



# *Article* **Impact of Different Wood Types on the Chemical Composition and Sensory Profile of Aged Tsipouro: A Comparative Study**

**Athanassios Karathanos <sup>1</sup> , Georgia Soultani <sup>2</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5692-5094) Nikolaos Kontoudakis 3,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2755-2238) and Yorgos Kotseridis <sup>1</sup>**

- <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Enology and Alcoholic Drinks, Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Agricultural University of Athens, 11855 Athens, Greece; athankarath@yahoo.gr (A.K.); ykotseridis@aua.gr (Y.K.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 15771 Athens, Greece; gesoultan@chem.uoa.gr
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Agricultural Biotechnology and Oenology, Democritus University of Thrace, 66100 Drama, Greece
- **\*** Correspondence: kontoudakis@vo.duth.gr

**Abstract:** The production of high-quality aged marc spirits includes a minimum period of six months of ageing in oak barrels. Lastly, producers are interested in alternative botanical origin wood. The present study is aimed at investigating the influence of Greek oak (*Quercus trojana*) and Greek chestnut (*Castanea sativa*) compared with French (*Quercus petraia*) and American oak (*Quercus alba*) on the chemical composition and sensorial characteristics of aged tsipouro, produced from marc from the Black Muscat variety. Gas chromatography–olfactometry–mass spectrometry (GC–O–MS) was used to identify volatile compounds of the aged tsipouro. Also, colour and polyphenol measurements were made, and an organoleptic evaluation was performed by 16 trained tasters. The findings revealed rather similar results between the tsipouro made from different wood species, especially between Greek and French oaks, and relative differentiation for that of chestnut. All the aged distillates exhibited a pleasant and rich aromatic potential, dominated by floral and fruity terpene varietal aromas as well as wood-related volatiles. Chestnut, with a high phenolic potential, gives pleasant organoleptic effects over time and can be an alternative wood for ageing spirits. This research highlights the importance of wood selection in the tsipouro ageing process and enables the use of Greek wood species in the ageing of spirits.

**Keywords:** aged tsipouro; oak wood; chestnut; American; French; Greek oak; GC–O–MS analysis; sensory analysis

## **1. Introduction**

Greek tradition encompasses the production and consumption of tsipouro, a traditional Greek spirit drink (named tsikoudia on the islands of Crete and Cyclades). Despite its long history, it is only over the past few decades that tsipouro has been bottled for market circulation and received geographical indication status from the European Union [\[1–](#page-12-0)[4\]](#page-12-1).

It is classified as a grape marc spirit, similar to other grape marc spirits, such as grappa in Italy, marc in France, orujo de Galicia in Spain, aguardiente bagaceiras in Portugal, and zivania in Cyprus, among others [\[2,](#page-12-2)[4\]](#page-12-1). As a grape marc spirit, tsipouro is produced solely from fermented grape marc, with the option of adding lees not exceeding 25 kg of lees per 100 kg of grape marc used. The first distillation is carried out in the presence of the marc itself, and the minimum alcoholic strength by volume for grape marc spirit is set at 37.5% vol [\[1\]](#page-12-0).

Tsipouro production is small, about 1.9 million litres of anhydrous alcohol (L.A.A.), which makes up to 8.8% of the Greek production of alcoholic beverages and with minimal participation in exports (0.10 mil. L.A.A., 0.7%). Nevertheless, it illustrates an upward trend and is popular in domestic consumption (about 26.4% of Greek spirit drinks), interwoven



**Citation:** Karathanos, A.; Soultani, G.; Kontoudakis, N.; Kotseridis, Y. Impact of Different Wood Types on the Chemical Composition and Sensory Profile of Aged Tsipouro: A Comparative Study. *Beverages* **2024**, *10*, 76. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/beverages10030076) [beverages10030076](https://doi.org/10.3390/beverages10030076)

Academic Editor: Andrea Versari

Received: 23 June 2024 Revised: 21 July 2024 Accepted: 7 August 2024 Published: 23 August 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

with the Greek culinary culture to complement both traditional and contemporary local cuisines [\[5\]](#page-12-3).

Moreover, it has traditionally been produced and consumed as a clear, colourless, and unaged distillate product. However, recently, the maturation of tsipouro in barrels has gained popularity and has given rise to a distinct category of Greek spirits known as "aged tsipouro". Ageing is recommended for at least six months in oak barrels [\[4](#page-12-1)[,6\]](#page-13-0), aiming to achieve a high-quality spirit with a dark yellow-amber colour, a unique aromatic balance, and a harmonious composition that showcases the interplay between the fruity and floral character of the varietal aromas and the exceptional wood-derived aromas imparted by the barrel contact. For the barrel ageing of tsipouro, as for many well-known international aged spirits, American oak (*Quercus alba*), French oak (*Quercus petraia* and *Quercus robur*), and oak barrels from other European countries have mainly been used, depending on the desired aromatic profile of the produced distillate. Over the last few years, many producers of wines and spirits have tried other species of oak  $[7,8]$  $[7,8]$  or other types of wood  $[9]$  as an alternative for or as a revival of a traditional way of ageing. Where the law allows it, other woods, like chestnut (*Castanea sativa*) or even cherry, acacia, and ash, have been used [\[7](#page-13-1)[,9](#page-13-3)[–12\]](#page-13-4).

In the international literature, a substantial amount of research related to the characteristics and volatile compounds of oak [\[13](#page-13-5)[–16\]](#page-13-6) and chestnut has been found [\[10,](#page-13-7)[13\]](#page-13-5), as well as to the ageing of spirits, such as grappa [\[15\]](#page-13-8), orujo [\[17\]](#page-13-9), wine spirits [\[18\]](#page-13-10), brandies [\[18–](#page-13-10)[22\]](#page-13-11), cognac [\[23\]](#page-13-12), and fruit spirits [\[24](#page-13-13)[,25\]](#page-13-14), which are all aged by the effect of oak or chestnut woods.

Greek forests, mainly in the northern part of the mainland, are covered with oak trees, providing an important financial contribution to local economies (Figure S1). The genus *Quercus* is represented by 11 species and a total of 14 taxa, as documented and classified in *Flora Hellenica* [\[26\]](#page-13-15) and "Vascular Plants of Greece" [\[27\]](#page-13-16). Notable among these are *Q. petraia* and, to a lesser extent, *Q. robur*. While research on the suitability of Greek oak species for the cooperage of barrels and casks for wines and spirits is in its nascent stages, the endemic species *Q. trojana* subsp. *trojana*, *Q. petraea* subsp. *petraea*, and *Q. frainetto* have emerged as promising candidates for exploration and exploitation owing to positive indications regarding their properties and substantial geographical distribution [\[27](#page-13-16)[,28\]](#page-13-17). Within the Greek territory, *Q. trojana* is categorised into two subspecies: *Q. trojana* subsp. *trojana* (or *Q. macedonica*) and *Q. trojana* subsp. *euboica* (endemic to the island of Evia, with limited distribution). The Macedonian oak (*Q. trojana* subsp. *trojana*), found primarily in Northwest Greece (mainly at the Pindos Mountain range in the region of Macedonia), is a focal species where a unique tree has been declared a "Preserved Monument of Nature" [\[29](#page-13-18)[,30\]](#page-13-19).

Chestnut, commonly referred to as "Castania" in Greek, holds significant historical and economic importance for Greece. Pollen analysis has indicated the presence of chestnut trees in Northern Greece in as early as the 10th century B.C. (Figure S1) [\[31\]](#page-13-20). The cultivation of chestnut, valued for both its fruit and wood, expanded into Europe and was initially introduced to the Italian Greek colonies [\[32\]](#page-13-21) and subsequently disseminated throughout the entire Mediterranean coast [\[31\]](#page-13-20).

Several studies have focused on the volatile profile of unaged tsipouro [\[33–](#page-13-22)[36\]](#page-14-0), and only a few have focused on the influence of wood contact with tsipouro [\[37,](#page-14-1)[38\]](#page-14-2). To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies that have investigated the potential contribution of volatile components to the intricate aromatic profile of aged tsipouro. Hence, this study constitutes the first attempt to determine the influence of the well-known *Q. petraia* and *Q. alba* on the aromatic profile of aged tsipouro. It also marks the initial exploration of utilising the Greek endemic wood species *Q. trojana* and *C. sativa* for the ageing of tsipouro.

#### **2. Materials and Methods**

## *2.1. Tsipouro Production*

A total of 1500 kg of grapes of the Mavro Moscato (Black Muscat) grapevine variety, cultivated organically at the foothills of Agrafa mountains (communities of Paliouri and Daphnospilia, Karditsa, Greece; 39°14′53.455″ N, 21°57′40.995″ E), were manually harvested at the optimum grape maturity level (21.5 ◦Brix) on 11 September 2014. Grapes were

destemmed, crushed, and slightly pressed. The free-run juice and the pressed juice were separated from seeds and skins and remained grape juice (marc). Approximately 270 kg of marc was transferred to a stainless-steel temperature-controlled tank. The marc was fermented spontaneously and yielded 7.5 L per 100 kg of anhydrous ethyl alcohol.

