M.; Nyssen, J.; Govaerts, B.; Bauer, H.; Gebreegziabher, T.; Oicha, T.; Raes, D.; Sayre, K.D.; Haile, M.; et al. Effects of Conservation Agriculture on Runoff, Soil Loss and Crop Yield under Rainfed Conditions in Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *Soil Use Manag.* **2011**, *27*, 404–414. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2011.00347.x)
- 36. Tadele, E.; Hibistu, T. Empirical Review on the Use Dynamics and Economics of Teff in Ethiopia. *Agric. Food Secur.* **2021**, *10*, 1–13. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-021-00329-2)
- 37. Crymes, A.R. The International Footprint of Teff: Resurgence of Ancient Ethiopian Grain. Arts & Sciences Electronic Theses and Dissertations. 2015. Available online: https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/art_sci_etds/394/ (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 38. Bachewe, F.; Regassa, M.D.; Minten, B.; Taffesse, A.S.; Tamru, S.; Hassen, I.W. The Transforming Value Chain of Ethiopia's "Orphan" Teff Crop. *Planta* **2019**, *250*, 769–781. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-019-03224-0)
- 39. Pingali, P.L. Green Revolution: Impacts, Limits, and the Path Ahead. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 12302–12308. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912953109)
- 40. Sissons, M.; Palombieri, S.; Sestili, F.; Lafiandra, D. Impact of Variation in Amylose Content on Durum Wheat cv. Svevo Technological and Starch Properties. *Foods* **2023**, *12*, 4112. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12224112) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38002170)
- 41. Bultosa, G. Physicochemical Characteristics of Grain and Flour in 13 Tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] Grain Varieties. *Res. J. Appl. Sci.* **2007**, *3*, 2042–2051. Available online: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228515289_Physicochemical_](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228515289_Physicochemical_characteristics_of_grain_and_flour_in_13_tef_Eragrostis_tef_Zucc_Trotter_grain_varieties) [characteristics_of_grain_and_flour_in_13_tef_Eragrostis_tef_Zucc_Trotter_grain_varieties](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228515289_Physicochemical_characteristics_of_grain_and_flour_in_13_tef_Eragrostis_tef_Zucc_Trotter_grain_varieties) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 42. Sharma, K.; Akansha, A.; Chauhan, E. Nutritional Composition, Physical Characteristics and Health Benefits of Teff Grain for Human Consumption: A Review. *Pharma Innov.* **2018**, *7*, 3–7.
- 43. Satheesh, N.; Fanta, S.W. Review on Structural, Nutritional, and Anti-Nutritional Composition of Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) in Comparison with Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.). *Cogent Food Agric.* **2018**, *4*, 1546942. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2018.1546942)
- 44. Eleazu, C.O. The Concept of Low Glycemic Index and Glycemic Load Foods as Panacea for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Prospects, Challenges and Solutions. *Afr. Health Sci.* **2016**, *16*, 468–479. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v16i2.15)
- 45. Stribling, P.; Ibrahim, F. Dietary Fibre Definition Revisited: The Case of Low Molecular Weight Carbohydrates. *Clin. Nutr. ESPEN* **2023**, *55*, 340–356. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2023.04.014)
- 46. Nirmala, P.V.P.; Joye, I.J. Dietary Fibre from Whole Grains and Their Benefits on Metabolic Health. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 3045. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12103045)
- 47. El Sharkawy, M.A.; Gebril, A.Y.; Ebeid, H.M.; Ali, S.E.; El-Hadidy, E.M. Quinoa Flour as Hypolipidemic Agent in Male Albino Rats. *Int. J. Pharma Bio Sci.* **2022**, *17*, 1732–1747. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6786653)
- 48. Saturni, L.; Ferretti, G.; Bacchetti, T. The Gluten-Free Diet: Safety and Nutritional Quality. *Nutrients* **2010**, *2*, 16–34. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu2010016)
- 49. Murray, J.E.; Laurieri, N.; Delgoda, R. Chapter 24: Proteins. In *Pharmacognosy*; Badal, S., Delgoda, R., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 2017; pp. 477–494. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-802104-0.00024-X)
- 50. Adebowale, A.; Emmambux, M.N.; Beukes, M.; Taylor, J. Fractionation and Characterization of Teff Proteins. *J. Cereal Sci.* **2011**, *54*, 380–386. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2011.08.002)
- 51. Kaur, N.; Chugh, V.; Gupta, A.K. Essential Fatty Acids as Functional Components of Foods: A Review. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *51*, 2289–2303. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-012-0677-0) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25328170)
