



Article In Vitro Activity of Allium cepa Organosulfur Derivatives against Canine Multidrug-Resistant Strains of Staphylococcus spp. and Enterobacteriaceae

Alba Maroto-Tello¹, Tania Ayllón^{2,3,*}, María Arántzazu Aguinaga-Casañas¹, Juan José Ariza⁴, Silvia Penelo^{5,*}, Alberto Baños^{1,4} and Gustavo Ortiz-Díez⁶

- ¹ Departamento de Microbiología, DMC Research Center, 18620 Granada, Spain; albamaroto@domca.com (A.M.-T.); arancha.aguinaga@domca.com (M.A.A.-C.); abarjona@dmcrc.com (A.B.)
- Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Alfonso X el Sabio, 28691 Madrid, Spain
 Departamento de Genética, Fisiología y Microbiología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas,
- Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain
- ⁴ Departamento de Microbiología, Campus Fuente Nueva, Universidad de Granada, 18001 Granada, Spain; jariza@dmcrc.com
- ⁵ Servicio de Urgencias, Hospitalización y UCI, Hospital Clínico Veterinario Complutense, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain
- ⁶ Departamento de Medicina y Cirugía, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain; gusortiz@ucm.es
- * Correspondence: tayllsan@uax.es or tayllon@ucm.es (T.A.); spenelo@ucm.es (S.P.)

Simple Summary: The rise of drug-resistant bacteria, particularly in animals, poses a major challenge in veterinary medicine. Antibiotic development lags behind the increasing resistance. To tackle this, alternative therapies have been explored, such as the use of natural products and plant extracts. This study evaluates the laboratory efficacy of plant derivatives of the *Alliaceae* group (which includes garlic and onion) as antimicrobial agents, with encouraging results. Although further research is needed, these findings suggest a potential role for these natural compounds in veterinary medicine.

Abstract: Background: The increase of multi-resistant bacteria, especially Staphylococcus spp. and Enterobacteriaceae, constitutes a challenge in veterinary medicine. The rapid growth of resistance is outpacing antibiotic discovery. Innovative strategies are needed, including the use of natural products like Allium species (Allium sativum L. and Allium cepa L.), which have been used empirically for centuries to treat infectious diseases in humans and farm and aquaculture animals due to their antibacterial properties. Methods: This study aimed to evaluate the in vitro activity of two Alliumderived compounds, propyl propane thiosulfinate (PTS) and propyl propane thiosulfonate (PTSO), against multi-resistant *Staphylococcus* spp. (n = 30) and *Enterobacteriaceae* (n = 26) isolated from dogs referred to a veterinary teaching hospital in Madrid. Results and Discussion: The results indicated the in vitro efficacy of PTSO/PTS against the tested bacterial strains, and 56.7% of Staphylococcus pseudintermedius and 53.8% of Enterobacteriaceae showed sensitivity to PTS and PTSO compared with classic antibiotics. In addition, 50% of S. pseudintermedius strains resistant to erythromycin, ibofloxacin, difloxacin and orbifloxacin and 50% of Enterobacteriaceae strains resistant to tetracycline and doxycycline were sensitive to PTS and PTSO. Although studies are needed to verify their efficacy in vivo, the combined use of PTS and PTSO exhibits promise in enhancing bacterial sensitivity against S. pseudintermedius and Enterobacteriaceae infections, providing a first insight into the potential of both compounds in veterinary practice.

Keywords: antibiotic resistance; *Allium* extracts; dogs; multidrug-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*; multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus* spp.



Citation: Maroto-Tello, A.; Ayllón, T.; Aguinaga-Casañas, M.A.; Ariza, J.J.; Penelo, S.; Baños, A.; Ortiz-Díez, G. In Vitro Activity of *Allium cepa* Organosulfur Derivatives against Canine Multidrug-Resistant Strains of *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Enterobacteriaceae. Vet. Sci.* **2024**, *11*, 26. https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci11010026

Academic Editor: Karl Pedersen

Received: 27 October 2023 Revised: 6 December 2023 Accepted: 29 December 2023 Published: 9 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) recognizes antimicrobial resistance as one of the most important issues affecting public, animal and environmental health [1]. The proximity between dogs and humans entails a potential risk of pathogen transmission, including antibiotic-resistant bacteria [2]. Canines can transmit many pathogens to humans, causing infections ranging from skin rashes to life-threatening bacteremia [3].

Antibiotics are critical for combating infectious diseases [4]. However, their excessive and inappropriate use combined with inadequate waste management and spread to the environment has contributed to the development of antibiotic-resistant strains and increased mortality due to infectious diseases [5]. Recent studies have shown that Gramnegative bacteria often exhibit multidrug resistance (MDR), including to critically important antimicrobials (CIAs), highlighting the complex challenge they pose in antimicrobial resistance [6,7]. Primary Gram-negative bacteria with zoonotic potential that cause healthcare complications, such as nosocomial, urinary tract and bloodstream infections, belong to the genus *Enterobacteriaceae*, which includes *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* spp. and *Enterobacter* spp. Among Gram-positive bacteria, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* spp. (MRS) and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp. (VRE) are of particular concern [8,9].

Resistance outpaces the rate at which new antibiotics are discovered, making antibiotics a finite healthcare resource [10]. As a result, we are currently faced with a situation where the therapeutic options for infections are limited because certain pathogenic strains are resistant to all existing groups of antibiotics [11]. Addressing this challenge requires urgently exploring new antimicrobials, developing additional agents and investigating innovative chemical structures for enhanced efficacy. These efforts are crucial not only for curing existing infections but also for reducing the risk of future infections in both animals and humans. An integral part of this approach includes controlling bacterial growth in animal feed, which is a key factor in preventing zoonosis and may involve the use of specialized feed additives [12–14].

Medicinal plants are rich sources of novel compounds with potential antimicrobial properties [15]. However, few veterinary medicine studies have evaluated the efficacy of these plant extracts against antibiotic-resistant bacteria [16,17]. Among different medicinal plants, *Allium* species have been used worldwide for centuries to treat infectious diseases. A substantial amount of historical evidence is available, including documents dating back more than 3500 years from ancient Egypt describing the medicinal properties of this genus [18–20]. In recent years, the antibacterial properties of *Allium* plant extracts have been extensively studied, including their efficacy against multidrug-resistant bacteria [21,22].

One of the most well-known components of this botanical family is diallyl thiosulfinate (allicin), which is present in *Allium sativum* L., and its antimicrobial activity has been widely reported [23–26]. However, its instability limits its suitability as a therapeutic agent in pet food. Allicin degrades easily to other organosulfur compounds such as ajoenes, vinyl dithiins and diallyl polysulfides (DAPS) at 20 °C [27–30]. The proportion of these degradation products can vary depending on the *Allium sativum* L. processing conditions. In addition, allicin degradation in DAPS raises safety concerns because high concentrations of these molecules with multiple sulfur atoms have a higher potential to oxidize canine erythrocytes than non-degraded thiosulfonates [30]. This could explain the conflicting results regarding the safety of *Allium sativum* L. in pet diets, with a few studies considering it harmful [31]. However, others report improved animal health with dietary supplementation [32,33].

In contrast, the organosulfur compounds present in *Allium cepa* L., propyl propane thiosulfinate (PTS) and propyl propane thiosulfonate (PTSO), have been reported to be safe and not cause toxicity [34–36]. These molecules exhibit greater stability because, although PTS leads to propyl disulfide (PDS) and PTSO through a disproportion reaction, PDS can be transformed into PTSO in the presence of oxygen [37]. PTS and PTSO have demonstrated significant antimicrobial activity in vitro and in vivo against different pathogenic strains in livestock, including *Staphylococcus* spp., *Enterobacteriaceae* spp. and *Enterococcus*

spp. [38–40]. In addition, PTS and PTSO have shown in vitro antimicrobial activity against multidrug-resistant bacteria and yeasts isolated from human clinical samples [41]. However, information on the antimicrobial activity of these compounds against antibiotic-resistant bacteria, which commonly affect dogs, is lacking. Methicillin-resistant *S. pseudintermedius* (MRSP) is an important pathogen commonly encountered in canine infections. Due to its genetic similarities to *S. aureus*, MRSP poses a considerable concern for veterinary medicine and public health, as it can be transmitted between animals and humans. *Enterobacteriaceae* strains such as *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* are among the most common bacterial pathogens observed in dogs [42].