#### *2.2. Distillation*

The marc was fermented spontaneously in a stainless-steel tank with a controlled temperature (24  $\degree$ C) and without SO<sub>2</sub> additions, similar to red vinification. After the completion of alcoholic fermentation, the fermented marc was used to produce tsipouro by a double distillation process. A traditional simple distillation without rectification coppermade alembic of 130 L was used, composed of a gas heating system, a boiler, a still head, and a cooling system (Figure S2). In the first step, the grape marc was transferred to the still, and the produced distillate was separated at several fractions of "heads", "hearts", and "tails". The aim was to have a better separation of the fractions, especially for the "heads" and "tails," and to identify and remove, in real-time, defective odours, optimising the quality of the final product through a repetitive sensory (olfactory) evaluation. The selected "heart" fractions were joined all together and redistilled. Following the same procedure with the strict separation of "heads" and "tails", finally, a distillate of 70% *v*/*v* was collected in a stainless-steel tank. After a period of 3 months of maturation, it was diluted with pure water produced by an ion-exchange resin column for water purification (Ionel S.A., Athens, Greece) down to 40% *v*/*v*, which is the usual ethanol content of commercial brands.

#### *2.3. Woods*

The wooden cubes used in this study were from four different botanical species: French oak (FO) (*Quercus petraia*), American oak (AO) (*Quercus alba*), Greek oak (GO) (*Quercus trojana* or *Querqus macedonica*), and Greek chestnut (GC) (*Castanea sativa*). The first two (French and American oak) were kindly donated by the Tonnellerie Nadalie (Bordeaux, France) in the form of untreated staves, and the other two, in the form of tree logs, from the Department of Forestry, Wood Sciences, and Design (DFWSD, Karditsa, University of Thessaly, Greece). The tree of Greek chestnut came from the Athos peninsula in Northeast Greece, from the monastic community of Mount Athos. The tree of Greek oak, which for the first time is being studied for its effect on ageing, came from the Kouri peri-urban forest at Kozani, West Macedonia (Greece). It was cut, with permission of the Forestry Office of Kozani, especially for this study, and a log of 2 m (from height 30–230 cm) and diameter of approx. 32 cm was used. The cutting of wood into small pieces of dimensions  $2.0 \times 2.0 \times 1.0$  cm took place at the laboratories of DFWSD (Figure S3). The same protocol was followed for all the different types of wood.

#### *2.4. Toasting*

Various toasting levels were tested at the Technology and Quality Control of Wine and Spirits Laboratory (Department of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Thessaly, Karditsa, Greece). Keeping the woods of different toasting techniques in tsipouro for 3 months and after a sensory evaluation of the samples, a toasting technique was finally selected in 2 stages: the first was at 160  $\degree$ C (for 6 h), and the second stage of already toasted wood was at 200 ◦C (for 8 h) in a drying and heating chamber (Binder GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany). The toasted wood pieces of the four different types of wood were weighed before and after the toasting, and only the pieces with similar weights  $(\pm 5\% w/w)$  were used for the ageing process. The toasted wood pieces (Figure S3) were placed into 4 L glass containers that were first filled up with 4 L of tsipouro. The surface/volume ratio of a barrel was considered to be 2.01  $m^2/225$  L, according to a previously published research work [\[39\]](#page-14-3). The number of cubes added to the 4 L glass containers was based on that given information and the fact that the cubes had dimensions of  $2.0 \times 2.0 \times 1.0$  cm and a total surface of 16 cm<sup>2</sup>.

All conditions were treated in triplicates. The samples were maintained in a dark room with stable conditions (80% humidity and 18  $\degree$ C) for 5 years. Also, tsipouro, without the addition of wood cubes, was kept in the same glass containers in triplicate.

## *2.5. Aged Tsipouro*

#### 2.5.1. Colour Measurements and Total Polyphenolic Index

Measurements of the chromatic characteristics were realised in the tsipouro aged samples. The CIELAB coordinates  $L^*$  (lightness),  $a^*$  (redness/greenness),  $b^*$  (yellowness/blueness), C\* (chroma), and h\* (hue) were calculated according to Ayala et al. [\[40\]](#page-14-4) with the application of the MSCV software [\[41\]](#page-14-5). The total colour difference (∆Eab\*) between samples was calculated using the following formula:

$$
\Delta \text{Eab}^* = ((\Delta \text{L}^*)^2 + (\Delta \text{a}^*)^2 + (\Delta \text{b}^*)^2)^{1/2}
$$

The total phenolic index (TPI) was determined by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm [\[42\]](#page-14-6). Analyses were realised with a double-beam UV–vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1900, Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Kyoto, Japan).

## 2.5.2. Extraction of Volatile Compounds

The extraction of volatile components was performed using liquid–liquid extraction with dichloromethane as the solvent, adapting a protocol for the wine sample analysis [\[43\]](#page-14-7). A total of 100 mL of the sample was diluted with 200 mL of ultrapure water in a 500 mL Erlenmeyer flask. A total of 20 mL of dichloromethane was added, and the mixture was stirred vigorously for 15 min. The organic phase, with the use of a separation funnel, was collected in a glass centrifuge tube. The process was repeated twice with the addition of 10 mL of dichloromethane each time. The separated organic extracts were combined and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min at  $4 °C$ . After phase separation, the lower organic phase layer was collected, and anhydrous sodium sulphate was added to achieve the sample's dehydration, followed by decantation into another vial with salt removal. The extract was concentrated to  $500 \mu L$  under a nitrogen flow and transferred to a 1.5 mL vial. Sample storage was maintained at  $-20$  °C prior to concentration when the extract was in the vial containing salt. The extraction was performed in duplicate for each sample.

# 2.5.3. Gas Chromatography–Olfactometry–Mass Spectrometry (GC–O–MS) Analysis

Analysis with gas chromatography–olfactometry was performed according to Goulioti et al. [\[44\]](#page-14-8). Briefly, a Perkin Elmer olfactory detector port (SNFRTM Olfactory Port, N6590100) was fitted to the GC-MS system (Perkin Elmer Clarus 590 gas chromatograph coupled to a Perkin Elmer Clarus SQ8S mass spectrometer—Waltham, MA, USA), connected by a flow splitter to the column exit (SwaferTM technology, Perkin Elmer) to achieve a 1:5 split ratio. GC effluent was combined with humidified air (99.999% purity, Revival, Greece) at the bottom of the detector port, and  $1 \mu L$  of the sample was injected in splitless mode (injector temperature, 250  $^{\circ}$ C), and the split vent was opened after 5 min. A DB-Wax column (50 m  $\times$  0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness Agilent J&W GC Column, Folsom, CA, USA) was used with helium as the carrier gas in a constant flow rate of 1.9 mL/min. The temperature program was as described by Goulioti et al. [\[44\]](#page-14-8): 40 °C for 2 min, then increasing by  $4 °C/min$  to 240 °C, with a 20 min isothermal phase at 240 °C. The MS transfer line was set at 250 °C, and the electron ionisation mass spectra at 70 eV were recorded in the range of m/z 40–400.

For the GC–O–MS analysis, three panellists (males, 35–55 years old) trained in descriptive sensory analysis and who were able to identify the odours of different aged tsipouro samples were used to identify the odours by the olfactory detector port. The panellists noted each odour-active compound detected in their retention time, as well as their odour description, and finally, their intensity on a scale of 1 to 3. The absolute average intensity value from the three panellists was used for the comparison of the different samples. The collected MS data were analysed with the TurboMass Ver6.1.2 GC/MS Software (Perkin

Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) and compared with the NIST 2008 Mass Spectra library (US National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). The Kovats retention index (RI) of the target compound was calculated using C7–C30 alkanes (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) and compared with the NIST database [\[44\]](#page-14-8). Subsequently, a comparison to the literature and the Flavornet website for all aroma descriptors was performed [\[45\]](#page-14-9).

## 2.5.4. Sensory Analysis

The produced tsipouro grape marc spirits were used for the sensorial evaluation by 16 trained panellists (8 females and 8 males; 23–55 years of age, all experts on spirits and mainly marc distillates) who provided their informed consent to take part in the study. The panellists were trained in two training sessions, and following this process, they evaluated the samples. During the training sessions, the panellists were asked to smell the reference odorants to become familiarised with the odours that can be found in aged marc spirits produced by Muscat grapes. The reference odorants were prepared with the use of natural products prepared in a 40% (*v*/*v*) ethanol solution made of neutral alcohol of agricultural origin (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). The reference odorants were prepared and macerated overnight before the training session. The evaluation of the marc spirits was realised in a temperature-controlled testing room (18–20  $\degree$ C) in individual booths with natural lighting, and thereupon the samples were left to reach room temperature. Each sample for a given marc spirit was prepared by blending in equal proportions of the respective replicates. Hence, 30 mL of each sample was poured into black INOA/ISO tasting glasses covered with plastic Petri dishes and coded with a three-digit monadic sequence according to a Latin square design. The panellists evaluated each sample ortho-nasally and rated them for each sensory attribute intensity according to a five-point scale (ranging from 1, not perceived, to 5, very strong). During the tasting, the panellists had a 1 min break. All sensory attributes were subjected to statistical analysis with the application of one-way ANOVA (*p* < 0.05) followed by Tukey's HSD post hoc test  $(a = 0.05)$ .



