



# Article UV-C-Activated Riboflavin Crosslinked Gelatin Film with Bioactive Nanoemulsion for Enhanced Preservation of Fresh Beef in Modified Atmosphere Packaging

Jumana Mahmud<sup>1</sup>, Peter Muranyi<sup>2</sup>, Stephane Salmieri<sup>1</sup>, Shiv Shankar<sup>1</sup>, and Monique Lacroix<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> INRS Armand-Frappier Health Biotechnology Research Centre, Research Laboratories in Sciences, Applied to Food (RESALA), Canadian Irradiation Centre (CIC), MAPAQ Research Chair in Food Safety and Quality, Institute of Nutrition and Functional Foods (INAF), 531 Des Prairies Blvd, Laval, QC H7V 1B7, Canada; jumana.mahmud@inrs.ca (J.M.); stephane.salmieri@inrs.ca (S.S.); shiv.shankar@inrs.ca (S.S.)
- <sup>2</sup> Fraunhofer Institute for Process Engineering and Packaging IVV, Giggenhauser, Str. 35, 85354 Freising, Germany; peter.muranyi@ivv.fraunhofer.de
- Correspondence: monique.lacroix@inrs.ca

**Abstract:** This study explores a new eco-friendly approach for developing bioactive gelatin films using UV-C irradiation-induced photo-crosslinking. Riboflavin, a food-grade photoinitiator, was selected at an optimal concentration of 1.25% (w/w) for crosslinking gelatin under UV-C exposure for 4 to 22 min. Physicochemical analyses revealed enhanced tensile strength, reduced water vapor permeability, and lower water solubility in films crosslinked for up to 13 min. FTIR analysis demonstrated significant molecular changes, confirming the formation of crosslinking connections in gelatin–riboflavin films. Antimicrobial nanoemulsion (NE) (0.5, 0.75, 1% v/v) was incorporated into crosslinked films and applied to fresh beef. The 1% NE film exhibited the strongest antimicrobial effect, extending shelf-life by 20 days. In vitro release study confirmed Fickian diffusion behavior in the 1% NE film. This study also investigated the synergy between 1% NE film and three different types of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) on the microbiological and physicochemical properties of beef for 26 days. The best results were achieved with 1% NE film under MAP1 and MAP2, which preserved meat redness and prevented lipid oxidation, extending the shelf-life up to 26 days. Therefore, UV-C irradiation-induced crosslinked bioactive film combined with high-oxygen MAP offers a promising solution for prolonging the shelf-life of beef.

**Keywords:** UV-C irradiation; riboflavin; photo-crosslinking; nanoemulsion; active film; modified atmosphere packaging; beef

# 1. Introduction

Beef is a widely consumed food item, ranking third globally in per capita meat consumption. A report by Grand View Research Inc. (San Francisco, CA, USA, 2019) estimates that the global beef market will grow at a compound annual rate of 3.1%, reaching a value of USD 383.5 billion by 2025 [1]. Nevertheless, beef is rich in nutrients creating favorable conditions for the proliferation of various spoilage bacteria such as *Pseudomonas* spp., lactic acid bacteria (LAB), and *Brochothrix thermosphacta* [2]. Due to its high perishability, extending the shelf-life of beef presents a significant obstacle for the meat industry. During storage and distribution, beef quality declines as a result of microbial activity, discoloration, and the oxidation of lipids and proteins [3]. To combat these issues, the industry uses high-oxygen modified atmosphere packaging (high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP) with 80% O<sub>2</sub> + 20% CO<sub>2</sub>, which maintains an appealing red color and extends the shelf-life to about 10 days at refrigerated temperatures, providing a consistent and cost-effective product for retail [4]. Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) is utilized to inhibit bacterial growth, while oxygen helps maintain the color of the product [5]. However, high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP can increase the oxidation of meat and lead



Citation: Mahmud, J.; Muranyi, P.; Salmieri, S.; Shankar, S.; Lacroix, M. UV-C-Activated Riboflavin Crosslinked Gelatin Film with Bioactive Nanoemulsion for Enhanced Preservation of Fresh Beef in Modified Atmosphere Packaging. *Foods* 2024, *13*, 3504. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/foods13213504

Academic Editors: Mehran Moradi, Mariana Andrade and Ana Teresa Sanches-Silva

Received: 7 October 2024 Revised: 22 October 2024 Accepted: 30 October 2024 Published: 31 October 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). to discoloration, off-flavors, premature browning, and reduced tenderness that shortens shelf-life [6]. Optimizing the appropriate gas mixture is crucial for ensuring food safety.

Considering the limitations of high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP, antimicrobial films have introduced an innovative approach to the concept of active packaging [7]. Notably, active packaging that releases antimicrobial volatile compounds, such as essential oils (EOs) and plant extracts, has attracted significant scientific attention, as these substances can modify the package atmosphere during storage and distribution [8,9]. Active packaging combined with MAP is seen as a potential method for preserving food due to two main factors: alterations in packaging atmosphere can influence microbial growth differently, and the inclusion of bioactive compounds with antioxidant properties can mitigate oxidation, thereby prolonging shelf-life [10].

EOs and plant extracts containing phenolic compounds and flavonoids meet the rising demand for natural additives with antimicrobial properties [11,12]. EOs act by disrupting membranes, causing leakage of intracellular components, and inflicting metabolic damage, ultimately resulting in cell death. To optimize effectiveness and minimize concerns, careful selection and mixture design are recommended [13]. However, EOs face limitations due to volatility, solubility, and degradation, which can be addressed through encapsulation in delivery systems like nanoemulsion (NE). NE generally exhibits remarkable stability, resisting both gravity-based partitioning and the aggregation of droplets [14]. Their nanoscale size and enhanced diffusion properties enable the controlled release of antimicrobial agents while minimizing harmful effects on the sensory attributes of food products [15].

Different types of biopolymers offer a sustainable alternative to traditional petroleumbased packaging materials used in active packaging systems due to their biodegradability and renewability, significantly reducing environmental impact [16]. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) for 2030 offer a roadmap for global development, focusing on ecological, economic, and social sustainability [17]. Three key SDGs—SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure), SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), and SDG 13 (Climate Action)—align with research aimed at developing sustainable packaging systems. SDG 9 promotes innovation in the meat industry through advanced bioactive films for food packaging. SDG 12 focuses on ensuring sustainable consumption and production patterns, which is highly relevant to food packaging. Bioactive films contribute to sustainable production by reducing reliance on non-renewable resources like plastics and minimizing packaging waste. Moreover, by extending the shelf-life of meat products, these films can help reduce food waste, which is a major issue in the food supply chain. SDG 13 (Climate Action) highlights the urgency of addressing climate change [18]. Gelatin (GT), a protein from partially hydrolyzed collagen derived from animal wastes/byproducts, is valued in the food industry for its low cost, biocompatibility, film-forming ability, low water vapor transmission, oxygen barrier properties, and effectiveness in carrying bioactive components [19]. However, its application as a packaging film is limited by its weak mechanical properties and high solubility in water [20]. To address these issues, methods such as adding nanoscale particles and fibers and using crosslinking techniques (enzymatic, chemical, or UV irradiation) have been explored [21,22].

Enzyme and chemical crosslinking in food packaging can be costly and potentially toxic [23]. Alternatively, low-energy UV irradiation-induced crosslinking with riboflavin presents an eco-friendly, non-toxic solution, contributing to the growing demand for sustainable active food packaging [24,25]. Riboflavin (RF) is water-soluble vitamin B2, one of the natural food-grade photoinitiators utilized in this process [25]. Studies have demonstrated that a synergistic effect of irradiation and RF can produce radicals, leading to crosslinking and intermolecular connections in proteins [26–28]. When RF is exposed to UV light, it forms reactive singlet oxygen ( $^{1}O_{2}$ ) and transitions to highly reactive triplet-excited oxygen ( $^{3}O_{2}$ ), which modifies biomolecules and amino acid side chains within proteins [29]. This process enables RF to engage in type I and II photosensitized oxidation reactions, facilitating crosslinking in the GT matrices [30]. In type I reactions, RF-free radicals combine with  $^{1}O_{2}$ , leading to oxidation products. In type II reactions, RF transfers energy to oxygen

molecules to produce  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ , which then interacts with the substrate, resulting in oxidation products. For example, it proceeded via rapid electron transfer or proton-coupled electron transfer primarily from the side chains of the amino acids tyrosine, histidine, tryptophan, and cysteine in proteins [31]. Photosensitized reactions generally involve a combination of various reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ , hydroxyl radicals (·OH) and superoxide anions ( $O_{2}^{-}$ ), as well as cationic and anionic radicals of RF [28]. Additionally, UV rays can affect the structure of GT, opening amino acid functional groups for crosslinking with RF, resulting in stronger interactions between GT and RF [25].

Based on the above considerations, this study aimed to explore how the shelf-life of beef could be extended by using crosslinked bioactive film alone or in combination with MAP at varying  $O_2/CO_2$  ratios, by monitoring microbial growth, color, and lipid oxidation.

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Materials

GT from porcine skin (~175 bloom, Type A), RF, Tween<sup>®</sup> 80, and Span<sup>®</sup> 80 were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Canada Co., (Oakville, ON, Canada). Glycerol was bought from Fisher Scientific (Ottawa, ON, Canada). Mediterranean EO, German thyme EO, and Vietnamese Cinnamon EO were purchased from Bio Lonreco (Montreal, QC, Canada) and citrus extract was supplied by Zayat Aroma Inc. (Bromont, QC, Canada).

#### 2.2. Preparation of Nanoemulsion (NE)

The NE was prepared using the antimicrobial formulation (Supplementary File) described by Mahmud et al. [13]. This involved blending Mediterranean EO, German thyme EO, and Vietnamese cinnamon EO with citrus extract, utilizing the emulsifiers Tween<sup>®</sup> 80 and Span<sup>®</sup> 80. The mixture was adjusted to an HLB value of 12 and a surfactant-to-oil ratio of 0.75:1 and mixed by Ultra-Turrax (15,000 rpm for 1 min) at ambient temperature. The resulting coarse emulsion was subjected to high-pressure homogenization using a microfluidizer at 15,000 psi for 4 cycles. The selection of this NE was based on earlier work conducted by Mahmud et al. [32].

# 2.3. Preparation of the Crosslinked Active Films

Films were produced through a casting method, where 4% (w/v) GT was dispersed in distilled water under continuous stirring for 30 min at 45 °C. Different amounts of RF (0.3, 0.5, 0.75, 1.25% w/w of GT) were added into the GT solution and stirred at 45 °C for 15 min. Glycerol (30% w/w of GT) was added as a plasticizer and stirred for another 15 min at 45 °C to achieve a homogenous solution. Lastly, different concentrations of NE (0.5, 0.75, 1% v/v) were added to the GT-RF dispersion under magnetic stirring. The polymeric dispersion was purged with nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) for 20 min prior to irradiation. Then, 20 mL of the polymeric dispersion poured into glass Petri dishes and exposed to UV-C light (280–100 nm) for a period of 4 to 22 min using a BS-04 UV irradiator (Opsytec Dr. Gröbel GmbH, Ettlingen, Germany) equipped with 20 UV-C lamps (18 W each; UV output: 4.5 W) and a UV-Mat dosimeter for a time/dose-controlled, uniform UV-C irradiation process. The chamber geometry (footprint) was 60 × 60 × 28 cm (L × D × H) and the irradiance was equal to 8 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. The polymeric dispersion was dried at 23 ± 2 °C for 24 h and then conditioned in a desiccator before the analyses of their physico-mechanical properties.

#### 2.4. Characterization of Films

Mechanical properties, including tensile strength (TS, MPa), tensile modulus (TM, MPa), and elongation at break (Eb, %), were assessed by following the ASTM D638-99 test method [33]. Measurements were conducted using a Universal Testing Machine (UTM) H5KT from Tinius Olsen Testing Machine Co., Inc. (Horsham, PA, USA) and Test Navigator program (ver. 7.02.11). Films were measured in tensile mode with an initial grip separation of 30 mm, a crosshead speed of 50 mm/min, and a load cell of 100 N. Ten measurements were performed for all films, and the mean values are reported.

Water vapor permeability (WVP) of films was performed according to the ASTM E96/E96M-16 procedure [34]. Films were securely sealed onto EZ<sup>®</sup> Vapometer permeability cups (model 68–3000; Twhing-Albert Instrument Co., West Berlin, NJ, USA) containing anhydrous CaCl<sub>2</sub> (30 g). WVP values were then calculated using a combined model based on Fick and Henry's laws of gas diffusion through coatings and films, as described by Equation (1):

WVP 
$$(g \cdot mm/m^2 \cdot day \cdot kPa) = \Delta w \cdot x/A \cdot \Delta P$$
 (1)

where  $\Delta w$  is the weight gain of the cell (g) after 24 h, x is the film thickness (mm), A is the area of exposed film (31.67 × 10<sup>-4</sup> m<sup>2</sup>), and  $\Delta P$  is the differential vapor pressure of water through the film ( $\Delta P$  = 3.2823 kPa at 25 °C).

The water solubility (WS) of the films was evaluated using the procedure described in Taghizadeh et al. [25]. Film samples  $(2 \times 2 \text{ cm})$  were cut, weighed, and then immersed in distilled water (50 mL). The samples were stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After the soaking period, the film pieces were removed from the water, dried at 105 °C for 1 h, and then re-weighed. The WS of the films was determined using Equation (2):

$$WS\% = (Wi - Wf)/Wi \times 100$$
(2)

where Wi is the initial weight and Wf is the weight of the undissolved film after drying.

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) analysis was conducted according to the procedure outlined in Huq et al. [35], using a Spectrum One spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer, Woodbridge, ON, Canada) equipped with an attenuated total reflectance (ATR) device for solids analysis and a high-linearity lithium tantalate (HLLT) detector. Analyses were performed within 4000–650 cm<sup>-1</sup> with 64 scans recorded at a 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution. After attenuation of total reflectance and baseline correction, spectra were normalized with a limit ordinate of 1.5 absorbance units.

# 2.5. Apparent Release Kinetics (In Vitro)

The release kinetics of bioactive compounds from the crosslinked GT-RF films (13 min UV-C treated) were determined following the method of Ben-Fadhel et al. [36] with some modifications. Firstly, 500 mg film samples were dissolved in 100 mL of 95% ethanol as a simulant for fatty foodstuffs [37] and then stored at 4 °C. At each sampling time, 1 mL of film solution was removed, and an equivalent amount of the corresponding food simulant was added back to ensure a consistent volume. The absorbance of each sample was read at 232 nm in a UV-Vis Spectrophotometer Scinco S-3100 (Betatek Inc., North York, ON, Canada), and the concentrations of bioactive compounds contained in NE were calculated using a standard curve.

The cumulative release was calculated by the following Equation (3):

Cumulative percentage release (%) = (Volume of sample withdraw (mL))/(Bath volume)  $\times P(t-1) + Pt$  (3)

where:

*Pt*: Percentage release (w/v) at time t;

P(t-1): Percentage release (w/v) at a time before 't'.