This study evaluated the in vitro antimicrobial activity of the *Allium*-derived compounds PTS and PTSO, compared to commonly used antibiotics, against different strains of multi-resistant *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Enterobacteriaceae* isolated from dogs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Setting

In this study, a total of 56 multi-resistant bacterial strains obtained as etiological agents from hospitalized dogs in a previous study performed at Alfonso X El Sabio University in Madrid were selected [2]. Of these, 30 strains, identified as S. *pseudintermedius*, showed the presence of the *mecA* gene, which confers resistance by producing a penicillin-binding protein PBP 2A, and 26 species of *Enterobacteriaceae* [43] (19 *E. coli* and 7 K. *pneumoniae*) had at least one extended-spectrum beta-lactamase resistance gene (*bla*_{CTX-M1}, *bla*_{CTX-M9}, *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{TEM}). *E. coli* CECT 516 and *S. pseudintermedius* DSM 21284 were used as reference strains. Samples were stored at -20 °C at Alfonso X El Sabio Veterinary Hospital (Madrid, Spain).

2.2. Sensitivity of the Selected Strains to Antibiotics and Allium-Derived Compounds

The antimicrobial susceptibility of Staphylococcus pseudintermedius and Enterobacteriaceae strains was tested in an external laboratory (Laboklin, Madrid, Spain) using a microdilution test and microtiter plates (Micronaut S Kleintiere, Merlin Diagnostika GmbH, Bornheim-Hersel, Germany). Resistance patterns were determined using a set of standardized antimicrobials, following recommendations of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (CLSI) [44] guidelines and clinical breakpoints established by the European Committee of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST), including enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, orbifloxacin, difloxacin, ibafloxacin, pradofloxacin, gentamicin, neomycin, kanamycin, tobramycin, sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, doxycycline, tetracycline, lincomycin, clindamycin, spiramycin-trimethoprim, erythromycin, fusidic acid, chloramphenicol, colistin, nitrofurantoin, rifampicin, streptomycin-trimethoprim, penicillin G, ampicillin, amoxicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, cephalexin, cefotixin, cefquinome, cefoperazone and cefovecin. All antibiotics were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid, Spain) and dissolved according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Erythromycin, clindamycin, fusidic acid and rifampicin were not tested against Gram-negative bacteria. Moreover, colistin has not been tested against Gram-positive bacteria.

PTS and PTSO from *Allium cepa* L. were supplied with high purity (97%) by Panadog-Enzim-Orbita (Tavira, Portugal) and dissolved in polysorbate-80 to a final concentration of 500 g/L.

To evaluate the antibiotic sensitivity of *Allium* compounds, the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) was selected according to CLSI guidelines [44]. For this purpose, decreasing concentrations of the antimicrobial agents (2000—0.48 μ g/mL) were prepared in 1:2 dilutions in wells of microtiter plates in Mueller–Hinton medium buffer (Scharlab, Barcelona, Spain) with a bacterial inoculum of the different strains in the logarithmic growth phase (approx. 10⁵ CFU/mL). In addition, uninoculated broth was used as a negative control, and another well with only a bacterial suspension (without antibiotics) was used as a positive control. All samples were performed in duplicate. Samples were incubated in a tube rotator (VWR; Barcelona, Spain) for 24 h at 20 rpm. Subsequently, they were measured using a Multiskan FC Microplate Reader Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at a wavelength of 620 nm. The microorganism was expected to grow in the control tube and in tubes that did not contain sufficient antimicrobials to inhibit its development. Subsequently, according to the methodology described by Turnidge and Paterson (2007) [45], the probability of clinical success for each compound was predicted by establishing a cut-off point of 62.5 μ g/mL. Consequently, MICs above this value were considered resistant, whereas those equal to or lower than this value were considered sensitive.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics v19 software. The percentage of observed bacterial resistance was described along with the frequency distribution. McNemar's test was performed to evaluate the association between resistance to *Alliaceae* compounds and the different antimicrobials tested. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Staphylococcus pseudintermedius

The number and percentages of resistant strains obtained from the 30 previously selected antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* strains tested with PTS and PTSO, as well as with various antibiotics, are detailed in Table 1.

Table 1. Resistance percentages of *Staphyloccocus pseudintermedius* containing the *mecA* gene to *Allium* extracts and antibiotics.

	n (N = 30)	%
PTS	13	43.3
PTSO	13	43.3
Erythromycin	27	90.0
Ibafloxacin	25	83.3
Difloxacin	25	83.3
Enrofloxacin	25	83.3
Orbifloxacin	25	83.3
Clindamycin	24	80.0
Sulfamethoxazole Trimethoprim	24	80.0
Streptomycin Trimethoprim (TSH)	24	80.0
Spiramýcin Trimethoprim (TSS)	24	80.0
Lincomycin	23	76.7
Marbofloxacin	23	76.7
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	17	56.7
Gentamicin	17	56.7
Pradofloxacin	15	50
Tetracycline	14	46.7
Doxycycline	14	46.7
Fusidic acid	13	43.3
Neomycin	11	36.7
Kanamycin	10	33.3
Rifampicin	9	30.0
Tobramycin	6 3	20.0
Chloramphenicol	3	10.0
Nitrofurantoin	0	0.0

NOTE: Sensitivity and resistance are classified using a previously established MIC cut-off, following Turnidge and Paterson (2007) [45].

The reference strain *S. pseudintermedius* DSM 21284 had an MIC value of $31.25 \mu g/mL$ for PTS/PTSO, which provides a baseline of the activity of these *Allium cepa*-derived compounds. The highest resistance rates were observed against erythromycin (90.0%), ibafloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin and orbifloxacin (83.3% each). In addition, among all multi-resistant strains, 43.3% of the evaluated S. *pseudintermedius* showed resistance to both PTS and PTSO. Despite observed variations in MIC values for PTS and PTSO, with two of the studied strains showing higher values for PTSO compared to PTS, the sensitivity results are still comparable for both compounds when considering the defined cut-off points.

The sensitivity to *Alliaceae* compounds and various antimicrobials was compared, revealing that a larger proportion of strains were sensitive to PTS and PTSO, as determined by the MIC cut-off of 62.5 μ g/mL, in comparison to other tested antimicrobials. In contrast, resistance was observed to the following antibiotics: erythromycin,

clindamycin, lincomycin, ibafloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, orbifloxacin, sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, streptomycin-trimethoprim and spiramycin-trimethoprim. All *Staphylococcus* strains containing the *mecA* gene were considered resistant to amoxicillin, ampicillin, cephalexin, cefotixin, cefquinome and cefoperazone. No nitrofurantoin-resistant strains were detected in this study. In addition, there was a higher proportion of tested strains that showed sensitivity to both *Allium*-derived compounds and resistance to ery-thromycin, clindamycin, ibafloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, orbifloxacin, chloramphenicol and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, showing the highest percentage (50%) of the *S. pseudintermedius* strains resistant to erythromycin, ibafloxacin, enrofloxacin and orbifloxacin (Table 2; Supplementary Material: Tables S1 and S2).

Table 2. Comparison of percentages of *Staphylococcus psedintermedius* strains sensitive to PTS/PTSO and resistant to the other antibiotics tested.