- 52. DiNicolantonio, J.J.; O'Keefe, J. The Importance of Maintaining a Low Omega-6/Omega-3 Ratio for Reducing the Risk of Autoimmune Diseases, Asthma, and Allergies. *Mol. Med.* **2021**, *118*, 453–459. Available online: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8504498/) [pmc/articles/PMC8504498/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8504498/) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 53. Pathan, S.; Siddiqui, R.A. Nutritional Composition and Bioactive Components in Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) Greens: A Review. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 558. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14030558) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35276913)
- 54. Barretto, R.; Buenavista, R.M.; Rivera, J.L.; Wang, S.; Prasad, P.V.V.; Siliveru, K. Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) Processing, Utilisation and Future Opportunities: A Review. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *56*, 3125–3137. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.14872)
- 55. Alvarez-Jubete, L.; Wijngaard, H.; Arendt, E.K.; Gallagher, E. Polyphenol Composition and in Vitro Antioxidant Activity of Amaranth, Quinoa, Buckwheat, and Wheat as Affected by Sprouting and Baking. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *119*, 770–778. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.07.032)
- 56. Gebru, Y.A.; Sbhatu, D.B.; Kim, K. Nutritional Composition and Health Benefits of Teff (*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter). *J. Food Qual.* **2020**, *2020*, 9595086. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9595086)
- 57. United Nations. *About the Sustainable Development Goals*; United Nations: New York, NY, USA, 2020. Available online: [https:](https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/) [//www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/](https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 58. STATISTA. 2024. Available online: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1277150/ethiopia-food-availability-score/> (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 59. MACROTRENDS. 2024. Available online: [https://www.macrotrends.net/global-metrics/countries/ETH/ethiopia/hunger](https://www.macrotrends.net/global-metrics/countries/ETH/ethiopia/hunger-statistics#:~:text=Data%20showing%20as%205%20may,a%2021.9%25%20decline%20from%202021)[statistics#:~:text=Data%20showing%20as%205%20may,a%2021.9%25%20decline%20from%202021](https://www.macrotrends.net/global-metrics/countries/ETH/ethiopia/hunger-statistics#:~:text=Data%20showing%20as%205%20may,a%2021.9%25%20decline%20from%202021) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 60. Economist Impact. Food Security Index—Explore Countries: Ethiopia. 2022. Available online: [https://impact.economist.com/](https://impact.economist.com/sustainability/project/food-security-index/explore-countries/ethiopia) [sustainability/project/food-security-index/explore-countries/ethiopia](https://impact.economist.com/sustainability/project/food-security-index/explore-countries/ethiopia) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 61. UNICEF. Nutrition. 2017. Available online: <https://www.unicef.org/ethiopia/nutrition> (accessed on 26 September 2024).
- 62. Araya, A.; Stroosnijder, L.; Girmay, G.; Keesstra, S. Crop Coefficient, Yield Response to Water Stress and Water Productivity of Teff (*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.). *Agric. Water Manag.* **2011**, *98*, 775–783. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2010.12.001)
- 63. Ojeda, J.J.; Rezaei, E.E.; Remenyi, T.A.; Webb, M.A.; Webber, H.A.; Kamali, B.; Harris, R.M.; Brown, J.N.; Kidd, D.B.; Mohammed, C.L. Effects of Soil-and Climate Data Aggregation on Simulated Potato Yield and Irrigation Water Requirement. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *710*, 135589. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135589)
- 64. Zhu, F. Chemical Composition and Food Uses of Teff (*Eragrostis tef*). *Food Chem* **2018**, *239*, 402–415. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.06.101)
- 65. Assefa, K.; Cannarozzi, G.; Girma, D.; Kamies, R.; Chanyalew, S.; Plaza-Wüthrich, S.; Blösch, R.; Rindisbacher, A.; Rafudeen, S.; Tadele, T. Genetic Diversity in Tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter]. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 177. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00177)
- 66. Divekar, P.A.; Narayana, S.; Divekar, B.A.; Kumar, R.; Gadratagi, B.G.; Ray, A.; Singh, A.K.; Rani, V.; Singh, V.; Singh, A.K.; et al. Plant Secondary Metabolites as Defense Tools against Herbivores for Sustainable Crop Protection. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 2690. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23052690)
- 67. Miller, D. *Teff Grass—Crop Overview and Forage Production Guide*, 2nd ed.; 2011. Available online: [https://kingsagriseeds.com/wp](https://kingsagriseeds.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/Teff-Grass-Management-Guide.pdf)[content/uploads/2014/12/Teff-Grass-Management-Guide.pdf](https://kingsagriseeds.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/Teff-Grass-Management-Guide.pdf) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 68. Yumbya, J.; Maria, D.; Vaate, B.D.; Kiambi, D.; Kebebew, F.; Rao, K.P.C. *Assessing the Effects of Climate Change on Teff in Ethiopia: Implications for Food Security*; Technical Report; African Biodiversity Conservation and Innovations Centre: Nairobi, Kenya, 2014.