To explain the kinetics and mechanisms of bioactive compound release from the crosslinked film, the in vitro release data were analyzed using the Korsmeyer–Peppas model as outlined in Equation (4).

$$Mt/M\infty = k_{kn} t^n \tag{4}$$

where Mt,  $M\infty$ ,  $k_{kp}$ , n, and t represent the total bioactive compounds released at time t, the quantity of bioactive compounds released at infinite time, the release constant, the release exponent, and sampling time, respectively. The 'n' exponent indicates different release mechanisms of the bioactive compounds from a polymeric matrix. When  $n \le 0.45$ , the release corresponds to Fickian diffusion. For values between 0.45 and 0.89 (i.e.,

0.45 < n < 0.89), bioactive compound release occurs through anomalous transport (drug diffusion in the hydrated matrix and polymer relaxation). At n = 0.89, the process indicates zero-order release, mostly driven by a swelling phenomenon. In cases where n > 0.89, the transport is classified as non-Fickian, typically involving erosion or polymer relaxation [34,35]. The kinetic rate constant (k) was determined using the linear regression of the log of the release percentage (( $Mt/M\infty$ ) × 100) against log time (h), ensuring that the correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) was greater than 0.9.

# 2.6. In Vitro Antimicrobial Test

The inhibitory capacity (IC, %) of the bioactive films was determined by a microatmosphere agar diffusion assay based on a procedure of Hossain et al. [38]. Each film (3–4 cm<sup>2</sup>) was placed in the lid of a Petri dish (center position) containing different agar media depending on the type of bacteria: de Man Rogosa Sharpe agar for *Lactobacillus sakei*, *L. curvatus*, and *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*; Brain-Heath Infusion agar for *Carnobacterium divergens*; streptomycin thallous acetate actidione agar for *B. thermosphacta*; and cetrimide agar for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Alpha Biosciences, Baltimore, MD, USA). Then, 100 µL of each activated bacterial culture (10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL) was spread onto the surface of agar plates. Petri dishes (92 × 16 mm; Sarstedt Inc., Saint-Leonard, QC, Canada) were covered with Parafilm<sup>®</sup> to inhibit evaporation of volatile EOs and then incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. The inhibition zone was measured using a Traceable<sup>®</sup> Carbon Digital caliper (Fisher Scientific Ltd.).

The IC (%) was calculated according to Equation (5):

IC (%) = [Diameter inhibition zone (mm)/Diameter of Petri dish (mm)]  $\times$  100 (5)

The diameter of Petri dishes used was standardized at 83 mm.

#### 2.7. In Situ Antimicrobial Effect of Bioactive Films—Shelf-Life of Meat

# 2.7.1. Antimicrobial Effect of Bioactive Films in Air Packaging

Fresh sliced beef was supplied by Montpak International (Laval, QC, Canada), divided into 4 groups. Next, the beef samples covered with films were placed on polypropylene trays, sealed under air (78.1% N<sub>2</sub>, 20.9% O<sub>2</sub>, and 0.036% CO<sub>2</sub>) and stored at 4 °C. Sample groups were prepared as follows: (1) control: film without NE (C-air); (2) film containing 0.5% NE (0.5% NE-air); (3) film containing 0.75% NE (0.75% NE-air); and (4) film containing 1% NE (1% NE-air). Microbiological analyses of all beef samples were conducted at specific intervals until the bacterial count reached the acceptable limit of 7 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g [39].

#### 2.7.2. Antimicrobial Effect of Bioactive Films in Combination with MAP

Beef samples with active films were placed on polypropylene trays and then sealed under varying MAP conditions which consisted of 80%  $O_2$  + 20%  $CO_2$  (MAP1), 70%  $O_2$  + 30%  $CO_2$  (MAP2), and 60%  $O_2$  + 20%  $CO_2$  + 20%  $N_2$  (MAP3). All tray-packaged samples were divided into 8 groups as follows: (1) control: film without NE (C-air); (2) active film under air (1% NE-air); (3) film without NE + MAP1 (MAP1); (4) film without NE + MAP2 (MAP2); (5) film without NE + MAP3 (MAP3); (6) active film + MAP1 (1% NE-MAP1); (7) active film + MAP2 (1% NE-MAP2); and (8) active film + MAP3 (1% NE-MAP3). Microbiological analyses of all beef samples were conducted at specific intervals until the bacterial count reached the acceptable limit of 7 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g [39].

#### 2.7.3. Microbiological Analysis

A 10 g beef sample was mixed with 90 mL of sterile peptone water (0.1%) and homogenized for 2 min using a Lab-blender 400 stomacher (Seward Medical, London, UK). Serial dilutions were prepared and spread evenly onto sterile Petri dishes filled with Tryptic soy agar, which were then placed in an incubator for 24 h at  $35 \pm 1$  °C to determine the total viable count (TVC). Total LAB, *B. thermosphacta*, and *Pseudomonas* spp. were determined using specific agar media previously mentioned in Section 2.6 and then incubated at 25 °C for 48 h. After incubation, the colony-forming units (CFU) were counted using a magnifier [40].

#### 2.8. Determination of Thiobarbituric Acid Reactive Substances (TBARSs)

Lipid oxidation in meat was determined using the method outlined by Oussalah et al. [41]. The results are reported as mg malondialdehyde (MDA)/kg.

#### 2.9. Color Parameters of Meat

The color values L\* (lightness), a\* (redness), and b\* (yellowness) were checked immediately after opening the beef package by using a Color Reader Konica Minolta CR-10 Plus (Folio Instruments Inc., Kitchener, ON, Canada), following a procedure by Masoomian et al. [42].

#### 2.10. Statistical Analysis

All experiments were conducted in triplicate (n = 3). The data underwent analysis of variance, and mean differences were assessed with Duncan's test. Differences between means were significant when  $p \le 0.05$ . IBM SPSS Statistics 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was utilized for all data analyses.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

# 3.1. Mechanical Properties

TS, TM, and Eb are key mechanical properties for assessing polymer films in food packaging, as they reflect the material's capacity to withstand stress while preserving structural integrity throughout processing, transportation, and storage [43]. RF at 1.25% (w/w) improved the mechanical properties of GT films, showing better TS (28.05 MPa), TM (294.49 MPa), and Eb (80.55%) compared to pure GT films (without RF) (Table 1). This improvement may have been facilitated by the interaction between GT and RF, which can create a dense network structure due to their good distribution within the GT-RF film. A previous study by Su et al. [44] confirmed the even dispersion of RF throughout the chitosan film using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and found that the addition of RF improved various film characteristics, including thickness, mechanical properties, solubility, and water barrier properties. Therefore, 1.25% (w/w) was chosen as the optimal RF concentration for crosslinking under UV-C irradiation. The physicochemical properties of pure GT and crosslinked GT-based films under different UV-C treatments are presented in Table 2.

Films	TS (MPa)	TM (MPa)	Eb (%)
Pure GT (control)	$21.02\pm0.33~^{a}$	$125.93\pm1.66~^{\rm a}$	$86.01\pm4.25~^{\rm d}$
0.3% RF	$21.66\pm1.45~^{a}$	$125.27\pm1.69~^{\rm a}$	$74.37 \pm 2.63^{\ b}$
0.5% RF	$22.85\pm0.56~^{\rm ab}$	$216.07\pm4.39~^{\text{b}}$	$64.25\pm3.99$ $^{\rm a}$
0.75% RF	$24.74\pm1.41~^{b}$	$278.49 \pm 2.39$ <sup>c</sup>	$67.62\pm1.08$ $^{\rm a}$
1.25% RF	$28.05\pm1.24~^{\rm c}$	$294.49\pm2.10~^{\rm d}$	$80.55\pm1.48~^{\rm c}$

**Table 1.** Effect of different RF concentrations (% w/w of GT) on the mechanical properties (TS, TM, and Eb) of GT-based films.

TS: Tensile Strength; TM: Tensile Modulus; Eb: Elongation at Break. Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. In each column, means with the same lowercase letter are not significantly different (p > 0.05).

TM for pure GT films (uncrosslinked without UV-C) was 125.9 MPa, while the addition of RF combined with UV-C irradiation at different irradiation times significantly increased TM to 323.5 MPa (4 min), 427.6 MPa (9 min), and 573.1 MPa (13 min) ( $p \le 0.05$ ) (Table 2). Therefore, the film RF-13min UV-C showed the highest TM, which was significantly increased ( $p \le 0.05$ ) by 94% compared to the RF-crosslinked film without UV-C

treatment (294.5 to 573.1 MPa). These results indicate that RF in combination with UV-C irradiation adequately increased the film stiffness through a crosslinking mechanism. A significant increase ( $p \le 0.05$ ) in TS was also observed after 4 to 13 min of exposure to UV-C irradiation, which was about 21–66% higher than that of the crosslinked film without exposure to UV-C treatment (46.7 MPa for RF-13min UV-C compared to 28.1 MPa for RF without UV-C). Photo-crosslinking could restrict the mobility of polymer molecules, and thus TS and TM showed an increasing trend with an extended UV-C exposure time [45]. Taghizadeh et al. [22] reported that TS of a UV-treated GT-RF film was about 8 times greater than that of the film without RF and irradiation treatment.

Films	TS (MPa)	TM (MPa)	Eb (%)	WVP (g·mm/m <sup>2</sup> ·day·kPa)	WS (%)
Pure GT (without RF) without UV-C	$21.02\pm0.33^{\text{ b}}$	$125.93 \pm 1.66$ <sup>a</sup>	$86.01 \pm 4.25$ <sup>e</sup>	$20.49\pm0.29^{i}$	$100\pm0.00$
RF without UV-C	$28.05\pm1.24~^{c}$	$294.49\pm2.10~^{c}$	$80.55\pm1.48~^{\rm de}$	$17.11\pm0.14^{\text{ h}}$	$31.78\pm0.36\ ^{i}$
RF-4 min UV-C	$34.14\pm0.9~^{\rm d}$	$323.51 \pm 2.56 \ ^{\rm d}$	$82.83 \pm 6.73$ $^{\rm e}$	$13.33\pm0.18~^{\rm f}$	$22.14\pm1.74~^{g}$
RF-9 min UV-C	$44.11\pm4.57~^{\rm fg}$	$427.6 \pm 2.13 \ ^{g}$	$35.26\pm0.94~^a$	$9.72\pm0.15^{\ e}$	$17.38\pm0.74~^{\rm f}$
RF-13 min UV-C	$46.69\pm2.18~\mathrm{g}$	$573.1 \pm 2.43^{\ j}$	$67.4\pm1.98~^{\rm c}$	$6.71\pm0.18$ $^{\rm c}$	$11.85 \pm 0.083 \ ^{\rm d}$
RF-17 min UV-C	$42.35\pm3.05~^{ef}$	$495.06 \pm 8.52 \ ^{i}$	$74.43\pm5.09~^{cd}$	$7.42\pm0.23$ $^{\rm d}$	$13.08 \pm 0.069 \ ^{\rm e}$
RF-22 min UV-C	$9.33\pm0.77$ $^{\rm a}$	$166.4\pm3.8~^{\rm b}$	$44.6\pm5.28^{\text{ b}}$	$14.74\pm0.39~^{g}$	$25.26\pm0.61~^h$
RF-0.5% NE-13 min UV-C	$43.31\pm0.53~^{fg}$	$470.59 \pm 5.79 \ ^{h}$	$70.61\pm2.43$ $^{\rm c}$	$6.27\pm0.45$ $^{\rm c}$	$9.37\pm0.24$ $^{\rm c}$
RF-0.75% NE-13 min UV-C	$40.69\pm2.19$ $^{ef}$	$410.59 \pm 10.23 \ ^{\rm f}$	$81.95\pm3.05\ ^{e}$	$4.87\pm0.72~^{b}$	$6.82\pm0.51~^{b}$
RF-1% NE-13 min UV-C	$38.78\pm1.46\ ^{e}$	$333.92 \pm 7.39 \ ^{\rm e}$	$97.99 \pm 3.51 \ {\rm f}$	$3.74\pm0.32~^{a}$	$5.50\pm0.24$ $^{\rm a}$

Table 2. Physico-mechanical properties of UV-C-irradiated crosslinked GT-based films.

Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. In each column, means with the same lowercase letter are not significantly different (*p* > 0.05). RF was used at a concentration of 1.25% (*w*/*w* of GT).

However, a significant decrease in TS was observed after 17 min of irradiation, possibly attributed to polymer degradation induced by UV-C irradiation [45]. According to Zain et al. [46], the correlation between increased irradiation time and improved TS is not always consistent, potentially because of the induction of damage to the polymer chains during the irradiation treatment. Additionally, introducing higher amounts of photo-additives (>6% of polymer dry basis) can impede the penetration of UV light and diminish the crosslinking reaction, leading to a decline in TS. Following that, incorporating NE into crosslinked films slightly decreased TS from 46.7 MPa (RF-13min UV-C) to 43.3, 40.7, and 38.7 MPa for 0.5%, 0.75%, and 1% NE, respectively. Despite this, TS values were still 1.8 to 2 times higher than those of pure GT films. Similarly, TM dropped from 573.1 MPa (RF-13min UV-C) to 470, 410, and 333 MPa, but these values were 1.6 to 2.7 times higher than those of pure GT films. Based on our results obtained for TS and TM, RF-13min UV-C film can be assigned to an optimal crosslinking process.

The addition of RF significantly decreased ( $p \le 0.05$ ) Eb of the films without NE by 18.6% (86.01% for pure GT film to 67.4% for RF-13min UV-C film). The reduction in Eb is primarily attributed to the increased stiffness of films due to the photochemical crosslinking instead of the particle reinforcement of RF [28]. Inversely, the incorporation of 1% NE in films significantly increased Eb up to 98.0% ( $p \le 0.05$ ) compared to films without NE ( $p \le 0.05$ ), due to the plasticizing effect of EOs and citrus extract, which enhanced film elasticity (a decrease in rigidity equivalent to an increase in flexibility). It was reported that phenolic components containing hydroxyl and carbonyl groups found in EOs and citrus extract interact hydrophobically with the NH<sub>3</sub> groups of GT, leading to such an elongation improvement in biomaterials [47]. The findings from the above results allow us to deduce that the introduction of RF into GT-based films under UV-C irradiation led to considerable

enhancements in TS and TM due to GT-RF polymer crosslinks, whereas the addition of NE improved the ductility of films.

#### 3.2. Water Vapor Permeability (WVP)

The low WVP of the films is of high relevance for the longevity of a food product as it serves to minimize the exchange of moisture between the interior and exterior packaging conditions [48]. The WVP values of pure GT film (control) and crosslinked films are presented in Table 2. Generally, pure GT films showed a high WVP due to their nonlinear structure and highly hydrophilic groups [49]. Increasing the UV-C irradiation times significantly decreased ( $p \le 0.05$ ) the WVP of films. An increase in the water barrier was noted in films treated with RF-13 min of UV-C exposure compared to other treatments, with a minimum value of  $6.7 \text{ g}\cdot\text{mm/m}^2 \cdot \text{day} \cdot \text{kPa}$ . This value corresponds to a 67% decrease compared to pure GT films and a 60% decrease compared to films containing RF without UV-C. Thus, crosslinked GT restricts hydrogen bond formation and water absorption within the biopolymer, thereby reducing water entrance within the film into the food matrix. Additionally, the compact structure of crosslinked GT decreases the rate at which water vapor is absorbed and migrates within the film, leading to a lower WVP [47]. According to Bai et al. [50], hyaluronan/polyvinyl alcohol films with styryl pyridinium groups were able to create a network by photo-dimerization. This network created a tortuous path that obstructed the passage of water molecules. Shahbazi et al. [51] also assessed photocrosslinked carboxymethyl cellulose films and found a lower WVP compared to films crosslinked through chemical methods. Based on such correlated results obtained for TS, TM, and WVP, RF-13min UV-C film can be assigned to an optimal crosslinking process.