			PTS and PTSO	
		Sensitive	Resistant	<i>p</i> -Value
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	Sensitive Resistant	23.3 33.3	20.0 23.3	0.454
Gentamicin	Sensitive Resistant	30.0 26.7	13.3 30.0	0.388
Neomycin	Sensitive Resistant	40.0 16.7	23.3 20.0	0.774
Kanamycin	Sensitive Resistant	40.0 16.7	26.7 16.7	0.581
Tobramycin	Sensitive Resistant	46.7 10.0	33.3 10.0	0.092
Erythromycin	Sensitive Resistant	6.7 50.0	3.3 40.0	0.001
Clindamycin	Sensitive Resistant	16.7 40.0	3.3 40.0	0.003
Lincomycin	Sensitive Resistant	16.7 40.0	6.7 36.7	0.130
Ibafloxacin	Sensitive Resistant	6.7 50.0	10.0 33.3	0.008
Difloxacin	Sensitive Resistant	6.7 50.0	10.0 33.3	0.008
Enrofloxacin	Sensitive Resistant	6.7 50.0	10.0 33.3	0.008
Marbofloxacin	Sensitive Resistant	13.3 43.3	10.0 33.3	0.021
Pradofloxacin	Sensitive Resistant	36.7 20.0	13.3 30.0	0.754
Orbifloxacin	Sensitive Resistant	6.7 50.0	10.0 33.3	0.008
Tetracycline	Sensitive Resistant	33.3 23.3	20.0 23.3	>0.999
Doxycycline	Sensitive Resistant	33.3 23.3	20.0 23.3	>0.999
Chloramphenicol	Sensitive Resistant	50.0 6.7	40.0 3.3	0.013
Fusidic acid	Sensitive Resistant	33.3 23.3	23.3 20	>0.999
Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim	Sensitive Resistant	16.7 40.0	3.3 40.0	0.003
Nitrofurantoin	Sensitive Resistant	56.7 0.0	43.3 0.0	-
Rifampicin	Sensitive Resistant	43.3 13.3	26.7 16.7	0.388
Streptomycin/Trimethoprim	Sensitive Resistant	16.7 40.0	3.3 40.0	0.003
Spiramycin/Trimethoprim	Sensitive Resistant	16.7 40.0	3.3 40.0	0.003

NOTE: Beta-lactams have been excluded due to the presence of the *mecA* gene. Colistin was not tested because it has no effect against Gram-positive bacteria. Sensitivity and resistance are classified using a previously established MIC cut-off, following Turnidge and Paterson (2007) [45]. A *p*-value < 0.05 indicates statistically significant differences between sensitivity to *Alliaceae* compounds and sensitivity/resistance to other antimicrobials.

Enterobacteriaceae strains in the study exhibited different percentages of resistance to antibiotics, PTS and PTSO. The reference strain *E. coli* CECT 516 showed an MIC for PTS/PTSO of 62.5 μ g/mL, which establishes a comparative baseline for the activity of these Allium cepa-derived compounds against Enterobacteriaceae. The highest resistance percentages were observed for tetracycline and doxycycline (96.2%), followed by ibafloxacin and difloxacin (88.5% each) and enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin and orbifloxacin (84.6% each), as shown in Table 3.

Enterobacteriaceae	n (N = 26)	%
PTS	12	46.2
PTSO	12	46.2
Tetracycline	25	96.2
Doxycycline	25	96.2
Ibafloxacin	23	88.5
Difloxacin	23	88.5
Enrofloxacin	22	84.6
Marbofloxacin	22	84.6
Orbifloxacin	22	84.6
Pradofloxacin	20	76.9
Colistin	17	65.4
Spiramycin/Trimethoprim	15	57.7
Gentamicin	13	50.0
Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim	13	50.0
Streptomycin/Trimethoprim	13	50.0
Amoxicillin clavulanic acid	11	42.3
Nitrofurantoin	11	42.3
Chloramphenicol	10	38.5
Tobramycin	7	26.9
Neomycin	3	11.5
Kanamycin	3	11.5

Table 3. Percentages of antibiotic and PTS/PTSO resistance in ESBL-Enterobacteriaceae.

NOTE: Penicillins and cephalosporins were excluded due to the presence of extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs). Erythromycin, clindamycin, fusidic acid and rifampicin were not tested against Gram-negative bacteria. Sensitivity and resistance are classified using a previously established MIC cut-off, following Turnidge and Paterson (2007) [45].

Additionally, 46.2% of the *Enterobacteriaceae* strains were resistant to PTS and PTSO. A statistically significant difference was observed in the sensitivity of PTS and PTSO compared with that of ibafloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, orbifloxacin, tetracycline and doxycycline. Due to intrinsic extended-spectrum beta-lactamase resistance genes, these strains have been classified as resistant to amoxicillin, ampicillin, cephalexin, cefotixin, cefquinome and cefoperazone.

Finally, *Enterobacteriaceae* strains demonstrated significantly lower resistance to kanamycin and neomycin than to PTS and PTSO. A higher proportion of the tested strains showed sensitivity to PTS/PTSO and resistance to neomycin, kanamycin, ibafloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, orbifloxacin, tetracycline and doxycycline, with the highest percentage (50%) of *Enterobacteriaceae* strains resistant to tetracycline and doxycycline. The results are detailed in Table 4.

Finally, when comparing the higher percentages (50%) of strains resistant to antibiotics and sensitive to PTS/PTSO (Tables 2 and 4), a higher proportion of antibiotic-resistant *S. pseudintermedius* strains was observed than that for *Enterobacteriaceae*, indicating greater sensitivity to PTS/PTSO.

		PTS and PTSO		
		Sensitive	Resistant	<i>p</i> -Value
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	Sensitive	23.1	34.6	>0.009
	Resistant	30.8	11.5	
Gentamicin	Sensitive	26.9	23.1	>0.009
	Resistant	26.9	23.1	>0.009
Neomycin	Sensitive	46.2	42.3	0.022
	Resistant	7.7	3.8	0.022
Kanamycin	Sensitive	46.2	42.3	0.022
	Resistant	7.7	3.8	0.022
Tobramycin	Sensitive	30.8	42.3	0.332
Toblantycht	Resistant	23.1	3.8	0.332
Theflowerin	Sensitive	7.7	3.8	0.003
Ibafloxacin	Resistant	46.2	42.3	0.003
Difference in	Sensitive	7.7	3.8	0.000
Difloxacin	Resistant	46.2	42.3	0.003
F G :	Sensitive	7.7	7.7	0.010
Enrofloxacin	Resistant	46.2	38.5	0.013
	Sensitive	7.7	7.7	0.010
Marbofloxacin	Resistant	46.2	38.5	0.013
	Sensitive	11.5	11.5	
Pradofloxacin	Resistant	42.3	34.6	0.057
	Sensitive	7.7	7.7	
Orbifloxacin	Resistant	46.2	38.5	0.013
	Sensitive	3.8	0.0	
Tetracycline	Resistant	50.0	46.2	< 0.001
	Sensitive	3.8	0.0	
Doxycycline	Resistant	50	46.2	< 0.001
	Sensitive	30.8	30.8	
Chloramphenicol	Resistant	23.1	15.4	0.791
	Sensitive	30.8	19.2	
Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim	Resistant	23.1	26.9	>0.009
	Sensitive	19.2	15.4	
Colistin	Resistant	34.6	30.8	0.267
	Sensitive	30.8	26.9	
Nitrofurantoin	Resistant	23.1	19.2	>0.009
Streptomycin/Trimethoprim	Sensitive	30.8	19.2	
	Resistant	23.1	26.9	>0.009
	Sensitive	26.9	15.4	0.549
Spiramycin/Trimethoprim	Resistant	26.9	30.8	

Table 4. Comparison of percentages of *Enterobacteriaceae* strains sensitive to PTS/PTSO and resistant to the antibiotics tested.

NOTE: Sensitivity and resistance are classified using a previously established MIC cut-off, following Turnidge and Paterson (2007) [45]. A *p*-value < 0.05 indicates statistically significant differences between sensitivity to *Alliaceae* compounds and sensitivity/resistance to other antimicrobials.

4. Discussion

Antibiotic overuse has led to the development of multidrug-resistant bacterial strains, making it increasingly challenging to treat infections in humans and animals [46,47]. Therefore, it is important to explore alternative therapeutic options. Natural products have emerged as valuable novel antimicrobial agent sources due to their diverse chemical com-

positions and potential therapeutic properties [48,49]. *Allium* spp., including *Allium cepa*, have been extensively studied for their medicinal properties, including antimicrobial activity [27,50,51]. Organosulfur compounds observed in *Allium cepa* L. and *Allium sativum* L. have shown promising antibacterial effects, making them potential candidates for use against multidrug-resistant bacteria [52,53].

Despite the considerable attention natural products have received in human medicine, their application in veterinary medicine remains largely unexplored. Limited studies have assessed the efficacy of natural products against canine bacterial strains. This lack of research highlights the need for further studies investigating the potential therapeutic uses of natural compounds in veterinary applications.