- 69. Zewudie, D.; Ding, W.; Rong, Z.; Zhao, C.; Chang, Y. Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Habitat Suitability for the Ethiopian Staple Crop, *Eragrostis tef* (Teff), under Changing Climate. *PeerJ* **2021**, *9*, e10965. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.10965) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33828911)
- 70. Emeru, G.M. The Perception and Determinants of Agricultural Technology Adaptation of Teff Producers to Climate Change in North Shewa Zone, Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia. *Cogent Econ. Financ.* **2022**, *10*, 2095766. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2022.2095766)
- 71. Tembo, F.M. The Impact of Climate Change on Teff Production in Southeast Tigray, Ethiopia. *J. Agric. Econ. Rural Dev.* **2018**, *4*, 389–396.
- 72. Ginbo, T. Heterogeneous Impacts of Climate Change on Crop Yields Across Altitudes in Ethiopia. *Clim. Chang.* **2022**, *170*, 12. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-022-03306-1)
- 73. Habte, M.L.; Beyene, E.A.; Tilahun, T.O.F.A.; Diribsa, G.C.; Admasu, F.T. Nutritional Values of Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) in Diabetic Patients: Narrative Review. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Obes.* **2022**, *15*, 2599–2606. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S366958)
- 74. Shumoy, H.; Raes, K. Tef: The Rising Ancient Cereal: What Do We Know About Its Nutritional and Health Benefits? *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* **2017**, *72*, 335–344. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-017-0641-2)
- 75. Thompson, T.; Dennis, M.; Higgins, L.A.; Lee, A.R.; Sharrett, M.K. Gluten-Free Diet Survey: Are Americans with Coeliac Disease Consuming Recommended Amounts of Fibre, Iron, Calcium and Grain Foods? *J. Hum. Nutr. Diet.* **2005**, *18*, 163–169. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-277X.2005.00607.x)
- 76. Seyoum, M.K.; Fayissa, G.R.; Geleta, G.S. Comparative Assessment of Levels and Dietary Intake of Mineral Nutrients and Toxic Metals in Different Varieties of Teff from Hidabu Abote, Ethiopia. *Food Chem. Adv.* **2024**, *4*, 100713. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.focha.2024.100713)
- 77. Mohammed, S.H.; Taye, H.; Sissay, T.A.; Larijani, B.; Esmaillzadeh, A. Teff Consumption and Anemia in Pregnant Ethiopian Women: A Case–Control Study. *Eur. J. Nutr.* **2019**, *58*, 2011–2018. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-018-1759-1)
- 78. Goersch, M.C.D.S.; Schäfer, L.; Tonial, M.; de Oliveira, V.R.; Ferraz, A.B.F.; Fachini, J.; da Silva, J.B.; Niekraszewicz, L.A.B.; Rodrigues, C.E.; Pasquali, G.; et al. Nutritional Composition of *Eragrostis teff* and Its Association with the Observed Antimutagenic Effects. *RSC Adv.* **2019**, *9*, 3764–3776. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RA09733J)
- 79. Cervini, C.; Abegaz, B.; Mohammed, A.; Elias, R.; Medina, A.; Gebre, K.; Verheecke-Vaessen, C. Assessment of Agricultural Practices by Ethiopian Women Farmers: Existence of Gender Disparities in Access to Mycotoxin Training. *World Mycotoxin J.* **2022**, *16*, 227–238. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2022.2827)
- 80. Gebrehiwot, H.G.; Aune, J.B.; Netland, J.; Eklo, O.M.; Torp, T.; Brandsæter, L.O. Weed-Competitive Ability of Teff (*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter) Varieties. *Agronomy* **2020**, *10*, 108. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10010108)
- 81. Agrifarming. Teff Grain Farming; Cultivation Practices. 2019. Available online: [https://www.agrifarming.in/teff-grain-farming](https://www.agrifarming.in/teff-grain-farming-cultivation-practices)[cultivation-practices](https://www.agrifarming.in/teff-grain-farming-cultivation-practices) (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 82. Vandercasteelen, J.; Dereje, M.; Minten, B.; Taffesse, A.S. Row Planting Teff in Ethiopia: Impact on Farm-Level Profitability and Labour Allocation. *Int. Food Policy Res. Inst.* **2016**. Available online: <https://gatesopenresearch.org/documents/3-120/pdf> (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 83. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. FAOSTAT. 2024. Available online: [https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/](https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL) [#data/QCL](https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL) (accessed on 24 September 2024).