Conversely, the reason for an increasing WVP at 17 and 22 min of UV-C irradiation might be the scission of GT chains, which expanded the spaces between the chains and consequently led to higher WVP. Furthermore, the addition of 1% NE significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) decreased WVP of films to  $3.7 \text{ g}\cdot\text{mm/m}^2\cdot\text{day}\cdot\text{kPa}$ , which was about 81% lower than that of pure GT film. This reduction is mainly due to the hydrophobic nature of EOs and flavonoids in citrus extract, which alters the hydrophilic/hydrophobic balance of films even at a low ratio, limiting water vapor transfer by increasing mass transfer tortuosity. Similar effects were observed in hake protein-based films incorporating thyme EO, where increasing EO levels decreased WVP [52].

#### 3.3. Water Solubility (WS)

Film solubility is one of the most significant indicators of resistance to water [53]. GT-based films, due to their hydrophilic characteristics, exhibit high WS, which poses a limitation on their suitability for packaging purposes. Our study showed that UV-C exposure durations (4 to 22 min) significantly decreased the solubility of GT films (Table 2). Film formulation treated in the presence of RF-13min under UV-C irradiation showed a significant reduction ( $p \le 0.05$ ) in WS (11.9%) compared to the pure GT film (100%) and the other crosslinked films (13.1–25.3%). This decrease in WS obtained by UV-C crosslinking of films can be explained by the formation of a highly semi-interpenetrated network and a decrease in hydrophilic amino acids, which prevented water penetration into the films [28]. The results obtained in this study are in agreement with Bhat and Karim [54], who showed that UV-C exposure led to the formation of covalent bonds between GT and ribose, subsequently reducing the water solubility of GT-based films. These results are also consistent with those obtained in this study for WVP measurements.

Moreover, the RF-1%NE-13min UV-C film achieved the lowest WS value (5.5%). This additional reduction can be attributed to hydrogen bonding between protein NH<sub>3</sub> groups and phenolic compounds in EOs and citrus extract, forming a denser film with decreased water affinity [55]. Lowering WS is crucial as it minimizes the release of active compounds from films, thereby potentially extending the shelf-life of food products [56]. As a corroborating observation of film characterization, the film formulation RF-13min UV-C with different NE contents was selected for subsequent microbiological tests.

# 3.4. FTIR Analysis

Figure 1 represents the FTIR spectra of pure GT film and GT films crosslinked by RF/UV-C irradiation at different exposure times. Changes in vibration bands show potential molecular interactions that might be associated with RF-mediated crosslinking of GT when exposed to UV-C irradiation. The FTIR spectrum of pure GT film displayed some characteristic absorption bands:  $3600-3100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (–OH stretching vibration from Amide A and –NH/NH<sub>3</sub>+ stretch from Amide B), 2941 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C–H antisym and sym stretch), 1631 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O stretch coupled to C–N stretch and N–H bending from Amide I band), 1544 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C–N stretch coupled to C–N stretch from Amide II band), and 1237 cm<sup>-1</sup> (N–H bending in-plane coupled to C–N stretch from Amide III band) as previously reported [57,58]. It was observed that the peak intensity at wavenumbers from 3500 to 2700 cm<sup>-1</sup> was higher for crosslinked films compared to the pure GT film (control) and GT-RF film without UV-C irradiation. Likewise, UV-C irradiation caused an obvious increase in the -OH/-NH stretching band accompanied by a shift to higher frequencies from 3309 to 3316 cm<sup>-1</sup>, suggesting a crosslinking effect on the protein primary structure and conformational changes.



Figure 1. FTIR spectrum of UV-C irradiated crosslinked GT-RF films.

Crosslinked films during 13 and 17 min of UV-C exposure led to an observable shift of absorption towards higher wavenumbers from 1633 to 1643 cm<sup>-1</sup> of the Amide I band as compared to the GT-RF film without irradiation. Furthermore, a slight shift of the Amide III band, from 1237 to 1241 cm<sup>-1</sup>, was also observed, indicating changes in GT structure. Similarly, Wang et al. [28] and Taghizadeh et al. [25] reported a shift to higher wavenumbers of the Amide A, I, and III bands of GT protein due to UV/RF-mediated crosslinks.

In addition, in the fingerprint infrared region, two new covalent link vibrations appeared in the spectra of crosslinked films exposed to UV-C for 13 and 17 min. Indeed, a new band appeared at 1729 cm<sup>-1</sup> that is related to C=O stretching due to the presence of the imidazolone group (i.e., carbonylated imidazole group) in the structure of RF. A second new band was observed at 1575 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which might correspond to N-H bending and aromatic ring stretching of imidazolone groups, based on the mechanism of reaction, implying imidazole groups of histidine and tryptophan moieties from the protein reported previously by McCall et al. [59]. RF can prompt crosslinking of protein molecules when exposed to UV-C irradiation due to the participation of histidine, hydroxyproline, hydroxylysine, tyrosine, and threonine in crosslinking reactions. This histidine can facilitate the

conversion of imidazole moieties into an electrophilic imidazolone through the activation of ROS and interacts with nucleophilic amino acids to form covalent crosslinks [29,60].

Additionally, new covalent bonds could be created where photodynamic alteration of tyrosine likely aids in the RF-induced crosslinking of proteins through di-tyrosine formation (Figure 2). Typically, ionizing radiation of aqueous protein solutions in the presence of  $N_2O$ generates hydroxyl radicals (·OH) through the process of water radiolysis. Under UV-C irradiation, RF absorbs UV-C photons and becomes excited (RF\*) (Figure 2, step 1). The excited RF\* can then interact with water molecules to produce ROS such as ·OH (Figure 2, step 2). Sulfur-containing and aromatic amino acids are more susceptible to reactions with ROS compared to aliphatic amino acids. For instance, tyrosine is particularly vulnerable to •OH attack. •OH can oxidize tyrosine, leading to the formation of a tyrosyl radical (Tyr•) and an RF anion radical (RF) (Figure 2, step 3). These tyrosyl radicals may subsequently react with other tyrosyl radicals or tyrosine molecules, resulting in the production of dityrosine (Tyr-Tyr) products (Figure 2, step 4) [60]. These compounds contain covalent bonds linking their phenolic components. Within these products, 2',2-biphenol di-tyrosine is notably significant. Di-tyrosine formation is more probable between two distinct protein chains (intermolecular crosslinking) instead of occurring within the same protein chain (intramolecular crosslinking). The intermolecular formation of di-tyrosine is one mechanism for protein aggregation, although other crosslinks can also form [61]. The efficiency of polymerization induced by irradiation is influenced by various factors, including dose rate, the presence of antioxidants, oxygen levels, and the molecular structure of biopolymers. Oxygen and free radicals from irradiation can degrade proteins by forming peroxyl radicals [28].

$$RF \xrightarrow{hv} RF^* \xrightarrow{H_2O} H^+ + OH \xrightarrow{Tyr} Tyr + RF \cdot \xrightarrow{Tyr} Tyr - Tyr (di-tyrosine product)$$

Figure 2. Riboflavin-induced crosslinking of proteins through di-tyrosine formation.

Thus, in this study, N<sub>2</sub>O was purged in the film-forming solution before irradiation. Moreover, prolonged irradiation can alter the distribution of protein molecular sizes, resulting in the formation of high-molecular-weight particles. This occurs through initial protein fragmentation followed by subsequent aggregation [62]. According to Cho et al. [63], UV irradiation resulted in alterations to the molecular size of  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin, increasing from 18.4 kDa to 35 kDa as the irradiation time increased, specifically after 16 and 32 h of irradiation. Similarly, gamma irradiation at 32 kGy enhanced protein aggregation due to the formation of di-tyrosine bonds, resulting in a more than 15-fold increase in molecular weight [64].

FTIR results corroborate those of physico-mechanical analyses and confirm RF-sensitized protein crosslinking and structural changes in the GT polymer network under UV-C irradiation, with the optimal condition reached for films exposed to UV-C for 13 min (film formulation RF-13min UV-C).

#### 3.5. Apparent Release Kinetics of Bioactive Compounds (In Vitro)

The profiles of bioactive compounds released from the photo-crosslinked films in 95% ethanol during 168 h (7 days) at 4 °C are presented in Figure 3. The findings indicate that the release of bioactive compounds (EOs and citrus extract) was slow during the first 3 h and showed 17.7%, 20.1%, and 25.5% of cumulative release for 1%, 0.75%, and 0.5% NE, respectively. In comparison to 0.5% NE, the initial burst release for 1% and 0.75% NE was observed at 10 h, which was about 33.0% and 39.0% respectively; after that, a sustained release was perceived. In general, the initial burst release of the bioactive compounds is related to those situated in the outermost layer of the polymeric matrix [65]. A stabilization was observed after 12 h for 1% NE and 0.75% NE, showing a respective release of 35.9 and 40.4%. On the contrary, a release of 58.2% was observed for 0.5% NE after 24 h. So, the impacts of various concentrations of bioactive compounds on their sustained

release from each film were significant ( $p \le 0.05$ ). It was observed that increasing the bioactive compound concentration to 1% causes a lower cumulative release ( $M_t/M_{\infty} \times 100$ ) compared to 0.75% and 0.5% NE ( $p \le 0.05$ ). These results align with the observations made by Razavi et al. [66], who noted that in a fish GT-bacterial cellulose nanocrystal complex, higher concentrations of cinnamon EO corresponded to a decreased release rate of EO.



**Figure 3.** Release profile of the bioactive compounds from NE incorporated in crosslinked RF-13 min UV-C films.

To analyze the release kinetics of three different concentrations of NE, the results were fitted to the Korsmeyer–Peppas model, and the parameters  $k_{kn}$ , n, and  $R^2$  values are presented in Table 3. The  $R^2$  value for all film samples was > 0.9, indicating that the model fitted the release of bioactive compounds from active films. Based on the calculated n values for the tested samples using this model ( $n \le 0.45$ ), the release was controlled by a Fickian diffusion. This suggests that the polymer remained intact throughout the release. In addition, the  $k_{kn}$  value was inversely proportional to the concentration of bioactive compounds. For example,  $k_{kp}$  decreased from 0.45 to 0.39 as bioactive components in the film increased from 0.5% to 1%. Thus, a higher concentration (1%) of bioactive compounds led to a decreased cumulative release over a longer period. Similarly, Criado et al. [67] observed  $k_{kv}$  decreasing from 14.30 to 9.85 with 1–3% thyme EO in alginate beads with n values lower than 0.45, indicating Fickian-controlled diffusion. Ben-Fadhel et al. [36] also noted a slow Fickian diffusion-controlled release of phenolic compounds from pectin-based emulsion. Thus, these findings may demonstrate the sustained release of bioactive compounds by a Fickian diffusion from the photo-crosslinked films, which could be applied as a controlled-release active packaging system in food.

Samples	п	$k_{kp}$	$R^2$
1% NE	0.42	0.390	0.9625
0.75% NE	0.45	0.404	0.9579
0.5% NE	0.44	0.459	0.9672

 Table 3. Release parameters of the bioactive compounds from NE incorporated in crosslinked

 RF-13min UV-C films.

Parameters *n*,  $k_{kp}$ , and  $R^2$  represent release exponent, release constant, and correlation coefficient, respectively. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of 3 independent measurements.

#### 3.6. In Vitro Antibacterial Capacity of Bioactive Films

The antimicrobial activity of the crosslinked film RF-13 min UV-C incorporated with three different concentrations of NE (0.5, 0.75, 1%) was tested against six spoilage bacteria (*L. sakei, L. curvatus, C. divergens, L. mesenteroides, B. thermosphacta,* and *P. aeruginosa*) using the disk diffusion method. The results of measurements of IC % are presented in Figure 4. As expected, the RF-13min UV-C film without NE (control) did not show any antibacterial activity, but bioactive films containing 0.5, 0.75, and 1% NE showed discrete antibacterial activity depending on the bacteria species (from 48.3% to 83.1%). The film incorporated with 1% NE exhibited the highest antibacterial activity ( $p \le 0.05$ ), with IC values from 65.7% (against *P. aeruginosa*) to 83.1% (against *C. divergens*) compared to the two other films

with 0.75% and 0.5% NE. However, films containing 0.75% and 0.5% NE showed very acceptable IC values, with a range of IC (%) extending from 48.4 to 82.2% against spoilage bacteria. It was observed that bioactive films had a significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) higher inhibition effect on *B. thermosphacta* and *C. divergens* (61.1–80.9% and 70.1–83.1% respectively); on the contrary, the least-sensitive bacteria were *P. aeruginosa* and *L. sakei* (48.3–65.7% and 50.1–67%, respectively). The diverse antibacterial action of NE could be due to the type and morphological differences of these bacteria.



**Figure 4.** Inhibitory capacity (IC %) of crosslinked RF-13min UV-C films incorporated with 3 different concentrations of NE (0.5, 0.75, and 1%). Means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different (p > 0.05).

Gram (-) bacteria, such as *P. aeruginosa*, have a complex cell wall structure that limits the permeability of lipophilic compounds like EOs [68,69]. The fine and small particles of the NE—containing phenolic terpenes (thymol, carvacrol), terpene hydrocarbons ( $\gamma$ -terpinene, p-cymene, and  $\alpha$ -pinene), terpenoid aldehydes (cuminic aldehyde), and phenylpropanoids (cinnamaldehyde)—exert their antimicrobial effects by disrupting bacterial cell membranes and interfering with cellular energy processes. The synergistic action of both major and minor compounds can collectively exert a substantial influence on microorganisms [70]. In our previous research, NE had the smallest particle size and polydispersity index (PDI), as well as high zeta potential and encapsulation efficiency. The prepared NE showed significantly better stability at both 4 °C and 30 °C, remaining stable for 30 days, whereas the coarse emulsion only remained stable for 5 days. Additionally, the NE demonstrated stronger antimicrobial properties, with lower minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) against the target bacterial strains, which were not observed with the coarse emulsion [32].

# 3.7. In Situ Antimicrobial Effect of Bioactive Films—Shelf-Life of Meat 3.7.1. Effect of Bioactive Films on Air-Packaged Beef

The crosslinked film RF-13min UV-C incorporated with three different concentrations of NE (0.5, 0.75, 1%) was applied to fresh sliced beef, under air conditions. The results of microbiological analysis during storage at 4 °C, containing total viable count (TVC), total LAB count, *Pseudomonas* spp. count (PSC), and *B. thermosphacta* count (BTC), are shown in Figure 5a–d. When compared to C-air (control, meat covered with film without NE), the bioactive films exhibited significant inhibitory effects ( $p \le 0.05$ ) against the studied bacteria, with similar trends in the growth curves. The initial population of TVC in C-air was 2.5 log CFU/g (Figure 5a) and eventually increased rapidly to reach 7.0 log CFU/g at day 12 (acceptance microbiological limit). The lowest TVC was found in 1% NE-air and 0.75% NE-air groups, with respective counts of 2.6 and 3.2 log CFU/g on day 12, indicating a significant difference ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between these treatments and the control. Thereafter, 1% NE-air and 0.75% NE-air samples reached 7.0 log on days 20 and 18, showing a respective shelf-life extension of 8 and 6 days. In contrast, the TVC in the 0.5% NE-air

group reached 7.0 log at day 15, showing a shorter shelf-life extension of 4 days. Likewise, Azarifar et al. [71] reported that the TVC of beef samples wrapped in GT-carboxymethyl cellulose films containing phenolic monoterpenes remained below the microbial limit over 15 days of storage.