In our study, the combination of conventional antimicrobials with PTS and PTSO has demonstrated promising results in increasing the sensitivity of bacterial strains against infections caused by *S. pseudintermedius* and *Enterobacteriaceae*. This approach, as highlighted in our findings, suggests a significant alternative to traditional synergy, aligning with the guidelines for antimicrobial therapy combination [54] and underscoring the need for innovative strategies in the face of rising antibiotic resistance. The in vitro antibacterial activity of PTS and PTSO, as compared with other antimicrobials, has been shown to be effective against multidrug-resistant bacteria [41], supporting the potential of this combination. Furthermore, the importance of systematic mapping of the long-term clearance efficacy of drug combinations, as discussed in recent research [55], is crucial for designing more effective multidrug regimes, especially against persistent infections.

Canine-originating bacterial strains, such as multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Enterobacteriaceae*, are of great concern to both veterinary and public health [56,57]. These strains exhibit substantial diversity and can cause various infections in dogs and other domestic animals, with potential zoonotic implications for humans [58–61]. Furthermore, the ability of these strains to develop multi-drug resistance impairs treatment. Therefore, research on canine-specific bacterial strains is crucial for developing effective therapeutic strategies [62].

Several veterinary medicine studies have investigated the antimicrobial activities of natural products against canine *Staphylococcus* and *Enterobacteriaceae* spp. These studies explored a variety of natural sources, including herbal extracts, honey products and bacteriophages, among others [63–65]. These investigations provided valuable insights into the potential efficacy of natural compounds as alternative therapies, with botanical products being the most promising solutions, such as *Garcinia mangostana* or *Harungana madagascariensis* extracts [66,67]. Organosulfur derivatives from garlic, such as allicin-inspired compounds, have been reported to exhibit antibacterial activities against *Staphylococcus* spp. [68]. Similarly, in previous studies, PTS and PTSO from onions showed antimicrobial activity against *Staphylococcus* and *Enterobacteriaceae* multidrug-resistant strains isolated from human samples [41]. However, to our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the in vitro activity of these compounds against multidrug-resistant canine strains.

S. pseudintermedius is commonly isolated from superficial and deep pyoderma, otitis externa, urinary tract infections and other canine tissues [58,69–72], and it does not normally colonize humans, although transfer between owners and their pets has been described and, in certain cases, has been associated with pathologies [73–77]. Additionally, many *Staphylococcus* spp. carry the *mecA* gene, which encodes a penicillin-binding protein (PBP2a) that confers resistance to beta-lactams [78–80]. Recently, the prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* (MRS) has increased [79]. MRS can express resistance to any combination of antibiotics, including aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, lincosamides, macrolides, tetracyclines, potentiated sulphonamides and rifampicin [72].

Based on the results of this study, it can be hypothesized that PTS/PTSO can effectively treat a higher percentage of methicillin-resistant *S. pseudintermedius* infections than betalactams. Furthermore, the tested strains showed lower resistance to PTS and PTSO than to most quinolones, which should be prescribed as a last resort. Additionally, as previously reported, MRS acquires resistance to fluroquinolones [81]. Most publications show similar results regarding resistance to these antibiotics and consider these drugs not to be good therapeutic alternatives for MRS treatment [71,82].

This study observed that PTS and PTSO did not show lower resistance rates than aminoglycosides, tetracyclines, rifampicin, chloramphenicol or fusidic acid. Regarding the susceptibility of S. pseudintermedius strains to the different antibiotics tested, our results agree with those obtained by other authors [83]. Although moderate-to-high resistance patterns have been described for aminoglycosides, their clinical efficacy as single agents against infections caused by *Staphylococcus* strains is not well established [84–86]. Rifampicin, an antibiotic developed in the past, has been of recent interest due to its activity against MRS. The moderate resistance described in this study is consistent with other publications [69,87–91], although resistant strains have been described [92]. The tetracycline resistance rates observed in this study are consistent with those reported in other studies [90]. Tetracyclines are more effective in vitro than in vivo against different species of Staphylococcus [88]. Fusidic acid resistance in this study was higher than that reported in other publications [65,93]. However, more studies are required to determine the correlation between in vitro studies and clinical efficacy. Chloramphenicol was used decades ago; however, it is not now widely used because of its narrow safety margin, the need for frequent administration and the lack of presentations suitable for small animals in most countries [88]. Nitrofurantoin did not induce in vitro resistance against any of the Staphylococcus isolates tested in this study. High susceptibility to this drug has been reported in other studies [94]. However, this drug is highly toxic and not used in clinical practice [95].

The global problem of antimicrobial resistance includes the emergence of extendedspectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* that cause considerable morbidity and mortality, especially *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* species [96]. The prevalence of canine isolates resistant to beta-lactams, including broad-spectrum cephalosporins, has increased in recent years [97,98], leading to urinary infections. Companion animals that live in close contact with humans may contribute substantially to their owners' exposure to ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* [98].

In this study, all ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae isolates were found to be multidrugresistant. The resistance percentages of ESBL-producing strains to PTS and PTSO were similar to those observed for amoxicillin-clavulanate, with a low resistance to amoxicillinclavulanic acid, consistent with recent studies [99]. However, other authors reported higher values [100]. Bacteria with ESBL genes are associated with resistance to other non-betalactam antimicrobials, such as tetracyclines, quinolones, lincosamides, macrolides and, to a lesser extent, chloramphenicol and aminoglycosides [98,101–106]. Moreover, PTS and PTSO showed higher sensitivity percentages for ESBL strains than most quinolones and tetracyclines. The sensitivity percentages for these groups of antibiotics were similar to those observed in other studies [101,104–106] and do not usually represent a good therapeutic alternative. As observed in other surveys, aminoglycosides presented low resistance percentages, probably because of their limited use in the clinic due to their pharmacokinetics and potential side effects [107,108]. Allium compounds showed similar resistance to colistin, nitrofurantoin, chloramphenicol and potentiated sulfonamides in the ESBL strains. Colistin was discontinued because of nephrotoxicity. However, the emergence of carbapenem resistance in clinically important bacteria such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Acinetobacter baumannii, K. pneumoniae and E. coli has propitiated its reintroduction into clinical practice as a last-resort treatment option [109]. Resistance to nitrofurantoin has been described in low percentages of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae [95]; however, its toxicity and poor pharmacokinetic characteristics have led to its low use in clinical practice. Chloramphenicol and potentiated sulfonamides have shown low to moderate resistance rates in recent publications [100], probably due to lower antibiotic pressure.

Finally, considering the historically safe use of PTS and PTSO in farm animal species and their dietary origin, both compounds are presumed safe for dog health. The toxicological aspects of PTS and PTSO have previously been tested in experimental animals. In vivo studies have demonstrated the low acute and subchronic oral toxicity of PTSO [34–36]. In addition, recent studies on rats orally administered with PTS and PTSO for 90 days showed no toxic effects [35]. Some studies found in the scientific literature show contradictory results about the safety of alliaceous derivatives in the canine diet, even considering them to be harmful food [31]. This fact largely contradicts the results of trials conducted by other authors in which the incorporation of alliaceous in the diet of dogs leads to an improvement in animal health [32,110]. For example, the trial conducted by Yamato et al. [33] involved orally administering a daily dose of garlic extract at 90 mg/kg of weight to Beagle dogs for 12 weeks, which not only resulted in the absence of adverse effects, but also improved the health of the animals by increasing the gene expression of antioxidant enzymes.

While PTS/PTSO is currently being commercialized for use in farm animals, such as pigs and poultry, research into its application in pets is a more recent development. This paper serves as a pioneering contribution in this field, exploring the use of PTS/PTSO in pet nutrition, and specifically for dogs. This study aims to provide foundational knowledge and encourage further research. Our findings contribute to an increase in the knowledge of this area, highlighting the need for continued research into the safe and effective use of PTS/PTSO in canine diets. Despite these promising results, further pharmacokinetic studies must establish their safe use in clinical practice.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we investigated the antibacterial properties of PTS and PTSO, two organosulfur derivatives of *Allium cepa*. These findings provide initial insights into the potential of both *Allium* species for further investigation in veterinary practice. However, in vivo trials are required to evaluate their efficacy in dogs.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/vetsci11010026/s1, Table S1: Susceptibility patterns of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* strains sensitive to PTS and PTSO; Table S2: Susceptibility patterns of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* strains sensitive to PTO and PTSO.

