

Editorial

Antimicrobial Use and Resistance in Animals from a One Health Perspective

Mohamed Rhouma ^{1,2,3,*} , Marie Archambault ^{1,3} and Patrick Butaye ⁴ 

¹ Department of Pathology and Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Université de Montréal, 3200 Rue Sicotte, Saint-Hyacinthe, QC J2S 2M2, Canada

² Groupe de Recherche et d'Enseignement en Salubrité Alimentaire (GRESA), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Université de Montréal, Saint-Hyacinthe, QC J2S 2M2, Canada

³ Swine and Poultry Infectious Diseases Research Center, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Université de Montréal, Saint-Hyacinthe, QC J2S 2M2, Canada

⁴ Department of Pathobiology, Pharmacology and Zoological Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Salisburylaan 133, B9820 Merelbeke, Belgium

* Correspondence: mohamed.rhouma@umontreal.ca; Tel.: +1-450-773-8521 (ext. 52416)

Among the many global health issues, antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is one that exemplifies the One Health approach, defined as a joint effort in which multiple disciplines collaborate to provide solutions for human, animal, and environmental health [1]. Indeed, AMR is predominantly the result of the irresponsible and excessive use of antimicrobials in various sectors, such as human/veterinary medicine and agriculture (e.g., plant health). Accordingly, solutions must be contributed by all relevant stakeholders to address this global issue. The real dangers posed by the rapid loss of antimicrobial effectiveness, which has been precipitated by the selection and spread of AMR bacteria, have prompted policy makers to recognize this threat to health care systems and the economy while considering it as a budgetary and regulatory priority [2]. Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has generated powerful incentives and momentum with respect to raising awareness of the importance of having effective drugs to treat microbial infections and the need to ensure their efficacy over time [3]. As the number of brand-new antimicrobials available on the market has drastically decreased since the 1990s [4], it is therefore crucial to implement all possible strategies, in both human and veterinary medicine, to minimize the selection of AMR bacteria while preserving the efficacy of the existing drugs and ensuring their optimal longevity. Notably, the protection of the current antimicrobial arsenal is of particular concern in veterinary medicine, where the possibility of acquiring new antimicrobials is extremely limited and drug repurposing has been scarcely explored (unlike the trend in human medicine) [4].

Hence, the theme of this Special Issue is very topical and of particular interest to the various stakeholders involved in the management of AMR in animals and at the human–animal–environment interface. Indeed, several studies have shown that the misuse and excessive use of antimicrobials in the human health care and livestock industries are the main drivers of AMR [5–7]. How livestock contributes to the spread of AMR in humans continues to be studied. The most recent studies do not quite indicate that there is a large impact of AMR in animal bacteria on AMR in human bacteria, with the exception of zoonotic bacteria [7–9]. However, it was recently shown that the effect of antimicrobial use (AMU) on AMR have a bidirectional influences on AMR in human and animal bacteria on a global scale [10]. These recent findings once again underscore the critical need to accelerate the implementation of the One Health approach to address the global AMR crisis.

Among the possible solutions, research on alternatives to antibiotics for use in animals seems necessary to contain the threat of AMR. For instance, it has been reported that Romanian propolis ethanolic extracts present significant in vitro antibacterial activity against clinical strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from dog-derived superficial



Citation: Rhouma, M.; Archambault, M.; Butaye, P. Antimicrobial Use and Resistance in Animals from a One Health Perspective. *Vet. Sci.* **2023**, *10*, 319. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci10050319>

Received: 19 April 2023

Accepted: 25 April 2023

Published: 28 April 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

dermatitis samples and could, therefore, constitute a promising therapeutic option against this potential skin infection [11]. Likewise, silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) present in vitro antibacterial activity against some bacterial pathogens (e.g., *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*) and low toxicity to mammalian cells, suggesting that AgNPs could be used as an alternative to antibiotics for the treatment of bacterial skin infections, including infected wounds in animals [12].

The monitoring of AMR in animals and the characterization of the AMR patterns of certain indicator bacterial strains are of crucial importance for the development of policies that will ensure the responsible use of antimicrobials in animals. The development of standardized AMR testing methods and harmonized interpretive criteria in veterinary medicine are necessary to facilitate scientifically based stewardship and justified AMU in animals [13]. It has also been shown that the susceptibility testing of bacteria, which are not routinely investigated for AMR profiling, should sometimes be incorporated in AMR surveillance systems alongside the consideration of the epidemiological and microbiological data specific to certain countries [14] or to certain animal production sectors (e.g., the fish-farming sector) [15].

Moreover, AMR in zoonotic bacteria (e.g., *Salmonella*) remains problematic in some countries, with high rates of bacterial resistance to first- and second-line antimicrobials being reported, thus urging various stakeholders in animal production to implement effective policies to limit the spread of AMR [16].

Drug repurposing and repositioning, which consist of identifying new therapeutic uses for existing molecules, have been scarcely investigated in veterinary medicine. In this regard, it has been shown that the anti-inflammatory properties of doxycycline may be useful for the long-term treatment of severe bronchiectasis in dogs [17].

Finally, in an overview of AMU in food-producing animals and of the current state of knowledge regarding the role of farm animals in the spread of AMR in humans, actions taken in the livestock industry were presented, from a sustainable animal production perspective, in order to limit the spread of AMR bacteria and preserve the effectiveness of antimicrobials [18].