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1.** Odour descriptors based on reference standards prepared in 100 mL of 40% (*v*/*v*) ethanol solution.

## **3. Results and Discussion**

### *3.1. Identification of Volatile Compounds by GC–O–MS of Aged Tsipouro*

Gas chromatography–olfactometry–mass spectrometry (GC–O–MS) was applied to identify the volatile compounds of aged tsipouro with and without wood contact and their influence on the organoleptic characteristics of the analysed samples. While not all volatile compounds contribute to the distinct aroma of a particular spirit drink, it is essential to identify those that genuinely have an olfactory impact on this specific spirit. In agreement with this statement, the current survey revealed that 29 odour-active compounds were determined by GC–O analysis. The vast majority of the identified compounds were confirmed through a combination of retention index, mass spectrum, and reference compounds. The odorants contributing to the overall aroma of the samples, as revealed by GC–O, belong to the chemical compound groups of esters, higher alcohols, terpenoids, lactones, and furan derivatives. Among these compounds, alcohols, esters, and terpenes were found in quite high intensity and were further responsible for the fruity and floral aromas in all samples, namely 2-methyl-1-propanol, 2-methyl-1-butanol, ethyl hexanoate, ethyl octanoate, 2-phenylethyl acetate, linalool, and geraniol, as listed in Table [2.](#page-6-0) Those compounds are acknowledged as the key aromatic compounds present in grapes (*V. vinifera*), overripe pomace, and wines produced from Muscat cultivars [\[46](#page-14-10)[–48\]](#page-14-11). The family of Muscat grape varieties has significant amounts of terpenoids, which exceed the odour threshold. These amounts will, therefore, also pass into the distillate, which is why linalool and geraniol were determined by using GC–O in high intensity, followed by citronellol, nerol and *a*-terpineol (Table [2\)](#page-6-0). Linalool scored the highest intensity perception by the GC–O, and subsequently, this was found for the control sample, in comparison to the aged samples, followed by the geraniol that had similar odour intensity, with and without wood contact. Citronellol, nerol, and *a*-terpineol displayed a low intensity for the control sample in addition to the aged with wood samples. Other marc spirits, such as grappa [\[49](#page-14-12)[–53\]](#page-14-13), orujo [\[49](#page-14-12)[,54\]](#page-14-14), bagaceiras [\[55\]](#page-14-15), zivania [\[56\]](#page-14-16), as well as wine distillates, such as wine spirit [\[57\]](#page-14-17), brandy [\[58–](#page-14-18)[61\]](#page-14-19), cognac [\[60](#page-14-20)[,62\]](#page-15-0), and pisco [\[63](#page-15-1)[,64\]](#page-15-2), have similar chemical compositions with tsipouro.

Regarding the higher alcohols, such as 2-methyl-1-propanol (solvent and fruity odorants) and 2-methyl-1-butanol (cheesy, green, and solvent), a high intensity for all the samples was found. It is worth noting that 1-hexanol is not an alcoholic fermentation product, and its presence is related to the grape variety and maturation stage. When it is detected in low concentrations, it positively influences the aroma of the distillate. Otherwise, it would provide an intense cut-grass aroma. Additionally, it serves as an indicator of the pressing degree when sensing the green-like notes [\[65–](#page-15-3)[67\]](#page-15-4). In this case, 1-hexanol was detected in low-aroma intensity levels, suggesting that the grapes were not subjected to high pressure during the pressing process. Furthermore, in spirits, it is commonly recognised that 2-phenylethanol contributes to the aroma of rose [\[68\]](#page-15-5). However, the findings of the current study indicate that another compound, specifically 2-phenylethyl acetate, exhibited a more pronounced presence and played a greater role in generating the rose aroma. It makes sense, as the boiling point of the alcohol is higher than that of the acetate.

Moreover, ethyl esters were also detected in the studied samples, as already found in previous studies on brandy, cognac, apple, and apricot distillates [\[67–](#page-15-4)[69\]](#page-15-6). The presence of ethyl hexanoate, ethyl octanoate, and ethyl decanoate, which contributes to the fruity character of the distillates, was detected in all the samples. Based on the findings of this study, ethyl hexanoate had a higher odour intensity, and when the alkyl group was increased, the active aroma compound intensity was decreased, which is in line with the research of de-la-Fuente-Blanco et al. [\[70\]](#page-15-7).

From the above, it is clear that tsipouro, without contact with the wood after ageing for five years, still exhibited predominant fruity, floral, and citrus notes, which were influenced by the grape variety used for its production. On the other hand, the aged tsipouro produced after a period of wood contact displayed more prominent aromas of caramel, smoked, and vanilla. This was expected, as the four different types of wooden cubes, namely Greek oak (GO), American oak (AO), French oak (FO), and Greek chestnut (GC), play

a significant role in the flavour and aroma profiles of the aged tsipouro. In addition, as stated by Tarko et al. [\[71\]](#page-15-8), throughout the maturation process of alcoholic beverages in the presence of carefully chosen wood species, different chemical reactions take place between the beverage constituents and the extracted compounds from the wood. These interactions significantly influence the aromatic complexity of alcoholic drinks.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 2.** Aroma description, compound identification, and aroma intensity, determined by GC–O–MS for the different tsipouro aged samples (French oak (FO), American oak (AO), Greek oak (GO), and Greek chestnut (GC)).

Num <sup>a</sup>	RI GC-MS <sup>b</sup> Column				Intensity <sup>e</sup>				
	DB-WAX	$DB-5$	Aroma Description <sup>c</sup>	Compound <sup>d</sup>	<b>CONTROL</b>	<b>FO</b>	AO	GO	GC
$\mathbf{1}$	1104	655	Solvent, fruity	2-methyl-1-propanol (isobutanol) 3		3	3	3	3
2	1210	756	Cheesy, green, solvent	2-methyl-1-butanol	3	3	3	3	3
3	1210	756		3-methyl-1-butanol (isoamyl alcohol)	$n.d.$ <sup>1</sup>	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
4	1240	1007	Fruity	ethyl hexanoate	3	3	3	3	3
5	1251	1012	Caramelised, heavy, sweet	unknown	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
6	1356	865	Cut-grass	1-hexanol	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
7	1391	858	Cut-grass	$(Z)$ -3-hexen-1-ol					
8	1433	1193	Fruity	ethyl octanoate	2.3	2	$\overline{2}$	2	$\overline{2}$
9	1457		Floral, rose	unknown	1	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\,1\,$	$\mathbf{1}$
10	1533	1104	Citrus, bergamot	linalool	3	$\overline{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$	1.7
11			Sweet, tropical	unknown	1.3	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
12	1640	1401	Brandy, fruity	ethyl decanoate	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
13			Citrus, sweet orange	unknown	1.3	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
14	1700	1192	Floral, lilac	a-terpineol	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
15	1768	1235	Lemon, citronellal, lemongrass	citronellol	1.3	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	1	$\mathbf{1}$
16	1792	1230	Honeysuckle, lavender	nerol	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
17	1837	1250	Rosy	2-phenylethyl acetate	2.3	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	1.7
18	1852	1088	Smokey	guaiacol	n.d.	2.3	$\overline{2}$	2.3	$\mathbf{1}$
19	1857	1103	Coconut-like	(3S,4R)-trans-whiskylactone	n.d.	2	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	n.d.
20	1862	1266	Citrus	geraniol	2.3	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$
21	1914	1115	Rose/honey	2-phenylethanol	1.3	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
22	1971	1310	Coconut-like	(3S,4S)-cis-whiskylactone	n.d.	$\mathbf{1}$	3	$\mathbf{1}$	n.d.
23	2033	1282	Roasty, spicy	4-ethyl-2-methoxyphenol (4-ethyl guaiacol)	n.d.	1.3	$\overline{2}$	1.3	n.d.
24	2038	1077	Caramel-like	4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)- furanone (furaneol)	n.d.	1.7	$\overline{2}$	1.7	$\mathbf{1}$
25	2212	1314	Clove-like	2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol (4-vinylguaiacol)	n.d.	1.7	$\overline{2}$	1.7	$\mathbf{1}$
26	2235	1117	Seasoning-like	3-hydroxy-4,5-dimethyl- 2(5H)-furanone (sotolon)	n.d.	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	2.3
27	2566	1405	Vanilla	vanillin	n.d.	3	$\mathbf{1}$	2.7	$\mathbf{1}$
28	2600	1525	Vanilla	methyl vanillate	n.d.	$\overline{2}$	1.7	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$
29	2665		Vanilla, chocolate	ethyl vanillate	n.d.	2.3	$\overline{2}$	2.3	$\mathbf{1}$

<sup>a</sup> Elution order from the DB-WAX column.  $\frac{b}{c}$  Retention index on DB-WAX and DB-5 columns. <sup>c</sup> Odour quality, as perceived at the sniffing port during GC–O.<sup>d</sup> Identified odorants based on retention indices on both columns and on mass spectra obtained by MS.  $e$  Intensity, as perceived from the panellists, from  $1 =$  low to  $3 =$  high intensity. n.d. <sup>1</sup>: not determined.