**Figure 5.** Effect of bioactive films on the microbial populations of beef stored at 4  $^{\circ}$ C in air packaging. Total viable count (TVC) (**a**), Total LAB (**b**), *Pseudomonas* spp. (**c**), and *B. thermosphacta* (**d**). The horizontal dash-dotted line represents an acceptance limit of 7 log CFU/g. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of 3 independent measurements.

LAB and *Pseudomonas* spp. are key contributors to spoilage in meat products. These bacteria can lead to significant acidification, green discoloration, the development of unpleasant flavors, and slime formation [72,73]. Based on our present findings, the initial LAB in C-air was 2.0 log CFU/g, which reached up to 7.0 log on day 12 (Figure 5b). In comparison to C-air, the LAB count in 1% NE-air and 0.75% NE-air groups was respectively 3.4 and 4.4 log on day 12 and reached 7.0 log on days 19 and 17. Hence, these bioactive films prolonged the shelf-life of meat up to 17–19 days of storage, which corresponds to a 5–7-day extension compared to C-air. As expected, the 0.5% NE-air film containing a lower percentage of NE showed a lower inhibitory effect on LAB compared to the other bioactive films, with a shelf-life extension of 3 days. Tsironi et al. [74] reported the same behavior for whey protein isolate films containing 1% EO, which had lower levels of TVC, LAB, Pseudomonas spp., B. thermosphacta, Enterobacteriaceae, and yeasts on the 11th day of meat shelf-life compared to films with 0.5% EOs. Very similar trends were observed against *Pseudomonas* spp., with 7 log CFU/g reached at day 12 for C-air (Figure 5c) and a significant shelf-life extension ( $p \le 0.05$ ) of meat treated with 1% NE-air and 0.75% NE-air, which reached 7 log on days 19 and 17, respectively (5–7 day extension). Furthermore, the shelf-life of meat treated with 0.5% NE-air was extended until day 15 (improvement

of 3 days). Generally, *Pseudomonas* is known as one of the most resistant spoilage bacteria to several antibacterial agents [75] but, in our study, bioactive films were very effective against this Gram (-) bacteria. Previous investigations by researchers also reported similar results, mentioning that films and coatings incorporated with various EOs could effectively reduce the proliferation of *Pseudomonas* in refrigerated meat [76–78].

*B. thermosphacta* belongs to the natural microflora of beef and is one of the predominant spoilage bacteria, which can develop a sour–sweet odor [79]. In the C-air group, the initial BTC was 2.1 log CFU/g and reached the acceptance limit (7 log) on day 7 (Figure 5d). In contrast, on day 9, a significant difference ( $p \le 0.05$ ) was observed in all groups with bioactive films in descending order of 1% NE-air (2.71 log) > 0.75% NE-air (3.16 log) > 0.5% NE-air (4.26 log). The highest inhibition effect was observed for 1% NE-air, which generated an important shelf-life extension of 11 days compared to C-air. Similarly, Tsironi et al. [74] reported that the level of *B. thermosphacta* remained very low in meat products treated with whey protein-based films containing 1% EOs compared to 0.5%. Since 1% NE-air film showed a significant extension ( $p \le 0.05$ ) of beef shelf-life during storage at 4 °C, this film was selected for the next study in combination with three different MAP conditions.

3.7.2. Combined Effects of the Most Efficient Bioactive Film (1% NE) with MAP on Packaged Beef

The antimicrobial effects of the most efficient bioactive film (1% NE) in combination with different MAP conditions consisting of  $80\% O_2 + 20\% CO_2$  (MAP1),  $70\% O_2 + 30\%$  $CO_2$  (MAP2), and 60%  $O_2$  + 20%  $CO_2$  + 20%  $N_2$  (MAP3) were evaluated on beef samples for 26 days at 4 °C, and data are reported in Figure 6a–d. As observed in the previous section, when compared to C-air (control, meat covered with film without NE), the bioactive films exhibited significant inhibitory effects ( $p \le 0.05$ ) against the studied bacteria, with similar zone trends in the growth curves. In all bacterial counts, the initial level of control (C-air) was determined to be  $2 \log CFU/g$  on day 0. The TVC level reached 7 log CFU/g on different days depending on the sample groups (Figure 6a). In ascending order, the following measurements were obtained: day 12 (C-air) < day 14 (MAP3) < day 16 (MAP1 and MAP2) < day 20 (1% NE-air) < day 22 (1% NE-MAP3) < day 25 (1% NE-MAP1 and 1% NE-MAP2), with significant differences ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Therefore, the sequence of shelf-life extension followed the same influence of parameters in the order air < MAP3 < MAP1 (or MAP2) < bioactive film < bioactive film + MAP3 < bioactive film + MAP1 (or +MAP2). Thus, the single MAP process allowed a significant shelf-life extension ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between 2 and 4 days, with a lower impact by using MAP3 (low  $O_2$  content compared to MAP1 and MAP2). Furthermore, the combination of bioactive film with high- $O_2$  MAP (MAP1 or MAP2) provided the highest TVC inhibition, extending the shelf-life of beef by 13 days in comparison to C-air (more than double). Huang et al. [80] also noted a lower TVC in meat products at 60%  $O_2$  compared to 20% and 40%  $O_2$  MAP. The present results align reasonably well with those of Berruga et al. [81], who observed a TVC exceeding 7 log CFU/g in lamb meat stored for 3 to 4 weeks using different MAP conditions. Similarly, Xiong et al. [82] found that an oregano-resveratrol NE/pectin coating on pork loins under high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP resulted in the lowest TVC values throughout 20 days of storage.



(c)

**Figure 6.** Combined effects of bioactive films and varying MAP conditions (MAP1—80%  $O_2 + 20\%$  CO<sub>2</sub>; MAP2—70%  $O_2 + 30\%$  CO<sub>2</sub>; MAP3—60%  $O_2 + 20\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> + 20%  $N_2$ ) on the microbial populations of beef stored at 4 °C. Total viable count (TVC) (**a**), Total LAB (**b**), *Pseudomonas* spp. (**c**), and *B. thermosphacta* (**d**). The horizontal dash-dotted line represents an acceptance limit of 7 log CFU/g. Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation of 3 independent measurements.

(d)

As described for the results obtained in air-packaged meat, very similar graphs were observed between total LAB (Figure 6b) and *Pseudomonas* spp. (Figure 6c), except an inversion of the efficiency between MAP1 and MAP2 as single or combined treatments. Indeed, in both graphs (Figure 6c), control groups (C-air) reach 7.0 log CFU/g at day 12, and successive significant shelf-life extensions ( $p \le 0.05$ ) are observed in meat treated with the different processes. For total LAB (Figure 6a), the extension follows the ascending order day 12 (C-air) < day 14 (MAP3) < day 16 (MAP1 and MAP2) < day 19 (1% NE-air) < day 22 (1% NE-MAP3) < day 25 (1% NE-MAP1 and 1% NE-MAP2), which is also very similar to the trend observed in TVC. In comparison, for *Pseudomonas*, the extension follows the ascending order day 12 (C-air) < day 14 (MAP3 and MAP1) < day 15 (MAP2) < day 19 (1% NE-air) < day 14 (MAP3 and 1% NE-MAP1) < day 26 (1% NE-MAP2), resulting in a lower efficiency of MAP1 process.

Regarding LAB count (Figure 6b), there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between MAP1 and MAP2 throughout storage, both reaching 7 log on day 16 (4-day extension), while MAP3 reached 7.0 log on day 14 (2-day extension only). Otherwise, the combined treatments with bioactive film 1% NE-MAP1 and 1%NE-MAP2 reached 7.0 log on day 25 (compared to day 22 for 1% NE-MAP3), corresponding to a shelf-life extension of 13 days (more than double) and an extension of 6 to 9 days compared to their respective single treatments. Hence, 1% NE-MAP1 and 1% NE-MAP2 were the most efficient treatments for controlling the LAB population in beef. It is clear from Figure 6b that the contribution of MAP1 had a higher inhibitory effect on LAB populations, due to the fact they are facultative anaerobic bacteria. LAB levels remain consistently low in high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP (70–80% O<sub>2</sub>) and have a limited impact on the spoilage microflora [83]. According to Jaberi et al. [84], vacuum-packaged buffalo meat showed faster growth of LAB than high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP (80% O<sub>2</sub> + 20% CO<sub>2</sub>). Berruga et al. [81] also reported an increase in LAB count in vacuum-packaged ground beef compared to high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP samples during storage.

Regarding *Pseudomonas* spp. (Figure 6c), it is interesting to note such an inversion of the effect of MAP2 to the detriment of MAP1. Indeed, no significant difference (p > 0.05) was observed between MAP1 and MAP3 throughout storage, both reaching 7 log on day 14 (2-day extension only), while MAP2 reached 7.0 log on day 15 (3-day extension). Otherwise, the combined treatment with bioactive film 1% NE-MAP2 reached 7.0 log on day 26 (compared to day 24 for 1% NE-MAP1 and 1% NE-MAP3), corresponding to a shelflife extension of 14 days (more than double) and an extension of 7 to 11 days compared to its respective single treatments. Hence, 1% NE-MAP2 was the most efficient treatment for controlling Pseudomonas in beef. This inverted tendency can be explained since Pseudomonas spp. are Gram (-) bacteria and they are notably sensitive to  $CO_2$ , which prolongs their lag phase and slows their growth rate throughout the logarithmic phase [85]. Using 20% or more CO<sub>2</sub> in MAP significantly inhibits their growth [86]. Thus, MAP1, MAP2, and MAP3 showed greater inhibition as compared to C-air (Figure 6c), and higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the MAP gas mixtures resulted in more significant *Pseudomonas* inhibition ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Also, their combination with active film effectively inhibited *Pseudomonas* spp. in fresh beef. This aligns with findings by Chouliara et al. [5] on the high inhibition effects of MAP combined with 1% oregano EO. Our study confirms that MAP2, with higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, was more effective in reducing *Pseudomonas* spp. This supports the finding that these bacteria are more susceptible to CO<sub>2</sub> compared to Gram (+) bacteria such as LAB and *B. thermosphacta* [87,88].

B. thermosphacta, a Gram (+) facultative anaerobe bacterium, is included in the natural microflora of fresh meat that is packaged either in aerobic conditions or under MAP [89]. This could explain the similar trend of increasing shelf-life with a lower efficiency of MAP1, as observed in *Pseudomonas*. Indeed, the extension follows the ascending order (Figure 6d) day 7 (C-air) < day 12 (MAP1, MAP2 and MAP3) < day 18 (1% NE-air) < day 24 (1% NE-MAP1 and 1% NE-MAP2) < day 25 (1% NE-MAP3). As single treatments, MAP1, MAP2, and MAP3 generated an intermediate zone with significant differences  $(p \le 0.05)$  during storage but with a common terminal point of 7 log CFU/g at day 12 (p > 0.05), corresponding to a 5-day extension. Thus, during storage, MAP2 and MAP3 were more efficient than MAP1 at inhibiting bacterial count. This tendency was maintained when MAP was combined with bioactive film. Specifically, the combined treatment of 1% NE-MAP3 reached 7.0 log on day 25 (compared to day 24 for 1% NE-MAP1 and 1% NE-MAP2), corresponding to a shelf-life extension of 18 days (more than 3 times), and an extension of 7 to 13 days compared to its respective single treatments. Hence, 1% NE-MAP3 was the most efficient treatment for controlling *B. thermosphacta* in beef. This competitive bacterium grows more rapidly when oxygen is available for aerobic metabolism [90]. These results also align with Chouliara et al. [5], who observed a decrease of over 5 logs in B. thermosphacta when using a combination of MAP and oregano EO compared to individual treatment during 25 days of storage.

In summary, the data obtained in our in situ microbiological study confirm a synergistic effect between bioactive film and MAP, with a MAP process to delay TVC, LAB growth, and spoilage bacteria such as *Pseudomonas* spp. and *B. thermosphacta* in meat, depending on the  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  content. It was also reported that NEs can improve the dispersion of antimicrobial agents in aqueous phase or food matrices, efficiently transport through outer membrane porin proteins, and deliver EOs to bacterial cell membranes [91], thereby

providing a strong antimicrobial effect and a longer shelf-life of products (26 days of storage in our study). Masoomian et al. [42] found that combining nanoencapsulated EOs and high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP (80% O<sub>2</sub> + 20% CO<sub>2</sub>) effectively enhanced the microbial and sensory quality of ground meat stored at 4  $^{\circ}$ C.

#### 3.8. Degree of Lipid Oxidation in Meat (TBARS Measurement)

The TBARS value is a common measure for lipid oxidation in meat, determined by the red pigment produced when 2-thiobarbituric acid reacts with malondialdehyde (MDA), a by-product of polyunsaturated fatty acid oxidation [92]. The permissible limit of TBARS values for the acceptability of beef is 2.0 mg MDA/kg [93]. Table 4 shows the TBARS values of samples during storage at 4 °C, in parallel with microbiological analyses.

On day 0, TBARS values were 0.03-0.04 mg MDA/kg among all groups (p > 0.05), which increased over time. Notably, the control group (C-air) exceeded the limit on day 9 and reached 3.27 mg MDA/kg on day 12, indicating the highest degree of lipid oxidation compared to other samples. However, on day 9, MAP1, MAP2, and MAP3 treatments generated 0.68, 0.63, and 0.41 mg MDA/kg, respectively, showing a significant reduction compared to the levels found in C-air ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Among MAP groups, TBARS values were particularly affected by oxygen levels in MAP composition, with values increasing significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) with oxygen content, as follows: 0.41 mg MDA/kg at 60% O<sub>2</sub>  $(MAP3) < 0.63 \text{ mg MDA/kg at } 70\% \text{ O}_2 \text{ (MAP2)} < 0.68 \text{ mg MDA/kg at } 80\% \text{ O}_2 \text{ (MAP1)}.$ Both MAP1 and MAP2 exceeded the limit on day 16, while MAP3 remained below the limit at 1.60 mg MDA/kg ( $p \le 0.05$ ). As reported by Śmiecińska and Daszkiewicz [92], the most significant oxidative changes in lipids occurred in beef samples stored with high oxygen levels (80% O<sub>2</sub>). In contrast, the combined treatment of active film with different MAP conditions greatly retarded the lipid oxidation of beef, with TBARS values much lower ( $p \le 0.05$ ) than those of the control group (C-air), remaining below the limit during 26 days of storage in a range of 1.12–1.37 mg MDA/kg. No notable variation (p > 0.05) was observed between 1% NE-MAP1 and 1% NE-MAP2 samples throughout storage (p > 0.05), whereas 1% NE-MAP3 was significantly lower ( $p \le 0.05$ ) from day 14. The bioactive film (1% NE) played a crucial role in reducing lipid oxidation in the combined treatments, as it maintained significantly low TBARS values ( $\leq 0.53$  mg MDA/kg) throughout the storage period compared to single MAP treatments ( $\geq 1 \text{ mg MDA/kg from day 14}$ ). Hence, the potent antioxidant effects of the bioactive films are likely due to the abundant flavonoid compounds and phenol terpenes present in the NE formulation. Phenolic and flavonoid compounds found in citrus extract and EOs prevent oxidation by donating electrons, disrupting propagation steps, scavenging free radicals, binding metal ions, or serving as substrates for radicals like superoxide or hydroxyl [82,94]. Consistent with our findings, Xiong et al. [82] demonstrated that incorporating oregano EO and/or resveratrol into a pectin coating enhanced the ability of pork samples under high-O2 MAP ( $80\% O_2 + 20\%$ CO<sub>2</sub>) to resist lipid oxidation.