- 84. Mihretie, F.A.; Tsunekawa, A.; Haregeweyn, N.; Adgo, E.; Tsubo, M.; Masunaga, T.; Meshesha, D.T.; Ebabu, K.; Nigussie, Z.; Sato, S.; et al. Exploring Teff Yield Variability Related with Farm Management and Soil Property in Contrasting Agro-Ecologies in Ethiopia. *Agric. Syst.* **2022**, *196*, 103338. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103338)
- 85. Mihretie, F.A.; Tsunekawa, A.; Haregeweyn, N.; Adgo, E.; Tsubo, M.; Masunaga, T.; Meshesha, D.T.; Tsuji, W.; Ebabu, K.; Tassew, A. Tillage and Sowing Options for Enhancing Productivity and Profitability of Teff in a Sub-Tropical Highland Environment. *Field Crops Res.* **2021**, *263*, 108050. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2020.108050)
- 86. Minten, B.; Tamru, S.; Engida, E.; Kuma, T. Transforming Staple Food Value Chains in Africa: The Case of Teff in Ethiopia. *J. Dev. Stud.* **2016**, *52*, 627–645. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2015.1087509)
- 87. Tiguh, E.E.; Delele, M.A.; Ali, A.N.; Kidanemariam, G.; Fanta, S.W. Assessment of Harvest and Postharvest Losses of Teff (*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.)) and Methods of Loss Reduction: A Review. *Heliyon* **2024**, *10*, e30398. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e30398)
- 88. Yeak, C.; Palmont, P.; Rivière, G.; Bemrah, N.; Den Besten, H.M.W.; Zwietering, M.H. Microbial and Chemical Hazard Identification in Infant Food Chains. *Glob. Pediatr.* **2022**, *2*, 100010. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gpeds.2022.100010)
- 89. Abdissa, B.; Math, R.; Desham, K.; Korra, S. Moisture Sorption Behaviour and Shelf-Life Prediction of Teff Seed and Flour. *J. Appl. Packag. Res.* **2020**, *12*, 1. Available online: <https://scholarworks.rit.edu/japr/vol12/iss1/1> (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 90. Navale, V.; Vamkudoth, K.R.; Ajmera, S.; Dhuri, V. Aspergillus-Derived Mycotoxins in Food and the Environment: Prevalence, Detection, and Toxicity. *Toxicol. Rep.* **2021**, *8*, 1008–1030. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2021.04.013)
- 91. Ayelign, A.; De Saeger, S. Mycotoxins in Ethiopia: Current Status, Implications to Food Safety and Mitigation Strategies. *Food Control* **2020**, *113*, 107163. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107163)
- 92. Fu, Y.; Yin, S.; Zhao, C.; Fan, L.; Hu, H. Combined Toxicity of Food-Borne Mycotoxins and Heavy Metals or Pesticides. *Toxicon* **2022**, *217*, 148–154. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2022.08.012) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35995097)
- 93. Mamo, F.T.; Abate, B.A.; Tesfaye, K.; Nie, C.; Wang, G.; Liu, Y. Mycotoxins in Ethiopia: A Review on Prevalence, Economic and Health Impacts. *Toxins* **2020**, *12*, 648. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins12100648) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33049980)
- 94. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). *Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Some Traditional Herbal Medicines, Some Mycotoxins, Naphthalene and Styrene*; IARC: Lyon, France, 2002; pp. 301–366.
- 95. Ayalew, A.; Fehrmann, H.; Lepschy, J.; Beck, R.; Abate, D. Natural Occurrence of Mycotoxins in Staple Cereals from Ethiopia. *Mycopathologia* **2006**, *162*, 57–63. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-006-0027-8) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16830193)
- 96. Udomkun, P.; Wiredu, A.N.; Nagle, M.; Bandyopadhyay, R.; Müller, J.; Vanlauwe, B. Mycotoxins in Sub-Saharan Africa: Present Situation, Socio-Economic Impact, Awareness, and Outlook. *Food Control* **2017**, *72*, 110–122. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.07.039)
- 97. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Pesticides: Regulating Pesticides. Available online: [https://www.epa.gov/](https://www.epa.gov/pesticides) [pesticides](https://www.epa.gov/pesticides) (accessed on 26 September 2024).