In line, the findings demonstrated that each type of wooden cube contributed unique flavours and aromas to the tsipouro, as presented in Table [2.](#page-6-0) In particular, the addition of different wood species led to the formation of specific substances in the tsipouro, such as guaiacol, (3*S*,4*R*)-*trans*-whiskylactone, (3*S*,4*S*)-*cis*-whiskylactone, 4-ethyl-2-methoxyphenol, 4 hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2*H*)-furanone, 2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol, 3-hydroxy-4,5-dimethyl-2(5*H*)-furanone, and several derivatives of vanillin. These substances were directly derived from the wood and were not present in the non-aged wood contact in the sample of tsipouro. From those compounds, guaiacol, associated with the smoky character of the samples, was detected at an average level of intensity for the three different oaked samples, while the chestnut was at lower levels, and is in accordance with the results of Culleré et al. [\[72\]](#page-15-9). The 4-ethyl guaiacol (4-ethyl-2-methoxyphenol), characteristic of the roasted and spicy odour, was found to be at a higher intensity in the AO samples, followed by the GO and

the FO, while the presence of the specific odour-active compound could not be detected in the GC samples. From the two whiskylactones contributing to the coconut odour, the *cis* form was at a higher intensity level for the AO samples, followed by lower levels for the other two oaked samples, whereas for the chestnut sample, there was no possibility of detection. For the *trans* form, the levels of intensity were found to be at the middle level for all the oak samples and undetected for the GC samples. Culleré et al. [\[72\]](#page-15-9) were able to identify by GC–O–MS the *cis*-whiskylactone in the extracts from chestnut wood samples, something that was not possible in the present study; in all probability, due to a different type of chestnut wood. The 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2*H*)-furanone (furaneol), with the caramel-like odour, had higher levels in the AO samples, followed by the FO, GO and GC samples had the lower levels. Similarly, for the 2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol (4-vinylguaiacol), the powerful clove-like spicy odour had the lowest detected levels in the GC, followed by higher levels from the GO and FO and the highest identified in the AO samples. Those results followed the opposite trend that was observed in a previous study [\[72\]](#page-15-9). Moreover, the 3-hydroxy-4,5-dimethyl-2(5*H*)-furanone (sotolon), a potent aroma compound with a seasoning-like odour, had the same middle-intensity levels for all the samples. Finally, from the vanillin and its derivatives, vanillin was higher in the FO and the GO tsipouro aged samples. Both methyl and ethyl vanillate were identified at similar middle levels for all three oak samples, followed by lower detection levels in the chestnut-aged samples. Vanillin had the same trend as Culleré et al. [\[72\]](#page-15-9); however, there was a contrasting trend for the methyl vanillate. The difference presented between the studies can be related to the prolonged ageing period in the present study and the different botanical origins.

## *3.2. Colour Measurements and Total Polyphenolic Index of Aged Tsipouro*

Several types of woods covering a range of different botanical origins (American, French, and Greek oak) and species (Greek oak and chestnut) were assessed for their ability to influence the organoleptic characteristics of the spirits aged in contact with wood fragments for five years. It is well known that alcoholic beverages aged with different types of wood had a considerable impact on their phenolic composition and colour characteristics [\[73–](#page-15-10)[76\]](#page-15-11). As shown in Figure [1,](#page-8-0) the total polyphenolic index (TPI) of the spirits aged in contact with GC wood was observed to be almost double that of the TPI at the tsipouro marc spirit that was aged with the FO and GO oaks. The spirit aged with AO had the lowest TPI, with a statistically significant difference from the other spirits. Híc et al. [\[74\]](#page-15-12) found a higher level of total phenolic index in the wine spirits aged with wood fragments of chestnut, which were also related to a higher antioxidant capacity. Similarly, Belchior et al. [\[77\]](#page-15-13) mention that a higher phenolic content was observed in the spirits aged in barrels made from chestnut wood, followed by the European oak and, finally, the American oak wood barrels. Differences were detected by Canas et al. [\[18\]](#page-13-10) in the concentrations of phenolic aldehydes, phenolic acids, and scopoletin, and mainly with the higher concentrated phenolic compounds, such as gallic acid and ellagic acid, which are directly extracted from the wood or hydrolysed from gallotannins and ellagitannins; this was due to the prolonged period of ageing [\[78\]](#page-15-14).

Figures [2](#page-8-1) and [3](#page-9-0) illustrate the colour parameters of the spirits aged with different wood fragments. Figure [2](#page-8-1) shows the chromatic coordinates a\* and b\*. The colour-opponent dimension a\*, expressing the red-greenness of the studied spirits, shows a clear trend. The Greek chestnut wood influenced the a\* coordinate more; the GC-aged spirit had the higher value (26.88  $\pm$  1.3), followed by the Greek oak-aged spirit (9.61  $\pm$  0.09), and the French oaked spirit (8.06  $\pm$  0.07) being slightly lower but with a significant difference from the GO. The American oaked spirit had a lower value, with a high difference from the other three spirits (3.14  $\pm$  0.05). A similar tendency appeared for the b\* yellow-blueness coordinate. GC exhibited a higher yellow colour tint (87.0  $\pm$  2.7) with a significant difference from the other three spirits. The GO and the FO had similar yellow colours (74.0  $\pm$  3.1 and 71.8  $\pm$  2.4, respectively), while the AO spirit had a lower yellow tint  $(59.0 \pm 1.3)$  with statistically significant differences from the other spirits. Based on the a\* and b\* values, all aged spirits

<span id="page-8-0"></span>had yellow colour hues with a considerable proportion of red colour. Similar results were observed from other research groups when comparing the ageing of spirits that had been in contact with chestnut and oak woods [\[74](#page-15-12)[,78,](#page-15-14)[79\]](#page-15-15). Regarding the values related to the a\* and b\* coordinates, there are differences that are most probably related to the toasting process and mainly the toasted level in addition to the oxidation process [\[73](#page-15-10)[,80\]](#page-15-16). In general, the tsipouro aged in contact with chestnut wood had more intense topaz hues than golden and yellow straw; the Greek and French oak had more golden hues, respectfully, followed by the American oak, which produced more yellow straw tints.

had the lowest TPI, with a statistically significant difference from the other spirits. Híc et



Figure 1. Total phenolic index (TPI) of tsipouro marc spirits aged for 5 years while in contact with French oak (FO), American oak (AO), Greek oak (GO), and Greek chestnut (GC). All data are expressed as the average of 3 samples ± standard deviation. Different Latin letters indicate statistical expressed as the average of 3 samples ± standard deviation. Different Latin letters indicate statistical differences (*p* < 0.05). differences (*p* < 0.05).

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

**Figure 2.** CIELAB chromatic coordinates: a\*, b\*, and lightness L\* of tsipouro marc spirits aged for years while in contact with French oak (FO), American oak (AO), Greek oak (GO), and Greek 5 years while in contact with French oak (FO), American oak (AO), Greek oak (GO), and Greek chestnut (GC). All data are expressed as the average of 3 samples. Different Latin letters indicate chestnut (GC). All data are expressed as the average of 3 samples. Different Latin letters indicate statistical differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) for the L<sup>\*</sup> and a<sup>\*</sup> parameters and Greek letters for the b<sup>\*</sup> parameter.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** CIELAB chromatic coordinates: chroma C\* and hue h\* of tsipouro marc spirits aged for years while in contact with French oak (FO), American oak (AO), Greek oak (GO), and Greek 5 years while in contact with French oak (FO), American oak (AO), Greek oak (GO), and Greek chestnut (GC). All data are expressed as the average of 3 samples  $\pm$  standard deviation. Different Latin letters indicate statistical differences (*p* < 0.05).

Related to the lightness  $L^*$ , the visual perception of the luminosity in the CIELAB colour space has values from 0% (dark/opaque) to 100% (transparent/bright). From the tsipouro aged marc spirits, the chestnut samples showed a lower value, thus being the darkest/most opaque aged spirit (Figure [2\)](#page-8-1). Higher values were presented in the French and Greek oak spirits, with the highest value observed in the American oak marc spirit, presenting the brightest colour. Comparable results were shown in other scientific works [\[74,](#page-15-12)[78\]](#page-15-14), with the values decreasing with ageing and increases in the toasting level and the level of oxidation [\[78](#page-15-14)[,81](#page-15-17)[,82\]](#page-15-18). On the other hand, chroma C\* expresses the colourfulness of an area judged as a proportion of the brightness of a similarly illuminated area that appears white or highly transmitting [\[83\]](#page-15-19), meaning that at a higher chroma value, the colour intensity perceived by the human eye is greater [\[82\]](#page-15-18). Lower values were found with the AO marc spirit, followed by the FO and the GO aged spirits (Figure [3\)](#page-9-0). The tsipouro that was aged in contact with chestnut wood had a higher value with higher colour intensity, as was also indicated by Canas et al. [\[78\]](#page-15-14). Related to the hue h<sup>\*</sup> parameter, the AO spirits had a slightly higher but no significant difference from the other two oak-aged spirits (Figure [3\)](#page-9-0). The chestnut had a significantly lower value, indicating that it released more coloured compounds than the spirits aged with oak. In general, it could be concluded that the extraction of different amounts and compositions of phenolic compounds from the different wood fragments had a clear influence on the chromatic parameters of the aged spirits [\[74,](#page-15-12)[78,](#page-15-14)[79\]](#page-15-15).