Attribute	Samples	Days																
		0	3	5	7	9	12	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	22	24	25	26
	C-air	${}^{0.04\pm}_{0.0~^{\rm bA}}$	$0.20 \pm 0.03 \ ^{ m dB}$	$0.50 \pm 0.02 \ ^{ m eC}$	${\begin{array}{c} 0.70 \pm \\ 0.01 \ ^{\rm gD} \end{array}}$	${\begin{array}{c} 2.14 \pm \\ 0.04 \ ^{gE} \end{array}}$	$3.27 \pm 0.24 \ ^{dF}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	MAP1	$0.03 \pm 0.0 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	${0.16} \pm \\ 0.01 \ ^{\rm cB}$	${}^{0.32~\pm}_{0.03~dC}$	${}^{0.54~\pm}_{0.02~^{\rm fD}}$	${}^{0.68~\pm}_{0.01~{\rm fE}}$	${\begin{array}{c} 0.89 \pm \\ 0.03 \ ^{\rm cF} \end{array}}$	${}^{1.01\pm}_{0.02}{}^{\rm dG}_{\rm G}$	${}^{1.95\pm}_{0.04}{}^{\rm eH}_{}$	${}^{2.44~\pm}_{0.1~^{eI}}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	MAP2	$0.03 \pm 0.0 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$0.16 \pm 0.01 \ ^{cB}$	${}^{0.30~\pm}_{0.02}{}^{\rm dC}_{\rm dC}$	$0.51 \pm 0.03 \ ^{ m eD}$	$0.63 \pm 0.03 \ ^{eE}$	${}^{0.85\pm}_{0.02}{}^{\rm cF}_{}$	${}^{1.01\pm}_{0.01}{}^{\rm dG}_{\rm G}$	$^{1.86\pm}_{0.01~^{\rm dH}}$	${}^{2.20~\pm}_{0.07~dI}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	MAP3	$0.03 \pm 0.0 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	${}^{0.08\pm}_{0.0~^{\rm bA}}$	$0.22 \pm 0.01 \ ^{ m cB}$	$0.35 \pm 0.02 \ ^{ m dC}$	$0.41 \pm 0.02 \ ^{ m dC}$	$0.60 \pm 0.04 \ ^{ m bD}$	${\begin{array}{c} 0.94 \pm \\ 0.05  {}^{\rm cE} \end{array}}$	$1.05 \pm 0.03  {}^{\mathrm{cF}}$	$1.60 \pm 0.06 \ ^{\rm cG}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAKS	1% NE	${}^{0.04\pm}_{0.0~aA}$	${0.03 \pm \atop 0.0 \ ^{aA}}$	${}^{0.04~\pm}_{0.01~^{\rm aA}}$	${}^{0.05\pm}_{0.01}{}^{\rm aAB}_{\rm aAB}$	${}^{0.08\pm}_{0.01}{}^{\rm aBC}_{\rm aBC}$	${\begin{array}{c} 0.11 \pm \\ 0.01 \ ^{\rm aCD} \end{array}}$	${}^{0.14~\pm}_{0.01~^{\rm aD}}$	${\begin{array}{c} 0.18 \pm \\ 0.02 \ ^{aE} \end{array}}$	${ 0.23 \pm \atop 0.02 } ^{\rm aF}$	${\begin{array}{c} 0.30 \pm \\ 0.01 \ ^{aG} \end{array}}$	${}^{0.37\pm}_{0.02}{}^{\rm bH}_{}$	${}^{0.48~\pm}_{0.05~^{\rm bI}}$	$0.53 \pm 0.05 \ ^{ m bJ}$	-	-	-	-
	1% NE- MAP1	$0.03 \pm 0.0 \ ^{aA}$	$0.05 \pm 0.0 \ ^{aA}$	${}^{0.07\pm}_{0.01~^{\rm bA}}$	$0.14 \pm 0.0 \ ^{ m cB}$	${\begin{array}{c} 0.17 \pm \\ 0.01 \ ^{\rm cBC} \end{array}}$	$0.19 \pm 0.01 \ ^{\rm aC}$	${}^{0.22\pm}_{0.01}{}^{\rm bC}_{}$	$0.27 \pm 0.02 \ ^{ m bD}$	$0.35 \pm 0.03 \ ^{ m bE}$	${}^{0.54~\pm}_{0.03~^{\rm bF}}$	$0.58 \pm 0.01 \ { m cFG}$	$0.61 \pm 0.01 {}^{ m cGH}$	$0.65 \pm 0.02 \ ^{ m cH}$	$0.72 \pm 0.01 \ ^{ m bI}$	${}^{0.92~\pm}_{0.05~^{bJ}}$	${}^{1.21\pm}_{0.05~bK}$	$1.37 \pm 0.08 \ ^{bL}$
-	1% NE- MAP2	$0.03 \pm 0.0 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$0.04 \pm 0.0 \ ^{aA}$	$0.07 \pm 0.0$ <sup>bA</sup>	$0.13 \pm 0.01 \ ^{ m cB}$	$0.16 \pm 0.01 \ ^{\rm cBC}$	$0.19 \pm 0.01 \ ^{\mathrm{aC}}$	$0.21 \pm 0.01 \ ^{\rm bC}$	${}^{0.25\pm}_{0.01~{}^{\rm bD}}$	$0.33 \pm 0.06 \ ^{ m bE}$	${0.51} \pm \\ 0.02  {}^{\rm bF}$	$0.56 \pm 0.01 \ ^{\rm cG}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.59 \pm 0 \\ _{cG} \end{array}$	$0.63 \pm 0.02 \ ^{ m cH}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.71 \pm 0 \\ _{bI} \end{array}$	${}^{0.92\pm}_{0.04~^{bJ}}$	${}^{1.20~\pm}_{0.04~^{bK}}$	$1.34 \pm 0.06 \ ^{bL}$
	1% NE- MAP3	$0.03 \pm 0.0 \ ^{aA}$	$0.03 \pm 0.0 \ ^{aA}$	$0.06 \pm 0.01 \text{ bab}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \pm \\ 0.01b \\ {}_{ m ABC} \end{array}$	$0.12 \pm 0.01b$ BCD	$0.14 \pm 0.01 \ ^{ m aCD}$	$0.16 \pm 0.01 \ ^{aDE}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17 \pm \\ 0.01 \ ^{\mathrm{aDE}} \end{array}$	$0.21 \pm 0.01 \ ^{aE}$	$0.29 \pm 0.01 \ ^{aF}$	$0.32 \pm 0.01 \ ^{\mathrm{aF}}$	$0.38 \pm 0.01 \ ^{ m aG}$	$0.41 \pm 0.01 \ ^{\mathrm{aG}}$	$0.54 \pm 0.02 \ ^{ m aH}$	$0.66 \pm 0.03 \ ^{aI}$	$0.92 \pm 0.07 \ ^{aJ}$	$1.12 \pm 0.11 \ ^{aK}$

Table 4. TBARS content of beef packaged with bioactive film alone and in combination with varying MAP conditions.

MAP1—80% O<sub>2</sub> + 20% CO<sub>2</sub>; MAP2—70% O<sub>2</sub> + 30% CO<sub>2</sub>; MAP3—60% O<sub>2</sub> + 20% CO<sub>2</sub> + 20% N<sub>2</sub>. Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Within each row, means with the same uppercase letter are not significantly different (p > 0.05). Within each column, means with the same lowercase letter are not significantly different (p > 0.05).

# 3.9. Meat Color Assessment

The meat color was also evaluated in parallel with the microbiological analyses, for 26 days storage at 4 °C. The color properties, which consist of lightness (L\*), redness (a\*), and yellowness (b\*), are detailed in Table 5. L\* typically increased in all samples, possibly due to the oxidation of myoglobin in meat. The initial L\* values of all groups (at day 0) ranged between 40.3 and 40.7 (p > 0.05). Table 5 shows that as storage time increased, the L\* values of the control group (C-air) rose quickly, while those of the bioactive film alone and the combination of film with MAP remained more stable. Indeed, L\* increased from 40.5 to 55.5 after 12 days, whereas it only increased from 40.2–40.7 to 43.1–45.8. Additionally, on day 16, samples with active film and active film combined with MAP had significantly lower L\* values ( $p \le 0.05$ ) compared to single MAP treatments. Indeed, L\* values were 48.8–50.2 for MAP treatments, 45.7 for the bioactive film (1% NE), and 44.0–44.2 for combined treatments. Overall, from day 16 to day 26, the lowest L\* values were observed for the combined treatments, with no significant differences (p > 0.05) between them. These findings suggest that beef became slightly paler as it deteriorated, but the combined process of active film and MAP could effectively prevent this evolution.

Redness (a\*) is a key indicator for customers to judge meat freshness, reflecting the oxygenation status of myoglobin. The initial a\* values of all groups (at day 0) ranged from 22.3 to 22.8 (p > 0.05). C-air samples showed a rapid decline in a\* values from 22.4 (day 0) to 3.0 (day 12), indicating very low oxymyoglobin levels on the meat surface. In contrast, MAP1 and MAP2 maintained initial a\* values until day 5 (p > 0.05), whereas MAP3 did so only until day 3. On day 12, a\* values of MAP1, MAP2, and MAP3 were 15.5, 16.2, and 16.5, respectively, whereas significantly higher values ( $p \le 0.05$ ) were successively obtained with 1% NE (19.8 in air-packaged beef with bioactive film), as well as in the combined treatments with MAP2 or MAP3 (21.2–21.4) and the combined treatments with MAP1 (23.0). The latter process (bioactive film combined with MAP1) allowed (p > 0.05) the redness of the meat to be maintained during these 12 days of storage and until day 17, with a significantly higher value ( $p \le 0.05$ ) compared to the two other combined treatments with MAP2 and MAP3. Then, after day 12, the redness of meat decreased continuously with combined treatments, but at satisfying degrees until day 19 (a\* > 15).

Hence, high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP (MAP1 and MAP2) promoted oxymyoglobin (bright red color) formation. Alternatively, it must be noted that bioactive film under air (1% NE) retained redness throughout storage, with significantly higher values ( $p \le 0.05$ ) than those of single MAP treatments, which could indicate its contribution in combined treatments. Holman et al. [95] suggested beef color is acceptable when a\* is  $\ge 14.5$ . The best results obtained for the combination of bioactive film with MAP showed a significant ( $p \le 0.05$ ) protective effect on meat redness, likely due to the antioxidant effects of bioactive compounds in the NE system. Interestingly, the 1% NE-MAP1 sample increased the redness of meat from day 0 to day 7; then, redness decreased slowly compared to other treatments. This initial increase could be due to myoglobin pigments' exposure to high O<sub>2</sub> concentration, converting deoxymyoglobin (purple) to oxymyoglobin [96]. Thus, the combination of active film plus MAP1 synergistically retained beef redness. Similar results were found for pork coated with EO-based NE under high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP (80% O<sub>2</sub> + 20% CO<sub>2</sub>) [82].