Author Contributions: All authors performed the experiments and contributed to data acquisition and analysis. Conceptualization: G.O.-D., A.B. and A.M.-T.; Methodology: A.M.-T., J.J.A. and T.A.; Validation: G.O.-D., A.B., M.A.A.-C., T.A. and S.P.; Writing, review and editing: M.A.A.-C., A.B., G.O.-D., S.P., T.A. and A.M.-T.; supervision, A.B. and G.O.-D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was partially supported by the FITOPET project (IDI-20220251) of the Center for the Development of Industrial Technology in Spain (CDTI). The funding organization was not involved in the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, writing of this paper or the decision to submit it for publication.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Dataset available on request from the authors.

Acknowledgments: The authors sincerely thank Rosario Baquero for her invaluable support and contribution in strains provision for the study. Her knowledge, expertise and valuable insights have been instrumental in the success of this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. *Global Report on Infection Prevention and Control*; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2022; ISBN 9789240051164.
- Ortiz-Díez, G.; López, R.; Sánchez-Díaz, A.M.; Turrientes, M.C.; Baquero, M.R.; Luque, R.; Maroto, A.; Fernández, C.; Ayllón, T. Epidemiology of the Colonization and Acquisition of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococci and Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci in Dogs Hospitalized in a Clinic Veterinary Hospital in Spain. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2020, 72, 101501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 3. Baxter, D.N.; Leck, I. The Deleterious Effects of Dogs on Human Health. Canine zoonoses. *Community Med.* **1984**, *6*, 185–197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Cohen, R.; Grimprel, E.; Hau, I.; Madhi, F.; Gaudelus, J.; Raymond, J. Principes de l'antibiothérapie Curative. *Arch. Pediatr.* 2017, 24, S1–S5. [CrossRef]
- 5. Hernando-Amado, S.; Coque, T.M.; Baquero, F.; Martínez, J.L. Defining and Combating Antibiotic Resistance from One Health and Global Health Perspectives. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2019**, *4*, 1432–1442. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Yudhanto, S.; Hung, C.C.; Maddox, C.W.; Varga, C. Antimicrobial Resistance in Bacteria Isolated From Canine Urine Samples Submitted to a Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory, Illinois, United States. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 867784. [CrossRef]
- Provenzani, A.; Hospodar, A.R.; Meyer, A.L.; Leonardi Vinci, D.; Hwang, E.Y.; Butrus, C.M.; Polidori, P. Multidrug-Resistant Gram-Negative Organisms: A Review of Recently Approved Antibiotics and Novel Pipeline Agents. *Int. J. Clin. Pharm.* 2020, 42, 1016–1025. [CrossRef]
- 8. Kaspar, U.; von Lützau, A.; Schlattmann, A.; Roesler, U.; Köck, R.; Becker, K. Zoonotic Multidrug-Resistant Microorganisms among Small Companion Animals in Germany. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0208364. [CrossRef]
- Wieler, L.H.; Ewers, C.; Guenther, S.; Walther, B.; Lübke-Becker, A. Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococci (MRS) and Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamases (ESBL)-Producing Enterobacteriaceae in Companion Animals: Nosocomial Infections as One Reason for the Rising Prevalence of These Potential Zoonotic Pathogens in Clinical Samples. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 2011, 301, 635–641.
- 10. Coates, A.R.; Halls, G.; Hu, Y. Novel Classes of Antibiotics or More of the Same? Br. J. Pharmacol. 2011, 163, 184–194. [CrossRef]
- 11. O'Neill, J. Tackling Drug-Resistant Infections Globally: Final Report and Recommendations; Government of the United Kingdom: London, UK, 2016.
- Campigotto, G.; Jaguezeski, A.M.; Alba, D.F.; Giombelli, L.C.D.; da Rosa, G.; Souza, C.F.; Baldissera, M.D.; Petrolli, T.G.; da Silva, A.S. Microencapsulated Phytogenic in Dog Feed Modulates Immune Responses, Oxidative Status and Reduces Bacterial (*Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli*) Counts in Feces. *Microb. Pathog.* 2021, 159, 105113. [CrossRef]
- McEwen, S.A.; Collignon, P.J. Antimicrobial Resistance: A One Health Perspective. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 2018, 6, ARBA-0009-2017. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Breijyeh, Z.; Jubeh, B.; Karaman, R. Resistance of Gram-Negative Bacteria to Current Antibacterial Agents and Approaches to Resolve It. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 1340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Mulat, M.; Pandita, A.; Khan, F. Medicinal Plant Compounds for Combating the Multi-Drug Resistant Pathogenic Bacteria: A Review. *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *20*, 183–196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Arip, M.; Selvaraja, M.; Mogana, R.; Tan, L.F.; Leong, M.Y.; Tan, P.L.; Yap, V.L.; Chinnapan, S.; Tat, N.C.; Abdullah, M.; et al. Review on Plant-Based Management in Combating Antimicrobial Resistance—Mechanistic Perspective. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2022, 13, 879495. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Tresch, M.; Mevissen, M.; Ayrle, H.; Melzig, M.; Roosje, P.; Walkenhorst, M. Medicinal Plants as Therapeutic Options for Topical Treatment in Canine Dermatology? A Systematic Review. *BMC Vet. Res.* **2019**, *15*, 174. [CrossRef]
- Petrovska, B.; Cekovska, S. Extracts from the History and Medical Properties of Garlic. *Pharmacogn. Rev.* 2010, *4*, 106–110. [CrossRef]
- 19. Shobana, S.; Vidhya, V.G.; Ramya, M. Antibacterial Activity of Garlic Varieties (Ophioscordon and Sativum) on Enteric Pathogens. *Curr. Res. J. Biol. Sci.* 2009, 1, 123–126.
- 20. Rivlin, R.S. Recent Advances on the Nutritional Effects Associated with the Use of Garlic as a Supplement Historical Perspective on the Use of Garlic 1,2. *J. Nutr.* 2001, 131, 951s–954s. [CrossRef]
- Salem, W.M.; Shibat El-hamed, D.M.W.; Sayed, W.F.; Elamary, R.B. Alterations in Virulence and Antibiotic Resistant Genes of Multidrug-Resistant Salmonella Serovars Isolated from Poultry: The Bactericidal Efficacy of *Allium sativum*. *Microb. Pathog.* 2017, 108, 91–100. [CrossRef]
- Magryś, A.; Olender, A.; Tchórzewska, D. Antibacterial Properties of *Allium sativum* L. against the Most Emerging Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria and Its Synergy with Antibiotics. *Arch. Microbiol.* 2021, 203, 2257–2268. [CrossRef]
- Ross, Z.M.; O'Gara, E.A.; Hill, D.J.; Sleightholme, H.V.; Maslin, D.J. Antimicrobial Properties of Garlic Oil against Human Enteric Bacteria: Evaluation of Methodologies and Comparisons with Garlic Oil Sulfides and Garlic Powder. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2001, 67, 475–480. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Salehi, B.; Zucca, P.; Orhan, I.E.; Azzini, E.; Adetunji, C.O.; Mohammed, S.A.; Banerjee, S.K.; Sharopov, F.; Rigano, D.; Sharifi-Rad, J.; et al. Allicin and Health: A Comprehensive Review. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *86*, 502–516. [CrossRef]
- 25. Choo, S.; Chin, V.K.; Wong, E.H.; Madhavan, P.; Tay, S.T.; Yong, P.V.C.; Chong, P.P. Review: Antimicrobial Properties of Allicin Used Alone or in Combination with Other Medications. *Folia Microbiol.* **2020**, *65*, 451–465. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Chester Cavallito, B.J.; Hays Bailey, J. Allicin, the Antibacterial Principle of *Allium sativum*. I. Isolation, Physical Properties and Antibacterial Action. *J. Am. Chem.* **1941**, *66*, 45–46.
- Batiha, G.E.S.; Beshbishy, A.M.; Wasef, L.G.; Elewa, Y.H.A.; Al-Sagan, A.A.; El-Hack, M.E.A.; Taha, A.E.; Abd-Elhakim, Y.M.; Devkota, H.P. Chemical Constituents and Pharmacological Activities of Garlic (*Allium sativum* L.): A Review. *Nutrients* 2020, 12, 872. [CrossRef]
- Anwar, A.; Gould, E.; Tinson, R.; Groom, M.; Hamilton, C.J. Think Yellow and Keep Green—Role of Sulfanes from Garlic in Agriculture. *Antioxidants* 2017, 6, 3. [CrossRef]