Table [3](#page-10-0) shows the total colour differences (∆Eab\*) among the tsipouro aged in contact with different woods. It is considered that when the ∆Eab\* values are ≤ 3 units, the human eye cannot perceive the visual difference in the colour between two samples [\[84\]](#page-15-20). In the case of the present study, the total colour differences between marc spirits were all higher than 3 units, indicating that the human eye could distinguish among the different samples. The ∆Eab\* was higher when the chestnut sample was compared with the three different oak samples. The smallest difference (almost at the limit of the human eye's capacity to distinguish them) was between French and Greek oak-aged marc spirits, which are in agreement with the results from the colour parameters presented above.

Colour had a great influence on the organoleptic perception of the foods and beverages and, in particular, on the perception of the marc spirits. It is well known that the visual aspect of a sample will influence the verbalisation of olfactory information and, indeed, its odour perception [\[85\]](#page-15-21). As the above data demonstrate (Figures [1–](#page-8-0)[3,](#page-9-0) Table [3\)](#page-10-0), the differences in the colour for the four aged grape marc spirits can be distinguished by the human eye. For that reason, the sensory analysis of the spirits was performed with the use of dark-coloured glasses in order to avoid bias for the odour perception of the grape marc spirits aged in contact with the four different wood types.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Table 3.** Total colour differences (∆Eab\*) between aged tsipouro samples by French oak (FO), American oak (AO), Greek oak (GO), and Greek chestnut (GC).



# *3.3. Sensory Analysis 3.3. Sensory Analysis*

A trained panel of sixteen panellists orthonasally evaluated the aromas of all five A trained panel of sixteen panellists orthonasally evaluated the aromas of all five samples. The sensory analyses of the tsipouro samples focused on the olfactory perception, samples. The sensory analyses of the tsipouro samples focused on the olfactory as the visual differences among the samples were evident, as already mentioned above. The aim of the present work was to study the volatile compounds extracted from the tsipouro marc spirits, and not the non-volatile part, where the gustatory sensory analysis could affect the judgement of the tasters. The results from the tasting after the statistical treatment of the data are shown i[n T](#page-10-1)able S1, while Figure 4 presents the mean values in a spider plot form.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

**Figure 4.** The mean value of the sensory attributes of the five different tsipouro marc spirits aged **Figure 4.** The mean value of the sensory attributes of the five different tsipouro marc spirits aged without wood contact (control) and in contact for 5 years with French oak (FO), American oak (AO), without wood contact (control) and in contact for 5 years with French oak (FO), American oak (AO), Greek oak (GO), and Greek chestnut (GC). Greek oak (GO), and Greek chestnut (GC).

It is clear that for the majority of the studied sensory parameters, the control samples It is clear that for the majority of the studied sensory parameters, the control samples were rated differently from the samples aged in contact with wood to a statistically were rated differently from the samples aged in contact with wood to a statistically significant level (Table S1) and had a clear opposing trend, even if the attributes were not statistically different (Table S1 and Figure 4). statistically different (Table S1 and Figure [4\)](#page-10-1).

From Figure 4, it is evident that the tsipouro without wood contact exhibited aromas From Figure [4,](#page-10-1) it is evident that the tsipouro without wood contact exhibited aromas of the Muscat variety, primarily floral and citrus (bergamot), at a higher olfactory intensity of the Muscat variety, primarily floral and citrus (bergamot), at a higher olfactory intensity than the other samples. The control sample scored higher for the floral notes and tended to have a higher aroma intensity for the citrus (bergamot) attribute, while the addition of wood reduced the intensity of floral aromas, possibly by masking the panellists' wood reduced the intensity of floral aromas, possibly by masking the panellists' perception at a certain level. From the samples aged in contact with wood, the FO tended to have a higher perception of the floral attribute. On the other hand, the ageing process enhanced the perception of dried fruit senses (apricot, plum, raisin, and fig), with the control samples scoring relatively lower than the aged in contact with wood samples.

Comparing different types of wood, the sensory analysis provided a similar profile to what was observed from the GC–O–MS analysis (Table [2\)](#page-6-0). Specifically, oak provided more intense aromas compared to chestnut, while the intensities of the aromas from the French and Greek oaks were at similar levels. American oak provided more pronounced notes of toasting and coconut, a characteristic aroma of this spice of oak, due to its presence of *cis*-whiskylactone, in accordance with the GC–O–MS analysis and other studies [\[16](#page-13-6)[,84](#page-15-20)[–88\]](#page-16-0).

For the other attributes (vanilla, honey, caramel, wood, tobacco, spicy notes, coffee, hazelnut, chocolate, and coconut), it is clear that the extraction of the volatile compounds from the wood into the tsipouro during the ageing process produced spirits with a different organoleptic perception. For all those attributes, the aged with wood contact samples scored higher than the control sample, which is aligned with the results found during the GC–O analysis (Table [2\)](#page-6-0). The samples with different wood types did not present significant differences for the majority of the attributes. However, a trend was observed. The chestnut samples (GC), although generally had lower intensities, especially in the "sweet" aromas such as vanilla, caramel, and chocolate, gave a greater aromatic intensity in characteristics such as roasted.

The sample of Greek oak, studied for the first time, showed a balance in the individual olfactory parameters with quite high intensities. It had the highest values in parameters related to typical oak barrel aromas, such as vanilla, due to the vanillin it contains, as well as caramel aromas related to the wood-toasting process. These characteristics make its aromatic profile similar to that of FO wood, with which they share a common botanical origin due to their European origin. The most significant differences between wood types were related to the intensity of the coconut aroma descriptor due to *Quercus alba*, as also reported by others [\[84–](#page-15-20)[88\]](#page-16-0).

The organoleptic evaluation of the various samples showed that, in general, all the aged spirits had a very good result regarding the overall aromatic assessment, quality, harmony, and balance. Given that the particular witness referred to a spirit of a particular, aromatic and pleasant character, it seems that the ageing of tsipouro can produce special, excellent spirits whose long-term ageing improves its characteristics in all the most wellknown types of wood used. The organoleptic evaluation of the aromas of the tsipouro samples aged in the French and American oaks—the two well-known, most studied, and most used species of oak—confirms the qualitative dynamics of these two oak species in the case of the aged tsipouro as well. The use of Greek oak, for the first time in the ageing of spirits, produced spirits of great intensity in many qualitative aromatic characteristics and provided a harmonious and balanced aged marc spirit, which mainly resembles the characteristics of a spirit aged in French oak. Chestnut, also for the first time, was studied in relation to aged tsipouro and rated a high score in the overall assessment.

#### **4. Conclusions**

Ageing tsipouro with different oak species and chestnut wood led to a rich aromatic potential, imparting many pleasant volatiles derived from the wood, creating a complex effect, especially regarding the floral and fruity distillates of aromatic varieties, as are the majority of Greek tsipouro. Tsipouro from Muscat grapes produces high levels of terpenes, such as linalool, *a*-terpineol, citronellol, nerol, and geraniol, and additionally high levels of phenylethanol, 2-phenylethyl acetate. The compounds with floral and fruity aromas are distilled to tsipouro, and these compounds are even retained in aged spirits.

Greek oak (*Quercus trojana* or *Querqus macedonica*) was studied for the first time as an alternative oak species in terms of its aromatic profile regarding distillates. It appears to have a high-quality potential, imparting an intense and complex aroma to the spirit with a pleasant organoleptic character. It has a lot in common (botanically, chemically, and organoleptically) with the other oak wood species used in the ageing of wines and spirits and, especially, with the French oak (*Quercus petraia*). They share a similar colour, total polyphenolic index, chemical and organoleptic characteristics, and a number of substances derived from the toasting of the wood, such as guaiacol, *cis*- and *trans*-whiskylactones, 4-ethyl guaiacol, furaneol, 4-vinyl guaiacol, sotolon, vanillin, methyl vanillate, ethyl vanillate, are common with similar intensities in their aroma. Further investigation is needed to explore its potential for marc spirits' ageing with Greek oak, as well as other spirits and even wine.

On the other hand, chestnut (*Castanea sativa*), with its high phenolic potential and, which, over the years, has provided strong characteristics to aged spirits, produced very satisfactory organoleptic results, as well as the possibility of using it as an alternative to oak for ageing tsipouro and other spirits. However, its aromatic potential is less intense than that of the oak.