Attribute	Samples	Days																
		0	3	5	7	9	12	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	22	24	25	26
	C-air	$40.5 \pm 0.35 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	${}^{40.9~\pm}_{0.20~^{bB}}$	${}^{43.6\pm}_{0.15}{}^{\rm dC}_{}$	${46.3 \pm }\atop{0.23}{}^{ m dD}$	${\begin{array}{c} 51.4 \pm \\ 0.20 \ ^{gE} \end{array}}$	$55.5 \pm 0.25  {}^{ m cF}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	MAP1	${}^{40.3\pm}_{0.25^{aA}}$	${}^{40.4~\pm}_{0.15~^{aA}}$	$40.7 \pm 0.25 \ ^{abcA}$	${}^{43.3~\pm}_{0.15~{}^{\rm cB}}$	${}^{\rm 44.3\pm}_{\rm 0.37^{\rm fC}}$	${}^{45.8\pm}_{0.20}{}^{\rm bD}_{}$	$49.4 \pm 0.15^{ cE}$	$50.4 \pm 0.25 \ ^{ m eF}$	${\begin{array}{c} 50.2 \pm \\ 0.20 \ ^{\rm dF} \end{array}}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	MAP2	$\begin{array}{c} 40.5 \pm \\ 0.60 \ ^{aA} \end{array}$	${}^{40.4~\pm}_{0.25~^{aA}}$	${}^{40.9\pm}_{0.20}{}^{\rm bcA}_{\rm }$	${}^{42.8\pm}_{0.25}{}^{\rm cB}_{}$	${}^{43.4~\pm}_{0.23~^{eC}}$	${}^{45.6\pm}_{0.3~^{\rm bD}}$	${}^{49.2~\pm}_{0.25~^{cE}}$	${\begin{array}{c} 50.2 \pm \\ 0.15 \ ^{eF} \end{array}}$	${\begin{array}{c} 50.2 \pm \\ 0.30 \ ^{dF} \end{array}}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tu	MAP3	$\begin{array}{c} 40.7 \pm \\ 0.45 \ ^{\rm aA} \end{array}$	${}^{40.9\pm}_{0.32~^{bA}}$	$41.2 \pm 0.30 \ ^{cA}$	${}^{41.2\pm}_{0.2^{\;bA}}$	$42.6 \pm 0.1 \ ^{ m dB}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43.8 \pm \\ 0.25 \ ^{aC} \end{array}$	$47.1 \pm 0.30 \ ^{bD}$	${}^{48.6\pm}_{0.20}{}^{\rm dE}_{}$	${}^{48.8\pm}_{0.47}{}^{\rm cE}_{}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
$L^*$	1% NE	$\begin{array}{c} 40.2 \pm \\ 0.15 \ ^{\rm aAB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.2 \pm \\ 0.25 \ ^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.4 \pm \\ 0.32 ^{abAB} \end{array}$	$40.8 \pm 0.72 \ ^{abB}$	${}^{42\pm}_{0.4~^{\rm cC}}$	${}^{43.8\pm}_{0.3~^{aD}}$	$44.5 \pm 0.3 \ ^{aE}$	${}^{45.2\pm}_{0.4~^{cF}}$	${}^{45.7\pm}_{0.15}{}^{\rm bF}_{}$	$46.4 \pm 0.2  {}^{bG}$	${}^{+}_{-}6.6 \pm \\ 0.05  {}^{+}_{-}60$	$47.4 \pm 0.32 {}^{ m cH}$	${}^{48\pm}_{0.4~^{bI}}$	-	-	-	-
	1% NE- MAP1	$40.4 \pm 0.32 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	${}^{40.5\pm}_{0.1\ aA}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.8 \pm \\ 0.15 \ ^{abcA} \end{array}$	$40.6 \pm 0.72 \ ^{abA}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.9 \pm \\ 0.15 \ ^{abA} \end{array}$	${}^{43.5\pm}_{0.4\ ^{aB}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.2 \pm \\ 0.36 \ ^{aDC} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.5 \pm \\ 0.30 \ ^{abC} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44 \pm 0.1 \\ _{aBC} \end{array}$	$45.3 \pm 0.2 \ ^{aD}$	${}^{45.2\pm}_{0.20}{}^{\rm aD}_{}$	$45.3 \pm 0.2 \ ^{aD}$	${}^{46.3\pm}_{0.30\ ^{aE}}$	$46.5 \pm 0.32 \ ^{aE}$	$\begin{array}{c} 47.2 \pm \\ 0.45 \ ^{aF} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 47.6 \pm \\ 0.25 \ ^{aFG} \end{array}$	$47.9 \pm 0.47 \ ^{ m aG}$
	1% NE- MAP2	$\begin{array}{c} 40.6 \pm \\ 0.15 \ ^{\rm aA} \end{array}$	${}^{40.4~\pm}_{0.35~aA}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.5 \pm \\ 0.30 \ ^{abA} \end{array}$	${}^{40.2\pm}_{0.45~^{aA}}$	${}^{41.4~\pm}_{0.23~^{bB}}$	${}^{43.1\pm}_{1.01}{}^{\rm aC}_{\rm aC}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44 \pm \\ 0.15 \ ^{aD} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.2 \pm \\ 0.26 \ ^{aD} \end{array}$	${}^{\rm 44.2\pm}_{\rm 0.40~^{aD}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45 \pm \\ 0.15  ^{aE} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45 \pm \\ 0.25 \ ^{aE} \end{array}$	$45\pm0.15$ $^{\mathrm{aE}}$	${}^{46.2\pm}_{0.36~^{aF}}$	$46.3 \pm 0.37 \ ^{aF}$	$47.1 \pm 0.34 \ ^{aG}$	$\begin{array}{c} 47.6 \pm \\ 0.37 ^{aGH} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 48 \pm \\ 0.58 ^{\mathrm{aH}} \end{array}$
	1% NE- MAP3	$40.5 \pm 0.17 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	${}^{40.5\pm}_{0.05\ aA}$	$40.3 \pm 0.49 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$40.6 \pm 0.17 \ ^{abA}$	${}^{40.8\pm}_{0.30\ aA}$	${}^{43.1\pm}_{0.25\ ^{aB}}$	$44.1 \pm 0.20 \ ^{\mathrm{aC}}$	${}^{44.7\pm}_{0.05^{\rm bD}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44 \pm \\ 0.43 \ ^{\mathrm{aC}} \end{array}$	${}^{\rm 44.9\pm}_{\rm 0.28^{aD}}$	$45.2 \pm 0.87 \ ^{aD}$	$45.8 \pm 0.05$ <sup>bE</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} 46 \pm \\ 0.15  ^{a E F} \end{array}$	$46.4 \pm 0.41 \ ^{aF}$	$47.7 \pm 0.15 \ ^{aG}$	$47.7 \pm 0.20 \ ^{\rm aG}$	$48.3 \pm 0.36 \ ^{\mathrm{aG}}$
	C-air	$22.4 \pm 0.15 \ ^{\mathrm{aF}}$	${}^{20.2~\pm}_{0.36~^{aE}}$	${}^{17.4~\pm}_{0.15~^{\rm aD}}$	${}^{12.1\pm}_{0.43}{}^{\rm aC}_{\rm aC}$	$8.1 \pm 0.55 \ ^{aB}$	$3 \pm \underset{aA}{0.25}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	MAP1	$22.7 \pm 0.35 \ ^{\mathrm{aG}}$	${}^{22.5\pm}_{0.30}{}^{\rm bG}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{22.2} \pm \\ \textbf{0.41}^{\text{ cdG}} \end{array}$	${}^{18.5~\pm}_{0.15~^{bF}}$	$16.9 \pm 0.87 \ ^{bE}$	${}^{15.5\pm}_{0.20}{}^{\rm bD}$	$12.4 \pm 0.15 \ ^{\rm aC}$	${}^{10.2\pm}_{0.49}{}^{\rm aB}_{\rm aB}$	$8.2\pm$	0.30 <sup>aA</sup>							
	MAP2	${ 22.4 \pm \atop 0.20 } ^{\rm aF}$	${}^{22.4~\pm}_{0.15~^{bF}}$	$22 \pm 0.49 \\ _{cF}$	$18.8 \pm 0.79 \ ^{ m bE}$	$16.9 \pm 0.66 \ ^{bD}$	$16.2 \pm 0.55 \ ^{\rm cD}$	${}^{12.5~\pm}_{0.35~^{\rm aC}}$	${}^{10.9\pm}_{0.40}{}^{\rm bB}_{}$	9.7 ±	0.95 <sup>bA</sup>							
	MAP3	${}^{22.6~\pm}_{0.1~^{aH}}$	${}^{22.6\pm}_{0.1~^{\rm bH}}$	${}^{20.2\pm}_{0.30~bG}$	$19.6 \pm 0.3  {}^{\mathrm{cF}}$	${}^{18.1\pm}_{0.45}{}^{\rm cE}_{}$	$16.5 \pm 0.36 \ ^{ m cD}$	$14.5 \pm 0.3 \ ^{bC}$	${}^{12.6\pm}_{0.2~{}^{cB}}$	$9.4\pm$	0.35 <sup>bA</sup>							
a*	1% NE	${}^{22.6~\pm}_{0.1~^{aK}}$	${}^{22.4\pm}_{0.15^{bK}}$	${}^{22.1\pm}_{0.05~cdJ}$	$22 \underset{dJ}{\pm} 0.3$	${}^{21.2~\pm}_{0.15~^{dI}}$	${}^{19.8\pm}_{0.36}{}^{\rm dH}_{}$	${}^{17.3~\pm}_{0.25~{}^{cG}}$	${}^{15.5\pm}_{0.32}{}^{\rm dF}_{}$	$14.3 \pm 0.41 \ ^{\rm cE}$	$13.9 \pm 0.7 \ ^{aD}$	$11.7 \pm 0.7 \ ^{\rm aC}$	$11.1 \pm 0.78 \ ^{aB}$	$9.6 \pm 0.58 \ ^{aA}$	-	-	-	-
	1% NE- MAP1	${22.6 \pm \atop 0.1 }^{\rm aI}$	$25.1 \pm \\ 0.30 \ ^{\rm dJ}$	$25.3 \pm \\ 0.15 \text{ fJ}$	${}^{25.1\pm}_{0.30}{}^{\rm fJ}$	${}^{23.3\pm}_{0.40~^{\rm fl}}$	${}^{23\pm}_{0.26~{\rm fI}}$	$20.5 \pm 0.2 ~^{\rm fH}$	${\begin{array}{c} 20.6 \pm \\ 0.26 \ ^{gH} \end{array}}$	${19.7} \pm \\ 0.66 \ ^{\rm fG}$	${}^{19.3~\pm}_{0.95~dG}$	$18.4 \pm 0.25  {}^{\mathrm{cF}}$	$18.1 \pm 0.15 {}^{\rm cF}$	$14.1 \pm 0.30 \ ^{bE}$	$12.6 \pm 0.2 \ ^{aD}$	$11.4 \pm 0.25 \ ^{\mathrm{aC}}$	${9.8 \pm \atop 0.55 \ ^{aB}}$	$7.9 \pm 0.52 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$
	1% NE- MAP2	$22.8 \pm 0.56^{aI}$	$23.3 \pm \\ 0.30 ^{\text{cI}}$	$23.1 \pm 0.55  {}^{\mathrm{eI}}$	$23 \pm 0.26^{eI}$	$\frac{23.2 \pm 0.40^{\ \rm fl}}{23.2 \pm 0.40^{\ \rm fl}}$	$21.2 \pm 0.45 \ ^{eH}$	$19.6 \pm 0.56 \ ^{eG}$	$19.9 \pm 0.20$ fG	18.7 ± 0.7 <sup>eF</sup>	$18.2 \pm 0.15$ cF	$18.2 \pm 0.15$ cF	18.1 ± 0.25 <sup>cF</sup>	$13.9 \pm 0.41 \ ^{ m bE}$	$12.6 \pm 0.43 \ ^{aD}$	11.4 ± 0.26 <sup>aC</sup>	$9.6 \pm 0.35 \ ^{aB}$	$7.8 \pm 0.2^{aA}$

**Table 5.** Color parameters of beef packaged with bioactive film alone and in combination with varying MAP conditions.

Tabl	le	5	Cont
IUV	· •	••	CUIII.

Attribute	Samples	Days																
		0	3	5	7	9	12	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	22	24	25	26
	1% NE- MAP3	${}^{22.3\pm}_{0.25{}^{aJ}}$	${}^{23.4~\pm}_{0.2~^{cK}}$	$22.7 \pm 0.1 d^{eJ}$	${}^{22.3\pm}_{0.26}{}^{dJ}_{}$	$22.2 \pm 0.23 \ ^{eJ}$	$21.4 \pm 0.25 \ ^{ m eI}$	$18.4 \pm 0.2 \ ^{\rm dH}$	${}^{18.2\pm}_{0.15\ eH}$	$16.6 \pm 0.15 \ ^{\rm dG}$	$16 \pm 0.25  {}^{\rm bF}$	$16 \pm 0.25  {}^{\rm bF}$	$16.4 \pm 0.47  {}^{ m bFG}$	${}^{14.6\pm}_{0.32}{}^{\rm bE}$	${}^{12.5\pm}_{0.20}{}^{\rm aD}_{\rm aD}$	$11.1 \pm 0.62 \ ^{\mathrm{aC}}$	${9.2 \pm \atop 0.26 } ^{\rm aB}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8 \pm \\ 0.32  ^{\mathrm{aA}} \end{array}$
	C-air	$15.4 \pm 0.49$ <sup>aA</sup>	$15.3 \pm 0.32$ abcA	$16.6 \pm 0.2_{bB}$	$18 \pm 0.41 \ ^{\rm dC}$	18.5 ± 0.25 <sup>cC</sup>	20.4 ±	e 0.2 <sup>eD</sup>										
	MAP1	$15.5 \pm 0.25 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$^{15.7\pm}_{0.1\ cA}$	$15.5 \pm 0.15 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$16 \pm 0.25  {}^{\mathrm{cB}}$	$16.6 \pm 0.15 \ ^{\mathrm{bC}}$	$17.1 \pm 0.20 \ ^{\rm dD}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.7 \pm \\ 0.15  ^{\rm dE} \end{array}$	$18 \underset{eE}{\pm} 0.1$	$18.8\pm0.20~^{\rm eF}$								
	MAP2	$15.3 \pm 0.15$ <sup>aA</sup>	15.3 ± 0.32 <sub>abcA</sub>	$15.2 \pm 0.30 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$15.9 \pm 0.66$ <sup>bcB</sup>	$16.5 \pm 0.26 \ ^{\mathrm{bC}}$	$17.3 \pm 0.15 \ ^{ m dD}$	$17.5 \pm 0.20 \ ^{ m dD}$	$18.3 \pm 0.1 \ ^{ m eE}$	$18.5\pm0.15~^{\mathrm{eE}}$								
b*	MAP3	$15.5 \pm 0.20 \ ^{aA}$	$15.5 \pm 0.1  {}^{ m bcA}$	$15.5 \pm 0.30 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$15.3 \pm 0.30$ abcA	$15.4 \pm 0.25$ <sup>aA</sup>	$16.4 \pm 0.26 \ ^{\mathrm{cB}}$	$16.7 \pm 0.15$ <sup>cB</sup>	$17.3 \pm 0.20 \ ^{\rm dC}$	$17.8\pm0.26~^{\rm dD}$								
	1% NE	${}^{15.3\ \pm}_{0.15\ ^{\rm aA}}$	$15.3 \pm 0.2^{abcA}$	$15.5 \mathop{\pm}\limits_{aA} 0.2$	$15.4 \pm 0.2 \ ^{abcA}$	${}^{15.6~\pm}_{0.11~^{aAB}}$	$15.9 \pm 0.1 \ ^{ m bBC}$	${}^{16\pm}_{0.15^{\rm bC}}$	$16.1 \pm 0.15 \ ^{\rm cC}$	$\frac{16.7 \pm }{0.17  ^{\rm cD}}$	${}^{17.5\pm}_{0.2~^{bE}}$	${}^{18.1\pm}_{0.17}{}^{\rm bF}_{}$	${}^{18.5\pm}_{0.26}{}^{\rm bG}_{}$	$^{19.6\pm}_{0.2^{b}}$	-	-	-	-
-	1% NE- MAP1	$15.4 \pm 0.26$ <sup>aA</sup>	$15.3 \pm 0.25$ abcA	$\begin{array}{c} 15.2 \pm \\ 0.17 \hspace{0.1 cm}^{\mathrm{aA}} \end{array}$	15.4 ± 0.35 <sub>abcA</sub>	$15.6 \pm 0.26 \ ^{aAB}$	$15.5 \pm 0.35 \ ^{abA}$	$15.6 \pm 0.1 \ ^{aAB}$	$15.3 \pm 0.25 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16 \pm \\ 0.15 ^{\text{aB}} \end{array}$	$16.8 \pm 0.1 \ ^{\mathrm{aC}}$	$16.9 \pm 0.20 \ ^{aCD}$	$17.3 \pm 0.40 \ ^{ m aDE}$	$17.5 \pm 0.32 \ ^{\mathrm{aE}}$	$18.3 \pm 0.30 \ ^{\mathrm{aF}}$	$18.4 \pm 0.41 \ ^{\mathrm{aF}}$	$19.1 \pm 0.15 \ ^{\mathrm{aG}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \pm \\ 0.35 \ ^{\mathrm{aH}} \end{array}$
	1% NE- MAP2	$15.5 \pm 0.26 \ ^{aAB}$	$15 \pm 0.20 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$15.4 \pm 0.05 \ ^{\mathrm{aAB}}$	$15 \pm 0.30 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$15.6 \pm 0.43 \ ^{\mathrm{aAB}}$	15.5 ± 0.20 abAB	$15.6 \pm 0.2 \ ^{aB}$	15.3 ± 0.32 abAB	$16.4 \pm 0.20 \ ^{ m bcC}$	16.7 ± 0.1 <sup>aC</sup>	$16.8 \pm 0.51 \ ^{\mathrm{aC}}$	$17.4 \pm 0.52 \ ^{ m aD}$	$17.6 \pm 0.30 \ ^{ m aD}$	$18.3 \pm 0.1 \ ^{aE}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18.5 \pm \\ 0.17 \hspace{0.1 cm}^{\mathrm{aE}} \end{array}$	$19.3 \pm 0.11 \ ^{\mathrm{aF}}$	${20.1 \pm \atop 0.30 \ ^{aG}}$
	1% NE- MAP3	$15.3 \pm 0.32 \ ^{\mathrm{aAB}}$	$15\pm 0.25$ $^{abA}$	$15.4 \pm 0.15 \ ^{\mathrm{aAB}}$	$15.3 \pm 0.1 \ ^{abAB}$	$15.3 \pm 0.1 \ ^{aAB}$	$15.4 \pm 0.32 \ ^{aAB}$	$15.4 \pm 0.20 \ ^{\mathrm{aAB}}$	$15.7 \pm 0.20 \ ^{ m bBC}$	$16.2 \pm 0.20$ abCD	$\begin{array}{c} 16.7 \pm \\ 0.20 \ ^{\mathrm{aDE}} \end{array}$	$16.8 \pm 0.40 \ ^{\mathrm{aE}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17 \pm \\ 0.37 \hspace{0.1 cm}^{\mathrm{aEF}} \end{array}$	$17.5 \pm 0.1 \ ^{aF}$	$18.1 \pm 0.66 \ ^{\mathrm{aG}}$	$18.5 \pm 0.43 \ ^{\mathrm{aG}}$	${}^{19.4~\pm}_{0.26~^{\rm aH}}$	$20 \pm 0.41^{aI}$

MAP1—80%  $O_2$  + 20%  $CO_2$ ; MAP2—70%  $O_2$  + 30%  $CO_2$ ; MAP3—60%  $O_2$  + 20%  $CO_2$  + 20%  $N_2$ . Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Within each row, means with the same uppercase letter are not significantly different (p > 0.05). Within each column, means with the same lowercase letter are not significantly different (p > 0.05).