- 98. Cai, D.W. Understand the Role of Chemical Pesticides and Prevent Misuses of Pesticides. *Bull. Agric. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *1*, 36–38.
- 99. Mekonen, S.; Ambelu, A.; Spanoghe, P. Pesticide Residue Evaluation in Major Staple Food Items of Ethiopia Using the QuEChERS Method: A Case Study from the Jimma Zone. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2014**, *33*, 1294–1302. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2554)
- 100. Yegrem, L. Review on Pesticide Residues Levels in Fruits, Vegetables, Cereals and Legumes Food Products in Ethiopia. *J. Curr. Res. Food Sci.* **2020**, *1*, 53–59.
- 101. Mekonen, S.; Ambelu, A.; Spanoghe, P. Reduction of Pesticide Residues from Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) Flour Spiked with Selected Pesticides Using Household Food Processing Steps. *Heliyon* **2019**, *5*, e01740. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01740)
- 102. Alloway, B.J. *Heavy Metals in Soils: Trace Metals and Metalloids in Soils and Their Bioavailability*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- 103. Järup, L. Hazards of Heavy Metal Contamination. *Br. Med. Bull.* **2003**, *68*, 167–182. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldg032)
- 104. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). *Toxicological Profile for Lead*; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2007. Available online: <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/tp13.pdf> (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 105. Gebregewergis, A.; Chandravanshi, B.S.; Abshiro, M.R. Levels of Selected Metals in Teff Grain Samples Collected from Three Different Areas of Ethiopia by Microwave Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop.* **2021**, *34*, 449–462. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4314/bcse.v34i3.2)
- 106. Commission Regulation (EU) 2023/915 of 25 April 2023 on Maximum Levels for Certain Contaminants in Food and Repealing Regulation EC n. 1881/2006. Available online: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32023R0915> (accessed on 27 September 2024).
- 107. Dame, Z.T. Analysis of Major and Trace Elements in Teff (*Eragrostis tef*). *J. King Saud Univ. Sci.* **2020**, *32*, 145–148. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2018.03.020)
- 108. Gizaw, B.; Tefera, G.; Aynalem, E.; Abatneh, E.; Amsalu, G. Traditional Knowledge on Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) Farming Practice and Role of Crop Rotation to Enrich Plant Growth Promoting Microbes for Soil Fertility in East Showa: Ethiopia. *Agric. Res. Technol. Open Access J.* **2018**, *16*, 39–55. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.19080/ARTOAJ.2018.16.556001)
- 109. Srivastava, S.; Sathyanarayana, A.; Nagaraja, M. An Interventional Study on the Prevalence of Food Adulterants and Imparting Awareness of Its Health Impact to Rural Population in Field Practice Area of Mysore Medical College, Mysore. *World J. Pharm. Res.* **2020**, *10*, 1640–1650.
- 110. Spink, J.; Moyer, D.C. Defining the Public Health Threat of Food Fraud. *J. Food Sci.* **2011**, *76*, R157–R163. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2011.02417.x)
- 111. Bezabih, G.; Wale, M.; Satheesh, N.; Fanta, S.W.; Atlabachew, M. Forecasting Cereal Crops Production Using Time Series Analysis in Ethiopia. *J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci.* **2023**, *22*, 546–559. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2023.07.001)
- 112. Abdi, G.G.; Tola, Y.B.; Kuyu, C.G. Assessment of Physicochemical and Microbiological Characteristics of Honey in Southwest Ethiopia: Detection of Adulteration through Analytical Simulation. *J. Food Prot.* **2024**, *87*, 100194. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfp.2023.100194)
- 113. Haji, A.; Desalegn, K.; Hassen, H. Selected Food Items Adulteration, Their Impacts on Public Health, and Detection Methods: A Review. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2023**, *11*, 7534–7545. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.3732)
- 114. Reda, N.; Ketema, B.; Tsige, K. Microbiological Quality and Safety of Some Street-Vended Foods in Jimma Town, Southwestern Ethiopia. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* **2017**, *11*, 574–585. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR2014.7326)
- 115. Banti, M. Food Adulteration and Some Methods of Detection: Review. *Int. J. Nutr. Food Sci.* **2020**, *9*, 86–94. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijnfs.20200903.13)
- 116. Kuyu, C.G.; Hailu Abebe, A.; Bereka, T.Y.; Kedir Abdissa, Z.; Bekele Bekere, Y. Nutritional and Microbial Quality of Teff Grain as Influenced by Economically Motivated Adulteration along the Supply Chain. *J. Food Prot.* **2024**, *87*, 100216. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfp.2023.100216) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38182092)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.