Both the Greek oak and the chestnut are endemic trees in Greece with great botanical, environmental, historical, and economic importance. Consequently, exploring the possibility of ageing spirits with these types of woods can contribute to the production of distinctive aged spirits and help strengthen the Greek economy.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: [https://](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/beverages10030076/s1) [www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/beverages10030076/s1,](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/beverages10030076/s1) Figure S1: Distribution of (a) *Quercus trojana* (*Q. trojana* subsp. *trojana* (or *Q. macedonica*) with green colour, and *Q. trojana* subsp. *euboica* with violet colour, (b) *Quercus petraia*, and (c) *Castanea sativa* (probable native range and isolated population with green colour and introduced and naturalised range and isolated population since Neolithic with light brown colour); Figure S2: Picture of the traditional simple distillation without rectification copper-made alembic of 130 L composed of a gas heating system, a boiler, a still head, and a cooling system; Figure S3: Cutting process of the different type of woods (a) and (b) at cubes of dimensions of  $2.0 \times 2.0 \times 1.0$  cm (c). Toasting process of wood cubes (d); Table S1: Mean values of sensory attributes of tsipouro marc spirits aged without wood contact (Control) and in contact for 5 years with France oak (FO), American oak (AO), Greek oak (GO), and Greek chestnut (GC).