- 29. Satyal, P.; Craft, J.D.; Dosoky, N.S.; Setzer, W.N. The Chemical Compositions of the Volatile Oils of Garlic (*Allium sativum*) and Wild Garlic (*Allium vineale*). *Foods* **2017**, *6*, 63. [CrossRef]
- 30. Hu, Q.; Yang, Q.; Yamato, O.; Yamasaki, M.; Maede, Y.; Yoshihara, T. Isolation and Identification of Organosulfur Compounds Oxidizing Canine Erythrocytes from Garlic (*Allium sativum*). J. Agric. Food Chem. **2002**, 50, 1059–1062. [CrossRef]
- 31. Cortinovis, C.; Caloni, F. Household Food Items Toxic to Dogs and Cats. Front. Vet. Sci. 2016, 3, 26. [CrossRef]
- 32. Lans, C. Do Recent Research Studies Validate the Medicinal Plants Used in British Columbia, Canada for Pet Diseases and Wild Animals Taken into Temporary Care? *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2019**, 236, 366–392. [CrossRef]
- Yamato, O.; Tsuneyoshi, T.; Ushijima, M.; Jikihara, H.; Yabuki, A. Safety and Efficacy of Aged Garlic Extract in Dogs: Upregulation of the Nuclear Factor Erythroid 2-Related Factor 2 (Nrf2) Signaling Pathway and Nrf2-Regulated Phase II Antioxidant Enzymes. BMC Vet. Res. 2018, 14, 373. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mellado-García, P.; Maisanaba, S.; Puerto, M.; Prieto, A.I.; Marcos, R.; Pichardo, S.; Cameán, A.M. In Vitro Toxicological Assessment of an Organosulfur Compound from Allium Extract: Cytotoxicity, Mutagenicity and Genotoxicity Studies. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2017, 99, 231–240. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cascajosa Lira, A.; Isabel Prieto, A.; Baños, A.; Guillamón, E.; Moyano, R.; Jos, A.; Cameán, A.M.; Jos Gallego, Á. Safety Assessment of Propyl-Propane-Thiosulfonate (PTSO): 90-Days Oral Subchronic Toxicity Study in Rats. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2020, 144, 111612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Llana-Ruiz-Cabello, M.; Pichardo, S.; Maisanaba, S.; Puerto, M.; Prieto, A.I.; Gutiérrez-Praena, D.; Jos, A.; Cameán, A.M. In Vitro Toxicological Evaluation of Essential Oils and Their Main Compounds Used in Active Food Packaging: A Review. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2015, *81*, 9–27. [CrossRef]
- Pastor-Belda, M.; Arroyo-Manzanares, N.; Yavir, K.; Abad, P.; Campillo, N.; Hernández-Córdoba, M.; Vinãs, P. A Rapid Dispersive Liquid-Liquid Microextraction of Antimicrobial Onion Organosulfur Compounds in Animal Feed Coupled to Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry. *Anal. Methods* 2020, *12*, 2668–2673. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Peinado, M.J.; Ruiz, R.; Echávarri, A.; Rubio, L.A. Garlic Derivative Propyl Propane Thiosulfonate Is Effective against Broiler Enteropathogens In Vivo. Poult. Sci. 2012, 91, 2148–2157. [CrossRef]
- Ruiz, R.; García, M.P.; Lara, A.; Rubio, L.A. Garlic Derivatives (PTS and PTS-O) Differently Affect the Ecology of Swine Faecal Microbiota. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2009, 144, 110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Sánchez, C.J.; Martínez-Miró, S.; Ariza, J.J.; Madrid, J.; Orengo, J.; Aguinaga, M.A.; Baños, A.; Hernández, F. Effect of Alliaceae Extract Supplementation on Performance and Intestinal Microbiota of Growing-Finishing Pig. *Animals* 2020, 10, 1557. [CrossRef]
- Sorlozano-Puerto, A.; Albertuz-Crespo, M.; Lopez-Machado, I.; Ariza-Romero, J.J.; Baños-Arjona, A.; Exposito-Ruiz, M.; Gutierrez-Fernandez, J. In Vitro Antibacterial Activity of Propyl-Propane-Thiosulfinate and Propyl-Propane-Thiosulfonate Derived from *Allium* Spp. Against Gram-Negative and Gram-Positive Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria Isolated from Human Samples. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2018, 2018, 7861207. [CrossRef]
- Abreu-Salinas, F.; Díaz-Jiménez, D.; García-Meniño, I.; Lumbreras, P.; López-Beceiro, A.M.; Fidalgo, L.E.; Rodicio, M.R.; Mora, A.; Fernández, J. High Prevalence and Diversity of Cephalosporin-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae Including Extraintestinal Pathogenic *E. coli* Cc648 Lineage in Rural and Urban Dogs in Northwest Spain. *Antibiotics* 2020, *9*, 468. [CrossRef]
- Ortiz-Díez, G.; Mengíbar, R.L.; Turrientes, M.C.; Artigao, M.R.B.; Gallifa, R.L.; Tello, A.M.; Pérez, C.F.; Santiago, T.A. Prevalence, Incidence and Risk Factors for Acquisition and Colonization of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase- and Carbapenemase-Producing Enterobacteriaceae from Dogs Attended at a Veterinary Hospital in Spain. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2023, 92, 101922. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Weinstein, M.P.; Lewis, J.S.; Bobenchick, A.M.; Campeau, S.; Cullen, S.K.; Galas, M.F.; Gold, H.; Humphries, R.M.; Kirn, T.J.; Limbago, B.; et al. *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*, 30th ed.; CLSI Standard M100; Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: Wayne, PA, USA, 2020.
- Turnidge, J.; Paterson, D.L. Setting and Revising Antibacterial Susceptibility Breakpoints. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2007, 20, 391–408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Alós, J.I. Antibiotic Resistance: A Global Crisis. Enferm. Infecc. Microbiol. Clin. 2015, 33, 692–699. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Davies, J. Origins and Evolution of Antibiotic Resistance. *Microbiologia* **1996**, *12*, 9–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Cowan, M.M. Plant Products as Antimicrobial Agents. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 1999, 12, 564–582. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Guglielmi, P.; Pontecorvi, V.; Rotondi, G. Natural Compounds and Extracts as Novel Antimicrobial Agents. *Expert Opin. Ther. Pat.* **2020**, *30*, 949–962. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Bastaki, S.M.A.; Ojha, S.; Kalasz, H.; Adeghate, E. Chemical Constituents and Medicinal Properties of Allium Species. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* 2021, 476, 4301–4321. [CrossRef]
- Suleria, H.A.R.; Butt, M.S.; Anjum, F.M.; Saeed, F.; Khalid, N. Onion: Nature Protection Against Physiological Threats. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2015, 55, 50–66. [CrossRef]
- 52. Bhatwalkar, S.B.; Mondal, R.; Krishna, S.B.N.; Adam, J.K.; Govender, P.; Anupam, R. Antibacterial Properties of Organosulfur Compounds of Garlic (*Allium sativum*). *Front. Microbiol.* **2021**, *12*, 613077. [CrossRef]
- 53. Xu, Z.; Qiu, Z.; Liu, Q.; Huang, Y.; Li, D.; Shen, X.; Fan, K.; Xi, J.; Gu, Y.; Tang, Y.; et al. Converting Organosulfur Compounds to Inorganic Polysulfides against Resistant Bacterial Infections. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 3713. [CrossRef]
- 54. Rybap, M.J.; Mcgrath, B.J. Combination Antimicrobial Therapy for Bacterial Infections Guidelines for the Clinician. *Drugs* **1996**, 52, 390–405.