The yellowness (b\*) of all beef samples increased over time, aligning with the results reported by Karabagias et al. [97] and Berruga et al. [81], who noted a rise in L\* and b\* values in lamb meat as time progressed. In the present study, the control group (C-air) displayed a significant and rapid increase in b\* (from 15.4 to 20.4) ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) until day 12 compared to other samples. This change may be attributed to lipid oxidation during storage, as higher b<sup>\*</sup> values are linked to greater lipid oxidation [98], and the resulting accumulation of brown metmyoglobin [99]. On day 12, there was a notable difference in b\* values between the MAP treatments and C-air ( $p \le 0.05$ ). This difference could be due to the increased oxygen content in MAP-packaged beef, leading to oxymyoglobin and a redder appearance compared to air-packaged beef. Conversely, the 1% NE sample showed a slower increase in b\* values compared to the MAP groups ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Additionally, the 1% NE-MAP samples had the lowest b\* value in comparison to the 1% NE, MAP, and C-air samples. The reduction in metmyoglobin formation seems to result from the combined effects of the bioactive film and MAP, as shown by higher a\* and lower b\* values. Finally, the combination of NE with various MAP conditions had a beneficial and synergistic effect on the color parameters of beef, particularly the redness a\*, which reached high levels and remained stable for extended storage duration.

# 4. Conclusions

Our study found that utilizing UV-C radiation for 13 min to crosslink GT in the presence of RF was an efficient and eco-friendly method of biobased film production. This process allowed the creation of a film with highly improved physicochemical properties by overcoming the hydrophilic limitations of such a biopolymer-based material. RF, at low concentrations, served as a photosensitizer for an innovative crosslinking method, enhancing mechanical strength, reducing moisture permeability, and decreasing solubility in water of GT-based films. FTIR analysis demonstrated that the crosslinking process occurred via conformational changes in the protein network and the appearance of new bands related to imidazolone groups involved in RF-GT covalent linkage. This green technology could replace common chemical crosslinking agents. The 1% NE-incorporated bioactive film showed a significant extension of beef shelf-life during storage at 4 °C. The main factor contributing to the antimicrobial effectiveness is the capacity of the crosslinked film to retain bioactive compounds and release them in a controlled manner over time. In vitro release study also showed that the bioactive film containing 1% NE had the highest controlled diffusion and lowest release rate of bioactive compounds compared to 0.75% and 0.5% NE. The combination of 1% NE bioactive film with different MAP compositions further extended the shelf-life of beef, with high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP (70-80% O<sub>2</sub>) providing the best preservation and maintaining meat color, especially the redness (a\*). These combined treatments not only maintained and prolonged meat redness, but also prevented lipid oxidation (< 2 mg MDA/kg) during storage. Thus, the bioactive film combined with high-O<sub>2</sub> MAP proved to be the best treatment for prolonging the shelf-life of fresh sliced beef.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/foods13213504/s1, Table S1: The natural antimicrobial compounds and their composition.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, methodology, and writing—Original Draft Preparation, J.M.; data curation, J.M., M.L. and S.S. (Stephane Salmieri); resources, J.M.; statistical analysis, J.M. and S.S. (Stephane Salmieri); formal analysis, S.S. (Stephane Salmieri) and P.M.; writing—review and editing and visualization, M.L., S.S. (Shiv Shankar), P.M. and S.S. (Stephane Salmieri); supervision, validation, project administration, and funding acquisition, M.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by (i) the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and Montpak International for grant no. CRDPJ 531475-18 (Collaborative Research and Development grant), (ii) the Québec Ministry of Economy and Innovation (MEI) and Montpak International for grant no. PSO-I-2 44530 (Support program for research projects in

international collaboration; PSO-International; PSO-V2c), (iii) the NSERC for grant no. RGPIN-2017-05947 (Discovery grant), and (iv) the Research Chair in Food Safety and Quality granted by Quebec's Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAPAQ) (grant no. PPIA-12).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge Montpak International for supplying fresh beef.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

# References

- 1. Kapetanakou, A.E.; Pateraki, G.-L.; Skandamis, P.N. Developing a commercial antimicrobial active packaging system of ground beef based on *"tsipouro"* alcoholic distillate. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 1171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ji, J.; Shankar, S.; Royon, F.; Salmieri, S.; Lacroix, M. Essential oils as natural antimicrobials applied in meat and meat products—A review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2023, 63, 993–1009. [CrossRef]
- 3. Falowo, A.B.; Fayemi, P.O.; Muchenje, V. Natural antioxidants against lipid–protein oxidative deterioration in meat and meat products: A review. *Food Res. Int.* 2014, 64, 171–181. [CrossRef]
- 4. Cooksey, K. Modified atmosphere packaging of meat, poultry and fish. In *Innovations in Food Packaging*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2014; pp. 475–493.
- Chouliara, E.; Karatapanis, A.; Savvaidis, I.; Kontominas, M. Combined effect of oregano essential oil and modified atmosphere packaging on shelf-life extension of fresh chicken breast meat, stored at 4 °C. *Food Microbiol.* 2007, 24, 607–617. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Kim, Y.H.; Huff-Lonergan, E.; Sebranek, J.G.; Lonergan, S.M. High-oxygen modified atmosphere packaging system induces lipid and myoglobin oxidation and protein polymerization. *Meat Sci.* **2010**, *85*, 759–767. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mastromatteo, M.; Danza, A.; Conte, A.; Muratore, G.; Del Nobile, M.A. Shelf life of ready to use peeled shrimps as affected by thymol essential oil and modified atmosphere packaging. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2010, 144, 250–256. [CrossRef]
- Alizadeh-Sani, M.; Mohammadian, E.; McClements, D.J. Eco-friendly active packaging consisting of nanostructured biopolymer matrix reinforced with TiO2 and essential oil: Application for preservation of refrigerated meat. *Food Chem.* 2020, 322, 126782. [CrossRef]
- Khan, A.; Gallah, H.; Riedl, B.; Bouchard, J.; Safrany, A.; Lacroix, M. Genipin cross-linked antimicrobial nanocomposite films and gamma irradiation to prevent the surface growth of bacteria in fresh meats. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* 2016, 35, 96–102. [CrossRef]
- Sirocchi, V.; Devlieghere, F.; Peelman, N.; Sagratini, G.; Maggi, F.; Vittori, S.; Ragaert, P. Effect of Rosmarinus officinalis L. essential oil combined with different packaging conditions to extend the shelf life of refrigerated beef meat. *Food Chem.* 2017, 221, 1069–1076. [CrossRef]
- 11. Burt, S. Essential oils: Their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods—A review. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2004**, *94*, 223–253. [CrossRef]
- 12. Iulietto, M.F.; Sechi, P.; Borgogni, E.; Cenci-Goga, B.T. Meat spoilage: A critical review of a neglected alteration due to ropy slime producing bacteria. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 2015, *14*, 4011. [CrossRef]
- Mahmud, J.; Muranyi, P.; Salmieri, S.; Lacroix, M. Optimization of a natural antimicrobial formulation against potential meat spoilage bacteria and food-borne pathogens: Mixture design methodology and predictive modeling. *Microb. Pathog.* 2023, 176, 106000. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. de Oca-Ávalos, J.M.M.; Candal, R.J.; Herrera, M.L. Nanoemulsions: Stability and physical properties. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* 2017, 16, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 15. Jamali, S.N.; Assadpour, E.; Feng, J.; Jafari, S.M. Natural antimicrobial-loaded nanoemulsions for the control of food spoilage/pathogenic microorganisms. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2021**, *295*, 102504. [CrossRef]
- 16. Sukhlaaied, W.; Riyajan, S.-A. A novel environmentally compatible bio-based product from gelatin and natural rubber: Physical properties. *J. Polym. Environ.* **2017**, *26*, 2708–2719. [CrossRef]
- 17. El-Megharbel, N. Sustainable Development Strategy: Egypt's Vision 2030 and Planning Reform; Ministry of Planning: Cairo, Egypt, 2015.
- 18. United Nations. The 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to Transform Our World; United Nations: New York, NY, USA, 2016.
- Said, N.; Howell, N.K.; Sarbon, N. A review on potential use of gelatin-based film as active and smart biodegradable films for food packaging application. *Food Rev. Int.* 2023, *39*, 1063–1085. [CrossRef]
- 20. Jridi, M.; Hajji, S.; Ayed, H.B.; Lassoued, I.; Mbarek, A.; Kammoun, M.; Souissi, N.; Nasri, M. Physical, structural, antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of gelatin–chitosan composite edible films. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2014**, *67*, 373–379. [CrossRef]
- 21. Arora, A.; Padua, G. Nanocomposites in food packaging. J. Food Sci. 2010, 75, R43-R49. [CrossRef]

- Taghizadeh, M.; Mohammadifar, M.A.; Sadeghi, E.; Rouhi, M.; Mohammadi, R.; Askari, F.; Mortazavian, A.M.; Kariminejad, M. Photosensitizer-induced cross-linking: A novel approach for improvement of physicochemical and structural properties of gelatin edible films. *Food Res. Int.* 2018, 112, 90–97. [CrossRef]
- 23. Benbettaïeb, N.; Gay, J.P.; Karbowiak, T.; Debeaufort, F. Tuning the functional properties of polysaccharide–protein bio-based edible films by chemical, enzymatic, and physical cross-linking. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* **2016**, *15*, 739–752. [CrossRef]
- 24. Otoni, C.G.; Avena-Bustillos, R.J.; Chiou, B.S.; Bilbao-Sainz, C.; Bechtel, P.J.; McHugh, T.H. Ultraviolet-B radiation induced cross-linking improves physical properties of cold-and warm-water fish gelatin gels and films. *J. Food Sci.* 2012, 77, E215–E223. [CrossRef]
- Taghizadeh, M.; Aryan, S.; Rouhi, M.; Sobhiyeh, M.R.; Askari, F.; Gholipourmalekabadi, M.; Sohrabvandi, S.; Khajavi, M.Z.; Davachi, S.M.; Abbaspourrad, A. Photo-crosslinked gelatin–polyvinyl alcohol composite films: UV–riboflavin treatment for improving functional properties. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 2020, 44, e14550. [CrossRef]
- 26. Li, C.; Sheng, L.; Sun, G.; Wang, L. The application of ultraviolet-induced photo-crosslinking in edible film preparation and its implication in food safety. *LWT* **2020**, *131*, 109791. [CrossRef]
- Sridhar, R.; Madhaiyan, K.; Sundarrajan, S.; Góra, A.; Venugopal, J.R.; Ramakrishna, S. Cross-linking of protein scaffolds for therapeutic applications: PCL nanofibers delivering riboflavin for protein cross-linking. J. Mater. Chem. B 2014, 2, 1626–1633. [CrossRef]
- Wang, K.; Wang, W.; Wu, X.; Xiao, J.; Liu, Y.; Liu, A. Effect of photochemical UV/riboflavin-mediated cross-links on different properties of fish gelatin films. J. Food Process. Eng. 2017, 40, e12536. [CrossRef]
- 29. Lee, Y.B.; Lim, S.; Lee, Y.; Park, C.H.; Lee, H.J. Green chemistry for crosslinking biopolymers: Recent advances in riboflavinmediated photochemistry. *Materials* **2023**, *16*, 1218. [CrossRef]
- 30. Fu, S.; Zhou, L.; Zeng, P.; Fu, S. Antibacterial chitosan-gelatin hydrogel beads cross-linked by riboflavin under ultraviolet a irradiation. *Fibers Polym.* 2022, 23, 315–320. [CrossRef]
- 31. Cardoso, D.R.; Franco, D.W.; Olsen, K.; Andersen, M.L.; Skibsted, L.H. Reactivity of bovine whey proteins, peptides, and amino acids toward triplet riboflavin as studied by laser flash photolysis. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 6602–6606. [CrossRef]
- Mahmud, J.; Muranyi, P.; Shankar, S.; Sarmast, E.; Salmieri, S.; Lacroix, M. Physiological and antimicrobial properties of a novel nanoemulsion formulation containing mixed surfactant and essential oils: Optimization modeling by response surface methodology. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2024, 686, 133405. [CrossRef]
- ASTM D638-99; Standard Test Method for Tensile Strength of Plastics. Annual Book of ASTM Standards: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 1999.
- ASTM E96/E96M-16; Standard Test Methods for Water Vapor Transmission of Materials. Annual Book of ASTM Standards. American Society for Testing and Materials: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2016; pp. 719–725.
- Huq, T.; Salmieri, S.; Khan, A.; Khan, R.A.; Le Tien, C.; Riedl, B.; Fraschini, C.; Bouchard, J.; Uribe-Calderon, J.; Kamal, M.R.; et al. Nanocrystalline cellulose (NCC) reinforced alginate based biodegradable nanocomposite film. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2012, 90, 1757–1763. [CrossRef]
- Ben-Fadhel, Y.; Maherani, B.; Manus, J.; Salmieri, S.; Lacroix, M. Physicochemical and microbiological characterization of pectin-based gelled emulsions coating applied on pre-cut carrots. *Food Hydrocoll.* 2020, 101, 105573. [CrossRef]
- Amjadi, S.; Almasi, H.; Pourfathi, B.; Ranjbaryan, S. Gelatin films activated by cinnamon essential oil and reinforced with 1D, 2D and 3D nanomaterials: Physical and release controlling properties. J. Polym. Environ. 2021, 29, 3068–3078. [CrossRef]
- 38. Hossain, F.; Follett, P.; Vu, K.D.; Harich, M.; Salmieri, S.; Lacroix, M. Evidence for synergistic activity of plant-derived essential oils against fungal pathogens of food. *Food Microbiol.* **2016**, *53*, 24–30. [CrossRef]
- 39. ICMSF. *Microorganisms in Foods;* The International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods of the International Union of Biological Societies; University of Toronto Press: Toronto, ON, Canada, 1986; pp. 181–196.
- Ouattara, B.; Giroux, M.; Smoragiewicz, W.; Saucier, L.; Lacroix, M. Combined effect of gamma irradiation, ascorbic acid, and edible coating on the improvement of microbial and biochemical characteristics of ground beef. J. Food Prot. 2002, 65, 981–987. [CrossRef]
- 41. Oussalah, M.; Caillet, S.; Salmiéri, S.; Saucier, L.; Lacroix, M. Antimicrobial and antioxidant effects of milk protein-based film containing essential oils for the preservation of whole beef muscle. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 5598–5605. [CrossRef]
- 42. Masoomian, M.; Sarmast, E.; Salmieri, S.; Lacroix, M. Application of nano-encapsulated antimicrobial compounds combined with MAP for bio-preservation of ground meat. *J. Food Meas. Charact.* **2023**, *17*, 6552–6565. [CrossRef]
- 43. Salmieri, S.; Islam, F.; Khan, R.A.; Hossain, F.M.; Ibrahim, H.M.M.; Miao, C.; Hamad, W.Y.; Lacroix, M. Antimicrobial nanocomposite films made of poly(lactic acid)–cellulose nanocrystals (PLA–CNC) in food applications—Part B: Effect of oregano essential oil release on the inactivation of Listeria monocytogenes in mixed vegetables. *Cellulose* 2014, 21, 4271–4285. [CrossRef]
- 44. Su, L.; Huang, J.; Li, H.; Pan, Y.; Zhu, B.; Zhao, Y.; Liu, H. Chitosan-riboflavin composite film based on photodynamic inactivation technology for antibacterial food packaging. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2021**, 172, 231–240. [CrossRef]
- 45. Xu, J.; Bai, H.; Wang, M.; Xia, W.; Liu, X. Properties of hyaluronan/PVA-SbQ composite films processed by casting. *Polym. Polym. Compos.* **2013**, *21*, 55–60. [CrossRef]
- Zain, A.H.M.; Wahab, M.K.A.; Ismail, H. Solid-state photo-cross-linking of cassava starch: Improvement properties of thermoplastic starch. *Polym. Bull.* 2018, 75, 3341–3356. [CrossRef]
- 47. Zhang, L.; Liu, J.; Zheng, X.; Zhang, A.; Zhang, X.; Tang, K. Pullulan dialdehyde crosslinked gelatin hydrogels with high strength for biomedical applications. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2019**, *216*, 45–53. [CrossRef]
- 48. Mahmud, J.; Sarmast, E.; Shankar, S.; Lacroix, M. Advantages of nanotechnology developments in active food packaging. *Food Res. Int.* 2022, 154, 111023. [CrossRef]