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualisation, A.K. and Y.K.; methodology, A.K. and Y.K.; software, A.K. and N.K.; formal analysis, A.K.; data curation, A.K., G.S., and N.K.; writing—original draft preparation, A.K. and G.S.; writing—review and editing, N.K. and Y.K.; supervision, Y.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to express their acknowledgement to the Laboratory of Quality Control of Wooden Products and Wood Constructions and the Department of Forestry, Wood Sciences, and Design of the University of Thessaly (Karditsa, Greece) for their donation of Greek woods and the cutting of all wood cubes.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>1. European Commission. Regulation (EC) 2019/787 of 17 April 2019. *Off. J. Eur. Union* **2019**, *L130*, 1–54.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>2. European Commission. Regulation (EC) 2008/110 of 15 January 2008. *Off. J. Eur. Union* **2008**, *L39*, 16–54.
- 3. European Commission. Regulation (EC) 1989/1576 of 15 June 1989. *Off. J. Eur. Union* **1989**, *L160*, 1–17.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>4. eAmbrosia. The EU Geographical Indications Register. Available online: [https://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/eambrosia/](https://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/eambrosia/geographical-indications-register/) [geographical-indications-register/](https://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/eambrosia/geographical-indications-register/) (accessed on 10 April 2024).
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>5. Data General Chemical State Laboratory (G.C.S.L.), Edit Greek Federation of Spirits Producers (SEAOP). 2023. Available online: <https://www.seaop.gr/press-office/press-releases?pageNo=2> (accessed on 10 April 2024).
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>6. e-nomothesia.gr. Y.A.30/077/2131/2011—ΦEK 1946/B/31-8-2011. Available online: [https://www.e-nomothesia.gr/kat](https://www.e-nomothesia.gr/kat-epikheireseis/alkooloukha-pota-oinopneuma-potopoieia/ya-30-077-2131-2011.html)[epikheireseis/alkooloukha-pota-oinopneuma-potopoieia/ya-30-077-2131-2011.html](https://www.e-nomothesia.gr/kat-epikheireseis/alkooloukha-pota-oinopneuma-potopoieia/ya-30-077-2131-2011.html) (accessed on 10 April 2024).
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>7. Martínez-Gil, A.; Del Alamo-Sanza, M.; Sánchez-Gómez, R.; Nevares, I. Different Woods in Cooperage for Oenology: A Review. *Beverages* **2018**, *4*, 94. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/beverages4040094)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>8. González, J.C.; Pino, J.A. Analysis of Volatile Compounds in Different Types of Oak Barrel Used in the Aging of Rum. *Rev. CENIC Cienc. Quím.* **2020**, *51*, 238–251.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>9. Fernández de Simón, B.; Esteruelas, E.; Muñoz, Á.M.; Cadahía, E.; Sanz, M. Volatile Compounds in Acacia, Chestnut, Cherry, Ash, and Oak Woods, with a View to Their Use in Cooperage. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2009**, *57*, 3217–3227. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf803463h)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>10. Mayr Marangon, C.; De Rosso, M.; Carraro, R.; Flamini, R. Changes in Volatile Compounds of Grape Pomace Distillate (Italian Grappa) during One-Year Ageing in Oak and Cherry Barrels. *Food Chem.* **2021**, *344*, 128658. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128658) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33279348)
- 11. Canas, S.; Caldeira, I.; Anjos, O.; Lino, J.; Soares, A.; Pedro Belchior, A. Physicochemical and Sensory Evaluation of Wine Brandies Aged Using Oak and Chestnut Wood Simultaneously in Wooden Barrels and in Stainless Steel Tanks with Staves. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *51*, 2537–2545. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13235)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>12. Jordão, A.M.; Lozano, V.; Correia, A.C.; Ortega-Heras, M.; González-SanJosé, M.L. Comparative Analysis of Volatile and Phenolic Composition of Alternative Wood Chips from Cherry, Acacia and Oak for Potential Use in Enology. *EDP Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 02012. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20160702012)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>13. Carpena, M.; Pereira, A.G.; Prieto, M.A.; Simal-Gandara, J. Wine Aging Technology: Fundamental Role of Wood Barrels. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 1160. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9091160)
- 14. Guerrero-Chanivet, M.; Valcárcel-Muñoz, M.J.; García-Moreno, M.V.; Guillén-Sánchez, D.A. Characterization of the Aromatic and Phenolic Profile of Five Different Wood Chips Used for Ageing Spirits and Wines. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 1613. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9111613) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33172052)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>15. Setzer, W.N. Volatile Components of Oak and Cherry Wood Chips Used in Aging of Beer, Wine, and Sprits. *Am. J. Essent. Oils Nat. Prod.* **2016**, *4*, 37–40.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>16. Cadahía, E.; Fernández de Simón, B.; Jalocha, J. Volatile Compounds in Spanish, French, and American Oak Woods after Natural Seasoning and Toasting. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2003**, *51*, 5923–5932. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0302456)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>17. Rodríguez-Solana, R.; Rodríguez, N.; Dominguez, J.M.; Cortés, S. Characterization by Chemical and Sensory Analysis of Commercial Grape Marc Distillate (Orujo) Aged in Oak Wood. *J. Inst. Brew.* **2012**, *118*, 205–212. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jib.25)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>18. Canas, S. Phenolic Composition and Related Properties of Aged Wine Spirits: Influence of Barrel Characteristics. A Review. *Beverages* **2017**, *3*, 55. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/beverages3040055)
- 19. García-Moreno, M.V.; Sánchez-Guillén, M.M.; Ruiz de Mier, M.; Delgado-González, M.J.; Rodríguez-Dodero, M.C.; García-Barroso, C.; Guillén-Sánchez, D.A. Use of Alternative Wood for the Ageing of Brandy de Jerez. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 250. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9030250)
- 20. Caldeira, I.; Belchior, A.P.; Clímaco, M.C.; Bruno de Sousa, R. Aroma Profile of Portuguese Brandies Aged in Chestnut and Oak Woods. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2002**, *458*, 55–62. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(01)01522-7)
- 21. Caldeira, I.; Anjos, O.; Portal, V.; Belchior, A.P.; Canas, S. Sensory and Chemical Modifications of Wine-Brandy Aged with Chestnut and Oak Wood Fragments in Comparison to Wooden Barrels. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2010**, *660*, 43–52. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2009.10.059)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>22. Tsakiris, A.; Kallithraka, S.; Kourkoutas, Y. Grape Brandy Production, Composition and Sensory Evaluation. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2014**, *94*, 404–414. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6377)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>23. Gadrat, M.; Lavergne, J.; Emo, C.; Teissedre, P.L.; Chira, K. Sensory Characterisation of Cognac Eaux-De-Vie Aged in Barrels Subjected to Different Toasting Processes. *OENO One* **2022**, *56*, 17–28. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2022.56.1.4853)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>24. Blumenthal, P.; Steger, M.C.; Einfalt, D.; Rieke-Zapp, J.; Quintanilla Bellucci, A.; Sommerfeld, K.; Schwarz, S.; Lachenmeier, D.W. Methanol Mitigation during Manufacturing of Fruit Spirits with Special Consideration of Novel Coffee Cherry Spirits. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 2585. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26092585)
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>25. Andraous, J.I.; Claus, M.J.; Lindemann, D.J.; Berglund, K.A. Effect of Liquefaction Enzymes on Methanol Concentration of Distilled Fruit Spirits. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **2004**, *55*, 199–201. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2004.55.2.199)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>26. Christensen, K.I. Flora Hellenica. In *Fagaceae*; Strid, A., Tan, K., Eds.; Koeltz Scientific: Königstein, Germany, 1997; pp. 40–50.
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>27. Dimopoulos, P.; Raus, T.; Bergmeier, E.; Constantinidis, T.; Iatrou, G.; Kokkini, S.; Strid, A.; Tzanoudakis, D. Vascular Plants of Greece: An Annotated Checklist. Supplement. *Willdenowia* **2016**, *46*, 301–347. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.46.46303)
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>28. Sourpi, D.; Soufleros, E.; Maitis, N. The future of Greek barrel making: Possibilities of manufacturing wine barrels from Greek oak. *Oenology* **2020**, *58*, 10–25.
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>29. Dasarxeio.gr. Declaring a Century-Old Oak as a "Preservable Monument of Nature". Available online: [https://dasarxeio.com/](https://dasarxeio.com/2018/03/12/53971/) [2018/03/12/53971/](https://dasarxeio.com/2018/03/12/53971/) (accessed on 12 April 2024).
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>30. ΥA30084/26.02.2018 (B' 836). Available online: [https://dasarxeio.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/b\\_836\\_2018.pdf](https://dasarxeio.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/b_836_2018.pdf) (accessed on 10 April 2024).
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>31. Diamandis, S. Sweet Chestnut: From the "Kastania" of the Ancient Greeks to Modern Days. In *Acta Horticulturae*; International Society for Horticultural Science (ISHS): Leuven, Belgium, 2010; pp. 527–530. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2010.866.71)
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>32. Conedera, M.; Krebs, P.; Tinner, W.; Pradella, M.; Torriani, D. The Cultivation of Castanea Sativa (Mill.) in Europe, from Its Origin to Its Diffusion on a Continental Scale. *Veg. Hist. Archaeobotany* **2004**, *13*, 161–179. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00334-004-0038-7)
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>33. Soufleros, E.H.; Bertrand, A. Etude Sur Le «Tsipouro», Eau-de-Vie de Marc Traditionnelle de Grèce, Précurseur de l'ouzo. *OENO One* **1987**, *21*, 93–111. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.1987.21.2.1280)
- 34. Soufleros, E.H.; Natskoulis, P.; Mygdalia, A.S. Discrimination and Risk Assessment Due to the Volatile Compounds and the Inorganic Elements Present in the Greek Marc Distillates Tsipouro and Tsikoudia. *OENO One* **2005**, *39*, 31–45. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2005.39.1.907)
- 35. Soufleros, E.H.; Rodovitis, B.A. *Tsipouro and Tsikoudia: The Greek Grape Mark Distillate*; Soufleros Evangelos: Thessaloniki, Greece, 2004.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>36. Geroyiannaki, M.; Komaitis, M.E.; Stavrakas, D.E.; Polysiou, M.; Athanasopoulos, P.E.; Spanos, M. Evaluation of Acetaldehyde and Methanol in Greek Traditional Alcoholic Beverages from Varietal Fermented Grape Pomaces (*Vitis vinifera* L.). *Food Control* **2007**, *18*, 988–995. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2006.06.005)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>37. Taloumi, T.; Makris, D.P. Accelerated Aging of the Traditional Greek Distillate Tsipouro Using Wooden Chips. Part I: Effect of Static Maceration vs. Ultrasonication on the Polyphenol Extraction and Antioxidant Activity. *Beverages* **2017**, *3*, 5. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/beverages3010005)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>38. Giannakourou, M.; Stratati, I.F.; Maria Manika, E.; Resiti, V.; Tataridis, P.; Zoumpoulakis, P.; Sinanoglou, V.J. Assessment of Phenolic Content, Antioxidant Activity, Colour and Sensory Attributes of Wood Aged "Tsipouro". *Curr. Res. Nutr. Food Sci. J.* **2018**, *6*, 318–328. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.12944/CRNFSJ.6.2.07)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>39. Sánchez-Gómez, R.; del Alamo-Sanza, M.; Martínez-Gil, A.M.; Nevares, I. Red Wine Aging by Different Micro-Oxygenation Systems and Oak Wood—Effects on Anthocyanins, Copigmentation and Color Evolution. *Processes* **2020**, *8*, 1250. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8101250)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>40. Ayala, F.; Echávarri, J.F.; Negueruela, A.I. A New Simplified Method for Measuring the Color of Wines. II. White Wines and Brandies. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **1997**, *48*, 364. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.1997.48.3.364)
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>41. MSCVes.zip. Available online: <http://www.unirioja.es/color/descargas.shtml> (accessed on 12 April 2024).
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>42. Waterhouse, A.L.; Sacks, G.L.; Jeffery, D.W. *Understanding Wine Chemistry*; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, UK, 2016; p. 102.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>43. Ivanova, V.; Stefova, M.; Stafilov, T.; Vojnoski, B.; Bíró, I.; Bufa, A.; Kilár, F. Validation of a Method for Analysis of Aroma Compounds in Red Wine Using Liquid–Liquid Extraction and GC–MS. *Food Anal. Methods* **2012**, *5*, 1427–1434. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-012-9401-y)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>44. Goulioti, E.; Jeffery, D.W.; Kanapitsas, A.; Lola, D.; Papadopoulos, G.; Bauer, A.; Kotseridis, Y. Chemical and Sensory Characterization of Xinomavro Red Wine Using Grapes from Protected Designations of Northern Greece. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 5016. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28135016) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37446678)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>45. Flavornet and Human Odor Space. Available online: <https://www.flavornet.org/> (accessed on 10 January 2024).
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>46. Ribereau-Gayon, P.; Boidron, J.N.; Terrier, A. Aroma of Muscat Grape Varieties. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1975**, *23*, 1042–1047. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf60202a050)
- 47. Fenoll, J.; Manso, A.; Hellín, P.; Ruiz, L.; Flores, P. Changes in the Aromatic Composition of the *Vitis Vinifera* Grape Muscat Hamburg during Ripening. *Food Chem.* **2009**, *114*, 420–428. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.09.060)