Notably, the Guest Editors of this Special Issue have remarked that despite the growing interest in veterinary antimicrobial stewardship (AMS), the optimization of the therapeutic use of antimicrobials (with respect to dose, administration intervals, and treatment durations), which constitutes the key component of this concept, has not received the attention it deserves in animals compared to that seen in human medicine, and this aspect must be improved in future studies.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.R.; writing—original draft preparation, M.R.; writing—review and editing, M.R., M.A. and P.B.; supervision, M.R. and P.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to all authors and reviewers who contributed to the success of this Special Issue.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Velazquez-Meza, M.E.; Galarde-López, M.; Carrillo-Quiróz, B.; Alpuche-Aranda, C.M. Antimicrobial resistance: One Health approach. *Vet. World* **2022**, *15*, 743. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Rhouma, M.; Madec, J.-Y.; Laxminarayan, R. Colistin: From the shadows to a One Health approach for addressing antimicrobial resistance. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2023**, *61*, 106713. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Rhouma, M.; Tessier, M.; Aenishaenslin, C.; Sanders, P.; Carabin, H. Should the increased awareness of the One Health approach brought by the COVID-19 pandemic be used to further tackle the challenge of antimicrobial resistance? *Antibiotics* **2021**, *10*, 464. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Boyd, N.K.; Teng, C.; Frei, C.R. Brief overview of approaches and challenges in new antibiotic development: A focus on drug repurposing. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2021**, *11*, 684515. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

5. Ahmad, I.; Malak, H.A.; Abulreesh, H.H. Environmental antimicrobial resistance and its drivers: A potential threat to public health. *J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resist.* **2021**, *27*, 101–111.
6. Holmes, A.H.; Moore, L.S.; Sundsfjord, A.; Steinbakk, M.; Regmi, S.; Karkey, A.; Guerin, P.J.; Piddock, L.J. Understanding the mechanisms and drivers of antimicrobial resistance. *Lancet* **2016**, *387*, 176–187. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Pokharel, S.; Shrestha, P.; Adhikari, B. Antimicrobial use in food animals and human health: Time to implement ‘One Health’ approach. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* **2020**, *9*, 181. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Tang, K.L.; Caffrey, N.P.; Nóbrega, D.B.; Cork, S.C.; Ronksley, P.E.; Barkema, H.W.; Polachek, A.J.; Ganshorn, H.; Sharma, N.; Kellner, J.D. Restricting the use of antibiotics in food-producing animals and its associations with antibiotic resistance in food-producing animals and human beings: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Planet. Health* **2017**, *1*, e316–e327. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Mughini-Gras, L.; Dorado-García, A.; van Duijkeren, E.; van den Bunt, G.; Dierikx, C.M.; Bonten, M.J.; Bootsma, M.C.; Schmitt, H.; Hald, T.; Evers, E.G. Attributable sources of community-acquired carriage of *Escherichia coli* containing β -lactam antibiotic resistance genes: A population-based modelling study. *Lancet Planet. Health* **2019**, *3*, e357–e369. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Allel, K.; Day, L.; Hamilton, A.; Lin, L.; Furuya-Kanamori, L.; Moore, C.E.; Van Boeckel, T.; Laxminarayan, R.; Yakob, L. Global antimicrobial-resistance drivers: An ecological country-level study at the human–animal interface. *Lancet Planet. Health* **2023**, *7*, e291–e303. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Dégi, J.; Herman, V.; Igna, V.; Dégi, D.M.; Hulea, A.; Muselin, F.; Cristina, R.T. Antibacterial activity of Romanian propolis against *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from dogs with superficial pyoderma: In vitro test. *Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 299. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Thammawithan, S.; Siritongsuk, P.; Nasompag, S.; Daduang, S.; Klaynongsruang, S.; Prapasarakul, N.; Patramanon, R. A biological study of anisotropic silver nanoparticles and their antimicrobial application for topical use. *Vet. Sci.* **2021**, *8*, 177. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Fernandes, V.; Cunha, E.; Nunes, T.; Silva, E.; Tavares, L.; Mateus, L.; Oliveira, M. Antimicrobial resistance of clinical and commensal *Escherichia coli* canine isolates: Profile characterization and comparison of antimicrobial susceptibility results according to different guidelines. *Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 284. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Mahmoud, S.F.; Fayez, M.; Swelum, A.A.; Alswat, A.S.; Alkafafy, M.; Alzahrani, O.M.; Alsunaini, S.J.; Almuslem, A.; Al Amer, A.S.; Yusuf, S. Genetic Diversity, Biofilm formation, and antibiotic resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from cow, camel, and mare with clinical endometritis. *Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 239. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Ojasanya, R.A.; Gardner, I.A.; Groman, D.B.; Saksida, S.; Saab, M.E.; Thakur, K.K. Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of bacteria commonly isolated from farmed salmonids in Atlantic Canada (2000–2021). *Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 159. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Oueslati, W.; Rjeibi, M.R.; Benyedem, H.; Mamlouk, A.; Souissi, F.; Selmi, R.; Ettriqui, A. Prevalence, risk factors, antimicrobial resistance and molecular characterization of *Salmonella* in northeast Tunisia broiler flocks. *Vet. Sci.* **2021**, *9*, 12. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Szatmári, V.; van Geijlswijk, I.M. Sub-antimicrobial dosage scheme of doxycycline for the chronic treatment of bronchiectasis in a dog. *Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 137. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Rhouma, M.; Soufi, L.; Cenatus, S.; Archambault, M.; Butaye, P. Current insights regarding the role of farm animals in the spread of antimicrobial resistance from a One Health perspective. *Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 480. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.