- 49. Etxabide, A.; Urdanpilleta, M.; de la Caba, K.; Guerrero, P. Control of cross-linking reaction to tailor the properties of thin films based on gelatin. *Mater. Lett.* **2016**, *185*, 366–369. [CrossRef]
- 50. Bai, H.; Sun, Y.; Xu, J.; Dong, W.; Liu, X. Rheological and structural characterization of HA/PVA-SbQ composites film-forming solutions and resulting films as affected by UV irradiation time. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2015**, *115*, 422–431. [CrossRef]
- 51. Shahbazi, M.; Ahmadi, S.J.; Seif, A.; Rajabzadeh, G. Carboxymethyl cellulose film modification through surface photo-crosslinking and chemical crosslinking for food packaging applications. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2016**, *61*, 378–389. [CrossRef]
- 52. Pires, C.; Ramos, C.; Teixeira, G.; Batista, I.; Mendes, R.; Nunes, L.; Marques, A. Characterization of biodegradable films prepared with hake proteins and thyme oil. *J. Food Eng.* **2011**, *105*, 422–428. [CrossRef]
- 53. Ma, W.; Tang, C.-H.; Yin, S.-W.; Yang, X.-Q.; Wang, Q.; Liu, F.; Wei, Z.-H. Characterization of gelatin-based edible films incorporated with olive oil. *Food Res. Int.* **2012**, *49*, 572–579. [CrossRef]
- 54. Bhat, R.; Karim, A.A. Towards producing novel fish gelatin films by combination treatments of ultraviolet radiation and sugars (ribose and lactose) as cross-linking agents. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *51*, 1326–1333. [CrossRef]
- 55. Tural, S.; Turhan, S.; Öz, F. Characterization and antioxidant capacity of anchovy by-product protein films enriched with rosemary and laurel essential oils. *Ege J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **2020**, *37*, 379–387. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, W.; Rhim, J.-W. Recent progress in konjac glucomannan-based active food packaging films and property enhancement strategies. *Food Hydrocoll.* 2022, 128, 107572. [CrossRef]
- 57. Dridi, C.; Millette, M.; Aguilar, B.; Manus, J.; Salmieri, S.; Lacroix, M. Effect of physical and enzymatic pre-treatment on the nutritional and functional properties of fermented beverages enriched with cricket proteins. *Foods* **2021**, *10*, 2259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Etty, M.-C.; d'Auria, S.; Shankar, S.; Salmieri, S.; Coutu, J.; Baraketi, A.; Jamshidan, M.; Fraschini, C.; Lacroix, M. New immobilization method of anti-PepD monoclonal antibodies for the detection of Listeria monocytogenes p60 protein–Part A: Optimization of a crosslinked film support based on chitosan and cellulose nanocrystals (CNC). *React. Funct. Polym.* 2020, 146, 104313. [CrossRef]
- McCall, A.S.; Kraft, S.; Edelhauser, H.F.; Kidder, G.W.; Lundquist, R.R.; Bradshaw, H.E.; Dedeic, Z.; Dionne, M.J.C.; Clement, E.M.; Conrad, G.W. Mechanisms of corneal tissue cross-linking in response to treatment with topical riboflavin and long-wavelength ultraviolet radiation (UVA). *Investig. Opthalmology Vis. Sci.* 2010, *51*, 129–138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Kato, Y.; Uchida, K.; Kawakishi, S. Aggregation of collagen exposed to UVA in the presence of riboflavin: A plausible role of tyrosine modification. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **1994**, *59*, 343–349. [CrossRef]
- 61. Brault, D.; D'Aprano, G.; Lacroix, M. Formation of free-standing sterilized edible films from irradiated caseinates. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1997, 45, 2964–2969. [CrossRef]
- 62. Lacroix, M.; Jobin, M.; Mezgheni, E.; Srour, M.; Boileau, S. Polymerization of calcium caseinates solutions induced by gamma irradiation. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **1998**, *52*, 223–227. [CrossRef]
- 63. Cho, Y.S.; Bin Song, K.; Yamda, K. Effect of ultraviolet irradiation on molecular properties and immunoglobulin productionregulating activity of β-lactoglobulin. *Food Sci. Biotechnol.* **2010**, *19*, 595–602. [CrossRef]
- Sabato, S.F.; Ouattara, B.; Yu, H.; D'Aprano, G.; Le Tien, C.; Mateescu, M.A.; Lacroix, M. Mechanical and Barrier Properties of Cross-Linked Soy and Whey Protein Based Films. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2001, 49, 1397–1403. [CrossRef]
- 65. Xue, F.; Gu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Li, C.; Adhikari, B.; Chungu, Y. Encapsulation of essential oil in emulsion based edible films prepared by soy protein isolate-gum acacia conjugates. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2019**, *96*, 178–189. [CrossRef]
- 66. Razavi, M.S.; Golmohammadi, A.; Nematollahzadeh, A.; Rovera, C.; Farris, S. Cinnamon Essential Oil Encapsulated into a Fish Gelatin-Bacterial Cellulose Nanocrystals Complex and Active Films Thereof. *Food Biophys.* **2022**, *17*, 38–46. [CrossRef]
- 67. Criado, P.; Fraschini, C.; Jamshidian, M.; Salmieri, S.; Desjardins, N.; Sahraoui, A.; Lacroix, M. Effect of cellulose nanocrystals on thyme essential oil release from alginate beads: Study of antimicrobial activity against Listeria innocua and ground meat shelf life in combination with gamma irradiation. *Cellulose* **2019**, *26*, 5247–5265. [CrossRef]
- 68. Mancuso, M.; Catalfamo, M.; Laganà, P.; Rappazzo, A.C.; Raymo, V.; Zampino, D.; Zaccone, R. Screening of antimicrobial activity of citrus essential oils against pathogenic bacteria and *Candida* strains. *Flavour Fragr. J.* 2019, 34, 187–200. [CrossRef]
- Rasul, N.H.; Asdagh, A.; Pirsa, S.; Ghazanfarirad, N.; Sani, I.K. Development of antimicrobial/antioxidant nanocomposite film based on fish skin gelatin and chickpea protein isolated containing Microencapsulated Nigella sativa essential oil and copper sulfide nanoparticles for extending minced meat shelf life. *Mater. Res. Express* 2022, 9, 025306. [CrossRef]
- da Silva, B.D.; Bernardes, P.C.; Pinheiro, P.F.; Fantuzzi, E.; Roberto, C.D. Chemical composition, extraction sources and action mechanisms of essential oils: Natural preservative and limitations of use in meat products. *Meat Sci.* 2021, 176, 108463. [CrossRef]
- Azarifar, M.; Ghanbarzadeh, B.; Khiabani, M.S.; Basti, A.A.; Abdulkhani, A.; Noshirvani, N.; Hosseini, M. The optimization
  of gelatin-CMC based active films containing chitin nanofiber and Trachyspermum ammi essential oil by response surface
  methodology. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2019, 208, 457–468. [CrossRef]
- Nollet, L.M.L. Shelf Life of Meats. In Handbook of Meat, Poultry and Seafood Quality; Wiley-Blackwell: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2012; pp. 232–245.
- 73. Pothakos, V.; Devlieghere, F.; Villani, F.; Björkroth, J.; Ercolini, D. Lactic acid bacteria and their controversial role in fresh meat spoilage. *Meat Sci.* 2015, 109, 66–74. [CrossRef]
- 74. Tsironi, M.; Kosma, I.S.; Badeka, A.V. The effect of whey protein films with ginger and rosemary essential oils on microbiological quality and physicochemical properties of minced lamb meat. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 3434. [CrossRef]

- 75. Emiroğlu, Z.K.; Yemiş, G.P.; Coşkun, B.K.; Candoğan, K. Antimicrobial activity of soy edible films incorporated with thyme and oregano essential oils on fresh ground beef patties. *Meat Sci.* **2010**, *86*, 283–288. [CrossRef]
- 76. Hematizad, I.; Khanjari, A.; Basti, A.A.; Karabagias, I.K.; Noori, N.; Ghadami, F.; Gholami, F.; Teimourifard, R. In vitro antibacterial activity of gelatin-nanochitosan films incorporated with Zataria multiflora Boiss essential oil and its influence on microbial, chemical, and sensorial properties of chicken breast meat during refrigerated storage. *Food Packag. Shelf Life* **2021**, *30*, 100751. [CrossRef]
- Heydari-Majd, M.; Ghanbarzadeh, B.; Shahidi-Noghabi, M.; Najafi, M.A.; Hosseini, M. A new active nanocomposite film based on PLA/ZnO nanoparticle/essential oils for the preservation of refrigerated Otolithes ruber fillets. *Food Packag. Shelf Life* 2019, 19, 94–103. [CrossRef]
- 78. Mehdizadeh, T.; Tajik, H.; Langroodi, A.M.; Molaei, R.; Mahmoudian, A. Chitosan-starch film containing pomegranate peel extract and Thymus kotschyanus essential oil can prolong the shelf life of beef. *Meat Sci.* **2020**, *163*, 108073. [CrossRef]
- 79. Pellissery, A.J.; Vinayamohan, P.G.; Amalaradjou, M.A.R.; Venkitanarayanan, K. Spoilage bacteria and meat quality. In *Meat Quality Analysis*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020; pp. 307–334.
- Huang, M.; Wang, J.; Zhuang, H.; Yan, W.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, J. Effect of in-package high voltage dielectric barrier discharge on microbiological, color and oxidation properties of pork in modified atmosphere packaging during storage. *Meat Sci.* 2019, 149, 107–113. [CrossRef]
- 81. Berruga, M.; Vergara, H.; Gallego, L. Influence of packaging conditions on microbial and lipid oxidation in lamb meat. *Small Rumin. Res.* 2005, *57*, 257–264. [CrossRef]
- 82. Xiong, Y.; Li, S.; Warner, R.D.; Fang, Z. Effect of oregano essential oil and resveratrol nanoemulsion loaded pectin edible coating on the preservation of pork loin in modified atmosphere packaging. *Food Control.* **2020**, *114*, 107226. [CrossRef]
- 83. Rossaint, S.; Klausmann, S.; Kreyenschmidt, J. Effect of high-oxygen and oxygen-free modified atmosphere packaging on the spoilage process of poultry breast fillets. *Poult. Sci.* 2015, *94*, 96–103. [CrossRef]
- 84. Jaberi, R.; Kaban, G.; Kaya, M. Effects of vacuum and high-oxygen modified atmosphere packaging on physico-chemical and microbiological properties of minced water buffalo meat. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* **2019**, *32*, 421–429. [CrossRef]
- Mortazavi, S.M.H.; Kaur, M.; Farahnaky, A.; Torley, P.J.; Osborn, A.M. The pathogenic and spoilage bacteria associated with red meat and application of different approaches of high CO<sub>2</sub> packaging to extend product shelf-life. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2023, 63, 1733–1754. [CrossRef]
- 86. Singh, P.; Wani, A.A.; Saengerlaub, S.; Langowski, H.-C. Understanding Critical Factors for the Quality and Shelf-life of MAP Fresh Meat: A Review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2011**, *51*, 146–177. [CrossRef]

87. Bingol, E.B.; Ergun, O. Effects of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) on the microbiological quality and shelf life of ostrich meat. *Meat Sci.* 2011, *88*, 774–785. [CrossRef]

- 88. Santos, E.M.; ADiez, M.; González-Fernández, C.; Jaime, I.; Rovira, J. Microbiological and sensory changes in "Morcilla de Burgos" preserved in air, vacuum and modified atmosphere packaging. *Meat Sci.* 2005, 71, 249–255. [CrossRef]
- Labadie, J. Consequences of packaging on bacterial growth. Meat is an ecological niche. *Meat Sci.* 1999, 52, 299–305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 90. Pin, C.; García de Fernando, G.D.; Ordónez, J.A. Effect of Modified Atmosphere Composition on the Metabolism of Glucose by *Brochothrix thermosphacta. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2002, *68*, 4441–4447. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 91. Donsì, F.; Ferrari, G. Essential oil nanoemulsions as antimicrobial agents in food. *J. Biotechnol.* **2016**, 233, 106–120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Śmiecińska, K.; Daszkiewicz, T. Lipid oxidation and color changes in beef stored under different modified atmospheres. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* **2021**, *45*, e15263. [CrossRef]
- Campo, M.M.; Nute, G.R.; Hughes, S.I.; Enser, M.; Wood, J.D.; Richardson, R.I. Flavour perception of oxidation in beef. *Meat Sci.* 2006, 72, 303–311. [CrossRef]
- Boskovic, M.; Glisic, M.; Djordjevic, J.; Starcevic, M.; Glamoclija, N.; Djordjevic, V.; Baltic, M.Z. Antioxidative activity of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*) and oregano (*Origanum vulgare*) essential oils and their effect on oxidative stability of minced pork packaged under vacuum and modified atmosphere. *J. Food Sci.* 2019, 84, 2467–2474. [CrossRef]
- 95. Holman, B.W.; van de Ven, R.J.; Mao, Y.; Coombs, C.E.; Hopkins, D.L. Using instrumental (CIE and reflectance) measures to predict consumers' acceptance of beef colour. *Meat Sci.* 2017, 127, 57–62. [CrossRef]
- 96. Young, O.A.; West, J. Meat color. In Meat Science and Applications; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2001; pp. 55-86.
- 97. Karabagias, I.; Badeka, A.; Kontominas, M. Shelf life extension of lamb meat using thyme or oregano essential oils and modified atmosphere packaging. *Meat Sci.* 2011, *88*, 109–116. [CrossRef]
- Jouki, M.; Khazaei, N. Lipid oxidation and color changes of fresh camel meat stored under different atmosphere packaging systems. J. Food Process. Technol. 2012, 3, 189.
- 99. Warner, R. Measurements of Water-holding Capacity and Color: Objective and Subjective. In *Encyclopedia of Meat Sciences*; Academic Press: Waltham, MA, USA, 2014; pp. 164–171.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.