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>48. Lanaridis, P.; Salaha, M.-J.; Tzourou, I.; Tsoutsouras, E.; Karagiannis, S. Volatile Compounds in Grapes and Wines from Two Muscat Varieties Cultivated on Greek Islands. *J. Int. Sci. la Vigne Vin* **2002**, *36*, 39–47. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2002.36.1.981)
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>49. Cortés, S.; Rodríguez, R.; Salgado, J.M.; Domínguez, J.M. Comparative Study between Italian and Spanish Grape Marc Spirits in Terms of Major Volatile Compounds. *Food Control* **2011**, *22*, 673–680. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2010.09.006)
- 50. Bovo, B.; Andrighetto, C.; Carlot, M.; Corich, V.; Lombardi, A.; Giacomini, A. Yeast Population Dynamics during Pilot-Scale Storage of Grape Marcs for the Production of Grappa, a Traditional Italian Alcoholic Beverage. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2009**, *129*, 221–228. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.11.025)
- 51. Da Porto, C.; Decorti, D. Supercritical CO<sup>2</sup> Extraction of Grappa Volatile Compounds. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *44*, 1927–1932. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2009.01999.x)
- 52. Da Porto, C. Volatile Composition of Grappa Low Wines' Using Different Methods and Conditions of Storage on an Industrial Scale. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2002**, *37*, 395–402. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2621.2002.00578.x)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>53. Da Porto, C. Grappa and Grape-Spirit Production. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* **1998**, *18*, 13–24. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/0738-859891224202)
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>54. Cortés, S.; Gil, M.L.; Fernández, E. Volatile Composition of Traditional and Industrial Orujo Spirits. *Food Control* **2005**, *16*, 383–388. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2004.04.003)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>55. Silva, M.L.; Malcata, F.X.; De Revel, G. Volatile Contents of Grape Marcs in Portugal. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **1996**, *9*, 72–80. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1006/jfca.1996.0008)
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>56. Petrakis, P.; Touris, I.; Liouni, M.; Zervou, M.; Kyrikou, I.; Kokkinofta, R.; Theocharis, C.R.; Mavromoustakos, T.M. Authenticity of the Traditional Cypriot Spirit "Zivania" on the Basis of 1H NMR Spectroscopy Diagnostic Parameters and Statistical Analysis. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2005**, *53*, 5293–5303. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0495800) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15969510)
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>57. Luna, R.; Matias-Guiu, P.; López, F.; Pérez-Correa, J.R. Quality Aroma Improvement of Muscat Wine Spirits: A New Approach Using First-Principles Model-Based Design and Multi-Objective Dynamic Optimisation through Multi-Variable Analysis Techniques. *Food Bioprod. Process.* **2019**, *115*, 208–222. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2019.04.004)
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>58. Malfondet, N.; Gourrat, K.; Brunerie, P.; Le Quéré, J.-L. Aroma Characterization of Freshly-Distilled French Brandies; Their Specificity and Variability within a Limited Geographic Area. *Flavour Fragr. J.* **2016**, *31*, 361–376. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.3325)
- 59. Uselmann, V.; Schieberle, P. Decoding the Combinatorial Aroma Code of a Commercial Cognac by Application of the Sensomics Concept and First Insights into Differences from a German Brandy. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2015**, *63*, 1948–1956. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf506307x)
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>60. Tsakiris, A.; Kallithraka, S.; Kourkoutas, Y. Brandy and Cognac: Manufacture and Chemical Composition. In *Encyclopedia of Food and Health*; Caballero, B., Finglas, P.M., Toldrá, F., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2016; pp. 462–468. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384947-2.00081-7)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>61. Raičević, D.; Popović, T.; Jančić, D.; Šuković, D.; Pajović-Šćepanović, R. The Impact of Type of Brandy on the Volatile Aroma Compounds and Sensory Properties of Grape Brandy in Montenegro. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 2974. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27092974) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35566323)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>62. Awad, P.; Athès, V.; Decloux, M.E.; Ferrari, G.; Snakkers, G.; Raguenaud, P.; Giampaoli, P. Evolution of Volatile Compounds during the Distillation of Cognac Spirit. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2017**, *65*, 7736–7748. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b02406)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>63. Cacho, J.; Moncayo, L.; Palma, J.C.; Ferreira, V.; Culleré, L. The Impact of Grape Variety on the Aromatic Chemical Composition of Non-Aromatic Peruvian Pisco. *Food Res. Int.* **2013**, *54*, 373–381. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.07.019)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>64. Peña y Lillo, M.; Latrille, E.; Casaubon, G.; Agosin, E.; Bordeu, E.; Martin, N. Comparison between Odour and Aroma Profiles of Chilean Pisco Spirit. *Food Qual. Prefer.* **2005**, *16*, 59–70. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2004.01.002)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>65. Lukic, I.; Milicevic, B.; Banovic, M.; Tomas, S.; Radeka, S.; Persuric, D. Secondary Aroma Compounds in Fresh Grape Marc Distillates as a Result of Variety and Corresponding Production Technology. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.* **2011**, *49*, 214–228.
- 66. Lu, C.; Zhang, Y.; Zhan, P.; Wang, P.; Tian, H. Characterization of the Key Aroma Compounds in Four Varieties of Pomegranate Juice by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry, Gas Chromatography-Olfactometry, Odor Activity Value, Aroma Recombination, and Omission Tests. *Food Sci. Hum. Wellness* **2023**, *12*, 151–160. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2022.07.033)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>67. Matijašević, S.; Popović-Djordjević, J.; Ristić, R.; Ćirković, D.; Ćirković, B.; Popović, T. Volatile Aroma Compounds of Brandy 'Lozovača' Produced from Muscat Table Grapevine Cultivars (Vitis vinifera L.). Molecules 2019, 24, 2485. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24132485)
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>68. Cordente, A.G.; Solomon, M.; Schulkin, A.; Leigh Francis, I.; Barker, A.; Borneman, A.R.; Curtin, C.D. Novel Wine Yeast with ARO4 and TYR1 Mutations That Overproduce 'Floral' Aroma Compounds 2-Phenylethanol and 2-Phenylethyl Acetate. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *102*, 5977–5988. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9054-x)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>69. Genovese, A.; Ugliano, M.; Pessina, R.; Gambuti, A.; Piombino, P.; Moio, L. Comparison of the Aroma Compounds in Apricot (*Prunus armeniaca*, L. Cv. Pellecchiella) and Apple (*Malus pumila*, L. Cv. Annurca) Raw Distillates. *Ital. J. Food Sci.* **2004**, *16*, 185–196.
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>70. de-la-Fuente-Blanco, A.; Ferreira, V. Gas Chromatography Olfactometry (GC-O) for the (Semi)Quantitative Screening of Wine Aroma. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 1892. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9121892)
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>71. Tarko, T.; Krankowski, F.; Duda-Chodak, A. The Impact of Compounds Extracted from Wood on the Quality of Alcoholic Beverages. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 620. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28020620)
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>72. Culleré, L.; Fernández De Simón, B.; Cadahía, E.; Ferreira, V.; Hernández-Orte, P.; Cacho, J. Characterization by Gas Chromatography–Olfactometry of the Most Odor-Active Compounds in Extracts Prepared from Acacia, Chestnut, Cherry, Ash and Oak Woods. *LWT—Food Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *53*, 240–248. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2013.02.010)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>73. Navarro, M.; Kontoudakis, N.; Giordanengo, T.; Gómez-Alonso, S.; García-Romero, E.; Fort, F.; Canals, J.M.; Hermosín-Gutíerrez, I.; Zamora, F. Oxygen Consumption by Oak Chips in a Model Wine Solution; Influence of the Botanical Origin, Toast Level and Ellagitannin Content. *Food Chem.* **2016**, *199*, 822–827. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.12.081) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26776040)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>74. Híc, P.; Horák, M.; Balík, J.; Martinák, K. Assessment of Spirit Aging on Different Kinds of Wooden Fragments. *Wood Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55*, 257–270. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-020-01225-x)
- 75. Canas, S.; Casanova, V.; Pedro Belchior, A. Antioxidant Activity and Phenolic Content of Portuguese Wine Aged Brandies. *Wine Nutr. Bioact. Non-Nutr. More* **2008**, *21*, 626–633. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2008.07.001)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>76. Rodríguez-Solana, R.; Salgado, J.M.; Domínguez, J.M.; Cortés-Diéguez, S. First Approach to the Analytical Characterization of Barrel-Aged Grape Marc Distillates Using Phenolic Compounds and Colour Parameters. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *52*, 391–402. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.17113/ftb.52.04.14.3627)
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>77. Belchior, A.P.; Caldeira, I.; Costa, S.; Lopes, C.; Tralhão, G.; Ferrão, A.F.; Mateus, A.M.; Carvalho, E. Evolução Das Características Fisico-Químicas e Organolépticas de Aguardentes Lourinhã Ao Longo de Cinco Anos de Envelhecimento Em Madeiras de Carvalho e de Castanheiro. *Ciênc. Téc. Vitivinic.* **2001**, *16*, 81–94.
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>78. Canas, S.; Belchior, A.P.; Caldeira, I.; Spranger, M.I.; de Sousa, R.B. 'Evolution de la Couleur des eaux-de-vie de Lourinhã au Cours des Trois Premieres Annees de Vieillissement a Cor e ua Evolução em Aguardentes Lourinhã nos Três Primeiros Anos de Envelhecimento. *Ciênc. Téc. Vitivinic.* **2000**, *15*, 1–14.
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>79. Spirituous Beverages Experts Group. Chestnut Wooden Barrels for the Ageing of Wine Spirits. Paris, France. Available online: [https://www.oiv.int/sites/default/files/2022-10/oiv-collective-expertise-chestnut-wooden-barrels-for-the-age2\\_EN.](https://www.oiv.int/sites/default/files/2022-10/oiv-collective-expertise-chestnut-wooden-barrels-for-the-age2_EN.pdf) [pdf](https://www.oiv.int/sites/default/files/2022-10/oiv-collective-expertise-chestnut-wooden-barrels-for-the-age2_EN.pdf) (accessed on 14 April 2024).
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>80. Hale, M.D.; Mccafferty, K.; Larmie, E.; Newton, J.; Swan, J.S. The Influence of Oak Seasoning and Toasting Parameters on the Composition and Quality of Wine. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **1999**, *50*, 495–502. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.1999.50.4.495)
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>81. Schwarz, M.; Rodríguez, M.; Guillén, D.; Barroso, C. Analytical Characterisation of a Brandy de Jerez during its Ageing. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2011**, *232*, 813–819. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-011-1448-2)
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>82. Canas, S.; Anjos, O.; Caldeira, I.; Fernandes, T.A.; Santos, N.; Lourenço, S.; Granja-Soares, J.; Fargeton, L.; Boissier, B.; Catarino, S. Micro-Oxygenation Level as a Key to Explain the Variation in the Colour and Chemical Composition of Wine Spirits Aged with Chestnut Wood Staves. *LWT* **2022**, *154*, 112658. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112658)
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>83. International Commission on Illumination. CIE Central Bureau, 2014. Available online: [https://web.archive.org/web/20170410](https://web.archive.org/web/20170410214923/http://eilv.cie.co.at/term/139) [214923/http://eilv.cie.co.at/term/139](https://web.archive.org/web/20170410214923/http://eilv.cie.co.at/term/139) (accessed on 14 April 2024).
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>84. Bozalongo, R.; Carrillo, J.D.; Torroba, M.Á.F.; Tena, M.T. Analysis of French and American Oak Chips with Different Toasting Degrees by Headspace Solid-Phase Microextraction-Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2007**, *1173*, 10–17. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2007.09.079)
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>85. García-Moreno, M.V.; Sánchez-Guillén, M.M.; Delgado-González, M.J.; Durán-Guerrero, E.; Rodríguez-Dodero, M.C.; García-Barroso, C.; Guillén-Sánchez, D.A. Chemical Content and Sensory Changes of Oloroso Sherry Wine When Aged with Four Different Wood Types. *LWT* **2021**, *140*, 110706. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110706)
- 86. Towey, J.P.; Waterhouse, A.L. The Extraction of Volatile Compounds From French and American Oak Barrels in Chardonnay During Three Successive Vintages. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **1996**, *47*, 163. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.1996.47.2.163)
- 87. Pérez-Prieto, L.J.; López-Roca, J.M.; Martínez-Cutillas, A.; Pardo Mínguez, F.; Gómez-Plaza, E. Maturing Wines in Oak Barrels. Effects of Origin, Volume, and Age of the Barrel on the Wine Volatile Composition. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2002**, *50*, 3272–3276. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf011505r) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12009997)
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>88. Navarro, M.; Kontoudakis, N.; Gómez-Alonso, S.; García-Romero, E.; Canals, J.M.; Hermosín-Gutíerrez, I.; Zamora, F. Influence of the Volatile Substances Released by Oak Barrels into a Cabernet Sauvignon Red Wine and a Discolored Macabeo White Wine on Sensory Appreciation by a Trained Panel. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2018**, *244*, 245–258. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-017-2951-x)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.