- 55. Lázár, V.; Snitser, O.; Barkan, D.; Kishony, R. Antibiotic Combinations Reduce Staphylococcus Aureus Clearance. *Nature* 2022, 610, 540–546. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Gibson, J.S.; Morton, J.M.; Cobbold, R.N.; Sidjabat, H.E.; Filippich, L.J.; Trott, D.J. Multidrug-Resistant *E. coli* and Enterobacter Extraintestinal Infection in 37 Dogs. *J. Vet. Intern. Med.* **2008**, *22*, 844–850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- van Duijkeren, E.; Moleman, M.; Sloet van Oldruitenborgh-Oosterbaan, M.M.; Multem, J.; Troelstra, A.; Fluit, A.C.; van Wamel, W.J.B.; Houwers, D.J.; de Neeling, A.J.; Wagenaar, J.A. Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus in Horses and Horse Personnel: An Investigation of Several Outbreaks. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2010, 141, 96–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bannoehr, J.; Guardabassi, L. Staphylococcus pseudintermedius in the Dog: Taxonomy, Diagnostics, Ecology, Epidemiology and Pathogenicity. Vet. Dermatol. 2012, 23, 253.e52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gómez-Sanz, E.; Torres, C.; Lozano, C.; Zarazaga, M. High Diversity of Staphylococcus Aureus and *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* Lineages and Toxigenic Traits in Healthy Pet-Owning Household Members. Underestimating Normal Household Contact? *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2013, 36, 83–94. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lozano, C.; Rezusta, A.; Ferrer, I.; Pérez-Laguna, V.; Zarazaga, M.; Ruiz-Ripa, L.; Revillo, M.J.; Torres, C. Staphylococcus pseudintermedius Human Infection Cases in Spain: Dog-to-Human Transmission. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2017, 17, 268–270. [CrossRef]
- Ribeiro, M.G.; de Morais, A.B.C.; Alves, A.C.; Bolaños, C.A.D.; de Paula, C.L.; Portilho, F.V.R.; de Nardi Júnior, G.; Lara, G.H.B.; de Souza Araújo Martins, L.; Moraes, L.S.; et al. Klebsiella-Induced Infections in Domestic Species: A Case-Series Study in 697 Animals (1997–2019). *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 2022, *53*, 455–464. [CrossRef]
- 62. Johnstone, T. A Clinical Approach to Multidrug-Resistant Urinary Tract Infection and Subclinical Bacteriuria in Dogs and Cats. *N. Z. Vet. J.* **2020**, *68*, 69–83. [CrossRef]
- 63. Balcão, V.M.; Belline, B.G.; Silva, E.C.; Almeida, P.F.F.B.; Baldo, D.; Amorim, L.R.P.; Oliveira Júnior, J.M.; Vila, M.M.D.C.; Del Fiol, F.S. Isolation and Molecular Characterization of Two Novel Lytic Bacteriophages for the Biocontrol of *Escherichia coli* in Uterine Infections: In Vitro and Ex Vivo Preliminary Studies in Veterinary Medicine. *Pharmaceutics* 2022, 14, 2344. [CrossRef]
- Meroni, G.; Cardin, E.; Rendina, C.; Millar, V.R.H.; Filipe, J.F.S.; Martino, P.A. In Vitro Efficacy of Essential Oils from Melaleuca Alternifolia and Rosmarinus Officinalis, Manuka Honey-Based Gel, and Propolis as Antibacterial Agents against Canine Staphylococcus pseudintermedius Strains. Antibiotics 2020, 9, 344. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Moodley, A.; Damborg, P.; Nielsen, S.S. Antimicrobial Resistance in Methicillin Susceptible and Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* of Canine Origin: Literature Review from 1980 to 2013. *Vet. Microbiol.* **2014**, *171*, 337–341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Larsuprom, L.; Rungroj, N.; Lekcharoensuk, C.; Pruksakorn, C.; Kongkiatpaiboon, S.; Chen, C.; Sukatta, U. In Vitro Antibacterial Activity of Mangosteen (*Garcinia mangostana* Linn.) Crude Extract against *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* Isolates from Canine Pyoderma. *Vet. Dermatol.* 2019, 30, 487.e145. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moulari, B.; Pellequer, Y.; Chaumont, J.P.; Guillaume, Y.C.; Millet, J. In Vitro Antimicrobial Activity of the Leaf Extract of Harungana Madagascariensis Lam. Ex Poir. (Hypericaceae) against Strains Causing Otitis Externa in Dogs and Cats. *Acta Vet. Hung* 2007, 55, 97–105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Sheppard, J.G.; McAleer, J.P.; Saralkar, P.; Geldenhuys, W.J.; Long, T.E. Allicin-Inspired Pyridyl Disulfides as Antimicrobial Agents for Multidrug-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2018**, *143*, 1185–1195. [CrossRef]
- Kawakami, T.; Shibata, S.; Murayama, N.; Nagata, M.; Nishifuji, K.; Iwasaki, T.; Fukata, T. Antimicrobial Susceptibility and Methicillin Resistance in *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* and Staphylococcus Schleiferi Subsp. Coagulans Isolated from Dogs with Pyoderma in Japan. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 2010, 72, 1615–1619. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hauschild, T.; Wójcik, A. Species Distribution and Properties of Staphylococci from Canine Dermatitis. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 2007, 82, 1–6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Frank, L.A.; Loeffler, A. Meticillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*: Clinical Challenge and Treatment Options. *Vet. Dermatol.* **2012**, 23, 283.e56. [CrossRef]
- 72. Penna, B.; Varges, R.; Medeiros, L.; Martins, G.M.; Martins, R.R.; Lilenbaum, W. Species Distribution and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Staphylococci Isolated from Canine Otitis Externa. *Vet. Dermatol.* **2010**, *21*, 292–296. [CrossRef]
- 73. Hanselman, B.A.; Kruth, S.A.; Rousseau, J.; Weese, J.S. Article Coagulase Positive Staphylococcal Colonization of Humans and Their Household Pets. *Can. Vet. J.* **2009**, *50*, 954–958.
- Talan, D.A.; Staatz, D.; Staatz, A.; Goldstein, E.J.C.; Singer, K.; Overturf5, G.D.; Alden, R.M. Staphylococcus Intermedius in Canine Gingiva and Canine-Inflicted Human Wound Infections: Laboratory Characterization of a Newly Recognized Zoonotic Pathogen. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1989, 27, 78–81. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Mahoudeau, I.; Delabranche, X.; Prevost, G.; Monteil, H.; Piemont, Y. Frequency of Isolation of Staphylococcus Intermedius from Humans. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1997**, *35*, 2153–2154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Goodacre, R.; Harvey, R.; Howell, S.; Greenham, L.; Noble, W. An Epidemiological Study of Staphylococcus Intermedius Strains Isolated from Dogs, Their Owners and Veterinary Surgeons. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis **1997**, 44, 49–64. [CrossRef]
- 77. Ohara-Nemoto, Y.; Haraga, H.; Kimura, S.; Nemoto, T.K. Occurrence of Staphylococci in the Oral Cavities of Healthy Adults and Nasal-Oral Trafficking of the Bacteria. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **2008**, *57*, 95–99. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Paul, N.C.; Moodley, A.; Ghibaudo, G.; Guardabassi, L. Carriage of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius in Small Animal Veterinarians: Indirect Evidence of Zoonotic Transmission. Zoonoses Public Health 2011, 58, 533–539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Pomba, C.; Rantala, M.; Greko, C.; Baptiste, K.E.; Catry, B.; van Duijkeren, E.; Mateus, A.; Moreno, M.A.; Pyörälä, S.; Ružauskas, M.; et al. Public Health Risk of Antimicrobial Resistance Transfer from Companion Animals. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2017, 72, 957–968. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Loeffler, A.; Lloyd, D.H. Companion Animals: A Reservoir for Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus in the Community? Epidemiol. Infect. 2010, 138, 595–605. [CrossRef]
- Ventrella, G.; Moodley, A.; Grandolfo, E.; Parisi, A.; Corrente, M.; Buonavoglia, D.; Guardabassi, L. Frequency, Antimicrobial Susceptibility and Clonal Distribution of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* in Canine Clinical Samples Submitted to a Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory in Italy: A 3-Year Retrospective Investigation. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2017, 211, 103–106. [CrossRef]
- 82. Muniz, I.M.; Penna, B.; Lilenbaum, W. Treating Animal Bites: Susceptibility of Staphylococci from Oral Mucosa of Cats. *Zoonoses Public Health* **2013**, *60*, 504–509. [CrossRef]
- 83. Kizerwetter-Świda, M.; Chrobak-Chmiel, D.; Rzewuska, M.; Binek, M. Resistance of Canine Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus* pseudintermedius Strains to Pradofloxacin. J. Vet. Diag. Investig. 2016, 28, 514–518. [CrossRef]
- Ruscher, C.; Lübke-Becker, A.; Wleklinski, C.G.; Şoba, A.; Wieler, L.H.; Walther, B. Prevalence of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* Isolated from Clinical Samples of Companion Animals and Equidaes. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2009, 136, 197–201.
 [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Ramirez, M.S.; Tolmasky, M.E. Aminoglycoside Modifying Enzymes. Drug Resist. Updates 2010, 13, 151–171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 86. Guthrie, O.W. Aminoglycoside Induced Ototoxicity. Toxicology 2008, 249, 91-96. [CrossRef]
- Oliveira, J.F.P.; Silva, C.A.; Barbieri, C.D.; Oliveira, G.M.; Zanetta, D.M.T.; Burdmann, E.A. Prevalence and Risk Factors for Aminoglycoside Nephrotoxicity in Intensive Care Units. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2009, 53, 2887–2891. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Martínez-Salgado, C.; López-Hernández, F.J.; López-Novoa, J.M. Glomerular Nephrotoxicity of Aminoglycosides. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 2007, 223, 86–98. [CrossRef]
- Perreten, V.; Kadlec, K.; Schwarz, S.; Andersson, U.G.; Finn, M.; Greko, C.; Moodley, A.; Kania, S.A.; Frank, L.A.; Bemis, D.A.; et al. Clonal Spread of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* in Europe and North America: An International Multicentre Study. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2010, 65, 1145–1154. [CrossRef]
- 90. Papich, M.G. Antibiotic Treatment of Resistant Infections in Small Animals. Vet. Clin. N. Am. Small Anim. Pract. 2013, 43, 1091–1107. [CrossRef]
- 91. Cain, C.L. Antimicrobial Resistance in Staphylococci in Small Animals. *Vet. Clin. N. Am. Small Anim. Pract.* **2013**, 43, 19–40. [CrossRef]
- 92. Kern, A.; Perreten, V. Clinical and Molecular Features of Methicillin-Resistant, Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci of Pets and Horses. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2013, 68, 1256–1266. [CrossRef]
- 93. Kadlec, K.; van Duijkeren, E.; Wagenaar, J.A.; Schwarz, S. Molecular Basis of Rifampicin Resistance in Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* Isolates from Dogs. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. **2011**, 66, 1236–1242. [CrossRef]
- 94. Lim, Y.J.; Hyun, J.E.; Hwang, C.Y. Identification of Fusidic Acid Resistance in Clinical Isolates of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* from Dogs in Korea. *Vet. Dermatol.* **2020**, *31*, 267.e62. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Frosini, S.M.; Bond, R.; Rantala, M.; Grönthal, T.; Rankin, S.C.; O'Shea, K.; Timofte, D.; Schmidt, V.; Lindsay, J.; Loeffler, A. Genetic Resistance Determinants to Fusidic Acid and Chlorhexidine in Variably Susceptible Staphylococci from Dogs. *BMC Microbiol.* 2019, 19, 81. [CrossRef]
- 96. Maaland, M.; Guardabassi, L. In Vitro Antimicrobial Activity of Nitrofurantoin against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus* pseudintermedius Isolated from Dogs and Cats. *Vet. Microbiol.* **2011**, *151*, 396–399. [CrossRef]
- 97. Takashima, G.K.; Day, M.J. Setting the One Health Agenda and the Human-Companion Animal Bond. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2014**, *11*, 11110–11120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 98. Bush, K. Past and Present Perspectives on β-Lactamases. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2018, 62, e01076-18. [CrossRef]
- Hong, J.S.; Song, W.; Park, H.M.; Oh, J.Y.; Chae, J.C.; Shin, S.; Jeong, S.H. Clonal Spread of Extended-Spectrum Cephalosporin-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae between Companion Animals and Humans in South Korea. *Front. Microbiol.* 2019, 10, 1371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 100. Chen, Y.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Lei, L.; Xia, Z. Increasing Prevalence of ESBL-Producing Multidrug Resistance *Escherichia coli* From Diseased Pets in Beijing, China From 2012 to 2017. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 2852. [CrossRef]
- Suay-García, B.; Galán, F.; Rodríguez-Iglesias, M.A.; Pérez-Gracia, M.T. Detection and Characterization of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamases-Producing *Escherichia coli* in Animals. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2019, 19, 115–120. [CrossRef]
- 102. Shimizu, T.; Harada, K.; Tsuyuki, Y.; Kimura, Y.; Miyamoto, T.; Hatoya, S.; Hikasa, Y. In Vitro Efficacy of 16 Antimicrobial Drugs against a Large Collection of β-Lactamase-Producing Isolates of Extraintestinal Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* from Dogs and Cats. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 2017, 66, 1085–1091. [CrossRef]
- 103. Dupouy, V.; Abdelli, M.; Moyano, G.; Arpaillange, N.; Bibbal, D.; Cadiergues, M.C.; Lopez-Pulin, D.; Sayah-Jeanne, S.; De Gunzburg, J.; Saint-Lu, N.; et al. Prevalence of Beta-Lactam and Quinolone/Fluoroquinolone Resistance in Enterobacteriaceae from Dogs in France and Spain—Characterization of ESBL/PAmpC Isolates, Genes, and Conjugative Plasmids. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 2019, *6*, 279. [CrossRef]
- Ortega-Paredes, D.; Haro, M.; Leoro-Garzón, P.; Barba, P.; Loaiza, K.; Mora, F.; Fors, M.; Vinueza-Burgos, C.; Fernández-Moreira, E. Multidrug-Resistant *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Canine Faeces in a Public Park in Quito, Ecuador. *J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resist.* 2019, *18*, 263–268. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 105. Leite-Martins, L.R.; Mahú, M.I.M.; Costa, A.L.; Mendes, Â.; Lopes, E.; Mendonça, D.M.V.; Niza-Ribeiro, J.J.R.; de Matos, A.J.F.; da Costa, P.M. Prevalence of Antimicrobial Resistance in Enteric *Escherichia coli* from Domestic Pets and Assessment of Associated Risk Markers Using a Generalized Linear Mixed Model. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 2014, 117, 28–39. [CrossRef]
- 106. Liakopoulos, A.; Betts, J.; La Ragione, R.; Van Essen-Zandbergen, A.; Ceccarelli, D.; Petinaki, E.; Koutinas, C.K.; Mevius, D.J. Occurrence and Characterization of Extended-Spectrum Cephalosporin-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae in Healthy Household Dogs in Greece. J. Med. Microbiol. 2018, 67, 931–935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pepin-Puget, L.; El Garch, F.; Bertrand, X.; Valot, B.; Hocquet, D. Genome Analysis of Enterobacteriaceae with Non-Wild Type Susceptibility to Third-Generation Cephalosporins Recovered from Diseased Dogs and Cats in Europe. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2020, 242, 108601. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 108. Wedley, A.L.; Dawson, S.; Maddox, T.W.; Coyne, K.P.; Pinchbeck, G.L.; Clegg, P.; Nuttall, T.; Kirchner, M.; Williams, N.J. Carriage of Antimicrobial Resistant *Escherichia coli* in Dogs: Prevalence, Associated Risk Factors and Molecular Characteristics. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2017, 199, 23–30. [CrossRef]
- De Briyne, N.; Atkinson, J.; Borriello, S.P.; Pokludová, L. Antibiotics Used Most Commonly to Treat Animals in Europe. *Vet. Rec.* 2014, 175, 325. [CrossRef]
- 110. Petkov, V. Plants with Hypotensive, Antiatheromatous and Coronarodilatating Action. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* **1979**, *7*, 197–236. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.